Role of FGFs in the control of programmed cell death during limb development

Juan Antonio Montero1, Yolanda Gañan1, Domingo Macías1, Joaquín Rodríguez-León2, Juan Jose Sanz-Ezquerra3, Ramón Merino4, Jesús Chimal-Monroy5, M. Angela Nieto6 and Juan M. Hurle5,*

1Departamento de Ciencias Morfológicas y Biología Celular y Animal, Universidad de Extremadura, Badajoz 06071, Spain
2Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Rue da Quinta Grande, 6 Oeiras, Portugal
3Department of Anatomy and Physiology, The Wellcome Trust Biocentre, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, UK
4Unidad de Investigación, Hospital Marques de Valdecilla, Santander 39008, Spain
5Departamento de Anatomía y Biología Celular, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Cantabria, Santander 39011, Spain
6Instituto Cajal, CSIC, Dr Arce 37, Madrid 28002, Spain
*Author for correspondence (e-mail: hurlej@unican.es)

Accepted 29 March 2001

SUMMARY

We have investigated the role of FGFs in the control of programmed cell death during limb development by analyzing the effects of increasing and blocking FGF signaling in the avian limb bud. BMPs are currently considered as the signals responsible for cell death. Here we show that FGF signaling is also necessary for apoptosis and that the establishment of the areas of cell death is regulated by the convergence of FGF- and BMP-mediated signaling pathways. As previously demonstrated, cell death is inhibited for short intervals (12 hours) after administration of FGFs. However, this initial inhibition is followed (24 hours) by a dramatic increase in cell death, which can be abolished by treatments with a BMP antagonist (Noggin or Gremlin). Conversely, blockage of FGF signaling by applying a specific FGF-inhibitor (SU5402) into the interdigital regions inhibits both physiological cell death and that mediated by exogenous BMPs. Furthermore, FGF receptors 1, 2 and 3 are expressed in the autopodial mesoderm during the regression of the interdigital tissue, and the expression of FGFR3 in the interdigital regions is regulated by FGFs and BMPs in the same fashion as apoptosis. Together our findings indicate that, in the absence of FGF signaling BMPs are not sufficient to trigger apoptosis in the developing limb. Although we provide evidence for a positive influence of FGFs on BMP gene expression, the physiological implication of FGFs in apoptosis appears to result from their requirement for the expression of genes of the apoptotic cascade. We have identified MSX2 and Snail as candidate genes associated with apoptosis the expression of which requires the combined action of FGFs and BMPs.

Key words: Apoptosis, BMP, FGF receptors, Snail, MSX2, Syndactyly, Chick, Duck

INTRODUCTION

The vertebrate limb is one of the best characterized model systems for studying the molecular basis of morphogenesis in vertebrates. The early embryonic limb is a simple structure consisting of a core of mesodermal cells covered by an ectodermal jacket. In the course of development the mesodermal cells are subjected to local signals that direct proliferation, differentiation and programmed cell death according to precise spatial coordinates (Macias et al., 1999). Proliferation takes place in the progress zone (PZ) which is the most distal mesoderm of the bud, lying subjacent to the apical ectodermal ridge (AER), a specialized region of the ectoderm, encircling the distal margin of the limb bud. Differentiation into cartilage, and cell death, occur when the cells of the PZ become displaced proximally into the core of the bud (Macias et al., 1999). Differentiation of mesodermal cells into cartilage results in the formation of the limb skeleton and follows a proximodistal sequence. Cell death occurs in well defined domains and sculpts the shape of the limb, eliminating the cells located between the differentiating cartilages (Hurle et al., 1996). In the early stages of the avian limb development, the anterior (ANZ) and posterior (PNZ) necrotic zones eliminate the mesodermal cells located anterior and posterior to the zone of formation of the proximal skeletal components of the limb. At more advanced stages of development, areas of interdigital cell death (INZs) eliminate the mesodermal cells located between the developing digits.

