Correlation of diversity of leg morphology in *Gryllus bimaculatus* (cricket) with divergence in *dpp* expression pattern during leg development
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SUMMARY

Insects can be grouped into mainly two categories, holometabolous and hemimetabolous, according to the extent of their morphological change during metamorphosis. The three thoracic legs, for example, are known to develop through two overtly different pathways: holometabolous insects make legs through their imaginal discs, while hemimetabolous legs develop from their leg buds. Thus, how the molecular mechanisms of leg development differ from each other is an intriguing question. In the holometabolous long-germ insect, these mechanisms have been extensively studied using *Drosophila melanogaster*. However, little is known about the mechanism in the hemimetabolous insect. Thus, we studied leg development of the hemimetabolous short-germ insect, *Gryllus bimaculatus* (cricket), focusing on expression patterns of the three key signaling molecules, *hedgehog* (*hh*), *wingless* (*wg*) and *decapentaplegic* (*dpp*), which are essential during leg development in *Drosophila*. In *Gryllus* embryos, expression of *hh* is restricted in the posterior half of each leg bud, while *dpp* and *wg* are expressed in the dorsal and ventral sides of its anteroposterior (*A/P*) boundary, respectively. Their expression patterns are essentially comparable with those of the three genes in *Drosophila* leg imaginal discs, suggesting the existence of the common mechanism for leg pattern formation. However, we found that expression pattern of *dpp* was significantly divergent among *Gryllus*, *Schistocerca* (grasshopper) and *Drosophila* embryos, while expression patterns of *hh* and *wg* are conserved. Furthermore, the divergence was found between the pro/mesothoracic and metathoracic *Gryllus* leg buds. These observations imply that the divergence in the *dpp* expression pattern may correlate with diversity of leg morphology.
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INTRODUCTION

More than a million insect species have been identified so far, displaying a staggering variety of adult morphologies. One of fundamental questions in biology is how such various morphologies evolved on the earth. One of the ways in which to answer this question is to determine the molecular mechanisms of development in various insects (Akam and Dawes, 1992; Patel, 1993, 1994; Akam, 1994, 1998). The insects can be grouped mainly in two categories, holometabolous and hemimetabolous, according to the extent of their morphological change during metamorphosis. In holometabolous insects, the larvae are usually quite unlike the adult, and the pupal stage is present between the last larval stage and the adult. However, in hemimetabolous insects, the larva hatches in a form that generally resembles the adult, except for its small size and lack of wings and genitalia. How these molecular mechanisms of development differ from each other is thus an intriguing question. Although the mechanism in *Drosophila melanogaster*, which belongs to holometabolous, has been extensively studied, little is known about the mechanism in the hemimetabolous insect.

In order to study development of the hemimetabolous short-germ insect, we have employed the two-spotted cricket, *Gryllus bimaculatus*, instead of the grasshopper, because we can easily rear an inbred line of the cricket and use their eggs at any time (Niwa et al., 1997), and because the cricket is widely used to study insect physiology and neurobiology (Engel and Hoy, 1999; Paydar et al., 1999; Wenzel and Hedwig, 1999, other references are cited therein). There are two major differences in the developmental process between the fly (Fig. 1A-C) and cricket (Fig. 1D,E):

1) Long and short germ – the development of *Drosophila* is typical of the long-germ mode of insect development, in which the pattern of segments is established by the end of the blastoderm stage. Short-germ insects, by contrast, generate all
or most of their metameric pattern after the blastoderm stage by the sequential addition of segments during caudal elongation (Fig. 1D).

(2) Imaginal disc and bud formation – the fly becomes adult through the pupal stage, in which new adult organs develop from the imaginal discs (e.g. Fig. 1B,C), while the cricket becomes adult without any profound discontinuity. The rudiments of the legs, eyes and other most of adult structures are formed by budding formation and already present at hatching (e.g. Fig. 1D,E).

As a first step to answer the question, we focused on expression patterns of the three genes, hedgehog (hh), wingless (wg) and decapentaplegic (dpp), because these genes code key signaling molecules during development that are involved in pattern formation of various tissues including segments, legs, guts, eyes, etc. in Drosophila (Lawrence and Struhl, 1996) as well as in vertebrates (Hogan, 1999). In this study, we cloned cDNAs for the Gryllus hh, wg and dpp genes, and then observed their expression patterns during development. The three genes are expressed in segments, leg buds, the fore- and hindgut, and eyes of the Gryllus embryo. Here, we report results related to leg development as a typical case.

