Spatiotemporal definition of neurite outgrowth, refinement and retraction in the developing mouse cochlea
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The adult mammalian cochlea receives dual afferent innervation: the inner sensory hair cells are innervated exclusively by type I spiral ganglion neurons (SGN), whereas the sensory outer hair cells are innervated by type II SGN. We have characterized the spatiotemporal reorganization of the dual afferent innervation pattern as it is established in the developing mouse cochlea. This reorganization occurs during the first postnatal week just before the onset of hearing. Our data reveal three distinct phases in the development of the afferent innervation of the organ of Corti: (1) neurite growth and extension of both classes of afferents to all hair cells (E18-P0); (2) neurite refinement, with formation of the outer spiral bundles innervating outer hair cells (P0-P3); (3) neurite retraction and synaptic pruning to eliminate type I SGN innervation of outer hair cells, while retaining their innervation of inner hair cells (P3-P6). The characterization of this developmental innervation pattern was made possible by the finding that tetramethylrhodamine-conjugated dextran (TMRD) specifically labeled type I SGN. Peripherin and choline-acetyltransferase immunofluorescence confirmed the type II and efferent innervation patterns, respectively, and verified the specificity of the type I SGN neurites labeled by TMRD. These findings define the precise spatiotemporal neurite reorganization of the two afferent nerve fiber populations in the cochlea, which is crucial for auditory neurotransmission. This reorganization also establishes the cochlea as a model system for studying CNS synapse development, plasticity and elimination.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of the mammalian nervous system involves a number of synchronous events, including neurite growth towards appropriate targets, the formation of new synaptic connections, plasticity to reapportion synaptic contacts and axon retraction to remove inappropriate innervation and synapses (Malenka, 2003; Oster and Sretavan, 2003; Walsh and Lichtman, 2003; Montgomery and Madison, 2004; Sugihara, 2006). In the developing cochlea, these events are likely to play a crucial role in establishing the correct afferent innervation pattern. In the cochlea, sensory hair cells transduce the acoustic signal. The tonotopic organization of the sensory epithelium (the organ of Corti) and the intensity-dependent hair cell depolarization determine the firing of the spiral ganglion neurons (SGN), the primary auditory neurons (Pickles, 1988). This primary afferent innervation of the hair cells is complex, with two functionally distinct neuron populations conveying sound information to the central auditory system. Each of the approximately 800 inner hair cells (IHC) in the adult mouse cochlea are exclusively innervated by up to 20 type I SGNs (approximately 95% of the total neuron population) and are the principal encoders of the auditory signal. By contrast, the approximately 2600 outer hair cells (OHC) share an en passant innervation by type II SGN (approximately 5% of the neuron population) (Keithley and Feldman, 1982; Burda and Branis, 1988; Slepecky, 1996; Pujol et al., 1998). Type II SGN innervation is likely to provide sensory feedback from the OHC region as part of a neural control loop within the central auditory nuclei that includes inhibitory olivocochlear efferent innervation of both the OHC and the postsynaptic region of the type I SGN at the IHC (Pickles, 1988; Jagger and Housley, 2003; Darrow et al., 2006; Darrow et al., 2007).

Before the cochlear afferent innervation reaches this mature configuration, there is an initial mismatch, where both populations of SGN innervate both types of sensory hair cells: during the first postnatal week in the rodent cochlea, type I SGN innervation is eliminated from the OHC and type II SGN innervation is eliminated from the IHC (Perkins and Morest, 1975; Echteler, 1992; Simmons, 1994). One major obstacle to defining neurite retraction and synaptic remodeling in the cochlea has been the inability to distinguish the origin of the nerve fibers either before or during neurite retraction from the mismatched hair cells. Previous studies have revealed the overall pattern of axonal growth in the cochlea using electron microscopy, Golgi staining, horseradish peroxidase histochemistry and lipophilic dyes to label SGN and trace the nerve fibers to the hair cell region during development (Perkins and Morest, 1975; Echteler, 1992; Simmons, 1994; Bruce et al., 1997). However, it has not been possible to unequivocally identify the SGN subtypes until neurite reorganization was complete. For the first time, we have independently tracked the development of the two SGN populations in the mouse cochlea using tetramethylrhodamine-conjugated dextran (TMRD) to label type I SGN and peripherin immunolabeling to identify type II SGN. This has enabled us to directly visualize the time frame and the dynamics of the developing afferent innervation patterns and precisely define the spatiotemporal reorganization of auditory neurites that precedes the onset of hearing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All procedures in this study were approved by the University of Auckland Animal Ethics Committee. Cochleae were obtained from embryonic day 18 (E18), postnatal day 0-12 (P0-P12) and adult (P35-P42) C57/BL6 mice. The
were cryoprotected with 10% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS for 4 hours, then 30% sucrose in PBS overnight, followed by incubation in 30% sucrose and Tissue-Tek (OCT, Miles, IN) (1:1) for 1 hour. Cochleae were then mounted in OCT, frozen in dry ice and cryosectioned (CM1900 cryostat microtome, Leica, Germany) at 50 μm thickness into 0.1 M PBS.

