Cell autonomous commitment to an endodermal fate and behaviour by activation of Nodal signalling
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SUMMARY

In vertebrates the endoderm germ layer gives rise to most tissues of the digestive tract and controls head and heart morphogenesis. The induction of endoderm development relies on extracellular signals related to Nodals and propagated intracellularly by TGFβ type I receptors ALK4/Taram-A. It is unclear, however, whether Nodal/ALK4/Taram-A signalling is involved only in the specification of endodermal precursors or plays a more comprehensive role in the activation of the endodermal program leading to the irreversible commitment of cells to the endodermal fate. Using cell transplantation experiments in zebrafish, we show that marginal cells become committed to endoderm at the onset of gastrulation and that commitment to endoderm can be reached by intracellular activation of the Nodal pathway induced by expression of an activated form of the taram-A receptor, Tar*. In a manner similar to endoderm progenitors, Tar*-activated blastomeres translocate from their initial site of implantation in the blastoderm to reach the surface of their migration substratum, the yolk syncitial layer, where they join endogenous endodermal derivatives during gastrulation and differentiate according to their anteroposterior position. We demonstrate that Nodal/Tar*-induced commitment does not rely on a secondary signal released by Tar*-expressing cells or a signal released by endogenous endoderm since Tar*-expressing wild-type cells can restore endoderm derivatives when transplanted into the endoderm-deficient mutant casanova. Likewise, the YSL does not appear essential for the maintenance of endodermal identity during gastrulation once the Nodal pathway has been activated. Thus, our results demonstrate that the activation of Nodal signalling is sufficient to commit cells both to an endodermal fate and behaviour. Wild-type endoderm implantation into casanova embryos rescues, in a non-autonomous fashion, the defective fusion of the two heart primordia in the midline, highlighting the importance of endoderm for normal heart morphogenesis.
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INTRODUCTION

The endoderm germ layer plays essential functions during vertebrate embryogenesis, first because it populates the digestive and respiratory tracts but also because it interacts with the other germ layers to control the proper morphogenesis of numerous structures and organs including the head and the heart (Wells and Melton, 1999). In zebrafish, endoderm progenitor cells originate, shortly before the onset of gastrulation, from the outside layer of the blastoderm within four cells diameters from the margin of the embryo. At the onset of gastrulation, they accomplish an inward movement to reach their future migration substratum, the yolk syncitial layer (Warga and Nusslein-Volhard, 1999). During gastrulation, endoderm progenitors migrate on the YSL surface to form the endoderm germ layer and remain on this substratum until they initiate their local differentiation (Warga and Nusslein-Volhard, 1999).

The mechanisms involved in the control of endodermal fate and behaviour are only partially understood. Endoderm specification has been mainly analysed in Xenopus in which a two-step model was proposed (Dale, 1999; Yasuo and Lemaire, 1999). Maternal factors located in vegetal blastomeres, such as VegT, would activate the zygotic expression of both early endodermal transcription factor and TGFβ-related molecules such as Nodal related 1 or 2 and Derrière. In a second step, these zygotically expressed TGFβ-related genes would relay VegT in activating endodermal genes. In zebrafish, genetic studies have led to a model of endoderm specification that shares several zygotic steps with the Xenopus model (Alexander and Stainier, 1999). Embryos mutant for Nodal-related genes cyclops (cyc) and squint (sqt), or lacking both maternal and zygotic Nodal cofactor OEP (Gritsman et al., 2000), form essentially no endoderm or mesoderm (Feldman et al., 1998; Rebagliati et al., 1998). Conversely, activation of the Nodal/activin pathway by Tar*, an activated version of the type I TGFβ-related receptor, Taram-A (Tar) (Renucci et al., 1996) which is normally expressed in a region encompassing endodermal progenitors, drives blastomeres to an endodermal fate and rescues endoderm formation in oep mutants (Bally-Cuif et al., 2000; Peyrrieras et al., 1998). This suggests that the
activation of the Nodal/Tar pathway directly induces endoderm formation, but it is not clear whether other signals are also required.

Transcription factors like the Mix-type homeobox protein Bon (bonnie and clyde), and Fau/Gata5 (faust) have been implicated downstream of Nodal-related signals. Both are induced by Nodal-related molecules, and the number of endodermal cells is reduced in the bon and fau mutants (Alexander and Stainier, 1999; Kikuchi et al., 2000; Reiter et al., 2001). Endoderm formation also requires Cas (casanova), a Sox-related factor (Alexander et al., 1999; Dickmeis et al., 2001; Kikuchi et al., 2001). Epistatic studies have shown that cas functions downstream of cye, sqt, oep, bon and fau. In particular, cas embryos are not able to respond to Nodal signalling, whether activated by Nodal-related ligands or by expression of Tar*. Finally, a model for endoderm specification has been assembled, based on these results: Nodal-related proteins induce the expression of transcription factors like Bon, Fau/Gata5 that allow the expression of cas. In turn, Cas initiates, in an instructive manner, the expression of endodermal genes such as sox17 (Reiter et al., 2001) and down-regulates mesodermal genes (Dickmeis et al., 2001; Kikuchi et al., 2001; and our own unpublished data).

