Directed differentiation of pluripotent cells to neural lineages: homogeneous formation and differentiation of a neurectoderm population
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SUMMARY

During embryogenesis the central and peripheral nervous systems arise from a neural precursor population, neurectoderm, formed during gastrulation. We demonstrate the differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells to neurectoderm in culture, in a manner which recapitulates embryogenesis, with the sequential and homogeneous formation of primitive ectoderm, neural plate and neural tube. Formation of neurectoderm occurs in the absence of extraembryonic endoderm or mesoderm and results in a stratified epithelium of cells with morphology, gene expression and differentiation potential consistent with positionally unspecified neural tube. Differentiation of this population to homogeneous populations of neural crest or glia was also achieved. Neurectoderm formation in culture allows elucidation of signals involved in neural specification and generation of implantable cell populations for therapeutic use.
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INTRODUCTION

Cell therapy, the use of cells for the correction of disease, provides an opportunity to create therapeutic agents for previously untreatable human diseases caused by cell damage or dysfunction. Potential sources of therapeutic cells include the differentiated products of human adult stem cells, such as neural stem cells and haemopoietic stem cells, and human pluripotent cells representative of pluripotent cells of the early embryo (Rathjen et al., 1998). In order to fulfil requirements for cell production, progenitor cells must proliferate in culture, preferably from clonal isolates, allow precise genetic manipulation of the genome and differentiate effectively to cell populations suitable for implantation.

The best characterised pluripotent cells are mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells isolated from the inner cell mass (ICM) of the preimplantation blastocyst (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Martin, 1981; Brook and Gardner, 1997). ES cells can be maintained stably as a pluripotent cell population in culture for indefinite periods of time in the presence of gp130 agonists and support both clonal proliferation and precision genome modification (reviewed by Smith, 1992; Rathjen and Rathjen, 2001). Withdrawal of gp130 signalling, formation of embryoid bodies (EBs) or reintroduction to the early mouse embryo leads to differentiation of ES cells into a variety of differentiated cell populations, which can include all embryonic and adult cell populations, including the germ lineage (Bradley et al., 1984; Doetschman et al., 1985). Mouse ES cells therefore fulfil the requirements for cell therapy applications, although methodologies for controlled differentiation of these cells have not been described. Human ES cells, with similar properties to mouse ES cells, have been reported (Thomson et al., 1998; Reubinoff et al., 2000) but not yet characterised extensively.

ES cells can be aggregated and differentiated in suspension culture. In the absence of gp130 signalling, aggregated ES cells form structures termed embryoid bodies (EBs), which recapitulate many aspects of cell differentiation during early mammalian embryogenesis (Doetschman et al., 1985; Shen and Leder, 1992; Lake et al., 2000). Outer cells form extraembryonic endoderm and its derivatives while inner cells undergo processes equivalent to formation of the proamniotic cavity (Coucouvanis and Martin, 1995) and primitive ectoderm (Shen and Leder, 1992; Lake et al., 2000). Outer cells form extraembryonic endoderm and its derivatives while inner cells undergo processes equivalent to formation of the proamniotic cavity (Coucouvanis and Martin, 1995) and primitive ectoderm (Shen and Leder, 1992; Lake et al., 2000).
mammalian embryogenesis and for the production of cell populations with therapeutic application.

Lineage-specific differentiation of ES cells to both primitive ectoderm and subsequently mesoderm has been achieved by manipulation of the differentiation environment. ES cells cultured as monolayers in the presence of medium conditioned by the human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HepG2 (MEDII) have been shown to form a second, stable pluripotent cell population, early primitive ectoderm-like EPL cells (Rathjen et al., 1999). EPL cells demonstrate morphology, gene expression, differentiation potential and cytokine responsiveness distinct from ES cells but characteristic of the post-implantation pluripotent cell population of the mouse embryo, primitive ectoderm. Further differentiation of EPL cells within EBs results in the efficient formation of mesoderm at the expense of both visceral endoderm and embryonic ectodermal lineages (Lake et al., 2000).

While formation of populations enriched in neural cells, from ES cells, has been achieved by differentiation in the presence of retinoic acid (Bain et al., 1996), use of selective medium on ES cells and the products of EB differentiation (Okabe et al., 1996; Tropepe et al., 2001), coculture with inactivated feeder layers (Kawasaki et al., 2000) or use of genetically modified ES cells and antibiotic selection to select for cells expressing early neural markers (Li et al., 1998), there are inherent deficiencies in these approaches (Rathjen and Rathjen, 2001). For example, neural precursors produced in response to retinoic acid induction appear to be developmentally restricted such that further differentiation results in production of a limited range of neural cell types (Renoncourt et al., 1998). Furthermore, formation of neural progenitors in the presence of other cell lineages, as generated for example within EBs, may expose developmentally plastic cells to inappropriate signals. Finally, selective techniques are limited to cells with specific properties, such as gene expression or survival, which may not necessarily be those best suited to further analysis or exploitation.

Genetic and biochemical analysis of neuralcrest specification, patterning and differentiation, and production of cells for therapeutic application, would be facilitated by the availability of an embryologically relevant population of neural precursors generated by stepwise, homogeneous differentiation of ES cells in a manner recapitulating establishment of this lineage during embryogenesis. Here, we describe a novel approach to generation of neural lineages, via directed differentiation of ES cells to a homogeneous population equivalent to embryonic neuralcrest without the formation of embryoid bodies, extraembryonic cell populations or other germ lineages and without the use of selective techniques. Differentiation of ES cells in suspension in medium supplemented with MEDII resulted in recapitulation of neuralcrest formation in the embryo with the ordered and synchronous appearance of primitive ectoderm, neural plate and neural tube equivalent populations. The resulting neuralcrest population comprised a columnar epithelial sheet, consistent with the morphology of this cell population in vivo, which expressed early neural markers but did not express genes associated with positional specification. Homogeneous differentiation of pluripotent cell-derived neuralcrest to neural crest or glia, and the demonstration of neuron formation, was consistent with an unrestricted differentiation potential and provided the first demonstration of directed terminal differentiation of pluripotent cells in culture. Recapitulation of formation of the mammalian neural lineage in vitro, in the absence of potentially instructive signals originating from other cell lineages, provides a system for evaluation, at a molecular and cellular level, of the mechanisms of neur Crest formation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture
ES cell lines E14 (Hooper et al., 1987) and D3 (Doetschman et al., 1985) were used in this study. Routine culture of ES and EPL cells and production of MEDII- and sfMEDII-conditioned medium were as described by Rathjen et al. (Rathjen et al., 1999). Briefly, HepG2 cells (Knowles et al., 1980) (ATCC HB-8065) were trypsinized to a single cell or near single cell suspension and seeded at 5×10⁵ cells/cm² in DMEM (Gibco BRL #12800) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum (FCS; Commonwealth Serum Laboratories) and 1 mM L-glutamine to give a ratio of 1.75×10⁵ cells/ml medium. Conditioned medium was collected after 4 days culture, sterilised by filtration through a 22 µm membrane and supplemented with 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME) before use. MEDII was stored at 4°C for 1-2 weeks. For these experiments MEDII was not frozen. HepG2 cells were replenished from frozen stocks every 2 months.

