Ovol1 regulates meiotic pachytene progression during spermatogenesis by repressing Id2 expression
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Summary

Previous studies have shown that a targeted deletion of Ovol1 (previously known as movo1), encoding a member of the Ovo family of zinc-finger transcription factors, leads to germ cell degeneration and defective sperm production in adult mice. To explore the cellular and molecular mechanism of Ovol1 function, we have examined the mutant testis phenotype during the first wave of spermatogenesis in juvenile mice. Consistent with the detection of Ovol1 transcripts in pachytene spermatocytes of the meiotic prophase, Ovol1-deficient germ cells were defective in progressing through the pachytene stage. The pachytene arrest was accompanied by an inefficient exit from proliferation, increased apoptosis and an abnormal nuclear localization of the G2-M cell cycle regulator cyclin B1, but was not associated with apparent chromosomal or recombination defects. Transcriptional profiling and northern blot analysis revealed reduced expression of pachytene markers in the mutant, providing molecular evidence that pachytene differentiation was defective. In addition, the expression of Id2 (inhibitor of differentiation 2), a known regulator of spermatogenesis, was upregulated in Ovol1-deficient pachytene spermatocytes and repressed by Ovol1 in reporter assays. Taken together, our studies demonstrate a role for Ovol1 in regulating pachytene progression of male germ cells, and identify Id2 as a Ovol1 target.
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Introduction

As male germ cells embark on the process of spermatogenesis, they must modify their program of gene expression to produce changes in chromatin structure, organelle content and cell shape, and coordinate these changes with the control of mitotic and meiotic divisions. The spermatogenic gene expression program uses both transcriptional and translational control mechanisms (Eddy, 2002; Sassone-Corsi, 1997). Despite abundant reports in the literature showing the expression of various transcription factors in male germ cells, functional significance in spermatogenesis has only been established for a subset of them (Eddy, 2002). Examples include A-myb (Myb1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) and Egr4, which are required for male germ cell development at the meiotic prophase (Toscani et al., 1997; Tourtellotte et al., 1999); and Sprm1, Crem, Jund1 and the TBP-like factor Tif1/Trf2, which are required for postmeiotic germ cell differentiation (Blundy et al., 1996; Martianov et al., 2001; Nantel et al., 1996; Pearse et al., 1997; Thepot et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2001).

Meiotic prophase, an extended G2 phase where germ cells transit from the mitotic to the meiotic cell cycle, is tightly regulated, particularly at pachytene, the longest stage in prophase. During prophase, chromosomes undergo dynamic behavioral changes such as movement, pairing, synopsis and recombination, and checkpoint mechanisms exist to monitor such chromosomal behavior (Cobb and Handel, 1998; Cohen and Pollard, 2001). The pachytene stage is also when mRNA synthesis is particularly active, producing transcripts that encode proteins required for meiotic and postmeiotic germ cells (Eddy and O’Brien, 1998). Although examples of transcriptional control of meiotic pachytene progression have been documented in yeast (Chu and Herskowitz, 1998; Tung et al., 2000) and Drosophila (Lin et al., 1996; White-Cooper et al., 1998), studies probing such control mechanisms in mammalian gametogenesis are only beginning to appear (Eddy, 2002).

The conserved ovo gene family encodes DNA-binding transcription factors that lie downstream of the canonical Wg/Wnt signaling pathway (Li et al., 2002b; Payre et al., 1999) and control the differentiation of a number of tissues in multicellular organisms, including C. elegans, Drosophila and mice (Dai et al., 1998; Johnson et al., 2001; Oliver et al., 1990; Payre et al., 1999). Therefore, analysis of the regulation and function of Ovo genes provides an excellent tool with which to investigate the control mechanisms required for cellular differentiation processes in a complex tissue setting, and to examine how these mechanisms evolve (Sucena et al., 2003; Wang and Chamberlin, 2002).

Three distinct mouse ovo family genes, Ovol1, Ovol2 (previously known as movo2 or zinc finger protein 339) and
Ovol1 (previously known as movo3) exist (Li et al., 2002a). Ablation of Ovol1 in mice leads to defects in several tissues that express Ovol1, including testis, skin, kidney and the urogenital tract (Dai et al., 1998). Female mutant mice showed reduced fertility primarily due to structural defects in the urogenital tract; however, no apparent abnormalities were observed in oogenesis. Defects are most severe and of highest penetrance in the testis, where a dramatic decrease in production of spermatozoa, in testis weight and in male fertility was observed. Although this study suggested a role for Ovol1 in sperm production, the primary function and cellular target(s) of Ovol1 in spermatogenesis was not defined due to complications from secondary events such as massive germ cell degeneration in the adult mutant testis. In the present study, we examined the first, relatively synchronous, round of spermatogenesis that spans the first several postnatal weeks to reveal the primary consequences of the absence of a functional Ovol1 gene. We report that Ovol1 is expressed during the pachytene stage of meiotic prophase and is a crucial regulator of pachytene progression of male germ cells. We also provide molecular evidence suggesting that Id2 is a Ovol1 target.

