Growth and pattern of the mammalian neural tube are governed by partially overlapping feedback activities of the hedgehog antagonists patched 1 and Hhip1
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Summary

Upregulation of Patched (Ptc), the Drosophila Hedgehog (Hh) receptor in response to Hh signaling limits the range of signaling within a target field by sequestering Hh. In vertebrates, Ptch1 also exhibits ligand-dependent transcriptional activation, but mutants lacking this response show surprisingly normal early development. The identification of Hh-interacting protein 1 (Hhip1), a vertebrate-specific feedback antagonist of Hh signaling, raises the possibility of overlapping feedback controls. We addressed the significance of feedback systems in sonic hedgehog (Shh)-dependent spinal cord patterning. Mouse embryos lacking both Ptch1 and Hhip1 feedback activities exhibit severe patterning defects consistent with an increased magnitude and range of Hh signaling, and disrupted growth control. Thus, Ptch1/Ptch1-dependent feedback control of Hh morphogens is conserved between flies and mice, but this role is shared in vertebrates with Hhip1. Furthermore, this feedback mechanism is crucial in generating a neural tube that contains appropriate numbers of all ventral and intermediate neuronal cell types.
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Introduction

Among different modes of intercellular signaling, a particularly efficient one for tissue patterning is use of morphogens, secreted signaling molecules that form a long-range concentration gradient over a field of cells and elicit different responses depending on the doses of the signal received by target cells (reviewed by Freeman and Gurdon, 2002). As changes in shape or size of a morphogen gradient can perturb multiple cell populations over a wide area, a tight regulation of morphogen distribution is essential. In addition, the system must be rendered relatively insensitive to fluctuating levels of ligand for a robust outcome.

One important regulatory strategy used in many morphogen systems is negative feedback, where signal transduction leads to upregulation of inhibitors of the pathway to attenuate signaling (Perrimon and McMahon, 1999; Freeman, 2000). Hedgehog (Hh) family proteins, which are important morphogens in both vertebrate and invertebrate development, use such feedback regulation where the inhibitor is actually the Hh receptor, Patched (Ptc), a twelve-pass transmembrane protein (reviewed by Ingham and McMahon, 2001). Ptc is a negative regulator of the Hh pathway that exerts its effects in two different ways: In the absence of Hh, Ptc inhibits activity of Smo (Smo), a downstream activator of the pathway. Binding of Hh to Ptc abrogates this repression on Smo, allowing Smo-mediated signal transduction and altered expression of target genes by controlling activities of the transcriptional effector, Cubitus interruptus (Ci). One of the genes upregulated by Hh signaling is Ptc itself, and thus Ptc is expressed at high levels in the Hh target field close to the source of the ligand (Hooper and Scott, 1989; Nakano et al., 1989). Here, Ptc displays its second function, namely, to sequester Hh (Chen and Struhl, 1996). Studies in Drosophila have established that feedback-mediated upregulation of Ptc is dispensable for ligand-independent antagonism (LIA), the inhibition of Smo, but is essential for ligand-dependent antagonism (LDA) that results from Hh sequestration. In this study, a transgene expressing a low basal level of Ptc from a heterologous promoter was sufficient to replace endogenous Ptc-mediated LIA to Smo activity, but failed to restrain the range of Hh signaling in the responding tissues, indicating that LDA was abolished. LDA limits the range over which the ligand can diffuse, and thus sharpens the morphogen gradient (Chen and Struhl, 1996).

Many features of Hh signaling are conserved between Drosophila and mouse, including the fact that Ptc1, the mammalian Hh receptor, is a transcriptional target of the pathway (Goodrich et al., 1996). The role of Ptc1-mediated feedback antagonism during mouse development has been tested in an experiment analogous to the Drosophila experiment described above; Ptc1−/− embryos, which die around E8.5 with widespread activation of Hh pathway because of loss of LIA, were provided with a transgene that ubiquitously expresses Ptc1 at low levels from a metallothionein promoter (MtPtc1) (Goodrich et al., 1997; Milenkovic et al., 1999). This transgene was expected to restore LIA but not LDA, as the transgene is not responsive to
Hh signaling. Surprisingly, the resulting embryos (MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−) exhibited a grossly normal body plan both externally and internally at E14.5, a result apparently at odds with Ptch1 playing a significant role in LDA of Hh signaling in the mouse.

Unlike Drosophila, vertebrates have several Hh-binding proteins that are transcriptionally regulated by Hh signaling; patched 2 (Ptch2) and Hh-interacting protein 1 (Hhip1) are positively regulated, whereas growth arrest specific gene 1 (Gas1) is negatively regulated (Carpenter et al., 1998; Motoyama et al., 1998; Chuang and McMahon, 1999; Lee et al., 2001). The role of Ptch2 or Gas1 in Hh-mediated patterning processes during normal development has yet to be established. Overexpression and loss-of-function studies in the mouse indicate that Hhip1, a cell-surface glycoprotein, is an antagonist of Hh signaling; Hhip1−/− embryos die soon after birth, owing to lung defects indicative of overactive Hh signaling (Chuang and McMahon, 1999; Chuang et al., 2003). However, other parts of the body where Hh signaling plays important roles, e.g. the limb, face and spinal cord, develop normally in Hhip1 mutants. Taken together, the mild phenotypes of both MtPtch1;Ptch1−/− and Hhip1−/− embryos suggest that Ptch1 and Hhip1 may be functionally redundant in providing feedback LDA to Hh ligands. Consistent with this view, removing one copy of Ptch1 allele in Hhip1−/− embryos (Hhip1−/−;Ptch1−/+;Ptch1+/−) causes earlier lethality (around E12.5) and more severe lung and pancreas defects than those observed in Hhip1−/− embryos (Chuang et al., 2003; Kawahira et al., 2003).

