Extra-embryonic vasculature development is regulated by the transcription factor HAND1
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Summary

The basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor HAND1 (also called eHAND) is expressed in numerous tissues during development including the heart, limbs, neural crest derivatives and extra-embryonic membranes. To investigate the role of Hand1 during development, we generated a Hand1 knockout mouse. Hand1-null mice survived to the nine somite stage at which time they succumbed to numerous developmental defects. One striking defect in Hand1-null embryos was the accumulation of hematopoietic cells between the yolk sac and the amnion because of defects in the yolk sac vasculature. In Hand1-null yolk sacs, vasculogenesis occurs but vascular refinement was arrested. Analysis of angiogenic genes in extra-embryonic membranes showed that most are expressed at normal levels in Hand1-null embryos but several, including VEGF, Ang1 and ephrin B2, and gene components of the Notch pathway are upregulated. In the absence of Hand1 the expression of the bHLH factor Hand2 is also enhanced. Although HAND1 and HAND2 share many structural features, and Hand2 is required for vasculature development in yolk sacs, enhanced expression of Hand2 is insufficient to compensate for the loss of Hand1. The most striking aspect of the vascular defect in Hand1 mutant yolk sacs is the abnormal distribution of smooth muscle cells. During normal angiogenesis, vascular smooth muscle precursors are recruited to the peri-endothelial tissue before differentiation, however, in Hand1 null yolk sacs, smooth muscle cells are not recruited but differentiate in clusters distributed throughout the mesoderm. These data indicate that Hand1 is required for angiogenesis and vascular smooth muscle recruitment in the yolk sac.
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Introduction

The two HAND transcription factors HAND1 (also called eHAND/Hx1/Thing1) and HAND2 (dHAND/Hed/Thing2) belong to the Twist family of basic helix loop helix (bHLH) transcription factors (Cross et al., 1995; Cserjesi et al., 1995; Hollenberg et al., 1995; Srivastava et al., 1995). Hand genes are expressed in numerous tissues, including the heart, lateral mesoderm and neural crest derivatives. In addition, Hand1 is expressed at high levels in extra-embryonic membranes, whereas Hand2 is expressed at high levels in the decidium and at lower levels in extra-embryonic membranes. Both Hand genes are expressed in similar embryonic tissues during development but often with complementary instead of overlapping expression within the tissues.

Previous studies have shown that the Hand1 gene is essential for embryonic viability beyond 9.5 dpc (Firulli et al., 1998; Riley et al., 1998). Hand1-null mice exhibit numerous embryonic and extra-embryonic defects. Based on the expression pattern of HAND1, many abnormalities in Hand1-null mice are probably indirect and arise as a consequence of defects in extra-embryonic tissues. A requirement for Hand1 during trophectoderm development suggests that part of the embryonic defects could be due to the failure to fully develop this lineage (Riley et al., 1998). However, experiments designed to rescue the trophectoderm-induced defects did not significantly rescue the extra-embryonic or the embryonic defects (Riley et al., 2000). Another defect in extra-embryonic tissues that could account for the extensive developmental abnormalities found in Hand1-null embryos is defects in the yolk sac. A major defect in Hand1-null yolk sac is the lack of fully developed vasculature (Firulli et al., 1998). However, the regulation of extra-embryonic membrane development by HAND1 has not been investigated.

Embryonic vasculature development proceeds through a multi-step processes (Conway et al., 2001; Neufeld et al., 1999; Patan, 2000). Embryonic blood vessels initially form in the yolk sac early during development with angioblasts first appearing around 7.0-7.5 dpc in mice. These migrate, aggregate, proliferate and eventually differentiate to form a vascular plexus through the process called vasculogenesis. Endothelial cells form from the angioblasts within the mesoderm adjacent to the extra-embryonic endoderm in part through signaling by vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). VEGF binds two tyrosine kinase receptors implicated...
in the VEGF-directed vasculogenesis and hematopoiesis. One receptor, FLK1 (KDR – Mouse Genome Informatics), is required for vasculogenesis and blood island formation (Shalaby et al., 1995). Another VEGF receptor, FLT1, regulates blood island formation but is not required for endothelium differentiation (Fong et al., 1995).

