Smoothened translates Hedgehog levels into distinct responses
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SUMMARY

In the Drosophila wing, Hedgehog is made by cells of the posterior compartment and acts as a morphogen to pattern cells of the anterior compartment. High Hedgehog levels instruct L3/4 intervein fate, whereas lower levels instruct L3 vein fate. Transcriptional responses to Hedgehog are mediated by the balance between repressor and activator forms of Cubitus interruptus, CiR and CiA. Hedgehog regulates this balance through its receptor, Patched, which acts through Smoothened and thence a regulatory complex that includes Fused, Costal, Suppressor of Fused and Cubitus interruptus. It is not known how the Hedgehog signal is relayed from Smoothened to the regulatory complex nor how responses to different levels of Hedgehog are implemented. We have used chimeric and deleted forms of Smoothened to explore the signaling functions of Smoothened. A Frizzled/Smoothened chimera containing the Smo cytoplasmic tail (FFS) can induce the full spectrum of Hedgehog responses but is regulated by Wingless rather than Hedgehog. Smoothened whose cytoplasmic tail is replaced with that of Frizzled (SSF) mimics fused mutants, interfering with high Hedgehog responses but with no effect on low Hedgehog responses. The cytoplasmic tail of Smoothened with no transmembrane or extracellular domains (SmoC) interferes with high Hedgehog responses and allows endogenous Smoothened to constitutively initiate low responses. SmoC mimics costal mutants. Genetic interactions suggest that SSF interferes with high signaling by titrating out Smoothened, whereas SmoC drives constitutive low signaling by titrating out Costal. These data suggest that low and high signaling (1) are qualitatively different, (2) are mediated by distinct configurations of the regulatory complex and (3) are initiated by distinct activities of Smoothened. We present a model where low signaling is initiated when a Costal inhibitory site on the Smoothened cytoplasmic tail shifts the regulatory complex to its low state. High signaling is initiated when cooperating Smoothened cytoplasmic tails activate Costal and Fused, driving the regulatory complex to its high state. Thus, two activities of Smoothened translate different levels of Hedgehog into distinct intracellular responses.
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INTRODUCTION

Members of the Hedgehog (Hh) family of secreted proteins mediate many of the short-range cell interactions that underlie development and tissue maintenance in metazoans (Ingham and McMahon, 2001). In several contexts, Hh acts as a morphogen, such that different levels of signaling activity specify distinct responses. This is well illustrated in the vertebrate neural tube where Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) forms a concentration gradient, highest at the floorplate and lower in more dorsal regions. At least four different neural fates are dictated by different thresholds of Shh (Ericson et al., 1997; Hynes et al., 2000; Briscoe et al., 2001). Thus, a single signal establishes diverse cell types, in appropriate spatial relationship to one another. More recently it has become apparent that anomalous activation of the Hh signaling pathway in neonates and adults underlies a variety of cancers including basal cell carcinoma and medulloblastoma (Berman et al., 2002; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2002). Hh was first identified in genetic screens for genes involved in segmentation of Drosophila (Nusslein-Volhard and Wieschaus, 1980). In this context, it maintains segment and parasegment borders, acts in a combinatorial fashion with Wingless (Wg) and Egf signaling to pattern ventral cuticle, and acts as a morphogen to pattern dorsal cuticle and imaginal discs.

Transcriptional responses to Hh are mediated by the balance between repressors and activators of transcription (Dominguez et al., 1996; Aza-Blanc et al., 1997; Methot and Basler, 1999; Wang et al., 2000a). The choice between these activities is regulated by Hh and implemented by a cytoplasmic complex that includes full length Ci (Ci155), the serine-threonine kinase Fused (Fu), the kinesin-like Costal (Cos) and Suppressor of Fused (Sufu) (Robbins et al., 1997; Sisson et al., 1997; Monnier et al., 1998; Stegman et al., 2000). Ci155 appears to be a latent precursor form. In the absence of Hh, limited proteolysis takes Ci155 to its repressor form, CiR (Aza-Blanc et al., 1997; Robbins et al., 1997; Methot and Basler, 2000). This processing involves Cos, Fu regulatory domain (FuReg), phosphorylation of Ci by PKA, GSK3 and CKI, and a ubiquitin
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E3 ligase activity mediated by Slimb and Cul1 (Jiang, 2002). Absence of Hh also prevents Ci155 from entering the nucleus by a redundant mechanism that requires either Cos and a Cos-binding site on Ci, or FuReg with Sufu and a Sufu-binding site on Ci (Methot and Basler, 2000; Stegman et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2000b; Wang and Holmgren, 2000; Lefers et al., 2001). In the presence of Hh, the complex dissociates from microtubules, recruits Sufu, and hyperphosphorylates Fu and Cos (Therond et al., 1996; Robbins et al., 1997; Stegman et al., 2000; Nybakken et al., 2002). This curtails processing to CiR, allows nuclear access of Ci155, promotes depletion of Ci155 and generates the transcriptional activator, CiA (Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998; Chen et al., 1999; Wang and Holmgren, 1999). Whether CiA differs from Ci155 by post-translational modification, by associated factors, and/or by subcellular localization has not been determined. Full activation of Ci requires activity of Fu and Cos (Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998; Wang et al., 2000b; Lefers et al., 2001). Extensive analysis has failed to delineate simple roles for any of these components in regulation of Ci, or which components are the primary targets of Hh regulation.

Hh influences the Ci regulatory complex through two transmembrane proteins, Patched (Ptc) and Smoothened (Smo). Ptc binds Hh with nanomolar affinity (Chen and Struhl, 1996; Marigo et al., 1996; Stone et al., 1996; Fuse et al., 1999). Ptc is then internalized and traffics Hh to endosomal compartments where both are degraded (Capdevila et al., 1994; Tabata and Kornberg, 1994; Alcedo et al., 2000; Denef et al., 2000; Incardona et al., 2000; Incardona et al., 2002). In the process, Hh signaling is activated through Smo, a member of the serpentine receptor superfamily (Ingham et al., 1991; Alcedo et al., 1996; van den Heuvel and Ingham, 1996). Ptc might regulate Smo through direct physical association, but the bulk of the two proteins is not co-localized, does not co-immunoprecipitate, and a 45:1 ratio of Smo:Ptc results in 80% reduction in Smo activity (Stone et al., 1996; Murone et al., 1999; Denef et al., 2000; Johnson et al., 2000; Taipale et al., 2002). This suggests a catalytic mechanism for inhibition of Smo by Ptc.

