Semaphorins III and IV repel hippocampal axons via two distinct receptors
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SUMMARY

The semaphorins are the largest family of repulsive axon guidance molecules. Secreted semaphorins bind neuropilin receptors and repel sensory, sympathetic and motor axons. Here we show that CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus axons from E15-E17 mouse embryo explants are selectively repelled by entorhinal cortex and neocortex. The secreted semaphorins Sema III and Sema IV and their receptors Neuropilin-1 and -2 are expressed in the hippocampal formation during appropriate stages. Sema III and Sema IV strongly repel CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus axons; entorhinal axons are only repelled by Sema III. An antibody against Neuropilin-1 blocks the repulsive action of Sema III and the entorhinal cortex, but has no effect on Sema IV-induced repulsion. Thus, chemorepulsion plays a role in axon guidance in the hippocampus, secreted semaphorins are likely to be responsible for this action, and the same axons can be repelled by two distinct semaphorins via two different receptors.

INTRODUCTION

Mounting evidence indicates that in the developing central nervous system, growth cones can be guided at a distance by diffusible molecules secreted by non-target cells (Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman, 1996). Many of these factors function as chemorepellents: they induce growth cone collapse and oriented axonal outgrowth away from the source of the factor. Since the demonstration 5 years ago by Pini (1993) that the septum in the embryonic rat produces a diffusible factor that repels olfactory bulb axons, several studies have indicated that chemorepulsive molecules are produced in a variety of central nervous system (CNS) regions, such as the ventral spinal cord (Fitzgerald et al., 1993), the floor plate (Colomarino and Tessier-Lavigne, 1995; Tamada et al., 1995; Varela-Echavarria et al., 1997) or the thalamus (Tuttle et al., 1998). Potential chemorepellents have been identified in two gene families, the netrins and the semaphorins (Culotti and Kolodkin, 1996; Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman, 1996). Floor plate-derived Netrin-1 has been shown to repel motor axons from the trochlear, trigeminal, facial and glossopharyngeal cranial nuclei (Colomarino and Tessier-Lavigne, 1995; Varela-Echavarria et al., 1997). Most of the secreted chemorepellents identified to date belong to the semaphorin family, which also contains transmembrane members (Kolodkin et al., 1993; Mark et al., 1997). Sema III/D (hereafter referred to as Sema III) and its avian ortholog Collapsin-1, which is expressed in the embryonic ventral spinal cord, are known to cause in vitro the collapse of sensory axons growing from explants of dorsal root ganglia (Luo et al., 1995) and can also repel these axons (Messersmith et al., 1995; Püschel et al., 1995). Sema III has also been reported to act as a chemorepellent for most rat cranial motor axons (Varela-Echavarria et al., 1997) and for olfactory axons (Kobayashi et al., 1997). Two other secreted semaphorins, Sema A and Sema E/Collapsin-3, can repel and cause the collapse of axons from sympathetic ganglia (Adams et al., 1997; Koppel et al., 1997). Recently, the transmembrane protein Neuropilin-1 has been shown to be a receptor, or a component of a receptor, for Sema III (He and Tessier-Lavigne, 1997; Kolodkin et al., 1997). Other studies have demonstrated that the secreted semaphorins Sema E/Collapsin-3, Collapsin-2, Collapsin-5 and Sema IV also bind to Neuropilin-1 with apparently equivalent affinities (Chen et al., 1997; Feiner et al., 1997). Neuropilin-2, a homolog of Neuropilin-1, exists in at least six isoforms, that are probably generated by alternative splicing (Chen et al., 1997; Kolodkin et al., 1997). Neuropilin-2 can bind Sema E and Sema IV, but not Sema III with high-affinity (Chen et al., 1997) but the involvement of Neuropilin-2 in mediating a semaphorin response remains to be demonstrated.

