**Introduction**

During the development of a multicellular animal, cell growth and proliferation must be spatially and temporally coordinated with differentiation. Nowhere is this more important than during gametogenesis where meiosis, which involves a fundamental reorganization of the cell cycle, must be precisely coordinated with the developmental program to make an egg or a sperm. *Drosophila* oogenesis provides a genetically tractable system to examine how the early events of meiosis are coordinated with the ongoing differentiation of the oocyte. *Drosophila* oogenesis starts when a cystoblast, the asymmetric daughter of the germline stem cell, undergoes four divisions with incomplete cytokinesis to produce a 16-cell interconnected cyst (Fig. 1A). Individual cells in the cyst, referred to as cystocytes, are connected by actin-rich intercellular bridges called ring canals (Robinson and Cooley, 1996). All 16 cystocytes complete a long premeiotic S phase. Subsequently, the two cystocytes with four-ring canals, the pro-oocytes, develop long synaptonemal complexes (SC) consistent with pachytene of meiotic prophase I (Mahowald and Kambyssellis, 1980). Several other cells show similar but reduced SC structures; however, only the true oocyte maintains its meiotic state and further condenses its chromatin. The other 15 cystocytes lose their meiotic characteristics, enter the endocycle and develop as polyploid nurse cells.

Oocyte differentiation, as well as the maintenance of the meiotic cycle, are dependent on the microtubule-based directional transport of specific mRNAs and proteins from the nurse cells to the oocyte (Fig. 1B) (Cooley and Theurkauf, 1994). When directional transport to the oocyte is disrupted either chemically by disassembling microtubules, or genetically as occurs in the *Bicaudal-D* (*Bic-D*) and *Lis-1* mutants, egg chambers fail to localize oocyte specific markers and develop with 16-polyploid nurse cells and no oocyte (Koch and Spitzer, 1985; Suter and Steward, 1991; Theurkauf et al., 1993; Mach and Lehmann, 1997; Liu et al., 1999; Swan et al., 1999). In *egl* mutants, in which directional transport to the oocyte is also disrupted, all 16 cystocytes initially behave like pro-oocytes and proceed to early pachytene before reverting to the nurse cell fate and entering the endocycle (Carpenter, 1994; Mach and Lehmann, 1997). This observation supports the model that the cell-cycle and developmental signals required for oocyte development are initially present in all cystocytes but are concentrated in the single oocyte during early meiotic prophase I (Suter and Steward, 1991; Carpenter, 1994; Mach and Lehmann, 1997).

Several lines of evidence indicate that oocyte differentiation is contingent on the execution of the proper cell-cycle program of the germline cyst. As is observed in all
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**Summary**