We found that expression patterns of Gryllus hh and wg in the leg bud were exactly the same in the three thoracic legs and principally resembled those observed in the leg imaginal discs of Drosophila. These results suggest the existence of a common mechanism for leg pattern formation. However, it was found that expression pattern of Gryllus dpp in the metathoracic leg bud became distinct in later stages from that in the pro- or mesothoracic leg buds, which become morphologically different legs from the metathoracic leg. Furthermore, we found that Gryllus dpp was expressed in the leg bud in a different way from Drosophila dpp in the leg imaginal disc. Recently, Jockusch et al. (2000) reported that in the grasshopper Schistocerca americana, the expression pattern of dpp differs radically from that of Drosophila dpp. Interestingly, we found that Schistocerca dpp is also distinct from the Gryllus pattern. These observations imply that divergence in the expression pattern of dpp may be related to diversity of the leg morphology, rather than difference in the developmental mode.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

All nymphs and adults of Gryllus bimaculatus (Gb, two-spotted cricket) were reared as described previously (Niwa et al., 1997). Staging of the embryos was carried out according to the Niwa et al., 1993 (see the number in Fig. 1D,E).

**Cloning of Gbhh, Gbwg and Gbdpp**

A cDNA fragment was cloned by PCR with degenerate primers designed as follows:

- 5’-MGNTGYAARGARGARNTNA-3’ (outside 5’ primer), 5’-ACCCARTCRTGGCCNNGG-3’ (outside 3’ primer), 5’-GT-NATGAAAYCARTGGCCNNGG-3’ (inside 5’ primer) and 5’-TCRAANCCNGCYYCNCGC-3’ (inside 3’ primer) for Gbhh;
- 5’-GRTGYAARTGYGAYGGNNATG-3’ (5’ primer) and 5’-ANG-TRCATKRCANCYTYTC-3’ (3’ primer) for Gbwg; and
- 5’-GGAATTTCCGAYTTGAGHTNGGNC-3’ (5’ primer) and 5’-CCATCAGTGGACRCARCGANCYTNGGNNAC-3’ (3’ primer) for Gbdpp.

To isolate longer cDNAs, a cDNA library constructed with mRNA isolated from three-day-old Gb embryos was screened using the corresponding cDNA labeled with [32P]-dCTP. The DNA sequences are available from GenBank/DDBJ under the Accession number AB004709 for Gbhh, AB004713 for Gbwg, and AB004710 for Gbdpp.

**Whole-mount in situ hybridization and immunostaining**

Standard protocols for whole-mount in situ hybridization using a digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense RNA probe (Wilkinson, 1992) or double probes (one DIG-labeled and one fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled) (Dietrich et al., 1997) for chick embryos and immunohistochemistry for grasshopper embryos (Patel et al., 1989) were used for cricket embryos. A monoclonal antibody against the engrailed protein, Mab 4D9, was purchased from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA). A polyclonal antibody against the Distal-less protein which is a marker protein for the distal identity of the leg bud (Cohen et al., 1989) was kindly provided by Dr Sean Carroll. Stained embryos were sectioned as described previously (Sasaki and Hogan, 1993).