Although the previous studies point to a role for Ptch1 and Hhip1 in attenuation of paracrine Hh signaling, they did not address the issue of how LDA might contribute to controlling the magnitude (pathway activity at a given position in the tissue) or range (total distance over which the pathway is activated) of a morphogen signaling gradient to generate a specific pattern. The best evidence for Shh acting as a morphogen comes from studies in the vertebrate spinal cord (reviewed by Jessell, 2000; Briscoe and Ericson, 2001; McMahon et al., 2003). Here, Shh is first produced from the notochord that underlies the neural tube, and directs the formation of floor plate which in turn expresses Shh. Shh from these two ventral midline sources forms a concentration gradient along the dorsoventral (DV) axis of the neural tube, and represses (Class I proteins) or induces (Class II proteins) expression of several homeodomain and basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors at different thresholds. Cross-repression between the transcription factors sharing a border further sharpens the boundaries of their territories to define five neural progenitor domains in the ventral half of the spinal cord (from ventral to dorsal, p3, pMN, p2, p1, p0; see Fig. 2A). Finally, cells in each domain differentiate into specific types of neurons (from ventral to dorsal, V3, motoneuron (MN), V2, V1, V0; Fig. 2A) based on the combinations of transcription factors they express. As Hh signaling controls the specification of individual progenitor domains by a direct and dose-dependent mechanism (Martí et al., 1995; Roelink et al., 1995; Ericson et al., 1997; Briscoe et al., 2000; Briscoe et al., 2001; Wigger et al., 2002), changes in the expression of progenitor domain-associated transcription factors provide sensitive readouts for any perturbations in the Shh morphogen gradient.

We have investigated the role of negative feedback regulation on Hh signaling in vertebrates by analyzing mouse embryos that lack both Ptch1 and Hhip1 feedback mechanisms (MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−;Hhip1−/−). Our findings indicate that the LDA mediated by these components plays a crucial role in controlling the magnitude and most likely the range of Shh morphogen signaling.

Materials and methods

Generation of Hhip1 and Ptch1 compound mutants

MtPtch1 transgene, Ptch1 null (Ptch1−/−) and Hhip1 null (Hhip1−/−) alleles have been described previously (Milenkovic et al., 1999; Goodrich et al., 1997; Chuang et al., 2003). Embryos with compromised LDA to Hh signaling were generated in crosses of MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−;Hhip1−/−;Ptch1−/− or Hhip1−/−;Ptch1−/−×Hhip1−/−. The stages of embryos with different genotypes were matched using size and shape of the hindlimb bud and relative size between maxillary and mandibular arches (E10.5), or by somite numbers (E8.5). For E10.5 embryos, neural tube at the forelimb level was used for analysis; for E8.5 embryos, neural tube at the level of second to fourth somite from the anterior was used for analysis.

Immunofluorescence, in situ hybridization and β-galactosidase staining on neural tube sections

Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 2 hours (E10.5) or 0.5 hours (E8.5), washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) three times for 10 minutes each, cryoprotected overnight in 30% sucrose/0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and embedded in OCT compound (Tissue-Tek). Frozen sections were prepared at 10 µm (E10.5) or 12 µm (E8.5). For immunofluorescence analysis, the sections were blocked in 3% bovine serum albumin, 1% heat-inactivated sheep serum, 0.1% TritonX-100 in PBS for 1 hour, and then primary antibodies were applied and sections incubated at 4°C overnight. Secondary antibodies were applied at room temperature for 1 hour. Where indicated, the sections were also stained for nuclei (Topro3, Molecular Probes). The images were collected using a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope, and the sizes of domains or the numbers of cells expressing particular markers were measured manually from these images. The antibodies used were as follows: rabbit anti-Olig2 1:5000 (gift of H. Takebayashi), rabbit anti-Nkx6.1 1:3000 (gift of J. Jensen), rabbit anti-En1 1:200 (gift of A. Joyner), rabbit anti-Foxa2 1:4000 (gift of A. Ruiz I Altaba), mouse anti-Enx1/2 1:100 (gift of T. Jessell), rabbit anti-Chox1 1:5000 (gift of T. Jessell), rat anti-Lbx1 1:100 (gift of M. Goulding), mouse anti-Nkx2.2 1:50 (DSHB), mouse anti-MNR2 1:20 (DSHB), mouse anti-Pax7 1:20 (DSHB), mouse anti-Pax6 1:20 (DSHB), mouse anti-Shh 1:25 (DSHB), mouse anti-Lim1/2 1:50 (DSHB), mouse anti-Msx1/2 1:30 (DSHB), and Alexa 488 or 568 goat anti-rabbit, anti-mouse or anti-rat (Molecular Probes). Section in situ hybridization was performed as described previously (Schaeren-Wiemers and Gertin-Moser, 1993). The Sim1 probe was a gift from D. Rowitch. β-Galactosidase staining was performed using X-gal as described (Whiting et al., 1991).

Statistical analysis

Three embryos of each genotype and one section from each embryo (E10.5) or two embryos of each genotype and two sections from each embryo (E8.5) were used for quantifications. For the neuronal precursors in Fig. 4, cells from both sides of the spinal cord were counted even though only the right halves are shown in the figure. Difference between wild type and mutant were analyzed by Student’s t-test.

Constructs for Ptch1-YFP, Hhip1-YFP, YFP-GPI, and Shh-FLAG

To produce a Ptch1-YFP fusion protein, a SalI restriction site was introduced by PCR into mouse Ptch1 cDNA in pcDNA3.1(−) (gift of
M. Scott) immediately upstream of the stop codon. The entire coding sequence of Pch1 was released by NheI-SalI digestion from this construct, and the coding sequence for YFP was obtained by cutting pEYFP-1 (Clontech) with SalI and NotI. Both inserts were cloned into pEGFP-N1 (Clontech) where the GFP coding sequence was removed by NheI-NotI digestion. The resulting Pch1-YFP fusion protein has YFP at its C-terminal end. To make a construct for Hhip1-YFP fusion protein, a BamH1 site was introduced into coding sequence of Myc-tagged Hhip1 (Chuang and McMahon 1999) just after the signal peptide cleavage site (signal peptide-myc-BamH1-Hhip1). YFP-coding region with BamH1 site at either end was generated by PCR using pEYFP-1 (Clontech) as a template, and cloned into the BamH1 site in Hhip1. The construct for YFP-GPI was a gift from K. Simons. To make a construct for Shh-FLAG, a NotI site was inserted by PCR in Shh coding sequence at the position of amino acid 198 (during normal biogenesis of Shh, post-translational cleavage of the protein occurs between amino acids 198 and 199, and cholesterol is covalently attached to amino acid 198). The resulting vector was cut open with NotI and BamH1, whose site is in amino acids 202-203 of endogenous Shh sequence, and filled with oligonucleotides encoding amino acids 191-201 to provide a duplication of amino acids 191-198 before and after the NotI site. Finally, oligonucleotides encoding triple flag tag (amino acids DYKDHDGDYKDHDIDYLDDDDK) were inserted into the above NotI site, resulting in Shh amino acids 1-198–3×FLAG–Shh amino acids 191-437.