Although semaphorins and their receptors are expressed in many brain regions during development, functional responses have been reported only for sensory, sympathetic and motor axons (see above for references). In the present study we have investigated the role of diffusible semaphorins in the patterning of the main hippocampal connections. We have selected this region not only because Neuropilin-1 (Kawakami et al., 1996), Neuropilin-2 (Chen et al., 1997) and several semaphorins (Zhou
et al., 1997; Skaliota et al., 1998) are expressed in this area, but also because the hippocampus has a simple pattern of afferent connections. The main afferents arise from the entorhinal cortex and from the CA3/hilar hippocampal fields, and terminate in a layer-specific fashion. Entorhinal axons, through the perforant pathway, innervate the outer dendritic segments of the principal neurons (the stratum lacunosum-moleculare and the outer molecular layer), and commissural/associational afferents from CA3/hilar regions innervate inner dendritic segments in the stratum oriens, stratum radiatum and inner molecular layer (see Fig. 1A; Amaral and Witter, 1995).

Tracing studies in mouse embryos have shown that developing hippocampal afferents invade their appropriate target region and layers in a highly specific fashion (Supère and Soriano, 1994; Supère et al., 1998). Such stereotyped growth suggests the involvement of both long-range cues influencing early axonal trajectories, and membrane- or substrate-anchored cues, providing layer-specific positional information. Analyses of mutant mice indicate that the diffusible factor Netrin-1 and its receptor, Deleted in Colorectal Cancer, are involved in the formation of commissural connections (Serafini et al., 1996; Keino-Masu et al., 1996). In addition, it has been shown that the Cajal-Retzius cells and the extracellular protein Reelin regulate the elaboration and targeting of entorhinal afferents (Del Rio et al., 1997; Supère et al., 1998; Borrell et al., unpublished). In the present study, we show in the entorhinal cortex and neocortex of mouse embryos the presence of long-range repellent cues, which repel hippocampal axons. We also demonstrate that several members of the semaphorin and neuropilin families are expressed in the hippocampal formation when connections are being formed. We further show that the secreted semaphorins Sema III and Sema IV, but not Sema A, Sema E or Sema H, exert potent repulsive effects on hippocampal axonal growth. Finally we found that Sema III-induced repulsion is blocked by anti-Neuropilin-1 antibodies, which have no apparent effect on Sema IV-induced repulsion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
OF1 albino mice (IFFA-Credo, Lyon, France) were used for the culture experiments and for in situ hybridization studies. The day on which a vaginal plug was detected was considered embryonic day 0 (E0), and the day of birth postnatal day 0 (P0).

In situ hybridization
E15-E17 embryos and P0 mice (2-3 animals each) were perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 (PFA). Brains were postfixed in 4% PFA, cryoprotected with 30% sucrose, and sectioned at 50 μm. Antisense riboprobes were labelled with digoxigenin-d-UTP (Boehringer-Mannheim) by in vitro transcription of mouse cDNAs encoding netrin-1 (Keino-Masu et al., 1996), sema III (Messersmith et al., 1995), sema IV (a kind gift of Dr Harry Drabkin), sema E (Chen et al., 1997), sema A (gift of Dr Andreas Püschel; Püschel et al., 1995) and sema H (a gift of Dr Christensen; Christensen et al., 1998), or neuropilin-1 (He and Tessier-Lavigne, 1997) and neuropilin-2 (Chen et al., 1997). In situ hybridization was performed on free-floating sections as described elsewhere (de Lecea et al., 1997). Controls including hybridization with sense riboprobes prevented hybridization signals.

Explant cultures and cocultures
The hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and dorsal parietal cortex, all from E15-E17 embryos, were dissected out in a single piece, and 250-350 μm thick horizontal slices were obtained using a tissue chopper. Selected slices containing the hippocampal region were further dissected using fine tungsten needles to get small tissue pieces (approximately 300-500 μm across) from the entorhinal cortex, dentate gyrus, and CA3 and CA1 areas; in most cases the fimbria and the marginal zone were taken out and excluded from the explants (see Fig. 1B). Other brains were sectioned coronally to obtain neocortical explants. Cocultures consisted either of all combinations between entorhinal cortex, CA3, CA1, dentate gyrus and neocortex, or entorhinal cortex, CA3, CA1 and dentate gyrus cocultured with aggregates of COS cells transfected with secreted alkaline phosphatase (AP), using the AP-Tag-4 vector (gift of Dr J. Flanagan), or Human sema III-myc (Messersmith et al., 1995), Human sema IV-AP (Chen et al., 1997), mouse sema E-AP (Chen et al., 1997), mouse Sema A-myc or mouse Sema H-myc. Those last two constructs were obtained by amplifying by PCR cDNAs encoding mouse sema A and sema H, then subcloned into PCDNA3.1 myc/his (Invitrogen). Expression was controlled on western blots using the monoclonal 9E10 anti-myc antibody or a polyclonal anti-AP antibody (Dako). Explants were embedded in rat-tail collagen gel as previously described (Lumsden and Davies, 1986), and cultured for 48-72 hours in Neurobasal medium supplemented with L-Glutamine, NaHCO3, glucose and B27 supplement (all from Gibco Life Technologies). Cocultures were incubated either with 5% or 1% horse serum, or serum-free, in a 5% CO2; 95% humidity incubator at 37°C. No differences were observed whether explants were cultured in 1% or 5% serum or in serum-free medium, so most cocultures were incubated in a low-serum medium.