Materials and methods

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was isolated from paired testes and northern blot analysis was performed as described (Dai et al., 1998). The following cDNA probes were used: a 350 bp fragment containing the 5′ UTR of Ovol1; a 320 bp PCR fragment containing sequences in exons 2 and 3 of Ovol2; a 608 bp fragment corresponding to nucleotides 1791–2399 of Adam2 mRNA (Accession Number MMU22057); a 417 bp fragment corresponding to nucleotides 297–714 of Tctex-2 mRNA (Accession Number MMU22057); a 417 bp fragment corresponding to nucleotides 1896–2325 of Odf2 mRNA (Accession Number M26332); a 400 bp fragment corresponding to nucleotides 297–714 of Tctex-2 mRNA (Accession Number MMU22057); a 406 bp fragment corresponding to nucleotides 1896–2325 of Odf2 mRNA (Accession Number M26332); a 1.6 kb cDNA fragment representing a nearly full-length transcript, or a 754 bp fragment corresponding to nucleotides 11–764 of Id2 mRNA (Accession Number NM_010496). The in situ hybridization procedure was adapted from Deng and Lin (Deng and Lin, 2002). Specifically, freshly dissected testis samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS overnight at 4°C and subsequently passed through a series of sucrose/PBS solutions of increasing sucrose concentration (10%, 15%, 20% and 30%). After an overnight incubation in 30% sucrose/PBS/OCT (1:1), the samples were frozen in 30% sucrose/PBS/OCT (1:3). Sections (8 µm) were cut and dried at 50°C for 2 hours, followed by drying at room temperature overnight. Dried sections were stored at –80°C until use in subsequent in situ hybridization experiments. Thawed sections were treated with protease K (30 µg/ml in PBS) for 5 minutes at room temperature, rinsed briefly in PBS, and re-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS for seven minutes. Following post-fixation washes, the appropriate digoxigenin-labeled probes were added to the slides at a concentration of 1 µg/ml in hybridization buffer (1×SSC, 50% formamide, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% CHAPS, 100 µg/ml heparin, 50 µM yeast RNA, 0.5% Tween-20, pH 6.5) and allowed to hybridize at 60°C overnight. The slides were then washed in several changes of 0.2×SSC, 50% formamide at 60°C over a period of 1 hour. Immunological detection of digoxigenin-labeled probes was performed by incubating the slides with a α-digoxigenin-AP conjugated antibody [diluted 1:2000 in a buffer containing 2% blocking reagent (Roche)], 2% normal goat serum, 100 mM Tris-Cl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mg/ml levamisole, pH 7.5] for 3 hours at room temperature, followed by a colorimetric reaction using the NBT/BCIP substrates. Counterstaining with the α-Ldhc4 antibody was performed using a 1:100 dilution in 10% normal goat serum/PBS at room temperature for 1 hour followed by incubation in a 1:100 dilution of a α-rabbit-FITC conjugated antibody at room temperature for 1 hour.

BrdU labeling

Juvenile male mice were injected intraperitoneally with BrdU (5- Bromo-2′-deoxyuridine, Sigma B5002) at a dose of 50 µg/g body weight, sacrificed 2 hours after injection, and their testes dissected and fixed in Bouin’s fixative as described above. Paraffin sections were incubated in a 60°C oven overnight, and deparaffinized by a 5-minute wash with Histoclear and rehydrated by a series of rinses in decreasing concentrations of ethanol (100%, 95%, 70%, 50%, 0%). The slides were then treated with 50% formamide in 2×SSC at 65°C for 2 hours, followed by two 5-minute rinses in 2×SSC, and incubation in 2N HCl at 37°C for 30 minutes. Samples were neutralized by incubating in 0.1 M boric acid, pH 8.5 for 10 minutes, rinsed briefly in PBS and endogenous peroxidase was quenched by incubating in freshly prepared 3% H2O2 for 15 minutes. After three 5-minute washes in PBS, samples were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis as described above using a mouse monoclonal α-BrdU antibody (Roche). The BrdU-positive cells in P14 mutant and wild-type testes were counted, and the total number in an area of 2×105 µm2 in size (equivalent to ~30 tubular cross-sections) was calculated as an average of three independent areas.