Transfection and immunostaining of tissue culture cells
Separate dishes of COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with constructs for Pch1-YFP, Hhip1-YFP, YFP-GPI or Shh-FLAG using FuGENE6 (Roche) following manufacturer’s instruction. The next day, cells were trypsinized and mixed in combinations of Pch1-YFP + Shh-FLAG, Hhip1-YFP + Shh-FLAG or YFP-GPI + Shh-FLAG, and replated on glass cover slips. After another day of incubation, cells were permeabilized in 3% paraformaldehyde, 0.5% TritonX-100 for 2 minutes, fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 minutes, and blocked in 6% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 1 hour. Primary antibody (mouse anti-FLAG M2, Sigma) was applied at a 1:300 dilution for 1 hour, followed by secondary antibody (Alexa 568 goat anti-mouse, Molecular Probes) at 1:400 for 30 minutes. Nuclei were stained with Topro3 (Molecular Probes), and the images were collected using a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope.

Results
Loss of feedback antagonism to Hh signaling causes gross abnormalities in the face and central nervous system
To address the role of feedback LDA in Hh signaling, we modulated Pch1 or Hhip1 dose while maintaining Pch1-dependent LIA to Smo using an MtPch1 transgene (see Introduction) (Milenkovic et al., 1999). At E10.5, Hhip1+/− embryos appear indistinguishable from the wild type (Fig. 1A,B). However, removing one allele of Pch1 (Hhip1+/−;Pch1+/−) resulted in a variable phenotype wherein 56% (5/9) of the embryos were noticeably enlarged, although normally proportioned (Fig. 1A,C). The remainder had an open neural tube (Fig. 2A,D-I), and ventral and dorsal progenitor domains were identified using various combinations of Class II (Nkx2.2, Olig2, Nkx6.1) and Class I (Pax7) transcription factors (Fig. 2A-J-R). For the analyses of ventricular zone at E10.5, we focused on measuring changes in the size of each progenitor domain along the length of the DV axis rather than changes in the cell numbers, which can in fact be a larger difference.

![Fig. 1. External features of E10.5 embryos with reduced feedback LDA to Hh signaling. Genotypes are indicated in each panel.](image)

Even more profound defects: the entire brain was open, the eyes were absent and the first branchial arches were severely enlarged (6/6; Fig. 1F). In fact, half of the embryos of this genotype recovered at E10.5 (3/6) were already dead and disintegrating. In order to assess the role of feedback LDA in a systematic and quantitative fashion within the confines of the essential genetic models, we focused our analysis on the developing spinal cord, where Shh-mediated patterning is required for the induction of all ventral neural progenitors by E10.5.

Hhip1+/−;Pch1+/− neural tube is overgrown, and shows a moderate expansion of ventral progenitor domains
In the neural tube, both Pch1 and Hhip1 are expressed in response to long-range Shh signaling from floor plate and notochord, covering approximately the ventral half of the neural tube at E10.5 (Goodrich et al., 1996; Chuang and McMahon, 1999). Floor plate was labeled by Foxa2 and Shh (Fig. 2A,D-I), and ventral and dorsal progenitor domains were identified using various combinations of Class II (Nkx2.2, Olig2, Nkx6.1) and Class I (Pax7) transcription factors (Fig. 2A-J-R). For the analyses of ventricular zone at E10.5, we focused on measuring changes in the size of each progenitor domain along the length of the DV axis rather than changes in the cell numbers, which can in fact be a larger difference.

The Hhip1+/−;Pch1+/− neural tube was similar to the wild type in overall size (data not shown), whereas Hhip1+/−;Pch1+/+ neural tube was enlarged, consistent with the increased body size [Fig. 2B,C; neural tube cross-section area: wild type, 0.137 (±0.013) mm²; mutant, 0.184 (±0.019) mm²; P=0.062]. Patterning of the ventricular zone was grossly normal in both the Hhip1+/−;Pch1+/− neural tube (Fig. 2D-R); in the latter, both ventral (Fig. 2D-O,S) and dorsal (Fig. 2P-R) cell types were expanded, thus preserving the relative proportions between dorsal and ventral domains to some degree [size of dorsal domain along DV axis: wild type, 45±4.04 cell diameters; Hhip1+/−;Pch1+/−, 56±7.37 cell diameters; P=0.053].
However, quantitative analysis revealed a small but statistically significant expansion of ventral progenitor domains in both genotypes (Fig. 2T,U). For example, when the differences in neural tube size are taken into account (Fig. 2U), the domain expressing Nkx6.1 (Nkx6.1+, p3+pMN+p2), which normally occupies 20(±1.08)% of the ventral neural tube, is enlarged to 25.3(±2.25)% (P=0.031) and 29.3(±3.84)% (P=0.033) in Hhip1–/– and Hhip1–/–;Ptch1+/– embryos, respectively.