How Smo activates downstream signaling is unknown. Smo activity correlates with its phosphorylation and accumulation at the cell surface (Alcedo et al., 2000; Denef et al., 2000; Ingham et al., 2000). This phosphorylation and cell-surface accumulation may be a consequence of signaling, rather than being necessary for signaling (Kalderon, 2000; Incardona, 2002; Taipale, 2002). Smo has a large N-terminal extracellular domain that is evolutionarily conserved (Stone et al., 1996). Analogous to other serpentine receptors, this should be a ligand-binding domain that regulates Smo activity. However, there is no evidence that Smo has an extracellular ligand, nor any regulator other than Ptc. Structure function studies of rat Smoothened suggested that the extracellular and first two to four transmembrane domains are necessary for its association with and regulation by Ptc, while its third intracellular loop and seventh transmembrane domain activate downstream signaling (Xie et al., 1998; Murone et al., 1999). Serpentine receptors generally couple to heterotrimeric G proteins through these latter regions, suggesting that G proteins are involved in relaying the Smo signal. Pertussis toxin, which interferes with Gβi and Gγo, interferes with Hh-directed morphogenesis in zebrafish embryos, but not in primary myoblasts (Hammerschmidt and McMahon, 1998; Norris et al., 2000). Gγo can be activated when Hh, Ptc and Smo are co-transfected into melanophores, but the slow kinetics suggest that this effect may be indirect (DeCamp et al., 2000). Given the broad range of cellular processes modulated by G proteins and many potential mechanisms for across-regulation between pathways, these data are equally consistent with an indirect role for G proteins in Hh signaling. Smo has a long cytoplasmic tail, which is uncharacteristic of G-protein-coupled receptors. This suggests that something other than G proteins may be involved in transducing the Hh signal.

To understand how Smoothened transduces the Hh signal, we built a series of truncated and chimeric versions of Smo, Fz1, which is structurally related to Smo but has no genetic interactions with the Hh pathway, was used to generate chimeric Smo/Fz proteins. Their activity was measured through their effects on Ci, their regulation of Hh target genes, and their effects on wing patterning. We find that low and high Hh responses are independently affected by various transgenes. This leads to a model where Smo adopts three distinct states in response to zero, low and high levels of Hh. The OFF state exerts no influence on Ci or the regulatory complex, the low state binds to and inactivates Cos, while the high state involves Smo oligomers that activate Fu and Cos. In addition we find that the cytoplasmic tail of Smo attached to Fz can activate the full range of Hh responses, but in response to Wg rather than Hh. This suggests that Fz also responds to different ligand levels with distinct signaling states. Distinct signaling states of a receptor is a novel mechanism by which a morphogen could generate multiple responses to a single ligand.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly strains and culture

Flies were grown on cornmeal molasses media at 25°C unless otherwise specified. The genetic background was Df(1)w672c, y. Gal 4 drivers and lines used for transgene expression were obtained from the Bloomington stock center unless otherwise specified: Bx[MS1096] (Dominguez et al., 1996) from K. Basler (Zurich), C765 (Nellen et al., 1996), ptcGal4[599.1] (Hinz et al., 1994) from E. Knust (U Cologne), prdGal4, UasWg (Hayes et al., 1997), ptc[Ep941] from the Szeged stock center, UasFu (Ascano et al., 2002) from David Robbins (U Cincinnati) and Pcos+ (a genomic fragment which rescues cos mutants) (Sisson et al., 1997) from Matthew Scott (Stanford U). Mosaic expression of transgenes was achieved using the Flip-out Gal4 strategy (Pignoni and Zipursky, 1997), and 20 minute heatshock at 37°C of cultures 24–48 hours after egg laying (e.g. y w hsFLP122/w; AYG, UasGFP/+; UasSmo/+ for Fig. 6A). The FLP-FRT system (Xu and Rubin, 1993) and 2 hour heatshock at 37°C of cultures 24–48 hours after egg laying was used to generate smowing clones in a background where transgene expression was driven by MS1096 (e.g. w MS1096y w FLP122; smo3 Frt40A/ Ubi:GFP Frt40A; UasSmo+/ for Fig. 8B).

Staining procedures

Wings were wet with isopropanol, mounted in 1:1 Canada balsam:methyl salicylate, viewed under Kohler illumination and images captured with a Zeiss AxioCam. In situ hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probes (Tautz and Pfeifle, 1988) was used to detect col, ptc and dpp expression. Immunofluorescent or immunohistochemical analysis of imaginal discs followed standard procedures (van den Heuvel et al., 1989). For LeptomycinB treatment, larval heads were removed and inverted in e1-8 media, cultured for 2
hours at room temperature in 50 ng/ml LMB (Sigma) in cl-8 media, then fixed and handled as above. The rat monoclonal antibody 2A1, which detects Ci155 but not CiR, was used at 1:3 (courtesy of R. Holmgren, Northwestern University); Iro was detected using rat araucan antibody at 1:1000 (Diez del Corral et al., 1999); Ptc was detected using a rat antibody raised against bacterially expressed Ptc fragment (E314-S342); Myc epitope by 9E10 (UCHSC Cancer Center); the HA epitope by 12CA5 (Boehringer Mannheim); the Fz CRD by 1C11 (Krasnow and Adler, 1994); and the Smo CRD by affinity purified rabbit antibody (Alcedo et al., 2000). Antibody detection used FITC conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit (Jackson Laboratories), Cy5-conjugated anti-rat (Jackson Laboratories) for Ci155, biotinylated anti-rat followed by ABC reagent (Vector Labs) and Cy3-TSA (NEN) or horseradish peroxidase for Ptc or Iro. Discs were dissected in 50% glycerol and mounted in PermaFluor (ThermoShandon, Pittsburgh, PA), or 50% glycerol for HRP detection. Histochemical images were captured using a Zeiss Axiocam; confocal images were captured using a Zeiss Pascal5 LSM.