In *Drosophila*, a single oocyte develops within a 16-cell germline cyst. Although all 16 cells initiate meiosis and undergo premeiotic S phase, only the oocyte retains its meiotic chromosome configuration and remains in the meiotic cycle. The other 15 cells in the cyst enter the endocycle and develop as polyploid nurse cells. A long-standing goal in the field has been to identify factors that are concentrated or activated in the oocyte, that promote meiotic progression and/or the establishment of the oocyte identity. We present the characterization of the *missing oocyte* gene, an excellent candidate for a gene directly involved in the differentiation of the oocyte nucleus. The *missing oocyte* gene encodes a highly conserved protein that preferentially accumulates in pro-oocyte nuclei in early prophase of meiosis I. In *missing oocyte* mutants, the oocyte enters the endocycle and develops as a polyploid nurse cell. Genetic interaction studies indicate that *missing oocyte* influences meiotic progression prior to pachytene and may interact with pathways that control DNA metabolism. Our data strongly suggest that the product of the *missing oocyte* gene acts in the oocyte nucleus to facilitate the execution of the unique cell cycle and developmental programs that produce the mature haploid gamete.
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animal oocytes, in Drosophila the oocyte arrests in prophase of meiosis I for the growth phase of oogenesis. During this developmentally programmed arrest, the p27-like cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor Dacapo (Dap) specifically accumulates in the oocyte nucleus (de Nooij et al., 2000; Hong et al., 2003). The characterization of dap mutants suggests that Dap inhibits inappropriate DNA replication in oocyte and thus helps maintain the prophase I meiotic arrest (Hong et al., 2003). In the absence of Dap, the oocyte enters the endocycle and develops as a nurse cell. Thus, inappropriate entry into the endocycle disrupts oocyte differentiation and can serve as the primary cause of the loss of the oocyte fate. The characterization of two genes required to repair double-strand breaks (DSBs) during meiosis demonstrate that meiotic progression and oocyte differentiation are tightly coupled. The formation of the both the anteroposterior and dorsoventral axis of the oocyte is dependent on activity of Gurken (Grk), the TGFα-like ligand for EGF receptor (Egfr) (reviewed by Van Buskirk and Schüpbach, 1999). In okra (okr) and spindle-B (spnB) mutants the translation of the grk transcript is inhibited, resulting in pattern defects similar to those produced by mutants in the grk-Egfr signaling pathway (Gonzalez-Reyes et al., 1997; Ghabrial et al., 1998). Surprisingly, okr and spnB encode the Drosophila homologs of the yeast DSB repair proteins, RAD54 and DMC1. These data indicate that the pathways that control DSB repair specifically influence the grk-Egfr signaling pathway during oogenesis (Ghabrial et al., 1998).

Why does the oocyte nucleus progress through meiosis while adjacent cystocytes abandon the meiotic cycle in preparation for their development as nurse cells? Although this question is fundamental to a comprehensive understanding of oocyte development, little is known about the pathways that directly promote the differentiation of the oocyte nucleus. We describe the identification and characterization of a novel gene, missing oocyte (mio) that is required for the maintenance of the meiotic cycle during oogenesis. We cloned the mio gene and determined that the Mio protein localizes to the oocyte nucleus at the onset of prophase of meiosis I. In mio mutants, oocyte differentiation and meiotic progression are retarded. Ultimately, mio oocytes exit the meiotic cycle, enter the endocycle and develop as nurse cells. Surprisingly, the mio phenotype is suppressed by inhibiting the formation of the double-stranded breaks that initiate meiotic recombination. Our data strongly suggest that mio mutants define a novel pathway that influences both the nuclear events of meiotic progression and oocyte differentiation.

Materials and methods

Drosophila strains and genetics

The mio1 allele was isolated from ~2000 ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS)-mutagenized chromosomes in a screen for mutations that disrupt cell-cycle regulation in the ovary (K. O’Donnell and M. A. Lilly, data not shown). The mio2 allele was identified as a background mutation on a chromosome that contained the stand still 3 allele (stil 3). stil 3 was isolated in an EMS mutagenesis (Mulligan et al., 1996). egli (egli WU) and Df(2R)vg135 were obtained from Umeå Drosophila Stock Center (Sweden) (Schüpbach and Wieschaus, 1991). egliWU (egli WU) and Df(2R)vg135 were obtained from Umeå Drosophila Stock Center (Sweden) (Schüpbach and Wieschaus, 1991). Df(2L)yan J2 was a gift from Z. C. Lai (Lai et al., 1997). mei-41 null mutant, mei-41D18D0, was a gift from T. T. Su (Laurençon et al., 2003). All additional stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center.
Molecular characterization of the mio gene

