LRP2/megalin is required for patterning of the ventral telencephalon
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Summary

Megalin is a low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein (LRP2) expressed in the neuroepithelium and the yolk sac of the early embryo. Absence of megalin expression in knockout mice results in holoprosencephaly, indicating an essential yet unidentified function in forebrain development. We used mice with complete or conditional megalin gene inactivation in the embryo to demonstrate that expression of megalin in the neuroepithelium but not in the yolk sac is crucial for brain development. During early forebrain development, megalin deficiency leads to an increase in bone morphogenic protein (Bmp) 4 expression and signaling in the rostral dorsal neuroepithelium, and a subsequent loss of sonic hedgehog (Shh) expression in the ventral forebrain. As a consequence of absent SHH activity, ventrally derived oligodendroglial and interneuronal cell populations are lost in the forebrain of megalin+/− embryos. Similar defects are seen in models with enhanced signaling through BMPs, central regulators of neural tube patterning. Because megalin mediates endocytic uptake and degradation of BMP4, these findings indicate a role for megalin in neural tube specification, possibly by acting as BMP4 clearance receptor in the neuroepithelium.
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Introduction

Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor-related proteins (LRPs) are multifunctional cell surface receptors structurally related to the LDL receptor (Nykjaer and Willnow, 2002). Initially considered endocytic receptors involved in lipoprotein metabolism, recent studies revealed important roles for LRPs in the regulation of many signaling processes (Nykjaer and Willnow, 2002; Herz and Bock, 2002). For example, LRP5 and LRP6 bind WNT proteins and WNT inhibitors, and act as coreceptors for Frizzled in determining pattern formation in the early embryo (Pinson et al., 2000; Wehrli et al., 2000; Mao et al., 2001a; Mao et al., 2001b), while apolipoprotein E receptor-2 and very low-density lipoprotein receptor function as receptors for Reelin, a signaling factor that controls neuronal migration in the embryonic brain (Trommsdorf et al., 1999; Hiesberger et al., 1999; D’Arcangelo et al., 1999).

LRP2, or megalin (herein referred to as megalin), is another member of the LDL receptor gene family essential for brain development (Saito et al., 1994; Willnow et al., 1996). At midgestation, the receptor is expressed in the neuroepithelium of the embryo as well as in the visceral endoderm of the yolk sac (Willnow et al., 1996; Zheng et al., 1994). Targeted disruption of the respective gene in the mouse results in holoprosencephalic syndrome and in perinatal lethality (Willnow et al., 1996). However, the exact mechanism by which megalin contributes to forebrain formation, and why holoprosencephaly (HPE) ensues in megalin-deficient mice, is still unclear.

Holoprosencephaly is defined as a failure of the prosencephalon (forebrain) to separate along the mid-sagittal axis into discrete hemispheres. This defect is likely to be the result of defective patterning during development involving improper specification of the rostral portion of the neural tube. Many genetic pathways involved in neural tube patterning have been implicated in the etiology of HPE (Wallis and Muenke, 1999). In particular, alterations in pathways that specify the dorsoventral axis of the rostral neural tube may cause this syndrome. For example, increased dorsal signaling through bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs), members of the transforming growth factor β superfamily, and through WNT proteins, results in HPE (Golden et al., 1999; Wallis et al., 1999; Anderson et al., 2002; Lagutin et al., 2003). Also, loss of sonic hedgehog (SHH) expression, a factor that specifies the ventral neural tube, leads to holoprosencephalic syndrome in humans and in mice (Chiang et al., 1996; Roessler et al., 1996).

Concerning a role for megalin in forebrain development, some evidence suggests involvement of the receptor in the SHH pathway (McCarthy et al., 2002; Farese and Herz, 1998). Sonic hedgehog is a secreted protein expressed in the notochord and the floorplate that undergoes autocatalytic cleavage into a 19 kDa amino terminal (SHH-N) and a 25 kDa carboxyl terminal (SHH-C) domain. During cleavage, a cholesterol moiety becomes covalently attached to SHH-N, the active fragment that binds to the receptor Patched (Ptc1) and activates downstream SHH target genes through Smoothened (Smo). Cholesterol modification of SHH-N is crucial for proper activity, perhaps by spatially restricting SHH activity (Porter et al., 1996). Expression of megalin in the yolk sac and its ability to take up lipoproteins suggests a possible function for the receptor in delivery of cholesterol-rich lipoproteins.
from the maternal circulation to the embryo for SHH activation (Willnow et al., 1992; Farese and Herz, 1998). Alternatively, expression of megalin in the neuroepithelium and its ability to bind SHH indicates a more direct effect as a co-receptor in SHH signaling (McCarthy et al., 2002).

