INTRODUCTION

white mottled 4 is a chromosomal inversion resulting from a break of the X chromosome within centromeric heterochromatin, and another within euchromatin. In this rearrangement, the euchromatic gene white responsible for the red color of the eye, is relocated at the vicinity of heterochromatin and exhibits variegated expression. It is silenced in some cells and not in others, thus resulting in a mosaic phenotype. The phenomenon, reported for many chromosomal rearrangements and affecting many genes, is called position-effect variegation (PEV). The most popular model proposes that the condensed and inactive conformation of heterochromatin spreads over the breakpoint of the rearrangement and occasionally encompasses and inactivates neighbouring euchromatic genes (Eissenberg, 1989; Tartof et al., 1989; Henikoff, 1990; Reuter and Spierer, 1992). The proportion of cells in which inactivation occurs for a given gene in a given rearrangement is regulated by dominant and recessive genetic modifiers acting in trans. Overall, an estimated 100-200 genes either enhance or suppress variegation (Reuter and Wolff, 1981; Tartof et al., 1989; Sinclair et al., 1989; Wustmann et al., 1989). It has been proposed that the products of many of these genes encode chromatin components or modifiers of chromatin components. They are recognized by having a dose-dependent effect on variegation. Molecular studies show that some suppressor mutations indeed identify heterochromatin constituents, with dose-dependent opposite effects (Eissenberg et al., 1990, 1992; Reuter et al., 1990; Garzino et al., 1992; Tschiersch et al., 1994). Enhancer mutations, in contrast, have identified transcriptional activators, or candidates for such a function (Dorn et al., 1993a; Farkas et al., 1994). Whether these factors act directly on chromatin, as ‘architectural transcription factors’, or by indirect effects through their target genes remains to be determined.

There is an interesting structural and functional overlap between the modifiers of PEV and the regulators of the bithorax complex of homeotic genes. Two classes of dominant trans-regulators affect the expression of these homeotic genes, which are clustered in large chromosomal domains. On the one hand, the Polycomb-group of genes are seen as repressors of homeotic genes. They act in a dose-dependent mode on the maintenance of the repressed state of homeotic genes (Moehrle and Paro, 1994). On the other hand, the trithorax-group of genes are general activators of homeotic genes. Structural and functional overlap was found between suppressors of variegation and Polycomb-group genes (Paro and Hogness, 1991; Moehrle and Paro, 1994; Fauvarque and Dura, 1993), and between enhancers of variegation and trithorax-group genes (Tamkun et al., 1992; Dorn et al., 1993a; Farkas et al., 1994; Reuter et al., unpublished data). Therefore, the study of modifiers of position-effect variegation may shed light on general mechanisms of genetic control of activity of chromosomal domains. Other epigenetic silencing phenomena such as telomere and mating-type silencing in yeast, or X-inactivation and parental imprinting in mammals may use similar mechanisms to those used in PEV.

A large effort is underway to identify dominant enhancers.
of position-effect variegation in Drosophila (Dorn et al., 1993b). We report here the genetic and molecular characterization of one of them, E(var)3-93E164, which corresponds to the Drosophila cell cycle controlling transcriptional activator dE2F (Ohtani and Nevins, 1994; Dynlacht et al., 1994; Duronio et al., 1995; Duronio and O’Farrell, 1995).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetic analysis

All fly stocks were maintained under standard conditions. A description of chromosomes and mutations can be found in Lindley and Zimm (1992). The allele of E(var)3-93E is abbreviated below as E(var)3-93E164.