Formation of cell aggregates
All cell aggregates were formed from single cell suspensions (1×10⁵ cells/ml) of ES or EPL cells cultured in bacterial Petri dishes. ES cell and EPL cell embryoid bodies (EBs and EPLEBs respectively) were formed as described previously (Lake et al., 2000). EBMs, cell aggregates formed and maintained in MEDII, were formed from ES cells aggregated in IC:DMEM (DMEM with 10% FCS, 4 mg/ml gentamicin, 1 mM L-glutamine and 0.1 mM β-ME) supplemented with 50% MEDII. Aggregates were divided 1 in 2 on days 2 and 4, and medium was changed on days 2 and 4 then daily until collection. In early experiments 10-20 ng/ml FGF4 was added to the medium from day 4, however this did not influence the outcome of differentiation and was omitted in later experiments. The time in days from formation of aggregates was denoted by superscript with the day of formation denoted as day 0. For example, EBM 5 days after formation are represented as EBM⁵.

For continued suspension culture of EBs and EBMs, aggregates on day 7 were transferred to serum-free medium (50% DMEM, 50% Hams F12; Gibco BRL # 11765) supplemented with 1× insulin transferrin-sodium selenite (ITSS) supplement (Boehringer Mannheim) and 10 ng/ml FGF2 (Peprotech).

For adherent culture, aggregates were seeded onto gelatin-treated tissue-culture grade plasticware (Falcon) on day 7 of development in 500 µl DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS (Commonwealth Serum Laboratories). On day 8 medium was removed and replaced with 50% DMEM, 50% Hams F12 supplemented with 1× ITSS (Boehringer Mannheim).

Analysis of differentiation potential of cells within cellular aggregates
EB⁵ and EBM⁵ were seeded as described above and assessed on days 8, 10, 12 and 14 for the presence of neurons, identified morphologically by the presence of axonal projections (and confirmed by the expression of NF200; data not shown), and beating cardiocytes, identified morphologically by rhythmic contraction of cells within the aggregate.

Neural crest formation
EBM⁶ were collected, washed in PBS, treated with 0.5 mM EGTA
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pH 7.5 for 3 minutes, washed in PBS and disaggregated to small clumps (20-200 cells) by trituration. Cell clumps were allowed to settle and single cells liberated during trituration were removed with the supernatant before plating onto tissue-culture grade plasticware that had been coated with cellular fibronectin (1 μg/cm²; a gift from M. D. Bettess, Department of Biochemistry, Adelaide University, Australia) and allowed to dry. Cells were cultured in Ham’s F12 containing 3% FCS and 10 ng/ml FGF2 and supplemented with either 0.1% 25 μM staurosporine (Sigma) in DMSO (final concentration, 25 nM) or 0.1% DMSO. Cellular aggregates were allowed to differentiate for 48 hours before fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 minutes.

Glial lineage formation

EBM⁴ were collected, washed in PBS and broken into small clumps as described above. Cell clumps were transferred to tissue culture plasticware pretreated with poly-L-ornithine as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Sigma) and cultured in 50% DMEM, 50% F12, 1× ITSS, 1× N2 supplement (Sigma), 10 ng/ml FGF2, 20 ng/ml EGF (R&D Systems Inc.) and 1 μg/ml laminin (Sigma). Medium was changed daily. After 5 days medium was changed to 50% DMEM, 50% F12, 1× ITSS, 1× N2 supplement (Sigma), 10 ng/ml FGF2 and 10 ng/ml PDGF-AA (R&D Systems Inc.). Cells were fixed for analysis on day 7 or 8 of culture by treatment with 4% PFA for 30 minutes.

Gene expression analysis

Northern blot analysis

Cytoplasmic RNA was isolated from cellular aggregates using the method of Rathjen et al. (Rathjen et al., 2001). Northern blot analysis was performed as described previously (Thomas et al., 1995). DNA probes were prepared from DNA fragments using a GigaPrime labelling kit (Bresagen). DNA fragments used were as described previously (Rathjen et al., 1999; Lake et al., 2000).

RNase protection analysis

20 μg of cytoplasmic RNA, isolated from cellular aggregates (Rathjen et al., 2001), was analysed for the expression of Sox1 and mGAP as described by Lake et al. (Lake et al., 2000).