In the present study, we used mice in which the megalin gene was ubiquitously inactivated (including yolk sac and neuroepithelium) or conditional mutants with loss of megalin only in the neuroepithelium to examine the contribution of the receptor in these tissues to forebrain formation. Sustained expression of megalin in the yolk sac did not rescue mice from HPE, indicating that a receptor activity in the neuroepithelium is essential for normal forebrain development. In the neuroepithelium, megalin activity affects dorsal and ventral patterning of the forebrain, and promotes ventral cell fate specification.

Materials and methods

Animals

The generation of mice with targeted disruption of the megalin gene has been described (Willnow et al., 1996). The megalin gene defect was analyzed in receptor-deficient and in somite-matched wild-type littermates on a hybrid (129Sv/EvTac x C57BL/6N) and on an inbred CD1 genetic background. Both lines gave identical results. For breeding of megalin mutants, animals were used for phenotypic analysis following genotyping of littermates on a hybrid (129SvEvTac x C57Bl/6J) and on an inbred strain (C57BL/6N) and on an inbred strain (C57BL/6J). The generation of mice with targeted disruption of the megalin gene was essentially as described (Hammes et al., 2001). The localization of signals was studied in situ hybridization was carried out essentially as described (Hilpert et al., 1999). For cell uptake studies, BMP4 was iodinated according to the IODOGEN protocol (specific activity 3.1 x 10^8 cp/mg). Replica monolayers of rat choriocarcinoma (BN16) cells were incubated for 2 hours with 1.6 ng/ml of 125I-BMP4 in the presence of buffer, 200 µg/ml chloroquine, or 100 µg/ml RAP. Degradation of ligand was determined as the total amount of 125I-labeled trihalochromic acid-soluble material released into the culture medium and expressed as picogram ligand per mg of total cell protein (Hilpert et al., 1999).

Histological and immunohistological analysis

Embryos or yolk sac tissues were dissected from pregnant mice at designated time points, fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C, and embedded in paraffin. Routine paraffin sections were cut at 2-10 µm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). For immunohistology, sections were incubated with sheep anti-megalin serum (1:50; BabCO, Richmond, USA) or rabbit anti-phospho-Smad1/5/8 (1:100; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, USA) antisera followed by secondary peroxidase- (1:100; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) or Cy3- or Cy5-conjugated antibodies (1:400; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Baltimore Pike, USA). Nuclei were counterstained with 1 µM YO-PRO-1 iodide (Molecular Probes, Eugene, USA).

In-situ hybridization

Embryos were dissected and fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C. Further processing of the embryos and whole-mount in-situ hybridization was carried out essentially as described (Hammes et al., 2001). The localization of signals was studied in whole embryos or in plastic sections thereof. Plasmids for generating in-situ probes were kindly provided by W. Birchmeier [MDC, Berlin; Nkx2.1 (Melo - Mouse Genome Informatics), Olig2, Wnt1, Meox1, Fgfl], J. Huelsken [ISREC, Epalinges; Pch1, Bmp4, Wnt7, Gli2, Gli3], A. Joyner [Mount Sinai Research Institute, Toronto; Gli1], J. L. R. Rubenstein [UCSF, San Francisco; Dlx2], A. P. McMahon [Harvard University, Cambridge; Shh], and B. Hogan [Vanderbilt University Medical School, Nashville; Hnf3b (Foxa2 - Mouse Genome Informatics)], or generated from first strand mouse cDNA (Pax6, Pax2, Smo, Plp2).

Apoptosis and proliferation assays

Embryonic day 10.5 embryos were dissected, fixed, paraffin-embedded and sectioned as described above. After de-waxing and rehydration, the sections were incubated with 20 µg/ml proteinase K in 10 mmol/l Tris/HCl, pH 7.4 for 20 minutes at 37°C. Detection of apoptotic cells was performed using the TUNEL reaction kit (www.Roche.com) following manufacturer's instructions. For detection of proliferation, paraffin sections were incubated with rabbit anti-phosphohistone H3 antibody (1:200; Upstate, Lake Placid) followed by secondary Cy3-conjugated sheep anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma, www.sigma.com), and detection by immunofluorescence microscopy.

BIAcore analysis and cell culture experiments

Carrier-free preparations of recombinant human BMP4 and BMP5 were purchased from Research and Development (www.RnDSys.com). Recombinant His-tagged RAP was produced and purified from bacteria by standard Ni²⁺ affinity chromatography. As a source for WNT1 we used conditioned medium from Rat-2 cells infected with a retroviral Wnt1 expression construct that excrete large amounts of the protein (Kat-2/WNT1) and compared it with medium from cells infected with the empty expression vector (Kat-2/MV7) (cell lines kindly provided by A. M. C. Brown, Cornell University, New York) (Giarre et al., 1998). Interaction of megalin with BMP4, BMP5 and RAP (0.5-1 µmol/l) or WNT1 (20-fold concentrated medium) was tested by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) as described before (Hilpert et al., 1999).