A complete loss of Ptch1- and Hhip1-mediated LDA leads to a dramatic expansion of ventral progenitor domains, and a reduction of intermediate and dorsal progenitor domains. Next, we examined effects of eliminating Ptch1 component of LDA using MtPtch1;Ptch1–/– embryos. In their neural tube, we observed a 2.9-fold expansion of Nkx2.2+ p3 domain [Fig. 3I,J,Z; size of p3 along D-V axis: wild type, 5(±1) cell diameters; mutant, 14(±3.5) cell diameters; P=0.057] and 1.4-fold expansion of the Olig2+ pMN domain [Fig. 3I,J,Z; size of pMN along DV axis: wild type, 4(±1.2) cell diameters; mutant, 6(±1) cell diameters; P=0.038], although it had less of an effect in more dorsal region (Fig. 3M,N,Q,R,Z,a). Thus, expression of Ptch1 from MtPtch1 is not able to fully compensate for the removal of endogenous Ptch1, and an enhanced response is observed in the ventral-most Shh-dependent cell identities. However, removing one copy of Hhip1 (MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1+/–) resulted in a further increase in the size of the p3 domain [Fig. 3I,K,Z; size of p3 along DV axis: wild type, 5(±1) cell diameters; mutant, 17(±1.5) cell diameters; P=0.003]. The most striking patterning defects were observed in MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1–/– embryos. In these mutants, the floor plate was three times larger than that of wild-type embryos (Fig. 3A,D,E,H,Y; number of Foxa2+ cells: wild type, 23±1; mutant, 69±39; P=0.172). Furthermore, p3 and pMN domains expanded by 5.3 and 3.2 fold, respectively [Fig. 3I,L,Z; size of p3 along DV axis: wild type, 5(±1) cell diameters; mutant, 27(±5.9) cell diameters; P=0.017; size of pMN along DV axis: wild type, 4(±1.2) cell diameters; mutant, 14(±1.7) cell diameters; P=0.028]. By contrast, the Nkx6.1–/Pax7– p1+p0 domain was reduced by 3.7 fold [Fig. 3M,P,Z; size of p1+p0 along DV axis: wild type, 45(±4.0) cell diameters; mutant, 13(±8.7) cell diameters; P=0.003]. The dorsalmost cells in the neural tube normally express Msx1/2 in response to BMP signaling from the roof plate and surface ectoderm (Liem et al., 1995). Immunostaining for Msx1/2 proteins indicated that this dorsal signaling is
maintained in even the most extreme genotype, MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1+/– (Fig. 3U-X).

The patterning of wild type, MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1+/–, and MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1–/– ventricular zones are summarized in Fig. 3Z,a. These data clearly show a stepwise increase in the size of ventral domains (p3 and pMN), and corresponding decrease in the extent of intermediate (p1+p0) and dorsal domains as feedback LDA to Shh is progressively removed from the embryo.

**Reduction in intermediate and dorsal neuronal precursors in the neural tube of MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1+/– embryos**

We examined whether the changes in neural progenitor domains caused by compromised LDA is reflected by the populations of post-mitotic neuronal precursors. Seven markers were used to identify different neuronal precursor subtypes; in a ventral-to-dorsal progression, these were Sim1 (V3), MN2 (MN), Chox10 (V2), En1 (V1), Evx1/2 (V0), Lbx1 (dI4+5+6) and Lim1/2 (dI2, dorsal to Lbx1) (Fig. 2A; Fig. 4A,G,M,S).

In Hhip1−/− embryos, all seven groups of neuronal precursors were present at normal numbers except for a moderate decrease (37.5%) in V2 cells (Fig. 4A,B,G,H,M,N,S,T). However, Hhip1−/−;Ptch1−/− embryos showed a general expansion of neuronal precursor populations (except for dI2), consistent with their proportionally enlarged neural tube reported earlier (Fig. 4C,I,O,U,Y).

In MtPtch1;Ptch1–/– embryos, the V3 population was twice that of wild-type embryos (Fig. 4A,D,Y; Sim1+ area on the section: wild type, 24.7(±3.76) (×100 µm²); mutant, 48(±5) (×100 µm²); P=0.003). By contrast, MN, V2, V1, V0 and dI4+5+6 populations did not change significantly (Fig. 4G,J,M,P,S,V,a-d), but dI2 precursors were reduced by 40% in the absence of Ptch1 LDA [Fig. 4S,V,e; dI2: wild type, 50(±5.3) cells; mutant, 30(±3.5) cells; P=0.003]. A more severe alteration in neuronal precursor populations was observed when Hhip1 activity was attenuated or removed on this background. In MtPtch1;Ptch1−/–;Hhip1+/– embryos, the V3 population expanded by 2.2-fold compared with the wild type [Fig. 4A,E,Y; Sim1+ area on the section: wild type, 24.7(±3.76) (×100 µm²); mutant, 55(±4) (×100 µm²); P=0.001]. The MN population also underwent a 1.5-fold increase [Fig. 4G,K,Z; MN: wild type, 159(±30.4) cells; mutant, 244(±22.1) cells; P=0.031]. By contrast, while V2, V1, V0, and dI4+5+6 precursors were all reduced, these changes were not statistically significant (Fig. 4G,K,Z; V3: wild type, 24.7(±3.76) (×100 µm²); mutant, 57(±2) (×100 µm²); P=0.010). A more severe decrease in dI2 precursors than that observed in MtPtch1;Ptch1–/– embryos (2.3-fold fewer than normal) was apparent in the MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1–/– neural tube [Fig. 4S,W,e; dI2: wild type, 50(±3.5) cells; mutant, 21(±7.4) cells; P=0.004].

MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1–/– embryos showed the most striking changes in neuronal precursor populations, as expected from their severe defects in progenitor domain patterning. V3 precursors in these mutants were increased by 2.3-fold compared with wild-type embryos [Fig. 4A,F,Y; V3: wild type, 24.7(±3.76) (×100 µm²); mutant, 57(±2) (×100 µm²); P=0.010]. The MN population was not significantly different from the wild type (Fig. 4G,L,Z). However, V2 precursors
were reduced by 5.3 fold [Fig. 4G,L,a; V2: wild type, 16(±0) cells; mutant, 3(±2) cells; P=0.008], and intermediate (V1, V0) and dorsal (dI4+5+6, dI2) neuronal precursors were all present at less than 10% of their normal numbers [Fig. 4M,R,S,X,b-e; V1: wild type, 42(±9.0) cells; mutant, 3(±4.2) cells; P=0.016; V0: wild type, 32(±11.7) cells; mutant, 3(±3.8) cells; P=0.076; dI4+5+6: wild type, 72(±19.3) cells; mutant, 5(±6.11) cells; P=0.035; dI2: wild type, 50(±5.29) cells; mutant, 4(±3.8) cells; P=0.003]. Figure 4f shows the relative sizes of neuronal precursor populations among wild type, 

\[ \text{MtPtch1;Ptch1}^{-/-}, \text{MtPtch1;Ptch1}^{-/-};\text{Hhip1}^{+/+}, \text{and MtPtch1;Ptch1}^{-/-};\text{Hhip1}^{-/-}\] embryos for the seven groups of neuronal precursors analyzed. Clearly, in the absence of feedback LDA by Ptch1 and Hhip1 (MtPtch1;Ptch1^{-/-};Hhip1^{-/-}), the number of the ventral neuronal precursors (V3) increased, while intermediate (V1, V0) and dorsal (dI4+5+6, dI2) neuronal precursors were almost ablated, a result consistent with the expansion of ventral progenitor domains and corresponding reduction of intermediate and dorsal progenitor domains in this genotype (Fig. 3Z,a).