Although the molecular mechanisms implicated in endoderm specification start to be unravelled, very little is known about the signals and molecules required for the determination/commitment of a cell to an endodermal fate. Determination/commitment of a cell or a group of cells is defined by the acquisition of a fate that cannot be modified by transplantation into a new embryonic environment (Spemann, 1938). Cell transplantation experiments in Xenopus have shown that commitment to the endoderm germ layer occurs at the early gastrula stage (Heasman et al., 1984; Wylie et al., 1987). However, this point has not been addressed in zebrafish, and the signals controlling this commitment remain unidentified in either model. In particular, it is not clear whether signals able to specify endoderm, such as Nodals, also participate in the determination process.

Endoderm cells undergo an extensive migration period which is critical for the establishment of the endoderm germ layer and probably its later patterning, since cells can receive extrinsic patterning cues depending on their environment (Grapin-Botton and Melton, 2000; Joubin and Stern, 1999). It is thus important to understand what controls the induction of the behaviour of endoderm cells during gastrulation, what drives their segregation from the other cells of the embryo and whether this segregation requires that endoderm cells be present at the margin of the embryo. The capacity to specifically orient the early blastomeres into the endoderm pathway should help clarify this issue.

In this study, using cell transplantation experiments, we have first defined the timing for endoderm commitment and the properties of committed cells. We show that endoderm-fated cells reach a committed state at the onset of gastrulation and that animal pole blastula cells, normally fated to neuroectoderm (Kimmel et al., 1990), when transplanted to the margin can adopt an endodermal fate, indicating that marginal signals, potentially Nodals, are sufficient to induce the endodermal program. We have tested the implication of Nodal signals in these processes and found that cells in which the Nodal pathway is activated by Tar* (which for the sake of clarity, will simply be called ‘Tar*-activated cells’ or ‘activated cells’ throughout this article) adopt an endodermal identity even when grafted to the animal pole. Furthermore both endoderm-committed cells and activated cells translocate to the YSL surface when transplanted into any position of the blastoderm, demonstrating that once committed, endoderm cells can segregate from other cell types and that this behaviour can be induced by activation of Nodal signalling. Then Nodal/Tar*-activated cells systematically join endogenous endodermal derivatives during gastrulation and differentiate according to their anteroposterior position. Thus, once activation of Nodal signalling is initiated, cells are committed both to an endodermal fate and behaviour. This commitment does not rely on a community effect between Tar*-activated cells and endoderm identity does not require the presence of the YSL during gastrulation. It also appears independent of endogenous endoderm since wild-type cells expressing Tar* can restore endodermal derivatives in a mutant deprived of endoderm such as cas. Taking advantage of this last result, we have analysed the origin of the cardia bifida in this mutant, a heart condition resulting from the absence of fusion of the primordial heart tubes, often attributed to an absent or defective endoderm (Narita et al., 1997; Peyrieras et al., 1998). Cardia bifida can be rescued by introduction of wild-type endoderm into cas embryos, thus demonstrating that cas activity is not required within heart precursors and strengthening the notion that endoderm is a key element controlling normal heart morphogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Embryos

Embryos were obtained from wild-type (AB) or heterozygous cas<sup>ta56</sup> (Chen et al., 1996) adults and raised according to previously published procedures (Kimmel et al., 1995).

Grafting experiments

Donor embryos at the 2/4-cell stage were injected in one blastomere with 80 pg of GFP + 120 pg of nls-lacZ mRNAs used as lineage tracers, either alone or combined with 1.2 pg of Tar* RNA. At the sphere stage, 5-20 donor (green) cells were grafted to the margin or to the animal pole of host embryos (Ho and Kimmel, 1993). Embryos were then cultured in embryo medium (Westerfield, 1995) with 10 U/ml penicillin and 10 μg/ml streptomycin. The dorsoventral position of grafts was evaluated by the shield stage. For single cell transplantations, donor embryos, once injected, were cultured in calcium-free Ringer medium. At the sphere stage, cells were mechanically dissociated and isolated cells were transplanted.

In determination experiments, embryos were injected with the activatable dye DMNB-FD, which was activated at the 30-40% epiboly stage (see Bally-Cuif et al., 2000; Serbedzija et al., 1998) in a few cells and used as a source of cells for transplantation. This procedure allowed us to test the evolution in time of the properties of cells originating from the same region of the fate map.

Animal caps culture

Once cells had been grafted to the animal pole, embryos were placed in MMR medium (Kimelman and Maas, 1992). Animal caps were dissected with forceps and cultured in L15 (65%) (Gibco), embryo medium (20%), BSA (1 mg/ml), Hepes (pH7.5 10 mM), penicillin, streptomycin and H2O. They were fixed when control embryos had reached 90% epiboly.
Phenotypic analyses
In situ hybridisation and immunohistochemistry were done following standard protocols (Hauptmann and Gerster, 1994). Probes used were: foxa2 (Strahle et al., 1993), fkd7 (Odenthal and Nusslein-Volhard, 1998), gata5 (Rodaway et al., 1999), her5 (Muller et al., 1996), insulin (Argenton et al., 1999), nkk2.3 (Lee et al., 1996), nkk 2.5 (Lee et al., 1996), sox17 (Alexander and Stainier, 1999). For the immunodetection of β-galactosidase, rabbit polyclonal antibodies (Cappel) were used at 1:1,000 dilution. Sectioning of whole-mount stained embryos was performed with JB4 embedding resin (Polysciences).

