Pathfinding by zebrafish motoneurons in the absence of normal pioneer axons
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Summary

Individually identified primary motoneurons of the zebrafish embryo pioneer cell-specific peripheral motor nerves. Later, the growth cones of secondary motoneurons extend along pathways pioneered by primary motor axons. To learn whether primary motor axons are required for pathway navigation by secondary motoneurons, we ablated primary motoneurons and examined subsequent pathfinding by the growth cones of secondary motoneurons. We found that ablation of the primary motoneuron that pioneers the ventral nerve delayed ventral nerve formation, but a normal-appearing nerve eventually formed. Therefore, the secondary motoneurons that extend axons in the ventral nerve were able to pioneer that pathway in the absence of the pathway-specific primary motoneuron. In contrast, in the absence of the primary motoneuron that normally pioneers the dorsal nerve, secondary motoneurons did not pioneer a nerve in the normal location, instead they formed dorsal nerves in an atypical position. This difference in the ability of these two groups of motoneurons to pioneer their normal pathways suggests that the guidance rules followed by their growth cones may be very different. Furthermore, the observation that the atypical dorsal nerves formed in a consistent incorrect location suggests that the growth cones of the secondary motoneurons that extend dorsally make hierarchical pathway choices.
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Introduction

The development of appropriate synaptic connections requires that neuronal growth cones navigate successfully to their correct target cells. Many different types of cues have been implicated as sources of information for navigating growth cones, including the axons of early-developing neurons which act as pioneers in establishing the first axonal pathways (Bentley and Keshishian, 1982; Goodman et al., 1982; Kuwada, 1986; Bentley and Caudy, 1983; Raper et al., 1983; Ghosh et al., 1990). Pioneer neurons have been described in the central nervous systems of mammals (McConnell et al., 1989), fish (Kuwada, 1986; Wilson and Easter, 1991), grasshopper (Ho and Goodman, 1982), and leech (Kuwada and Kramer, 1983; Kuwada, 1985) and in the periphery in chicks (Tosney and Landmesser, 1985), fish (Eisen et al., 1986; Myers et al., 1986), and insects (Bate, 1976; Ho and Goodman, 1982; Bentley and Keshishian, 1982; Tix et al., 1989). In experiments in which the role of pioneer neurons in guidance of later-developing neurons has been directly tested, three general categories of results emerge: (1) pioneer neurons appear unnecessary for guidance of later-developing neurons (Keshishian and Bentley, 1985; Tix et al., 1989); (2) pioneer neurons facilitate, but are unnecessary for, pathfinding by later-developing neurons (Schubiger and Palka, 1985; Chitnis and Kuwada, 1991); (3) pioneer neurons appear to be necessary for normal pathfinding by later-developing neurons (Raper et al., 1984; Kuwada, 1986; Klose and Bentley, 1989; Ghosh et al., 1990). Based on these studies, it seems likely that ablation of pioneer neurons may have variable effects on navigation by later-developing neurons depending upon the system studied. Therefore, the guidance function of any particular pioneer neuron must be assessed on an individual basis.

In this study, we examined the role of pioneer motoneurons in the establishment of motor nerves in the trunk of the embryonic zebrafish. Previous studies have characterized a small group of early-developing, individually identifiable primary motoneurons whose growth cones pioneer the peripheral nerves. The growth cones of the primary motoneurons project directly to their cell-specific target muscles along stereotyped pathways (Myers et al., 1986; Eisen et al., 1986). For the work described in this paper, we studied the development of two distinct nerves, the ventral nerve and the dorsal nerve, each of which is pioneered by a single identified primary motoneuron. The ventral
and dorsal nerves extend along the medial surface of the myotome. Previous studies showed that the growth cone of the CaP primary motoneuron pioneers the ventral nerve while the growth cone of the MiP primary motoneuron pioneers the dorsal nerve (Westerfield et al., 1986; Eisen et al., 1986; Myers et al., 1986). The axons of secondary motoneurons are added to these nerves progressively during embryonic development (Myers, 1985). To test whether the primary motoneurons are necessary for proper establishment of the motor nerves, we ablated them and examined subsequent development of the motor nerve. We found that the secondary motoneurons that extend ventrally were able to form normally positioned ventral nerves in the absence of CaP whereas, the secondary motoneurons that extend growth cones dorsally fail to form normally positioned dorsal nerves in the absence of MiP. Instead, most dorsal nerves extended within the myotome, rather than along the medial surface. These results indicate that within this class of motoneurons, different growth cones are probably following different guidance cues.