**RESULTS**

**Cloning of Gryllus cDNAs for hedgehog, wingless and decapentaplegic**

We cloned Gryllus cDNA homologs of hedgehog (Gbhh), wingless (Gbwg) and decapentaplegic (Gbdpp) by screening a cDNA library constructed with mRNAs isolated from three-day-old Gryllus embryos (see Methods). Homologies of the deduced amino acid sequences for HH and WG between Gryllus and Drosophila melanogaster (Dm) are 68% (N-terminal half, Tashiro et al., 1993) and 69% (Rijsewijk et al., 1987), respectively. A partial deduced amino acid sequence of GbDPP exhibits higher homology to the corresponding fragment of DmDPP (54%, Padgett et al., 1987), Schistocerca americana DPP (64%, Newfeld et al., 1995) and Tribolium castaneum (Tc) DPP (48%, Sanchez-Salazar et al., 1996) than to that of Dm60A (30%, Wharton et al., 1991; Doctor et al., 1992) and DmSCW (27%, Arora et al., 1994), which belong to the TGFβ family. We also cloned a cDNA fragment encoding a partial amino acid sequence of Gb60A that may be the Drosophila ortholog of 60A, because of a high homology of 79% (Wharton et al., 1991; Doctor et al., 1992). Judging from the high homology of the amino acid sequences of the three proteins between Gryllus and Drosophila, and from their expression patterns in embryos (see below), we concluded that Gbhh, Gbwg and Gbdpp were the orthologs of Dmhh, Dmwg and Dmdpp, respectively.

**Expression patterns during germ-band elongation (stages 4-5) and segmentation (7-10)**

We performed whole-mount in situ hybridization with Gryllus embryos to observe expression patterns of the three genes. In stages 4-5, during which the embryonic rudiment lengths as posterior segments are added (Fig. 1D), Gbhh and Gbwg were expressed as stripes in either side of each segment boundary, as observed in Drosophila, and in the most posterior regions (Fig. 2), as revealed by double stain (Fig. 2D). With increase in the number of the segmental stripes posteriorly from 6 (Fig. 2A,B) to 7 (Fig. 2C,D), Gbdpp was expressed along the lateral edges of the embryo parallel to the A/P axis (Fig. 3A, see also
Fig. 1. Two different processes of insect leg development. (A-C) Drosophila. (D,E) Gryllus (A) In a holometabolous insect like a fly (Drosophila) the adult leg develops from the leg imaginal disc. At stage 12 of embryogenesis, hh (green) and wg (red) are expressed as stripes in the posterior and the anteroposterior (A/P) compartment boundary, respectively, while dpp (blue) is expressed in two longitudinal stripes in the lateral trunk and dorsalmost region. The primordia of the thoracic imaginal discs of the Drosophila embryo are allocated in response to signals from the putative dorsal ectoderm (Fig. 4K). This expression domain coincides with the EN-expressing region (Niwa et al., 1997). Distal-less (Dll) (light green) is expressed in the central domain where EN is detected (Niwa et al., 1997). (B) The relationship between the third instar disc and the adult leg (C) is shown schematically. Distal-less (Dll) (light green) is expressed in the central domain where wg and dpp meet. (D) Illustrations showing Gryllus embryogenesis (ventral view). Numbers indicate developmental stages. In a hemimetabolous insect like a cricket, the adult leg develops from the limb bud formed in the embryo, as schematically illustrated. Gryllus is a short-germ insect: the protocephalon (Pcp) and protocorm (Pco) of presumptive anterior segments comprise most of the embryonic rudiment which lengthens as posterior segments are added during development. (E) Metathoracic leg formation. An, antenna; Cer, cercus; Cl, claw; Cx, coxa; Ey, eye; Fm, femur; G, gnathal segments; Lb, labrum; Lm, labium; Mn, mandible; Mx, maxilla; P, pleuropodium; Pl, prothoracic leg; S, tibial spur; St, stomodium; Ta, tarsus; Ti, tibia; Tr, trochanter.

in Fig. 7A). Their expression patterns observed in earlier stages than 4 will be described elsewhere.

At stage 7, in which the embryos are fully segmented, Gbhh was expressed in the posterior region of each body segment (Fig. 4A,B). This expression domain coincides with the region where the engrailed protein (EN) is detected (Niwa et al., 1997). Gbwg was expressed in the ventral side of the anteroposterior (A/P) boundary of the body segments (Fig. 4E,F). Expression of Gbdpp remained at the dorsalmost region of each abdominal segment at this stage (Fig. 3B). At stage 8, Gbdpp was observed in the dorsal side of the distal tips of the thoracic appendages (Fig. 3C). At stage 9, Gbhh was expressed continuously in the posterior compartment of each segment (Fig. 4C). Gbwd was expressed in the ventral side of the A/P boundary in the thoracic segments and elongating appendages (Fig. 4G). At this stage, the Gbwg expression domains became spots in each abdominal segment (Fig. 4G). Expression of Gbdpp was still detected in the cells of the putative dorsal ectoderm (Fig. 4K).