We mapped the mio locus between the proximal breakpoints of Df[2L]dpYb and Df[2L]lyan2. To physically map the extent of this chromosomal region, we determined the molecular breakpoints of the deficiencies by quantitative Southern blot analysis. This analysis indicated mio mapped to a 50 kb genomic region, between 22C3 and 22D2, which contained 11 predicted open reading frames (ORFs) (FlyBase). From these 11 ORFs, all predicted exons were amplified from genomic DNA samples of mio1 and mio2 homozygous flies, sequenced and then compared with the sequence from the Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project (BDGP). To confirm that the single nucleotide changes found in CG7074 were not due to random polymorphisms, we sequenced the appropriate parental chromosomes, the second chromosome. Therefore, we crossed the mio1 mutant females, all 16 cystocytes enter the endocycle and develop as nurse cells (Fig. 1D). mio egg chambers rarely develop beyond stage 5 of oogenesis (Fig. 1F). Thus, mio ovarioles contain numerous developmentally arrested egg chambers of approximately the same size. During complementation analysis with known female sterile mutants, a second mio allele was fortuitously identified as a background mutation on the slts1 chromosome (see Materials and methods). Both mio1 and mio2 are homozygous viable and female sterile. The female sterility and 16 nurse cell phenotype is fully recessive in both alleles. Neither the penetrance nor the expressivity of the mio phenotype is increased when mio1 or mio2 are placed in trans to a deficiency, suggesting that both alleles are genetic nulls (Table 1). However, we note that the severity of the mio1/Df ovarian phenotype is somewhat reduced relative to that observed in mio1 homozygotes, indicating that mio1 may be a weak antimorph.

Mio and the maintenance of the meiotic cycle

*Egg chambers that have more than 16 cells, or that have mislocalized oocyte.
In contrast, mutants such as stonewall, par-1 and dap, that disrupt the maintenance but not the specification of the oocyte identity, initially localize oocyte specific markers but this localization is lost when the oocyte inappropriately enters the endocycle (Clark and McKearin, 1996; Huynh et al., 2001; Vaccari and Ephrussi, 2002; Hong et al., 2003).

In order to determine if mio influences the specification of the oocyte identity, we examined the localization of Orb and Bic-D protein in mio mutant ovaries. In wild-type cysts, the Orb and Bic-D proteins first accumulate in the cytoplasm of the oocyte, as well as that of several adjacent cells, in region 2a of the germarium soon after the completion of premeiotic S phase (Fig. 2A) (Christerson and McKearin, 1994; Lantz et al., 1994). As cysts pass through region 2b Orb and Bic-D staining becomes restricted to a single centrally localized cell, which will ultimately become the oocyte. mio cysts clearly specify an oocyte, as indicated by the specific accumulation of Orb and Bic-D protein within a single cell (Fig. 2B, and data not shown).

However, the preferential accumulation of Orb in the mutant pro-oocytes is markedly delayed and is often not visible until late region 2b or region 3 (Fig. 2B). Indeed, the overall levels of Orb appear to be lower in mio mutants (Fig. 2A,B).

Although mio mutants specify an oocyte, this fate is not maintained in the later stages of oogenesis. In wild-type ovaries, Bic-D and Orb continue to accumulate in post-germarial egg chambers following a shift in their localization from the anterior to the posterior of the oocyte in stage 2 (Fig. 2A,C) (Wharton and Struhl, 1989; Lantz et al., 1994). This translocation reflects a reorganization of the polarity of the microtubules within the oocyte (Theurkauf et al., 1992; Pare and Suter, 2000; Vaccari and Ephrussi, 2002). However, in mio mutants Orb and Bic-D frequently do not move to the posterior of the oocyte in stage 2 (Fig. 2B). In addition, the preferential accumulation of Orb and Bic-D is gradually lost when the oocyte becomes polyploid later in oogenesis and reverts to the nurse cell fate (Fig. 2D, and data not shown). Thus, in mio mutants the acquisition of the oocyte identity is delayed and the oocyte fate is not maintained in post-germarial egg chambers.

Null mutations in the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor dap have a similar effect on the maintenance of oocyte identity (Hong et al., 2003). In dap mutants, an oocyte is initially specified. However, once the oocyte enters the endocycle, the oocyte identity is gradually lost and egg chambers develop with 16 polyploid nurse cells. We wanted to examine if mio influences oocyte differentiation by regulating Dap expression. In the ~10% of the mio2 egg chambers in which the oocyte does not enter the endocycle, Dap protein accumulates to high levels in the single oocyte as is observed in wild-type egg chambers. These data indicate that Dap can be expressed and properly localized to the oocyte nucleus in the absence of Mio. In the 90% of egg chambers in which the oocyte becomes polyploid, Dap does not accumulate to high levels in any one cell. Thus, as is observed with all oocyte-specific markers examined, the specific accumulation of Dap is lost, or is never properly established, in mio oocytes that enter the endocycle. We believe this reflects a general problem in the maintenance of oocyte identity and/or the differential transport system, as represented by the concomitant loss of Bic-D and Orb accumulations, rather than a direct role for Mio in the regulation of Dap expression. However, we cannot rule out the formal possibility that some aspects of the mio ovarian phenotype are due to the misregulation of Dap.

