Graded distributions of ephrin ligands are involved in the formation of topographic maps. However, it is still poorly understood how growth cones read gradients of membrane-bound guidance molecules. We used microcontact printing to produce discontinuous gradients of substrate-bound ephrinA5. These consist of submicron-sized protein-covered spots, which vary with respect to their sizes and spacings. Growth cones of chick temporal retinal axons are able to integrate these discontinuous ephrin distributions and stop at a distinct zone in the gradient while still undergoing filopodial activity. The position of this stop zone depends on both the steepness of the gradient and on the amount of substrate-bound ephrin per unit surface area. Quantitative analysis of axon outgrowth shows that the stop reaction is controlled by a combination of the local ephrin concentration and the total amount of encountered ephrin, but cannot be attributed to one of these parameters alone.
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INTRODUCTION

Axonal pathfinding plays an important role in the development of the complex connectivity of the nervous system. The correct navigation of axons to their target region is based on specific interactions with guidance factors, many of which are distributed in a graded way (Dickson, 2002). Indeed, gradients can provide positional information over a comparatively large area and are therefore suitable for the establishment of topographic maps (McLaughlin and O'Leary, 2005).

One of the best-studied examples of gradient-dependent axonal guidance is the retinotectal projection. In the chick, retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) from the temporal half of the retina project to the anterior part of the optic tectum, whereas nasal RGCs project to the posterior part. This topographic order has been shown to be mediated by a nasal to temporal expression gradient of EphA receptors in the retina and a complementary anterior to posterior expression gradient of repellent ephrinA ligands in the tectum (Drescher et al., 1995; Cheng et al., 1995; Connor et al., 1998).

Although extensive in-vitro and in-vivo data exist about this mode of topographic mapping (Brown et al., 2000; Feldheim et al., 2000; Feldheim et al., 2004), it is still poorly understood how retinal growth cones read gradients of repellent guidance molecules such as ephrinAs and integrate the information into a meaningful response. Several theoretical models have addressed the question of how growth cones read gradients and recognize their specific target areas in order to form a topographic map (Gierer, 1983; Honda, 1998; Goodhill and Urbach, 1999; Löschinger et al., 2000).

Experimentally, axonal guidance has been studied previously in diffusible gradients of soluble, secreted molecules, which induce growth cone turning (Lohof et al., 1992; Song et al., 1997), and in three-dimensional collagen gels (Rossof et al., 2004). There is evidence that growth cones can adjust their sensitivity to various concentrations of guidance factors in diffusible gradients (Ming et al., 2002; Piper et al., 2005). This continuous adaptation process, however, raises the question of how growth cones know when to stop in a gradient of repellent molecules. Moreover, in the in-vivo situation, RGC axons have to invade the repulsive ephrinA gradient in the tectum up to a certain point to find their target region rather than respond with negative turning angles as observed in the diffusible in-vitro gradients of repellents.

Few data exist about substrate-bound gradients, which represent an in-vivo-like experimental setup for ephrins, because their membrane attachment and/or clustering is required for proper Eph-receptor activation (Davis et al., 1994; Egea et al., 2005).

In the present study, we used microcontact printing (μCP) of proteins to produce geometrically defined gradients of substrate-bound recombinant ephrinA5 in a reproducible and precise manner. By contrast to diffusible gradients, and in a similar way to gradients in collagen gels (Rossof et al., 2004), microcontact-printed gradients are stable over a long time and can be designed in various shapes. This makes it possible to address directly the crucial question of how growth cones evaluate different parameters of a gradient, such as slope, local concentration and the total amount of encountered repellent molecules, and detect where to stop within the repellent gradient.

While Baier and Bonhoeffer first proposed that growth inhibition of temporal RGC axons is correlated with the maximal slope of a gradient (Baier and Bonhoeffer, 1992), subsequent experiments suggested, by contrast, that axons avoid a certain threshold concentration when they are faced with gradients of repellent membrane material (Rosentreter et al., 1998).