Chondrogenesis and cell death are both controlled by BMPs (Zou and Niswander, 1996; Zou et al., 1997; Macias et al., 1997; Kawakami et al., 1996; Yokouchi et al., 1996) and each of these opposing effects appears to be related to the stage of differentiation of the mesoderm. The undifferentiated limb mesoderm undergoes apoptosis when the cells are exposed to BMPs, but if the cells have initiated aggregation into the prechondrogenic blastemas, BMPs induce growth and differentiation through the receptor BMPR1B (Merino et al., 1998). In addition, it has been found recently that interdigital BMPs play a key role in regulating the morphological identity of the digits (Dahn and Fallon,
2000. Three members of the BMP family (BMP2, BMP4 and BMP7) are widely distributed in the limb bud including the mesoderm of the ANZ, PNZ and INZs, which are destined to die and also in the proliferating mesoderm of the progress zone and in the AER (Francis-West et al., 1995). Thus, a key question to be answered is why the apoptotic effect of BMPs is restricted spatially and temporally to the zones of cell death. The presence in the limb mesoderm of the BMP antagonist Gremlin in a fashion complementary to that of BMPs may contribute to limit the spatial distribution of cell death within the limb bud (Merino et al., 1999).

FGFs have been identified as the signals responsible for mesodermal proliferation (Martin, 1998) but there is also evidence that FGFs are involved in the regulation of cell death. Exogenous administration of FGFs into the areas of physiological cell death inhibits apoptosis (Macias et al., 1996) and co-administration of FGFs with BMPs into the limb mesoderm blocks the apoptotic effect observed when BMPs are administered alone (Gañán et al., 1996; Buckland et al., 1998). In addition, syndactyly, a phenotype characteristic of defective programmed cell death, is observed in mutants with disruption in the FGF signaling pathway (Muenke et al., 1994; Wilkie et al., 1995b; Yamaguchi and Rossant, 1995; Partanen et al., 1998; Heymer and Ruther, 1999). Furthermore, local application of FGF into developing interdigital web structures potentiates the apoptotic effect of exogenous administered BMPs (Gañán et al., 1998). These results suggest that FGFs might be at the same time survival factors and signals required for cell death. But, how FGFs may exert these apparently opposite functions awaits clarification.

We have investigated the possible function of FGFs in the regulation of the areas of programmed cell death in the developing avian limb. Our findings confirm the role of FGFs as survival factors for the limb mesoderm and provide evidence for a role of FGFs in the control of the BMP-signaling pathway responsible for establishing the areas of cell death.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We have used Rhode Island chick embryos at between days 3 and 9 of incubation (stage 20-35, Hamburger and Hamilton, 1951) and Royal Pekin duck embryos between 7 and 10 days of incubation.

**Experimental manipulation of the limbs**

The function of FGFs in the control of cell death was studied by analyzing the effects of local administration of FGFs (FGF2, R&D Systems) and FGF inhibitors (SU5402, Calbiochem; and PD173074/SB-402451, a generous gift from Glaxo Smith Kline) into the limb tissues using as carriers heparin acrylic (Sigma) and ion exchange (AG-I-X2, Bio-Rad) beads, respectively. The possible interactions between FGFs and BMP signaling were explored by implanting together, or at different time intervals, beads incubated in FGFs or in SU5402, and beads incubated in BMP7 (a gift from Creative Biomolecules, Hopkinton) or in a BMP antagonist (Noggin or Gremlin; both generously donated by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Tarrytown). For these purposes the eggs were windowed at the desired stages and the right limb bud was exposed. Beads incubated in the different factors (1 hour at room temperature) or in PBS or DMSO (controls) were implanted into the limb mesoderm. The effects on the ANZ and PNZ were examined by implanting the beads in the anterior or posterior margin mesoderm of the chick wing bud at stages 20-22. The effects on the INZ were studied by implanting the beads in the third interdigital space of chick (stages 28 or 29) or duck embryos (8.5 days of incubation).

Human recombinant FGF2 and BMP7 were diluted in PBS at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml; human recombinant Noggin and Gremlin diluted in PBS were employed at 1 mg/ml; SU5402 and PD173074 were diluted in DMSO and employed at 4 mg/ml and 2 mg/ml, respectively.