Histology, immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry

Testis samples of the desired ages (P14-P21) were fixed in Bouin’s fixative for 12-24 hours, depending on tissue size, processed and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections (5 µm) were stained with the periodic acid/Schiff sulfite leucofuchsin (PAS) reaction, Hematoxylin and Eosin, or the appropriate antibodies. Immunofluorescence using a polyclonal rabbit α-Ldhc4 antibody (Hinz and Goldberg, 1977), a guinea pig α-histone H1t (Inselman et al., 2003), or a monoclonal mouse α-cyclin B1 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), was as described (Dai et al., 1998). Immunohistochemistry using a rat IgM mouse Gcna1 monoclonal antibody (Enders and May, 1994) was performed using the SABC kit (Zymed) or the VECTASTAIN elite ABC kit (Vector) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Detection of apoptosis

The terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP-digoxigenin nick end-labeling (TUNEL) assay was used to detect apoptotic germ cells. Frozen testis sections from 21-day-old mice were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature, followed by three 5-minute washes in PBS. Sections were then processed using the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Roche)

Chromosome analysis

Preparation of spermatocyte spreads and the analysis of prophase I chromosomes by immunostaining of chromosome-associated proteins were as described (Edelmann et al., 1999; Kneitz et al., 2000).

Transcriptional profiling

Three identical experiments were performed independently. In each experiment, testes from two to four Ovol1+/– mice together with two
to four wild-type control littermates were taken for RNA preparation, and total RNA pooled from testes of the same genotype. Total RNA (2 µg) from each sample group was reverse-transcribed into cDNA, which was then transcribed into biotin-labeled cRNA (Zhao et al., 2000). Labeled cRNA (15 µg) was used in each hybridization to Affymetrix Murine 11K Genechips (SubA and SubB) covering ~11,000 genes and ESTs. The Affymetrix GeneChip Analysis Suite software (MAS 4.0) was used to generate the raw data report. For each entry on the microarray, the software calculates an average of the difference between perfect-match and mismatch probes (up to 20 probes per gene). This so-called average difference is directly related to the level of expression of the transcript, and is normalized between chips by the use of a global target value for total chip fluorescence. The program also carries out a combinatorial evaluation of the performance of each probe set using several analysis metrics to determine the presence or absence of each transcript. Raw data were further analyzed using the CyberT program (Long et al., 2001), which runs a T test on the three data sets of the Affymetric output in Excel format and includes features to allow Bayesian-based approximations of standard deviations for measurements.

**Reporter assays**

293T cells were seeded in 24-well plates and transfected at 12-15% confluence with Ca²⁺ phosphate as described (Pear et al., 1993). A typical transfection mixture contained a total of 0.5 µg of plasmids, including: 50 ng of pGL3-IId2 (where a 1148-bp IId2 gene regulatory fragment was cloned upstream of the luciferase reporter gene); 0, 5, 10, 15 or 20 ng of the Ovol1 expression vector (pCB6-Ovol1) (Dai et al., 1998); and 0.04 µg of a β-actin promoter-β-gal construct. Or a total of 0.5 µg of plasmids, including: 10 ng of pGL3-IId2; and 0, 10, 20, 40 or 70 ng of the VP16-Ovol1-expressing vector; and 0.04 µg of a β-actin promoter-β-gal construct. pCB6 (+) (empty vector containing the CMV promoter) was used as stuffer DNA. Luciferase activity was measured in whole cell extracts using the Luciferase Assay System (Promega). β-Galactosidase activity was measured as previously described (Eustice et al., 1991).

**Results**

**Pachytene-specific activation of Ovol1 expression in adult and prepubertal testis**

To precisely define the onset of Ovol1 expression during spermatogenesis, we performed in situ hybridization experiments using an Ovol1 probe, with the same sections immunostained with an antibody to Ldhc4, a germ cell marker that is normally expressed from mid/late-pachytene stage onwards (Hintz and Goldberg, 1977). In adult testis, the level of Ovol1 transcripts varied from one seminiferous tubule to another (Fig. 1A,C), indicating that Ovol1 expression is seminiferous tubule cycle-dependent. The onset of Ovol1 expression coincided with that of Ldhc4 protein synthesis (Fig. 1B,D), suggesting a mid/late-pachytene-specific activation of Ovol1 transcription. Ovol1 transcripts were present from mid/late-pachytene spermatocytes to round spermatids, but were not detected in elongate spermatids or in germ cells prior to the pachytene stage.

We next examined Ovol1 expression during prepubertal testis development, which spans the first four or five postnatal weeks. Postnatal day 16 (P16, with the day of birth considered P0) is when the most advanced germ cells have reached the mid/late-pachytene stage; in situ hybridization of P16 testis revealed the presence of Ovol1 transcripts in the Ldhc4-expressing pachytene spermatocytes (Fig. 1E,F). Furthermore, levels of Ovol1 mRNA increased between P14 and P21, consistent with the appearance of mid/late-pachytene spermatocytes within this developmental window (Bellve et al., 1977) (Fig. 1G). Therefore, Ovol1 expression is activated in pachytene spermatocytes during the first round of spermatogenesis and in adult testis.