Our results indicate that growth cones integrate the slope and the local concentration in a gradient of repellent molecules. Based on these findings, we hypothesize that a combination of summation of encountered ephrin and adjustment to the local ephrin concentration enables the growth cone to read positional information and react to it in a meaningful way.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design of gradients and constant stripe patterns

Five linear gradients of different steepness were created as follows: the steepest gradient (relative steepness 1) consisted of an array of protein-covered stripes with constantly increasing width. The first stripe was 0.3 μm wide and each...
following stripe was 0.3 \( \mu m \) wider than the one before. The lower edges of the stripes had the constant distance of 7.5 \( \mu m \), i.e. the gaps between the stripes decreased in width. After 33 stripes, i.e. 247.5 \( \mu m \), the stripe pattern merged into a homogenously covered area. For stability reasons, there was a 1-\( \mu m \)-long array of dots with the edge length of 1 \( \mu m \) in front of the gradient. The distance between the dots was 20 \( \mu m \) along both the x- and y-axes.

Shallower gradients were created by disruption of the stripes. In gradients with the relative steepness 2/3, the stripes were disrupted by 1-\( \mu m \)-long gaps every 3 \( \mu m \), i.e. 1-\( \mu m \)-long gaps alternated with 2-\( \mu m \)-long protein-covered segments of the stripes.

Correspondingly, the gradients with the relative steepness 1/3 consisted of gaps of 2 \( \mu m \) between stretches of protein with a length of 1 \( \mu m \), etc. (compare Fig. 1).

Three non-graded patterns with stripes of constant width (0.3 \( \mu m \), 0.6 \( \mu m \) and 1.8 \( \mu m \)) were designed. As in the gradients, the lower edges of the stripes had the constant distance of 7.5 \( \mu m \).

**Stamping protein pattern fields**

For \( \mu \)CP (Bernard et al., 2000; Quist et al., 2005), silicon masters with patterns described above were fabricated by low voltage electron beam lithography using a positive tone resist (David and Hambach, 1999). The resulting resist pattern was inverted using a lift-off process and reactive ion etching (David and Souvorov, 1999) to yield a master with rectangular, 650-\( \mu m \)-deep holes in the silicon surface.

Cuboids of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) were coated with ephrin solution, incubated for 20-30 minutes at 37\( ^\circ \)C, washed twice in ddH\(_2\)O and dried with a stream of N\(_2\). The protein-covered cuboid surface was then placed onto the silicon master and removed immediately. This way, the pattern of the master was transferred to the PDMS cuboid, which was then used as a stamp on glass coverslips. After the printing process, the coverslips were coated with 20 \( \mu g/ml \) laminin (Invitrogen) in PBS for 30 minutes, washed in Hanks’ medium and equilibrated with F12 medium.

As ink for \( \mu \)CP, a PBS solution containing 8 \( \mu g/ml \) recombinant ephrinA5-Fc chimera protein (R&D Systems) clustered with 24 \( \mu g/ml \) Alexa Fluor 488 anti-human Fc antibody (Molecular probes) was used. Alternatively, 16 \( \mu g/ml \) ephrinA5-Fc was clustered with 48 \( \mu g/ml \) antibody. To obtain less concentrated ephrin solutions (2 and 4 \( \mu g/ml \)), the clustered 8 \( \mu g/ml \) standard solution was diluted with antibody clustered Fc. Clustered Fc at 8 \( \mu g/ml \) was also used for control experiments.

For single experiments, recombinant ephrinA2-Fc chimera protein (R&D Systems) was used instead of ephrinA5.

The ephrin concentration per surface area in \( \mu \)CP gradients can be varied by either variation of the geometry of the gradient pattern or variation in the concentration of the printing ink. In the range of 2-8 \( \mu g/ml \), the fluorescence intensity of stamped ephrin increases roughly linear with the printing ink concentration. However, we cannot determine if the concentration of the printing ink is directly proportional to the amount of functional ephrin transferred to the coverslip. We therefore evaluate data from different printing ink concentrations separately.