**Transgene construction and characterization**

Smo full-length cDNA was truncated using PCR to introduce a SalI site at nucleotide 174 in its 5’UTR and a XhoI site immediately after the termination codon. A double stranded oligonucleotide with compatible 3’ overhangs and encoding a Myc epitope was inserted in frame immediately following the putative open reading sequence at the SalI site at nucleotide 332 (CGATGCAGCTAAAGCTCATTCTTGGAAGAGCCATGATTG). An AarI site was introduced at the end of the seventh transmembrane domain, changing TGGACCTTCTT to TGGACGTCTTCTT and resulting in T554S, P555S. The endogenous Ndel site at I265 in the first transmembrane domain was used for domain swaps with Fz. SmoC was generated using PCR to insert an ATG codon embedded in a Kozak initiation consensus context, and a Myc epitope (εa myristoylation sequence derived from Src) immediately before T554; MycSmoC (TTAGATCTACACAACTCGGGACGTCCAAG, resulting in Y553W. An AarI site was introduced at nucleotide 1751 (GTACCCA TACGACGTTCCAGACTACGCGTA TTGAG), MycMyr SmoC (inserting ATGGGGCTCCCTTAACTACGCGTA TTGAG), MycSmoC (TTAGA TCTAACCAACAGGGACGTCCAAG before the first A TG of MycSmoC). MycSmoN was generated using PCR to introduce a termination codon into MycSmo after I255 (CCCGAAGCTTTACCTGGCATGTCCATC). MycSmoT1 was generated using PCR to introduce a termination codon into MycSmo after the first transmembrane domain at P288 (CTACGGATCTTTCGGCATGTC). Fz full-length cDNA (a gift from P. Adler) was modified immediately before the stop codon by in frame insertion of a double stranded oligonucleotide with compatible 5’ overhangs and encoding an HA epitope into the BsrW1 site at nucleotide 1751 (GTACCCA TACGACGTTCCAGACTACGCGTA GGCTGAC). An AarI site was introduced at the end of the seventh transmembrane domain by changing CTGTGGACGTCCAAG to CTGTGGACGTCCAAG and resulting in Y553W. An Ndel site was inserted in the first transmembrane domain by modifying GACCGGTCTGTG to GCACCGCATGTG, and resulting in V256L. Nucleotide substitutions were all accomplished using the Altered Sites system to introduce a SalI site immediately after the stop codon.

Chimeric transgenes were constructed by swapping Fz and Smo domains at the AarI and Ndel sites. The chimeric, mutagenized and truncated transgenes (Fig. 3) were subcloned into pUAST (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) and introduced into the germline of flies by standard methods (Rubin and Spradling, 1982). Multiple independent lines were established for each transgene. Activity was scored by effects on wing vein patterning and wing hair polarity, following expression driven by MS1096. About one in six lines scored by effects on wing vein patterning and wing hair polarity, independent lines were established for each transgene. Activity was assayed by western blotting of embryo extracts where transgene expression was driven by hsGal4. Expression dosages are expressed as the product of the Gal4 driver dosage and Uas transgene dosage. Thus, heterozygous C765, 71B or MS1096 driving a single copy of UasSmo is 1x; hemizygous MS1096 driving a single copy of UasSmo is 2x; hemizygous MS1096 driving two copies of UasSmo is 4x; and transgenes with unusually high expression levels are High.

**RESULTS**

**Dosage-dependent activation of Hh targets by Smoothened**

Very high levels of Smo overexpression in transfected cells will drive Hh-independent activation of various Hh responses (Stone et al., 1996; Murone et al., 1999; Taipale et al., 2002). To determine whether Smo overexpression can activate the full range of Hh responses, we used the Gal4-Uas system to generate graded levels of Smo overexpression in the Drosophila wing. Different expression levels were achieved by varying the dosage of Gal4 and Uas transgenes; dosages are expressed as the product of Gal4 and Uas copy number, or as ‘high’ for insertions with unusually high levels of expression (see Materials and Methods). The dose-dependent responses to Hh that pattern the anterior-posterior axis of the wing are summarized in Fig. 1 (Ingham and Fietz, 1995; Mullor et al., 1999; Strigini and Cohen, 1997; Ingham and McMahon, 2001) and results are presented in Fig. 2. Consistent with previous reports, 1x Smo led to minor changes in wing morphology (Alcedo et al., 2000; Denef et al., 2000; Ingham et al., 2000). Smo 2x generated reproducible expansion of L3 into the L2/3 intervein territory (not shown). Smo 4x expanded L1 as well as L3, and generated mild hypertrophy of the anterior wing (Fig. 2F). High Smo generated severe overgrowth of the anterior compartment, which was generally pharate lethal. In rare adults that did eclose, the entire anterior compartment was transformed into vein, except for a small strip at the border (not shown). The changes in the adult morphology driven by Smo overexpression correlated with changes in expression of Hh target genes. Iro has a complex expression pattern in the wing imaginal disc, reflecting multiple layers of regulation (Gomez-Skarmeta et al., 1996; Gomez-Skarmeta and Modolell, 1996). Its expression in the center of the disc, the L3 region (brackets in all figures), is the most sensitive and specific reporter for low Hh responses. There it requires both Dpp and Hh signaling and is blocked by Wg signaling. Iro in the L3 region was expanded with both 4x and high Smo overexpression (compare Fig. 2G with 2B). dpp and ptc expression were unaffected by 4x Smo (compare Fig. 2HI with 2CD), but were strongly driven across the entire anterior compartment by high Smo (Fig. 2MN). col and En expression were not affected by either 4x or high Smo (Fig. 2EJO; data not shown). Thus, increasing levels of Smo lead to activation of low and then intermediate Hh responses.

The failure of high Smo to activate the highest level of Hh signaling might be because of negative feedback resulting from high levels of induced Ptc (e.g. Fig. 2N). Ptc is a potent inhibitor of Smo (Taipale et al., 2002) and modest overexpression of Ptc is sufficient to eliminate high Hh responses (Johnson et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 2000). Wings co-expressing Ptc and high levels of Smo (UasSmo4x/pic(EP941)) were indistinguishable from those expressing Ptc alone.
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Hedgehog response zones and wing patterning. The dorsal surface of a wing is on the left and a cartoon of wing imaginal disc is on the right. Five longitudinal veins (L1-L5) punctuate the anteroposterior axis of the wing. The center of the disc, the wing pouch, is the primordium for the wing and is colored. The horizontal line across the center of the wing indicates where dorsal and ventral compartments meet at the future wing margin. Hh is secreted by cells of the posterior compartment (towards the right in this and all other figures), shaded gray. The anterior compartment responds to the gradient of Hh that forms near its border because it produces the Hh receptor Patched (Ptc) (Chen and Struhl, 1994; Marigo et al., 1996; Stone et al., 1996). The first two or three cells have high levels of Hh (blue), which allows nuclear access of Ci155, depletes Ci155 to make CiA and blocks production of CIR. This activates transcription of en, ptc, col and dpp (Blaud, 1992; Guillel et al., 1995; Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998). The next four to six cells see intermediate or low levels of Hh (green and yellow, respectively), which allow nuclear access of Ci155, and make little or no CiA and little or no CIR so that Ci155 accumulates. ptc, col, dpp and iro are made in the intermediate zone, whereas only dpp and iro are made in the low zone (Mullor et al., 1997; Strigini and Cohen, 1997; Vervoort et al., 1999; Mullor and Guerrero, 2000). Far from Hh sources (red), Ci155 is depleted to produce CiR, and Hh target genes are repressed. En expression defines the high response zone where it prevents Iro expression and where Ptc sequesters Hh to limit the range of signaling (Hidalgo, 1994; Chen and Struhl, 1996; de Celis and Barrio, 2000; Crozatier et al., 2002). The intermediate zone is defined by the overlap of iro and col. Col is high and intermediate zones downregulates Dpp responses; the result is the L3/4 intervein (Vervoort et al., 1999; de Celis and Barrio, 2000; Mohler et al., 2000; Crozatier et al., 2002). Col also activates transcription of the secreted EGFR ligand Vein, which signals to posterior adjacent cells to make vein L4 (Mohler et al., 2000; Crozatier et al., 2002). In the low zone, only dpp and iro are induced. They cooperate to specify vein L3 (Mullor and Guerrero, 2000). Dpp also promotes growth along the anteroposterior axis and acts as a morphogen to pattern deeper in the anterior and posterior compartments (Lawrence and Struhl, 1996). Finally, G1 cyclins are activated by Hh to promote growth and proliferation (Duman-Scheel et al., 2002).