RESULTS

Endodermal progenitors become determined around the onset of gastrulation
To define the timing for endoderm commitment, lineage-labelled marginalmost blastomeres or animal blstromeres, as the control, were transplanted (Fig. 1A) from late blastula-early gastrula wild-type donors to the animal pole region of host sibling embryos. Consistent with the fate map, transplanted animal pole cells contributed to neuroectodermal but never to endodermal tissues (Fig. 1B, 100%, n=11 embryos). In contrast, marginalmost blastomeres transplanted from late blastulae (30-40% epiboly), contributed mostly to neuroectodermal tissue but in a fraction of the embryos, a small proportion of transplanted cells contributed to endodermal derivatives (data not shown). This contribution to endodermal derivatives increased with the age of the donor embryos since marginal cells transplanted from embryos initiating gastrulation (50% epiboly-germ ring stage), populated mostly the anterior gut tube region (pharyngeal epithelium; Fig. 1C, 83%, n=23 embryos) and expressed the late endoderm derivative marker fkd7 (Fig. 1D). Thus, at the onset of gastrulation, determination of marginal cells to an endodermal fate is largely under way. The properties of these committed cells was further investigated, and in the text they will be referred to as ECB (endoderm committed blastomeres).

Cells grafted to the margin acquire an endodermal fate
Marginal cells could develop an endodermal fate either because they have inherited determinants or because they receive inductive signals from their environment. To discriminate between these two possibilities, we tested whether animal pole cells, normally fated to the neuroectoderm, would be able to populate endodermal territories when grafted to an endoderm fated region. If determinants are essential, animal pole cells should not be able to populate endoderm-derived territories. Animal pole cells expressing GFP as a lineage tracer were grafted at the blastula stage (high-sphere stage) to the margin or to the animal pole of host sibling embryos (Fig. 2A) and allowed to develop. Whereas cells grafted to the animal pole developed into neuroectodermal structures (Fig. 2G,H; Table 1), progeny of cells grafted at the margin populated predominantly endoderm and mesoderm (Fig. 2B-D; Table1), consistent with the fate map and could differentiate into endodermal structures like the gut and the pharyngeal epithelium (data not shown). In these experiments we found it crucial for grafted cells to remain near the margin to develop an endodermal fate. Thus animal pole cells can assume an endodermal fate when transplanted to the margin, showing that region-specific determinants are not absolutely required but that environmental cues, potentially Nodals, are sufficient to trigger the endodermal program.

Tar*-expressing cells are committed to an endodermal fate
To test the influence of Tar*/Nodal signalling on the state of determination of early blastomeres, a few GFP-expressing Tar*-activated cells were transplanted from late blastulae (sphere stage) to the margin or to the animal pole of untreated embryos. In 24 hpf (hours postfertilisation) embryos, in contrast to GFP-expressing cells, almost all Tar*-activated cells were located onto the YSL, a position corresponding to that of the endogenous endoderm. Moreover, whereas Tar*-activated cells grafted to the animal pole developed into pharyngeal endoderm and into hatching gland, a mesendodermal prechordal plate derivative (Fig. 2F; Table 1), progeny of cells grafted in marginal positions could be found at any position of the anteroposterior (AP) axis and took part in all endodermal derivatives: pharynx, intestine (Fig. 2E,F) and the mesendodermal derivatives, hatching gland and Kupffer’s vesicle (Table 1). Furthermore, grafted Tar*-activated cells expressed endodermal markers in register with their AP position: cells below the head expressed the

\[
\text{Fig. 1. Endoderm commitment occurs at the onset of gastrulation. (A) Diagram of the experimental procedure. DMNB-caged fluorescein was injected at the 1-cell stage. At the late blastula stage, fluorescein was uncaged in a few cells at the animal pole or at the very margin of the donor embryo. At 50% epiboly-germ ring, labelled cells were transplanted to the animal pole of a late blastula (dome) host. (B,C) Anterior to the left, dorsal to the top. (B) Cells transplanted from the animal pole of the donor populated the eye and the forebrain and differentiated accordingly. (C) In contrast, marginalmost cells taken just before or at the onset of gastrulation colonised the endoderm-derived territories and expressed the endodermal marker fkd7 (section in D). Some of these cells also populated the hatching gland (not shown). The white dots indicate the limit of the YSL. e, eye; no, notochord; nt, neural tube; AP, Animal Pole; M, Margin.}
\]
pharyngeal endoderm marker \textit{nkx2.3} (Fig. 2J) (Lee et al., 1996) whereas cells in the trunk expressed the gut marker \textit{fkd7} (Fig. 2L) (Odenthal and Nusslein-Volhard, 1998) and cells in the pancreas expressed \textit{insulin} (Fig. 2K) (Argenton et al., 1999). In addition, the graft of Tar*-activated cells did not induce ectopic sites of expression of these regional marker genes. Thus, endodermal derivatives formed by grafted cells differentiate in register with their AP position. Besides, AP fates adopted by Tar*-activated grafted cells were in agreement with the fate map of endodermal derivatives established at the beginning of gastrulation (Warga and Nusslein-Volhard, 1999).