Explants were fixed in ice-cold 4% PFA. For the visualization of neuronal processes, cultures were fixed for 1 hour, rinsed several times in 0.1 M PBS, blocked with 10% normal goat serum, incubated with neuronal processes, cultures were fixed for 1 hour, rinsed several times in 0.1 M PBS, blocked with 10% normal goat serum, incubated with a neuron-specific anti-class III β-tubulin antibody (1:3000; clone TUJ-1, Babco; Moody et al., 1989), followed by an HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (1:2000; Jackson Immunological Laboratories), and developed with a diaminobenzidine reaction. Other cocultures were kept in 4% PFA and one explant in each pair was injected with a small crystal of lipophilic tracer DiI (1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine; Molecular Probes). After 4-6 days in the dark to allow the diffusion of the tracer, explants were recorded and photographed under rhodamine fluorescence optics.

For function-blocking experiments, explants were cultured in the presence of 10 μg/ml of anti-Neuropilin-1 immunoglobulins (IgG). At such a concentration this antibody has been previously shown to abolish completely Sema III-induced collapse of DRG axons (He and Tessier-Lavigne, 1997). For control experiments either no IgGs were added, or some FitC-conjugated rabbit IgGs (Jackson Immunological Laboratories) at a similar concentration.

Quantification
After β-tubulin immunostaining or DiI labeling the neurite length of the explants was measured in the proximal, distal and lateral quadrants (four different axons among the longest ones were measured in each quadrant for each culture) using a millimetric eyepiece (Messersmith et al., 1995). Data were statistically analyzed using the Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney Rank Sum test. Individual cultures were additionally classified as described in Table 1.

RESULTS

Hippocampal axons are repelled by diffusible factors released from the entorhinal cortex
To investigate the existence of long-range repulsive influences in the growth and patterning of hippocampal connections we took advantage of the assay system in three-dimensional
collagen gels (Lumsden and Davies, 1986) and cocultured explants from the entorhinal cortex and from several hippocampal regions (Figs 2, 3; Table 1). We selected E15-E17 hippocampal tissue because these stages correspond to the period of maximal axonal growth (see Discussion). In most cases, the marginal zone-layer I was dissected out since in preliminary control experiments we found that this procedure enhances radial axonal outgrowth from the explants. Also, the marginal zone-layer I, where the Cajal-Retzius cells are located, may exert chemotactic influences which could interfere with the assay (Del Río et al., 1997; Soriano et al., 1997; J. A. D. R., V. B. and E. S., unpublished observations).

In a first set of experiments we confronted explants from the entorhinal cortex with explants from the hippocampal regions CA3, CA1 or dentate gyrus, and monitored entorhinal axonal outgrowth by injections of DiI. Axonal outgrowth from entorhinal cortex explants was predominantly radial (36 out of 45; Figs 2A,B, 3A; Table 1) although in some cocultures entorhinal axons exhibited a weak attraction for CA3 and CA1 tissues (9 out of 45). This chemoattraction may be due to the persistence of Cajal-Retzius cells in the explants (see above). We never found entorhinal axons being repelled by hippocampal explants (Table 1). We thus conclude that embryonic hippocampal tissue does not express long-range repellent signals for entorhinal axons.