![Fig. 1. Ovol1 RNA expression in adult and prepubertal testis.](Image)
Efficient exit from proliferation, incomplete pachytene arrest, and increased apoptosis in Ovol1−/− testis

Meiotic pachytene is a key stage in yeast meiosis after which point a decision to exit mitosis becomes irreversible (Shuster and Byers, 1989). The expression of Ovol1 in pachytene spermatocytes led us to examine whether exit from proliferation might be affected in prepubertal Ovol1−/− testis. A small number of mutant tubules contained multiple layers of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)-labeled germ cells (arrows in Fig. 2B), whereas in wild-type mice at this age such proliferating cells only occupy the outermost layer (spermatogonia) of the tubule. This said, there was only a very slight increase (~7%) in the actual number of BrdU-positive cells in the mutant testis (not shown). These results suggest that Ovol1 is not essential for germ cell proliferation per se, but probably plays a modulatory role in the ability of a germ cell to exit mitosis.

To determine if Ovol1 is required at the meiotic prophase and/ or subsequent stages, we compared the morphology of Ovol1−/− and control testis between the ages of two and three postnatal weeks. At P14, when the most advanced stage is early/mid-pachytene, comparable levels of pachytene spermatocytes, representing about 13–14% of the total germ cells, were present in the lumen of both control and Ovol1−/− seminiferous tubules (Fig. 2C, D; Table 1). At P16 in wild-type mice, spermatocytes

Table 1. Frequency distribution of pachytene, Gcna1+ and Ldhc4+ spermatocytes in juvenile Ovol1−/− and wild-type control testis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>P14</th>
<th>P16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>−/−</td>
<td>+/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average tubule diameter (µm)</td>
<td>79.8±6.0</td>
<td>76.0±4.7</td>
<td>91.1±5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pachytene/total germ cells (%)</td>
<td>210/1570 (13.4%)</td>
<td>222/1559 (14.2%)</td>
<td>452/1564 (28.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late pachytene³</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>106±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gcna1+ cells³</td>
<td>1185±24</td>
<td>1188±15</td>
<td>852±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ldhc4+ cells³</td>
<td>88±4</td>
<td>81±7</td>
<td>493±23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by measuring a total of 40 neighboring tubules.

† Refers to the total number of spermatocytes that are at the pachytene stage (including early-, mid- and late-) from an area of 2×10^5 µm^2 in size (equivalent to ~25 tubular cross-sections).

‡ Refers to the percentage of pachytene spermatocytes in the total number of germ cells in that area.

§ The total number of late-pachytene spermatocytes (scored by the large size of their nuclei or the presence of the ‘sex body’) in an area of 2×10^5 µm^2 was calculated as an average of four independent areas.

³ Measured from the same-size areas as above.

Fig. 2. Proliferation, morphology and apoptosis in juvenile Ovol1−/− testis. (A, B) BrdU labeling of testis from P14 wild-type (A) and Ovol1−/− (B) mice. Arrows indicate seminiferous tubules that contain multiple rows of BrdU-positive germ cells. Arrowheads indicate the labeled Leydig cells. (C-J) PAS staining of testis from control (wild-type or Ovol1−/−) (C, E, G) and Ovol1−/− (D, F, H-J) mice at P14, P16 and P21. E/MP, early/mid-pachytene spermatocytes; DP, diplotene spermatocytes. (I) High-magnification image of the boxed area in H. Black arrowheads in F, J indicate apoptotic cells intermingled with late-pachytene spermatocytes or more advanced germ cells. (K, L) TUNEL assays on wild-type (K) and mutant (L) testis from 21-day-old mice. Scale bar: 80 µm in A, B; 35 µm in C, H, J; 9 µm in I; 100 µm in K, L.
had reached the late-pachytene stage (as judged by the large size of their nuclei or the presence of ‘sex bodies’ containing the XY chromosomes) (Fig. 2E). By contrast, mutant tubules at this time contained only about one half the number of spermatocytes that showed a late-pachytene appearance (Fig. 2F; Table 1). Interestingly, the total number of pachytene spermatocytes (sum of early/mid- and late-pachytene) was not significantly affected (Table 1), indicating a compensatory increase in the number of early/mid-pachytene spermatocytes (see below). Thus, in the absence of a functional Ovol1 gene, the first round of spermatogenesis is partially blocked during the pachytene stage of meiotic prophase.

Another characteristic feature of the mutant juvenile testis was the increased number of apoptotic cells, containing darkly stained nuclei lacking discernible chromosome structure (Dix et al., 1997). Such cells began to appear at P16 (Fig. 2F), but were frequently observed at P21 (Fig. 2H-J). TUNEL assays confirmed that juvenile mutant testis contained significantly more apoptotic cells than the wild-type control (Fig. 2L, compare with 2K). In most cases, apoptotic cells were confined to the innermost layers of mutant tubules, at positions where normally only late-pachytene spermatocytes and more advanced germ cells such as round spermatids are found. However, not all cells that were at or after late-pachytene were eliminated by apoptosis, as more advanced germ cells with apparently normal morphology were present in the mutant, albeit reduced in number (Fig. 2J).