Results

Megalin deficiency impairs forebrain development around mid-gestation

Mice homozygous for a targeted disruption of the megalin gene suffer from malformations of the forebrain and mid-face structures consistent with features observed in holoprosencephalic syndrome (Willnow et al., 1996). By external inspection, megalin−/− newborns are characterized by severe facial dysgenesis, in particular by a shortened snout and incompletely developed or absent eyes (Fig. 1B). Histological sections of the brain reveal improper separation of the forebrain hemispheres and extended lateral ventricles (Fig. 1D) that may even be fused to a single central cavity (Fig. 1F). In agreement with observations in other models of HPE, the phenotypic features are variable and may include lack of the corpus callosum, absence of the olfactory bulbs and fusion of forebrain hemispheres. Occasionally, phenotypes resembling an exencephalus are observed (Willnow et al., 1996).

To identify the exact time point in development that phenotypic abnormalities are first apparent in megalin-deficient embryos, we characterized earlier developmental stages in this mouse line. At embryonic day (E) 14.5, knockout embryos exhibited a full spectrum of malformations that ranged from enlarged ventricles (Fig. 1H) to exencephalus and a common ventricular system (Fig. 1I). At E12.5, megalin−/− embryos were characterized by a small telencephalon (not shown) and a thin-walled neuroepithelium (Fig. 1M, arrowhead). The reduction in neuroepithelial wall thickness
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During early development, megalin is expressed in the visceral endoderm of the yolk sac and in the neuroepithelium (Fig. 2A,C). Conceivably, lack of megalin expression in either the embryonic or the extra-embryonic tissues (or both) may be responsible for the brain malformations in knockout mice. To dissect the contributions of both tissues to the occurrence of forebrain defects, we used conditional gene targeting to inactivate the megalin gene specifically in the embryo proper and retain gene expression in the yolk sac. To do so, we employed a mouse line carrying a megalin gene modified by loxP sites (megalin<sup>lox/lo</sup>) that had been used successfully before to achieve tissue-specific receptor gene inactivation (Leheste et al., 2003). megalin<sup>lox/lo</sup> animals were bred with mice carrying the cre recombinase gene under control of the mesenchyme homeobox 2 gene promoter (Meox2<sup>tm1(cre)Sor</sup>), directing Cre gene expression to the epiblast (Tallquist and Soriano, 2000). Consistent with this strategy, embryos homozygous for the loxP-modified megalin gene and carrying the Cre transgene (megalin<sup>lox/lo</sup>/Meox2<sup>tm1(cre)Sor</sup>) lacked expression of the receptor in the neuroepithelium (Fig. 2D) but not in the yolk sac (Fig. 2B). Despite normal expression of megalin in the visceral endoderm of the yolk sac, megalin<sup>lox/lo</sup>/Meox2<sup>tm1(cre)Sor</sup> embryos suffered from similar brain defects to megalin<sup>−/−</sup> embryos (Fig. 2E,F), demonstrating that megalin activity in the embryo proper is required for brain development.

Altered proliferation in the rostral ventral neural tube of megalin<sup>−/−</sup> embryos

Forebrain anomalies in megalin-deficient embryos resembled phenotypes seen in models with altered dorsoventral patterning of the rostral neural tube. Therefore, we focused further studies on a role of the receptor in brain development at embryonic stages E9.5 to 10.5, when the forebrain vesicles subdivide. Because Cre-mediated inactivation of the megalin gene in the epiblast of megalin<sup>lox/lo</sup>/Meox2<sup>tm1(cre)Sor</sup> embryos was inefficient and yielded few embryos with complete loss of receptor expression in the neuroepithelium, we mainly used
megalin$^{+/−}$ animals for this analysis. However, we confirmed key findings in mice with epiblast-specific receptor gene defect.

Given the reduction in neuroepithelial wall thickness in knockout embryos (Fig. 1R), we first analyzed mitotic activity in this tissue. Decreased proliferation was observed in a restricted area of the rostral ventral neural tube, as evidenced by the number of nuclei that stained positive for phosphohistone (8.3±1.1 SD versus 22.7±2 SD mitotic cells per microscopic field; $P=0.001$; $n=3$ animals for each genotype) (Fig. 3B,E). Other areas of the neural tube were not affected (data not shown). Furthermore, TUNEL assays did not reveal any discernable difference in the number of apoptotic cells at E10.5 in the ventral neuroepithelium (5.0±0.5 SD versus 4.7±0.6 SD apoptotic nuclei per microscopic field, $P=0.64$) (Fig. 3C,F) or the dorsal neuroepithelium (not shown).