We next cocultured entorhinal cortex and hippocampal explants and monitored the axonal outgrowth from the hippocampal tissue (Figs 2C-E, 3B; Table 1). As illustrated in Fig. 2C-E, the axons from the CA3 and CA1 hippocampal subfields grew far away from the entorhinal explants. All cocultures (n=36) showed a dramatic reduction in the length of the fibers that were confronted with entorhinal explants. In contrast, the length of hippocampal axons in the quadrant distal to the entorhinal cortex averaged a 8- to 10-fold increase (Fig. 3B). A similar, albeit less pronounced, asymmetrical pattern of axonal growth was observed for the axons of the dentate gyrus when this region was confronted with the entorhinal cortex.

**Table 1. Semiquantitative evaluation of axonal outgrowth in different combinations of hippocampal explants cocultured in collagen gels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture condition</th>
<th>Number of explants</th>
<th>Axonal outgrowth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em><em>EC</em>-CA3</em>*</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>EC</em>-CA1</em>*</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>EC</em>-DG</em>*</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>CA3</em>-EC</em>*</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>DG</em>-EC</em>*</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>CA3</em>-CA1</em>*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>CA1</em>-CA3</em>*</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>CA3</em>-DG</em>*</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>DB</em>-CA3</em>*</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>CA1</em>-DG</em>*</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>DG</em>-CA1</em>*</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Axonal growth was monitored by injection of DiI into one of the explants (labelled in bold letters and by an asterisk). EC, entorhinal cortex; CA1, CA3, hippocampal fields CA1 and CA3; DG, dentate gyrus.

Cocultures were classified as follows: +, moderate attraction of axons (axons are 2- to 3-fold longer in the proximal than in the distal quadrant); =, radial axonal growth (axons in the proximal and distal quadrants differed by less than 2-fold in length); --, moderate axonal repulsion (axons are 2- to 3-fold longer in the distal than in the proximal quadrant); ---, strong axonal repulsion (axons are more than 3-fold longer in the distal than in the proximal quadrant).
Such a mild repulsion could be related to the late development of the dentate gyrus, or to the presence of distinct projection neurons, the granule cells and the commissural hilar neurons, which may have different responses. These findings indicate that the entorhinal cortex secretes diffusible signals that repel developing hippocampal axons.

Axons from the CA3 pyramidal neurons form the commissural pathway but they also give rise to ipsilateral associational connections (the Schaffer collaterals) that innervate the CA1 region (Fig. 1A). In contrast, axons from the CA1 pyramidal cells extend into the fimbria to innervate the septal region, but do not project to the CA3 subfield. Moreover, granule cell axons, the mossy fibers, innervate the CA3 pyramidal neurons but do not enter the CA1 subfield (Amaral and Witter, 1995). To examine whether chemorepellent cues released from the hippocampus itself influence the patterning of these connections we confronted explants from the CA1, CA3 and dentate regions. Most CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus explants exhibited a radial axonal growth (Figs 2F, 3C; Table 1), indicating a lack of chemorepulsive or chemotactic effects. These results suggest that the initial shaping of intrahippocampal ipsilateral connections does not depend on long-range repulsive factors.

To determine whether the chemorepellent effect we observed was caused by signals expressed only in the entorhinal cortex or present in other cortical regions, we cocultured CA3, CA1 and dentate explants with neocortical tissue (Figs 2G,H, 4A; Table 2). These experiments showed that the axons from all three hippocampal fields were similarly repelled when confronted with neocortical explants (21 out of 21). In contrast, neocortical axon outgrowth was radial when confronted with hippocampal explants (Fig. 4B; Table 2). Taken together, these findings indicate that both the entorhinal cortex and the neocortex release diffusible signals that repel embryonic hippocampal axons.