Fig. 1. Hedgehog response zones and wing patterning. The dorsal surface of a wing is on the left and a cartoon of wing imaginal disc is on the right. Five longitudinal veins (L1-L5) punctuate the anteroposterior axis of the wing. The center of the disc, the wing pouch, is the primordium for the wing and is colored. The horizontal line across the center of the wing indicates where dorsal and ventral compartments meet at the future wing margin. Hh is secreted by cells of the posterior compartment (towards the right in this and all other figures), shaded gray. The anterior compartment responds to the gradient of Hh that forms near its border because it produces the Hh receptor Patched (Ptc) (Chen and Struhl, 1994; Marigo et al., 1996; Stone et al., 1996). The first two or three cells have high levels of Hh (blue), which allows nuclear access of Ci155, depletes Ci155 to make CiA and blocks production of CIR. This activates transcription of en, ptc, col and dpp (Blaud, 1992; Guillel et al., 1995; Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998). The next four to six cells see intermediate or low levels of Hh (green and yellow, respectively), which allow nuclear access of Ci155, and make little or no CiA and little or no CIR so that Ci155 accumulates. ptc, col, dpp and iro are made in the intermediate zone, whereas only dpp and iro are made in the low zone (Mullor et al., 1997; Strigini and Cohen, 1997; Vervoort et al., 1999; Mullor and Guerrero, 2000). Far from Hh sources (red), Ci155 is depleted to produce CiR, and Hh target genes are repressed. En expression defines the high response zone where it prevents Iro expression and where Ptc sequesters Hh to limit the range of signaling (Hidalgo, 1994; Chen and Struhl, 1996; de Celis and Barrio, 2000; Crozatier et al., 2002). The intermediate zone is defined by the overlap of iro and col. Col is high and intermediate zones downregulates Dpp responses; the result is the L3/4 intervein (Vervoort et al., 1999; de Celis and Barrio, 2000; Mohler et al., 2000; Crozatier et al., 2002). Col also activates transcription of the secreted EGFR ligand Vein, which signals to posterior adjacent cells to make vein L4 (Mohler et al., 2000; Crozatier et al., 2002). In the low zone, only dpp and iro are induced. They cooperate to specify vein L3 (Mullor and Guerrero, 2000). Dpp also promotes growth along the anteroposterior axis and acts as a morphogen to pattern deeper in the anterior and posterior compartments (Lawrence and Struhl, 1996). Finally, G1 cyclins are activated by Hh to promote growth and proliferation (Duman-Scheel et al., 2002).

The induction of Hh responses deep in the anterior compartment by Smo overexpression might be independent of Hh. Alternatively, Hh levels anterior to L3 might normally be below the response threshold but might elicit a response when the ratio of Smo to Ptc increases. To test for Hh dependence, Hh levels were severely reduced using a temperature sensitive allele of hhb2 (not shown). After 24 hours at the restrictive temperature, col and Iro were not detected at the compartment border of hhb2 homozygous discs, whereas expression of ptc and dpp was greatly reduced (Strigini and Cohen, 1997). Smo 2x restored Iro and dpp expression to hhb2 homozygous discs, whereas high Smo restored Iro, dpp and ptc levels but not col expression. In all cases the restored expression was broader than its domain in wild-type discs. We conclude that the dosage-dependent responses to Smo overexpression are Hh independent.

FFS mediates all Hh responses but is regulated by Wg

To identify domains of Smoothened responsible for regulation of Hh signaling, we built truncated and chimeric versions of Smo. Smo is a divergent member of the Frizzled (Fz) family of receptors. Fzs bind their ligands, the Wnts, through a conserved extracellular N-terminal cysteine-rich domain (CRD), transduce the signal across the membrane via seven conserved transmembrane domains (TM), and initiate signaling with divergent cytoplasmic tails (CT) (Bhanot et al., 1996; Lu and Nusse, 1998; Dann et al., 2001). Fz1, which appears to have no genetic interactions with the Hh pathway, was used to generate chimeric Smo/Fz proteins. Chimeras swapped the extracellular CRDs, the TM domains and the CTs. Constructs and results are summarized in Fig. 3.

A chimera with Fz CRD, Fz TM and Smo CT (FFS) activated the full spectrum of Hh responses, but was regulated by Wg rather than Ptc and Hh (Fig. 4). FFS 4x gave some excess venation distally, between the second and third wing veins (not shown). High FFS gave ectopic venation near the wing margin and overgrowth of the costa (Fig. 4A). Iro and dpp expression changed little at the border but showed a distinct new focus at the anterior edge of the dorsal wing pouch and along the prospective wing margin (Fig. 4B,C). ptc and col expression were unaffected (Fig. 4D,E). Consistent with previous reports (Krasnow and Adler, 1994), Fz had no effect on overall wing morphology and affected only wing hair polarity (not shown). Thus, the effects of FFS on Hh responses must be through the Smo cytoplasmic tail. FSS failed to mount Hh responses, so either the chimeric junction in the first transmembrane domains cripples this construct or the TM domains must be compatible with the CRD for chimera activity.

As Fz is a Wg receptor, and Wg is strongly expressed at the wing margin, the margin-specific effects of FFS might be mediated by Wg. Ectopic expression of Wg in conjunction with FFS generated potent activation of dpp, ptc, col and En across the anterior compartment (Fig. 4H-J, not shown). As Wg can inhibit col expression if Hh signaling is only moderately activated (Glise et al., 2002), activation of col by FFS in the presence of Wg is indicative of high Hh signaling. Thus, FSS activates low Hh signaling (e.g. dpp) in response to modest levels of Wg and activates high Hh signaling (e.g. col) in response to high levels of Wg.
The extracellular and TM regions of Smo are thought to mediate its regulation by Ptc, so FFS should not be regulated by Ptc. Coexpression of Ptc did not suppress the ectopic venation and overgrowth mediated by FFS, and instead allowed ectopic venation in a broad zone around the wing margin (Fig. 4G). Apparently repression of endogenous Smo (e.g. Fig. 4F) uncovers an FFS activity where Wg should be low. We did not test this interpretation by asking whether FFS can activate Hh responses without endogenous Smo. With that caveat, we conclude that FFS activates different levels of Hh signaling in response to different levels of Wg. Therefore, the cytoplasmic tail of Smo is sufficient to activate all Hh responses. The regulated activity of FFS also argues that similar structural transitions underlie signaling by Fz and by Smo.

