Auxin response factors ARF6 and ARF8 promote jasmonic acid production and flower maturation

Punita Nagpal1, Christine M. Ellis1, Hans Weber2,*, Sara E. Ploense1, Lana S. Barkawi3, Thomas J. Guilfoyle4, Gretchen Hagen4, José M. Alonso5,†, Jerry D. Cohen3, Edward E. Farmer2, Joseph R. Ecker5 and Jason W. Reed1,‡

1 Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, CB #3280, Coker Hall, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3280, USA
2 Gene Expression Laboratory, Plant Molecular Biology, University of Lausanne, Biology Building, 1015-Lausanne, Switzerland
3 Department of Horticultural Science, Center for Microbial and Plant Genomics, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN 55108, USA
4 Plant Biology Laboratory, The Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA 92186-5800, USA
5 Plant Biology Laboratory, The Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA 92186-5800, USA

†Present address: Department of Genetics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7614, USA
‡Present address: Center for Integrative Genomics, University of Lausanne, BEP, 1015-Lausanne, Switzerland
§Author for correspondence (e-mail: jreed@email.unc.edu)

Accepted 22 June 2005

Development 132, 4107-4118
Published by The Company of Biologists 2005
doi:10.1242/dev.01955

Summary
Pollination in flowering plants requires that anthers release pollen when the gynoecium is competent to support fertilization. We show that in Arabidopsis thaliana, two paralogous auxin response transcription factors, ARF6 and ARF8, regulate both stamen and gynoecium maturation. arf6 arf8 double-null mutant flowers arrested as infertile closed buds with short petals, short stamen filaments, undehisced anthers that did not release pollen and immature gynoecia. Numerous developmentally regulated genes failed to be induced. ARF6 and ARF8 thus coordinate the transition from immature to mature fertile flowers. Jasmonic acid (JA) measurements and JA feeding experiments showed that decreased jasmonate production caused the block in pollen release, but not the gynoecium arrest. The double mutant had altered auxin responsive gene expression. However, whole flower auxin levels did not change during flower maturation, suggesting that auxin might regulate flower maturation only under specific environmental conditions, or in localized organs or tissues of flowers. arf6 and arf8 single mutants and sesquimutants (homozygous for one mutation and heterozygous for the other) had delayed stamen development and decreased fecundity, indicating that ARF6 and ARF8 gene dosage affects timing of flower maturation quantitatively.
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Introduction
During flowering plant fertilization, pollen is released from anthers and deposited on the stigma of a receptive gynoecium, where it germinates to produce pollen tubes that will deliver sperm to the ovules. In autogamous plants, pollination occurs more efficiently if the anther is close to the stigma. In several plants with mixed mating systems, heritable differences in anther-stigma distance influence rates of outcrossing versus selfing (Chang and Rausher, 1999; Karron et al., 1997; Motten and Stone, 2000). It is thus of interest to learn how flower development just prior to pollination is regulated and how male and female maturation are coordinated.

Transcription factors of the auxin response factor (ARF) family bind to auxin response elements (AuxREs, 5’ tgtcgc 3’) present in promoters of numerous auxin-regulated genes, and ARFs mediate auxin-induced gene expression responses (Hagen and Guilfoyle, 2002; Liscum and Reed, 2002). Of the 22 predicted ARF proteins encoded in the Arabidopsis genome, five (MP/ARF5, ARF6, NPH4/ARF7, ARF8 and ARF19) have a glutamine-rich middle domain, and each of these can activate auxin-induced genes in transient expression assays (Ulmasov et al., 1999a; Wilmoth et al., 2005). Mutations in MP/ARF5, NPH4/ARF, ARF8 and ARF19 decrease auxin gene induction responses and cause auxin-related developmental defects at various stages of development. mp/arf5 mutants have defects in embryonic, vascular and floral patterning (Aida et al., 2002; Berleth and Jürgens, 1993; Hardtke and Berleth, 1998; Hardtke et al., 2004; Przemeck et al., 1996). nph4/arf7 mutants have defects in tropic growth of roots and hypocotyls, and nph4/arf7 arf19 double mutants make very few lateral roots and have small leaves (Harper et al., 2000; Liscum and Briggs, 1996; Okushima et al., 2005; Stowe-Evans et al., 1998; Watahiki and Yamamoto, 1997; Wilmoth et al., 2005). Light-grown arf8-1 mutant seedlings had elongated hypocotyls (Tian et al., 2004). Auxin regulates glutamine-rich ARF activity by promoting turnover of Aux/IAA proteins, which can interact with ARFs and inhibit gene induction (Gray et al., 2001; Kim et al., 1997; Tatematsu et al., 2004; Tian et al., 2003; Tian et al., 2002; Tiwari et al., 2003; Tiwari et al., 2001; Ulmasov et al., 1997; Zenser et al., 2001). Gain-of-function mutations in several different IAA genes encoding Aux/IAA proteins decrease
auxin-induced turnover of the corresponding proteins and cause phenotypes similar to those of loss-of-function arf mutants (Reed, 2001).

Phylogenetic analyses of Arabidopsis ARF proteins show that ARF6 and ARF8 form a clade and therefore may have overlapping functions (Remington et al., 2004). We have isolated plants with mutations in the ARF6 and ARF8 genes, and characterized phenotypes of single and double mutants. arf6 and arf8 single mutant plants have delayed stamen development and decreased fecundity, whereas arf6 arf8 double mutant plants have a complete block in flower maturation. Decreased jasmonic acid (JA) production caused some aspects of this phenotype.

Materials and methods

Mutant isolation
To isolate T-DNA insertion mutations in ARF6 (At1g30330) and ARF8 (At1g37020), a T-DNA left border primer (JMLB: 5′-GGCAATCAGCTGTTGCCCGTCTCACTGGTG-3′) and three degenerate primers that each hybridized to sequences encoding conserved domain IV of multiple ARF genes were used in PCR reactions to screen pools of genomic DNA representing 30,000 insertion mutants (http://signal.salk.edu/tabout.html). Individual plants carrying the arf6-2 and arf8-3 insertions were identified by PCR using one of these degenerate primers (ARFDLV3: 5′-CCATGGGTACATC(A/G)CCGAGGAGAAGAA(C/T)(A/G)TC-3′) and the T-DNA left border primer. Sequencing these PCR products revealed that the 3′ end of the arf6-2 insertion was 2301 nucleotides downstream of the start codon, and that the 3′ end of the arf8-3 insertion was 2072 nucleotides downstream of the start codon (Fig. 1A). Absence of corresponding wild-type alleles in the insertion mutants was confirmed by Southern hybridization. A second primer upstream of the T-DNA insertion sites specific to either ARF6 (5′-GACGAAATCTACTGCGAG-3′) or ARF8 (5′-CTAGATCTGGTATCGTG-3′) was used in combination with the degenerate primer to identify the wild-type alleles among progeny of crosses for linkage analysis and for constructing double mutants.