Expression patterns during leg-bud formation (stages 6-10)

The limb buds are formed in the three thoracic segments at stage 6 (Fig. 1D). These grow longer at stages 7-8 (3-4 day) (Fig. 1E) and become folded and grooved. The limb bud will become segmented by stages 9-10 (5-6 day) (Fig. 1E). In stages 7-10, Gbhh was expressed in the posterior region along the entire proximodistal (P/D) axis (Fig. 5A-D). This expression pattern coincided with the EN-expressing region (Niwa et al., 1997). Gbhh was expressed in posterior two third of the leg circumference, as shown in a transverse section of the leg bud (Fig. 5D). However, Gbwg was expressed as a stripe on the ventral side of the A/P boundary along the entire P/D axis of the leg bud, as shown in Fig. 5A (double stain with Gbhh) and in Fig. 5E-H, which is confirmed with transverse sections of the leg bud (Fig. 5H).

Expression of Gbdpp in the leg bud was first detected at stage 6.5 as a stripe, extending along the dorsal side of the limb bud from the longitudinal expression of the embryo to the distal tip of the limb bud continuously (data not shown). By stage 7, a faint Gbdpp stripe was observed along the dorsal side of the limb bud (Fig. 3B), which is then restricted to the dorsal side of the most distal tip of the limb bud (Fig. 3C). In stage 7, Gryllus Distal-less protein (GbDLL) was detected with the specific antibody in the distal tip of the limb bud (Fig. 5M). At stage 9, Gbdpp was expressed as four spots
along the P/D axis in the dorsal side of the A/P boundary, although the most distal expression is not detected (Fig. 5J). At stage 10, the four spots of Gbdpp expression transformed into a nearly circumferential ring near the distal limb tips (data not shown). This ring is initially incomplete ventrally, but eventually closes and clearly extends through both anterior and posterior compartments of the leg. Other domains of dpp expression in more proximal segments still remained in patches at this stage.

Expression patterns during leg-bud elongation after katatrepsis (stages 11-12)

In stage 11 (6-7 day) (Fig. 1D), in which the embryo moves around the posterior pole from dorsal to ventral side of the egg (katatrepsis), although signals of Gbhh in the posterior compartment of the abdominal segments became narrow and weak, those in the lateral-dorsal regions of the thoracic segments became intense in addition to the signals in fore- and hind-guts (Fig. 4D). Expression of Gbwg in each segment is localized in the regions where trachea cells differentiate (Fig. 4H). The expression of Gbdpp also became intense as spots in the lateral regions of the thoracic leg buds and in the dorsal side of the anterior-posterior boundary in each abdominal segment. T2, the mesothoracic segment. Scale bars, 100 µm.

The major leg segments are established at stages 11-12 (6-8 day) (Fig. 1E). In the mesothoracic limb bud, expression patterns of Gbdpp became five circumferential rings and two ventral spots (Fig. 5K), as schematically illustrated in Fig. 5N. The five dpp expression rings were located roughly in the middle of the primary leg segments of the tarsus, tibia and femur (Fig. 5K). In addition, three expression rings appeared in the presumptive three tarsus segments, prior to morphological change (Fig. 5K).

**Fig. 3.** Expression patterns of Gbdpp during Gryllus leg bud formation at stages 5, 7 and 8. (A) At stage 5, Gbdpp stripes are present along the lateral edge of the embryo, indicated by red arrowheads. A ventral view of the three thoracic segments and elongating abdominal segments is shown, where the anterior side is to the top. (B) A dorsal view of an embryo at stage 7, expression of Gbdpp is detected in the dorsal side of the distal tip of leg buds strongly and along the lateral edge of the embryo, indicated by red arrowheads. A weak stripe (arrow), continuing from the distal tip to the dorsal expression, is observed on the dorsal side of the leg bud. Region-specific expansions along the lateral edge of the embryo are observed on the abdominal segments (black arrowheads). (C) A dorsal view of an embryo at stage 8, the leg bud has a medial constriction and is divided into two presumptive segments, corresponding to the coxopodite and telopodite. Expression of Gbdpp is detected in the dorsal side of the distal tips of the elongating thoracic leg buds and in the dorsal side of the anterior-posterior boundary in each abdominal segment. T2, the mesothoracic segment. Scale bars, 100 µm.