Autosomal transposition of P{pUChsneo ry+} was selected after a cross of w+mth; Su(var)2-101/Y; CyRo/Y; ry506 females with w+mth P{pUChsneo ry+}/Y; +/+; TM3, ry RK Sb e P{ry+(Δ2-3)}/ry506 males. The TM3, ry RK Sb e P{ry+(Δ2-3)}/ry506 chromosomes is an efficient source of P transposase (Reuter et al., 1993). After purine treatment (Finnerty et al., 1970) w+mth/Y; Su(var)2-101/+; ry506 and w+mth/Y; CyRo/Y; ry506 males will only survive if the P{pUChsneo ry+} element transposon is present. Exceptional males with an enhanced white-mottled phenotype were selected for further analysis and were crossed to w+mth; Cy/Y; T(2;3)ap Xa Su(var)2-101/Sb females. T(2;3)ap Xa Su(var)2-101 is abbreviated below as XuSu. The offspring w+mth/Y; +/XaSu/+ males with an enhancer phenotype (variegated instead of a suppressed red eye phenotype) were backcrossed again to w+mth; Cy/XaSu/Sb females. The putative enhancer can be localized to the different chromosomes with the help of aneuploid segregants of the apX0 translocation (Reuter and Wolff, in 1988). Segregation of P{pUChsneo ry+} was monitored by dot blot hybridization with a pUC18 probe using single flies from each of the 5 different genotypes (+/XaSu/ry506, +/XaSu/ry506, Cy/XaSu/ry506, Cy/XaSu/ry506, Cy+; Sb/ry506, Cy+S; Sb/ry506). Balanced stocks were constructed after crosses with w+; Cy/OxSc; ry506 and w+; TM3, ry RK Sb e fy506 females. The enhancer effect of the mutations on w+mth variegation were quantified by red eye pigment measurements in a XuSu background after a cross of w+mth; Cy/XaSu/Sb females to w+mth; Cy/XaSu/Sb females to w+mth; +/XaSu/ry506 E(var)3-93E males. The +/XaSu/ry506 E(var)3-93E offspring males were compared to the +/XaSu/Sb and Cy/XaSu/Sb control genotypes.

Excisions of the P{pUChsneo ry+} element were induced by crossing homozygotes for the mutation with a TM6, Ubx/Sb P{ry+/Δ2-3} strain. The dysgenic males were then crossed to female balancers TM3, Sb ry RK/TM6b, Tb e and exceptional ry+ male progeny were used to establish independent lines and tested for precise excision of the transposon on genomic Southern blots.

Effects on PEV were tested by crosses with w+mth; Cy/XaSu/Sb females. Crosses of these females with Canton S males were used as control.

Molecular biology

Plasmid rescues were obtained by following the protocol of Pirrotta (1986). RNA in situ hybridization on whole mounted preparations were described by Tautz and Pfeifle (1989) modified by Cléard et al. (1995). Northern blot analyses were performed with the protocol of Cléard et al. (1995), except for the probe, which was a DNA probe and for the hybridization mixture which was the following: 5x Denhardt’s, 6x SSPE, 8% dextran sulfate, 36% urea (Merck no. 8487) 100 µg/ml denatured sonicated salmon sperm DNA. Primer extension were done according to the protocol of Mason et al. (1993) with primers noted on the dE2F promoter region (see Fig. 4).

Germline transformation

The plasmid construct to be injected was prepared by inserting an EcoRI full length cDNA fragment of 4.2 kb from the plasmid pNB1.4 into the plasmid pH57 derived from pH56 (Seifert et al., 1986) containing NotI sites at each extremity of the polylinker. The NotI insertion was then cloned downstream of the Hsp70 promoter in the NotI site of the pNHT4 transformation vector (Schneuwly et al., 1986).

Injections into embryos were done according to the method of Spradling (1986). ry+ flies were selected, characterized by in situ hybridization and crossed to the w+mth; XuSu/Sb strain at 29°C.