Transgenic plants and genetics
For complementation, we cloned a 12.5 kb BamHI genomic DNA fragment containing the complete open reading frame of ARF6 (T4K22.6/At1g30330) and ARF8 (At1g37020), a T-DNA left border primer (JMLB: 5′-GGCAATCAGCTGTTGCCCGTCTCACTGGTG-3′) and three degenerate primers that each hybridized to sequences encoding conserved domain IV of multiple ARF genes were used in PCR reactions to screen pools of genomic DNA representing 30,000 insertion mutants (http://signal.salk.edu/tabout.html). Individual plants carrying the arf6-2 and arf8-3 insertions were identified by PCR using one of these degenerate primers (ARFDLV3: 5′-CCATGGGTACATC(A/G)CCGAGGAGAAGAA(C/T)(A/G)TC-3′) and the T-DNA left border primer. Sequencing these PCR products revealed that the 3′ end of the arf6-2 insertion was 2301 nucleotides downstream of the start codon, and that the 3′ end of the arf8-3 insertion was 2072 nucleotides downstream of the start codon (Fig. 1A). Absence of corresponding wild-type alleles in the insertion mutants was confirmed by Southern hybridization. A second primer upstream of the T-DNA insertion sites specific to either ARF6 (5′-GACGAAATCTACTGCGAG-3′) or ARF8 (5′-CTAGATCTGGTATCGTG-3′) was used in combination with the degenerate primer to identify the wild-type alleles among progeny of crosses for linkage analysis and for constructing double mutants.

Phenotypic analyses
Flower buds and dissected flower organs were measured using a camera lucida attachment on a dissecting microscope. For scanning electron microscopy, buds and flowers were fixed and processed as described (Laux et al., 1996), except that the tissue was dehydrated in an ethanol series (30%, 50%, 70%, 95%, 100%) instead of an acetone series (80%, 95%, 100%). The specimens were imaged in a Cambridge S200 scanning electron microscope (LEO Electron Microscopy, Thornwood, NY) or with a Zeiss DSM 962 transmission electron microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Flower buds and dissected flower organs were measured using a camera lucida attachment on a dissecting microscope. For scanning electron microscopy, buds and flowers were fixed and processed as described (Laux et al., 1996), except that the tissue was dehydrated in an ethanol series (30%, 50%, 70%, 95%, 100%) instead of an acetone series. The specimens were imaged in a Cambridge S200 scanning electron microscope (LEO Electron Microscopy, Thornwood, NY) operated at 20 kV. Secondary electron images were acquired digitally using a 4pi image acquisition system (4pi Analysis, Durham NC).

For rescue of anther dehiscence, 4-5 μl of linoleic acid (9(Z), 12(Z), 15(Z) octadecatrienoic acid, Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI, 25% stock solution in ethanol diluted to 0.1% in 0.1% Tween-20), OPDA (12-oxo-phytodienoic acid, Cayman Chemical Company, 100 mg/ml stock solution in ethanol diluted to 100 μM in 0.1% Tween-20) or JA (Sigma, 100 μM stock solution in ethanol diluted to 0.1% in 0.1% Tween-20) were applied to each flower bunch, and flowers observed 2-3 days later.

Gene expression analyses
Total RNA was isolated from frozen tissues of 8-day-old seedlings or long-day-grown adult plants using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). RNA gel blot hybridizations were performed as described (Nagpal et al., 2000). ARF6 and ARF8 probes were made from PCR products spanning ARF6- and ARF8-coding regions (amplified from cDNAs). For auxin and JA induction experiments, plants were sprayed with 10 μM IAA or 500 μM JA (in 0.1% methanol, 0.05% Tween-20) or with buffer alone, using a Preval sprayer (Precision Valve Corporation, Yonkers, NY). Gene probes were as described (Stintzi and Browse; 2000; Tian et al., 2002) and were labeled with 32P by random priming.
Microarray gene expression analyses

Flower tissue was collected from Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia plants, arf6-2/arf6-2 ARF8/arf8-3 plants, and arf6-2 arf8-3 plants. Plants were grown for 6 weeks under a 16-hour light:8-hour dark regime. For the developmental time course, flowers were separated into stage 1-10 flowers, stage 11-12 flowers and stage 13-14 flowers. For auxin induction experiments, flower bunches containing flowers from stage 1 to stage 14 were used.

Tissue from approximately 40 plants was pooled for each RNA isolation and RNA from three biological replicates was pooled for cDNA synthesis. Total RNA (7 μg) was used to synthesize cDNA. A custom cDNA kit (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) was used with a T7-(dT)24 primer for this reaction. Biotinylated cRNA was then generated from the cDNA reaction using the BioArray High Yield RNA Transcript Kit (Enzo Diagnostics, Farmingdale, NY). The cRNA was then fragmented in fragmentation buffer [5X fragmentation buffer: 200 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.1), 500 mM KOAc, 150 mM MgOAc] at 94°C for 35 minutes before the chip hybridization.

Fragmented cRNA (15 μg) was then added to a hybridization cocktail (0.05 μg/μl fragmented cRNA, 50 μM control oligonucleotide B2, BioB, BioC, BioD and cre hybridization controls, 0.1 mg/ml herring sperm DNA, 0.5 mg/ml acetylated BSA, 100 mM MES, 1 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 0.01% Tween 20). cRNA (10 μg) was used for hybridization in a volume of 200 μl per slide. ATH1 arrays (Redman et al., 2004) (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) were hybridized for 16 hours at 45°C in the GeneChip Hybridization Oven 640 (Affymetrix).