Cells grafted in dorsal positions in host gastrulae mainly gave rise to anterior derivatives such as the epithelium of the pharynx, whereas transplantsations into more ventral positions resulted in more posterior fates, such as the gut (Table 1). We conclude that activation of Nodal/Tar signalling is sufficient to induce the stable commitment of early zebrafish blastomeres to an endodermal fate. Furthermore, activated cells are submitted to proper AP patterning.

Commitment, here assessed by transplantation to the animal pole, could however result from a late event, like the recruitment of transplanted cells by the endogenous endoderm.

The phenotype of grafted cells was scored when host embryos had reached 24 to 30 hpf. The figures are the percentage of embryos with at least one cell participating in a given tissue. In each embryo, the number of cells incorporated into each type of derivative could not be precisely determined. However, the vast majority of Tar*-activated cells always adopted an endodermal or hatching gland fate, whereas only a few could be found in other derivatives. AP, animal pole; M, margin; V, ventral; L, lateral; D, dorsal.

Table 1. Results of cell transplantations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transplantation</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Hatching gland</th>
<th>Pharynx</th>
<th>Intestine</th>
<th>Tail mesendoderm</th>
<th>Notochord</th>
<th>Somites</th>
<th>Pronephric duct</th>
<th>Other mesodermal derivatives</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Brain</th>
<th>Nerve cord</th>
<th>Dead cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>n=42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>n=40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tar*+GFP</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>n=28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or</td>
<td>L M</td>
<td>n=48</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>D M</td>
<td>n=26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>n=102</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>n=19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tar*+GFP</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>n=63</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figures are the percentage of embryos with at least one cell participating in a given tissue. In each embryo, the number of cells incorporated into each type of derivative could not be precisely determined. However, the vast majority of Tar*-activated cells always adopted an endodermal or hatching gland fate, whereas only a few could be found in other derivatives. AP, animal pole; M, margin; V, ventral; L, lateral; D, dorsal.
reaching the animal pole. To rule out this possibility, we
checked that, when grafted to the animal pole, Tar*-activated
cells as well as non-activated endoderm-committed
blastomeres (ECB; Fig. 3A) adopted an endodermal identity
before the migration of the endogenous endoderm to the
animal pole. To this aim, we analysed the expression of the
early endodermal markers sox17, her5, gata5 and foxA2 in the
young gastrulae (60% epiboly) harbouring grafted cells. ECB
and Tar*-activated cells, but not control cells, grafted to the
animal pole expressed these genes (sox17 76%, n=59; her5
87%, n=8; gata5 43%, n=7; foxA2 100%, n=8 for activated
cells) (Fig. 3B-D; data not shown), thus demonstrating that
endodermal specification was already initiated in Tar*-acti-
vated cells by the beginning of gastrulation, and that the
endodermal fate acquired by transplanted cells did not result
from a late recruitment by the endogenous endoderm. Within
individual embryos, some grafted cells did not express the
tested gene, a situation that can be easily explained because
endoderm markers do not label the mesendodermal hatching
gland precursors, which are also induced by activation of
Nodal/Tar signalling. Taken together, our results show that the
endodermal program followed by Nodal/Tar*-activated cells is
similar to that of endogenous endoderm but does not depend
on additional signals released by the margin of the embryo.

Autonomous commitment of cells activated by
transient Nodal signalling

In the experiments described above, we have always
transplanted cells in groups. The acquisition of commitment to
an endodermal fate observed upon intracellular activation of
Nodal/Tar signalling might rely on contacts with neighbouring
activated cells, in a so-called community effect (Gurdon,
1988). We used a single cell transplantation assay to test this
hypothesis (Fig. 4A). Progeny of single Tar*-activated cells
grafted to the animal pole or to the margin of host embryos,
expressed sox17 during gastrulation (50%, n=16; Fig. 4B), and
became endodermal derivatives in 24 hpf embryos (100%, n=8;
Fig. 4C), thus demonstrating that a community effect was not
required to reach commitment to an endodermal fate once
Nodal signalling is activated. Taken together, the results of our
transplantation experiments demonstrate that activation of
Nodal signalling mediated by Tar is sufficient to induce the
autonomous commitment of zebrafish early blastomeres to
endoderm.