In situ hybridisation analysis

In situ hybridisation of cell layers and whole-mount in situ hybridisation analysis of cell aggregates was performed using the method of Rosen and Beddington (Rosen and Beddington, 1993) with modifications (Rathjen et al., 1999; Lake et al., 2000). Antisense and sense probes for the detection of Oct4, Fgf5 and brachyury were synthesised as described previously (Rathjen et al., 1999; Lake et al., 2000). Antisense Sox1 probes were synthesised by T3 RNA polymerase as run-off transcripts from plasmid #1022 linearised with BamHI. Sox2 transcripts were generated from a 748 bp Acc1/XbaI cDNA fragment cloned into pBluescript SK. Transcripts were generated from Acc1 and XbaI linearised plasmid transcribed with T3 (antisense) and T7 (sense) RNA polymerases respectively. Both Sox1-
PCR analysis of neurectoderm gene expression
Total RNA was extracted from cell aggregates as described by Rathjen et al. (Rathjen et al., 2001). cDNA was synthesised from 1 µg of total RNA using Superscript™ II First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Gibco BRL) following the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR was performed using Platinum PCR Supermix (Gibco BRL) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Reactions were performed in a capillary thermocycler (Corbett Research), with cycling parameters as described previously (Okabe et al., 1996). Primer sequences and the length of amplified products were as follows:

- AFP (471 bp)
  - 5’ CAAAGCATTGCACGAAAATG 3’; 5’ TAAACACCCCATCGCCAGAGT 3’
- En2 (512 bp)
  - 5’ AGGTCTAAGGCTAGTTTCA 3’; 5’ CAGTCCCTTTGCAAGAAAAA 3’
- Hes1 (310 bp)
  - 5’ GGGAAGGTGCTCAGCTC 3’; 5’ Hes1 (310 bp)
- Oct4 (482 bp)
  - 5’ CGTCTCTTGTTAAGAGCTC 3’; 5’ CGTCTCTTGTTAAGAGCTC 3’
- Sox2 (501 bp)
  - 5’ CGAAGGGTTAGGCAAGA 3’; 5’ CGTCTCTTGTTAAGAGCTC 3’
- T-box (502 bp)
  - 5’ GGAAGGGCAAAAGGAGA TACC 3’; 5’ GGAAGGGCAAAAGGAGA TACC 3’
- Oct4 (503 bp)
  - 5’ GAAGGTGAGGAAGTACTCT 3’; 5’ GAAGGTGAGGAAGTACTCT 3’
- Oct4 (504 bp)
  - 5’ CGTCTCTTGTTAAGAGCTC 3’; 5’ CGTCTCTTGTTAAGAGCTC 3’
- Nkx2.2 (514 bp)
  - 5’ TCTCTCTCCTAACGGCAAGC 3’; 5’ ACAACCGTGTTAAGGATCG 3’
- Pax3 (502 bp)
  - 5’ CGTGTCAGATCCCGAGGATG 3’; 5’ CGTGTCAGATCCCGAGGATG 3’
- Pax6 (500 bp)
  - 5’ ATGGTCTGCGAACTGCTA 3’; 5’ ATGGTCTGCGAACTGCTA 3’
- Sihh (502 bp)
  - 5’ GGAATCTACCCCAATTACA 3’; 5’ GGAATCTACCCCAATTACA 3’

PCR products were analysed on 2% agarose gels and visualised with ethidium bromide.

**Histological analysis**
EB⁴ and EBM⁴ were fixed with 4% PFA for 30 minutes before embedding in paraffin wax and sectioning as described previously (Hogan et al., 1994). 7 µm sections were stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin (Kauffman, 1992), or with Hoechst 22358 (5 µg/ml in PBS; Sigma) for 5 minutes. EBM’s, which had been analysed by whole-mount in situ hybridisation, were fixed in 4% PFA overnight, washed several times with PBS, 0.1% Tween 20, treated with 100% methanol for 5 minutes and then isopropanol for 10 minutes. Bodies were then treated and embedded as described previously (Hogan et al., 1994).

**Immunohistochemical analysis**
Cellular aggregates were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 30 minutes and dehydrated in sequential 30-minute washes in 50% ethanol and 70% ethanol. Cells were rehydrated in PBS and permeabilised with RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40; 0.5% NaDOC, 0.1% SDS) for 30 minutes, washed in PBS and blocked in 10% goat serum, 2% BSA in PBS for 30 minutes. Primary antibodies, diluted in blocking buffer, were added and incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing in PBS, aggregates were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated, species-specific secondary antibodies directed against the primary...
antibodies in 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 0.5% blocking reagent (Boehringer Mannheim). Cellular aggregates were washed in Buffer 2 (100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.5), 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl) and antibody conjugates were detected enzymatically with NBT and BCIP (both Boehringer Mannheim) made up in Buffer 2 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Aggregates were examined using a Nikon TE300 microscope with Hoffmann interference contrast optics. The antibodies used were directed against nestin (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, reference Rat 401) used at a dilution of 1:150, tubulin-β III (mouse anti-tubulin, beta II isoform; Chemicon #MAB1637) used at a dilution of 1:1000, NeuN (mouse anti-neuronal nuclei, Chemicon #MAB377) used at a dilution of 1:200, and GFAP (anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein; Sigma #G9269) used at a dilution of 1:1000. Secondary antibodies were alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (ZyMax grade, Zymed Laboratories Inc.) used at a concentration of 1:48/experiment, 5 experimental repeats represented. (E,F) EBM7 were seeded and cultured for a further 4 days in serum-free medium before analysis for the presence of NeuN (E) and tubulin-β III (F). (G,H) EBM7 were analysed by whole-mount in situ hybridisation for the expression of Sox1 (G) and Sox2 (H) using digoxigenin-labelled antisense probes. After colour development, aggregates were fixed, embedded and cut into 7 µm sections. Sections were viewed under brightfield microscopy. Size bar: 210 µm. (I) EBM10 were disaggregated, probed for the expression of NCAM by immunohistochemistry and analysed by flow cytometry. The bar, which indicates positive fluorescence, was determined experimentally by analysis of cells probed with secondary antibody alone (data not shown).

Flow cytometry analysis
EB10 and EBM10 were collected and washed in PBS, then disassociated by incubating for 5 minutes in 0.5 mM EDTA/PBS
followed by vigorous pipetting and agitation to a single cell suspension. Cells were washed several times in PBS before fixation with 4% PFA for 30 minutes. Fixed cells were resuspended in 1% BSA/PBS, resuspended at 1 x 10^6 cells/ml, and incubated with antibody directed against NCAM (Santa Cruz Biotech, SC-1507) at a dilution of 1:2 for 1 hour. Cells were washed with 1% BSA/PBS before incubation with FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgM (μ-specific; Sigma) used at a concentration of 1:100. FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgM was pre-adsorbed for 1 hour in 1% BSA/PBS, resuspended at 1 x 10^4 cells on a Becton Dickinson FACScan and analysis performed using CellQuest 3.1.