The basic patterning of the ventral neural tube is specified at early stages by notochord-derived Shh

We originally predicted that even though ligand production is unaltered, removing LDA would result in both enhanced Hh signaling as increased amount of ligand should be available to trigger receptor-mediated signaling, and an increased spatial range of ligand action in the target field. However, one of the
phenotypes we observed in MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−;Hhip1−/− embryos was a threefold increase in size of the floor plate, which itself expresses Shh. This raised the possibility that the patterning defects we observed were due to an increase in ligand production, rather than diffusion or availability of Shh.

During embryogenesis, Shh is first expressed in the notochord and then signals to the overlying neural tube to induce its own expression in the floor plate. Although it has been recognized that the acquisition of general ventral identity by neural tube cells depends on early Hh signaling from notochord before the floor plate is established (Ericson et al., 1996), the detailed kinetics for each of Shh-mediated patterning events has not been rigorously addressed to date. We examined early stages of neural tube development (E8.5), at the axial level of the second to fourth somite from the anterior end, to determine timing of ventral development (E8.5), at the axial level of the second to fourth examined early stages of neural tube rigorously addressed to date. We

Based on the above results, we analyzed neural tube pattern in embryos defective in feedback LDA at the eight- to nine-somite stage to examine primary, notochord-dependent patterning (Fig. 6). As expected, cells producing high level of Shh indicative of floor plate induction were absent from the neural tube in all of the embryos examined at this stage (Fig. 6A-F). However, Foxa2 expression had begun in presumptive floor-plate cells, and their numbers were clearly increased in MtPtch1;Ptch1−/− and MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−;Hhip1−/− embryos (Fig. 6A-E). Nkx2.2+, Olig2+ and Nkx6.1+ populations all increased when LDA to Shh was reduced, in a manner consistent with the observed changes in E10.5 mutants. The neural tube of Hhip1−/− mutants appeared normal (Fig. 6A,B,G,H,M,N,Y), but Hhip1−/−;Ptch1−/− embryos showed a moderate patterning defect with a 34% increase in Nkx6.1+ cells compared with the wild type [Fig. 6C,I,O,Y; Nkx6.1+ (p3+p3/pMN+pMN+p2): wild type, 11(±0.82) cells; mutant, 17.5% in wild type (P=0.003)]. The neural tube patterning phenotypes of LDA (MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−;Hhip1−/−), cells that are either Nkx2.2+ or Olig2+ made up 66% of the entire neural tube compared with the wild type (Fig. 6K,Y,Z), and Nkx6.1+ mutants appeared normal (Fig. 6A,B,G,H,M,N,Y), but Hhip1−/−;Ptch1−/− embryos showed a moderate patterning defect with a 34% increase in Nkx6.1+ cells compared with the wild type [Fig. 6C,I,O,Y; Nkx6.1+ (p3+p3/pMN+pMN+p2): wild type, 28(±2.49) cells; mutant, 37(±2.22) cells; P=0.02]. In the MtPtch1;Ptch1−/− neural tube, p3 and pMN populations increased by 1.8- and threefold, respectively [Fig. 6D,J,P,Y; p3 (Nkx2.2+): wild type, 5(±0.58) cells; mutant, 9(±2.08) cells; P=0.03; pMN (Olig2+): wild type, 11(±0.82) cells; mutant, 33(±6.4) cells; P=0.005]. In the complete absence of Ptch1- and Hhip1-mediated feedback LDA (MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−;Hhip1−/−), cells that are either Nkx2.2+ or Olig2+ made up 66% of the entire neural tube compared with the wild type (P=0.0003) (Fig. 6K,Y,Z), and Nkx6.1+ cells extended up to the dorsal midline (Fig. 6Q). By contrast, the Pax6+ domain was reduced significantly in this genotype, and Pax6 and Nkx6.1 were co-expressed in many cells, unlike in the wild type where the co-expression was restricted to a few cells at the Nkx6.1+/Pax6+ boundary [Fig. 6M,Q,Y; p1+p0+d (Pax6+Nkx6.1+): wild type, 68(±6.73) cells; mutant, 24(±6.95) cells; P=0.003]. The neural tube patterning phenotypes of LDA mutants at E8.5 are summarized in Fig. 6Y and Fig. 6Z. We conclude that the expansion of ventral progenitor domains in MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−;Hhip1−/− embryos happens prior to increased Shh production from an enlarged floor plate, and therefore reflects enhanced signaling by notochord-derived Shh.

The patterning defects in the MtPtch1;Ptch1−/−;Hhip1−/− neural tube are unlikely to be secondary to the enlarged floor plate

Based on the above results, we analyzed neural tube pattern in
The effects of removing feedback LDA to Hh signaling are different from those of removing LIA to Hh signaling.

Despite the severe ventralization of the neural tube in MtPtch1;Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup>; Hhip1<sup>−/−</sup> embryos, their phenotype was clearly different from that of Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup> mutants, in which Ptch1-mediated LIA to Smo has been abolished. For example, Foxa2 protein in MtPtch1; Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup>; Hhip1<sup>−/−</sup> neural tube was only detected ventrally close to the notochord, the source of Shh (Fig. 6E), whereas Foxa2 was present at high levels in the entire neural tube of Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup> embryos with no obvious polarity along the DV axis (Fig. 6F).