In some experiments FGF2 was substituted for FGF4 or FGF8, and BMP7 for BMP2. No significant changes in the observed effects were apparent from these substitutions.

**RESULTS**

**FGF signaling is required for interdigital cell death**

The possible physiological implication of FGFs in cell death
was first analyzed by blocking FGF signaling by local application of the FGF inhibitor SU5402 (Mohammadi et al., 1997). Beads incubated in SU5402 at 4 mg/ml were inserted into the interdigital mesoderm at stage 28. Under these conditions cell death was inhibited (11/14; Fig. 1A,B) leading to soft tissue syndactyly (8/10; Fig. 1E,F). To check whether inhibition of cell death was transitory or permanent, interdigits treated with SU5402 were subsequently treated with BMP7. For this purpose a bead soaked in BMP (BMP-bead) was implanted 24 hours after implantation of a SU5402-bead and the interdigit was examined for cell death 12-16 hours later. Under these conditions BMPs failed to induce apoptosis except at the most distal part of the interdigit (9/9; Fig. 1C,D). In some experiments we employed the FGF inhibitor PD173074 (Mohammadi et al., 1998) as an alternative to SU5402. Interdigital cell death was also inhibited by PD173074 (11/17), but the inhibition was only appreciable during the first 24 hours after the treatment.

To rule out any potential effect of SU5402 on the BMP receptors, we studied in vitro whether SU5402 inhibited the chondrogenic response of limb mesoderm micromass cultures to exogenous BMPs. It has been well documented that BMPs are the mediators of chondrogenesis in micromass cultures (Pizette and Niswander, 2000). While Noggin intensely inhibited chondrogenesis (Fig. 1G-J, K,M,O) and by analyzing the expression of the type II collagen gene (Fig. 1H,L,N,P). Since both chondrogenesis and apoptosis by BMPs are mediated by the receptor BMPR1B (Zou et al., 1997), these findings show that there is no direct effect of SU5402 on BMP signaling. To additionally confirm these findings in vivo, local treatments with SU5402 and BMP7 were applied to the tip of digit 3. As expected, outgrowth was blocked in the digits treated with SU5402 (Fig. 1Q). However, when a bead incubated in BMP7 was implanted 12-24 hours after local application of a SU5402 bead the growth promoting effect caused by BMP7 in the developing cartilage (Macias et al., 1997) was not inhibited (12/12; Fig. 1Q,R).

These results are indicative for a role of FGFs in the control of interdigital cell death. Potential candidates of the FGF family involved include FGF8, expressed in the AER until the stages of interdigital cell death (Fig. 2A; Gañan et al., 1998) and FGF12 (FHF-1), which is expressed in the interdigital mesoderm (Fig. 2B; Muñoz-Sanjuan et al., 1999).

It has been reported that FGF receptors 1-3 are expressed in association with the differentiation of the limb mesoderm into cartilage. We have analyzed the distribution of these receptors in the interdigital regions during the stages of cell death. FGFR1, FGFR2 and FGFR3 were expressed in the autopod before and during the stages of interdigital cell death. FGFR1 was expressed at low levels in the undifferentiated mesenchyme of the autopod and showed domains of higher expression in the differentiating cartilages and in the the
Up-regulated (M) by the application of FGFs. Note that FGFR3 expression was inhibited (Fig. 2L), but by 20 hours after the treatment expression of this gene was up-regulated (Fig. 2M).

Exogenous FGFs potentiate apoptosis in INZ

To further analyze the potential influence of FGFs in apoptosis we studied the effects of exogenous FGFs in the interdigital mesoderm. FGF-beads implanted in the interdigital mesoderm at stage 28 or 29, caused an initial inhibition of programmed cell death detectable by 12 hours after the treatment (8/8; Fig. 3A,B). However, a dramatic increase in cell death was apparent 24 hours or later after the application of FGF-beads (12/12; Fig. 3C,D). This feature was particularly evident in the duck interdigits where in physiological conditions cell death is restricted to the most distal mesoderm (12/12; Fig. 3E,F). As in physiological conditions dying cells were TUNEL positive and during the first 30 hours exhibited a characteristic distribution at some distance from the bead (Fig. 3G, see also Fig. 8A). Analysis of cell proliferation by BrdU assay revealed an intense inhibition of cell proliferation in the zone of cell death coincidently with the onset of apoptosis (Fig. 3H).