Angiogenesis is the expansion and elongation of the primitive vascular network through sprouting and remodeling from pre-existing vessels. Angiogenic growth factors angiopoietin 1 and 2 (ANG1 and ANG2) play a key role in this process. ANG1 directs phosphorylation of the endothelial tyrosine kinase receptor TIE2 (TEK – Mouse Genome Informatics) and acts as a chemoeffector for endothelial cells (Gale and Yancopoulos, 1999; Suri et al., 1996). ANG2 destabilizes the smooth muscle cells that form around the endothelial cells and also induces endothelial sprouting by antagonizing ANG1 (Gale and Yancopoulos, 1999; Suri et al., 1996). ANG2 is required for vasculogenesis and blood island formation but is not required for endothelium differentiation (Shalaby et al., 1995). Mice lacking ANG1 (Gale and Yancopoulos, 1999; Suri et al., 1996) show extensive vascular remodeling defects, while loss of Tie1, a gene closely related to Tie2, shows impaired blood vessel integrity (Sato et al., 1995). Vasculature refinement is thought to occur through a combination of activation and inhibition of the ANG/TIE signal transduction pathways.

In addition to their role in vasculogenesis, VEGF and its receptors FLK1 and FLT1 are required for angiogenesis by promoting the migration, proliferation, and tube formation in endothelial cells (Patan, 2000). Loss of a single copy of Vegf results in embryonic lethality between 8.0 and 9.0 dpc resulting in vasculature defects, suggesting that the concentration of VEGF is crucial for angiogenesis (Carmeliet et al., 1996; Ferrara et al., 1996). Endothelial cells respond to VEGF through the VEGF165-specific receptors neuropilin 1 and 2 (NRPI and NRPP2) (Gitay-Goren et al., 1992). Mice lacking neuropilins have angiogenic defects similar to mice lacking VEGF (Takashima et al., 2002), suggesting that they are key receptors of VEGF-mediated vascular formation.

After endothelial tubes form, vessel maturation requires recruitment of periendothelial cells, including vascular smooth muscle cells (SMCs) and pericytes. Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) BB and PDGFRB receptors of VEGF mediated vascular formation. Platelet-derived growth factor receptors of VEGF (Takashima et al., 2002), suggesting that they are key regulators of vasculogenesis, angiogenesis and SMC recruitment (Oettgen, 2001). We examined the role of the bHLH transcription factor Hand1 during vascular development in yolk sacs, the tissue where blood vessel formation originates in the embryo. We have generated a Hand1 knockout (KO) mouse line and examined the role of Hand1 in vasculature development during yolk sac development. Our data shows that Hand1 is not required for vasculogenesis, but is required for elaboration of the primitive endothelial plexus to refine into a functional vascular system. One function of Hand1 during yolk sac development is the recruitment of SMCs to the endothelial network.

Materials and methods
Generation of a targeting construct
A genomic lambda library generated from 129/Sv mouse DNA was screened with Hand1 cDNA and three overlapping Hand1 genomic clones were isolated. These inserts were cloned into pBSKII (Stratagene) prior to restriction analysis. A construct was designed to replace part of the Hand1-coding region in the first exon with the gene encoding β-galactosidase (β-gal) (Fig. 1). First, β-gal from Hsp60-β-gal (a gift from Dr. Janet Rossant, Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute, Toronto) was subcloned into the Ncol-BamHI site of Litmus 28 (New England BioLabs) to generate Lti28-β-gal. Then, the 5’ region of Hand1 was cloned as a PCR product using Vent polymerase (New England BioLabs). One primer (5′-actccagtgactgttg-agaggctctggcc-3′) mutagenized the initiating methionine of Hand1 to generate an Ncol site and the other primer was located 6 kb downstream from the start of translation (5′-actccagtgacctggcctt-3′). The 6 kb PCR product was cut with Ncol to produce a 3.5 kb Ncol fragment that was then cloned into the Ncol site of the Lti28-β-gal. The 3′ region of the KO construct was generated in pBSKII (Stratagene) by cloning the PGKNeo gene from PGKneoSXRO (a gift from Dr. Richard Behringer, University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston) as a SalI-XhoI fragment into the SalI site of pBSKII (pBSK-Neo). An NruI-XhoI fragment from the Hand1 gene was cloned into the HincII-XhoI site of pBSK-Neo. This construct was digested with BamHI and blunted with Klenow polymerase. The Hand1-β-gal fusion was cloned into a blunted BamHI site as a bluntAcc65I-XhoI fragment. For negative selection, the MC1TK cassette was cloned into the XhoI site downstream of the Hand1 gene. The Hand1-β-gal construct was linearized using Ncol prior to electroporation into ES cells.

Generation of Hand1 targeted ES cells
The generation of targeted ES cells followed protocols outlined in Hogan et al. (Hogan et al., 1994). The Hand1-β-gal knock-in construct was electroporated into C57 ES cells (Swiatek and Gridley, 1993) (a gift from Dr. Tom Gridley, Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor) and ES clones containing stably integrated DNA were selected using G418 and FIAU. Clones were picked and replica plated in 96-well microtiter dishes. Targeted clones were identified by genomic Southern blot analysis using an EcoRI-XhoI fragment that flanks the KO construct as probe (Fig. 1A). Targeted clones were injected into blastocysts by the Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center Transgenic Mouse Facility at Columbia University. Three mouse lines were generated from two independently targeted ES clones.