RESULTS

Formation of EPL cells from ES cells in suspension

Previous results described the formation of EPL cells from ES cells cultured in monolayer (Rathjen et al., 1999). To test the effects of suspension culture, ES cells were aggregated in IC:DMEM or IC:DMEM supplemented with 50% MEDII to form EBs and EBMs respectively. After 4 days, cellular aggregates formed in the presence of MEDII (EBM^4) could be distinguished from EB^4 by morphology. Histological analysis of sectioned EB^4 and EBM^4 showed EBM^4 to comprise a multi-cell layer of uniform thickness surrounding a single, internal area of cell death indicated by the presence of pyknotic nuclei (Fig. 1A,B). In contrast, EB^4 were internally disorganised with sporadic, multiple foci of cell death dispersed throughout the aggregates (Fig. 1C,D). Consistent with the results of others (Doetschman et al., 1985) a morphologically distinct outer layer of extraembryonic endoderm was apparent at low levels in EB^4 and at higher levels in more advanced EBs, and expression of AFP, a marker of visceral endoderm, was detected in both EB^4 and EB^9 (Fig. 1E). By contrast, extra-embryonic endoderm could not be seen in EBM^4 or in later populations of EBMs. Furthermore, AFP expression could not be detected by RT-PCR in populations of EBM^4 and was detected only at extremely low levels in populations of EBM^9 (Fig. 1E), suggesting an absence of extra-embryonic cell types.

EB^2-5 and EBM^2-5 were analysed by northern blot (Fig. 1F) for the expression of Oct4, a marker gene for pluripotent cells (Rosner et al., 1990; Schöler et al., 1990), and Fgf5, a gene up-regulated in pluripotent cells upon primitive ectoderm formation (Haub and Goldfarb, 1991). Oct4 expression was maintained at high levels throughout these early stages of EBM development indicating that pluripotent cell differentiation had not commenced within these aggregates. High level Oct4 expression in EBM^4 was accompanied by elevated Fgf5 expression, indicating that the pluripotent cells had formed primitive ectoderm. Consistent with this, expression of Rex1, a marker of the pluripotent cells of the ICM but not primitive ectoderm, was down regulated between days 1 and 2 of EBM development (data not shown). In contrast, highest levels of Oct4 and Fgf5 expression in EBs were observed at days 2-3 and day 3 respectively. Downregulation of both genes in EB^4 indicated that pluripotent cells within these aggregates had commenced differentiation.

The distribution of pluripotent cells within aggregates was investigated by whole-mount in situ hybridisation of EB^4 and EBM^4 with Oct4 and Fgf5 antisense probes. Uniform expression of Oct4 (Fig. 1G) and Fgf5 (Fig. 1H) within and between individual EB^4 aggregates was consistent with the deduced cellular homogeneity of primitive ectoderm within these aggregates and persistence of pluripotent cells to day 4. This contrasted with patchy expression of these markers within and between individual EB^4 aggregates (Fig. 1I,J), consistent with the variable onset and progression of pluripotent cell differentiation within EBs described here and by others (Haub and Goldfarb, 1991).

The expression of brachyury, a marker for nascent mesoderm (Herrmann, 1991), was used to confirm the onset of mesodermal differentiation in the aggregates. Brachyury expression was analysed in EBM^2-4 and EB^2-3 by northern blot (Fig. 1F) and in EB^4 and EB^9 by whole-mount in situ hybridisation (Fig. 1K,L). In EBs, brachyury expression was up regulated on day 4 of development, coincident with the loss of pluripotency in the aggregates. In contrast brachyury expression could not be detected by either method in EBM^2-4, consistent with the maintenance of Oct4 expression and supporting a lack of differentiation within these aggregates. EBM^4 therefore appear to constitute a homogeneous population of EPL cells equivalent to embryonic primitive ectoderm.

MEDII has been shown to contain 50-100 units of human LIF (Rathjen et al., 1999). LIF has been shown to retard the developmental progression of EBs in vitro (Shen and Leder, 1992). ES cells aggregated and maintained in medium supplemented with 100 units of LIF did not duplicate the morphology or gene expression profile of EBMs (data not shown), indicating the importance of additional secreted factors in MEDII (Rathjen et al., 1999) for EPL cell induction.

Directed formation of ectodermal and neuroectodermal lineages by EPL cell formation and differentiation

Continued culture of EBMs in medium containing 50% MEDII resulted in the formation of cellular aggregates displaying an unusual and distinct morphology. By day 7, >95% of the cellular aggregates within the EBM population had formed a convoluted stratified epithelial sheet of cells as shown in Fig. 2A. EBM^7 transferred to 50% DMEM:50% Hams F12 supplemented with ITSS and 10 ng/ml FGF2 for a further 2
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undifferentiated neural cells (Pevny et al., 1998). Furthermore, EBM7 seeded for 48 hours were analysed by immunohistochemistry for expression of nestin, a neurofilament protein expressed in neural progenitor cells (Fig. 3B) (Zimmerman et al., 1994). Expression of both Sox1 and nestin by EBM7 indicated the formation of neurectoderm. Consistent with earlier results (Fig. 1), the expression of brachyury was not detected within these later EBM populations by in situ hybridisation (data not shown).

Spontaneously differentiated cells were apparent in and surrounding aggregates after seeding. Individual EBM7 and EB7 were seeded and assessed on days 8, 10 and 12 (Fig. 3C,D) for the presence of beating cardiocytes, a differentiated mesoderm derivative, and neurons, a differentiated ectoderm derivative that were identified by morphology and the expression of the markers neurofilament 200 (data not shown), neuronal nuclei (NeuN) (Mullen et al., 1992) and the β III isoform of tubulin (Draberova et al., 1998) (Fig. 3E,F). Consistent with the up-regulation of neurectoderm-specific markers, and lack of brachyury expression, neurons were formed in the majority of EBM7s (91.33%) while <2% of EBM7s formed beating cardiocytes. In contrast, as expected for heterogeneous differentiation, EBs contained a mixed population of differentiated cells that included both beating cardiocytes (54.5%) and neurons (24.9%) on day 12.