RESULTS

A new dominant enhancer of position-effect variegation maps at 93E

Insertional mutations with an enhancer of PEV phenotype have been successfully isolated after mobilization of the P{pUChsneo ry+} transposon (Dorn et al., 1993b). Genomic DNA fragments flanking the insertion site can be isolated via plasmid rescue and afterwards used as an entry point for cloning of the corresponding gene. A new genetic screen has been applied, which ensures efficient mutant isolation by detection of a P{pUChsneo ry+} transposition as well as the dominant enhancer effect in individual offspring males. After remobilization of an X chromosomal P{pUChsneo ry+} insert in parental males, transpositions of the modified P-transposon into autosomes were selected as males homozygous for ry506 that survived purine treatment. Purine efficiently kills ry− flies (Finnerty et al., 1970) and the surviving males must have received a ry+ allele through insertion of P{pUChsneo ry+} into an autosomal site. Because all flies are w+mth, either in absence or in presence of the strong suppressor Su(var)2-101 (Reuter et al., 1982), an enhancer effect of the insertions can be monitored in the surviving F1 males by an increase in white

Fig. 1. Effect on position-effect variegation of an insertional mutation at 93E. (A) Head of a male carrying the X-chromosome rearrangement white-mottled-4h. (w+mth/Y;+/Cy;+/Sb). (B) Head of a male carrying the enhancer mutation in the same background as in A (w+mth/Y;+/Cy;E(var)3-93E164/Sb). (C) Head of a male revertant for the P-induced enhancer mutation (w+mth/Y;+/Cy;excE(var)3-93E164/Sb). For details of crosses, see Materials and Methods.
mottled mutant phenotype. In about 250,700 offspring, 32 (1.3×10⁻⁴) enhancer mutations have been isolated. The mutations were genetically mapped and localized by in situ hybridization (see Materials and Methods). An enhancer mutation, E(var)3-93E²⁶⁴, was further analyzed.

Mutations induced by a transposable element can be readily mapped on larval salivary gland polytene chromosomes by in situ hybridization using transposon sequences as a probe. A single signal was found at 93E on the right arm of the third chromosome (not shown), thus suggesting that there is a single insertion of the P-transposon. This was confirmed by genomic Southern blot hybridization (not shown). Effects of mutations on PEV can be detected by observing their effects on the mosaic phenotype seen on the compound eye of flies with a variegating rearrangement of the white gene. Inactivation of white results in clones of white ommatidia in a wild-type red background. Fig. 1A illustrates the mottled phenotype of w₅₆⁸*, and Fig. 1B the enhancement of variegation due to the heterozygous mutation E(var)3-93E²⁶⁴. The mutation E(var)3-93E²⁶⁴ was found to be homozygous viable and fertile.

To ascertain that the phenotype is indeed caused by the insertion of the transposon, the element was mobilized to produce phenotypic revertants associated with an excision of the transposon. The P-induced mutant was crossed to a transposase-producing strain (P{ry⁺ Δ2-3}), and the progeny analyzed for the loss of the transposon as seen by the loss of the ry⁺ gene. Eleven independent ry⁻ lines were analyzed by Southern blot hybridizations. Eight were internal deletions in the transposon, and three apparent precise excisions. As illustrated in Fig. 1C, one of the three apparent precise excisions clearly reverts the enhanced variegation phenotype. Molecular analysis reported below confirmed the correspondence between the mutation and the phenotype.

**Molecular cloning of the E(var)3-93E locus**

The modified P element P{UChsneor ry⁺} allows plasmid rescue of genomic DNA on one side of the transposon. Digestion with Sall or with EcoRI followed by ligation allowed us to recover plasmids of 9.1 and 7.1 kb respectively. The plasmids contain 4.4 kb of pUC plasmid sequence and the remaining DNA consists of flanking Drosophila genomic sequence. As a control, the rescued plasmids were found to hybridize to the same 93E locus on wild-type polytene chromosomes, thus demonstrating that the genomic sequence originates as expected from that region (not shown). With the genomic fragment as a probe, we screened a genomic library (Maniatis et al., 1978) to isolate sequences extending on both sides of the P-element. The region encompassing the 5' end of the transcription unit was isolated in a second step by using the 5' end of a cDNA clone (described below) as a probe. The resulting map of the genomic region and the position of the transposon is depicted in Fig. 2. The 5'-end exon is separated from the main coding region by a 12-kb-long intron.