β-Gal staining
Embryos were dissected and fixed briefly in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS. Embryos were rinsed three times with PBS and stained in 5 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 5 mM K4Fe(CN)6, 2 mM MgCl2/PBS containing 1 mg/ml X-gal.

Whole-mount PECAM staining
Yolk sacs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 30 minutes, blocked in immunoblock reagent (PBS, 5% goat serum, 1% DMSO) for 1 hour at room temperature and incubated with 1:200 anti-PECAM antibody (PharMingen) overnight at 4°C. Yolk sacs were washed five times with PBS and incubated with 1:500 anti-rat AP-conjugated antibody (Zymed) overnight at 4°C. After washing, a chromogen was generated using BCIP and NBT as substrates.

Section immunofluorescence and immunohistochemical analysis
Immunohistochemistry was performed on frozen sections. For detection of β-gal and PECAM, sections were incubated with rabbit anti-β-gal (5 prime-3 prime, Boulder, CO) and rat anti-PECAM antibodies, washed, and incubated with anti-rabbit rhodamine
conjugated and anti-rat FITC conjugated antibodies (Santa Cruz). To detect smooth muscle cells, rhodamine conjugated anti-mouse smooth muscle α-actin antibody (Sigma) was used.

**RT-PCR analysis**
Total RNA was extracted from extra-embryonic membranes using Trizole reagent (Gibco). RNA samples were treated with RNase free DNase I prior to reverse transcription. RNA was primed with oligo(dT) and the first strand was synthesized using SuperscriptII (Invitrogen). PCR amplification was with MasterPCR mix (Qiagen) with gene specific primer pairs. All samples were normalized to Gapdh. Each PCR reaction was performed with dilution of cDNA samples to maintain the products in a linear range. Each primer pair was tested in three independent PCR amplifications for each sample. RT reactions were performed three times with different membranes. Primer sequences are available upon request.

**Results**

Hand1 is essential for extra-embryonic membrane formation and embryonic viability

To examine the roles Hand1 plays during development, we targeted the Hand1 gene in mice using a gene replacement strategy (Fig. 1A). The gene replacement targeting vector contained a β-galactosidase (β-gal) gene fused in frame to the initiation methionine of HAND1, ablating the first 174 amino acids of exon 1. The deleted region contained the bHLH, DNA binding and dimerization domains. The targeting strategy preserved all transcriptional regulatory elements to allow for a detailed examination of the expression pattern of Hand1 throughout development and in adult mice by examining β-gal expression.

Hand1<sup>β-gal/β-gal</sup> embryos develop normally up to 7.5 dpc at which time they reabsorb when grown in a 129sv background. When the mice were outcrossed to C57/Bl6 or Swiss-Webster mice, Hand1<sup>β-gal/β-gal</sup> embryos were found in the predicted Mendelian frequency up to 7.75 dpc after which the frequency of Hand1<sup>β-gal/β-gal</sup> embryos decreased with a corresponding increase in the number of absorption sites. As reported previously (Firulli et al., 1998; Riley et al., 1998), Hand1<sup>β-gal/β-gal</sup> embryos out-crossed appear grossly normal up to ~8.0 dpc after which time development is severely retarded and embryonic defects are more severe. However, we found a large number of embryos survive up to 9.5 dpc although they did not develop past the formation of nine somites.

Analysis of the expression of the inserted β-gal gene in Hand1<sup>β-gal/+</sup> embryos during development revealed that the expression pattern recapitulates the expression of the endogenous HAND1 gene (Cserjesi et al., 1995) (Fig. 1B-E). HAND1 is first observed in the extra-embryonic mesoderm at 7.5 dpc. High expression levels of β-gal expression are maintained in the yolk sac and the amnion in the mesodermal layer throughout development. By 7.75 dpc β-gal expression was seen throughout the lateral plate mesoderm and in the forming heart (Fig. 1B). Expression of Hand1 is maintained in the SMCs of the gut, a lateral plate derivative, throughout development and in adult mice.

β-gal expression in the heart become progressively restricted and by 10.5 dpc β-gal activity was seen predominantly in the left ventricle and outflow tract. Expression was also seen in neural crest derived tissues of the first and second branchial arches and in the forming sympathetic nervous system (Fig. 1C). Expression of β-gal is high in components of the umbilicus including the blood vessels and smooth muscle of the umbilical gut (Fig. 1C).