The pachytene arrest of Ovol1+/– germ cells was associated with aberrant marker expression but not with chromosomal or recombination defects

To further explore the pachytene defect in Ovol1+/– testis, we examined the biochemistry of prepubertal mutant and wild-type testis using antibodies to known differentiation markers. These include Gcna1, which marks the germ cells prior to mid-pachytene (Enders and May, 1994), and Ldhc4 and histone H1t (Cobb et al., 1999), which mark the mid/late-pachytene spermatocytes. At P14, nearly all wild-type tubules had multiple rows of Gcna1+ cells (Fig. 3A), whereas only very few contained Ldhc4+ cells (Fig. 3E). By P16, Gcna1+ cells were restricted to only one or two rows at the periphery (Fig. 3C), and the number of Ldhc4+ cells rose significantly (Fig. 3G). This switch in marker gene expression was defective in the Ovol1+/– testis, which at P16 contained more Gcna1+ cells and fewer Ldhc4+ cells than the controls (Fig. 3D,H; Table 1). Multiple rows of germ cells in the P16 Ovol1+/– tubules retained their Gcna1 expression even when they reached the lumen, and the number of Ldhc4+ cells was more than twofold lower than that in the control littermates. This was also true at P21 for tubules that had not yet started massive apoptosis (data not shown). Histone H1t expression overlapped that of Ldhc4 in both the mutant and control testis (Fig. 3I-L), confirming a reduction in the number of mid/late-pachytene spermatocytes in the mutant. Collectively, these results indicate that Ovol1-deficient germ cells are unable to efficiently progress beyond mid-pachytene, and support our morphological observation of a meiotic pachytene defect.

Cyclin B1, a key component of the M-phase-promoting factor (MPF) implicated in the regulation of meiotic G2-M transition, is normally upregulated in pachytene spermatocytes (Chapman and Wolgemuth, 1994; Cobb et al., 1999; Godet et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2000). We therefore wondered whether its expression might be affected in the Ovol1 mutant germ cells. As shown in Fig. 4A,C, cyclin B1 protein was detected in wild-type testis in the adluminal cells that are mid-pachytene and beyond, as indicated by Ldhc4 staining. Coinciding with the progression from pachytene (at P16) to meiosis (at P21) (Bellve et al., 1977), cyclin B1 protein switched its subcellular localization from the cytoplasm (arrow in Fig. 4A) to the nucleus (arrowhead in Fig. 4C). This developmental cytoplasmic-to-nuclear switch recapitulates the observation in adult testis (Liu et al., 2000), and is consistent with the reported nuclear translocation of cyclin B1 during G2-M transition in mitotic cells (Pines and Hunter, 1991).

---

**Fig. 3.** Accumulation of Gcna1+ germ cells and reduction of Ldhc4+/histone H1t+ germ cells in testis of juvenile Ovol1+/– mice. (A-D) Immunohistochemical detection of the Gcna1 protein in testis from Ovol1+/+ (A,C) and Ovol1+/– (B,D) mice at P14 and P16 using an α-Gcna1 antibody. (E-L) Immunofluorescence staining of testis from Ovol1+/+ (E,G,I,K) and Ovol1+/– (F,H,J,L) mice at P14 and P16 using an α-Ldhc4 antibody (E-H) and an α-histone H1t antibody (I,J). (K,L) Merged images between G and I, and H and J, respectively. Scale bar: 55 μm in A-F; 60 μm in G-L.
Transcriptional control is key to cellular differentiation. To unravel the gene expression changes caused by Ovol1 ablation, we performed DNA microarray analysis to compare transcription profiles of wild-type and Ovol1−/− testis. Analysis was performed on P16 testis, where apoptosis is minimal. Out of ~11,000 genes analyzed, ~35% were scored as present at this developmental stage in both wild-type and mutant testis. Among these, ~200 RNAs were differentially expressed (upregulated or downregulated) by 1.5-fold or more (P<0.1) between the mutant and wild-type (see Tables S1, S2 in the supplementary material) (a 1.5-fold cut-off was arbitrarily chosen, taking into consideration that microarray experiments tend to underestimate the extent of differential expression). Consistent with the increased number of proliferating germ cells in Ovol1−/− testis, several known positive regulators of cellular proliferation were upregulated (see Table S1 in the supplementary material). These include transcription factors Id2 (inhibitor of differentiation 2) and c-Myc/B-myC, signaling molecules such as the mast cell growth factor (Mgf, also known as steel factor or c-kit ligand) and activin (along with its receptor ActR IIB).