Cell death mediated by FGFs occurs through BMP signaling

Since physiological cell death in the limb is mediated by BMPs, we decided to check whether inhibiting BMP signaling could inhibit cell death mediated by FGFs. In all the experiments described above implanting a bead incubated in the BMP antagonist Noggin, in association with the FGF-bead, inhibited cell death (8/8; Fig. 3I-J), indicating that the mediation of cell death by FGFs occurs through BMP signaling. In view of this result we next analyzed the effect of FGF on BMP gene expression. Our findings indicate that FGFs regulated positively the expression of BMPs in the interdigital regions.

When FGF-beads were implanted in the interdigital regions, BMPs were up-regulated intensely (11/12; Fig. 4A-C). As described previously in the chick epiblast (Streit and Stern, 1999), up-regulation of BMPs occurred at some distance from the bead forming a characteristic crescent-like domain of expression concentric to the bead (see Fig. 4B).

Blocking FGFs by implanting SU5402-beads in the interdigits did not cause a significant change in BMP gene expression during the first 20 hours after the treatment (Fig. 4E). After longer intervals (30-40 hours) changes in the expression of BMPs were detected. As shown in Fig. 4D and F, bmp transcripts were expressed in the marginal ectoderm of the interdigit and in the proximal mesenchyme close to the bead, while they were absent from the distal region of the interdigital mesoderm. The maintenance of BMP gene domains in the experimental limbs at these advanced stages of development could be explained by the survival of the interdigital mesoderm resulting from this treatment and
Control of programmed cell death by FGFs

indicates that expression of BMPs does not require the presence of FGF.

Regulation of MSX2 and cell death mediated by FGFs

It has been shown that apoptosis by BMPs requires the expression of the homeobox-containing gene MSX2 in the limb mesoderm (reviewed by Chen and Zhao, 1998). Hence, we have studied the possibility that this gene is the target of FGFs in the control of interdigital cell death. Implantation of FGF-beads into the limb mesoderm expanded the domain of MSX2 (7/7; Fig. 5A,B). This effect was observed 12 hours or later after the treatment and was more noticeable in the duck webs where expression of MSX2, as interdigital apoptosis, is physiologically restricted to the most distal region of the interdigit (Fig. 5C,D). Interestingly, blockage of FGF signaling by SU5402 was accompanied by severe downregulation of MSX2 in the interdigits detectable 10 hours or later after the treatment (7/8; Fig. 5E). Considering that, in spite of the inhibition of cell death, the interdigits treated with SU5402 maintain a considerable level of BMP expression it seems likely that FGFs control cell death through the regulation of MSX2. Moreover, we have also found evidence for a major role of BMPs in MSX2 gene expression. BMP-beads were potent upregulators of MSX2 (not shown) whereas Noggin- or Gremlin-beads (Fig. 5F) downregulated MSX2 gene expression. In addition, coimplantation of FGF- and Noggin-beads reduced considerably the induction of MSX2 by FGFs (Fig. 5G), indicating that the induction of MSX2 by FGFs requires BMP signalling. However, BMP treatments failed to increase the expression of MSX2 in interdigits previously treated with the FGF inhibitor (Fig. 5H). Together all these findings indicate that MSX2 gene expression requires the action of both FGFs and BMPs.