SSF is dominant negative for high signaling

The converse chimera, with Smo CRD, Smo TM and Fz CT (SSF) had no effect on Fz responses, and instead interfered with high but not low Hh responses (Fig. 5). SSF 2× generated a subtle narrowing of the L3/4 interval (not shown). Higher SSF in a background with only one dose of wild-type Smo blocked high Hh responses; the spacing between the third and fourth wing vein was reduced (Fig. 5A), in concert with lost expression of col (Fig. 5E) and reduced expression of ptc (Fig. 5D). SSF also interfered with two aspects of Ci155 regulation that normally accompany CiA, depletion immediately adjacent to the border (Fig. 5M,N) and nuclear access (Fig. 5N). Lower levels of Ptc allow Hh to penetrate deeper into the anterior compartment (Chen and Struhl, 1996), so that dpp and Iro expression and Ci155 accumulation were expanded (Fig. 5B,C,M). Increasing dosages of SSF beyond this had no further effect, and under no conditions did expression of SSF

### Table: SSF is dominant negative for high signaling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Signaling</th>
<th>smo⁻ rescue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smo</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>+/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fz</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSF</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFS</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFS</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFS</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmoN</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmoC</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Smo overexpression progressively activates Hh responses. (A-E) Wild type, (F-N) hemizygous MS1096, which express Gal4 throughout the wing pouch, higher dorsally than ventrally and highest in the dorsal hinge (K). (F-J) UasSmo 4x, (L-O) UasSmoN, (B,G,L) Iro, with bracket indicating the L3 region, (C,H,M) dpp, (D,JN) ptc, (E,LO) col. UasSmo 4x increased venation (F) and expanded Iro and dpp (G,H), but had little effect on ptc or col (I,J). High UasSmo expanded the wing pouch and caused dorsal anterior misexpression of Iro, dpp and ptc (L-N), but not col (O). In this, and all other figures, anterior is towards the left and (for imaginal discs) ventral is upwards.

Fig. 3. Deletion and chimeric forms of Smoothened. Green indicates Smo sequences, pink indicates Fz sequences. The chimeric and deleted forms are schematized as blocks representing extracellular CRD (leftmost), TM (center) and cytoplasmic tails (right). The transmembrane domain and myristoylate that should anchor SmoN and SmoC to membranes are indicated with zig-zags. Expression scored levels (+ versus ++) relative to endogenous Fz and/or Smo by immunofluorescence in embryos where transgene expression was driven by ptcGal4. All constructs except SmoC (indicated by asterisk) showed ratios of cell surface and internal localization similar to those of Smo and Fz at physiological levels; SmoC alone failed to outline cells. Signaling scored the ability of the transgenes to change L3/4 spacing (high) or to change L3 and A/P growth (low) in the presence of endogenous Smo. DN indicates narrowing of L3/4 spacing. FFS signaling was regulated by Wg rather than Ptc and Hh. Only Fz affected wing hair polarity. smo⁻ rescue scored ability of prdGal4 driven transgenes to restore wg and ptc expression, and segmentation in alternate segments of smo¹/sm0² embryos. nd, not determined.
compromise L3. This is distinct from overexpression of Ptc (Fig. 4F), where the ‘fused’ phenotype is often accompanied by interruption or elimination of L3 and loss of dpp expression (Johnson et al., 1995). The Fz tail of SSF might contribute to its dominant-negative activity, though the lack of dominant negative activity by FSF nor SFF render this less likely. Instead the extracellular CRD and the TM domains both appear to be necessary for the dominant negative activity of SSF.

This raised the possibility that SSF might sequester an extracellular ligand. In this case the effects of SSF would not be cell autonomous. Induction of Ptc by Smo is cell autonomous; Ptc was elevated in all cells of mosaic wing

compromise L3. This is distinct from overexpression of Ptc (Fig. 4F), where the ‘fused’ phenotype is often accompanied by interruption or elimination of L3 and loss of dpp expression (Johnson et al., 1995). The Fz tail of SSF might contribute to its dominant-negative activity, though the lack of dominant negative activity by FSF nor SFF render this less likely. Instead

SSF blocked nuclear accumulation of Ci155 at the border (remainder of N). SSF did not affect the L3/4 intervein in a Su(fu) mutant background (bracket in F), and instead gave anterior expansion of L3 and overgrowth along the AP axis. ptc (H) and col (I) were partly rescued at the compartment border, while dpp (G) and ptc expanded across the overgrown dorsal anterior compartment. Ci155 accumulated in nuclei of LMB-treated Su(fu) mutant discs, both at the border (P) and deep in the anterior compartment (O). The L3/4 narrowing of SSF was mildly suppressed by simultaneous expression of Fu (J) and was more strongly suppressed by simultaneous overexpression of Smo (K).
imaginal discs expressing high levels of Smo, both near the compartment border and deep within the anterior compartment (Fig. 6A). Ptc levels were reduced in all border cells expressing SSF, even when small groups were surrounded by wild-type cells (Fig. 6B). In addition, large clones expressing SSF failed to interfere with Ptc accumulation in neighboring cells (Fig. 6B). Thus the effect of SSF on Hh responses is cell-autonomous and is inconsistent with sequestration of an extracellular ligand. SSF might interfere with the ability of Ptc to bind Hh or it might interfere with signal transduction. As SSF suppresses the Hh-independent ectopic venation of Smo 4× (Fig. 5K) we conclude that SSF interferes with signal transduction rather than signal reception.