**EBMs constitute a homogeneous population of neural progenitor cells**

Morphology and differentiation of EBM7s suggested that within the population nearly 100% of the cellular aggregates were neural progenitor cells. The number of cells within the population expressing neural-specific markers was evaluated to assess the homogeneity of differentiation. EBM7s were probed by whole-mount in situ hybridisation for expression of Sox1, and Sox2, which shows a similar expression pattern to Sox1 but is expressed earlier in embryogenesis (Pevny et al., 1998). Representative sections (Fig. 3G,H) showed that EBM7 was a morphologically uniform population of cells equivalent to the neurectoderm-like monolayer, in which each cell stained positive for expression of Sox1 and Sox2. No signal was detected with sense probes (data not shown).

To enable comparative quantitation of neurectoderm formation, EBM10 and EB10 were disaggregated to a single cell suspension, labelled immunocytochemically with antibodies directed against NCAM, a cell adhesion molecule expressed in neural progenitor cells (Fig. 3B) (Zimmerman et al., 1994). Expression of both Sox1 and Sox2 shows a similar expression pattern to Sox1 but is expressed earlier in embryogenesis (Pevny et al., 1998). Representative sections (Fig. 3G,H) showed that EBM7 was a morphologically uniform population of cells equivalent to the neurectoderm-like monolayer, in which each cell stained positive for expression of Sox1 and Sox2. No signal was detected with sense probes (data not shown).

EBM7s seeded for 48 hours were analysed by immunohistochemistry for expression of nestin, a neurofilament protein expressed in neural progenitor cells (Fig. 3B) (Zimmerman et al., 1994). Expression of both Sox1 and nestin by EBM7s indicated the formation of neurectoderm. Consistent with earlier results (Fig. 1), the expression of brachyury was not detected within these later EBM populations by in situ hybridisation (data not shown).

Spontaneously differentiated cells were apparent in and surrounding aggregates after seeding. Individual EBM7 and EB7 were seeded and assessed on days 8, 10 and 12 (Fig. 3C,D) for the presence of beating cardiocytes, a differentiated mesoderm derivative, and neurons, a differentiated ectoderm derivative that were identified by morphology and the expression of the markers neurofilament 200 (data not shown), neuronal nuclei (NeuN) (Mullen et al., 1992) and the βIII isoform of tubulin (Draberova et al., 1998) (Fig. 3E,F). Consistent with the up-regulation of neurectoderm-specific markers, and lack of brachyury expression, neurons were formed in the majority of EBM7s (91.33%) while <2% of EBM7s formed beating cardiocytes. In contrast, as expected for heterogeneous differentiation, EBs contained a mixed population of differentiated cells that included both beating cardiocytes (54.5%) and neurons (24.9%) on day 12.

**EBMs constitute a homogeneous population of neural progenitor cells**

Morphology and differentiation of EBM7s suggested that within the population nearly 100% of the cellular aggregates were neural progenitor cells. The number of cells within the population expressing neural-specific markers was evaluated to assess the homogeneity of differentiation. EBM7s were probed by whole-mount in situ hybridisation for expression of Sox1, and Sox2, which shows a similar expression pattern to Sox1 but is expressed earlier in embryogenesis (Pevny et al., 1998). Representative sections (Fig. 3G,H) showed that EBM7 was a morphologically uniform population of cells equivalent to the neurectoderm-like monolayer, in which each cell stained positive for expression of Sox1 and Sox2. No signal was detected with sense probes (data not shown).

To enable comparative quantitation of neurectoderm formation, EBM10 and EB10 were disaggregated to a single cell suspension, labelled immunocytochemically with antibodies directed against NCAM, a cell adhesion molecule expressed strongly in the nervous system (Rutihauer, 1992; Ronn et al., 1998), and analysed by flow cytometry (Fig. 3D). 95.7% of cells from EBM10 were scored positive for NCAM expression, demonstrating relatively uniform differentiation of these aggregates to neural lineages. In comparison, only 42.13% of
cells from EB10 expressed NCAM, consistent with the established heterogeneity of ES cell differentiation within this system.

**MEDII redirects EPL cell differentiation from mesoderm to ectoderm**

It has been previously reported that EPL cells form neurons poorly, if at all, when differentiated as EBs, but form elevated levels of nascent and differentiated mesoderm (Lake et al., 2000). This has been interpreted as reflecting disrupted signalling from visceral endoderm or visceral endoderm-derived ECM (Lake et al., 2000; Rathjen et al., 2001). EPL cells, formed by culture of ES cells in IC:DMEM supplemented with 50% MEDII for 2 days, were aggregated and cultured in suspension for 7 days in either IC:DMEM (EPLEBs) or IC:DMEM supplemented with 50% MEDII (EPLEBM). On day 7, individual EPL cell embryoid bodies were seeded onto gelatin-treated tissue culture plasticware in IC:DMEM. On day 8 the medium was changed to DMEM:F12 and embryoid bodies were cultured for a further 4 days before microscopic inspection for the presence of beating cardiocytes and neurons.

As shown in Fig. 4A, EPL cell embryoid bodies formed beating cardiocytes efficiently (35.25%) but neurons at low levels (3.6%), consistent with previous reports and gene expression (Lake et al., 2000). In contrast, EPL cell embryoid bodies cultured in the presence of 50% MEDII (EPLEBM) exhibited significantly lower levels of beating cardiocyte formation (0.9%), and an up regulation in neuron formation to 83.73% (Fig. 4B). These data suggest that signals contained within MEDII replace those deficient in the EPLEB differentiation environment (Lake et al., 2000; Rathjen et al., 2001) to direct the pluripotent cells to an ectodermal/neural fate.