The arrays were washed and stained with R-phycoerythrin streptavidin in the GeneChip Fluidics Station 400 (Affymetrix) using wash protocol Eukge-ws2 version 4, and arrays were scanned with the Hewlett Packard model 2500 GeneArray Scanner. Affymetrix GeneChip Microarray Suite 5.0 software was used for washing, scanning and basic analysis. Data were scaled to a default target intensity of 500 before importing into Genespring 5.0 software. Sample quality was assessed by examination of 3 intensity of 500 before importing into Genespring 5.0 software. Sample quality was assessed by examination of 3 intensity ratios below these numbers frequently had an Affymetrix flag call of Absent.

Hormone measurements

Flower bunches were harvested into liquid nitrogen and kept frozen until analysis. Frozen flower tissue was stored at -80°C and transported on solid CO2 (dry ice) to Lausanne for JA measurements and to St Paul for IAA analysis. Jasmonic acid was measured as described (Weber et al., 1997) with modifications described at http://www.unil.ch/ibpv/WWWFarmer/WWWOxylipins/Docs/method.htm.

IAA content was determined for triplicate samples weighing 50 to 110 mg FW (frozen weight). Purification and quantification of free IAA was based on the method described by Chen et al. (Chen et al., 1988) with modifications. Approximately 4 ml g⁻¹ FW extraction buffer [65% (v/v) isopropanol with 0.2 M imidazole (pH 7)] was added to each sample tube. Three tungsten carbide beads (3 mm; Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and ~40 ng g⁻¹ FW [¹³C]IAA as an internal standard were also added to the sample tube before homogenization for 3 minutes at 15 Hz in a Mixer Mill MM 300 (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). After incubation on ice for 1 hour, samples were centrifuged (12,000 g for 5 minutes), and 50,000 dpm [³H]IAA was added to the supernatant as a radiotracer. The sample was diluted 10-fold with water and to a conditioned 50 mg NH₄Cl solid phase extraction (SPE) column (Varian, Walnut Creek, California). To condition the columns, 500 μl of hexane, acetonitrile, water, and 0.2 M imidazole (pH 7.0) were added sequentially followed by two water rinses of 1500 μl each. The loaded columns were washed sequentially with 500 μl each of hexane, ethyl acetate, acetonitrile and methanol, and 300 μl phosphoric acid. IAA was eluted in four additional 700 μl aliquots of phosphoric acid (PA). The pooled eluate was adjusted to pH 3 with 1 M succinic acid (SA; pH 6) in a ratio of PA:SA (v/v, 60:1).

IAA was further purified on a BSA column (Murphy, 1979; Schulze and Bandurski, 1979) made by linking BSA (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin) to AffiPrep-10 (BioRad, Hercules, California) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Approximately 500 μl BSA-AffiPrep was loaded onto empty SPE cartridges (Varian) and conditioned with PA-SA (3×500 μl). The pH-adjusted samples were loaded, and the column was washed with PA-SA (3×500 μl) followed by methanol (300 μl). IAA was eluted in five aliquots of methanol, 300 μl each. The pooled eluate containing free purified IAA was methylated by incubation with 1 ml methanol diazomethane for approximately 5 minutes, evaporated to dryness under N₂, and resuspended in 25 μl ethyl acetate. Quantification was by GC-MS-selected ion monitoring as described by Ribnicky et al. (Ribnicky et al., 1996) using a model 6890N GC/5973 Network MS (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) equipped with an HP-5MS fused silica capillary column [30 m × 0.25 mm ID, (5%-phenyl)-methylpolysiloxane, 0.25 μm film thickness (Agilent Technologies)]. Injector temperature was set at 250°C and temperature programmed from 70°C (2 minutes) to 280°C at 20°C min⁻¹. Ions at m/z 130, 136, 189 and 195 were monitored with dwell times of 50 ms per ion.
Results

arf6 and arf8 mutations affect self-fertilization quantitatively

We identified plants with mutations in ARF6 or ARF8 among a collection of T-DNA insertion mutants (Alonso et al., 2003). These arf6-2 and arf8-3 insertions each interrupted the transcribed sequence, and eliminated transcript of the corresponding gene (Fig. 1), suggesting that they were null mutations. ARF6 and ARF8 transcripts were each most abundant in flowers, and were expressed at lower levels in leaves, stems and roots (Fig. 1B,C) (Ulmasov et al., 1999b).

Roots and shoots of arf6-2 and arf8-3 single mutant and arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant seedlings closely resembled those of wild-type seedlings (data not shown). Other workers found that arf8-1 mutant seedlings had a long hypocotyl (Tian et al., 2004). However, we observed a short hypocotyl in dark-grown arf6-2, arf8-3 and arf6-2 arf8-3 seedlings (Table 1). Primary inflorescence stems of adult arf6-2 and arf8-3 single mutant plants were 10-20% shorter than those of wild-type plants (Fig. 2A, Table 1), whereas numbers of flowers and of lateral branches were similar to those in wild-type plants (Table 1; data not shown). Thus, the short inflorescences of arf6-2 and arf8-3 plants arose from decreased internode elongation.

Flowers of arf6-2 and arf8-3 mutant plants had normal organ number and position, but often produced no seed (Table 1, Fig. 2A). Prior to flower stage 12 (Smyth et al., 1990), when buds reached 2 mm long, mutant flowers appeared normal and they were present in normal numbers (Table 1). However, at stage 12 development of mutant stamens lagged relative to development of other flower parts. Stamens filaments of stage 12 arf6-2 and arf8-3 flowers were shorter than those of stage 12 wild-type flowers, and petals of arf6-2 flowers were also shorter than those of wild-type flowers (Fig. 3, left). arf6-2 anthers dehisced to release pollen slightly later than did wild-type anthers relative to the youngest bud of 2 mm or longer (Table 1). arf8-3 anthers also dehisced slightly later than did wild-type anthers, although not statistically significantly (Table 1). Mutant stamen filaments did elongate further as the flowers grew older. At the time when the anthers dehisced (anthesis), arf6-2 and arf8-3 mutant stamens were roughly as long as wild-type stamens had been at anthesis, but by then arf6-2 and arf8-3 carpels had already grown slightly longer than the stamens (Fig. 3, right panel). These results indicate that arf6-2 and arf8-3 plants self-pollinated inefficiently in part because the anthers were too far from the stigma, as a consequence of slightly delayed filament elongation and anther dehiscence.