The phenotypes generated by SSF overexpression are similar to those generated by loss of fu. fu is required for transduction of high but not low Hh responses (Mariol et al., 1987; Preat et al., 1990; Sanchez-Herrero et al., 1996; Mullor et al., 1997; Alves et al., 1998; Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998; Lefers et al., 2001; Glise et al., 2002; Nybakken et al., 2002). Fu has a kinase domain that is necessary only for high Hh responses, and a regulatory domain that is instrumental in assembly of the Ci regulatory complex (Robbins et al., 1997; Ascano et al., 2002; Monnier et al., 2002). SSF might interfere with response to high Hh by blocking activation of Fused kinase, thus mimicking class I fu alleles, or by preventing its assembly of Fu into the regulatory complex, thus mimicking class II fu alleles. A genetic test for these alternatives is offered by Sufu, the removal of which restores properly regulated Hh signaling to class I fu alleles but constitutively activates signaling in class II fu alleles. Removal of Sufu from wings expressing SSF fits the latter profile (Fig. 5F-I,O,P). Although high Hh responses were rescued (L3-4 spacing and ptc expression), low Hh responses were enhanced (ectopic venation, expansion of the anteroposterior axis and of dpp expression). Finally, Sufu discs expressing SSF allowed Ci155 to enter nuclei even deep in the anterior compartment where Hh should be absent (Fig. 5O). Thus, the spectrum of phenotypes generated by SSF expression is very similar to that of class II fu alleles (Lefers et al., 2001). If SSF were interfering with high Hh responses by decreasing the levels of Fu available for signaling (e.g. like classII fu alleles), then increasing levels of Fu should restore high signaling. Overexpression of Fu along with SSF only weakly suppressed the ‘fused’ phenotype (Fig. 5J), suggesting that SSF is acting on a regulator of Fu rather than on Fu itself.

The structure of SSF, retaining all of the transmembrane and extracellular sequences of Smo, suggests that SSF acts on a membrane protein rather than a cytoplasmic protein. If SSF were interfering with endogenous Smo, then increasing levels of wild-type Smo should restore high signaling. Indeed, the SSF phenotype was suppressed by co-expression of 2× Smo (Fig. 5K). Thus, the ratio of Smo to SSF is crucial for blockade of high signaling by SSF. SSF is unlikely to interfere with Smo through triggering its degradation, because reducing levels of Smo should affect low responses as well as high responses. Instead, we suggest that SSF titrates out Smo by direct binding, and that these Smo/SSF heterodimers cannot activate high signaling. It follows that normal high signaling may involve a dimeric (or oligomeric) form of Smo.
SmoC may act by interfering with costal

In addition to activating low Hh responses, SmoC interfered with high Hh responses. SmoC 4× reduced the L3/4 spacing as well as ptc and col expression (Fig. 7A,D,E). It interfered with the depletion of Ci155 immediately adjacent to the compartment border that normally accompanies CiA (Fig. 7P,Q). It also prevented Ci155 accumulation to normal levels in the zone three to eight cells from the compartment border (Fig. 7P). The net effect of SmoC expression, including both ectopic low responses and curtailed high responses, was to drive all cells towards the low response state, regardless of Hh.

activity of SmoC, we expressed our transgenes in embryos lacking ptc and with near-threshold levels of smo. In ptc\textsuperscript{W}, smo\textsuperscript{3} embryos grown at 25°C, the maternal contribution of smo\textsuperscript{3} is sufficient to sustain Hh target gene expression until stage 11. ptc expression at the segment border, which is fu-independent (Therond et al., 1999) and should be the equivalent of low signaling in wings, was not rescued by SmoC nor FFS in ptc\textsuperscript{W}, smo\textsuperscript{3} embryos. wg expression, which requires fu and should be equivalent to high signaling in the wing, was prematurely eliminated during stage 10 when SmoC, SSF or Ptc were driven by prdGal4. This recapitulated the dominant negative effects of SSF and SmoC on a fu-dependent response, and demonstrated that the transgenes were effectively expressed. The failure to rescue wg or ptc expression, even with near-threshold endogenous Smo and without Ptc, confirms that SmoC has no ability to activate Hh target genes in the absence of endogenous Smo.

Fig. 7. SmoC activates low signaling and interferes with high signaling. All tissue is from MS1096 hemizygotes grown at 25°C, except for F and G which were grown at 18°C.

(A-E,P,Q) Homozygous UasSmo\textsuperscript{C}, (F) UasSmo\textsuperscript{C}+/UasSmo\textsuperscript{C}+ and (G) UasSmo\textsuperscript{C}+/UasSmo\textsuperscript{C}/Pcos\textsuperscript{+} siblings.

(H-J) Doubly heterozygous smo\textsuperscript{3} SmoC2, SmoC3 with Pcos\textsuperscript{+} (I) or UasFu (J).

(K,L) homozygous UasSmo\textsuperscript{C} with heterozygous UasSmo (K) or EP941 (K). SmoC 4× expanded L3 anteriorly to fill the L2/3 intervein (square brackets), reduced the L3/4 intervein, and expanded the costa or reduced the size of the anterior compartment (A,F,H), though the extent was sensitive to genetic background and growth conditions. SmoC expanded expression of Iro (bracket in B) and dpp(C), as well as the reduced expression of ptc (D) and col (E). At 18°C SmoC consistently produced costal overgrowth (F) that was eliminated in siblings carrying a third copy of wild-type cos (G). Pcos\textsuperscript{+} reduced the L3/4 narrowing and ectopic venation caused by SmoC, relative to siblings (compare H with I). Pcos\textsuperscript{+} failed to suppress the L3/4 narrowing of high SSF (O). Pcos\textsuperscript{+} also suppressed the costal overgrowth of 4× FFS (M) and the ectopic venation of 4× smo (N). Fu enhanced the ectopic venation and costal overgrowth of SmoC, as well as suppressing the L3/4 narrowing (I). The L3/4 narrowing and ectopic venation of 4× Smo\textsuperscript{C} was suppressed in siblings carrying 2× smo (compare K with A). SmoC enhanced the growth reduction caused by Ptc, so that the wing is virtually eliminated (compare L with Fig. 4F). SmoC reduced accumulation of Ci155 near the compartment border (P) in the wing pouch where MS1096 is expressed relative to the notum where MS1096 is not expressed (bracket). It also caused abnormal accumulation of Ci155 in the costal primordium (arrowhead). SmoC promoted nuclear access of Ci155 far from compartment border (arrow) in LMB-treated imaginal discs (Q).

SmoC can curtail CiR production in the absence of Hh. SmoC also allowed Ci155 to enter nuclei, even deep within the anterior compartment (Fig. 7Q) where it is normally excluded. Small clones overexpressing SmoC also permitted Iro expression (not shown) and Ci155 accumulation (Fig. 6C), indicating cell autonomy for SmoC activity. We conclude that SmoC constitutively activates low signaling.

As SmoC lacks the transmembrane and extracellular domains that have been implicated in regulation of Smo by Ptc (Murone et al., 1999), Ptc should not be able to repress SmoC. However Ptc co-expression completely suppressed the ectopic venation and costal overgrowth caused by SmoC and instead reduced the wing to a tiny scrap (Fig. 7L, compared with Fig. 4F). This suggested that SmoC activates low signaling through de-repression of endogenous Smo. To test this, we examined Iro expression, as a reporter for low Hh responses, in smo\textsuperscript{null} cells. Expression of SmoC did not rescue Iro expression in clones of smo\textsuperscript{null} cells (Fig. 8), demonstrating that SmoC acts through endogenous Smo to activate low Hh responses.