**Preparation, fixation and staining of retinal ganglion cell cultures**

RGCs were dissected and cultured according to the method previously described (Walter et al., 1987). Briefly, retinae of embryonic day (E) 6-7 chick embryos were dissected in Hanks’ medium and cut along the dorsoventral axis in 275-\( \mu m \)-wide stripes. The retinal stripes from either the temporal or the nasal half of the retina were placed approximately 700-\( \mu m \) in front of the protein patterns on the coverslips and grown in F12 medium containing 0.4% methylcellulose, 2% chicken serum and 5% fetal calf serum (FCS).

After 24 hours, the RGC cultures were fixed for 30 minutes in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1% glutaraldehyde in PBS containing 0.3 mol/l sucrose and stained with Alexa Fluor 594 phalloidin (Molecular Probes). Cultures were embedded in mowiol and photographed with an inverted phase contrast microscope (Axiowert 10, Zeiss) and a color video camera (Sonie CCD) using Analysis D software (Soft Imaging Systems). The acquired pictures were further processed with Photoshop CS software (Adobe).

**Time-lapse microscopy**

For time-lapse microscopy, \( \mu \)CP was performed onto surface-treated polystyrene cell culture dishes (Nunc). Time-lapse films were recorded with the microscope using 20\( \times \) and 63\( \times \) objectives and the camera system described above.

**Quantitative evaluation of axonal growth**

The acquired pictures were further processed with Photoshop CS software, to combine phase contrast pictures and pictures of fluorescently stained protein or two pictures with different fluorescence stainings.

For quantitative evaluation of axonal growth, the fluorescence of the anti-Fc antibody labeling the substrate-bound ephrin and the fluorescence of the phalloidin-stained axons were measured in a 495 \( \mu m \times 375 \mu m \) field containing the stamped pattern and a 100-\( \mu m \)-long area preceding the pattern. For this, a 10-\( \mu m \)-high measuring rectangle extending over the whole width of the field (495 \( \mu m \)) was moved in 0.65-\( \mu m \) steps in the direction of axonal growth, i.e. rectangular to the gradient over the field, resulting in 553 data points along the vertical axis for each ephrin and axonal fluorescence. The intensity of axonal fluorescence was plotted against the distance overgrown by the axons, resulting in curves that reflected the distribution of axons and growth cones in the printed pattern and in the

---

**Fig. 1. Substrate-bound gradients fabricated by microcontact printing.** (A) Schematic of a growth cone in a continuous gradient. (B,C) Growth cones in different discontinuous gradients fabricated by microcontact printing: (B) a steep gradient built by an array of stripes and (C) a shallow gradient built by dots. Antibody-stained ephrin is shown in red; phalloidin-stained actin in black. (D,E) The gradients shown in B and C in lower magnification extend over a distance of 250 \( \mu m \). The relative slope 1 of the gradient in D is reduced to 1/3 in E by interrupting the ephrin stripes. (F) Averaged fluorescence intensities measured in a 20-\( \mu m \)-wide measuring field moved along the axis of the gradients in D (blue curve) and E (red curve). Scale bars: 15 \( \mu m \) in B,C; 50 \( \mu m \) in D,E.
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RESULTS

Fabrication of substrate-bound ephrin gradients by microcontact printing

Patterns fabricated by μCP consist of dots and lines, which are printed with a fixed printing ink concentration, but vary with respect to their sizes and spacings. By contrast to continuous gradients with smooth transitions between different concentrations (Fig. 1A), these gradients are discontinuous (Fig. 1B-E). In the present study, we used solutions of human ephrinA5-Fc protein, which was preclustered with fluorescently labeled anti-human Fc antibody as printing ink, and coated the glass or plastic surface with laminin as a growth-promoting molecule.

The steepest gradient produced in this way consisted of an array of protein-covered stripes with constantly increasing width (0.3 μm per stripe) (Fig. 1D,E). The distance between the upper edges of the stripes was constant (7.5 μm). Shallower gradients were created by interruptions of the stripes (Fig. 1C,E). Microcontact-printed ephrin gradients are highly reproducible, stable and biologically active. The ephrin coverage at different positions of the gradient could be easily quantified by measuring the fluorescence intensity of the antibody used for clustering. In Fig. 1F, the average fluorescence intensities within a 20-μm measuring field were plotted against the longitudinal axis of the two gradients shown in Fig. 1D,E. In both gradients, the fluorescence intensity increased in a linear way with distance and was directly proportional to the ephrin coverage given by the geometry of the graded pattern.