**Neural formation within EBM9s is relatively synchronous and reflects the temporal formation of neural lineages in the embryo**

During embryogenesis, formation of neurectoderm is characterised by progressive alterations in gene expression. The neural plate, which contains the earliest neural precursors, is characterised by expression of Sox1 within a group of cells on the anterior midline of the embryo (Pevny et al., 1998). This population of cells also expresses the homeobox gene Gbx2 (Wasserman et al., 1997). With continued development the neural plate folds at the midline and the outer edges close to form the neural tube. Sox1 expression is maintained after tube closure but Gbx2 expression is down regulated in the majority of cells of the neural lineage and persists only in a restricted population of cells at the mid-brain/hind-brain boundary (Wasserman et al., 1997).

After further culture for 24, 48 and 72 hours, whole-mount in situ hybridisation of seeded EB9 was used to investigate the temporal regulation of Sox1 and Gbx2 during EBM progression. After 24 hours, Sox1 was expressed in approximately 50% of the cells within the seeded aggregates (Fig. 5A). The extent of Sox1 expression was increased after 48 hours, and evident in the majority of cells within the aggregates after 48 and 72 hours culture (Fig. 5B,C), indicating formation of neurectoderm. Gbx2 was also expressed in approximately 50% of the cells within the seeded aggregates after 24 hours, but was seen in fewer cells within the population in after 48 hours and was virtually undetectable after 72 hours (Fig. 5D,E,F). The loss of Gbx2 expression in aggregates in which Sox1 expression persists recapitulates the temporal regulation of this gene in the developing neural tube of the embryo and suggests that the progression of neurectoderm formation in vitro recapitulates the formation of this cell population in vivo.

**EPLE cell-derived neurectoderm induced by MEDII is not positionally specified**

In vivo the neural tube acquires region-specific gene expression with respect to both the rostral-caudal and dorsal-ventral axes, indicative of restricted developmental fate. Expression of markers of the neural tube in vivo shortly after closure in restricted anterior, posterior and ventral domains was analysed in EB9 by RT-PCR, and compared to EB9, which is a mixed population of cells containing ectoderm and mesoderm, and EPLEB9, a mesoderm-enriched, ectoderm deficient (Lake et al., 2000) population.

As shown in Fig. 6, the expression of genes marking presumptive forebrain, Hesx1 (Thomas and Beddington, 1996) and Nkx2.2 (Price et al., 1992), individual rhombomeres of the hindbrain, HoxB1 (Studer et al., 1998) and Krox20 (Nieto et al., 1991), posterior ectoderm and trunk, Hoxa7 (Mahon et al., 1988) andventral neural tube, Shh (Marti et al., 1995) was not detected in EB9. Furthermore, the absence of Shh expression suggests that the signalling pathways leading to ventralisation of the neural tube were not active in the EBM system (Echelard et al., 1993). En1, En2 and Otx1 are expressed in a broad region of the anterior neural tube around the time of closure and subsequently within defined regions of the midbrain (Davis and Joyner, 1988; Simeone et al., 1998). These genes were expressed in EB9 as was Mash1, a gene expressed in domains of the neuroepithelium of the forebrain, midbrain and spinal cord between days 8.5 and 10.5 d.p.c. (Guillemot and Joyner, 1993), Pax3 and Pax6 (Goulding et al., 1991; Walther and Bruce, 1991), and Emx2, a gene expressed in the forebrain at 8.5 d.p.c. (Simeone et al., 1992). Gene expression therefore suggested that neurectoderm formed within EBMs lacked positional information and was most similar to unspecified anterior neurectoderm with characteristics of fore- and midbrain.

Consistent with the described mesodermal differentiation within EPLEBs (Lake et al., 2000), expression of neural marker genes in EPLEB9 was absent or detected at very low levels. Where expression was detected it was ascribed to additional, non-neural sites of expression in the embryo, for example, Shh expression in the prechordal plate (Marti et al., 1995), Hoxb1 expression in primitive streak mesoderm (Studer et al., 1998), Hoxa7 expression in the primordia of the vertebrae and ribs (Mahon et al., 1988), Pax3 expression in newly formed somites and later in the dermomyotome (Goulding et al., 1991) and En1 expression in tissues of somitic origin (Davis and Joyner, 1988).

Expression of all genes was detected in EB9, reflecting the complex mix of cell populations formed in this differentiation environment. As for EPLEBs, a proportion of this expression could be attributed to non-neural sites of embryonic expression. However, the expression within EB9 of Krox20 and...
Directed neural differentiation of ES cells

EPL cell-derived neuroectoderm has a developmental potential consistent with embryonic neural tube and can be directed to neural crest or glial lineages in response to exogenous signals

Embryonic neuroectoderm acts as the progenitor population for the neural, glial and neural crest lineages in vivo. Others have developed conditions that promote formation of the neural crest and glial lineages from neural precursors in vitro. Neural tube explants from the quail have been shown to form neural crest and glial lineages from neural precursors in vitro. Neural developed conditions that promote formation of the neural crest in vivo (Southard-Smith et al., 1998). Consistent with the crest-like morphology of the differentiating cells, Sox10 expression was observed in all migratory cells cultured in medium containing staurosporine, but not in cells cultured in medium containing 0.1% DMSO.

Sequential culture of ES cell derived neural stem cells in EGF/laminin and PDGF-AA has been shown to enrich for glial lineages (Brustle et al., 1999). EBM8 explants were cultured in medium containing FGF2 (10 ng/ml), EGF (20 ng/ml) and laminin (1 µg/ml). After 5 days EGF and laminin were omitted from the medium and PDGF-AA was added to a concentration of 10 ng/ml for a further 2-3 days. Cells were not trypsinised or triturated during differentiation. Differentiation of EB9 explants in response to EGF/laminin and PDGF-AA followed a homogeneous morphological progression depicted in Fig. 7E-G. After PDGF-AA treatment cultures were analysed by immunohistochemistry for the expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), a marker expressed by both glial precursors and differentiated astrocytes (Landry et al., 1990). Consistent with the uniform morphology of the cells formed, >95% of differentiated cells formed from EBM explants, using this protocol, expressed GFAP (Fig. 7H), indicating homogeneous differentiation of EPL cell-derived neuroectoderm to cells of the glial lineage.