Expression and regulation of Snail and cell death

Members of the Snail family of zinc finger transcription factors have been implicated in the negative regulation of programmed cell death in C. elegans (Metzstein and Horvitz, 1999) and vertebrates (Inukai et al., 1999). In the chick, two members of this family, Snail and Slug, have been identified. Slug is expressed in the developing limb, including the interdigital regions, but its possible involvement in cell death has been discarded on the basis of its expression and regulation (Ros et al., 1997). Snail expression has been implicated in the process of limb induction (Isaac et al., 2000) and in the onset of chondrogenesis (Sefton et al., 1998) but its expression pattern in the course of digit morphogenesis has not been studied. Here we have explored whether Snail regulates cell death in cooperation with FGFs/BMPs.

Snail transcripts are present in the wing and leg bud...
Regulation of the interdigital expression of MSX2 in chick and duck limbs by FGFs and BMPs. (A) Control chick at stage 30. (B) Upregulation of MSX2 24 hours after the implantation of a FGF-bead (*). (C) Control duck at day 9.5 of incubation. Note that MSX2 expression in the duck is restricted to the most distal region of the interdigital webs. (D) Upregulation of MSX2 in the duck 14 hours after interdigital implantation of a FGF-bead (*). (E) Down-regulation of MSX2 in the chick 30 hours after implantation of a bead incubated in SU5402. (F) Down-regulation of MSX2 in the chick 14 hours after implantation of a Noggin-bead (*). (G) Expression of MSX2 in the chick 14 hours after implantation of a FGF-bead (white asterisk) and a Noggin-bead (black asterisk). Note the up-regulation by FGFs is inhibited in the zone of influence of the Noggin-bead. (H) Expression of MSX2 in the chick 8 hours after implantation of a BMP-bead (white asterisk) 24 hours after implantation of a SU5402-bead (black asterisk). Note that in these conditions BMPs fail to upregulate MSX2.

Regulation of cell death in the ANZ and PNZ by FGFs

To check whether the involvement of FGFs was a specific feature of INZs or if it represented a common mechanism controlling cell death in the limb bud, experiments were performed in the anterior and posterior mesoderm of the early wing bud. For this purpose FGF-beads were implanted into stage 20-22 wing buds. In agreement with previous studies (Riley et al., 1993; Akita et al., 1996; Nikbakht and McLachlan, 1999) FGF treatments caused a significant mesodermal overgrowth around the bead and was followed by alterations in the cartilages of the zeugopod and by the formation of extra cartilaginous elements in the zone of bead application detectable 3-4 days after treatment (Fig. 7A-C).

As observed in INZs, analysis of cell death following these treatments revealed a double effect of FGFs on cell death. During the first 12 hours after treatment, physiological cell death was inhibited (8/10; Fig. 7D-F), but this initial inhibition was followed by increased cell death detectable by Neutral Red vital staining 24 hours or later after treatment (18/18; Fig. 7G-H) and by TUNEL labeling (Fig. 8A). The increase in cell death was more accentuated in the ANZ than in the PNZ. The molecular basis for this difference is out of the scope of this study although it may be caused by the influence of FGFs on Shh gene expression. Shh is also involved in the regulation of cell death in the PNZ (Sanz-Ezquerro and Tickle, 2000) and in the expression of Gremlin (Zuñiga et al., 1999), a BMP antagonist able to inhibit cell death (Merino et al., 1999). When Noggin beads were implanted in combination with FGF beads, cell death was intensely inhibited (8/8; Fig. 7I), indicating that as observed for INZs, BMPs mediated cell death induced by FGFs.
Also, as observed in INZs the mesodermal domains of \textit{Snail} (Fig. 8B), MSX2 (Fig. 8C) and BMP2 (Fig. 8D), BMP4 (Fig. 8E-F) and BMP7 (Fig. 8G-H) were increased by the application of FGF-beads. Furthermore, in accordance with the negative influence of BMPs on the maintenance of the AER (Gañán et al., 1998; Pizette and Niswander, 1999), the increased expression of BMPs was accompanied by flattening of the AER in the zone close to the bead (Fig. 8I).

As expected, the most significant effect of SU5402-beads at early stages of development was the impairment of limb outgrowth. Implantation of SU5402-beads in the progress zone mesoderm at stages 20-22 caused a rapid degeneration of the AER followed by limb truncation.