In normalized curves, each of the 493 overlapping 10 μm × 495 μm measuring fields along the vertical axis of the gradient and the preceding area was assigned to the percentage of axonal fluorescence relating to the overall axonal fluorescence in the 495 μm × 375 μm field. To obtain a mean growth curve for each gradient type, the growth curves of the single experiments were summed up and divided by the number of experiments. As a reference, curves were compared with the arithmetic mean of the relative fluorescence, which is calculated by dividing 100 by the number of 493 smoothed data points. The mean stop point position in each pattern was defined as the intersection between the mean growth curve and the arithmetic mean. The standard error of the mean stop point position was calculated based on the intersection points with the arithmetic mean.

ANOVA was applied to the mean stop points in gradients with different slope and non-graded patterns with different stripe widths, respectively. Student’s t-test was used to compare the mean stop points in gradients with the same slope but printed with two different ephrin concentrations (4 and 8 μg/ml).

Temporal RGC growth cones form defined stop zones in ephrin gradients

RGC axons from the nasal retinal half grew over the ephrin gradient without being repelled or displaying a stop reaction. In cultures fixed after 24 hours, nasal growth cones were distributed evenly over the gradient and decreased only slightly in density with increasing distance from the retinal explant (Fig. 2A).

By contrast, growth cones from the temporal retina invaded the beginning of the gradient, but did not advance beyond a distinct range. They built up a stable stop zone consisting of a dense meshwork of axons, growth cones and thickened axons shafts (Fig. 2B). Around 5-10% of the temporal axons did not stop in the ephrin gradient. As in earlier studies (Rosentreter et al., 1998; Monschau et al., 1997), no graded response was visible along the naso-temporal axis of the temporal half of the retina.

In control experiments, where Fc protein was used instead of ephrin for fabrication of the gradient, both temporal and nasal axons crossed the gradient without stopping (data not shown).

The reaction of individual temporal growth cones within ephrin gradients was observed by time-lapse microscopy (Fig. 3; see Movie 1 in the supplementary material). Temporal growth cones invaded shallow gradients without major changes in morphology (Fig. 3A).

Within the gradient, phases of transient retraction alternated with phases of elongation until the growth cone stopped at a defined position. While pausing in that relatively small area, the growth cone constantly rearranged its filopodia and lamellipodia, actively exploring its environment. In the steepest ephrin gradient, reactions of temporal growth cones were more pronounced (Fig. 3B).

Temporal RGC growth cones form defined stop zones in ephrin gradients.

Fig. 2. Temporal, but not nasal, RGC axons form stop zones in ephrin gradients. (A) Nasal growth cones overgrow an ephrin gradient without being affected. (B) By contrast, temporal growth cones form a distinct stop zone in the ephrin gradient. As new axons continuously leave the explant, growth cones are observed in the area between the explant and the stop zone. Arrows mark growth cones that do not respond to the gradient. To the right, the ephrin gradient is shown in black with a red arrowhead marking the beginning of the gradient. Antibody-stained ephrin is shown in red; phalloidin-stained actin in black. Scale bar: 100 μm.
These findings suggest: (1) that temporal growth cones can detect patterns as gradients, although they are discontinuous at the microscale, and are able to integrate patchy ephrin distributions in the range of several micrometers; and (2) that substrate-bound ephrin provokes not only repulsion and retraction, but can also elicit growth cone stop at a defined position within the gradient. Stable axonal stop zones can be broken down by addition of soluble ephrin