We then determined whether endoderm commitment could
be induced by transient activation of Nodal Signalling. Nodal
signalling and endoderm formation require the transcription
factor FAST1. Endoderm formation and endoderm marker
expression during gastrulation can be blocked by overexpression of the dominant negative FAST-1 variant
FASTSID (Dickmeis et al., 2001; Muller et al., 2000). Consistent with this, expression of FASTSID by coinjection
with Tar* at the 2-cell stage blocked the capacity of injected
cells, when grafted to the animal pole of host embryos, to reach
the YSL at the onset of gastrulation (not shown) and to achieve
an endodermal fate (Fig. 4D,E; 0%, n=28). We then delayed
the expression of FASTSID by injecting Tar* RNA at the 2-cell
stage and FASTSID RNA about an hour later at the 16-cell stage
into Tar*-positive cells. Upon grafting, TAR* + FASTSID-
expressing cells reached the YSL during gastrulation and
adopted an endodermal fate (Fig. 4F,G; 61%, n=23). Thus
transient activation of Nodal signalling is sufficient to induce an
autonomous commitment to endoderm.

During gastrulation, activated and endoderm
committed cells reach the surface of the YSL

Fate determination concerns both cellular identity and location
within the embryo. Previous results have shown that, even
when grafted to the animal pole, activated cells or endoderm-
committed blastomeres (ECB) develop as endogenous endodermal derivatives in 24 hpf embryos (Figs 1 and 2). This implies that, during development, activated cells/ECB leave the epiblast and reach the YSL. In vivo, this situation occurs at the margin of the embryo where cells involute to form the hypoblast. Do activated cells/ECB, when grafted to the animal pole, need to involute through the margin? To address this issue, we followed their behaviour by time-lapse analysis. Until the onset of gastrulation, both activated/ECB and control cells stayed epiblastic (Fig. 5A,B,E,F,I). During gastrulation, while control cells kept motionless (Fig. 5C), activated cells/ECB left their epiblastic location to reach the surface of the YSL, without going through the margin (G.J). (D,H) Morphology of a single control and an activated grafted cell during gastrulation (70% epiboly). Note the filopodia characteristic of migrating endodermal cells in H (arrowheads).

The YSL is not necessary to maintain the endodermal identity of activated cells/ECB during gastrulation

The YSL provides signals able to induce the formation of endoderm (Rodaway et al., 1999). In addition, during gastrulation, endogenous endoderm, as well as activated cells and ECB, come directly to and remain on the surface of the YSL. This suggests that the YSL could provide signals necessary to maintain endodermal identity, once Nodal signalling is activated. To test this hypothesis, small groups of activated cells or ECB were grafted to the animal pole of host blastulae and the animal caps of the host embryos were immediately dissected and grown until the end of gastrulation (90% epiboly) (Fig. 6A,B). In both cases, ECB and activated cells remained within the cap and retained the expression of the endodermal marker sox17 (respectively 80%, n=15 caps and 100%, n=10 caps; Fig. 6C,D). This result shows that grafted, activated cells or ECB retain their identity in the absence of the YSL, since they express sox17. Thus, once cells are committed to endoderm or once the Nodal/Tar* pathway is activated, the YSL does not seem absolutely essential for maintaining endodermal identity during gastrulation.

Grafting wild-type activated cells can restore endoderm derivatives formation in casanova mutants

Other regions of the embryo could provide signals important for cells to adopt an endodermal fate once Nodal/Tar signalling is activated. For instance, endoderm itself, which is in contact with transplanted cells during gastrulation, could be necessary. To test this idea and to address the genetic mechanisms responsible for the lack of endoderm and endoderm derivatives

Fig. 5. Endoderm-committed blastomeres and activated cells translocate directly to the YSL during gastrulation and develop an endodermal phenotype. Cells expressing GFP alone (A-D) or in combination with Tar* (E-H) or ECB (I-J) were transplanted to the animal pole of a host blastula. The group of grafted cells was monitored during development (lateral views). Until the beginning of gastrulation, grafted cells remain epiblastic (B,F,I), but during gastrulation, in contrast to control cells (C), Tar*-activated cells and ECB leave the epiblast to reach the surface of the YSL, without going through the margin (G.J). (D,H) Morphology of a single control and an activated grafted cell during gastrulation (70% epiboly). Note the filopodia characteristic of migrating endodermal cells in H (arrowheads).