Materials and methods

Animals
Embryos of the zebrafish, Brachydanio rerio, were obtained from our laboratory colony and maintained as described in Myers et al. (1986). Embryos were staged by hours postfertilization at 28.5°C (h). Segments were numbered as described in Hanneman et al. (1988). A hemisegment refers to a single somite and the corresponding half of the spinal cord.

Single-cell ablations
Primary motoneurons were ablated by laser-irradiation as described in Eisen et al. (1989). Ablations were performed either before or shortly after axogenesis, but before the primary motoneuronal growth cones had reached the horizontal septum. Previous studies (Eisen et al., 1989, 1990; Pike and Eisen, 1990) showed that when primary motoneurons are ablated at the stages used in this study, they are not replaced. Some spinal hemisegments contain an additional primary motoneuron in the CaP position, called VaP (Eisen et al., 1989). In hemisegments in which both cells were present, both cells were ablated. Ablations were performed in one to three spinal hemisegments on one side of the embryo only, so that the neighboring hemisegments and the opposite side of the spinal cord served as controls. Since we performed some ablations after axogenesis, we also determined the effect of primary motoneuron cell body ablation on the motor axons. In three cases, CaPs were labeled with Dil or intracellularly by blastomere injection with rhodamine-dextran (Eisen et al., 1986) and allowed to develop an axon that extended to the region of the horizontal septum, which later divides the dorsal and ventral regions of the myotome. These three CaPs were ablated by laser-irradiation of their cell bodies and then viewed with fluorescence optics within one hour. In all three cases, the axon of the ablated CaP had disappeared; flecks of fluorescence were visible in the periphery, but they were not obviously distributed along the axonal pathway. These observations indicate that ablating the cell bodies of CaPs that have already undergone axogenesis effectively eliminates the axon as well as the cell body. Thus, the axon is unavailable as a guidance cue for the growth cones of the secondary motoneurons.

The success of all CaP, or CaP and VaP, ablations described in this paper was verified by Nomarski DIC observation of the cell bodies as described in Eisen et al. (1989). In contrast, the MiP cell body is often more difficult to identify with Nomarski optics. To determine the percentage of MiPs successfully ablated, we ablated putative MiP cell bodies, allowed the embryos to develop for 6 hours, labeled the embryos with the zn-1 monoclonal antibody (mAb) which recognizes the cell bodies and axons of primary motoneurons (Myers et al., 1986), and scored the experimental segments for the presence or absence of MiPs. MiP ablations were successful in approximately 70% (9/13) of experimental segments.

Antibody labeling
Secondary motoneurons were labeled with the zn-5 monoclonal antibody (Trevarrow et al., 1990) as described in Eisen et al. (1989).

Fluorescent labeling
Secondary motoneurons were labeled by extracellular application of the fluorescent lipid-soluble dyes, Dil and DiO using the methods described in Pike and Eisen (1990) or by intracellular iontophoresis of Lucifer yellow or sulforhodamine using the methods described in Eisen et al. (1989).

Anterograde labeling with Dil
Dil was applied to the region of the spinal cord containing the cell bodies of secondary motoneurons that project either the ventral or dorsal nerves. Embryos were fixed for 5-10 minutes in 4% paraformaldehyde prior to Dil application. This technique labels a subpopulation of the axons in either the ventral or dorsal nerve. The axons of primary motoneurons were also labeled in some cases; they were readily distinguished from the axons of the secondary motoneurons by their larger diameters (Myers, 1985). Dil anterograde labels were only feasible up to about 40 h; at later stages the secondary motoneuron cell bodies were less accessible to the Dil-containing micropipette.

Retrograde labeling with Dil
The cell bodies of secondary motoneurons were labeled by application of DiI to the ventral or dorsal nerve using techniques described in Eisen et al. (1990).