Expression of secreted semaphorins and neuropilins in the developing hippocampus

To identify the molecules that could mediate these repulsive effects, we investigated by in situ hybridization the developmental expression of known and potential chemorepellents, namely Netrin-1 and the secreted semaphorins (Sema A, Sema III, Sema E, Sema IV and Sema H). Netrin-1 mRNA was exclusively expressed in the fimbria of the hippocampus at E15-P0, with no expression in other areas of the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex or adjacent neocortex (not shown), in agreement with earlier studies (Keino-Masu et al., 1990).
through the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and the adjacent neocortex at E15-P0. The expression signal is low in the proliferating ventricular zone, and higher in the differentiating fields (the cortical and hippocampal plates) of these regions (Fig. 5A,B). At E15, *sema III* mRNA was widely expressed in the cortical plate and intermediate zone of the neocortex (in agreement with Catalano et al., 1998) and entorhinal area, whereas hybridization signals were virtually absent from the hippocampus (Fig. 5C). At P0 the expression pattern remained essentially the same, with high levels of expression in the neocortex and entorhinal area, and weak signals in both the hippocampus proper and dentate gyrus (Fig. 5D). At E15, *sema E* mRNA was exclusively expressed in the intermediate zone, both in the hippocampal region and neocortex (Fig. 5E). At P0, low expression was detected in the neocortex and entorhinal area, whereas hybridization signals were still very high in the hippocampus (data not shown). At E15-P0, *sema H* mRNA was expressed in the cortical plate of the neocortex, entorhinal cortex and in the hippocampus (Fig. 5F). Taken together, these expression studies show that the secreted semaphorins *sema III*, *sema IV*, *sema E* and *sema H* are expressed in the hippocampal region at the time of axonal outgrowth, suggesting that these factors could mediate the repulsive effects described above.

Next we investigated the developmental expression of the...
known semaphorin receptors Neuropilin-1 and Neuropilin-2. At E15-P0, neuropilin-1 mRNA was heavily expressed in the hippocampus and neocortex, and at lower levels in the entorhinal area (not shown, but see Kawakami et al., 1996). At E15 neuropilin-2 was heavily expressed in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, especially in the differentiating fields including the cortical and hippocampal plates (Fig. 5G). In contrast, low levels of expression could be detected in the intermediate zone of the adjacent neocortex. At P0 the expression pattern remained the same, though increased signals were detected in the hippocampus, particularly in the dentate gyrus (Fig. 5H). We conclude that the semaphorin receptors Neuropilin-1 and Neuropilin-2 are expressed in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex at the time that hippocampal connections are being formed.

Sema III and Sema IV repel hippocampal axons in vitro

To test directly whether secreted semaphorins can contribute to the chemorepulsive effect of the entorhinal cortex, we cultured CA1 and CA3 explants (from E15-E17 mouse embryos) with COS cells that had been transiently transfected with expression constructs for all five known mammalian secreted semaphorins (A, III/D, E, IV, H). We also cocultured those cells with explants from the entorhinal cortex and neocortex. Explants were cultured for 48–72 hours, fixed and stained with an anti-class III \( \beta \)-tubulin antibody that labels the entire population of axons growing from the explant (Moody et al., 1989). The expression of the diverse epitope-tagged semaphorins was verified by western blotting (data not shown).

Axons from CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus explants grow symmetrically when cultured with control COS cells, mock-transfected or transfected with an alkaline-phosphatase expression construct (see Fig. 6C,F; Table 3 and not shown). Furthermore we could not detect any effect of COS-cells secreting Sema A, Sema E or Sema H (data not shown). In contrast, axons from CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus explants were strongly repelled when confronted with COS cells expressing Sema III-myc (Fig. 6A,D; Table 3; see also Fig. 8A). Axons grew almost exclusively from the distal quadrant opposite the COS-cell aggregate. An equivalent strong repulsion of CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus axons was observed when using COS cells transfected with Sema IV-AP (Figs 6B,E, 8B; Table 3 and not shown). Axons that exited the lateral quadrant of the explants, or explants that were placed at a long distance from COS-cell aggregates producing Sema III or Sema IV, clearly turned away from the aggregates (see for example Fig. 6B), demonstrating that when present as a gradient Sema III and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture condition</th>
<th>Number of explants</th>
<th>Axonal outgrowth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA1+Sema III</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3+Sema III</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG+Sema III</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC+Sema III</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1+Sema IV</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3+Sema IV</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG+Sema IV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC+Sema IV</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1+Control</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3+Control</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG+Control</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC+Control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations were labelled using anti-\( \beta \)-tubulin antibodies. Abbreviations and conventions as in Table 1.
Sema III do not inhibit, but rather orientate, the growth of hippocampal axons. In addition, we found that Sema III also exercises a repulsive action on entorhinal axons, whereas Sema IV had no apparent effect on these axons (Figs 6G-I, 8A; Table 3). Axons of neocortex and cerebellar explants from E16 mouse embryos (two other regions where several secreted semaphorins and neuropilins are expressed; not shown) grew symmetrically when confronted with Sema III and Sema IV-expressing COS cell aggregates, or with cells secreting other semaphorins (not shown). These results show that CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus axons can be repelled by two distinct secreting semaphorins, Sema III and Sema IV, whereas entorhinal axons are exclusively repelled by Sema III.