**Deduced protein sequence of transcripts from the E(var)3-93E locus identifies the Drosophila E2F gene**

We mapped transcription units in the vicinity of the transposon. Restriction fragments on each side of the insertion site (Fig. 2) were used as probes on northern blots of embryonic RNA. A 2.0 kb EcoRI fragment (localized on the genomic region in Fig. 2A) detects three overlapping transcripts of 3.6, 4.2 and 4.7 kb (Fig. 3A). This fragment, located 3.0 kb 3' of the P transposon and which gave a strong signal on northern blots, was used to select cDNA clones in a 4-8 hour cDNA library (Brown and Kafatos, 1988). About 50,000 colonies were screened and 26 clones were isolated. Eight clones were analysed in detail to establish the complete map of the transcripts depicted in Fig. 2. cDNA sequencing allowed us to detect an open reading frame, which was compared to known sequences in databases, and identified the cDNAs as encoding the Drosophila homolog of E2F, dE2F (Ohtani and Nevins, 1994; Dynlacht et al., 1994). The eight clones analyzed can be arranged in three classes, varying in the length of their 3' untranslated region (not shown), but we could not unambiguously assign these classes to the three sizes of transcripts seen on northern blots.

When comparing the eight cDNAs analyzed, it appeared that four of them have a deletion of 18 nucleotides in the open reading frame. This leads to the deletion of six amino acids (QQLQQQ). Sequencing of our genomic clone from the Maniatis library included DNA coding for these amino acids, without interruption of the open reading frame before or after the stretch. There is hence no evidence that this deletion results from alternative splicing. The sequence resembles opa repeats...
We assume that it is a polymorphism in the population used for making the cDNA library.

E2F is known to be autoregulated in mammals and E2F binding sites have been described in the promoter region of the mammalian E2F gene (Johnson et al., 1994; Neuman et al., 1994). We have mapped the 5' end of the transcription unit on the genomic DNA by primer extension. The result is shown in Fig. 3, which also presents genomic sequence upstream of the two starts of transcription. It should be noted that this promoter has no obvious TATA box. Two potential E2F binding sites were found within 50 base pairs upstream of the start sites.

The mutation affects transcript levels

Next we looked for effects of the insertion mutation on transcripts of the locus. Fig. 4 depicts northern blot hybridizations of RNA extracted at selected developmental stages using the cDNA as a probe. Early embryos (0-4 hours) contain three different sized transcripts, but only the two larger ones are detected in later stages. In a separate experiment, RNA from 0-2 hours was blotted. Only the shorter transcript is visible, thus establishing its maternal origin.

As the mutation is homozygous viable, RNA was extracted from homozygous mutant embryos to examine possible alterations of dE2F expression. Fig. 4 shows a severe reduction of all RNA species in the mutant, compared to wild type. The distribution of transcripts was examined in whole-mount homozygous mutant embryos (not shown). Transcripts were detectable, but at a much lower level. The pattern was similar to that seen in wild-type embryos (see below).

R. Duronio and P. O’Farrell have provided us with a null
and homozygous lethal allele of the Drosophila dE2F that they have determined to be a nonsense mutation at amino acid 31 (dE2F91, Duronio et al., 1995). We have found this allele to be a strong dominant enhancer, actually stronger than our P-induced mutation, thus confirming allelism between the dE2F gene and the enhancer of variegation phenotype (Fig. 5).

These two experiments, namely reduction of transcript level in the insertional mutant and the enhancer effect of the null mutant, show that the dominant enhancer effect is due to reduced activity of dE2F.

The dE2F mutation enhances yellow variegation
To assess whether the dose of dE2F also affects other variegating rearrangments, the null mutation was placed in trans with two minichromosomes bearing a variegating yellow+ gene (Dp(1)fj1187 and Dp(1)fj8-23, Karpen and Spradling, 1990; Tower et al., 1993). The color phenotype was scored on the middle bristles of the triple row at the anterior wing margin. For both minichromosomes, the percentage of yellow bristle was significantly higher in heterozygous flies compared to the siblings bearing two wild-type copies of dE2F. With Dp(1:fj)1187, 23% (mean) of the bristles were yellow in flies with a mutant copy of dE2F, in contrast to 12% in control flies (two copies of dE2F). A t-test confirms that these two values are significantly different at the 99% confidence level. Using the Dp(1:fj)8-23 rearrangement, heterozygous mutant flies showed 33% of yellow bristles versus 20% in the control. A t-test confirms that these two values are significantly different at the 95% confidence level. We conclude that a reduced dose of dE2F acts as a dominant enhancer of the variegation of different genes in different variegating chromosomal rearrangements.