Fig. 2. Forebrain abnormalities and megalin expression pattern in E14.5 embryos with conditional megalin gene inactivation. (A-D) Immunohistological detection of megalin in yolk sac (A,B) and neuroepithelium (C,D) of E14.5 embryos. megalin$^{lox/lox}$ embryos express the receptor in the yolk sac (A) and the neuroepithelium (C), whereas megalin$^{lox/lox/Meo2^{tm1(cre)Sor}}$ embryos express the receptor in the yolk sac (B) but not in the neuroepithelium (D). (E,F) Exencephalus in E14.5 embryos with ubiquitous (megalin$^{−/−}$) (E) or with epiblast-specific (megalin$^{lox/lox/Meo2^{tm1(cre)Sor}}$) megalin gene knockout (F).

Fig. 3. Analysis of cell proliferation and apoptosis in the neural tube of E10.5 embryos. Coronal sections through the rostral ventral neural tube of wild-type (A-C) and megalin knockout embryos (D-F) stained with H+E (A,D), or analyzed for cell proliferation (B,E) (anti-phosphohistone H3 immunofluorescence) or apoptosis (C,F) (TUNEL assay). The number of mitotic cells is reduced in the rostroventral neural tube of the megalin$^{−/−}$ embryo (E, arrowheads) compared with the control (B, arrowheads). No difference is seen in the number of apoptotic nuclei in both genotypes (C,F; arrowheads).

Fig. 4. Shh expression in wild-type and megalin$^{−/−}$ embryos. (A,B) Whole-mount in-situ hybridization (ISH) in E9.5 wild type (A) and megalin-deficient embryos (B), demonstrating an identical expression pattern for Shh in floorplate and ventral prosencephalon. (C,D) Whole-mount ISH for Shh in E10.5 embryos, indicating expression in the ventral telencephalon of the wild type (C, arrowhead) but not in the ventral telencephalic region anterior to the optic recess of megalin$^{−/−}$ embryos (D). (E,F) Frontal head aspects of wild type (E) and megalin$^{−/−}$ E10.5 embryos (F), depicting Shh expression in the AEP (arrowheads) of wild-type but not knockout animals. Expression of Shh in other areas of the forebrain, such as in the basal plate of the diencephalon (bpd) or the zona limitans intrathalamica, is identical in both. (G-K) ISH for Shh on coronal sections of the rostral (G,H) and the caudal (I,K) neural tube. Shh is expressed in the AEP of the rostroventral neural tube of wild-type (G) but not megalin$^{−/−}$ E10.5 embryos (H), while expression in caudal regions of the neural tube is identical in wild-type (I) and knockout tissues (K). bpd, basal plate of the diencephalon; fp, floorplate; or, optic recess; te, telencephalon; vp, ventral prosencephalon; Zli, Zona limitans intrathalamica.
Absence of Shh expression in the AEP of megalin−/− embryos

Because SHH stimulates proliferation of cell populations in the rostral ventral forebrain, we tested for alterations in the expression of this morphogen as a possible reason for the defects in megalin-deficient embryos. At E9.5, Shh is expressed in the notochord, floorplate and ventral prosencephalon. No differences in this pattern were seen when comparing wild-type and knockout embryos (Fig. 4A,B). However, the situation changed at E10.5. While expression of Shh in most embryonic tissues, including notochord, floorplate, limb buds and ventral diencephalon, was unaffected in megalin−/− embryos, specific loss of the Shh signal in the ventral region of the telencephalon anterior to the optic recess was observed (Fig. 4C,D). By close inspection of frontal head aspects, the Shh signal lacking in megalin knockout embryos localized to a region of the ventral neural tube in wild types defined as the AEP (Fig. 4E, arrowheads). In other regions of the forebrain such as the basal plate of the diencephalon (bpd) and the zona limitans intrathalamica (Zli), Shh expression was identical in wild types and knockouts (Fig. 4E,F). This finding was substantiated on coronal brain sections indicating locally restricted loss of Shh expression in the AEP (Fig. 4H) but not in other Shh expression domains in the ventral neural tube of knockout embryos (Fig. 4K). Specific loss of Shh expression in the AEP was also confirmed in mice with epiblast-specific receptor gene defect (Fig. 5C,D). In wild types, co-expression of megalin and Shh was observed in neuroepithelial cells in this tissue (Fig. 6).