Fig. 6. The YSL is not required to maintain the endodermal identity of ECB and activated cells during gastrulation. (A) Diagram of the experimental procedure. At the blastula stage, activated cells (Tar* + GFP) or ECB were transplanted to the animal pole of host embryos. Animal caps containing the grafted cells (B) were immediately removed, cultured and fixed at the end of gastrulation (90% epiboly). (C,D) sox17 expression was revealed by in situ hybridisation (blue), and activated cells were identified by detection of nuclear β-galactosidase (brown, D). (D) Inset shows a close-up view of the region containing transplanted cells.
in the cas mutant, activated cells were transplanted into cas mutant embryos. Grafting experiments have suggested that expression of the cas gene is required in a cell autonomous fashion inside endodermal progenitors to ensure proper expression of the transient endodermal marker foxA2 (Alexander et al., 1999). However, it is not clear from these experiments whether wild-type cells grafted into a cas mutant host can accomplish the full program of endoderm development and give rise to differentiated endodermal tissues. It is conceivable, in particular, that cas is required in cells other than endoderm or in the YSL. To investigate the requirement for endogenous endoderm in the control of the fate of activated cells, we tested whether wild-type Tar*-activated cells, when transplanted into cas mutant hosts could accomplish the whole endodermal program. By blastula stage, wild-type cells expressing Tar* were transplanted to the animal pole or to the margin of cas host embryos (Fig. 7A). During gastrulation, grafted activated cells expressed sox17 (33% n=9; Fig. 7B) and her5 (data not shown). Moreover, in 24 hpf embryos, they had reached positions corresponding to that of endodermal derivatives. Furthermore, region-specific endodermal markers were restored in register with their AP position. For instance, the pharyngeal region expressed nkx2.3 (Fig. 7C-E) and fkd7 (not shown), the midgut region expressed the insulin gene (Fig. 7F-H) and the hindgut region expressed fkd7 (Fig. 7I-K). Expression of these markers was found within grafted cells (Fig. 7L,M and data not shown). At 96 hpf, activated wild-type cells (green) could restore portions of endodermal derivatives, such as the pharyngeal epithelium (Fig. 7N) or segments of the embryos (38%, n=8 embryos) contributed to endoderm (data not shown). These results demonstrate, firstly, that since cas mutants are totally lacking endoderm, the endoderm of the host is not absolutely required for activated cells to differentiate into endoderm, secondly, that wild-type cells, particularly when activated, can accomplish the full endodermal program within a cas-deficient environment. We conclude that, once the Nodal/Tar pathway is activated, cas is not absolutely required outside the endodermal progenitors to allow their later differentiation.

Endoderm formation can restore cardiac fusion in casanova mutants

Compared to controls (Fig. 8A,D), cas mutants display a cardia bifida, resulting from the absence of fusion of the hemicardia, which stay lateral instead of migrating to the midline (Fig. 8B,E) (Chen et al., 1996). Results established in chick (Linask and Lash, 1988), as well as our own analysis of the cardia bifida present in oep zebrafish embryos (Peyrieras et al., 1998) suggest that this migration defect in cas mutants could be secondary to the absence of endoderm. Alternatively, cas activity could be required within non endodermal territories to facilitate the fusion process. The capacity to restore at high
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efficiency endoderm tissues in cas embryos by grafting wild-type activated cells offered us the opportunity to test this hypothesis. We analysed the behaviour of the heart precursors in cas embryos in which control or Tar*-activated wild-type cells had been grafted. At 24 hpf, myocardial cells expressed the marker nkx2.5 (Lee et al., 1996). In cas unmanipulated embryos (100%, n=150) or in cas embryos grafted with control cells (100%, n=26), nkx2.5-positive cells remained on both sides of the embryos, reflecting the position of the unfused hemicardia (Fig. 8B,E). By contrast, in 48% (n=23) of cas embryos grafted with Tar*-activated wild-type cells, the hemicardia had moved closer or even fused at the midline (Fig. 8C,F). Transverse sections through the heart region showed that grafted cells were located in the endoderm and did not contribute to heart tissues (Fig. 8F). The graft of activated cells led, in a fraction of the embryos (n=5) to the formation of a secondary axis, by induction of a second organizer (Peyrieras et al., 1996). The primary axis harboured a cardia bifida as expected. Quite strikingly, the secondary axis exhibited a single, beating heart including two chambers and an endothelium (Fig. 8G,H). In one of these embryos, blood circulation was observed in the head region of the secondary axis, demonstrating that the heart was functional. We conclude that grafted cells were located in the endoderm and did not contribute to heart tissues (Fig. 8F). The graft of activated cells led, in a fraction of the embryos (n=5) to the formation of a secondary axis, by induction of a second organizer (Peyrieras et al., 1996). The primary axis harboured a cardia bifida as expected. Quite strikingly, the secondary axis exhibited a single, beating heart including two chambers and an endothelium (Fig. 8G,H). In one of these embryos, blood circulation was observed in the head region of the secondary axis, demonstrating that the heart was functional. We conclude from these experiments that cas activity is not absolutely required within heart precursors cells to allow proper hemicardia fusion. Rather, since the graft of endoderm mediated by the implantation of wild-type activated cells can restore a functional heart, it strongly suggests that the cardia bifida observed in cas mutants is due to the absence of endoderm.

**DISCUSSION**

**Activation of Nodal/Tar signalling and commitment to an endodermal fate**

We show here that, consistent with the fact that commitment to a particular germ layer occurs during gastrulation in vertebrates, endoderm commitment occurs at the onset of gastrulation. However, endoderm commitment appears to occur before mesoderm commitment and may thus represent one of the earliest determination events affecting the zebrafish embryo (Ho and Kimmel, 1993). Endoderm formation, at the margin of the embryo, relies on the activity of the Nodal pathway which is both necessary and sufficient to induce endoderm. In particular, activation of this pathway by injection of low levels of the activated form of the TGFβ type I receptor Tar drives blastomeres to an endodermal fate. In this process, Nodal signalling could only be important for the initial specification of endoderm progenitors at the margin of the embryo. Other factors, released from the margin, could control the maintenance of endoderm. Alternatively, Nodal signalling could also be important for maintaining the endodermal identity during gastrulation and thus control commitment to endoderm. By grafting a small group of activated cells into different locations of host embryos, we obtained direct evidence that upon activation of Nodal signalling, cells engage in the process of determination to an endodermal fate. Transplantations were performed by the late blastula stage, indicating that, from this time on, activated cells are already engaged in the process leading to determination. Whether this reflects a state of commitment from the start of activation of the Nodal pathway, or action at a later stage, cannot be ascertained at this point. In vivo, both the Nodal-related ligands Cyc and Sqt, as well as the receptor Tar are expressed in endoderm precursors before they translocate to the hypoblast region, but their expression is markedly downregulated after marginal cells have involuted. We thus suspect that these elements of Nodal signalling act primarily between their onset of expression at the midblastula transition and the onset of gastrulation.