Wild-type plants carrying hairpin RNA constructs that target gene silencing of either ARF6 or ARF8 also had reduced seed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Measurements of wild-type and mutant hypocotyls, inflorescences and flowers*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypocotyl length in D, 4 days (mm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflorescence length 26 days (cm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflorescence length 45 days (cm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of inflorescence stems</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportion fertile flowers</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of buds on primary inflorescence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>from 2 mm bud to anthesis</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are means d. (n).

1Data that were significantly different from wild-type values by t-test (P<0.05).

2Data for number of inflorescence stems, proportion of fertile flowers and number of buds of different size classes per primary inflorescence were measured on 33-day-old plants also used for the organ length data presented in Fig. 3.
set (see Materials and methods), confirming that deficiency of either ARF6 or ARF8 reduces self-fertilization. *arf6-2/arf6-2 ARF8/arf8-3* and *ARF6/arf6-2 arf8-3/arf8-3* plants, with just one wild-type copy of either ARF8 or ARF6, had elongated inflorescence stems and open flowers, but had short stamen filaments and even fewer fruits with seed than did *arf6-2* or *arf8-3* single mutant plants (Table 1). We refer to *arf6-2/arf6-2 ARF8/arf8-3* and *ARF6/arf6-2 arf8-3/arf8-3* plants as sesquimutants (Latin 'sesqui-' meaning one and a half, as in 'sesquicentennial'). Sesquimutant anthers dehisced to release pollen, and after manual self-pollination these flowers produced abundant seed, suggesting that for sesquimutants as for single mutants, decreased self-pollination arose from delayed filament elongation and anthesis.

The decreased seed production in *arf6-2*, *arf8-3* and both sesquimutant plants indicates that ARF6 and ARF8 have similar functions in stamen development, and that ARF6 and ARF8 gene dosage has a quantitative effect on rates of self-pollination. Moreover, a genomic ARF6 transgene could rescue the decreased fecundity of the *arf8-3* mutant (Table 2), indicating that extra copies of ARF6 can substitute for absence of ARF8.

**ARF6 and ARF8 are required for flower maturation**

*arf6-2 arf8-3* double mutant plants were identified among self-progeny of sesquimutant plants. Double mutant seedlings had normal root and leaf growth, and appeared normal during the early part of vegetative growth. Beginning at the time of flowering, double mutant leaves grew unevenly and twisted instead of lying flat. Upon flowering the inflorescence stems elongated less than those of wild-type or single mutant plants (Table 1, Fig. 2A). Most strikingly, flowers of *arf6-2 arf8-3* double mutant plants failed to open or to produce seed. Wild-type flowers open at stage 13, at which point petals, stamen filaments, and carpels are elongating, anthers are about to

---

**Fig. 2.** Phenotypes of *arf6-2*, *arf8-3* and *arf6-2 arf8-3* double mutant plants. (A) 47-day-old plants. (B) Flowers of wild-type and *arf6-2 arf8-3* double mutant plants. Flower buds from a single inflorescence are arranged from youngest to oldest. (C) Inflorescence stem of an *arf6-2 arf8-3* plant showing arrested flower buds. (D) Scanning electron micrographs of apices of gynoecia of mature wild-type (left) and arrested *arf6-2 arf8-3* (right) flowers of the same age. Scale bar: 0.1 mm. (E) Mature wild-type flower at the stage of self-fertilization. (F) *arf6-2 arf8-3* flower bud untreated (left), or 2-3 days after application of linolenic acid, OPDA or jasmonic acid. In E and F, buds were dissected to reveal internal organs.

---

**Fig. 3.** Lengths of wild-type, *arf6-2*, *arf8-3* and *arf6-2 arf8-3* floral organs±s.d. Data were gathered from flower bunches of the main inflorescence stem from seven 33-day-old plants of each genotype. The left panel shows organ lengths of the youngest flower bud of 2 mm length. The right panel shows organ lengths of the youngest flowers that were releasing pollen (anthesis). For the *arf6-2 arf8-3* double mutant (which does not undergo anthesis), organs of the fourth flower after the youngest 2 mm bud were measured. For the other genotypes, numbers of flowers of different size classes are indicated in Table 1.
Table 2. Rescue of arf8-3 fecundity by genomic ARF6 transgene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Proportion of flowers giving seed†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>0.62±0.14 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arf6-2</td>
<td>0.16±0.10 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arf8-3</td>
<td>0.24±0.12 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arf8-3 gARF6</td>
<td>0.72±0.17 (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are mean±s.d. (n).
†For arf8-3 gARF6, data are pooled from T2 progeny of six transformants that segregated roughly 3:1 for hygromycin-resistance, indicating a single transgene locus.

dehisce, and carpels become fully competent to receive pollen (Fig. 2E). By contrast, arf6-2 arf8-3 mutant flowers arrested at stage 12 as infertile closed buds (Fig. 2B,C). Petals, stamen filaments and carpels each ceased to elongate (Fig. 3). Epidermal cells of double mutant stamen filaments were about half as long as those of wild-type filaments (13 compared with 24 μm), indicating that decreased cell expansion caused the short filaments. Anthers failed to dehisce and release pollen grains visible within the locules (Fig. 2F, left panel). In the carpels, the mutant stigmatic papillae were shorter than in wild-type flowers (Fig. 2D). Wild-type pollen could germinate on these stigmas, and pollen tubes grew to a limited extent. However, fertilization occurred at much lower frequency than on wild-type flowers (Fig. 2D). Wild-type pollen could germinate on these stigmas, and pollen tubes grew to a limited extent. However, fertilization occurred at much lower frequency than on wild-type flowers (Fig. 2D). Wild-type pollen could germinate on these stigmas, and pollen tubes grew to a limited extent. However, fertilization occurred at much lower frequency than on wild-type flowers (Fig. 2D). Wild-type pollen could germinate on these stigmas, and pollen tubes grew to a limited extent. However, fertilization occurred at much lower frequency than on wild-type flowers (Fig. 2D). Wild-type pollen could germinate on these stigmas, and pollen tubes grew to a limited extent. However, fertilization occurred at much lower frequency than on wild-type flowers (Fig. 2D).