**Meiotic progression is altered in mio mutants**

To examine if Mio is required for early meiotic progression, we followed the distribution of the SC protein C(3)G. In wild-type cysts, the two pro-oocytes progress to pachytene in region 2a as determined by the completion of the SC along all bivalents (Mahowald and Kambysellis, 1980) (Fig. 3A). Cells adjacent to the pro-oocyte also build a less extensive network of SC. In mio mutants, an increased number of cells enter the meiotic cycle in region 2a as assayed by C(3)G staining with 76% of mio cysts having more than five cells in the meiotic cycle (Fig. 3B). Although too many cells enter meiosis, mio mutants retain the ability to restrict the meiotic cycle to a single cell. Thus, as is observed in wild type, by region 3 the majority of mio cysts contain a single C(3)G positive cell (Fig. 3B).
mio mutant germaria contain cysts that have pro-oocytes with a pachytene-like SC configuration, indicating that Mio is not an absolute requirement for entry into the meiotic cycle or for progression to pachytene (Fig. 3B). However, Mio does affect the kinetics of SC formation. Relative to wild type, mio germaria contain approximately twice as many cysts in region 2a (21.7%, n=106 in wild type versus 50.7%, n=67 in mio mutants) in which the pro-oocytes appear to be in early or late zygotene and thus contain fragmented and/or partially formed SC. Specifically, mio mutants have a large number of 2a cysts that have the broken SC structure suggestive of late-zygotene or early-pachytene (Fig. 3C,D). The apparent increase in the proportion of cysts at this intermediate step in meiosis may represent either a delay in SC construction or the formation of aberrant SC. These data indicate that Mio influences meiotic progression and/or the establishment of meiotic chromosome structure during early prophase of meiosis I.

Mio is required for progression to pachytene in egl mutants

To explore the function of mio further during oogenesis, we made double-mutant combinations of mio and egl. In egl mutants, all 16-cyst cells enter the meiotic cycle and form SCs (Carpenter, 1994; Page and Hawley, 2001) (Fig. 4B). However, this meiotic state is not stably maintained and ultimately all 16 cystocytes exit the meiotic cycle and enter the endocycle. We generated females that were double mutant for mio<sup>2</sup> and one of two null alleles of egl, egl<sup>1</sup> or egl<sup>2</sup>. Intriguingly, mio, egl females have an ovarian phenotype significantly stronger than either single mutant. mio, egl double mutants do not form even the thin SC observed in egl null mutants. Instead, αC(3)G staining remains diffuse in all 16-cystocytes with one or two bright clumps of staining (Fig. 4C). This pattern suggests that either the nuclei are arrested prior to pachytene, before the completion of the mature SC, or alternatively mio, egl mutants form a defective SC. The ability of mutations in mio to enhance the severity of the egl<sup>null</sup> SC phenotype confirms that mio functions prior to the pachytene stage of meiosis.