Nodal/Tar signals may modify directly the fate of the activated cells in either an autonomous or non-autonomous fashion. The graft of a group of activated cells or activated
single cells demonstrates unambiguously that the process is indeed autonomous: activated cells express endoderm markers when grafted to an ectopic site and further differentiate into endodermal derivatives. In addition, activated cells either isolated or in groups cannot induce endodermal markers in neighbouring cells. The requirements for the establishment of this endodermal identity were examined in detail. The requirement for the YSL in the identity of Nodal/Tar-activated cells was first investigated, since this syncytium has an endoderm-inducing activity, expresses Nodal-related genes transiently and is the major substratum for the migration of endoderm cells. We have shown, by grafting endoderm-committed blastomeres or Tar*-expressing cells into the animal region of host embryos and dissecting the animal caps, that the YSL is not required to maintain the endodermal identity of these cells during gastrulation. Consistent with this result, whole blastoderms separated from the YSL can maintain the expression of endodermal markers (T. Aoki and F. Rosa, unpublished data). Thus the YSL may release, at an early stage, the requirement for endogenous endoderm identity. Likewise, the requirement for endogenous endoderm was also analysed by grafting activated wild-type cells into cas embryos, which are devoid of endoderm. Activated cells expressed endoderm markers at gastrulation and differentiated into endoderm at later stages. We have carried out similar experiments using oep mutant hosts, which are also deficient in endoderm, and obtained similar results (J. Mathieu and F. M. R., unpublished). Thus endogenous endoderm is not required for Nodal/Tar-activated cells to keep their identity and to differentiate into endoderm. This is in contrast to recent experiments carried out in Xenopus (Clements and Woodland, 2000), which show that sox17-expressing cells adopt an endodermal identity, even when originating from an ectodermal region, but later die if they cannot join the resident endoderm population. This difference in late behaviour may either stem from a developmental difference between Xenopus and zebrafish or may suggest that the activation of the entire Nodal/Tar pathway provides necessary cues, which are not provided by the activation of the downstream pathway mediated by Sox17. Whatever the origin of this difference, our results clearly demonstrate that the induction of endoderm by activation of the Nodal/Tar pathway is largely autonomous.

**Activation of Nodal signalling triggers the specific translocation of blastomeres to the surface of the YSL**

Endoderm progenitors form at the margin of the embryo under the influence of Nodal-related signals. Very soon after their fate can be established, endoderm progenitors sink into the depth of the blastoderm to reach the surface of the YSL, on which they actively migrate during gastrulation. It was not clear whether endoderm cells need to be close to the margin to achieve these translocation movements. It is not established either whether the marginal YSL has specific properties as compared to the animal pole YSL, which allow the proper recognition by endoderm cells. By transplanting endodermated activated cells or ECB to the animal pole of zebrafish blastulae, we have observed that, soon after the onset of gastrulation, these transplanted cells reach the YSL. Furthermore, they do not require involution through the margin of the embryo to reach the YSL. These results, which were also observed when grafting at any position of the host embryo, clearly demonstrate first that the animal pole and marginal YSL represent equal targets for the translocation of endoderm cells, although we cannot exclude that endoderm cells translocate faster at the margin than at the animal pole. Second, they show that once activated, cells can directly reach their target site, without the need for specific cues provided by the margin of the embryo. Nodal-related signals have also been reported to control the segregation between mesoderm and endoderm, thus Nodal signals may play a general role in controlling cell position in the gastrula (Wacker et al., 2000).

Analysis of the behaviour of activated cells clearly raises two issues. First we need to understand how they can sort from their neighbours and second how they direct their movement to the YSL. One possibility is that activated cells express a range of adhesive/repulsive molecules that identify them as endodermal. In this case, they would be progressively expelled from the animal pole to become interspersed within the endodermal cells. This sorting-out process (Townes and Holtfreter, 1955) had been proposed by Ho to explain the exclusion of hypoblastic cells from the epiblast (Ho, 1993) and was more recently suggested to explain the behaviour of Xsox17-expressing blastomeres in Xenopus (Clements and Woodland, 2000). Such a sorting-out process, if involved, does not rely on the presence of endoderm, since activated cells translocate to the YSL in a cas environment. Alternatively, specific translocation to the YSL may result from a high mobility of activated cells or from guidance cues provided by the environment and/or the YSL. The delineation of this process is currently under investigation in our laboratory. In any case, the properties of activated cells, combined with the use of mutants, should greatly facilitate a better understanding of the cellular and molecular processes controlling cell movements during gastrulation, a major open question.