Our time-lapse studies indicate that the stop reaction of temporal axons within the ephrin gradient is not a permanent growth cone collapse or adhesion to high amounts of substrate-bound ephrin, but rather a dynamic process. To interfere with Eph-receptor/ephrin-ligand interactions, soluble dimeric ephrin was added to the culture medium. Soluble dimeric ephrin has been shown previously to have a repulsive effect on retinal growth cones (Weinl et al., 2003). After adding 500 ng/ml soluble ephrinA5-Fc protein to temporal RGCs growing on laminin, we observed transient growth cone collapse followed by retraction during the first 0.5-1 hours. After this time, growth cones resumed forward elongation at the same rate as before addition of ephrin (data not shown). When temporal axons were grown from the beginning in medium containing 250-500 ng/ml soluble ephrin, stop zones never formed and cultures were indistinguishable from Fc controls (data not shown). We next added soluble ephrinA5 at a concentration of 500 ng/ml to cultures of temporal axons a few hours after a visible stop zone had formed. After 1 hour, a subset of axons overshot the stop zone, which disappeared almost completely after 2 hours. During disappearance of the stop zone, axons with stationary growth cones resumed elongation and stop reactions of newly advancing growth cones were abolished. Addition of 500 ng/ml soluble Fc did not affect the stop reaction (data not shown).

These findings demonstrate that the growth cones pausing within the stop zone remain active and that the stop reaction is reversible.

Temporal RGC axons elongate differently in ephrin gradients of different slope

Given that temporal growth cones stop reproducibly at a defined zone in ephrin gradients, we further addressed the question of how the position of this stop zone would vary in gradients of different slope and in gradients containing different amounts of ephrinA5. The geometrical design of the printing stamps allowed us to fabricate five linear gradients with relative slopes of 1, 2/3, 1/2, 1/3 and 1/6. The absolute concentration of ephrinA5 in the gradients was varied by using different ephrin concentrations (2, 4, 8 and 16 μg/ml) in the printing ink. In some experiments, ephrinA5 was replaced by ephrinA2. As we did not observe any obvious differences in the axonal reaction (data not shown), only ephrinA5 was further used throughout the study.

Fig. 4A shows three gradients with the relative slopes of 1, 2/3 and 1/3 printed with 8 μg/ml ephrinA5. With decreasing slope, the stop zone proceeded deeper into the gradient. For a precise quantification...
of the distribution of growth cones and the position of the stop zone in the gradient, the actin in growth cones and axons was stained with fluorescently labelled phalloidin. The fluorescence intensity was measured in a standardized field encompassing the gradient and was plotted against the distance traveled by the axons. The resulting curves reflect the density of axons and growth cones at each point along the gradient (Fig 4B). The position of the axonal stop zone was defined as the point along the axis of the gradient where the axonal fluorescence drops below the arithmetic mean of the overall axonal fluorescence for the first time (for details see Materials and methods). As shown in Fig. 4, the position of the stop zone determined in this way corresponded well with the stop zone position estimated by visual inspection. As the even distribution of temporal axons growing on Fc protein gradients led to curves that were roughly equal to the arithmetic mean line (Fig. 5A), we chose the arithmetic mean as a reference.

Axonal growth curves from several experiments were averaged for each gradient type. Steeper gradients, as well as a higher printing ink concentration, led to lower stop zone positions (Fig. 5A,B). As shown in Fig. 5C, the relative slope of the gradient and the position of the stop zone correlated linearly for all three printing ink concentrations. In gradients printed with 2 μg/ml ephrin solution, axons formed a diffuse stop zone, located deep in the gradient, suggesting that 2 μg/ml is near the lower limit of the printing ink concentration sufficient to elicit a stop reaction. Although the standard error of the mean stop zone position is high, the data points for 2-μg/ml gradients show the same trend as the statistically firm data from 4- and 8-μg/ml gradients (Fig. 5C).

An increase of the printing ink concentration to 16 μg/ml did not significantly change the stop zone positions observed for 8 μg/ml (data not shown), suggesting that either maximal reactivity of the axons or maximal surface coverage is reached in 8-μg/ml gradients. The magnitude of axonal outgrowth did not affect the stop point position in our experimental setup (data not shown).

These results show that temporal growth cones can distinguish different slopes and discriminate between different protein concentrations of ephrinA5 at given slopes.