Results

Strategy
We examined the ability of the growth cones of secondary motoneurons to pioneer two distinct regions of their peripheral pathways. First we determined whether the growth cones of secondary motoneurons were able to pioneer the ventral root in the absence of primary motoneurons (see Fig. 1). To test this, we ablated all of the primary motoneurons within a single hemisegment prior to ventral root formation and determined whether the growth cones of secondary motoneurons established a ventral root. Second, we determined whether the growth cones of secondary motoneurons were able to pioneer the ventral and dorsal motor nerves in the absence of the individual primary motoneurons that usually pioneer these pathways. To test this, we ablated either CaP, which
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of the dorsal nerves were shorter than the dorsal nerves, approximately 33 hours following MiP ablation, most at 52 h, 60 h and 72–96 h (Table 2). We found that at 52 h, many of the secondary motoneuron outgrowth, processes projected along aberrant pathways (Figs 3,4B,C). The trajectory and location of these aberrant projections were highly variable among experimental hemisegments. Some axons extended medially between the myotome and notochord as well as laterally into the myotome.

Formation of the dorsal nerve following MiP ablation

We ablated MiPs and examined dorsal nerve formation at 52 h, 60 h and 72–96 h (Table 2). We found that at 52 h, approximately 33 hours following MiP ablation, most of the dorsal nerves were shorter than the dorsal nerves in control hemisegments (Fig. 5A,B). By 60 h, all of the dorsal nerves were as long as controls and were located in the appropriate dorsal muscle region. However, most of them had an aberrant trajectory that formed at a consistent location within the myotome, instead of along the normal medial pathway; or NO EFFECT, there was no obvious difference between experimental and control dorsal nerves.

Discussion

The results presented in this paper suggest that in the absence of primary motoneurons, the growth cones of secondary motoneurons are able to form the ventral root. Moreover, two different identified primary motoneurons appear to have distinct roles in guiding the growth cones of secondary motoneurons. The CaP axon appears to facilitate pathfinding by secondary motoneurons, however, this axon appears unnecessary for eventual ventral nerve formation. In contrast, the MiP axon appears to be required for proper pathfinding by the secondary motoneurons. The lesson from this result is that pioneer neurons can assume a variety of roles in development and, therefore, generalizations about the role of one type of pioneer neuron in guidance of later-developing neurons may not hold true for other pioneer neurons, even within the same system.

The ability of the growth cones of secondary motoneurons to pioneer their normal ventral pathway has interesting implications. Following CaP ablation, secondary motoneurons extended growth cones ventrally along their normal pathway, rather than along the axonal pathways of other primary motoneurons or through regions not typically traversed by motor growth cones. These observations suggest that the secondary motoneurons may be committed to extend growth cones along specific pathways or in a specific direction. Further, it seems possible that the growth cones of the secondary motoneurons may recognize the same guidance cues that are used during pathfinding by the primary motoneurons. Previous studies showed that primary motoneurons are committed to extend their
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Experimental dorsal nerves were scored as follows: SHORT, not extending to the dorsal limit of the pathway, as compared with controls; ABERRANT, following a characteristic abnormal trajectory through the dorsal myotome instead of extending along the normal medial pathway; or NO EFFECT, there was no obvious difference between experimental and control dorsal nerves.
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Fig. 2. The axons of secondary motoneurons are associated with the axons of primary motoneurons. Side views of 28 h live embryos showing labeled primary and secondary motoneurons. Each cell was labeled intracellularly with either sulforhodamine (red) or Lucifer yellow (yellow) in A and B or Dil and DiO in C and viewed in many focal planes to ascertain the relationship between the axons of the labeled cells. In these photomicrographs, the cell bodies of all of the motoneurons are out of the plane of focus. (A) The CaP axon (yellow) and secondary motoneuron axon (red) are closely apposed. (B) In this case, the CaP axon (yellow) and secondary motoneuron axon (red) extend along parallel trajectories but do not appear to contact one another. (C) This MiP axon (yellow) and secondary motoneuron axon (red) are closely apposed. The secondary motoneuron has a ventral projection at this stage (arrow). Scale bar, 10 μm.