Among self-progeny of sesquimutants, all arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant plants had twisted leaves, short inflorescence stems and closed flower buds, and produced no seed; all sesquimutant plants had open flowers but produced almost no seed; and all arf6-2 and arf8-3 single mutants produced seed (Table 3). Thus, closed flower buds and infertility were completely linked to both the arf6-2 and arf8-3 mutations. In addition, a transgene carrying the genomic ARF6 gene rescued both the strong phenotype of double mutants and the reduced fecundity of sesquimutants (Table 3). Hairpin RNA (hpRNA) constructs (Smith et al., 2000) designed to silence specifically ARF6 or ARF8 recapitulated the double mutant phenotypes. Thus, arf6-2 mutant plants carrying an hpARF6 transgene or arf8-3 plants carrying an hpARF8 transgene resembled arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant plants (see Materials and methods). Finally, we isolated three new arf8 alleles in a screen for enhancers of arf6-2, and these double mutants had the same phenotypes as arf6-2 arf8-3 plants (P. H. Reeves and J.W.R., unpublished).

ARF6 and ARF8 are expressed in multiple flower organs

Microarray data indicate that ARF6 and ARF8 are expressed at multiple floral stages in all flower organs (Schmid et al., 2005) (see below). To examine in more detail where ARF6 and ARF8 may be expressed, we fused 2.1 kb of ARF6 and 2.4 kb of ARF8 promoter sequences to the GUS reporter gene and examined X-gluc staining in transgenic plants carrying these fusions. Both fusions were expressed in flowers at multiple stages. Fig. 4 shows staining patterns at stages 11, 12 and 13, spanning the period of arrest of double mutant flowers. For the PARF6::GUS fusion, sepals had staining at all stages of flower development (Fig. 4A-D). Petals stained strongly at flower stages 9-10 (data not shown) and this petal staining decreased at stage 11 (Fig. 4A) and disappeared after flower stage 12. In anthers, staining appeared at stage 11 in the tapetum (Fig. 4A,H), then disappeared early in stage 12 when the tapetum degrades (Sanders et al., 1999) (Fig. 4B) and reappeared throughout the anther late in stage 12 (Fig. 4C). Anther staining persisted at least until stage 13. In stamen filaments, staining appeared at stage 11-12, and persisted through stage 13, especially near the apical end of the filament (Fig. 4B,D). Staining appeared throughout the gynoecium at early stages up to stage 12, and was especially strong in ovules (Fig. 4A-C). Gynoecium staining weakened somewhat late in stage 12, but persisted through stage 13, especially near the apical end including the style (Fig. 4D).

The patterns for PARF6::GUS (Fig. 4E-G,I) were similar to those for PARF6::GUS. PARF6::GUS staining appeared in sepals at all stages, and in petals at stages 9-10. Anthers stained in the tapetum at stage 11 (Fig. 4E,I), and throughout the anther at stages 12-13 (Fig. 4F,G). Filament staining appeared at stage 12 and persisted through stage 13 (Fig. 4F,G). Gynoecium staining weakened throughout at stage 11, and this staining decreased at stages 12-13 (Fig. 4E-G).

These expression patterns correlate with the timing of anther and gynoecium arrest in arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant flowers,

Table 3. Segregation of floral fecundity phenotypes among self-progeny of plants carrying arf6-2 and arf8-3 mutations, with and without a segregating genomic ARF6 transgene (gARF6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-fertilized parent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Fecund*</th>
<th>Semi-fecund†</th>
<th>Infertile‡</th>
<th>χ² (1:2:1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>arf6-2/arf6-2 arf8-3/ARF8</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>22 (18%)</td>
<td>63 (53%)</td>
<td>34 (29%)</td>
<td>2.8 (P&gt;0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arf6-2/ARF6 arf8-3/ARF8</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>51 (26%)</td>
<td>93 (48%)</td>
<td>49 (25%)</td>
<td>0.3 (P&gt;0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arf6-2/ARF6 arf8-3/ARF8, all progeny§</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>249 (71%)</td>
<td>82 (23%)</td>
<td>19 (5%)</td>
<td>401 (P&lt;0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arf6-2/ARF6 arf8-3/ARF8, Hyg§ progeny§</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>188 (88%)</td>
<td>25 (12%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>457 (P&lt;0.005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fecund individuals produced abundant seed; although in many cases less than did wild-type plants.
†Semi-fecund plants had open flowers but only very few flowers produced seed.
‡Infertile plants had twisted leaves, closed flower buds and short inflorescence stems as in Fig. 2C, and produced no seed.
§Genotypes of the segregating locus in each plant among self-progeny of plants carrying an arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant plants (see Materials and methods).

Finally, we isolated three new arf8 alleles in a screen for enhancers of arf6-2, and these double mutants had the same phenotypes as arf6-2 arf8-3 plants (P. H. Reeves and J.W.R., unpublished).

ARF6 and ARF8 are expressed in multiple flower organs

Microarray data indicate that ARF6 and ARF8 are expressed at multiple floral stages in all flower organs (Schmid et al., 2005) (see below). To examine in more detail where ARF6 and ARF8 may be expressed, we fused 2.1 kb of ARF6 and 2.4 kb of ARF8 promoter sequences to the GUS reporter gene and examined X-gluc staining in transgenic plants carrying these fusions. Both fusions were expressed in flowers at multiple stages. Fig. 4 shows staining patterns at stages 11, 12 and 13, spanning the period of arrest of double mutant flowers. For the PARF6::GUS fusion, sepals had staining at all stages of flower development (Fig. 4A-D). Petals stained strongly at flower stages 9-10 (data not shown) and this petal staining decreased at stage 11 (Fig. 4A) and disappeared after flower stage 12. In anthers, staining appeared at stage 11 in the tapetum (Fig. 4A,H), then disappeared early in stage 12 when the tapetum degrades (Sanders et al., 1999) (Fig. 4B) and reappeared throughout the anther late in stage 12 (Fig. 4C). Anther staining persisted at least until stage 13. In stamen filaments, staining appeared at stage 11-12, and persisted through stage 13, especially near the apical end of the filament (Fig. 4B,D). Staining appeared throughout the gynoecium at early stages up to stage 12, and was especially strong in ovules (Fig. 4A-C). Gynoecium staining weakened somewhat late in stage 12, but persisted through stage 13, especially near the apical end including the style (Fig. 4D).