The molecular characterization of the mio gene

Recombination mapping placed the mio gene on the left arm of the second chromosome between 4.52 cM and 5.10 cM. Using genomic deficiencies we mapped the mio gene to a small cytogenetic interval, 22D5-E1, consistent with the meiotic map position (Fig. 5A). Specifically, the mio ovarian phenotype is complemented by Df(2L)dp<sup>79b</sup> (22A2-3;22D5-E1) but not by Df(2L)yan<sup>12</sup> [22C2:22E1 from Lai et al. (Lai et al., 1997)]. We molecularly defined the...
proximal break points of Df(2L)dp79b and Df(2L)yan2 as 22C3 and 22D2, respectively, by quantitative Southern blot analysis (data not shown). This placed the mio gene within a 50 kb genomic region that contained 11 ORFs as predicted by BDGP (Fig. 5A). The 11 ORFs were sequenced from both the mio1 and mio2 chromosomes. mio1 and mio2 both contain a single nucleotide change in the CG7074 ORF (nomenclature is taken from BDGP) that result in a nonsense mutation (Fig. 5A,C). No sequence alterations were found in the other 10 ORFs. CG7074 encodes a single transcript of ~3 kb as determined by northern blot analysis (Fig. 5B), and a protein of 867 amino acids. Both mio1 and mio2 mutants are predicted to produce truncated proteins that contain 110 amino acids and 817 amino acids, respectively (Fig. 5C). To confirm that CG7074 corresponds to the mio gene, we rescued the mio mutant phenotype using germline specific expression of full-length CG7074 cDNA. As indicated in Table 2, UASp-CG7074 expressed by the germline specific nanos-Gal4:VP16 driver fully rescued the mio2 phenotype. Ovaries from rescued females did not contain polyploidy oocytes. In addition, although oogenesis in mio2 homozygotes is blocked at approximately stage 5, rescued mio2 ovaries exhibit no developmental block and are fully fertile (data not shown). These data formally demonstrate that CG7074 is mio and indicate that the mio phenotype is germ line dependent.

The mio gene is predicted to encode a novel protein that is highly conserved from yeast to mammals with the most closely related gene, human FLJ20323, sharing 30% identity and 47% similarity with Drosophila mio (from BLAST2 analysis, NCBI). The conservation between mio homologs is found throughout the length of the protein. However, the Mio protein does contain two highly conserved domains that are particular noteworthy (Fig. 5C). The first domain consists of four WD40 repeats near the N terminus of the protein. WD40 repeats can function as an interface for protein-protein interactions (Smith et al., 1999). The second domain, near the C terminus of the Mio protein, consists of ten invariant cysteines as well as three invariant histidines and contains limited structural similarity to the RING finger and PHD finger domains (Capili et al., 2001) (Fig. 5C).

**Mio localizes to nuclei that contain synaptonemal complexes**

In order to determine the precise cellular localization of the Mio protein, we generated antibodies against a C-terminal region of the protein (Fig. 5C). Western blot analysis indicates that the polyclonal antibody recognizes a single band of ~98.6 kDa, the predicted molecular weight of the Mio protein (Fig. 6A). The intensity of the 98.6 kDa band is dramatically increased in ovarian extracts from UASp-mio; nanos-Gal4:VP16 females, which overexpress the mio mRNA (Fig. 6A). Ovaries from mio2 females produce a slightly smaller protein, 92.6 kDa, consistent with the mio2 C-terminal truncation. Immunostaining of wild-type ovaries indicates that the Mio protein specifically localizes to oocyte nuclei (Fig. 6B). Weak Mio staining is first detected in one or two centrally located cystocytes in region 2a (Fig. 6C). The staining becomes dramatically brighter and restricted to a single cell in region 2b. Staining in the oocyte nucleus after stage 3 is still visible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal genotype</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>16-nurse cell (%)</th>
<th>Wild type (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w; mio1, UASp-mio</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w; mio2, UASp-mio; nos-GAL4/+</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mio phenotype is suppressed by blocking the formation of DSB during meiosis