**Neuropilin-1 antibodies block Sema III and entorhinal cortex-induced chemorepulsion of hippocampal axons**

It has been shown recently that members of the neuropilin family are high-affinity receptors for secreted semaphorins: Sema IV binds to Neuropilin-1 and Neuropilin-2 with high affinity, whereas Sema III only binds to Neuropilin-1 with high affinity (Chen et al., 1997; He and Tessier-Lavigne, 1997; Kolodkin et al., 1997). An antibody (purified IgGs) directed against the MAM and b1-b2 domains of Neuropilin-1 has been shown to abolish completely Sema III-induced repulsion and collapse of DRG axons at a concentration of 10 μg/ml (He and Tessier-Lavigne, 1997).

We first tried to determine whether we could block Sema III-induced repulsion of hippocampal and entorhinal axons by using the anti-Neuropilin-1 antibody. In the presence of 10 μg/ml of anti-Neuropilin-1 we found that Sema III-induced repulsion was significantly reduced (Figs 7A,B, 8A). Axonal growth was restored in the lateral and proximal quadrants to levels almost identical to that of the distal quadrant.

We next examined whether Neuropilin-1 was also involved in Sema IV-induced repulsion of hippocampal axons. No significant differences were observed between CA1 and CA3 explants cultured next to COS cells expressing Sema IV in the presence or absence of anti-Neuropilin-1 antibodies (Figs 7C, 8B). Thus, Sema IV induced-repulsion does not involve Neuropilin-1.

Finally, we cocultured CA1 and entorhinal explants with 10 μg/ml of anti-Neuropilin-1 antibodies and found that explants grew almost symmetrically (Figs 7D, 8C), suggesting that Neuropilin-1 is involved in mediating to a large extent the entorhinal cortex-induced repulsion of hippocampal axons.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we have shown that, at the time of formation of hippocampal connections in vivo, there is a strong chemorepulsion between tissue explants from distinct hippocampal subfields. Concomitantly, several secreted semaphorins, including Sema III and Sema IV, and their receptors neuropilin, are expressed in the developing hippocampal formation. More importantly, the repulsive effects on hippocampal axons are mimicked by Sema III and Sema IV, but not by other secreted semaphorins. Finally, the repulsive action of Sema III, but not Sema IV, is mediated by Neuropilin-1 receptors. To our knowledge the present findings are the first demonstration of the involvement of Sema III and its receptor, Neuropilin-1, in the patterning of neuronal

![Fig. 6. Repulsion of hippocampal and entorhinal axons by Sema III and/or Sema IV. E16-E17 CA1 (A-C), CA3 (D-F) and entorhinal (G-I) explants were cocultured for 48-72 hours at a distance from aggregates of COS cells transfected with H-sema III (A,D,G), H-sema IV (B,E,H) or alkaline-phosphatase (C,F,I). All explants were fixed and stained with anti-β-tubulin antibodies. CA1 (A), CA3 (D) and entorhinal (G) axons are strongly repelled by Sema III. Sema IV also exerts a robust repulsive action on CA1 (B) and CA3 (E) axons, but has no effect on entorhinal axons (H). Axons from CA1 (C), CA3 (F) and entorhinal cortex (I) grow symmetrically when confronted with COS cells secreting only alkaline phosphatase. Ent. Cx. entorhinal cortex; Alk. Phos., alkaline phosphatase. Bars, 280 μm.
connections in the forebrain. In addition, our data also show for the first time that the secreted semaphorin, Sema IV, exerts a potent repulsive response on developing axons, and that this response is likely to be mediated by Neuropilin-2.

Involvement of chemorepulsion in the patterning of hippocampal connections

In mouse embryos the earliest entorhinal axons leave the cortex by E14, being directed towards the hippocampus; by E15 entorhinal axons reach the hippocampal intermediate zone, and 1 day later they invade their target layer, the outer marginal zone (prospective stratum lacunosum-moleculare). Concomitantly, the first axons from the pyramidal neurons in the CA3 and CA1 hippocampal fields grow rostrally through the fimbria to form the commissural and hippocampo-septal pathways. In addition, by E16-E17, axons from the CA3 pyramidal neurons innervate the ipsilateral CA1 region (associational afferents; Figs 1A, 9; Supèr and Soriano, 1994; Supèr et al., 1998). Such a stereotyped pattern of developing connections suggest the involvement of very specific guiding cues.