**DISCUSSION**

The progress zone mesoderm plays a central role in limb morphogenesis. In this region the mesodermal cells are subjected to the influence of the AER which is responsible for outgrowth and proximodistal patterning of the limb. Two signals of opposite functional significance, BMPs and FGFs, play a critical role in the outcome of the PZ mesoderm (Niswander and Martin, 1993). FGFs support limb outgrowth by inducing proliferation in the PZ mesoderm while BMPs block limb outgrowth and promote apoptosis. It has also been shown that BMPs exert a negative influence on the maintenance of an active AER expressing FGFs (Gañán et al., 1998; Pizette and Niswander, 1999). We provide new evidence of molecular interactions between FGFs and BMPs in the control of limb outgrowth. We show that BMPs exert a positive influence on the expression of FGFR3. Interestingly, this receptor has been implicated in the inhibition of cell proliferation by FGFs (Sahni et al., 1999). In addition, we have also noted that FGFs have a positive effect on the mesodermal expression of BMP genes accompanied by intensification of...
BMP signaling (deduced by the flattening of the AER and the increase in BMP-mediated apoptosis). The absence of a significant downregulation of BMPs in the interdigits following blockade of FGF signaling indicates that FGFs are not necessary to maintain BMP gene expression. However, as will be discussed below, our findings indicate that FGFs are required for appropriate functioning of BMPs. In addition, the positive influence of FGFs on BMP gene expression might be important in maintaining BMPs and FGFs in equilibrium to ensure normal outgrowth of the limb.

Signaling by FGFs occurs through different tyrosine kinase receptors (FGFR1-4; Wilkie et al., 1995a). In the avian limb bud three FGF receptor genes (1, 2 and 3) exhibit a specific pattern of expression in association with the different stages of cartilage differentiation (Noji et al., 1993; Szebenyi et al., 1995). Here we show that FGFR1, FGFR2 and FGFR3 are expressed in the autopodial mesoderm in a pattern compatible with a role in the control of cell death. Furthermore, permanent inhibition of cell death and syndactyly was induced by local treatment with SU5402. SU5402 inhibits FGF signaling by interacting with the catalytic domain of FGF receptors (Mohammadi et al., 1997). The specificity of this FGF inhibitor is supported by the absence of inhibitory effect (insulin and EGF receptors) or by the weak inhibitory effect (PDGF receptor) on other tested receptors with tyrosine kinase activity (Mohammadi et al., 1997). In addition, we have observed here that the chondrogenic promoting effect of BMPs is not affected by SU5402 excluding a potential direct effect on BMP signaling. In previous studies it has been found that syndactyly is a common result of spontaneous or induced mutations in FGFR1 (Partanen et al., 1998; Muenke et al., 1994) and FGFR2 (Wilkie et al., 1995b; Cohen and Kreiborg, 1995) in both mouse and humans (Apert and Pfeiffer syndromes), thus suggesting a role of these receptors in the control of interdigital apoptosis. However, those mutations appear to mediate a gain-of-function of the receptors (Yu et al., 2000; Zhou et al., 2000) rather than inhibiting FGF signaling. Therefore, syndactyly in those mutants might be explained by the inhibitory effect on cell death observed in our experiments shortly after the exogenous application of FGFs (see below). In this study the expression and regulation of FGFR3 in the interdigits is suggestive of a positive function of this receptor in programmed cell death. Constitutive activation of FGFR3 causes apoptosis in chondrocytes (Legei-Mallet et al., 1998).

In addition, owing to the inhibitory effect on cell proliferation mediated by FGFR3 (Sahni et al., 1999), the upregulation of this gene observed here following treatments with BMPs might explain the cell cycle arrest associated with increased cell death, respectively.