The patterns for PARF6::GUS (Fig. 4E-G,I) were similar to those for PARF6::GUS. PARF6::GUS staining appeared in sepals at all stages, and in petals at stages 9-10. Anthers stained in the tapetum at stage 11 (Fig. 4E,I), and throughout the anther at stages 12-13 (Fig. 4F,G). Filament staining appeared at stage 12 and persisted through stage 13 (Fig. 4F,G). Gynoecium staining weakened throughout at stage 11, and this staining decreased at stages 12-13 (Fig. 4E-G).

These expression patterns correlate with the timing of anther and gynoecium arrest in arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant flowers,
and suggest that ARF6 and ARF8 are active in anthers and filaments at stage 12 and in gynoecia and ovules at stages 11 and 12. Nevertheless, additional factors such as the microRNA \( \text{miR}167 \), which targets ARF6 and ARF8 transcripts (Allen et al., 2005; Kasschau et al., 2003), or other post-transcriptional effects, may also influence the timing and location of ARF6 and ARF8 activity in flowers.

**Gene expression changes in arf6 arf8 flowers**

To identify regulatory changes that occur during flower maturation, we used Affymetrix microarrays (Redman et al., 2004) to assess global gene expression patterns in wild-type, sesquimutant \((\text{arf6-2/arf6-2 arf8-3/ARF8})\) and \(\text{arf6-2 arf8-3}\) double mutant flowers. We isolated RNA from pooled flower buds of stages 1-10 (closed buds shorter than 2 mm, also containing a small amount of stem tissue), stages 11-12 (unopened/opening, corresponding to the stage at which \(\text{arf6-2 arf8-3}\) flowers arrest), or stages 13-14 (flowers open but organs not yet fallen off), as well as the tops of the inflorescence stem bearing these flowers (Smyth et al., 1990). As \(\text{arf6-2 arf8-3}\) double mutant flowers do not open, for stage 13-14 double mutant flowers we used unopened buds immediately beneath the youngest stage 11-12 flowers, in the position where stage 13-14 flowers would have been in wild-type inflorescences. In wild-type flowers, of the 12,300 genes with robust expression levels (see Materials and methods), 1715 differed at least 2.5-fold in expression levels between different floral stages. Table S1 (in the supplementary material) lists these genes and their expression levels at each stage in the three genotypes. Three-hundred and eighty-seven of these genes were expressed at their highest level in stage 1-10 flowers, 417 were expressed at their highest level at stages 11-12, and 911 were expressed at their highest level at stages 13-14 (Fig. 5A). Three-hundred and ninety-one were also expressed in stems. One-thousand four-hundred and twenty (83%) of these genes also had stage-specific expression in a recent study that included floral stages 9, 12 and 15 (Schmid et al., 2005). These results indicate that roughly 14% of expressed genes in flowers change in expression level according to developmental stage.

In the \(\text{arf6-2/arf6-2 arf8-3/ARF8}\) sesquimutant, 473 genes were underexpressed and 331 genes were overexpressed at one or more stages compared to wild-type flowers (Fig. 5B,C). Among the developmentally regulated genes in wild-type flowers, 485 (28%) of the genes were no longer differentially regulated at different floral stages (Fig. 5A). The intermediate number of genes affected in the \(\text{arf6-2/arf6-2 arf8-3/ARF8}\) sesquimutant shows that \(\text{ARF6}\) and \(\text{ARF8}\) gene dose affects the global gene expression pattern quantitatively, consistent with the intermediate phenotype of sesquimutant flowers.

Moreover, 160 additional stage 13-14 genes were still developmentally regulated in the double mutant before stages 11-12 but no longer increased at stages 13-14 as they did in wild-type flowers. These results indicate that ARF6 and ARF8 control, directly or indirectly, roughly one in 13 genes that are expressed in flowers, and roughly half of developmentally regulated genes in opening flowers. Similar proportions of stage 1-10, stage 11-12, and stage 13-14 genes (defined based on the stage of highest expression in wild-type flowers) were affected (Fig. 5A).

In the \(\text{arf6-2/arf6-2 arf8-3/ARF8}\) sesquimutant, 473 genes were underexpressed and 331 genes were overexpressed at one or more stages compared to wild-type flowers (Fig. 5B,C). Among the developmentally regulated genes in wild-type flowers, 485 (28%) of the genes were no longer differentially regulated at different floral stages (Fig. 5A). The intermediate number of genes affected in the \(\text{arf6-2/arf6-2 arf8-3/ARF8}\) sesquimutant shows that \(\text{ARF6}\) and \(\text{ARF8}\) gene dose affects the global gene expression pattern quantitatively, consistent with the intermediate phenotype of sesquimutant flowers.

**arf6 arf8 double mutant flowers have decreased auxin response**

ARF6 and ARF8 can mediate auxin-induced gene activation (Ulmasov et al., 1999a). To determine whether altered auxin response might underlie the developmental arrest in \(\text{arf6-2}\)
Fig. 5. Effects of arf6-2 and arf8-3 mutations on gene expression in flowers. (A) Numbers of genes with expression that differs by at least 2.5-fold between different stages in wild-type flowers, grouped by stage of highest expression, together with numbers of genes in each wild-type expression class that were also developmentally regulated in arf6-2/arf6-2 arf8-3/ARF8 sesquimutant and arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant flowers. (B,C) Venn diagrams showing numbers of genes that were underepressed (B) or overexpressed (C) at different stages in arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant flowers, and in arf6-2/arf6-2 arf8-3/ARF8 sesquimutant flowers (in parentheses). (D) Gene expression in response to auxin and jasmonic acid. Wild-type or arf6-2 arf8-3 mutant mature flowering plants were mock-treated or treated with IAA or JA for the times indicated, and blots of RNA from inflorescence apices were hybridized with the indicated probes.