**Fig. 4.** Expression patterns of Gbhh, Gbwg and Gbdpp in Gryllus embryos at stages 7, 9 and 11. Segment-specific Gbhh stripes appear in the ventral posterior region of each segment at stages 7 (A,B), 9 (C) and 11(D). Segment-specific Gbwg stripes appear in the ventral anterior-posterior boundary of each segment at stage 7 (E,F). The Gbwg stripes changes to spots in the ventral side of the body and in the ventral side of the A/P boundary of the appendages at stage 9 (G). Expression of Gbwg was observed in the lateral side (H). Gbdpp was detected at the distal tips of the developing appendages and at the lateral edges of each abdominal segment, which may correspond to the dorsal most cells of the segment at stage 7 (IJ). Then, Gbdpp is expressed as spots in the dorsal side of the A/P boundary of the appendage (K). Gbdpp is expressed as spots in the lateral side (L). DLL protein was localized in the distal parts of the appendage (M). Schematic illustration of expression patterns in each abdominal segment (N). Scale bars, 200 µm in A,C,D,E,G,H,I,K-M; 30 µm in B,F,J.
In later stages than stage 11, dpp expression patterns in the prothoracic /mesothoracic (T1/T2 leg buds) became different from those in the metathoracic leg bud (T3 leg bud) (Fig. 6). In the femur and tibia of the T1/T2 leg buds, dorsal and ventral stripes of Gbdpp expression became intense, while the expression remained circumferential in more distal segments. In transverse sections of the T2 tibia, expression of Gbdpp is also found in both dorsal and ventral sides intensely (Fig. 5L). However, in the T3 leg bud, circumferential expressions of Gbdpp remained intense in the middle of each segment (Fig. 6). Thus, these results suggest that expression patterns of dpp are diverse between the cricket and fly.

**dpp expression in Drosophila imaginal discs**

Since expression patterns of Gbdpp were considerably different from those of Dmdpp in the larval leg imaginal disc, we observed expression patterns of Dmdpp in the imaginal disc of the pupa by means of whole-mount in situ hybridization. The expression patterns were similar to the patterns observed when stained with an enhancer trapped line of dpp-lacZ BS3.0 (Fig. 5P-R) (Blackman et al, 1991). These results indicate that Dmdpp is expressed in a strip running through the dorsal side of the A/P boundary intensely and ventral side weakly in the disc in both larva (Fig. 5P,Q) and pupa (Fig. 5R). However, no circumferential ring of dpp expression was detected in these stages. Thus, these results suggest that expression patterns of dpp are diverse between the cricket and fly.