This unexpected result was confirmed in embryos lacking all endogenous smo activity (data not shown). smo\textsuperscript{3} germline clone (smoGLC) embryos lose expression of wg, en and hh during stage 10 and fail to upregulate ptc at the segment and parasegment borders during and after stage 11 (van den Heuvel and Ingham, 1996). UasSmo expressed under control of prdGal4 or Krgal4 rescued wg, en and ptc expression in smoGLC embryos. No other transgene, including SmoC and FFS, had any rescuing activity in smoGLC embryos. To test whether the potent inhibitory effects of Ptc might mask a weak
overexpression and striking similarities between the phenotypes of SmoC of low signaling. A simpler alternative is provided by the inhibition of high signaling and the other mediating activation (Wang and Holmgren, 2000). In the presence of Hh, inhibition of Hh signaling (Forbes et al., 1993; Wang et al., 2000b). Hypomorphic cos alleles affect both CiR production and high responses (Leffers et al., 2001). Co-expression of Fu with SmoC rescued high responses, the L3/4 narrowing, but enhanced low responses, the ectopic venation and costal overgrowth (Fig. 7J). Thus, Fu is unlikely to be the primary target through which SmoC exerts its effects. Finally, co-expression of Smo effectively activates both the ectopic venation and L3/4 narrowing of SmoC, while 50% reduction of smo (in smo2 heterozygotes) enhanced both SmoC activities (not shown). These data suggest that SmoC constitutively drives Smo into a state that inactivates Cos, thereby permitting activation of low Hh responses. As cos mutants are constitutively in the low state, whereas excess cos+ restores the OFF state to Smo and FFS (Fig. 7M-N), it follows that normal low signaling results from inactivation of Cos by Smo.

**DISCUSSION**

Analyses of the activities of truncated and chimeric forms of Smo in a variety of genetic backgrounds yielded four principal observations. First, the FFS chimera activated the full spectrum of Hh responses, but was regulated by Wg rather than Hh. From this, we conclude that the Smo cytoplasmic tail initiates all intracellular responses to Hh, while the remainder of Smo regulates activity of the tail. Second, the SSF chimera interfered with high signaling but had no effect on low signaling. SSF mimicked Class II fu mutants and was suppressed by increasing smo+ but not fu+ or cos+. From this, we conclude that high Hh instructs Smo to activate Fu by a mechanism that is likely to involve dimeric/oligomeric Smo. Third, the cytoplasmic tail of Smo (SmoC) derepressed endogenous Smo activity in the absence of Hh and repressed endogenous Smo activity in the presence of high Hh. That is, SmoC drove cells to the low response regardless of Hh levels. This mimicked cos mutants and was suppressed by 50% increase in cos+. From this, we conclude that low Hh instructs Smo to inactivate Cos, by a mechanism that may involve stoichiometric interaction between Cos and the Smo cytoplasmic tail. Fourth, chimeras where the extracellular CRD and TM domains were mismatched failed to exhibit any activity. From this, we conclude that these two domains act as an integrated functional unit. This leads us to a model for signaling where Fz or Smo can adopt three distinct states, regulating two distinct activities and translating different levels of ligand into distinct responses. Many physical models are consistent with these genetic analyses. Those presented here are favored because they are the simplest.

**Three states of Smoothened signaling may dictate three states of the Ci regulatory complex**

We have identified two mutant forms of Smo that regulate downstream signaling through different activities. These activities...
Fig. 9. The model: three states of Smoothened translate Hh levels into distinct responses. Smo can adopt three states, a decision normally dictated by Hh, via Ptc. The Ci regulatory complex, which includes full-length Ci, Cos and Fu, likewise can adopt three states (Ingham and McMahon, 2001). In the absence of Hh (A) Smo is OFF. Its cytoplasmic aspect is unavailable for signaling. The Cos/Fu/Ci regulatory complex is anchored to microtubules and promotes efficient processing of Ci155 to CiR. Low levels of Hh (B) expose Cos inhibitory sites in the cytoplasmic tail of Smo. Cos interaction with these sites drives the Ci regulatory complex into the low state, which recruits Sufu and makes little CiR or CiA. high levels of Hh (C) drive a major change in Smo, possibly dimerization. This allows the cytoplasmic tails of Smo to cooperatively activate Fu and Cos. Fu* and Cos* then cooperate to inactivate Sufu, to block CiR production, and to produce CiA at the expense of Ci155.

mutant forms of Smo mimic phenotypes of mutants in other components of the Hh pathway, as well as normal responses to different levels of Hh (Mullor and Guerrero, 2000; Wang and Holmgren, 2000). These data suggest a model where Smo can adopt three distinct states that instruct three distinct states of the Ci regulatory complex (Fig. 9). The model further suggests that Smo regulates Ci through direct interactions between Fu, Cos and the cytoplasmic tail of Smo. This is consistent with the failure of numerous genetic screens to identify additional signaling intermediates, and with the exquisite sensitivity of low signaling to Cos dosage.

The OFF state is normally found deep in the anterior compartment where cells express no Hh target genes (except basal levels of Ptc). In this state, the Ci regulatory complex consists of Fu/Cos/Ci155 (Robbins et al., 1997; Sisson et al., 1997; Stegman et al., 2000). Cos and Fu contribute to efficient processing of Ci155 to the repressor form, CiR (Wang and Holmgren, 1999; Wang et al., 2000b; Lefers et al., 2001), presumably because the complex promotes access of PKA and the processing machinery to Ci155 (Kiger and O’Shea, 2001). Cos also prevents nuclear entry of Ci155 (Wang and Holmgren, 2000), correlating with microtubule binding of the complex. This state is universal in hh or smo mutants, indicating that intracellular responses to Hh cannot be activated with out Smo (Alcedo et al., 1996; van den Heuvel and Ingham, 1996). Therefore Smo can adopt an OFF state where it exerts no influence on downstream signaling components and the OFF state of the Ci regulatory complex is its default state.

The low state is normally found approximately five to seven cells from the compartment border, where cells are exposed to lower levels of Hh. These cells express Iro, moderate levels of dpp, no Col and basal levels of Ptc. They accumulate Ci155, indicating that little CiA or CiR is made. That Ci155 can enter nuclei but is insufficient to activate high responses. The physical state of the Ci regulatory complex in the low state has not been investigated. Cells take on the low state regardless of Hh levels when Ci is absent (Methot and Basler, 1999), when Cos is absent (Wang et al., 2000b) or when smo is expressed, and are strongly biased towards that state in fu(classII); sufu double mutants (Methot and Basler, 2000; Lefers et al., 2001). This state normally requires input from Smo, which becomes constitutive in the presence of smo. As smo drives only low responses and cannot activate high responses, this identifies a low state of Smo that is distinct from both OFF and high. We propose that the low state is normally achieved when Smo inactivates Cos, perhaps by direct binding of Cos to Smo and dissociation of Cos from Ci155. Neither CiR nor CiA is made efficiently, and target gene expression is similar to that of ci null mutants.