arf8-3 flower development, we analyzed global gene expression responses to exogenous auxin in wild-type and mutant whole floral apices using Affymetrix microarrays (see Materials and methods). Expression of 35 genes was increased at least twofold in wild-type floral apices after a 30 minute auxin treatment but changed less than 1.5-fold after a mock treatment (Table S3 in the supplementary material). Of these 35 genes, just 23 responded to auxin in the sesquimutant and 14 responded to auxin in the double mutant. Of the 21 genes that auxin induced in wild-type but not double mutant floral apices, seven (SAUR62, SAUR63, SAUR64, SAUR65, SAUR67, SHY2/IAA3 and IAA4) were underexpressed in the double mutant at one or more stages in the absence of exogenous auxin and were developmentally regulated at different stages in wild-type flowers (see Tables S1, S2, S3 in the supplementary material). RNA blot hybridization experiments that included a 2-hour time point also showed a decrease in auxin induction in the double mutant – treatment with exogenous auxin induced the IAA1, IAA2, IAA4, IAA5 and IAA16 genes in flowers of wild-type plants, but induced these genes much less in arf6-2 arf8-3 flowers (Fig. 5D). These data indicate that ARF6 and ARF8 are required for maximal auxin response in developing flowers, and raise the possibility that auxin induces flower maturation. We also observed that auxin induced 165 genes in double mutant flowers that it did not induce in wild-type flowers. This finding suggests that flower arrest may affect auxin response secondarily, and that other ARFs are active in arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant flowers.

To assess whether an increase in auxin level in flowers might regulate flower maturation, we measured levels of auxin in the same staged buds used for the developmental time course microarray experiments. As shown in Table 4, in wild-type flower buds auxin levels did not change appreciably with developmental stage. Levels were higher in double mutant than wild-type flowers, especially at stages 1-10. This may be an indirect regulatory effect of decreased auxin response, as other auxin-resistant mutants (such as axr1) have increased auxin levels (Nordstrom et al., 2004), and ARF8 has previously been suggested to regulate seedling auxin levels by inducing genes encoding auxin conjugation enzymes (Tian et al., 2004). Thus, an overall increase in free auxin in flowers probably does not induce flower maturation under the growth conditions used.

Table 4. Levels of IAA and JA in floral buds of different genotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flower stage</th>
<th>Concentration of IAA (ng/g frozen weight)±s.d.*</th>
<th>Concentration of JA (pmole/g frozen weight)±s.d.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>arf6-2/arf6-2 ARF8/arf8-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>59.6±11.5</td>
<td>67.9±9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>42.0±2.4</td>
<td>64.7±19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-14</td>
<td>54.2±5.5</td>
<td>44.8±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In each case means are values of three measurements±s.d.
†0 indicates JA concentration below the detection limit.
in synthesis of the growth regulator jasmonic acid (JA) opened more slowly than did wild-type buds, and anthers of these mutant flowers failed to dehisce, although the flowers were female fertile (Ishiguro et al., 2001; Sanders et al., 2000; Stintzi and Browse, 2000). These observations suggested that the arf6-2 arf8-3 phenotypes may be related to decreased JA production or response. To test this idea, we measured JA levels in wild-type and mutant flowers, and we assessed whether JA could rescue aspects of the arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant phenotype.

We measured JA levels in the same staged flower buds used for the developmental time course microarray experiment. In wild-type flowers, JA levels increased 6.7-fold between stage 1-10 and stage 11-12 buds, and then decreased between stages 11-12 and 13-14 (Table 4). The peak of JA production at stages 11-12, just before anther dehiscence and bud opening, coincides with the timing of the JA requirement revealed by phenotypes of JA-deficient mutants.

The arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant flowers had a JA level below the detection limit at all stages (Table 4), indicating that normal JA production in flowers requires ARF6 and ARF8. This activity was specific to flowers, as arf6-2 arf8-3 leaves had wild-type JA levels (data not shown). Sesquimutant flowers, which do not arrest at stage 12, also had a peak JA level at stages 11-12, but had lower JA levels than wild-type flowers at all stages. This result suggests that the level of ARF6 and ARF8 determines the level of JA production, consistent with the possibility that ARF6 and ARF8 regulate one or more genes required for JA production. Several JA biosynthetic pathway genes were underexpressed in double mutant stage 11-12 buds (Table S1 in the supplementary material), including LOX2 encoding a lipooxygenase (At3g45140, 1.9-fold higher in wild-type than double mutant stage 11-12 buds), AOS encoding allene oxide synthase (At5g42650, 2.7-fold higher) and OPR3 encoding OPDA reductase (At2g06050, 3.2-fold higher). The promoters of LOX2 and AOS each have two AuxRE motifs within 40 bp of each other and the promoter of OPR3 has two pairs of AuxRE motifs, suggesting that ARF6 and ARF8 might bind directly to these promoters. However, although auxin can induce LOX2 and AOS in seedlings (Tiryaki and Staswick, 2002), these genes were not induced by auxin in our experiment (see Table S3 in the supplementary material). Moreover, as JA also induces these genes (Bell and Mullet, 1993; Costa et al., 2000; Kubisteltig et al., 1999) these data do not distinguish whether ARF6 and ARF8 induce these genes directly, or whether the higher JA level in wild-type buds induces them. Indeed, exogenous JA induced the JA-responsive OPR3 gene normally in arf6-2 arf8-3 mutant flowers (Fig. 5D), indicating that double mutant flowers could respond to JA, and suggesting that a low JA level could have caused the decreased OPR3 expression in the double mutant. The DAD1 gene encoding a phospholipase required for JA production in stamen filaments beginning at stage 12 (Ishiguro et al., 2001), suggesting that it could be a target of ARF6 and ARF8. However, we have failed to find evidence for altered DAD1 level in arf6-2 arf8-3 flowers (data not shown).

JA feeding experiments revealed that the decrease in JA production contributed to the arf6-2 arf8-3 mutant phenotype. Exogenous JA induced arf6-2 arf8-3 anthers to dehisce (Fig. 2F). When pollen released by anthers of JA-treated arf6-2 arf8-3 flowers was used to fertilize gynoecia of wild-type plants, viable seed were produced, indicating that this JA-rescued pollen was functional. Exogenous JA also increased arf6-2 arf8-3 petal elongation slightly and caused slight but incomplete flower bud opening. By contrast, JA did not rescue the short filaments of arf6-2 arf8-3 stamens, or the developmental defects in carpels. Manual self-pollination of JA-treated arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant plants produced only a small number of seed. Siliques containing these seed often remained green, and the seed were slow to mature and the embryos sometimes had abnormalities such as fused cotyledons. These results suggest that the decreased JA content of double mutant flowers caused the anther dehiscence defect, but did not cause the stamen filament elongation or gynoecium maturation defects. The JA biosynthetic precursors linolenic acid (18:3) and OPDA also induced anther dehiscence (Fig. 2F), whereas other fatty acids [palmitic (16:0), stearic (18:0), oleic (18:1), linoleic (18:2)] did not. Thus, the regulated step may be upstream of linolenic acid. However, the positive feedback of JA synthesis means that these feeding experiments cannot determine the regulated step unambiguously.