Temporal axons stop in non-graded ephrin patterns
Starting from the observation that stop zone positions depend on the slope of the gradient and the ephrin concentration, we asked what determines the position of the stop zone in an individual gradient and what are common parameters of all stop zone positions in the different gradients. In the simplest case, one would envisage that growth cones stop at a fixed local concentration, which is reached earlier in steeper gradients and later in shallower gradients. To test this hypothesis, three discontinuous non-graded patterns were built by an array of stripes with the constant thickness of 0.3, 0.6 and 1.8 μm. If only the local ephrin concentration determines the stop reaction, temporal axons would either not enter these patterns or continue their growth without being affected. Surprisingly, temporal axons reacted to non-graded ephrin patterns as to linear gradients. After overgrowing a certain distance in the pattern, growth cones stopped and formed a distinct stop zone (Fig. 6A). The thicker the stripes of the pattern, or the higher the printing ink concentration, the earlier the axons formed a stop zone (Fig. 6B).

The observed axonal stop reaction in non-graded ephrin patterns indicates that the local ephrin concentration does not solely determine the stop point position and suggests an additional summation mechanism within a growth cone. We therefore estimated the total amount of ephrin a growth cone has encountered on its way to the stop zone by summing the area of the ephrin covered spots in a 15 μm wide rectangle spanning from the beginning of the pattern up to the stop zone (Fig. 7A, left). In addition, the local ephrin concentration at the stop zone position was determined as the percentage of ephrin coverage in a measuring square of 15×15 μm (Fig. 7A, right). Both the local ephrin concentration and the total amount of ephrin encountered on the way...
to the stop zone varied, depending on the pattern. When these two parameters were plotted against each other for all graded and non-graded patterns (Fig. 7B), a linear correlation became apparent. Equations for the linear smoothing functions are: $y=2.8x+13.0$ with the correlation coefficient $R=0.89$ (8 µg/ml), $y=4.4x+33.5$, $R=0.81$ (4 µg/ml) (Fig. 7B) and $y=9.7x+58.8$, $R=0.97$ (2 µg/ml) (data not shown). In shallow gradients, as well as in non-graded patterns with thin stripes, both the local ephrin concentration and the total encountered ephrin-covered area were lower than in steep gradients and non-graded patterns with thick stripes. From this we conclude that the pattern in which ephrin is presented to the growth cone influences the efficiency of the transmitted stop signal.

**DISCUSSION**

Using μCP, we generated stable and precise gradients of substrate-bound ephrin protein. We found that temporal RGC axons reacted to these gradients by forming stop zones, but remained responsive to signaling modulations. The stop reaction depended on the slope of the gradient and the absolute ephrin concentration, providing evidence for an integrative mechanism that enables growth cones to discriminate between different ephrin distributions.

By contrast to continuous gradients with smooth transitions between different concentrations, discontinuous gradients of substrate-bound ephrinA5 consist of submicron-sized protein-covered spots that vary with respect to their sizes and spacing but do contain the same amount of ephrin per surface unit. Although quantitative measurements of in-situ signals for ephrinAs give the impression of a continuous gradient within the tectum (Drescher et al., 1995; Cheng et al., 1995), retinal axons might in vivo be confronted with gradients of guidance molecules discontinuous on a microscale. Studies in the regenerating visual system of goldfish suggest that the tectal ephrinA2 gradient is built by increasing numbers of ephrinA2-expressing tectal cells with similar ephrin expression levels (King et al., 2004). In the chick tectum, growth cones are confronted with intermingled processes of neurons, glial cells and radial glial endfeet, which express ephrinAs at different levels (Davenport et al., 1998).

Proper EphA/ephrinA signaling is dependent on cleavage and internalization of ephrinA (Hattori et al., 2000; Janes et al., 2005). As this cleavage has been shown recently to occur in trans (Janes et al., 2005), it can be assumed to take place in our system, where ephrinA can be bound to the surface.