Loss of ventral cell fate in the telencephalon of megalin-deficient embryos

SHH activity is essential to induce proliferation and differentiation of specific cell populations in the progenitor zone of the ventral neural tube (Marin and Rubenstein, 2001; Nery et al., 2001; Fuccillo et al., 2004). SHH signaling is particularly required for the development of oligodendrocyte precursors that originate from the AEP and migrate tangentially into adjacent areas of the forebrain to develop into myelin-forming glia (Marin and Rubenstein, 2001). As seen by in-situ hybridization (ISH) for the mRNA encoding oligodendrocyte transcription factor 2 (Olig2), an early marker of the oligodendroglial lineage, expression of Olig2 in E10.5 wild-type embryos paralleled the pattern for Shh expression in the AEP (Fig. 7A). By contrast, little Olig2 signal was observed in this tissue of megalin−/− embryos, while expression in the thalamus region of the diencephalon was normal (Fig. 7A). Similar findings were obtained for myeloid proteolipid protein (Plp1), another oligodendrocyte marker gene (data not shown).

We also explored the consequence of Shh deficiency on the generation of neural precursor populations that arise in the AEP and MGE, applying ISH for marker genes highly expressed in these cell types at E10.5 (Sussel et al., 1999; Marin et al., 2000; Fuccillo et al., 2004). The Shh-dependent homeobox gene Nkx2.1 is essential for the production of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons and cortical interneurons (Sussel et al., 1999). Dlx2, another SHH-dependent homeobox gene, specifies cells that originate in the ventral telencephalon and migrate tangentially to populate the cerebral cortex, olfactory bulb and hippocampus, where they differentiate into interneurons (Corbin et al., 2001). As shown in Fig. 7B, no expression of Nkx2.1 was detected in the ventral telencephalon of megalin-deficient animals, while expression in other forebrain regions, such as the thalamus of the diencephalon,
was normal. Also, expression of \textit{Dlx2} was absent in the ventral telencephalon and significantly decreased in the thalamus of E10.5 megalin\textsuperscript{-/-} embryos (Fig. 7C). Specific loss of ventral neuronal cell populations was confirmed by the lack of neuron-specific class III \textbeta-tubulin (TuJ1) signals in the ventral, but not in the dorsal, telencephalon of megalin-deficient animals (Fig. 7D).

So far our results had uncovered an obvious effect of megalin deficiency on the specification of ventral cell fate in the rostral ventral forebrain, probably due to locally restricted loss of \textit{Shh} in the AEP. Consistent with normal expression of \textit{Shh} in areas of the forebrain other than the AEP, the expression patterns of the receptor \textit{Ptc1} (Fig. 7E), the signaling component Smo (not shown), or global \textit{Shh} target genes \textit{Hnf3b} (Fig. 7F), \textit{Gli1}, \textit{Gli2} or \textit{Gli3} (not shown) were unchanged in megalin knockout mice.

**Altered dorsal telencephalic patterning in megalin\textsuperscript{-/-} mice**

Given the loss of ventral \textit{Shh}-dependent pathways in megalin\textsuperscript{-/-} embryos, we also tested whether expression of dorsal markers of the developing brain may be deranged in receptor-deficient mice. \textit{Wnt1}, a marker of early forebrain development, is expressed in the dorsal midline of the di- and mesencephalon, and in the isthmus of wild types at E10.5. No difference in expression patterns of \textit{Wnt1} (Fig. 7G) or another WNT ligand, \textit{Wnt7} (data not shown), was seen in knockouts, suggesting normal dorsal patterning of the diencephalon. However, the situation was different when evaluating dorsal markers also found in the telencephalon. \textit{Pax6} is normally expressed in the dorsal part and ventral thalamus of the diencephalon, and the eyes, as well as in the dorsal telencephalon (Fig. 7H). By contrast, in E10.5 megalin\textsuperscript{-/-} embryos \textit{Pax6} expression significantly extended from dorsal areas into ventral areas of the telencephalic neural tube, while expression in the optic vesicles was mostly lost (Fig. 7H).

**Enhanced Bmp4 signaling and aberrant Fgf8 expression in the dorsal midline of megalin\textsuperscript{-/-} mice**

Fibroblast growth factor (Fgf) 8 and bone morphogenic protein (BMP) 4 are morphogens that also play key roles in dorsoventral patterning of the rostral head and that act in precise synergy with SHH to maintain normal prosencephalic development (Schneider et al., 2001; Ohkubo et al., 2002). Intriguingly, expression of both morphogens was also significantly altered in mice lacking megalin.