**Fig. 6.** Expression patterns of Gbdpp in Gryllus thoracic leg buds at stage 11. The dorsal side is towards the top. In the prothoracic (T1) and mesothoracic (T2) leg buds, Gbdpp is expressed as five and seven spots in dorsal and ventral sides, respectively. Location of the spots may correspond to each leg segment of the tarsus (Ta), tibia (Ti), femur (Fm), trochanter (Tr) and coxa (Cx). In the metathoracic (T3) leg bud, Gbdpp is expressed as five circumferential bands (three in presumptive Ta, one in each of Ti and Fm) and two ventral spots (one in each of Tr and Cx). Asterisks indicates a nonspecific staining. Scale bars, 100 µm.
Fig. 7. Schematic illustrations of dpp expression patterns in the cricket (Gryllus), grasshopper (Schistocerca) and fly (Drosophila) embryos. Expression patterns of dpp in the cricket (left), grasshopper (Jockusch et al., 2000) (middle), and fly (right) embryos are arranged from A to F in order of the developmental process. (A) and (B) embryos at leg allocation stages. The anterior side is upwards in the Gryllus and Schistocerca embryos. The lateral side is forwards in the fly embryo. Expression patterns of wg are shown in red for each embryo. The boxed region of each embryo is enlarged in the left side in A. Expression patterns of dpp in the ventral and dorsal sides of three thoracic segments are shown by blue and light blue, respectively. A shows embryos just before the limb allocation stage. The dorsal longitudinal stripe of dpp expression (blue) and ventral stripes of wg (red) are commonly observed in the Gryllus, Schistocerca and Drosophila embryos. By contrast, only in the Schistocerca embryo, two stripes of Sadpp expression are observed in each hemisegment, paralleling the D/V axis. In the Drosophila embryo at stage 11, Dil (green) is expressed in each leg primordium. B shows embryos just after the leg allocation stage. Dorsal stripes of dpp expression (light blue) are commonly present in both Gryllus and Schistocerca leg buds. However, in the Schistocerca embryos, the ventral Sadpp stripe (blue) is observed so as to overlap with the ventral stripes of the wg expression (red). In the case of the Drosophila embryo, spots of Dmdpp expression appear in the dorsal edges of the cluster of cells expressing Dil. The dorsal stripe on the disc appears in later stages. (C-F) Leg buds and leg imaginal disc (the dorsal side is towards the top). C and D show leg buds and an imaginal disc, prior to and immediately after the onset of first morphological segmentation. Gbdpp is expressed in the dorsal side of the leg bud as a stripe in cricket and as a spot in the Schistocerca leg bud. In the Drosophila imaginal disc, Dmdpp is expressed as a dorsal stripe in the second instar, then at high levels in the dorsal stripe and much lower levels in the ventral one in the third instar. E shows elongated limb buds of the Gryllus and Schistocerca embryos. Dorsal spots of dpp expression along the P/D axis change to nearly circumferential rings in both Gryllus and Schistocerca limb buds. The change in the dpp expression pattern appears to be correlated with the segmentation of the leg bud. In the Drosophila disc, although segmentation progresses during the third instar, but the Dmdpp expression pattern does not change to intersegmental rings. F shows metathoracic leg buds after establishment of the leg segmentation. In the metathoracic femur and tibia, the circumferential rings persist until stage 12, and become more intense and wider. However, the circumferential rings of Gbdpp expression split into dorsal and ventral stripes in the prothoracic and mesothoracic leg segments. Dmdpp expression patterns in the fly disc remained unchanged from the third instar to the pupa. Sadpp expression pattern has not been reported in the Schistocerca leg buds at the corresponding stage.

**DISCUSSION**

In order to examine whether the molecular mechanism of leg development is conserved in the insect, expression patterns of the three signaling molecules of hh, wg and dpp were compared as a first step. The expression profiles of the three genes are found to be essentially conserved in the hemimetabolous short-germ Orthopteran Gryllus and Schistocerca (Jockusch et al., 2000), holometabolous long-germ Dipteran Drosophila and Coleopteran Tribolium (Tcwg, Nagy and Carroll, 1994; Tcdpp, Sanchez-Salazar et al., 1996), suggesting the developmental mechanism is common in principle in the insect. However, we found that expression pattern of dpp during leg development was divergent, although expression patterns of hh and wg were essentially conserved. For comparison, the expression patterns of dpp in Gryllus, Drosophila and Schistocerca are schematically illustrated in Fig. 7, in which the processes of insect leg development are divided into two phases: Phase 1 – Allocation of the leg primordium; Phase 2 – leg patterning. In the following, the divergence of dpp expression patterns and its correlation with diversity of leg morphology are discussed for each phase.

**Leg allocation in Phase 1**

In the allocation phase of Drosophila 5h embryos, Dmwg and Dmhh are expressed in a stripe along the anteroposterior (A/P) compartment boundary (Fig. 7A) and in the posterior region of each segment, respectively (French and Daniels, 1994; Campbell and Tomlinson, 1995 as reviews). However, Dmdpp is expressed throughout the dorsal region (Fig. 7A) and then in the dorsal side of the wg stripe (Fig. 7B) (St Johnston and Gelbart, 1987). Later, the expression changes to give two thin stripes running anteroposteriorly along the length of the embryo (Fig. 1A) (St Johnston and Gelbart, 1987). Recently, Goto and Hayashi (1997) demonstrated that WG, but not DPP, was responsible for initial specification of the limb primordia with a distal identity and for induction of Dil. They proposed a model for the allocation of the limb primordium (the G-H
model; Goto and Hayashi, 1997) (Fig. 7A,B). A stripe of WG induces the limb primordium expressing Dll. Repression of Dll by DPP from the dorsal side and by Spits (Drosophila EGF) from the ventral side limits the limb formation only in the lateral position. Then, DPP specifies proximal cell identity in the primordium in a concentration-dependent manner.