EPL cell-derived neuroectoderm therefore forms a range of cell types, including neurons, and responds to exogenous signals in a manner consistent with the known properties of embryonic neuroectoderm. Homogeneous formation of differentiated products, in contrast to that described elsewhere (Brustle et al., 1999), is indicative of homogeneity within the starting neuroectoderm population.

DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated here the formation, from pluripotent cells, of a homogeneous population of neural precursors equivalent to the embryonic neural epithelium, the neuroectoderm. This cell type is normally found in the neural plate and neural tube. Unlike previously described methodologies, MEDII-directed differentiation recapitulates establishment of the neural lineage in the embryo, with the sequential elaboration of intermediate populations, and results in a homogeneous population of neuroectoderm as characterised by morphology, gene expression and differentiation potential. Without the concurrent formation of alternative ES cell differentiation products, such as extraembryonic endoderm, differentiation occurs in an environment free of known sources of instructive signals, which permits single lineage differentiation. This results in formation of a naïve or unpatterned neuroectoderm, a cell population previously

Nkx2.2, the expression of which is restricted to limited domains within the neural lineage, indicated that cryptic positional information is generated within the EB environment.
unidentified in vivo or in vitro. Synchronous and homogeneous formation of the embryonic neural precursor provides a powerful system for elucidating the molecular and cellular interactions required for formation and patterning of the neural lineage, and a well characterised neural precursor for implantation studies and further differentiation into homogeneous populations of terminally differentiated neural cell populations.

**Formation of EPL cells/primitive ectoderm in suspension culture**

Aggregation of ES cells in medium supplemented with MEDII (EBMs), resulted in the homogeneous and synchronous formation of EPL cells from ES cells in suspension, a transition previously demonstrated only in adherent culture. On day 4 of development EBMs constituted a homogeneous population, which were characterised by morphology and the acquisition of a gene expression profile equivalent to EPL cells, with the expression of Oct4 and Fgf5, but not Rex1. As expected these cells exhibited a broad differentiation potential, able to form both ectoderm and mesoderm (Fig. 3; data not shown). However no detectable associated differentiated cells, including cells of the primitive endoderm lineage, were seen in EBM4.

EBM4 formed cellular aggregates of distinctive morphology with a homogeneous multiple cell layer encompassing a single region of cell death. Analysis of EBMs earlier in development did not show formation of multiple foci of cell death that merged to form the single foci seen in EBM4. This is in contrast to EBs, which have been shown here and by others to form multiple foci of cell death at early stages that combine to form a single cavity (Coucouvanis and Martin, 1995). Cavity formation has been postulated to result from the activity of two distinct signals within the embryoid body; a diffusible ‘death’ signal from the extraembryonic endoderm and a matrix-associated survival signal from the extracellular matrix formed between the endoderm and pluripotent cells (Coucouvanis and Martin, 1995). EBMs, however, did not form the extraembryonic endoderm lineage, as assessed by morphology and gene expression and would as a consequence lack the ‘death’ signal. Similarly, cavitation and formation of a columnar primitive ectoderm epithelium in the absence of extraembryonic endoderm has been observed in EBs cultured in medium supplemented with ECM proteins (Li et al., 2001). These experiments question the requirement for a death signal in EB cavitation and support an alternative model for induction of apoptosis in pluripotent cells within EBs, perhaps from loss of cell-ECM contact (Li et al., 2001).

**Programmed differentiation of pluripotent cells to neurectoderm in vitro**

Differentiation of EPL cells as aggregates in medium without MEDII (EPILEBs) results in the efficient formation of mesoderm with an accompanying failure to form neurons (Lake et al., 2000). Furthermore, gene expression analysis of EPLLEB differentiation did not detect expression of the ectoderm-specific gene Sox1, suggesting that differentiation within this system led to the preferential formation of mesoderm. Gene expression and differentiation analyses indicated that continued culture of EBM4, which formed an homogeneous population of EPL cells, in the presence of MEDII, programs differentiation of the pluripotent cells to a relatively homogeneous population of neurectoderm in the absence of extraembryonic endoderm lineages or other germ lineages. Consistent with this, EPL cell-derived neurectoderm failed to express positional markers induced by visceral endoderm (Hesx1) and notochord (Shh) (Echalard et al., 1993; Thomas and Beddington, 1996). Differentiation in response to MEDII was complete, without residual pluripotent cells detectable within the cellular aggregates. Cells within these
aggregates were organised as a stratified neural epithelium, morphologically equivalent to the neural epithelium established during neural induction in embryogenesis. This contrasts with previous reports of production of neural precursors from ES cells which do not result in organisation of cells into a neural epithelium (Bain et al., 1996; Okabe et al., 1996; Li et al., 1998; Kawasaki et al., 2000; Tropepe et al., 2001). Supplementation of EPLEB culture medium with MEDII led to a reduction in mesoderm formation and redirection of pluripotent cells to a neurectodermal cell fate. These data suggest that activities within the conditioned MEDII direct the differentiation of pluripotent cells to the neurectodermal lineage.

Induction of the neural lineage in lower vertebrates has been suggested to occur in response to BMP4 antagonists such as noggin and chordin emanating from Spemann’s organiser (reviewed by Streit and Stern, 1999). A site of equivalent organiser activity has been demonstrated to occur at the time of gastrulation in birds and mammals, called Hensen’s node and node respectively (reviewed by Smith and Schoenwolf, 1998). However, increasing evidence suggests that these organiser structures in higher vertebrates do not play an equivalent role in neural induction. Ablation of HNF3β in mice results in embryos lacking a morphological node, node gene expression and node derivatives. However, these embryos undergo both neural induction and some neural patterning (Klingensmith et al., 1999). Similarly, mice lacking the organiser-specific gene goosecoid, misexpression of which results in formation of a supernumerary axis in Xenopus (Cho et al., 1991; Blum et al., 1992), manifest no obvious defects in gastrulation or neural induction (Yamada et al., 1995; Rivera-Perez et al., 1995). Consistent with this, misexpression of BMP4 antagonists in chick and mouse failed to demonstrate a relationship between BMP4 antagonism and neural induction (Streit et al., 1998; Klingensmith et al., 1999; Streit et al., 2000).