Genes that were downregulated in Ovol1−/− testis included those whose products are known to be present in pachytene spermatocytes, such as Ldhc4 (see above), Adam2 (also called fertilin β) (Wolfsberg et al., 1995), Tctex2 (Rappold et al., 1987), S-II-T1 (Ito et al., 1996), Odf2 (Turner et al., 1997), Tpx1 (Maeda et al., 1999), Ldha4 (Thomas et al., 1990), Zip35 (Cunliffe et al., 1990) and acrosin (Kremling et al., 1991) (see Table S2 in the supplementary material). Northern blot analysis of selected markers confirmed their reduced levels in Ovol1−/− mutant testis (Fig. 6A). By contrast, the G2-M cell cycle control genes encoding the MPF components Cdc2 and cyclin B1 showed apparently normal levels of mRNA expression in Ovol1−/− testis, as detected by transcriptional profiling and northern blot analysis (Fig. 6B). We reasoned that the downregulated genes should include novel pachytene markers, and chose Ovol2 for additional experiments to test this possibility. Northern blot analysis confirmed that Ovol2 expression was indeed reduced in juvenile Ovol1−/− testis (Fig. 6C), and during normal prepubertal testis development it showed a temporal expression pattern similar to that of Ovol1 (Li et al., 2002a) (Fig. 6D). Results of in situ hybridization analysis indicated that Ovol2 expression was activated during mid/late pachytene in wild-type (Fig. 6E,F), and that the number of Ovol2-expressing (also Ldhc4+) tubules was reduced in Ovol1−/− testis (Fig. 6G,H). In tubules that still expressed Ovol2, the signal intensity appeared comparable with that in the wild-type (Fig. 6G, compare with 6E). Taken together, these results showing the downregulation of known and novel pachytene differentiation markers in juvenile Ovol1−/− testis provide molecular evidence supporting our morphological and biochemical observations of a developmental arrest at the pachytene stage in Ovol1−/− male mice.

The microarray analysis also revealed a downregulation of

---

**Fig. 4.** Expression and localization of cyclin B1 protein in wild-type (A,C,D,F,G,I) and Ovol1−/− (B,E,H) testis. Shown are results of immunostaining experiments using α-cyclin B1 (A–C) and α-Ldhc4 (D–F) antibodies on P16 (A,B,D,E,G,H) and P21 (C,F,I) testis. (G–I) Merged images. Asterisk in G–I indicates mitotic spermatogonia at the tubule periphery that stained positive for cyclin B1. Arrows and arrowheads indicate cytoplasmic and nuclear cyclin B1 in primary spermatocytes, respectively. Scale bar: 25 μm.

Two abnormalities were identified in the Ovol1−/− mutant testis using double immunostaining with the cyclin B1 and Ldhc4 antibodies. First, many adluminal, Ovol1-deficient germ cells did not show any Ldhc4 staining but stained positive for cyclin B1 (arrowheads in Fig. 4B,H). This result suggests a developmental uncoupling of cell cycle-specific and differentiation-specific gene expression in the mutant. Second, in these Ldhc4-negative mutant spermatocytes, cyclin B1 protein was in the nucleus. This precocious nuclear localization is suggestive of either ectopic mitosis or premature meiosis.

To formally exclude the possibility that Ovol1 is involved in premeiotic chromosomal metabolism, we examined the mutant testis for any possible chromosomal or recombination defects. The formation of synaptonemal complexes in the mutant was indistinguishable from that in wild type (data not shown), as indicated by the proper accumulation of the synaptonemal complex protein SCP1 (also known as Syn1 or Sycp1) and the central element protein SCP3 (also known as Cor1 or Sycp3) and the central element protein SCP3 (also known as Syn1 or Sycp1) (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, mutant pachytene spermatocytes showed normal accumulation of the reciprocal recombination markers, the MuL homologs MLH1 and MLH3, at the sites of crossover (Marcon and Moens, 2003) (Fig. 5B), suggesting that recombination proceeded normally. The overall picture is one of largely normal chromosomal pairing, synopsis, recombination and desynapsis during prophase 1 in the Ovol1−/− male mice, indicating that the primary target process of Ovol1 ablation is cellular differentiation during pachytene.

**Molecular consequences of Ovol1 ablation – a transcriptional profiling comparison of juvenile wild-type and mutant testis**

Transcriptional control is key to cellular differentiation. To unravel the gene expression changes caused by Ovol1 ablation, we performed DNA microarray analysis to compare transcription profiles of wild-type and Ovol1−/− testis. Analysis was performed on P16 testis, where apoptosis is minimal. Out of ~11,000 genes analyzed, ~35% were scored as present at this developmental stage in both wild-type and mutant testis. Among these, ~200 RNAs were differentially expressed (upregulated or downregulated) by 1.5-fold or more (P<0.1) between the mutant and wild-type (see Tables S1, S2 in the supplementary material) (a 1.5-fold cut-off was arbitrarily chosen, taking into consideration that microarray experiments tend to underestimate the extent of differential expression). Consistent with the increased number of proliferating germ cells in Ovol1−/− testis, several known positive regulators of cellular proliferation were upregulated (see Table S1 in the supplementary material). These include transcription factors Id2 (inhibitor of differentiation 2) and c-Myc/B-myC, signaling molecules such as the mast cell growth factor (Mgf, also known as steel factor or c-kit ligand) and activin (along with its receptor ActR IIB).