Mutations in genes required to repair DSB, such as okr and spnB, affect the formation of the dorsoventral axis of the egg (Ghabrial et al., 1998). In okra and spnB mutants this phenotype is suppressed by blocking the formation of the DSB that initiate meiotic recombination (Ghabrial and Schüpbach, 1999). In order to determine if blocking the formation of DSBs suppresses the mio ovarian phenotypes, we examined mio, mei-W68 and mio, mei-P22 double-mutant females. Both mei-W68 (SPO11 homolog) and mei-P22 (novel gene) are required for DSB formation during meiosis (McKim and Hayashi-Hagihara, 1998; Sekelsky et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2002). Intriguingly, ovaries from mio, mei-W68 and mio, mei-P22 double-mutants have three to four times more egg chambers that contain an oocyte than mio single mutants (Table 3). In addition, mio, mei-W68 and mio, mei-P22 egg chambers often undergo vitellogenesis and develop to late stages of oogenesis (Fig. 7B). By contrast, egg chambers from mio single mutants rarely develop beyond stage 5 (Fig. 7A). Placing a single copy of either a mei-W68 or mei-P22 mutation in the mio background results in a partial suppression of the ovarian phenotype (Table 3). Thus, inhibiting the formation of DSBs during meiosis significantly suppresses the mio 16-nurse cell phenotype and the associated developmental delay.

The spnB and okr DV patterning defects are suppressed in a mei-41 background (Ghabrial and Schüpbach, 1999). mei-41 encodes the ATM/ATR homolog and is proposed to be a component of the pathway that delays meiotic progression in response to unrepaired DSB (Ghabrial and Schüpbach, 1999; McKim et al., 2000). These data indicate that it is the activation of the meiotic checkpoint, and not the presence of DSB, that cause the DV patterning defects observed in okr and spnB egg chambers. We examined mei-41<sup>20d</sup>, mio<sup>2</sup> double mutants to determine if the mio phenotype was also dependent on the activation of the meiotic checkpoint. In contrast to what is observed in spn-B and okr mutants, mio<sup>2</sup> is not rescued in a mei-41<sup>null</sup> background (Table
3). These data indicate that the presence of unrepaired DSBs contribute to the mio phenotype independent of the meiotic checkpoint.

Discussion

mio encodes a highly conserved protein that localizes to oocyte nuclei in early prophase of meiosis I

The mio gene encodes a novel protein that is conserved from yeast to humans. In higher eukaryotes, all Mio family members share a similar domain structure. The N termini contain a series of four to six well-conserved WD40 repeats. WD40 repeats often provide a surface for protein-protein interactions (Smith et al., 1999). The WD40 repeats found in Mio family members are most similar to those present in the chromatin-binding protein CAF1p48/RbAp48, which is a component of numerous chromatin-remodeling complexes (Vermaak et al., 1999; Mello and Almouzni, 2001). Specifically, CAF1p48/RbAp48 is found in complexes that modify chromatin through the acetylation and deacetylation of histones. The similarity between the WD40 repeats found in Mio and CAF1p48/RbAp48 is particularly intriguing in light of the disruptions in meiotic chromatin structure observed in mio single mutants as well as mio, egl double mutant combinations. In addition to the WD40 repeats, Mio family members contain a highly conserved 50 amino acid domain near their C termini that share structural similarities to two well-characterized zinc binding domains, the RING finger and the PHD finger. RING finger domains are present in a subclass of E3 ubiquitin ligases, while PHD fingers have been implicated in chromatin binding (Aasland et al., 1995; Joazeiro and Weissman, 2000). Although the ‘Mio domain’ does share structural similarities to these zinc-binding domains, it does not fit the exact consensus of either a canonical RING finger or a canonical PHD finger. Therefore, the biochemical function of this highly conserved domain remains to be empirically determined.

Mio is the earliest nuclear marker for the oocyte that is not a known component of the SC. In Drosophila, the SC is constructed before the formation of the DSBs that initiates meiotic recombination (McKim et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2002). The Mio protein is first expressed in region 2a where it colocalizes to nuclei that contain SCs. Thus, during oogenesis Mio is expressed specifically in cells that have entered the meiotic cycle. Although Mio is expressed in both pro-oocytes in early region 2a, Mio staining always appears asymmetric with one cell, presumably the true oocyte, having a brighter signal. Intriguingly, Mio staining is asymmetric before there is noticeable asymmetry in SC structure, as determined by C(3)G staining. Although Mio