We have shown here that, at these embryonic stages, the entorhinal cortex releases long-range diffusible factors that repel axons arising from several regions of the hippocampus,
Semaphorins III and IV repel hippocampal axons

Hippocampal axons are also dramatically repelled by the embryonic neocortex, indicating that both the neocortex and entorhinal cortex release diffusible signals that prevent the ingrowth of hippocampal axons into these cortical fields. Moreover, we found that entorhinal axons are repelled by embryonic neocortical tissue, whereas neocortical axonal outgrowth is unaffected by nearby hippocampal explants. The entorhinal cortex receives convergent inputs from several neocortical areas while the entorhinal cortex projects only very sparsely to some cortical areas (Amaral and Witter, 1995). Again, the present in vitro findings are consistent with the pattern of neocortical/entorhinal connections in the developing and adult brain, and with the preferential growth of entorhinal axons towards the hippocampus. However, although the main output projection from the entorhinal area is the entorhinohippocampal pathway (perforant pathway), this area sends sparse projections to different subcortical nuclei (Amaral and Witter, 1995; Supér et al., 1994), suggesting that the relatively weak repulsive response of entorhinal axons when confronted with neocortical explants (Fig. 4A), may be related to the different responsiveness of distinct entorhinal projection neurons.

In this study we did not find evidence for repulsive responses in hippocampus/hippocampus cocultures, suggesting that long-range repellent cues are unlikely to play a role in the patterning of ipsilateral hippocampal connections, such as the Schaffer collaterals or the mossy fibers. The development of these intrahippocampal connections, as well as their layer-specific targeting, could be determined by cues other than secreted semaphorins (but see below), which might include ephrins, CAMS, transmembrane semaphorins, and extracellular matrix molecules that are expressed in the developing hippocampal system (e.g. Gao et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 1996; Serafini et al., 1996; Del Rio et al., 1997).

Role of Sema III and Sema IV in the development of hippocampal connections

In insects, it is clear that semaphorins play important roles in the development of the nervous system. They function in growth cone guidance, preventing fasciculation and inhibiting axon branching, but also in synaptic terminal arbor formation (Kolodkin et al., 1993; Matthes et al., 1995; Yu et al., 1998). Interestingly, G-Sema I also apparently functions as an attractive-permissive guidance cue for growth cones of the subgenual organ in the limb bud (Wong et al., 1997). In mammals, the function of transmembrane semaphorins in brain development is still unknown, but secreted semaphorins also act as repulsive molecules. Sema III/Collapsin-1 repels motor (Varela-Echavarria et al., 1997) and sensory axons (Luo et al., 1995; Messersmith et al., 1995; Püschel et al., 1995; Kobayasi et al., 1997) in vitro, and Sema A and Sema E/Collapsin-3 can repel and cause the collapse of sympathetic axons (Adams et al., 1996; Koppel et al., 1997). In addition, Sema Z1a, a zebrafish semaphorin, also collapses sensory axons (Shoji et al., 1998). The present findings demonstrate for the first time that Sema III has an action on forebrain neurons, indicating a more widespread function for Sema III in axon guidance than has been shown previously. Sema IV was first identified in humans and localized to the region 3p21.3 of chromosome 3,
where several lung cancer cell lines exhibit homozygous deletions indicative of a tumor suppressor gene (Roche et al., 1996). Nevertheless, the function of Sema IV remained unknown. We show here that Sema IV has a strong repulsive action on hippocampal axons. Since Sema III and Sema IV are highly expressed in the embryonic hippocampal formation when the main axonal trajectories are established, and the repulsive responses of hippocampal and entorhinal axons are mimicked by them, we propose that both semaphorins are involved in the formation of hippocampal connections.