To better visualize the expression of the β-gal knock-in gene within developing embryos, we cleared later stages with benzyl benzoate and benzyl alcohol (1:2 ratio). ad, adrenal gland; h, heart; ht, heart tube; g, gut; lm, lateral mesoderm; m, mandible and tongue; scg, superior cervical ganglia; st, sympathetic trunk; t, thyroid; u, umbilical cord and gut.

**Fig. 1.** Targeting strategy and expression pattern of Hand1. (A) A region of the first exon of the Hand1 gene was replaced with the lacZ gene to monitor Hand1 expression. The coding region is shown as black shading and the 5’ and 3’ untranslated regions shown as gray shading. The targeting vector is shown in the middle. The lacZ gene was inserted in frame with the initiating methionine of the Hand1 gene and PGKneo gene was inserted in the opposite orientation to the transcription of Hand1. The MC1TK cassette was linked to the 3’ untranslated region of HAND1 to provide negative selection with FIAU. (B-E) Hand1 expression is recapitulated by β-gal expression during Hand1<sup>β-gal/+</sup> mouse development at 7.75 dpc (B), 10.5 dpc (C), 12.5 dpc (D) and 14.5 dpc (E). Embryos in D and E were cleared using benzyl alcohol and benzyl benzoate (1:2 ratio). ad, adrenal gland; h, heart; ht, heart tube; g, gut; lm, lateral mesoderm; m, mandible and tongue; scg, superior cervical ganglia; st, sympathetic trunk; t, thyroid; u, umbilical cord and gut.
Vascular abnormalities in Hand1 mutant yolk sac

The yolk sac of Hand1β-gal/β-gal embryos shows multiple abnormalities soon after formation (Firulli et al., 1998; Riley et al., 1998). Wild-type yolk sac has an extensive and highly organized vasculature filled with blood by 9.5 dpc (Fig. 2A,C) while the vasculature within the Hand1β-gal/β-gal yolk sac was absent or poorly developed (Fig. 2B,D,E). In Hand1β-gal/β-gal embryos, blood formation proceeds without an intact vasculature leading to extensive leakage of hematopoietic cells (Fig. 2B).

Histological analysis comparing Hand1β-gal/+ and Hand1β-gal/β-gal yolk sacs indicated that mature blood vessels were absent in yolk sacs lacking Hand1 (Fig. 2F,G). In Hand1β-gal/+ yolk sac, blood vessels were formed and contained hematopoietic cells (Fig. 2F). Hand1-null yolk sac also have other abnormalities including folded endoderm and gaps between the endodermal and mesodermal layers (Fig. 2G) (Firulli et al., 1998). The expression of β-gal in the mesodermal layer of both Hand1β-gal/+ and Hand1β-gal/β-gal yolk sacs suggests that Hand1 expression is not regulated by an auto-regulatory mechanism.

Lack of blood vessel remodeling in Hand1 mutant yolk sac

During normal vessel development, formation begins with vasculogenesis, the aggregation of endothelial cells. In the yolk sac, vasculogenesis occurs in conjunction with hematopoiesis during the formation of blood islands. Blood islands are composed of aggregates of endothelial and hematopoietic precursor cells that form distinct lineages. We examined the organization of endothelial cells in Hand1 mutant yolk sacs using the endothelial marker platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule, PECAM. Immunofluorescence analysis of PECAM and β-gal expression was used to localize endothelial cells and HAND1 expressing cells in yolk sacs. Endothelial cells were located between the extra-embryonic endoderm and mesodermal layers in both Hand1β-gal/+ (Fig. 3C) and Hand1β-gal/β-gal (Fig. 3D) embryos. HAND1 is only expressed in extra-embryonic mesoderm and its expression pattern does not overlap with PECAM (Fig. 3E-H). The presence of endothelial cells
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suggests the early steps of vasculogenesis, the formation and clustering of endothelial cells is not dependent on Hand1.

Vasculogenesis is followed by a remodeling phase involving sprouting and branching of the endothelial cells and recruitment of support cells to form the functional vasculature. We examined the vasculature architecture in yolk sacs of Hand1^β-gal/β-gal embryos by whole-mount PECAM staining (Fig. 3I-K). Endothelial cells of Hand1^β-gal/β-gal yolk sacs were distributed in a honeycomb-like structure (Fig. 3J), characteristic of an immature vascular plexus. By contrast, the vasculature of wild-type littermates (Fig. 3K) and yolk sacs obtained from wild-type 8.5 dpc embryos (Fig. 3I) show extensive organization of both large vessels and capillary beds. Lack of refinement of endothelial cells in Hand1^β-gal/β-gal yolk sac suggests that vascular development in Hand1-null yolk sac is arrested at late vasculogenesis or during early angiogenesis.