The high state is normally found in the two or three cells immediately adjacent to the compartment border where there are high levels of Hh. These cells express En, Col, high levels of Ptc and moderate levels of Dpp. They make CiA rather than CiR (Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998), and Ci155 can enter nuclei. In this state a cytoplasmic Ci regulatory complex consists of phosphorylated Cos, phosphorylated Fu, Ci155 and Sufu (Therond et al., 1996; Robbins et al., 1997; Sisson et al., 1997; Monnier et al., 1998; Stegman et al., 2000). Dissociation of Ci from the complex may not precede nuclear entry, as Cos, Fu, and Sufu are all detected in nuclei along with Ci155 (Methot and Basler, 2000). Sufu favors the low state, whereas Cos and Fu cooperate to allow the high state by repressing Sufu, and also by a process independent of Sufu (Methot and Basler, 2000; Wang et al., 2000b; Lefers et al., 2001). This high state is the universal state in ptc mutants and requires input from Smo. As this state is specifically lost in fu mutants, Fu may be a primary target through which Smo activates the high state. SSF specifically interferes with the high state by a mechanism that is most sensitive to dosage of Smo. This suggests SSF interferes with the high activity of Smo itself. We suggest that dimeric/oligomeric Smo is necessary for the high state, and that Smo:SSF dimers are non-productive. Cooperation between Smo cytoplasmic tails activates Fu and thence Cos. The activities of the resulting Fu* and Cos* are entirely different from their activities in the OFF state, and mediate downstream effects on Sufu and Ci.

Regulation of Smo

We find that the cytoplasmic tail of Smo is sufficient to activate all Hh responses, and that its activity is regulated through the extracellular and TM domains. This is exemplified by the FFS chimera, which retains the full range of Smo activities, but is regulated by Wg rather than Hh. The extracellular and transmembrane domains act as an integrated unit to activate the cytoplasmic tail, as all chimeras interrupting this unit failed to activate any Hh responses, despite expression levels and
subcellular localization similar to those of active SSF or FFS. As is true of other serpentine receptors, a global rearrangement of the TM helices is likely to expose ‘active’ (Cos regulatory?) sites on the cytoplasmic face of Smo. The extracellular domain of Smo must stabilize this conformation and Ptc must destabilize it. But how? Ptc may regulate Smo through export of a small molecule, which inhibits Smo when presented at its extracellular face (Chen et al., 2002; Taipale et al., 2002). Hh binding to Ptc stimulates its endocytosis and degradation, leaving Smo behind at the cell surface (Denef et al., 2000; Incardona et al., 2002). Thus, Hh would separate the source of the inhibitor (Ptc) from Smo, allowing Smo to adopt the low state. Transition from low to high might require Smo hyperphosphorylation (see below). The high state, which is likely to involve Smo oligomers, might be favored by cell surface accumulation if aggregation begins at some threshold concentration of low Smo. Alternatively, these biochemical changes may all be unnecessary for either the low or high states of Smo.

There is no suggestion that Ptc has multiple states in response to different levels of Hh. Ptc mutants that fail to derepress signaling (Mullor and Guerrero, 2000), or that constitutively derepress signaling (Johnson et al., 2000; Martin et al., 2001; Strutt et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2002) coordinately affect both high (e.g. En) and low (e.g. Iro) responses. Thus, we suggest that Smo and not Ptc is the first step in which the Hh pathway adopts three distinct states.

**Fz signaling**

Both Hhs and Wnts act as morphogens, with different levels of ligand dictating different intracellular responses (Zecca et al., 1996; Neumann and Cohen, 1997; Ingham and McMahon, 2001). Those intracellular responses are respectively initiated by Smo and Fz. Fz and Smo have a high degree of sequence similarity in their extracellular and transmembrane domains (Alcedo et al., 1996). The similarity must extend to function, as graded levels of Wg acting through the FFS chimera drive low and then high signaling by the Smo cytoplasmic tail. This suggests unanticipated complexity in Fz function, where low levels of Wnts ‘low-activate’ Fz while higher levels trigger oligomerization-dependent ‘high activation’. Fz8 CRD crystallizes as a dimer, suggesting a physical basis for Fz family oligomerization (Dann et al., 2001).

**Multiple signaling states for serpentine receptors**

There is precedent within the serpentine receptor superfamily for dimerization/oligomerization and for multiple signaling states. β2-adrenergic receptor (β2AR), the archetypical serpentine receptor has at least three states (reviewed by Pitcher et al., 1998; Brzostowski and Kimmel, 2001; Pierce et al., 2002). In the absence of ligand, β2AR is OFF. The agonist-occupied state favors a global conformational change which allows the cytoplasmic loops and tail to activate heterotrimeric G proteins as well as the receptor kinase, GRK2. GRK2 then phosphorylates the cytoplasmic tail of β2AR. In the phosphorylated state, β2AR binds β-arrestin. β2AR + β-arrestin1 then assemble novel trafficking and signaling complexes which mediate endocytosis, Src binding and ERK activation. Complementation between two inactive β2AR mutant forms demonstrates that adjacent molecules can exchange helices to reconstitute a functional receptor; that is, β2AR can homodimerize. Moreover, a peptide derived from the sixth TM domain simultaneously blocks dimerization and activation (Hebert et al., 1996). There is substantial parallel between this model of β2AR signaling and our model of Smo signaling. Each recruits and activates a kinase when the receptor is stimulated. Each stimulated receptor then becomes a substrate for assembly of a new signaling complex. We suggest that multiple signaling states could be a general mechanism by which serpentine receptors translate different levels and/or kinetics of ligand exposure into distinct responses.

We thank the following people for generous gifts: R. Holmgren, S. Campuzano, M. Noll, S. Cohen and P. Adler for anti-Ci, anti-Iro, anti-SmoN, anti-SmoC and anti-FzN antibodies, respectively; P. Adler for Fz1 cDNA; J. Mohler and Col cDNA; R. Johnson for UasPtc flies; J. Siisson and M. Scott for Pcos+ flies; D. Robbins for UasFlu flies; R. Holmgren for Fig. 7EQ; and the UCHSC Cancer Center for sequencing, and for 9E10 antibody. This work could not have been accomplished without the excellent technical assistance of R. Young and Guo X. We also thank T. Evans, S. Britt and L. Barlow for comments on the manuscript, and members of the laboratory, colleagues at UCHSC (especially R. Gemmill), UCLA (especially L. Birnbaum and J. Lengyel) and across the world for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the NIH (GM45396).

**REFERENCES**