Genes that were downregulated in Ovol1−/− testis included those whose products are known to be present in pachytene spermatocytes, such as Ldhc4 (see above), Adam2 (also called fertilin β) (Wolfsberg et al., 1995), Tctex2 (Rappold et al., 1987), S-II-T1 (Ito et al., 1996), Odf2 (Turner et al., 1997), Tpx1 (Maeda et al., 1999), Ldha4 (Thomas et al., 1990), Zip35 (Cunliffe et al., 1990) and acrosin (Kremling et al., 1991) (see Table S2 in the supplementary material). Northern blot analysis of selected markers confirmed their reduced levels in Ovol1−/− mutant testis (Fig. 6A). By contrast, the G2-M cell cycle control genes encoding the MPF components Cdc2 and cyclin B1 showed apparently normal levels of mRNA expression in Ovol1−/− testis, as detected by transcriptional profiling and northern blot analysis (Fig. 6B). We reasoned that the downregulated genes should include novel pachytene markers, and chose Ovol2 for additional experiments to test this possibility. Northern blot analysis confirmed that Ovol2 expression was indeed reduced in juvenile Ovol1−/− testis (Fig. 6C), and during normal prepubertal testis development it showed a temporal expression pattern similar to that of Ovol1 (Li et al., 2002a) (Fig. 6D). Results of in situ hybridization analysis indicated that Ovol2 expression was activated during mid/late pachytene in wild-type (Fig. 6E,F), and that the number of Ovol2-expressing (also Ldhc4+) tubules was reduced in Ovol1−/− testis (Fig. 6G,H). In tubules that still expressed Ovol2, the signal intensity appeared comparable with that in the wild-type (Fig. 6G, compare with 6E). Taken together, these results showing the downregulation of known and novel pachytene differentiation markers in juvenile Ovol1−/− testis provide molecular evidence supporting our morphological and biochemical observations of a developmental arrest at the pachytene stage in Ovol1−/− male mice.
genes such as prominin and Mog1 (see Discussion), the expression of which in testis had not been previously reported. Northern blot analysis confirmed that these genes were indeed expressed in P16 testis, and that their expression was reduced in the Ovol1−/− mutant (Fig. 6C). Moreover, both genes showed a temporal expression pattern during normal prepubertal testis development similar to that of Ovol1 and Ovol2 (Fig. 6D). This result suggests that their expression is, like that of the Ovol genes, normally upregulated during germ cell differentiation. Therefore, our global transcriptional profile analysis also reveals novel, developmentally regulated expression of known genes in testis.
Both in vivo and in vitro evidence indicates that Id2 expression is repressed by Ovol1

To explore the molecular mechanism by which Ovol1 regulates pachytene differentiation, we sought to identify its downstream target(s). We chose Id2 to focus on, because Id2 protein expression was previously detected in pachytene spermatocytes (Sablitzky et al., 1998) and due to its demonstrated role in spermatogenesis (Yokota, 2001). Northern blot analysis confirmed that the level of Id2 transcripts was higher in juvenile Ovol1 mutant testis (Fig. 7A). Id2 is also expressed in Sertoli cells (Sablitzky et al., 1998). To rule out the possibility that the increased Id2 transcript level was due to an increased contribution from Sertoli cells, we performed in situ hybridization experiments, which indeed revealed much stronger hybridization signals in Ovol1-deficient pachytene spermatocytes than that in the wild type (Fig. 7B, compare signals indicated by arrows in C’ and D’). Furthermore, during normal prepubertal testis development, the temporal expression pattern of Id2 is just the opposite of that of Ovol1 (Fig. 7C). Taken together, these observations are consistent with the possibility that Ovol1 represses Id2 expression in normal pachytene spermatocytes.

To further address the above issue, we cloned a mouse Id2 genomic fragment (–1008 to +140, with +1 being the transcription start site) upstream of a luciferase reporter and performed reporter assays in 293T cells. Co-transfection of an Ovol1 expression vector repressed luciferase expression in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 7D). We next fused the Ovol1 protein to a strong, well-characterized transactivation domain from VP16 to generate a chimeric protein, VP16-Ovol1. VP16-Ovol1 activated Id2 promoter-luciferase reporter expression in a dose-dependent manner, while the VP16 activation domain alone had no effect (Fig. 7E; data not shown). To address whether repression by Ovol1 or activation by VP16-Ovol1 depends on Ovol1 binding to the Id2 promoter, we examined the promoter sequence and identified a putative Ovol1-binding motif CCGTTA (Li et al., 2002b) that lies 84 bp downstream of the +1 site. This site is conserved between mouse and human (Kurabayashi et al., 1995; Mantani et al., 1998), and was indeed bound by recombinant Ovol1 in gel shift assays (data not shown). The closeness of this Ovol1-binding site to +1 is reminiscent of a key feature of the Drosophila Ovo binding sites (Lu and Oliver, 2001). Replacing the CCGTTA sequence with ATGCGC to which Ovol1 does not bind (M.N. and X.D., unpublished) led to both a significant reduction in the degree of repression by Ovol1 and of activation by VP16-Ovol1 (Fig. 7F), indicating that the maximum effect of Ovol1 on Id2 promoter activity requires Ovol1 binding to this site. Collectively, our findings suggest that Ovol1 represses Id2 transcription in a cell-autonomous and direct fashion.
to note that ectopic expression of a constitutively nuclear cyclin B1 is sufficient to trigger apoptosis (Porter et al., 2003). In this regard, the precocious nuclear localization of cyclin B1 in Ovol1−/− testis provides a possible mechanism by which Ovol1 ablation leads to apoptosis.