Expression patterns of Nkx2.2, Olig2, Nkx6.1 and Pax6 also showed more severe defects in Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup> than in MtPtch1;Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup>;Hhip1<sup>−/−</sup> neural tubes (Fig. 6K,L,Q,R). For the most part, all neural progenitors in the Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup> neural tubes, irrespective of their DV position, co-expressed Foxa2 and Nkx6.1 but not Pax6 (Fig. 6F,L,R).

Taken together, these results indicate that loss of LIA causes a distinctly different, more uniform ventralization of the neural tube than is observed in LDA mutants. In Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup> neural tube, Olig2<sup>+</sup> cells were largely absent ventrally and restricted to a mostly dorsal Nkx2.2<sup>−</sup> domain (Fig. 6L). This residual DV polarity in progenitor domain pattern is probably due to the influence of signals from the dorsal end (see Discussion).

In the Ptch1-null allele, E. coli lacZ gene encoding β-galactosidase (β-gal) was placed under the endogenous Ptch1 promoter, providing a reporter for Hh pathway activation (Ptch1<sup>LacZ</sup>) (Goodrich et al., 1997). In the Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup> neural tube, β-gal activity was present in cells at the ventral end and tapered off in dorsal cells, probably reflecting a normal Shh morphogen gradient (Fig. 6S) (Goodrich et al., 1997). In the Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup> neural tube, a uniform high-level of β-gal activity along the entire DV axis indicated that ubiquitous, ligand-independent activation of Hh pathway was occurring (Fig. 6X) (Goodrich et al., 1997). However, the introduction of an MtPtch1 transgene onto this background (MtPtch1;Ptch1<sup>−/−</sup>)

---

**Fig. 6.** Neural progenitor domain patterning of feedback LDA mutants at E8.5. (A-R) Immunofluorescence of neural tube sections from eight-to nine-somite stage embryos. Antibodies and genotypes of the embryos as indicated in each panel. (S-X) Analysis for β-galactosidase activity in neural tube sections from 11- to 12-somite stage embryos. The Ptch1-null allele has the coding sequence for nucleus-localized β-galactosidase inserted under the Ptch1 endogenous promoter (Goodrich et al., 1997), and the Hhip1 null allele has the coding sequence for cytoplasmic β-galactosidase placed under the regulation of Hhip1 promoter (Chuang and McMahon, 1999), allowing both to serve as potential reporters of Hh signaling. However, as shown in T, the level of β-galactosidase expression from the Hhip1<sup>LacZ</sup> allele appears to be below the level of detection, suggesting that the staining observed in U and W is predominantly from Ptch1<sup>LacZ</sup>. (Y) Quantification of the size of each neural progenitor population as reflected by cell numbers in wild type and feedback LDA mutants. p3/pMN, Nkx2.2<sup>−</sup>Olig2<sup>+</sup>; p1+p0+dorsal domain, Pax6<sup>−</sup>Nkx6.1<sup>−</sup>. (Z) Representation of the size of each progenitor domain as a percentage of the total cell numbers in the neural tube. Scale bar: 25 µm.
eliminated ectopic Ptch1lacZ expression in the dorsal half of the neural tube and restored the DV gradient of staining although the stained domain was expanded (Fig. 6V). Thus, MtPtch1-mediated LIA was clearly sufficient to block Hh pathway activation where there was no ligand, and the enhanced Hh signaling observed in the ventral half of the neural tube reflects a compromise in LIA. When both Ptch1- and Hhip1-mediated LIA were removed (MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1–/–), β-gal expression extended along the entire DV axis of the neural tube (Fig. 6W), but unlike the expression in Ptch1–/– embryos, where β-gal activity was uniform, a ventral (high) to dorsal (low) gradient of β-gal activity was retained in the MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1–/– neural tube. As it is very likely that all Hh signaling in the MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1–/– neural tube is ligand dependent (see Discussion), the extent of β-gal and Nkx6.1 expression (Fig. 6Q,W) provides compelling evidence that Shh can travel from the notochord along the entire DV extent of the neuraxis if its movement is not impeded by LIA.

Distinct subcellular localizations of Shh-Ptch1 and Shh-Hhip1 complexes suggest different molecular mechanisms for Ptch1- and Hhip1-mediated LIA

Given their functional overlap in LIA, we examined Ptch1 and Hhip1 activities in cell culture to determine whether both factors use the same mechanism to perform this function. To address this issue, we transfected two populations of COS-7 cells independently with constructs for Ptch1 tagged with yellow fluorescent protein (Ptch1-YFP) and Shh tagged with FLAG epitope (Shh-FLAG), and then mixed the transfected cells on the same dish. We focused our analysis on situations where cells expressing Ptch1-YFP were next to those expressing Shh-FLAG. In these cases, the interaction between the two proteins was not detected at the cell surface (see Fig. S1A,G,M in the supplementary material), but co-localization was observed in intracellular vesicles within Ptch1-YFP producing cells (arrowheads in Fig. S1B,H,N in the supplementary material). These results are consistent with a previous report that Ptch1-mediated LIA appears to remove Shh ligand from the extracellular space by rapid endocytosis, which is probably followed by lysosomal degradation of the ligand (Incardona et al., 2000). In contrast to Ptch1, we observed a strong co-localization of Hhip1-YFP and Shh-FLAG at the cell surface in a similar experiment (see Fig. S1C,I,O in the supplementary material). In particular, we found a strong accumulation of Hhip1-YFP along the edge of the cells abutting those expressing Shh-FLAG (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). A small amount of Shh-FLAG was also found in intracellular vesicles in Hhip1-YFP expressing cells, where the two proteins co-localized (arrowheads in Fig. S1D,J,P in the supplementary material), but this was a very minor fraction compared with the level of proteins on the cell surface. A control heterologous cell surface protein (YFP with glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor) did not colocalize with Shh either on the cell surface (see Fig. S1E,K,Q in the supplementary material) or intracellularly (see Fig. S1F,L,R in the supplementary material). Thus, Hhip1 may prevent interaction of Shh with its receptor by sequestering ligand at the cell surface. Endocytosis of Hhip1 and Shh complex, albeit slow, may ultimately remove some ligand-Hhip1 complexes.