### Table 3. Suppression of mio mutant phenotype by mei-W68 and mei-P22 mutations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal genotype</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>16-nurse cell (%)</th>
<th>Abnormal karyosome (%)</th>
<th>Other* (%)</th>
<th>Wild type (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, mei-W68&lt;sup&gt;1/+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;/mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, mei-W68&lt;sup&gt;1/+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, mei-W68&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, mei-P22&lt;sup&gt;22/22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, mei-P22&lt;sup&gt;22/22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;/mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, mei-W68&lt;sup&gt;105601/+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, mei-W68&lt;sup&gt;105601/+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; ***</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mei-41&lt;sup&gt;20D&lt;/sup&gt;/+; mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mei-41&lt;sup&gt;20D&lt;/sup&gt;; mio&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Egg chambers that have more than 16 cells in double mutants, and/or that have eight cells in mio<sup>2</sup>.

**mei-W68<sup>105601</sup> is homozygous lethal.

***mio<sup>2</sup> line used for the mei-41 study was independently isolated from the stil chromosone by meiotic recombination, and has a slightly stronger phenotype than the original mio<sup>2</sup> isolate.

![Fig. 7. Mutations in mei-W68 suppress the mio mutant phenotype. Ovarioles from mio<sup>2</sup> (A) and a mio<sup>2</sup>, mei-W68<sup>1</sup> double mutant (B), stained with Hoechst (blue) and orOrb (red). Unlike the single mutant, oocytes from double mutants form normal karyosomes (arrows) and accumulate Orb protein beginning in region 2a (arrowheads). Although most mio<sup>2</sup>, mei-W68<sup>1</sup> egg chambers develop normally, some abnormalities are observed, such as the mispositioning of the oocyte (see third egg chamber in B where the oocyte is located in the center, rather than the posterior, of the egg chamber).](image_url)
does accumulate soon after the onset of the meiotic cycle, in egl mutants, Mio does not localize to a single nucleus but remains undetectable. These data strongly suggest that the construction of the microtubule-based directional transport system precedes the specific accumulation of Mio in the oocyte. This localization pattern is consistent with a role for mio downstream of the initial specification of the oocyte identity.

**Mio influences cyst polarity and oocyte differentiation**

In mio mutant egg chambers, the oocyte enters the endocycle and adopts a nurse cell fate. Two observations indicate that Mio acts as early as region 2a and affects some of the earliest steps in oocyte differentiation. In wild-type cysts four cells enter meiosis and form SC in region 2a before the meiotic cycle is restricted to a single cell in region 2b. However, in mio mutants, too many cells enter meiosis in region 2a and form SC. This early broadening of the meiotic gradient, with too many cells displaying oocyte-like features, is similar to what is observed in par1 mutants and is consistent with a delay in the establishment of cyst polarity and/or the differentiation of the oocyte. In addition, mio mutants are slow to accumulate oocyte-specific markers. In wild-type cysts, oocyte-specific markers, such as Orb and Bic-D, accumulate in a single centrally localized cell beginning in region 2a (Spradling, 1993). In mio mutants this accumulation is often not observed until region 3. Indeed, the initial expression of Orb appears to be delayed or substantially reduced, with mio cysts throughout the germarium having lower overall levels of Orb compared with similarly staged wild-type cysts. These data demonstrate that consistent with its expression at the onset of prophase of meiosis I, Mio functions early in oogenesis to promote the polarization of the cyst and acquisition of the oocyte fate. However, we note that it is not clear if this early meiotic function of Mio is required for the maintenance of the meiotic cycle or if Mio functions at multiple times during oogenesis.

How does one reconcile the limited expression pattern of Mio, which specifically accumulates in the nuclei of the two pro-oocytes, with the apparent global disruption in cyst polarity observed in mio mutants? We can think of at least two possible explanations for this apparent paradox. First, Mio may act in the oocyte nucleus to reinforce and maintain the oocyte fate. In this model, subtle alterations in oocyte differentiation may compromise, but not eliminate, the directional transport system and/or other pathways that are required to establish and/or reinforce cyst polarity. This might produce a weak egl-like phenotype and thus allow an inappropriate number of cells to enter meiosis. Alternatively, Mio may be present at undetectable levels in cells beyond the two pro-oocytes. In this model, Mio acts cell autonomously to influence cell cycle regulation and the establishment of cyst polarity in cells throughout the cyst.