Overexpression of dE2F suppresses position-effect variegation
A clear-cut demonstration that the transcripts indeed encode the functional protein can be provided by introducing the cDNA into the genome by genetic transformation. It is expected that this overexpression will have the opposite effect of gene dose reduction in the mutant. cDNAs containing the complete ORF were cloned in a P-transposon-derived transformation vector (pNHT4; Schneuwly et al., 1986) that allowed us to place the cDNA under the control of the hsp70 heat shock promoter. We used cDNAs either with the short polymorphic insertion or without. They both gave the same effect on PEV. After injection into a ry- background, transformant lines were selected by the ry+ marker of the transformation vector. The effect on variegation was determined by crossing transformants in the white-mottled background. Transformant flies and wild-type controls were grown at 29°C, a mild heat shock condition. Fig. 5 shows that the presence of one copy of the hs-dE2F transgene (in a background of two wild-type resident copies of dE2F) produces a clear suppressor effect. Flies grown at lower temperature (25°C) do not show this effect. Robin Wharton and Maki Asano provided us with their transgenic lines tagged with the miniwhite gene as a marker (unpublished data). Though the miniwhite background rendered the experiment more difficult, we have verified that these lines also suppress position effect variegation (not shown).

Though we have not embarked on a detailed comparison, it seems, at a qualitative level, that the suppressor phenotype is the same whether or not the transgene contains the six amino acid polymorphic stretch. We conclude that overexpression of dE2F has the opposite effect to the reduction of gene dosage. E(var)3-93E164 is homozygous viable, but null mutants are homozygous lethals (Duronio et al., 1995). Under mild heat shock conditions (29°C), both of these constructs save the lethality of the null allele dE2F91 (data not shown). Rescue of a null allele demonstrate clearly that the transgene is expressed and functional.

The transcripts are ubiquitous in early embryonic development
The distribution of transcripts was examined in whole mount embryos. The results are illustrated in Fig. 10 for ten selected developmental stages. They extend previous work of Hao et al. (1995) and Duronio et al. (1995). The transcripts are ubiquitous in preblastoderm embryos. Incomplete cellular blastoderm embryos (stage 4) show a pattern reminiscent of the one reported by Hao et al. (1995) for dDP1, the factor that makes a heterodimer with dE2F in its active form (Dynlacht et al., 1994) and for string and twine (Alphey et al., 1992), two genes implicated in cell cycle progression. Staining is preponderant under the peripheral nuclei. At cellular blastoderm (stage 5) the zigyotic transcripts appear in three broad bands. Ventral expression seems stronger. By the beginning of gastrulation (stage 6) a very transient banded pattern appears, showing at least 10 bands, having similar spacing to that of segment polarity genes. The ventral furrow is strongly stained. Slightly later (stage 7), the bands have already disappeared leaving most of the labeling in the ventral furrow (mesoderm). At stage 8, transcripts accumulate in mesoderm tissues, and at stages 9-10, the embryo shows staining principally in the neuroblasts and mesoderm. At stages 10-11, the neuroblast staining stands out. At stage 13, anterior and posterior midgut staining appears. By stage 16 the midgut and foregut are also stained.