Fig. 7. Model for the regulation of morphogen signaling by LIA.

(A) In the absence of LIA, the morphogen (pink circle) produced from a restricted area of the tissue will travel over a field of cells. When the morphogen molecules run into a cell that expresses a signal-transducing receptor (blue cell surface molecule), some of them will bind to the receptor to induce signal transduction (blue arrows), while the rest will move on to the next cell to form a concentration gradient. (B) In the presence of LIA, the antagonists (red cell surface molecule) will compete with the signal-transducing receptors for a limited amount of ligand, and thus decrease the pathway activity within a cell (one blue arrow instead of two in A) at a given concentration of morphogen (cell-autonomous effect). In addition, as the ligands will bind to both receptors and antagonists, less of them will move on to the next cell, which will affect morphogen distribution in the tissue (non-cell autonomous effect). (C) Cell-autonomous and non-cell autonomous effects of LIA together will decrease the magnitude and range of the morphogen signaling gradient. (D) The neural tube patterning defects of MtPtch1;Ptch1–/–;Hhip1–/– embryos are in agreement with the model in A-C (see text).

Discussion

Function of feedback LIA in modulating Shh morphogen signaling

We have investigated the role of Ptch1 and Hhip1 as negative feedback regulators of the Hh pathway in the mammalian neural tube. Both factors participate in LIA, which we define as a mechanism that acts on the ligand at the cell surface to inhibit its function either by sequestering, modifying or degrading that ligand. In general terms, there are two predicted effects for LIA in a target field. First, LIA is expected to decrease the sensitivity of a cell to a given concentration of ligand, as the antagonists will compete with the signal-transducing receptor for a limited amount of ligand (Fig. 7A,B). In this situation, LIA should act cell-autonomously. Second, the antagonists create additional ligand-binding sites...
on the cell surface that will interfere with the extracellular movement of the ligand. Therefore, LDA is predicted to restrict the ligand distribution in a tissue, and disruption of LDA in one cell is likely to have non-cell-autonomous consequences in the target field (Fig. 7A,B). Together, these two properties would combine to reduce both the magnitude and range of morphogen signaling where LDA is active (Fig. 7C).

Our observation of MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– embryos provides strong evidence for this model; in the ventral half of the neural tube, many cells adopted more ventral fates than expected for their relative DV position along the neuraxis, indicating that Hh pathway activity was abnormally heightened in these cells (Fig. 7D, part a). In addition, the total range of Shh signaling in these mutants, shown by Ptc1LacZ reporter or Nkx6.1 induction, was extended to encompass the entire DV extent of the neural tube. These striking phenotypes of MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– spinal cord underscore the importance of feedback LDA in controlling both the magnitude and range of Shh signaling (Fig. 7D). Two arguments support the assumption that the Hh signaling in MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– neural tube is indeed reflective of the presence of the ligand. First, Ptc1LacZ reporter expression showed that LIA is intact in MtPtch1;Ptc1–/– embryos, whereas the ubiquitous and strong activation of the Hh pathway in the Ptc1–/– neural tube indicates that Ptc1 is the key regulator of LIA, and Hhip1 plays no role in this activity. Given this fact, LIA in MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– embryos should be equivalent to that of MtPtch1;Ptc1–/– embryos, and the observed changes in ventral specification in the former reflect attenuated LDA. Second, the progenitor domains in MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– neural tube maintain their normal relative positions, and Ptc1LacZ reporter activity also retains a ventral to dorsal gradient. This is consistent with the idea that they are induced by Shh emanating from the ventral midline fates and thus ventral pattern has a vector that reflects a ventral to dorsal movement of Shh ligand.

That a feedback control influences movement of Shh in the neural tube has been postulated from other approaches. When clones of cells that were unable to transduce Hh signal were introduced in the ventral neural tube, cells just dorsal to these clones showed signs that they were exposed to higher levels of Shh than they normally receive (Briscoe et al., 2001; Wijgerde et al., 2002). However, these studies could not specifically address the role of Ptc1 and Hhip1 in LDA, as these clones are unable to express any of the genes that are induced by Hh signaling, among which may be other unidentified antagonists to Shh action.

With regards to the biological significance of LDA in the context of development, removing both Ptc1- and Hhip-mediated feedback LDA caused a dramatic expansion of ventral progenitors (p3, pMN) at the expense of intermediate (p0+p1) or dorsal progenitors in the neural tube (Fig. 3Z,a). This patterning defect resulted in the generation of an abnormally large number of ventral neurons (V3) and an almost complete lack of intermediate (V1, V0) or dorsal (dl4+5+6, dl2) neurons (Fig. 4f). This result demonstrates that feedback control on Shh signaling by LDA is crucial to the formation of appropriate numbers of all types of ventral and intermediate neurons in the developing spinal cord. Furthermore, the early lethality (around E10.5) and gross abnormalities in the brain and face of MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– embryos suggest that this regulatory mechanism plays important roles in many other regions of the embryo as well.

A recent study using mathematical modeling proposed that the self-enhanced ligand degradation, such as the one resulting from feedback LDA of Ptc (and perhaps Hhip1) to Hh, is essential for robustness of the morphogen gradients to fluctuations in ligand production (Eldar et al., 2003). This prediction can be tested by changing doses of Shh allele in embryos with normal or compromised feedback LDA and comparing the changes in the neural tube patterning. For example, differences between the MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– and MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/–;Shh+/– neural tubes are expected to be larger than those between the wild-type and Shh+/– neural tubes.