**Mio facilitates meiotic progression**

The analysis of mio, egl double mutants demonstrate that Mio influences meiotic progression and/or meiotic chromosome structure early in prophase of meiosis I, prior to the formation of the mature SC. The phenotype of mio, egl double mutants is considerable stronger than either single mutant. In the double mutant the C(3)G pattern never appears even remotely thread-like, but persists as generalized nuclear staining with one or more bright dots. This pattern suggests that either the nuclei are arrested prior to pachytene, before the completion of the mature SC, or alternatively mio, egl mutants form a defective SC.

Why might the disruption of directional transport to the oocyte uncover an earlier function for mio? In wild-type cysts, factors required for meiotic progression are produced in all 16 cystocytes but are quickly transported to the oocyte where they accumulate to high levels (Spradling, 1993). In egl mutants these putative meiotic factors are not enriched in a single cell, but instead are present in all 16 cystocytes, presumably at a much lower concentration than is observed in a wild-type oocyte. It is only in this compromised situation, where factors required for meiotic progression may be limiting, that Mio becomes an absolute requirement for progression to pachytene. One possible explanation for these data is that a protein that is normally concentrated in the oocyte, via the direction transport system, is partially redundant for Mio function. Additional support for a role for Mio prior to pachytene comes from the observation that mio single mutants contain an increased proportion of cysts with fragmented or partially formed SC in region 2a of the germarium. The increase in the proportion of this developmental intermediate suggests that mutations in mio cause subtle alterations in the kinetics of SC formation.

Our data support a model in which Mio acts to facilitate the nuclear events of meiotic progression soon after the completion of premeiotic S phase and the onset of the meiotic developmental program. Considering the presence of WD40 repeats similar to those found in CAF1p48/RbAp48, we speculate that Mio may act to modify chromatin structure in the pro-oocyte nuclei to promote oocyte differentiation and prepare the oocyte nucleus for the upcoming events of meiosis, including meiotic recombination and the meiotic divisions. As discussed below, this model is consistent with a potential role for Mio in DSB repair during meiosis.

**Mio may be required to repair the DSBs that initiate meiotic recombination**

The mio ovarian phenotype is suppressed by inhibiting the formation of DSBs during meiosis. In mio single mutants, the oocyte frequently enters the endocycle and becomes polyploid. However, when placed in a genetic background in which DSB formation is inhibited, the majority of mio egg chambers retain an oocyte and develop to late stages of oogenesis. Intriguingly, mutations in the meiotic checkpoint gene mei-41 do not suppress mio, indicating that it is the physical presence of DSB, and not the activation of the meiotic checkpoint, that contributes to the mio phenotype. However, although clearly important, the inability to repair DSBs during meiosis is unlikely to be sole cause of the mio phenotype. Mutations in genes with a direct role in DSB repair, such as okr and spnB, cause patterning defects late in oogenesis (Gonzalez-Reyes et al., 1997; Ghabrial et al., 1998) but do not result in the complete abandonment of meiosis and the polyploidization of the oocyte, as is observed in mio mutants. Therefore, mio must have additional functions beyond the repair of DSBs. Considering that Mio localizes to the oocyte nucleus early in meiosis and functions before the construction of the mature SC, we speculate that mio acts upstream of the enzymology of DSB repair. Thus, the inability of mio mutants to repair DSB
may be due to a general alteration in meiotic chromosome structure or alternatively subtle alterations in the meiotic program.

In the future, the identification of the molecular mechanism by which mio influences the differentiation of the oocyte nucleus as well as the regulation of the meiotic chromosomes will provide insight into the poorly understood pathways that drive early meiotic progression and early oocyte development in metazoans.
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