DISCUSSION
E(var)3-93E164 is a dominant mutation that enhances position-effect variegation. When one dose is lost or when expression...
is reduced, heterochromatic position-effect silencing is enhanced. This dominant enhancer effect makes E(var)3-93E^{164} a member of the group of haplo-insufficient enhancers of PEV. Overexpression with a transgene under the control of a heat shock promoter has an opposite suppressor effect on variegation. Hence, within the enhancers of PEV, this locus is a haplo-enhancer gene with a triplo-suppressor effect. Triplo-effect is not used here in a strict sense as there might be differences between the overexpression of a cDNA transgene and a duplication of the whole locus. E(var)3-93E^{164} is the first molecularly characterized gene with this opposite effect on PEV. Though the classical reporter for PEV is white-mottled, we have also determined a parallel enhancer effect for two other rearrangements variegating for the gene yellow, making it unlikely that there is a specific effect of the enhancer mutation on the transcription of the white gene.

E(var)3-93E^{164} encodes the Drosophila E2F transcriptional activator and cell cycle regulator. This is a surprising finding as the dose dependence argues in favor of an architectural effect on chromatin, although dE2F could also be the rate-limiting regulator of an architectural factor. However, previously characterized mutations enhancing PEV have identified other transcriptional activators such as the GAGA-factor (also known as trithorax-like, a regulator of homeotic genes (Farkas et al., 1994)), the E(var)3-93D gene, which is also a regulator of homeotic genes as well as a modifier of the activity of su(Hw), and encodes a protein involved in the insulation of chromosomal domains (Dorn et al., 1993a; Gerasimova et al., 1995), and possibly modulo (Garzino et al., 1992). Recently, zeste was reported as a recessive enhancer of PEV (Judd, 1995). zeste encodes a DNA binding protein with two previously known roles: transcriptional activator affecting chromatin configuration at a distance, and an effector of chromosome pairing-dependent effects (reviewed by Pirrotta, 1991). In contrast, suppressor mutations have identified the heterochromatin-associated proteins HP1 (Eissenberg et al., 1992) and Su(var)3-7 (Reuter et al., 1990; Cléard, 1993; Cléard et al., 1995).

In mammals, members of the E2F family of proteins form heterodimers with the DP family of proteins in different combinations, and activate genes involved in DNA metabolism, hence promoting the transition from G1 to S phase. These dimers can be sequestered by the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (pRb) or other pRb-related proteins (p107, p130). Phosphorylation of the pRb proteins by cyclin-dependent kinases in the course of the G1 phase releases the E2F-DP dimers. Absence of pRb blocks the cell cycle at the G1/S transition (reviewed by La Thangue, 1994). In contrast, overexpression of E2F can lead to oncogenic transformation (Beijersbergen et al., 1994; Ginsberg et al., 1994; Singh et al., 1994), or apoptosis (Qin et al., 1994; Shan and Lee, 1994; Wu and Levine, 1994). As in mammalian cells, active dE2F is complexed with dDP in Drosophila (Dynlacht et al., 1994). It binds to the promoter of a dE2F-dependent gene, DNA polymerase alpha (Ohtani and Nevins, 1994), and is essential for the G1 to S progression (Duronio et al., 1995). Interaction of dE2F with pRb arrests cells in G1 phase, and dE2F binds to cyclin A/cdk2 and can be modulated by viral oncoproteins (Hao et al., 1995). These data, and our findings, show that the Drosophila dE2F protein parallels the function of mammalian E2F.

In the widely accepted 'structural' model of heterochromatic PEV, enhancers of variegation are constituents, or modifiers of constituents, of euchromatin that resist epigenetic silencing or promote derepression. They can also be negative regulators of heterochromatin constituents. We propose two hypotheses to