**Hand1 regulates the expression of angiogenic genes**

Numerous signaling molecules, receptors and signaling transduction pathways regulate angiogenesis (Conway et al., 2001; Patan, 2000; Sullivan and Bicknell, 2003). To determine which genes were misregulated in Hand1 mutant extra-embryonic membranes, we analyzed their expression using semi-quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 4). All genes examined were expressed in Hand1 mutant extra-embryonic membranes, indicating that Hand1 is not required for their activation. However, several of the genes involved in vascular development were misexpressed in Hand1 mutant extra-embryonic membranes, suggesting that Hand1 is required for their regulation.

The angiogenic growth factor genes, Vegf and Ang1 were upregulated in Hand1 mutant membranes. Both factors are co-expressed in extra-embryonic mesoderm (Patan, 2000) with Hand1, suggesting that they are potentially regulated by Hand1 directly. However, hypoxia is also known to upregulate VEGF expression (Iyer et al., 1998), the regulation of VEGF we observe is unlikely to be due to a hypoxic condition of the embryos. Embryos at the developmental stages that were used for these analysis are not dependent on a functional vasculature, instead all the requirements of the embryo and extra-embryonic tissues are met by passive diffusion. In addition, hypoxia represses Ang1 expression (Enholm et al., 1997) and enhances the expression of the hypoxia-inducible factor Hif1α. In Hand1 mutant membranes, Ang1 expression is enhanced and Hif1α expression remains unchanged (data not shown). Another possible explanation for an apparent enhanced expression of Vegf and Ang1 is a change in the ratio of the cell populations in wild-type versus mutant membranes. However, histological examination of mutant and normal membranes did not reveal gross differences in cell populations (Fig. 2F,G).

Angiogenesis is regulated through interactions between soluble angiogenic factors and their receptors. As VEGF and ANG1 can signal through a number of different receptors, we examined the expression of the VEGF receptors Flt1, Flt1/4 and Nrp1/2, and the ANG1 receptor gene Tie1/2, all of which are expressed in endothelial cells. Of these receptors, the expression of Flt1, Flt1, Nrp1 and Tie1 were upregulated in Hand1 mutant extra-embryonic membranes, while the expression of Flt4, Nrp2 and Tie2 was unchanged (Fig. 4).

The Eph/ephrin pathways play complex roles during vessel formation during development (Adams, 2002). Both ephrin B ligands and EphB receptors are expressed in yolk sac blood vessels and disruption of this pathway leads to extra-embryonic vascular defects morphologically similar to those of the Hand1-null mice (Adams et al., 1999). We therefore examined if Eph/ephrin signaling in extra-embryonic membranes were affected in Hand1^β-gal/β-gal embryos. Ephb2 was upregulated while Ephb1 and the Ephb receptor expression were unaffected in Hand1 mutant extra-embryonic membranes (Fig. 4).

Hedgehog (HH) signaling is also required during yolk sac angiogenesis, although the action of the HH family member Indian hedgehog (IHH) (Byrd et al., 2002) and the loss of IHH results in severe vascular defects. In the extra-embryonic membrane, IHH acts through binding to its receptor patched 1

Fig. 4. RT-PCR analysis of angiogenic genes in Hand1^β-gal/β-gal and Hand1^β/+ extra-embryonic membranes. cDNA was synthesized from RNA extracted from the individual extraembryonic membranes of 8.5 dpc Hand1^β/+ embryos and 9.5 dpc Hand1^β-gal/β-gal and 9.5 dpc Hand1^β/+ littermates. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed with synthesized cDNA. Expression of growth factor Vegf and its receptors are shown on the left (top). Expression of angiogenic growth factor Ang1 and its receptors are shown on the left (bottom). Expression of Hand1 was monitored to confirm our genotype analysis. Expression of related bHLH factor Hand2 was also examined. Vegf, Flt1, Flk1, Nrp1, Ang1, Ephb2, Bmp4, Notch1, Notch4, Hey1 and Hand2 were upregulated in Hand1^β-gal/β-gal extraembryonic membranes. Gapd was used to normalize each sample.
transcripts in Hand1–/– background (Fig. 5). We found that both the level and mutant phenotype, did not rescue the Hand1 expression were the same in wild type and Hand1 mutant membranes (Fig. 4). This is consistent with the proposed roles of Hand1 and Hand2 are expressed in the yolk sac mesoderm and Hand2 mutant embryos show defects in yolk sac angiogenesis and in neural crest-derived vascular smooth muscle development within the embryo. In the yolk sac, Hand2 regulates the VEGF receptor Npr1, suggesting a direct role in yolk sac vascular development (Yamagishi et al., 2000). We examined the potential interaction between the two Hand genes during yolk sac formation. Hand2 transcripts are upregulated in Hand1 mutant embryos (Fig. 4). This is consistent with the proposed role of Hand1 as a negative regulator of Hand2 (Bounpheng et al., 2000; Cross et al., 1995). As the upregulation of Hand2 did not rescue the Hand1 mutant phenotype, Hand1 and Hand2 appear to regulate vascular development through different pathways.