The pattern of sema III mRNA distribution, with high expression levels in the neocortex and entorhinal area and barely detectable hybridization signals in the hippocampus itself, is consistent with the notion that Sema III may be the cortical-derived, endogenous repulsive factor causing repulsion of developing hippocampal axons (Fig. 9). The contribution of Sema IV to the patterning of hippocampal connections may be more debatable, since the high expression levels of sema IV in the hippocampus seems to be inconsistent with the in vitro analyses, in which we never observed repulsive responses when hippocampus/hippocampus explants were cocultured. One major limitation of these interpretations resides in the absence of data on the localization of semaphorin proteins, their concentration level or release sites. However, in mammals, secreted semaphorins have a short stretch of basic amino acids at their carboxy terminus. This highly charged domain is likely to limit the diffusion of semaphorins, which could act as short-range or local guiding cues (Culotti and Kolodkin, 1996). If this is the case, the high expression of Sema IV in the hippocampal plate may direct and confine pyramidal cell axons to neighbouring layers, such as the intermediate zone and stratum radiatum (Fig. 9).

Recently two groups have obtained knock-out mice in which the sema III gene has been inactivated by homologous recombination (Behar et al., 1996; Taniguchi et al., 1997). The phenotypic analysis of those mice has shown that the CNS looks remarkably normal and that neurons that are strongly repelled in vitro by Sema III project normally in the mutant CNS (Behar et al., 1996; Taniguchi et al., 1997; Catalano et al., 1998). Moreover, neuropilin-1 mutant mice also display no abnormalities in the CNS projections of sensory axons, similar to the lack of phenotype for these projections in the sema III mutant mice. However, when neurons from neuropilin-1 mutant mice are tested in in vitro explants, they are no longer repelled by Sema III (Kitsukawa et al., 1997). This lack of a phenotype in the CNS of the sema III and neuropilin-1 mutant mice has been tentatively explained by both the existence of other semaphorins in the developing CNS, and potentially other neuropilin/semaphorin receptors on CNS axons (or other guidance cues). Our results clearly show that two distinct semaphorins can have a similar repulsive effect on the same axons at the same time, and that two distinct receptors mediate these effects (see below). This could explain the absence of strong brain defects in sema III and neuropilin-1 knock-outs.

Involvement of Neuropilin-1 in axonal repulsion in the hippocampus

The expression pattern of the semaphorin receptors Neuropilin-1 and Neuropilin-2, with high expression in the entorhinal cortex and in the hippocampus itself, suggests that the repulsive responses described in this study are mediated by these receptors (Fig. 9). Furthermore, the finding that anti-Neuropilin-1 antibodies block the repulsive responses of hippocampal axons versus the entorhinal cortex, indicates that this receptor is directly involved in the signaling of endogenous repulsive factors. The contribution of Neuropilin-2 receptors, however, remains more elusive. Binding studies have shown that Sema III only binds with high affinity to Neuropilin-1, whereas Sema IV binds to both Neuropilin-1 and Neuropilin-2 (Chen et al., 1997). Nevertheless, Sema IV appears to bind with higher affinity to Neuropilin-2 than Neuropilin-1 (the KD is about 10-fold smaller), which suggested that the Sema IV signal is mediated principally by Neuropilin-2 (Chen et al., 1997). The absence of blocking effect of anti-Neuropilin-1 antibodies on Sema IV induced-repulsion further supports the idea that Neuropilin-2 might mediate the action of this semaphorin. But one cannot exclude the possibility that another semaphorin receptor is involved. In addition, we found that Neuropilin-1 antibodies block Sema III-induced repulsion of hippocampal axons therefore confirming, for the first time in a system different from the sensory axons, an essential role of Neuropilin-1 in mediating Sema III action. Unfortunately, neuropilin-1 knock-out mice die around E12.5, which is too early to study hippocampal projections (Kitsukawa et al., 1997).

Finally, our results confirm that semaphorin binding is not sufficient to trigger a response in growth cones expressing semaphorins, as previously shown in the DRG (Koppel et al., 1997). Thus, the secreted semaphorins E and H, which are expressed in the hippocampus and bind neuropilins, do not have detectable guiding effects on embryonic hippocampal axons. Nevertheless, since the development of neural connections in the hippocampus proper and dentate gyrus continues postnatally, secreted semaphorins could potentially play a role at later developmental stages, for instance in axonal arbor formation and synaptogenesis.

REFERENCES


Semaphorins III and IV repel hippocampal axons