Topographic mapping is thought to be based on a graded responsiveness of retinal growth cones towards ephrin along the nasal-temporal axis of the retina (McLaughlin and O’Leary, 2005). However, we found, in accordance with previous in-vitro studies
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**Fig. 6. Temporal axons stop in non-graded striped ephrin patterns.** (A) Stop zones of temporal axons in non-graded patterns printed with 8 μg/ml ephrinA5. Antibody-stained ephrin is shown in red; phalloidin-stained axonal actin in black. In the pattern consisting of 0.3 μm thick stripes, growth cones stop later than in the pattern of 1.8 μm stripes. Scale bar: 100 μm. (B) The position of the axonal stop points in three different stripe patterns is plotted against the stripe thickness for patterns printed with 8, 4 and 2 μg/ml. Mean stop points were 49.1±2.3 μm (stripe thickness 0.3 μm, n=5), 37.4±3.0 μm (0.6 μm, n=6), 28.3±1.7 μm (1.8 μm, n=6) for 8 μg/ml patterns; 83.7±7.8 μm (0.3 μm, n=6), 66.0±4.3 μm (0.6 μm, n=7), 48.8±4.2 μm (1.8 μm, n=10) for 4 μg/ml patterns and 122.4 μm (0.3 μm, n=2), 93.7 μm (0.6 μm, n=3), 82.6 μm (1.8 μm, n=3) for 2 μg/ml patterns. Error bars indicate standard error. Due to the data examination method, no standard error could be calculated for the mean stop point position in the patterns printed with 2 μg/ml (marked with a stars, for details see Materials and methods). P<0.001 by ANOVA for 8 μg/ml patterns and P<0.006 for 4 μg/ml patterns. Student’s t-test for small sample sizes was applied to compare the mean stop points in patterns with the same stripe thickness printed with 8 and 4 μg/ml: P<0.001 (stripe thickness 0.3 μm), P<0.001 (stripe thickness 0.6 μm), P<0.003 (stripe thickness 1.8 μm).

(Baier and Bonhoeffer, 1992; Walter et al., 1987), that all temporal growth cones reacted as a uniformly responsive population and all nasal growth cones were unresponsive. In our experimental system, fiber-fiber interaction/competition among growth cones might be reduced compared to the in-vivo situation. As competition of retinal axons has been implicated in topographic mapping (Prestige and Willshaw, 1975; Brown et al., 2000; Feldheim et al., 2000; Feldheim et al., 2004; Honda, 2003; Honda, 2004), we cannot rule out that the omission of axon competition gives rise to the uniform behavior of all temporal axons in our experimental setup. The observed uniform behavior is more compatible with models in which gradients of ephrinAs in the target field act to sort out temporal and nasal axons, whereas retinotectal mapping is later produced by topographically specific interstitial branching (McLaughlin and O’Leary, 2005).

In a recent study, it was demonstrated that retinal axons show a graded response to ephrinAs (Hansen et al., 2004). EphrinA2 inhibited growth at high concentrations but promoted growth at low concentrations. The transition from promotion to inhibition varied along the naso-temporal axis of the retina. The experimental designs in this study differed at various points from the ones used here. Most notably, Hansen and co-workers cultured retinal explants directly on a uniformly covered ephrin substrate, whereas in our work RGC axons were confronted with a graded distribution of ephrinA5 after elongation on an ephrin-free stretch of way.

We found that both gradient slope and absolute ephrin concentration affected the position of the stop zone in a significant and reproducible way. In an earlier study, temporal axons were confronted with linear gradients of repellent membrane fragments...
from either caudal tectum or ephrinA-expressing cell lines (Rosentreter et al., 1998). Here growth cones reacted to an apparent threshold concentration of repellent material that was independent of slope (Rosentreter et al., 1998). However, by contrast to the present study, axons did not stop once they reached the threshold concentration, but rather continued elongation on areas covered with low amounts of membrane material. The influence of the slope may be seen only after the precise quantification of the guidance molecules, which is possible in gradients fabricated by μCP.