In Gryllus and Schistocerca embryos, expression of wg was detected in a stripe along the A/P compartment boundary of the body segment (Fig. 7A). In Gryllus embryos, expression of dpp was first detected along the periphery of the germ band (Fig. 7A). Similar expression patterns were observed also in Tribolium (Sanchez-Salazar et al., 1996). Although the cricket and grasshopper belong to the same Orthoptera, the expression patterns of Sadpp (Jockusch et al., 2000) are more complicated than those of Gbdpp (Fig. 7). In Schistocerca embryos at early stages, Sadpp is expressed in two partial stripes in each hemisegment, intrasegmentally and intersegmentally, paralleling the D/V axis (Jockusch et al., 2000) (Fig. 7A). The intrasegmental stripes extend along both dorsal and ventral sides of the presumptive leg field (Fig. 7B). Early expression patterns of Gbdpp resemble those of Dmdpp or Tcdpp more closely than those of Sadpp (Fig. 7A,B). Thus, the wg expression pattern appears conserved in the allocation phase, while early expression patterns of dpp seem divergent even in the Orthoptera. Thus, more data are necessary to judge whether the G-H model is also applicable as a model for initiation of limb formation in other insects.

**Patterning along the proximodistal axis in Phase 2**

In the Phase 2, in the Drosophila leg imaginal disc, Dmhh is expressed in the posterior compartment of the disc, determining the A/P pattern, and induces Dmdpp and Dmwg expression in the dorsal and ventral side of the A/P boundary, respectively (Figs 1B and 7C) (Sanchez-Salazar et al., 1996; Basler and Struhl, 1994; Diaz-Benjumea et al., 1994). They act cooperatively in a concentration-dependent manner to organize DPP gradient in the limb bud necessary for circular patterns corresponding to the leg segments. Furthermore, intercalation of the missing segments occurs at the coxopodite/telopodite boundary, followed by the femur/tibia, trochanter/femur and tibia/tarsus boundaries, judged from the expression patterns of DLI (Niwa et al., 1997), Gryllus homothorax, dachshund and aristaleless (data not shown). These results are consistent with those observed in the Schistocerca leg buds, using an Annullin antibody (Singer et al., 1992) for detection of the limb segment boundary. The intercalation model may be supported also by the fact that regeneration of amputated cricket legs occurs through intercalation of the missing segments (data not shown) as observed in the cockroach leg (French et al., 1976).

**Patterning along the dorsoventral axis in Phase 2**

For establishment of the dorsoventral pattern of the Drosophila leg disc, it has been suggested that the two signals of WG and DPP act antagonistically to repress each other’s expression and to specify dorsal and ventral expression patterns (Jiang and Struhl, 1996; Penton and Hoffmann, 1996; Brook and Cohen, 1996). However, in later stages, in early third instar to early pupal leg discs of Drosophila, Dmdpp is expressed in both dorsal and ventral sides (Figs 5P-Q, and 7E,F), as reported by Masucci et al. (1990) and Jockusch et al. (2000). This faint ventral expression in the Dmwg expression domain may
We speculated that the similar difference in expression patterns of legs, no difference is reported in expression patterns of Sadpp in the corresponding stages were not reported so far, we correlate with leg morphology, implying that temporal and among the three leg imaginal discs. This is consistent with our speculation. However, since expression patterns of Sadpp in the corresponding stages were not reported so far, we cannot verify our speculation. In *Drosophila*, in which there is little difference in morphology among the *Drosophila* thoracic legs, no difference is reported in expression patterns of *Dmdpp* among the three leg imaginal discs. This is consistent with our speculation. Thus, the expression patterns of *dpp* are likely to correlate with leg morphology, implying that temporal and spatial regulations of the *dpp* gene expression are closely correlated with morphological diversity.

In conclusion, although leg developmental pathways are different among insect species, the principal mechanism of leg development is likely to be conserved, judged from expression patterns of *hh*, *wg* and *dpp*. Divergence in *dpp* expression patterns during leg development is likely to correlate with morphological diversity of the leg.
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