To determine if Hand2 also regulates Hand1, we examined the expression of Hand1 in Hand2 mutant embryos (a gift from Dr Eric Olson, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas) by whole embryo β-gal staining. When we examined the expression of the β-gal knock-in gene in a Hand2–/– background (Fig. 5), we found that both the level and pattern of Hand1 expression were the same in wild type and Hand2 mutant backgrounds. RT-PCR was used to quantify the levels of Hand1 transcripts in Hand2 mutant and wild-type extra-embryonic membranes. Hand1 transcript levels were not affected by the genetic backgrounds (data not shown), indicating that Hand2 does not regulate Hand1 expression in extra-embryonic membranes.

Regulation of smooth muscle cell recruitment by Hand1

In blood vessel development, endothelial cells pattern the vasculature and are surrounded by smooth muscle cells and pericytes during maturation to give vessels the strength to carry blood under pressure. As endothelial cells develop in Hand1 mutant mice, we asked if the leakage of blood was due to defects in tube maturation. Using the early SMC marker smooth muscle α-actin (SMA), we examined whether SMCs are recruited to the vascular plexus in Hand1 mutant yolk sacs. Yolk sac sections were analyzed by double labeling of SMA for SMCs and β-gal/+. By staining for β-gal activity, Wild-type (A) and Hand2-null embryo (B) showed similar expression patterns of Hand1. Hand1 was broadly expressed in the mesoderm of Hand2 null yolk sacs (C). All embryos are to the same scale. ht, heart; lm, lateral mesoderm; ys, yolk sac.

Fig. 5. Hand1 expression in yolk sacs is not regulated by Hand2. The expression of Hand1 was examined in yolk sacs of Hand1β-gal+/Hand2–/– mice by staining for β-gal activity. Wild-type (A) and Hand2-null embryo (B) showed similar expression patterns of Hand1. Hand1 was broadly expressed in the mesoderm of Hand2 null yolk sacs (C). All embryos are to the same scale. ht, heart; lm, lateral mesoderm; ys, yolk sac.
Endothelial cells signal to SMC precursors that then migrate to peri-endothelial tissue and differentiate into SMCs. As differentiated SMCs were found in Hand1 mutant mesoderm, the molecular pathways that regulate SMC migration and recruitment may be defective. TGFβ1 and PDGFBβ stimulate SMC migration by signaling through their receptors PDGFRβ, TGFβRII, endoglin and the signal transduction molecule SMAD5 (Conway et al., 2001). Mice lacking the genes for TGFβRII (Oshima et al., 1996), endoglin (Li et al., 1999) and SMAD5 (Yang et al., 1999) all show defects in yolk sac angiogenesis. Expression of the genes for TGFβ1, PDGFB and their receptors was analyzed in Hand1 mutant extra-embryonic membranes by RT-PCR and shown to be unaffected (Fig. 7B).

As VEGF and ANG1 are known to regulate smooth muscle recruitment as well as vasculature remodeling, and the levels of VEGF and ANG1 were upregulated in Hand1 mutant yolk sacs (Fig. 4), we examined the localization of both proteins in yolk sac using anti-VEGF and anti-ANG1 antibodies. The distribution of VEGF and ANG1 was unaffected in Hand1 mutant yolk sac (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Owing to the early embryonic lethality associated with loss of Hand1 in mice, the role Hand1 plays during development has not been examined in detail. We investigate the role of HAND1 in extra-embryonic membrane development by deletion of the Hand1 gene through a gene replacement strategy. Loss of Hand1 leads to severe defects in both extra-embryonic tissues and tissues within the developing embryo. Most defects observed within the embryo cannot be explained by a cell-autonomous role for Hand1. The abrupt arrest of growth and development in the embryo suggests Hand1 regulates a key developmental checkpoint.

The extra-embryonic membrane is a region where Hand1 is normally expressed that is severely affected by loss of Hand1 function. Severe disruption of the vasculature occurs in the yolk sac of Hand1-null embryos. The data presented here suggest that Hand1 is required for maturation of the vasculature after extensive vasculogenesis has occurred and that Hand1 is required for both elaboration of the primitive vasculature and recruitment of SMCs. Interestingly, the lack of recruitment of SMC to the vasculature did not arrest SMC development.