Our microarray experiments have identified a relatively small number of genes (2%) that are differentially expressed between the juvenile wild-type and Ovol1−/− testis. The downregulated genes should provide a useful resource for identifying novel male germ cell or pachytene markers (see Table S2 in the supplementary material). This list includes putative regulatory genes such as transcription factors and signaling molecules, as well as enzymes that are presumably involved in germ cell metabolism. Our northern and in situ hybridization experiments significantly extended our previous finding (Li et al., 2002a) to show that Ovol2 is a new pachytene marker. Furthermore, the study on the expression of prominin and Mogl during prepubertal testis development indicates that these genes are expressed in testis in a temporally regulated manner. Prominin encodes a protein that is involved in membrane dynamics and cell shape changes (Roper et al., 2000; Weigmann et al., 1997). Mog1 encodes a guanine nucleotide release factor for Ran GTPase that is known to play a role in nucleocyttoplasmic transport of macromolecules and spindle assembly (Clarke and Zhang, 2001; Nicolas et al., 2001). Future studies on the expression and function of these genes in testis should reveal additional insights into the regulation of mammalian spermatogenesis.

The developmental pachytene arrest in Ovol1−/− testis is incomplete, as some Ovol1−/− deficient germ cells were able to proceed through the normal differentiation pathway to become functional spermatooza (Dai et al., 1998). That said, our morphological and biochemical findings were highly reproducible from one mutant mouse to another, and from one genetic background (129XB6) to another (CD1) (data not shown), indicating a clear-cut link between Ovol1 ablation and these developmental defects. It has been shown that Ovol1 and Ovol2 proteins share 77% sequence identity in the zinc-finger domain and are expressed in overlapping tissues (Dai et al., 1998; Li et al., 2002a; Masu et al., 1998). The co-expression of Ovol2 with Ovol1 in pachytene spermatocytes raises the possibility that Ovol2 can partially compensate for the loss of Ovol1 function. An ultimate understanding of the full spectrum of Ovol function in mammalian spermatogenesis will require the analysis of Ovol1/Ovol2 double mutants.

The microarray experiments also identified genes that were upregulated in the juvenile Ovol1−/− testis. Although previous studies have detected expression of Id2, Myc and Fgf receptors in primary spermatocytes (Cancilla and Risbridger, 1998; Sablitzky et al., 1998; Wolfes et al., 1989), Mgf, activin, Dlk1, MfgE8 and Mif are primarily expressed in somatic cells of the testis (Jensen et al., 1999; Kanai et al., 2000; Meinhardt et al., 2000; Tanaka et al., 2002; Vincent et al., 1998). Therefore, the disruption of Ovol1 not only affected gene expression in germ cells, but also resulted in alterations of the somatic gene expression program. Undoubtedly, many changes are secondary consequences of the morphological defect. However, genes that are normally expressed in pachytene spermatocytes, such as Id2, are possible intracellular targets of Ovol1. Our in situ experiments revealing an upregulation of Id2 transcript level in Ovol1-deficient pachytene spermatocytes
support a cell-autonomous effect of Ovol on Id2 transcription. This notion is further supported by the in vitro finding that Ovol1 repressed Id2 promoter activity in reporter assays in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, the extent of repression depends on an Ovol1-binding site that is found in the Id2 promoter and is conserved between mouse and human genes, suggesting that this is a direct repression, and is at least in part mediated by Ovol1 binding to that site. These results, together with the observation that the chimeric protein VP16-Ovol1, in which the only DNA-binding motif is the zinc-finger domain of Ovol1, activated Id2 promoter in a protein dose- and Ovol1 binding site-dependent manner, clearly establish a direct molecular link between Ovol1 and Id2. Apparently, the identified Ovol1 binding site could not account for all the observed repression, leaving open the existence of additional Ovol1 binding site(s) and/or alternative mechanism(s) of transcriptional regulation by Ovol1. This mechanistic issue merits further study that is outside the scope of this work. Interestingly, Id2 knockout mice are defective in spermatogenesis (Yokota, 2001). Given the well-demonstrated role of Id2 as a positive regulator of proliferation and a negative regulator of differentiation in multiple cell lineages (Sikder et al., 2003), it is tempting to speculate that a negative regulation of Id2 expression might be a common mechanism by which Ovol1 promotes cellular differentiation in multiple tissues.
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