At E10.5, the expression of \textit{Fgf8} was reduced in the rostral ventral telencephalon but extended from the commissural plate along the midline into more dorsal and caudal regions of the forebrain (Fig. 8A). \textit{Bmp4}, demarcating dorsal midline structures, was also aberrantly expressed at E10.5. In wild types, \textit{Bmp4} expression was restricted to the dorsomedial part of the telencephalon and the dorsal midline of the most anterior diencephalon (Fig. 8B). In mutants, expression in the dorsomedial neuroepithelium of the tel- and diencephalon was significantly increased and extended along the midline into more caudal regions of the roof (Fig. 8B, arrowhead). \textit{Bmp4} overexpression in megalin-deficient embryos resulted in enhanced and ventrally expanded BMP4 signaling in the prosencephalon but not in other areas of the neural tube (such as the spinal cord), as shown by immunodetection of phosphorylated Smad proteins (P-Smad) (Fig. 8C). Similar changes in \textit{Bmp4} and \textit{Fgf8} signals were also seen in E10.5.
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Contrary to the findings obtained with Shh (Fig. 4A,B), alterations in Bmp4 and Fgf8 pathways were already evident at E9.5 in megalin-deficient embryos. Thus, the expression domain of Fgf8 was reduced in the ventral but increased along the dorsal midline of the most anterior diencephalon, whereas in megalin mutants the Bmp4 expression domain extends along the midline into more caudal regions of the roof (arrowhead). Coronal sections (right panel) highlight increased Bmp4 signals in the telencephalon and the anterior diencephalon of knockout compared with control embryos. (C) Immunodetection of P-Smad proteins, indicating a signal in E10.5 wild types that is restricted to the dorsal midline of the tel- and diencephalon (arrowheads) but that is significantly enhanced and ventrally expanded (arrowheads) in megalin−/− littermates. P-Smad signals in the spinal cord are identical in both genotypes. (D) At E9.5, the Fgf8 expression domain in megalin−/− animals is reduced in the ventral (asterisk), but extended into the dorsal, region of the caudal telencephalon (arrowhead). (E-G) At E9.5, expression of Bmp4 is increased in the rostral and dorsal telencephalon (arrowheads) of megalin−/− embryos as shown by whole-mount ISH (E, left panel) or coronal dorsal forebrain sections thereof (E, right panel). Increases in Bmp4 message result in enhanced phosphorylation of Smad proteins (F, arrowheads) and induced expression of Bmp4 target gene Msx1 in the rostral dorsal telencephalon (G, arrowheads). Msx1 expression in the hindbrain region is unchanged (asterisks). di, diencephalon; sp, spinal cord; te, telencephalon.

Megalin acts as an endocytic receptor for BMP4

Based on the distinct alterations in SHH and BMP4-dependent pathways in the neural tube of megalin-deficient embryos, a direct involvement of this receptor in the activity of either morphogen seems plausible. The ability of megalin to bind SHH has been reported before (McCarthy et al., 2002). To also establish a potential role for this receptor in BMP4 signaling, we tested the interaction of the receptor with BMP4 by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis. In this assay, BMP4 strongly bound to megalin, while the related factor BMP5 or WNT1 did not (Fig. 9A), suggesting a possible function for megalin as BMP4 receptor in the early forebrain. Exposure of megalin-expressing (BN16) cells to radiolabeled BMP4 resulted in endocytic uptake and lysosomal degradation of the protein (Fig. 9B). The cellular catabolism of BMP4 was mediated by megalin, because uptake and degradation of 125I-BMP4 was blocked completely by the megalin antagonist receptor-associated protein (RAP) (Christensen et al., 1992; Hilpert et al., 1999) and by chloroquine, an inhibitor of lysosomal degradation (Fig. 9B). Taken together, these findings indicated a possible function of megalin as BMP4 clearance receptor in the neuroepithelium and increased BMP signaling in the forebrain as a consequence of the megalin gene defect.

Discussion

We have explored the function of megalin as a regulator of forebrain development using knockout mouse models. Lack of the receptor results in defects in SHH and BMP4 pathways in the neural tube and in impaired establishment of ventral cell fate, particularly affecting oligodendrogial and interneuronal cell populations. The similarities between megalin knockout mice and animal models with altered SHH or BMP4 activities in the forebrain indicate a crucial role for this receptor in morphogen pathways that specify early dorsoventral patterning of the prosencephalon.
Fig. 9. Megalin mediates binding and cellular catabolism of BMP4. (A) Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis of binding of 0.5 μmol/l BMP4 but not of 0.5 μmol/l BMP5 or WNT1–conditioned medium to purified megalin immobilized on the sensor chip surface (see Materials and methods for details). As a positive control, binding of 1 μmol/l receptor-associated protein (RAP) to megalin was tested. (B) Megalin-expressing BN16 cells mediate uptake and lysosomal degradation of 125I-BMP4. Degradation of BMP4 can be blocked by chloroquine (200 μmol/l) or the receptor-antagonist RAP (100 μg/ml).