**Neural tube patterning defects in the absence of feedback LDA to Shh**

In the MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– neural tube, the cell types induced by high levels of Hh signaling, i.e. floor plate, p3 and pMN, all expanded by three- to fivefold, consistent with an overactive Hh pathway in these embryos. However, in the same mutants, p2 progenitor numbers did not change significantly, and p1+p0 progenitors were markedly reduced by almost fourfold. Given that p2 and p1+p0 populations are also directly dependent on and induced by Hh signaling, albeit at lower concentration thresholds than either floor plate, p3 or pMN progenitors, why did they fail to expand (Pierani et al., 1999; Briscoe et al., 2001; Wijgerde et al., 2002)? The answer probably lies in the effects of BMP signals on specification of ventral cell types. BMPs can desensitize ventral neural cells to Shh by interfering with intracellular signal transduction of the Hh pathway (Liem et al., 1999). In the normal spinal cord, BMPs are expressed at the surface ectoderm and roof plate at the dorsal midline (Liem et al., 1995; Liem et al., 1997), while BMP inhibitors noggin and follistatin are expressed ventrally to counter the actions of BMPs (McMahon et al., 1998; Liem et al., 2000). In MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– embryos, as Shh signaling is enhanced, the low levels of pathway activation appropriate for p2 to p0 fates are likely to occur in a relatively more dorsal position than normal, bringing them close to the dorsal midline (Fig. 3Z). Analysis of Msx1/2 expression indicates that BMP signaling here is intact in MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– embryos (Fig. 3X). Therefore, prospective p2-p0 populations are constrained by too high Hh signaling ventrally and the inhibitory action of BMP signaling dorsally.

The Pax7+ dorsal progenitor domain was severely reduced in the MtPtch1;Ptc1–/–;Hhip1–/– neural tube. This result supports the idea that the expansion of ventral cell types we observed was at the expense of dorsal cell fates (i.e. cells that would normally adopt a dorsal fate instead adopted a ventral fate), and argues against an alternative explanation that appropriate numbers of ventral and dorsal neural progenitors were specified initially, but enhanced Hh signaling increased the proliferation rates of ventral cells, causing abnormally rapid expansion of the ventral domains while the dorsal domains grow at the normal rate. In the latter scenario, the size of the dorsal domain in the mutant would remain similar to that
Mechanism of Ptch1- and Hhip1-mediated LDA to Shh

Although our genetic analysis established that Ptch1 and Hhip1 play overlapping roles in providing LDA to Shh (and most probably other Hh signals in other contexts), the two proteins have very different structures; Ptch1 is a twelve-pass transmembrane protein with two large extracellular loops, a C-terminal cytoplasmic domain and a sterol-sensing domain (SSD), a feature found in several proteins involved in cholesterol homeostasis (Stone et al., 1996; Carstea et al., 1997). By contrast, Hhip1 has one large extracellular domain anchored to the cell membrane by a hydrophobic stretch at the C terminus (Chuang and McMahon, 1999). These differences prompted us to compare the molecular mechanisms that Ptch1 and Hhip1 use to inhibit Shh.

Results herein and those of Incardona et al. (Incardona et al., 2000) suggest that Ptch1 induces rapid endocytosis of Shh, which is followed by degradation of the ligand within the lysosome. As exposing cells that are unable to upregulate Ptch1 expression in response to Hh signal to Hh leads to a significant net decrease in Ptch1 levels on the cell surface, recycling of Ptch1 back to the cell surface, if it occurs, does not seem to be very efficient (Denef et al., 2000). Contrary to Ptch1, our results indicate that Hhip1 appears to inhibit Shh mainly by physically sequestering it at the cell surface, as the ligand is only very inefficiently internalized. This mechanism implies that the antagonism of Hhip1 to Shh is stoichiometric, in line with the copy number-dependent antagonism observed in MtPtch1;Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup>, MtPtch1;Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup>;Hhip1<sup>+/−</sup> and MtPtch1;Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup>;Hhip1<sup>+/−</sup> embryos. However, the endogenous expression level of Hhip1 appears to be very low, as judged from RNA in situ hybridization or Hhip1<sub>LacZ</sub> reporter staining (Fig. 6T) (Chuang and McMahon, 1999), which is unusual for a factor that acts in a stoichiometric fashion. Direct quantitative analysis of Shh and Hhip1 protein levels in the target field, a challenging goal, will be necessary to finally understand this issue.

Growth control by feedback LDA to Hh signaling

Our data that Hhip1<sup>+/−</sup>;Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup> embryos had a larger neural tube than wild type implicates feedback LDA in regulation of spinal cord growth. The size of an organ is regulated by controlling both cell death and cell proliferation. In the early neural tube, Shh and Ptch1 have been shown to have anti-apoptotic and pro-apoptotic effects, respectively (Charrier et al., 2001; Thibert et al., 2003). Later in development, Shh acts as a mitogen in several areas of the central nervous system (Rowitch et al., 1999; McMahon et al., 2003). However, we were unable to detect any significant changes in mitosis or apoptosis in Hhip1<sup>+/−</sup>;Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup> neural tube compared with the wild type at E10.5 (data not shown). Therefore, it appears that the growth phenotype of these embryos was due to small increase in proliferation or survival rates that had cumulative effects over time.

Importantly, for many of Hhip1<sup>+/−</sup>;Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup> embryos, the entire body was overgrown, and this phenotype included an expansion of both ventral Shh-dependent and dorsal Shh-independent cell identities in the neural tube. Although Ptch1<sup>+/+</sup>-adult mice were reported to be larger (Goodrich et al., 1997), this was not evident at E10.5 (data not shown). Thus, the growth phenotype of Hhip1<sup>+/−</sup>;Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup> clearly implicates Hhip1 as well as Ptch1 in a systemic process that controls body size. This is an intriguing result considering that only a small part of the embryo at E10.5 is subject to Hh signaling and Hhip1 expression is entirely restricted to cells responding to Hh signals (Chuang and McMahon, 1999). As Hh signaling does not seem to occur in dorsal progenitor domain in Hhip1<sup>+/−</sup>;Ptch1<sup>+/−</sup> embryos based on the Ptch1<sub>LacZ</sub> reporter assay (Fig. 6U), enhanced growth in this domain most likely involves some other factors. Further study is necessary to elucidate possible connections between Hh signaling and systemic growth control mechanism in embryonic and adult mouse. In addition, while our data provide unambiguous evidence for combined roles of Ptch1 and Hhip1 in LDA during spinal cord patterning, potential interaction of these components with Ptch2 and Gas1 remains an unanswered question that will require additional genetic analysis in future studies. Furthermore, new approaches may enable these mechanisms to be addressed in other regions where graded Shh signaling may play a central role in patterning, most notably digit patterning in the vertebrate limb.
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