**Activated cells give rise to correctly patterned endodermal derivatives**

In 24 hpf embryos, activated cells grafted to the margin of the blastoderm are found in AP positions consistent with the fate map established at the beginning of gastrulation, indicating that, during gastrulation, they behave like endogenous endoderm. Furthermore, when transplanted into either wild-type or cas recipient embryos, they correctly express regionalized endodermal markers such as nks2.3, fkd7 or insulin. In particular, no marked ectopic expression of these genes has been observed. Thus, endodermal tissues derived from activated cells seem to be correctly patterned along the anteroposterior axis. This AP pattern may be established in different non-exclusive ways. One possibility is that endoderm obtains its regional identity via an autonomous program, without regard to adjacent germ layers. For instance, subsets of endoderm cells could be predetermined, at the onset of gastrulation, to migrate up to the animal pole or to remain next to the margin and to differentiate accordingly. Predetermination appears highly unlikely since activated cells, although they can give rise to posterior endodermal derivatives when grafted to the margin, do not when grafted to the animal pole. A second possibility is that the AP identity of endodermal cells could be defined as a consequence of the length or
duration of migration during gastrulation. This can also be ruled out because cells grafted to the animal pole do not have to undergo extensive migration and still differentiate according to their AP position. The third, most likely, possibility is that cell-to-cell interactions provide positional information for the endoderm. One good example in this respect is the regulation, in the prospective anterior endoderm, of the gene her5, which involves both positive and negative extracellular signals (Bally-Cuif et al., 2000). One possibility is that endoderm is submitted to self-patterning by the release of planar signals emanating from a specific region of the endoderm germ layer. Here we show that the small fragments of endoderm restored in cas mutants, otherwise deficient of endoderm, are normally patterned. It thus seems unlikely that they acquired their AP identity through patterning signals solely emitted by the endoderm. Rather, patterning signals are most likely provided by the environment of endoderm cells, irrespective of the germ layer considered. In agreement with this idea, recent results established in Xenopus have shown that endoderm retains its AP identity when grafted along with its mesodermal and ectodermal sheath, but does not when grafted alone (Zeynali et al., 2000). Likewise, in mice, in vitro explant assays have shown that adjacent germ layers provide diffusible signals that induce organ-specific gene expression in endoderm. In particular, FGF4 could act as a morphogen for posterior endoderm since it induces different markers in a dose-dependent manner (Wells and Melton, 2000). These in vitro approaches, as well as our own studies on the regulation of the her5 gene have opened the way to a better understanding of endoderm patterning. In this context, the use of Nodal/Tar-activated cells should prove a very useful tool in understanding the mechanisms controlling this crucial patterning process.

A role for endoderm in heart morphogenesis

Endoderm is thought to play an essential role in the control of organogenesis. Our work has provided the opportunity to examine the specific function of endoderm in the organogenesis of the heart. In addition to the absence of endoderm, cas embryos exhibit an abnormal morphogenesis of the heart, leading to cardia bifida. By introducing wild-type endoderm into cas embryos, normal heart morphogenesis can be rescued, providing direct evidence that the cardia bifida is not due to the absence of cas activity in heart precursors, but more likely in surrounding tissues. In addition, both the lack of endoderm in cas embryos and the restoration of heart morphogenesis by introduction of wild-type endoderm strongly support a role for endoderm itself in mediating cardiac fusion. This interpretation is consistent with previous reports, including ours. Quails with abnormal endodermal apoptosis display a severe cardiac phenotype sometimes leading to cardia bifida. This phenotype can be rescued by grafting wild-type anterior endoderm (Ghatpande et al., 2000). Several mutants, including Gata4 in the mouse and fau, bon and oep in zebrafish, exhibiting cardia bifida, have an associated defective endodermal tissue. Chimera analysis have shown that expression of gata4 restricted to endoderm is sufficient to rescue heart formation (Narita et al., 1997). In studying the cardia bifida exhibited by zebrafish oep mutants, we have shown that the rescue of endoderm tissue in these mutants results in the non-autonomous fusion of the hemicardia (Peyriras et al., 1998). Taken together these results support a major role for endoderm in heart hemicardia fusion. Although this role could be indirect, the immediate proximity of pharyngeal endoderm and the heart precursors suggests that close interactions between the two tissues allow proper migration of the hemicardia to the midline and subsequent fusion to generate a functional heart. One possibility is that endoderm provides a simple substratum for migration of hemicardia to the midline under the influence of non endodermal cues. Another possibility is that endoderm provides both a substratum and directional cues. A third possibility is that heart hemicardia undergo passive migration toward the midline, carried by the extensive migration of pharyngeal endoderm below the head (Peyriras et al., 1998), a phenomenon we also observe when grafting Tar*-activated cells. We clearly cannot choose between these possibilities but we notice that, in weak alleles of fau, pharyngeal endoderm is present but exhibits defective convergence, a phenomenon supporting the third possibility. In conclusion, our results clearly show that grafts of wild-type activated cells into mutants devoid of endoderm is a powerful tool for understanding the organising and/or inducing activities of endoderm during development.
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