Hand1 is expressed in the extra-embryonic mesoderm throughout development. The number of mesodermal cells in the Hand1-null membranes does not appear to be affected, suggesting that Hand1 is not required for their formation and survival. However, the yolk sac mesodermal layer is disorganized with extensive detachments of the mesoderm from the endoderm. Another major defect in Hand1-null yolk sacs is the loss of blood from the vasculature because of vascular defects. In the yolk sac, hematopoiesis accompanies angiogenesis in discrete clusters of cells that form the blood islands. This represents the beginnings of vascular development and hematopoiesis in mammals.
Regulation of angiogenesis by \textit{Hand1}

Vascular development occurs in two stages: vasculogenesis, in which angioblasts differentiate into endothelial cells to form the vascular primordium; followed by angiogenesis, when the primitive vascular network is extended by budding and branching. While endothelial cells differentiate in \textit{Hand1} mutant yolk sacs, remodeling of the endothelial cells is defective. The distribution of endothelial cells in a honeycomb-like plexus in \textit{Hand1} mutant yolk sacs is similar to that seen in mice carrying mutations for the angiogenic receptor tyrosine kinases \textit{Tie1/2} (Sato et al., 1995) and VEGF receptor neuropilin 1/2 (Takashima et al., 2002). Thus, in yolk sacs lacking \textit{Hand1}, vasculogenesis occurs but angiogenesis is arrested at an early stage.

Angiogenesis is directed by a signaling network combining a number of soluble growth factors that signal through a set of receptors. In \textit{Hand1} mutant yolk sac, angiogenic genes are expressed showing that \textit{Hand1} is not required for their activation. However, several angiogenic genes are misexpressed in \textit{Hand1} mutant extra-embryonic membranes. The angiogenic growth factor genes, \textit{Vegf} and \textit{Ang1} are upregulated in \textit{Hand1} mutant membranes. Overexpression of VEGF (Larcher et al., 1998) or ANG1 (Suri et al., 1998) in transgenic mice produces increased vascularization during embryogenesis and in adults. It is unclear why increased expression of VEGF and ANG1 would lead to a defect in vascular development. It is possible that the misregulation of these factors in combination with other angiogenic genes leads to the vascular phenotype. It has been reported that ANG1 regulates angiogenesis in a paracrine manner (Suri et al., 1996) and that ANG1 acts as a chemoattractant; thus, the local concentration of the signal is likely to influence angiogenesis.

The expression of the VEGF receptors \textit{Flk1}, \textit{Flt1} and \textit{Nrp1}, and ANG1 receptor \textit{Tie1} is all upregulated in \textit{Hand1}-null yolk sac. As these genes are expressed in the endothelial lineage that does not express \textit{Hand1}, upregulation of these genes is not a cell autonomous effect. Because expression of \textit{Flk1}, \textit{Flt1}, \textit{Nrp1} and \textit{Tie1} is enhanced by VEGF (Barleon et al., 1997; Kremer et al., 1997; McCarthy et al., 1998; Oh et al., 2002), the increased expression of these genes may be a result of enhanced VEGF signaling in \textit{Hand1} mutant mouse. The levels of the VEGF receptor genes that are not regulated by VEGF signaling, \textit{Flt4} and \textit{Nrp2} (Oh et al., 2002), were not affected in \textit{Hand1}-null yolk sacs, supporting an indirect role for \textit{HAND1} in regulating VEGF receptor genes.

VEGF is an upstream signal of the Notch pathway in arterial endothelial differentiation (Lawson et al., 2002). The enhanced activity of Notch in combination with other signaling pathways may lead to the vascular phenotype we observe in \textit{Hand1}-null mice. The enhanced expression of the \textit{Notch1/4} genes in \textit{Hand1} mutant yolk sac, and the upregulation of the downstream gene \textit{Hey1}, suggests enhanced Notch function. Enhanced Notch4 activity produces angiogenic defects (Leong et al., 2002; Uyttendaele et al., 2001) suggesting that \textit{HAND1} functions in part by regulating the Notch pathway during vascular development. \textit{Hand1} may regulate the Notch pathway through enhanced expression of \textit{Vegf} or by direct regulation of the Notch genes.

Another signaling pathway that is enhanced in the absence of \textit{Hand1} is the Eph/ephrin family of receptors and ligand. In the absence of \textit{Hand1}, \textit{Efnb2} is up-regulated. Overexpression of ephrin B2 in mouse embryos results in abnormal blood vessel formation (Oike et al., 2002), suggesting its overexpression in the yolk sac may contribute to the vascular defects.