Fig. 3. Ventral nerve formation is delayed following CaP ablation. Ventral nerves were labeled anterogradely with Dil in control (small arrowheads) and experimental (arrow) hemisegments of lightly fixed 40 h embryos. As shown in this example, at this developmental stage the ventral nerves in control hemisegments extended to the ventral edge of the muscle. The ventral nerve in the experimental hemisegment failed to extend as far as the control nerves; in this case, the ventral nerve also extended a process along an abnormal trajectory (large arrowhead). Scale bar, 50 μm.
These observations suggest that although the CaP axon is unnecessary for proper pathfinding by the secondary motoneurons formed aberrant branches. Formed more slowly and the growth cones of the motoneurons near the MiP cell body position, but not neuron cell bodies could play a role in determining their location following MiP ablation. Similar observations have been made following ablation of pioneers in the CNS of grasshoppers (Raper et al., 1984) and fish (Kuwada, 1986). In both cases, following ablation of a pioneer neuron, axons of later-developing neurons were stunted. However, it is unclear from those studies whether the stunted axons were arrested or simply delayed in their outgrowth. In either case, the results are similar to ours in that the axons of the later-developing neurons were oriented in the correct direction, suggesting that there are directional cues in addition to the pioneer axon that later-developing growth cones can recognize.

In contrast to the ventrally projecting secondary motoneurons, the secondary motoneurons that extend dorsally were typically unable to pioneer a normal dorsal nerve. This result suggests that unlike CaP, MiP may be required for proper guidance of the growth cones of secondary motoneurons along their appropriate dorsal pathway. It is interesting that the secondary motoneurons formed a nerve that was located in a consistent, though abnormal, position. This observation suggests that these secondary motoneurons may make hierarchical pathway choices. Their preference is to extend dorsally along the MiP axon; in the absence of the MiP axon, they select a specific, atypical pathway. In other systems, this type of hierarchical pathway choice has not been observed (Raper et al., 1984; Bastiani et al., 1985; Klose and Bentley, 1989). In fact, in some cases when pioneers are ablated, follower growth cones appear to select a variety of incorrect pathways, most of which have previously been pioneered by other axons (Chitnis and Kuwada, 1991). However, in the cat CNS, the absence of pioneer neurons results in later-developing neurons bypassing their normal target and extending along an atypical pathway (Ghosh et al., 1990). Thus, in the absence of the subplate, geniculocortical axons fail to make a trajectory change that would take them into the overlying cortical plate, and instead continue to extend through the intermediate zone, a direct continuation of their pathway which is normally taken by other thalamic axons. The important difference between these results and ours is that, in the cat, the axons of later-developing neurons extend along an alternate pathway away from their normal target whereas, in the zebrafish, the axons of secondary motoneurons extend along an alternate pathway, but still reach the vicinity of their normal target. Thus, our results suggest that the growth cones of these secondary motoneurons may choose among several possible pathways that lead toward their targets, in a hierarchical manner.

In a few cases, dorsal nerves formed in a normal location following MiP ablation. Similar observations have been made following ablation of pioneers in the zebrafish brain; these results have been interpreted to mean that cues provided by the pioneers are not required for proper guidance of follower growth cones (Chitnis and Kuwada, 1991). Although this may also be the case for the secondary motoneurons, we favor.
another explanation. Since the percentage of cases in which the secondary motoneurons formed a normal dorsal nerve following MiP ablation was about the same as our failure rate for MiP ablation (see Methods), it seems likely that in those cases in which a normal dorsal nerve formed, we failed to ablate MiP.

The prevailing model for growth cone navigation is that a number of different cues work in a combinatorial manner to guide growth cones along appropriate pathways (Berlot and Goodman, 1984; Schubiger and Palka, 1985; Bastiani et al., 1987; Bixby et al., 1987; Dodd and Jessell, 1988; Harrelson and Goodman, 1988; Tomasselli et al., 1988; Elkins et al., 1990). We have described the variable roles played by the zebrafish primary motoneurons in guiding the growth cones of later-developing secondary motoneurons. Our results suggest that, in addition to the axons of the primary motoneurons, other sources of guidance information are available to the growth cones of the later-developing neurons that enable them to extend towards their targets in the absence of the pioneer axons. By identifying the cues that play a role in growth cone guidance and then manipulating different combinations of these cues, we hope to be able to determine the specific contributions different types of guidance cues make to pathway navigation.
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