Although addition of soluble, dimeric ephrin leads to transient growth cone collapse and retraction, it is not sufficient for the more enduring stop observed in the patterns of substrate-bound ephrin. When added to an already formed stop zone, soluble ephrin caused the breakup of the stop zone and inhibited further stopping in the gradient. These observations might be explained in two ways. Firstly, in the signaling complexes of stopped growth cones, Eph receptors are continuously replaced by new receptors to ensure proper signaling. Soluble ephrin would then compete with substrate-bound ephrin for the EphA receptors on the growth cone. Secondly, soluble dimeric ephrin might induce a different axonal response from that of substrate-bound clustered ephrin. In both cases, signaling cascades of Eph receptors induced by higher order clusters (substrate-bound ephrin) and by soluble dimeric ephrin should differ, a phenomenon that has recently been demonstrated for the EphA4 receptor (Egea et al., 2005).

The local concentration at the stop zone varies in the different ephrin patterns, and therefore it cannot be claimed that it is the sole cause of the stop reaction. The same is true for the total amount of ephrin that a growth cone had encountered when it stopped at the stop zone. Interestingly, we find the local ephrin concentration and the total encountered ephrin-covered area at the stop point position correlated in a linear way for all tested ephrin patterns and printing ink concentrations (Fig. 7B). Based on these findings, we suggest a model where the local ephrin concentration and the total encountered ephrin-covered area have opposite effects on growth cone advance (Fig. 7C). We hypothesize that the stop tendency of the growth cone increases with the total encountered ephrin-covered area, whereas the local ephrin concentration is proportional to an adjustment process preventing the stop reaction. As soon as these parameters reach a certain ratio, the growth cone stops. On the intracellular level, summation of the overgrown ephrin and adjustment to the local ephrin concentration should be regulated via two different signal transduction pathways, which have opposite effects on a molecular switch (Z) regulating growth cone stop (Fig. 7C). Summation occurs via a component X, which is inactivated slowly and therefore accumulates. Adjustment, by contrast, is based on a component Y, which is rapidly inactivated, and so has levels that reflect the local ephrin concentration. The rise in levels of Y should be temporally delayed upon activation of EphA receptors, compared with levels of X.

A model that includes summation and adjustment mechanisms explains why axons stop at lower local concentrations in shallow gradients than in steep gradients and why stop zones form at all in non-graded discontinuous patterns. A temporal delay in the adjustment pathway could account for cycles of growth cone retraction/pausing alternating with elongation observed with time-lapse microscopy (Fig. 3A). This temporal delay can also explain why the ratio between the local ephrin concentration and the total encountered ephrin-covered area deviates noticeably from the linear smoothing function for gradients with the relative slope 1 printed with 4 and 8 μg/ml (Fig. 7B, marked with stars), because in the steepest gradients the adaptation level may lag behind the actual local concentration.

Explorative forward movements alternating with retraction/pausing may be equivalent to the observed cycles of desensitization/resensitization, which have been conceptualized as a mode of adaptation (Ming et al., 2002; Piper et al., 2005). It should be noted, however, that recent work from the lab of G. Goodhill has questioned the requirement of adaptation for the response of axons to molecular gradients (Xu et al., 2005).

In a system in which the local ephrin concentration and the total encountered ephrin-covered area are integrated, the slope of the gradient has less impact on the overgrown distance than in a scenario in which growth cones would stop either at a fixed local concentration or after a fixed overgrown sum. In the in-vivo situation, such integrative mechanisms would be advantageous, as a moderate change in the gradient slope would not affect substantially the stop zone position, making the system robust and less susceptible to variances in ephrin expression levels.

In summary, we found that retinal growth cones can detect and integrate discontinuous gradients of substrate-bound ephrinA5 by forming a distinct stop zone within the gradient. The axonal reaction is controlled by a combination of the local ephrin concentration and the total encountered ephrin. Whether similar mechanisms are involved in the formation of the retinotopic map in vivo remains to be elucidated. Here growth cones are confronted with a much more complex environment, not only consisting of a single ephrinA5 gradient but also superimposed graded distributions of other ephrins and counter gradients of Eph-receptors (Connor et al., 1998; Feldheim et al., 2004). Gradients produced by microcontact printing, on all accounts, proved to be a useful tool to explore possible modes of gradient detection and integration by growth cones and other migrating cell types.
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