**Discussion**

ARF6 and ARF8 regulate multiple events including inflorescence stem elongation, stamen filament elongation, anther dehiscence, stigmatic papillae elongation, gynoecium maturation and flower bud opening. Loss of ARF6 or ARF8 in single mutants caused delayed stamen filament elongation and delayed anther dehiscence leading to decreased self-pollination, whereas loss of both genes in the arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant caused complete male and female infertility. ARF6 and ARF8 are thus essential for *Arabidopsis* reproduction. Moreover, they coordinate both stamen and gynoecium maturation at stage 12, thereby allowing flowers to self-fertilize efficiently. Numerous monocot and dicot species have orthologs of ARF6 and ARF8 (http://www.tigr.org/tdb/tgi/), and these may similarly regulate flower maturation in other plants.

Phenotypes of arf6-2 and arf8-3 single mutants, sesquimutants and arf8-3 gARF6 plants indicate that ARF6 and ARF8 normally act partially redundantly, and that ARF6 and ARF8 gene dosage affects fecundity quantitatively. ARF6 and ARF8 have very similar DNA-binding and dimerization domains (Remington et al., 2004; Ulmasov et al., 1999a), and P<sub>ARF6::GUS</sub> and P<sub>ARF8::GUS</sub> have very similar expression patterns. It therefore seems likely that ARF6 and ARF8 each regulate common promoters, either as homodimers or heterodimers. They diverge significantly in the Q-rich middle domains, which are required for gene activation. Apparently, this divergence does not confer distinct developmental functions on ARF6 and ARF8, although it might affect the strength of their activity.

**Possible regulatory targets of ARF6 and ARF8**

The microarray expression data indicate that arf6-2 and arf8-3 mutations together have a large effect on gene expression at all three developmental stages we analyzed. However, the most relevant regulatory targets may be those that are misexpressed in stage 11-12 buds, when arf6-2 arf8-3 double mutant flowers arrested. As ARF6 and ARF8 are transcriptional activators, the 472 genes underexpressed at this stage are more likely to be
direct targets. Perhaps the strongest candidates for direct ARF6 and ARF8 targets are the seven genes that were auxin-induced in wild-type but not double mutant flowers, developmentally regulated in wild-type flowers, and underexpressed in double mutant buds (see Table S3 in the supplementary material). These genes include five SAUR genes from a single clade, and the sister genes SHY2/IAA3 and IAA4. The functions of SAUR proteins are unknown. IAA3 and IAA4 may dimerize with ARF6 and ARF8 to inhibit gene activation activity, and may constitute a negative feedback loop.

Many genes were expressed at intermediate level in sesquimutant flowers and therefore may be regulated by ARF6 and ARF8 protein concentration. These genes might regulate those phenotypes that ARF6 and ARF8 affect quantitatively, such as stamen development and inflorescence stem elongation. Of the 472 genes that were underexpressed at stages 11-12 in the double mutant, 168 were expressed in the sesquimutant at less than two-thirds (67%) of wild-type level, and are therefore candidates by this criterion. Conversely, genes that were expressed in the sesquimutant at a level close to the level in wild-type flowers are more likely to regulate phenotypes that are not obviously quantitative such as gynoecium maturation, or to have been affected secondarily by the arrest of double mutant flowers at stage 12. These genes might also be regulated preferentially by ARF8 rather than ARF6, and respond to the ARF8 supplied by the single wild-type ARF8 allele present in the sesquimutant.

Phenotypes of arf6-2 arf8-3 flowers and the expression patterns of ARF6 and ARF8 suggest that ARF6 and ARF8 regulate target genes in all floral organs. Most of the 942 genes that were underexpressed in the double mutant were expressed in multiple floral organs in other studies (Schmid et al., 2005; Wellmer et al., 2004), suggesting that ARF6 and ARF8 regulate a common set of genes in each organ. However, some of the genes were expressed specifically in particular floral organs, indicating that ARF6 and ARF8 also have organ-specific effects. For example, in the Schmid et al. (Schmid et al., 2005) dataset, 811 of the 942 genes were expressed in multiple floral organs, 59 were stamen specific and 13 were carpel specific. Further work may reveal how ARF6 and ARF8 interact with organ-specific factors to regulate different genes in different organs. The regulatory targets of ARF6 and ARF8 that are most relevant to its function in reproduction may be expressed in stamens and carpels.

JA feeding studies and JA measurements indicate that ARF6 and ARF8 regulate anther dehiscence by inducing JA production (or decreasing JA conjugation or breakdown). Several genes encoding JA biosynthetic enzymes are underexpressed in the double mutant, suggesting that gene expression changes underlie decreased JA production, although the positive feedback of JA synthesis means that the gene expression and feeding studies could not reveal the primary step at which ARF6 and ARF8 regulate JA level. JA in turn promotes flower bud outgrowth (Benkova et al., 2003; Reinhardt et al., 2003). Auxin levels might change more locally, for example, within stamen filaments or ovules, or auxin may only be limiting under certain growth conditions. Increased auxin levels have been observed in flowers of a composite species at the stage of stamen filament elongation (Koning, 1983).

Mutations in the ETTIN/ARF3 and MP/ARF5 genes, encoding two other ARF proteins, affect flower organ numbers, and ettin mutant flowers also have expanded stigma and style (Przemeck et al., 1996; Sessions et al., 1997). These pattering defects occur at an earlier stage than the arf6-2 arf8-3 flower arrest at stage 12, and arf6-2 arf8-3 flowers had normal organ numbers and gynoecium patterning. Different auxin response factors thus regulate patterning and flower maturation, two very distinct aspects of flower development. These results imply that different ARF proteins may have some specificity in the promoters they bind or their interacting partners (Weljers et al., 2005).
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