**Fig. 6.** Pattern of expression of the dE2F transcripts in embryos. RNA in situ hybridisation was performed on whole mounts as described by Cléard et al. (1995) with the longest cDNA as a probe. (A) Stage 1 embryo. The transcripts are ubiquitous. (B) Incompleted cellular blastoderm (stage 4). Staining is preponderant under the peripheral nuclei. (C) Cellular blastoderm (stage 5). The zygotic transcripts start in three broad bands. (D) Beginning of gastrulation (stage 6). A very transient banded pattern appears showing at least 10 bands having similar spacing to that of segment polarity genes. The ventral furrow is strongly stained. (E) Gastrulation (stage 7). The bands have already disappeared leaving most of the labeling in the ventral furrow (mesoderm). (F) Stage 8 embryo. Transcripts accumulate in mesoderm tissues. (G) Stage 9-10. Staining principally in the neuroblasts and mesoderm. (H) Stage 10-11. Neuroblasts staining stands out. (I) Stage 13. Anterior and posterior midgut staining appears. (J) Stage 16. The midgut and foregut are also stained.
explain how the dose of dE2F affects position-effect variegation. First, dE2F might be a positive transcriptional activator of another enhancer gene, or a negative regulator of a suppressor gene. Decreased amounts of E2F would decrease the expression of the other enhancer or increase the expression of the suppressor, producing the haplo-enhancer effect. In contrast, increased amounts of dE2F would increase the levels of the other enhancer or decrease the level of a suppressor, leading to the triplo-suppressor effect. It should be noted here, that though E2F was characterized as an activator of transcription during the S phase, a negative effect is also plausible via the complex between E2F and the hypophosphorylated form of pRb (Weintraub et al., 1995). The association of E2F and pRb could also prevent DNA condensation. In mammalian cells, the pRb protein indeed seems to localise not only over euchromatin but also as large nuclear granules located at the border between euchromatin and heterochromatin, suggesting that the protein may influence DNA condensation (Szekely et al., 1991). dE2F could also prevent the spreading of the heterochromatic conformation by its association with its many target sites on euchromatin. In such a model, there must be E2F binding sites between the variegation-inducing heterochromatin and the variegating gene. Among other known enhancers of PEV, the trithorax-like locus does indeed encode a protein, the GAGA factor, with binding sites both at its euchromatic targets sites and in heterochromatin (Raff et al., 1994). The product of E(var)3-93D, probably also a transcription factor, is associated with many sites in euchromatic arms of polytene chromosomes (Dorn et al. 1993a).

Our second hypothesis proposes that the dose of dE2F modulates PEV through its function as a cell cycle regulator. In this respect, it is interesting to note that involvement of mitosis control in variegation was suggested by the finding that mutation of the PP1 phosphatase gene suppresses variegation and leads to abnormal mitosis (Baksa et al., 1993) and that mutations in PCNA, an auxiliary factor in DNA replication, are recessive suppressors of PEV (Henderson et al., 1994). In humans, PP1 binds to the retinoblastoma protein, the same protein that releases E2F and hence suppresses PEV. In this second hypothesis, it remains to be determined how a perturbation of the G1/S transition (or in the length of the S phase) can affect PEV. During DNA replication is likely to be the critical time for establishing, erasing, or maintaining epigenetic programming. If this is true for the effect we see, then a delay in the G1/S transition or a modification of the S phase could have an enhancer effect on the establishment of silencing as detected by heterochromatin position effects. This could be explained, for example, if a longer S phase provides more time for building up the silenced state of large chromosomal domains. An old, and possibly related, observation is that heterochromatic regions are late replicating (Lima de Faria and Jarworska, 1968).

In conclusion, we emphasize that this possible link between epigenetic silencing and cell cycle was recently suggested in the analogous phenomena of mating type locus silencing and telomeric position effect in yeast. Indeed, telomeric silencing is variegated and spreads from the telomere (Renaud et al., 1993). Miller and Nasmith (1984) have shown that progression through the S phase of the cell cycle is required for establishing a repressed state of the silent mating type locus. Recently, Laman and collaborators have looked for mutations suppressing the silencer-defective mutation rap1 (Laman et al., 1995), an approach analogous to our search for enhancers of white-mottled variegation. Three suppressors were cloned and all encode components necessary for normal cell cycle progression. This analogy reinforces the idea that telomeric position effect in yeast and PEV are related. That mutations perturbing cell-cycle progression enhance silencing in both systems supports the hypothesis that establishment of epigenetic silencing occurs in a window of the cell cycle, which is critical either by its duration and/or its timing.
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