The role of megalin in forebrain development: yolk sac or neuroepithelium

Previously, deficiency for megalin in the yolk sac and/or in the neuroepithelium was held responsible for the holoprosencephalic symptoms in megalin<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Willnow et al., 1996; Farese and Herz, 1998; McCarthy and Argraves, 2003). Using Cre-mediated conditional gene inactivation, we generated a mouse line with loss of megalin in the embryo but not in the yolk sac. Surprisingly, restored expression of megalin in the yolk sac did not prevent forebrain malformation (Fig. 2F). The limited number of megalin<sup>lox/lox</sup>/Meox2<sup>tm1(cre)Sor</sup> embryos available (n=15) precluded a detailed comparison of the phenotypes of mice with complete and with epiblast-specific megalin gene deletion. Therefore, we cannot exclude the fact that some phenotypic differences exist in forebrain abnormalities in these two lines that reflect the influence of megalin in the yolk sac on neural tube formation. However, similar alterations in Fgf8, Shh and Bmp4 expression in both lines strongly suggest that megalin deficiency in the neuroepithelium is the main cause of the observed forebrain defects.

Megalin deficiency impairs SHH-dependent ventral cell fate

While the role of megalin in the yolk sac still awaits elucidation, experimental evidence in this study identified the contribution of this receptor in the neuroepithelium to specification of dorsal and ventral signaling pathways in the anterior neural tube. Axial patterning of the neural tube is controlled by secreted factors that have distinct expression domains in the rostral forebrain. In particular, an intricate balance of the morphogens SHH and BMP4 is crucial for specifying dorsoventral forebrain patterning (Sasai and De Robertis, 1997; Ohkubo et al., 2002).

Sonic hedgehog activity defines the ventral neural tube and establishes ventral neuronal and oligodendroglial cell populations (Marin and Rubenstein, 2001; Nery et al., 2001; Rowitch et al., 1999; Lu et al., 2000). Inactivation of the Shh gene in the mouse causes defective axial patterning, cyclopia and absence of ventral cell types (Chiang et al., 1996). Surprisingly, lack of megalin results in loss of Shh expression specifically in the AEP, whereas expression in further caudal regions of the neural tube is not affected (Fig. 4). The identical phenotype is seen in other models of abnormal dorsoventral patterning and HPE, in particular in those with increased BMP4 activity, as discussed below. Why some defects impair Shh activity exclusively in the AEP is unclear at present, but region-specific differences in the molecular mechanism of SHH action in the rostral forebrain compared with more caudal regions, such as the spinal cord, have been clearly established (Dale et al., 1997). The spatially restricted loss of SHH in the AEP may explain why no overt changes in some components of the global Shh signaling pathway (e.g. Gli1, Hnf3b) are detectable in megalin<sup>−/−</sup> embryos at E10.5, and why some defects in Shh knockout mice (such as absence of distal limb structures) are not shared by megalin-deficient animals.

In the AEP, the Shh signal peaks around E10.5, when it overlaps with Olig2 and Nkx2.1, markers of oligodendroglial and neuronal lineages, respectively (Fig. 7). Ectopic expression of Shh locally induces differentiation of oligodendrocytes from ventral neuroepithelial precursors (Nery et al., 2001), a process blocked by anti-SHH antibodies (Orentas et al., 1999). Consistent with loss of Shh in the AEP, megalin-deficient embryos suffer from impaired establishment of neuroepithelial progenitors and from a dramatic reduction in oligodendroglial and interneuronal cell populations, as demonstrated by almost complete absence of Olig2, Nkx2.1, Dlx2 and TuJ1-positive cells in the ventral (but not in the dorsal) forebrain (Fig. 7). Potentially, lack of proliferation, increased cell death or impaired differentiation may be held responsible for the absence of ventral cell fate specification. Decreased mitotic activity can be detected in the AEP of megalin<sup>−/−</sup> embryos; thus, this effect clearly contributes to forebrain anomalies in this mouse line. However, the absence of proliferative defects in other areas of the rostral forebrain and the normal expression of many ventral marker genes (e.g. Ptc1, Smo, Hnf3b, Gli1, Gli2) strongly suggest that alterations in SHH-dependent differentiation processes also play a causative role. This hypothesis is in agreement with recent findings in which SHH signaling was disrupted in the ventral telencephalon of mice at E9.5 using conditional targeting of the Smmo gene. Spatial and temporary specific ablation of ventral SHH activity resulted in a complete absence of oligodendrocytes and interneurons in the ventral forebrain, due to impaired differentiation rather than altered proliferation or apoptosis of progenitor cells (Fuccillo et al., 2004).