The programmed lineage-specific differentiation of pluripotent cells described here relies on initial formation of EPL cells from ES cells, and the activity of biologically derived factors found within the conditioned medium MEDII. This results in the sequential and relatively synchronous formation of progressively more differentiated intermediate cell populations with a temporal progression equivalent to embryogenesis. Sequential alternation of the differentiation environment can be used to direct differentiation of the neural progenitor cells to alternate neural fates. The inductive factors in MEDII required for ectodermal and neur ectodermal formation from pluripotent cells have not been characterised. Previous demonstration that EPL cells differentiated as EPLEBs fail to form both the neurectodermal lineage and the extraembryonic visceral endoderm lineage has been interpreted as evidence that neur ectoderm induction requires visceral endoderm or visceral endoderm-associated signalling (Lake et al., 2000; Rathjen et al., 2001). This is in contrast to a recent report supporting a default mechanism of neural determination from pluripotent cells (Tropepe et al., 2001). However, the low efficiency of neural determination that occurred spontaneously from ES cells (0.2%) compared to the robust induction of neural differentiation seen here questions the relevance of this differentiation pathway. Liver cells and cell lines, including HepG2 cells, share similarities in gene expression with extraembryonic visceral endoderm (Meehan et al., 1984; Rossant, 1995; Barbacci et al., 1999), therefore the induction of neur ectoderm by MEDII may result from a recapitulation of visceral endoderm signalling (Rathjen et al., 2001). Fractionation of MEDII should establish the nature of the neural induction signal.

Paradoxically, MEDII can be used to maintain a population of EPL cells in adherent culture for several passages without induction of a neural cell fate within the cells (Rathjen et al., 1999; Lake et al., 2000), suggesting a role for maintenance of cell-cell contact and/or cell-ECM association in neur ectoderm induction. During gastrulation, neur ectoderm arises from pluripotent cells positioned in the anteriordistal portion of the pregastrulation egg cylinder. With gastrulation and recruitment of pluripotent cells to the primitive streak, this population of cells expands and populates the anterior half of the egg cylinder (Quinlan et al., 1995). Throughout gastrulation cells fated to contribute to ectodermal lineages maintain cell-cell contact and contact with the ECM and do not delaminate or enter the primitive streak. In contrast, migration of cells through the primitive streak involves loss of cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions and results in establishment of the mesodermal lineages. FgfR1−/− pluripotent cells, which are unable to migrate through the primitive streak, accumulate on the border of the streak and form a second site of neur ectoderm formation (Ciruna et al., 1997). Like cells of the anterior ectoderm, FgfR1−/− cells fail to delaminate and maintain cell-cell and cell-ECM contact during gastrulation suggesting that these environmental cues are involved in pluripotent cell differentiation and determination of neural cell fate during gastrulation. Purification of active components of MEDII has identified a known ECM component within the medium (Bettess, 2001) which may act to enforce ECM association of pluripotent cells during differentiation as EBM and act to suppress the epithelial to mesenchymal transition associated with mesoderm induction in vivo.

EPL cell-derived neur ectoderm lacks positional specification

Signals required for the expression of positionally restricted genes within neur ectoderm have been postulated to originate from adjacent cell populations such as the notochord, overlying ectoderm and visceral/definitive endoderm (Echelard et al., 1993; Thomas and Beddington, 1996; Liem et al., 1997). As might be expected for neur ectoderm formed in the absence of potentially interacting cell types, the expression of many positionally restricted genes, including markers for the forebrain, hindbrain and trunk, could not be detected. Furthermore, Shh, the product of which has been implicated in establishment of ventral specification, did not appear to be expressed in EPL cell-derived neur ectoderm. However, the expression of a subset of genes broadly expressed within neur ectoderm around the time of neural tube closure was detected in EBM. Many of these genes are expressed within the midbrain and forebrain suggesting that ES cell-derived neur ectoderm may represent a neural cell progenitor population with equivalence to anterior neur ectoderm. Alternatively, this gene expression may be characteristic of nascent neur ectoderm, with expression restricted with regionalisation of the neural tube. For example, although expression of Pax3 and Pax6 is restricted positionally to dorsal
and ventral aspects of the neural tube, respectively (Goulding et al., 1991; Walther and Gruss, 1991), evidence from chick (Goulding et al., 1993) suggests that both these genes are widely expressed at a low level in neural tube before their expression domains become restricted in response to ventral specification. Although expression of the forebrain marker Emx2 has not been reported prior to 8.5 d.p.c., a similar situation could account for the expression of this gene in EBM9. This would suggest that EPL cell-derived neurectoderm represents an unspecified population of neural cell precursors.

Gene expression was much more promiscuous in EB9, with detection of all positionally restricted neural patterning genes analysed within this system. This indicates that stochastic differentiation within the EB system is accompanied by expression of cryptic positional specification. Cells formed within this complex environment could potentially be exposed to multiple and, in some cases, inappropriate signals.

Consistent with the postulation of EPL cell-derived neurectoderm as naïve, the developmental analysis of these cells demonstrated potential to form cells of the neural, glial and neural crest lineages. The homogeneity of differentiation observed with directed differentiation to glial and neural crest lineages suggested that no pre-existing commitment to cell fate was present within the starting population.

Ability to form a primitive ectoderm-like cell population without concomitant formation of the extraembryonic endoderm lineage allows the development of directed differentiation from pluripotent cells in response to exogenous signals. Homogeneity and synchrony of differentiation can be achieved as a consequence of the lack of endogenous signalling from the primitive/visceral endoderm and/or subsequently from contribution by alternative germ lineages. The directed differentiation of EPL cells to neurectoderm in response to MEDII appears to recapitulate the temporal progression of lineage specification observed during embryonic neurogenesis. This technology, combined with the ability to precisely manipulate the genome of ES cells, will allow generation of model systems for the investigation of inductive signalling pathways involved in cell differentiation and specification in embryogenesis. Furthermore, homogeneous and synchronous differentiation will allow the generation of populations enriched in differentiated and progenitor cell types with the developmental plasticity best suited to transplantation.
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