Regulation of \textit{Hand2} expression by \textit{Hand1}

A wide and diverse array of transcription factors is required for development of the vasculature (Oettgen, 2001). For example, the Ets family members ELF1, FLI1 and TEL; the MADS box family member MEF2C; BHLH family members SCL/tal1 and EPAS and the nuclear receptor COUP-TFII (NR2F2 – Mouse Genome Informatics) are known to regulate yolk sac vascular development. A number of these factors have been shown to regulate angiogenesis through the direct regulation of angiogenic genes. Loss of the Ets family transcription factor members \textit{Elf1} (Dube et al., 2001), \textit{Flil} (Hart et al., 2000) and \textit{Nerf2} (Dube et al., 1999) leads to reduced expression of the ANG1 receptor \textit{Tie2} while expression of \textit{Ang1} is reduced in COUP-TFII knockout mice (Pereira et al., 1999). The lack of total loss of angiogenic gene expression by the loss of an individual transcription factor suggests that several different transcription factors simultaneously regulate angiogenesis. In contrast to other transcriptional regulators of angiogenic genes, where loss of function leads to decreased expression, the loss of \textit{Hand1} results in enhanced expression of angiogenic genes. If \textit{HAND1} regulates angiogenic genes directly, it appears to suppress their expression. This is consistent with previous results that show that \textit{HAND1} can act as a negative or positive regulator of transcription (Bounpheng et al., 2000; Cross et al., 1995).

\textit{HAND1} also suppresses expression of the bHLH factor \textit{Hand2}. \textit{Hand2} is expressed in the yolk sac and is essential for vascular development, but these defects in \textit{Hand2}-null mice have not been examined in detail (Yamagishi et al., 2000). As the two Hand genes are expressed in a complementary manner during heart development, this suggests that they may negatively regulate each other’s expression during heart development. Our results argue that although \textit{Hand1} regulates \textit{Hand2} expression in the yolk sac, \textit{Hand2} does not regulate \textit{Hand1}. The inability of enhanced \textit{Hand2} expression to compensate for the loss of \textit{Hand1} suggests the Hand genes have unique functions during extra-embryonic vascular development. This is supported by the observation that the yolk sac vasculature defects in \textit{Hand2}-null mice are not identical to those seen in the \textit{Hand1} mutant mice.

Regulation of smooth muscle cell development by \textit{Hand1}

During vasculature maturation, endothelial cells are surrounded by peri-endothelial cells and SMCs that give the vessels strength. In \textit{Hand1} mutant yolk sacs, SMCs differentiate but do not surround the endothelial tubes. The loss of SMCs in the peri-endothelial region may account for the leakage of hematopoietic cells from the yolk sacs into the yolk sac-amniotic space. Mice lacking \textit{Tie2} also exhibit hemorrhaging and the absence of peri-endothelial cells observed in \textit{Hand1}-null yolk sacs (Sato et al., 1995). However, in \textit{Hand1} null yolk sacs, \textit{Tie2} expression does not appear to be affected, precluding \textit{Tie2} misregulation as the \textit{Hand1} target causing the abnormal distribution of the SMCs.

A number of other transcription factors are involved in
vascular SMC development. Mice lacking Fltl (Hart et al., 2000), Mef2c (Lin et al., 1998), Smad5 (Yang et al., 1999), endoglin (Li et al., 1999) and Hand2 (Yamagishi et al., 2000) show reduced smooth muscle development around the vessel. It is not known whether these genes are directly involved in SMC recruitment.

The abnormal distribution of SMCs in Hand1 yolk sac mesoderm suggests SMC migration is defective. TGFβ1 and PDGFBB regulate vascular SMC migration through their receptors, TGFβRII, endoglin, PDGFRβ and signal transduction factor SMAD5. We examined the expression of these genes in Hand1 mutant yolk sac and found the levels of these transcripts are unaffected. This suggests that regulation of SMC migration by HAND1 is downstream of, or independent from, these pathways. Vegf and Ang1 are also involved in smooth muscle recruitment, based on analysis of their loss of function, but it has not been determined whether enhanced expression of these two genes affects SMC recruitment. All signaling pathways that account for the SMC migration defect and whether Hand1 acts solely through these pathways are unknown.

Upregulation of angiogenic genes in the Hand1-null mice can account for defective vasculature remodeling and smooth muscle migration. In Hand1 mutant yolk sac, a number of angiogenic genes, especially the genes involving in signaling, are upregulated. It is unclear whether misregulation of these genes is the cause or the effect of the defective vasculature development.
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