Megalin deficiency increases BMP4 activity in the rostral dorsal neural tube

Whereas SHH specifies ventral cell fate, BMPs provide inductive signals for dorsal cell types such as the astrogial lineage (Liem et al., 1995; Gross et al., 1996; Timmer et al., 2002). They act through suppression of cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis (Furuta et al., 1997), and function as potent antagonists of the ventral neural tube by blocking SHH action on dorsal cell types and by inhibiting ventral cell fate.
Development when beads soaked with recombinant BMP4 or BMP5 are
noggin cyclopia and HPE (Golden et al., 1999). In mice genetically
deficient for noggin, a BMP4 antagonist, expression of dorsal
cell fates is normal but Shh-dependent ventral cell fate is lost
progressively (McMahon et al., 1998). Finally, in mice
homozygous for the deletion of Shh expression, specifically in the AEP, and
holoprosencephalic syndrome, a phenotype highly reminiscent
of defects in megalin+/− embryos (Anderson et al., 2002).

As well as with alterations in Bmp4 and Shh pathways, megalin-deficient embryos also present with changes in expression of Fgf8 and Pax6. Fgf8 promotes rather than
induces rostroventral telencephalic fate (Wilson and Houart, 2004), and its expression is considered to be dependent on
coordinated Shh and Bmp signaling (Okubo et al., 2002).
Therefore reduction in ventral Fgf8 gene expression and the
extension of the signal along the dorsal midline probably
reflects secondary alterations in dorsoventral patterning of the
forebrain and abnormalities in formation of midline tissue.
Similarly, the expression of Pax6 into ventral parts of the
telencephalon of receptor-deficient mice is probably a
consequence of absence of SHH in the AEP, as described for
other models with specific loss of Shh expression in the rostral
forebrain (Huh et al., 1999; Gofflot et al., 1999).

The role of megalin in patterning of the rostral
neural tube: a working model
What may be the mechanism whereby megalin affects
dorsoventral specification of the neural tube? Given the
competing action of dorsal and ventral signaling factors on
neural tube patterning one may envision two scenarios. In one
model, the receptor may be essential to induce Shh expression
in cells of the AEP and its absence results in loss of SHH activity
and in impaired ventral cell fate. Thus, overexpression of the
dorsal markers such as BMP4 would be a consequence of the
lack of ventral SHH signals. In an alternative model, megalin
may act as a negative regulator of Bmp4 activity in the dorsal
neuroepithelium and receptor deficiency causes an increase in
dorsal BMP4 signals, an effect known to suppress Shh (Golden
et al., 1999; McMahon et al., 1998; Liem et al., 2000). Based
on the fact that increases in Bmp4 expression and signaling through
phosphorylated Smad proteins at E9.5 clearly precede defects
observed in Shh at E10.5, we favor the latter model.

A role of megalin in suppression of Bmp4 expression is
supported by findings obtained in this study and by work from
others. In the early forebrain, BMP4 is believed to induce its
own expression via a positive feedback loop; thus, increases in
BMP4 activity are expected to induce Bmp4 transcription
(Blitz et al., 2000). Furthermore, the importance of restricting
BMP signals during development is well established and several
mechanisms have evolved to negatively regulate morphogen action, including soluble BMP antagonists and
dominant negative pseudo-receptors (Balemans and Van Hul,
2002; Onichtchouk et al., 1999). Taken together with the
ability of megalin to catabolize BMP4 (Fig. 9), these findings
provide an explanatory model in which megalin acts as an
endocytic receptor for BMP4 in the neural tube, antagonizing
morphogen signaling. As a consequence of receptor deficiency,
BMP4 activity may be locally increased, causing activation of
Bmp4 transcription and stimulation of rostral and dorsal
patterning and suppression of ventral patterning. A similar
function for megalin as a clearance receptor for parathyroid
hormone (PTH) suppressing signaling via the PTH receptor in
the kidney has been documented before (Hilpert et al., 1999).
Few established cell lines express the receptor megalin, and
BN16 cells are the system of choice for testing megalin-
dependent endocytosis. The lack of expression of BMP
receptors in BN16 cells (R.S., unpublished) currently precludes
directly testing the consequence of megalin activity on BMP
signaling in these cells. Therefore, future efforts should be
directed toward establishing suitable cellular systems (e.g.
neural tube explants) to address this question.

In conclusion, our studies have uncovered an important
novel activity of LRP s as modifiers of BMP4- and SHH-
dependent patterning of the forebrain, and the role that is
played by megalin in this process. These findings have
identified megalin as an important factor in axial embryonic
patterning and suppression of ventral patterning. A similar
model, the receptor may be essential to induce
expression is
developmental brain
anomaly in humans (Wallis and Muenke, 1999).
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