In agreement with previous studies (MacCabe et al., 1991; Macias et al., 1996; Gañan et al., 1996; Buckland et al., 1998; Ngo-Muller and Muneoka, 2000) we have observed that FGFs are able to temporally inhibit cell death in the ANZ, PNZ and INZ. However, the initial inhibitory effect is later followed by potentiation of apoptosis in the treated mesoderm. Noggin was very potent in blocking this process of cell death, indicating that BMPs, as in physiological conditions, were the mediators of the apoptosis induced by FGFs. Furthermore, the inhibition of interdigital cell death and subsequent syndactyly observed after blocking FGF signaling by treatment with SU5402 indicates that FGFs are physiologically required for programmed cell death. In addition, the absence of cell death in the interdigits treated with SU5402, in spite of the maintenance of considerable levels of BMP gene expression, indicates that BMPs alone are not sufficient to induce cell death.

Our findings point to a cooperative role of FGFs with BMPs in the regulation of genes implicated in the molecular cascade responsible for apoptosis. We have identified MSX2 and Snail as candidate genes associated with apoptosis whose expression requires the combined action of FGFs and BMPs.

It has been proposed that MSX2 is required for BMP-mediated apoptosis (Graham et al., 1994; Chen and Zhao, 1998). Furthermore, experimental misexpression of MSX2 in the limb bud induces apoptosis (Ferrari et al., 1998). In agreement with these findings, this study has shown that inhibition of interdigital cell death following blockade of FGF signaling by SU5402 is accompanied by intense downregulation of MSX2. Furthermore, our results indicate that both FGFs and BMPs are required for the induction and maintenance of MSX2 expression. While both FGFs and BMPs...
alone are able to intensely upregulate MSX2 gene expression in the intact limb, these individual effects are blocked in treatments with BMPs in combination with SU5402.

The mechanism responsible for the initial inhibition of cell death by BMPs remained elusive in this study. Since members of the Snail family of zinc finger transcription factors have been identified as antiapoptotic factors conserved in C. elegans (Metzstein and Horvitz, 1999) and in vertebrates (Inukai et al., 1999) we analyzed whether Snail was also involved in this process in the chick. Our observations strongly suggest that Snail plays a role in apoptosis in the developing limb. However, all our findings point to a positive role of this gene in apoptosis. Snail transcripts are present in the limb mesoderm at the same stages as ANZ and PNZ, and precise interdigital domains are also observed that closely coincide with the appearance of interdigital cell death. Furthermore, in duck interdigits, characterized by reduced extension of interdigital cell death, Snail expression is restricted to the zones of cell death instead of being increased as would be expected if it were an antiapoptotic factor. Moreover, in both the chick limb and duck interdigits, all treatments performed to increase cell death were accompanied by a parallel induction of Snail expression while expression was inhibited by interdigital application of SU5402 in correlation with the inhibition of cell death. In addition, we have also observed that BMPs and FGFs regulate the expression of this gene in a similar fashion to that described above for MSX2. The most likely explanation for a role of Snail in apoptosis is its potent activity in decreasing cell adhesion through the repression of the expression of cadherins (Cano et al., 2000). Cell survival requires an appropriate cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion (Lin and Bissell, 1993) and apoptosis following a loss of cell adhesion has been observed in epithelial cells and non-epithelial cells (Martin-Bermudo et al., 1998; Sakai et al., 2000). The interdigital regions exhibit specific domains of expression of different cadherins (Kimura et al., 1995; Kitajima et al., 1999; Inoue et al., 1997) and prior to cell death contain a highly organized network of extracellular matrix (Hurle et al., 1994) which is disrupted concomitantly with the onset of cell death (Hurle and Fernandez-Teran, 1983). In consequence, expression of Snail in the interdigital regions may regulate changes in cell adhesion which must necessarily occur during involution of the interdigital tissue.

In conclusion, this study shows that FGF signaling is necessary for apoptosis during limb development. In its absence, BMPs are not sufficient to induce cell death indicating that the establishment of the apoptotic areas requires the presence of the two signaling pathways. In addition, we have identified MSX2 and Snail as potential players in the apoptotic cascade whose expression requires the convergence of the signals mediated by both FGFs and BMPs. Thus, our study unravels a putative functional link between these two signaling pathways in the control of morphogenetic outgrowth of the limb.
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