Whole mounts or cross-sections were incubated in a blocking/ permeabilization solution at 4°C for 1 hour [10% normal goat or horse serum (NGS or NHS; Vector Laboratories, CA), and 1% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS] and then incubated at 4°C overnight in primary antibody solution: anti-peripherin antibody (1:800 in 5% NGS in 0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS) for peripherin immunolabeling; anti-ChAT antibody (1:200 in 5% NHS in 0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS) for ChAT immunolabeling. Alexa 488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG or Alexa 647-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG (1:500; Molecular Probes), were applied at room temperature (2 hours), then incubated at 4°C (2 hours). After washes, the tissues were mounted on glass slides (Vectorshiel, Vector Laboratories) and stored at 4°C. Omission of primary antibodies in negative controls resulted in no signal (data not shown). The specificity of peripherin expression in type II SGN was validated in previous studies (Djabali et al., 1991; Hafidi et al., 1993; Despres and Romand, 1994; Mou et al., 1998; Terao et al., 2000). We further confirmed the specificity of our peripherin antibody by successfully reproducing peripherin expression patterns in the neonatal rat cochlea as described by Hafidi et al. (Hafidi et al., 1993) (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material).

**RESULTS**

**Postnatal day 6 afferent innervation patterns approach the mature cochlear configuration**

Significant neurite and synapse remodeling occurs to establish the mature innervation pattern of the cochlea. At P6 we found that the cochlear afferent innervation pattern was representative of the mature neural organization (Fig. 1). TMRD labeled the majority of SGN cell bodies within Rosenthal’s canal in the apical, mid and basal turns of the cochlea (Fig. 1 and see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). Labeled neurites extending from these cells projected as radial fibers to the inner spiral plexus region beneath the row of IHC in the apical, mid and basal turns (Fig. 1 and see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). Peripherin-positive type II SGN cell bodies formed a distinct subpopulation primarily localized on the outer edge of the spiral ganglion adjacent to the (efferent) intraganglionic spiral bundle. TMRD labeling was clearly independent of peripherin-labeled type II SGNs (Fig. 1), indicative that TMRD was selectively labeling type I SGN fibers. Few type I SGNs occurred among the majority of TMRD-positive type I SGNs in the mid-cochlea level (Fig. 1). Unlike type I SGNs, peripherin-immunolabeled type II SGNs were absent in the most apical region, but towards the mid and basal turn the number of peripherin-expressing fibers in the outer spiral bundles gradually increased, similar to the type II SGN distribution that has been previously described (Keithley and Feldman, 1979; Hafidi et al., 1993). The peripherin-positive neurites arising from the type II SGNs projected...
alongside the type I radial fibers to the IHC region, but then passed beneath the tunnel of Corti and established three rows of basally traversing fibers, the outer spiral bundles, beneath the rows of OHC and Deiters’ cells (Hafidi et al., 1993; Hafidi, 1998).

3D confocal image reconstructions showed that the TMRD-labeled radial fibers passed above the peripherin-labeled outer spiral fibers in the osseous spiral lamina and inner spiral plexus regions (Fig. 1B, Fig. 5F; see Movie S2 in the supplementary material). The terminals of the TMRD-labeled type I SGN radial fibers were localized to the basolateral region of the IHC and extended further up the lateral wall of the IHC on their medial aspect (Fig. 1B, Fig. 4G,I, Fig. 5D,F, Fig. 6N,Q). Peripherin labeled outer spiral fibers were evident between Deiters’ cells, but the labeling was absent at the terminals on the OHC (Fig. 1B, Fig. 4H,I, Fig. 5E,F). Analysis of P12 and adult cochlea (not shown) confirmed that the P6 tissue reflected the mature differential afferent organization.

Establishment of cochlear afferent innervation

Independent labeling of the type I and type II SGNs by TMRD and peripherin enabled us to visualize and characterize the development of cochlear dual afferent innervation patterns. As noted, presentation of data is primarily from the mid-turn region of the cochlea to avoid the confounding complexity of the base-apex maturation gradient (Ruben, 1967; Lenoir et al., 1980; Pujol et al., 1998; Roth and Bruns, 1992a; Roth and Bruns, 1992b).

From E18 to P6, the neurite fiber tracts innervating the IHCs and OHCs showed no overlapping labeling with TMRD and peripherin. At E18, TMRD labeling of SGNs was evenly dispersed throughout all turns of the spiral ganglion (Fig. 2A). Peripherin immunolabeling was found in a subgroup of neurons throughout all turns, with expression increasing in the mid and basal turn regions (Fig. 2). In comparison to P6, the peripherin-positive type II SGNs at E18 were more numerous and were not restricted to the region close to the
Two days later, at P0, the distribution of the type I and type II SGNs within Rosenthal’s canal was similar to E18 (data not shown), but the neurites of both these neuron populations showed more extensive projections to the IHCs and OHCs. Type I fiber innervation of the IHCs formed a calyceal meshwork of terminals around the base and lateral aspect of the cells, extending towards the cuticular plate region (Fig. 6B,E). There was a tendency for type I terminals to extend towards the medial aspect of the IHCs, with some extension of these projections to the adjacent inner phalangeal cells. TMRD-labeled fibers also projected to the three rows of OHCs, along with the peripherin-positive type II neurites (Fig. 4A-C, Fig. 6A,B,D,E), as the outer spiral bundles started to form with a divergicating innervation pattern. The visualization of TMRD- and peripherin-labeled neurites innervating both IHCs and OHCs at P0 (Fig. 6A,B,D,E) confirmed the transient innervation of type II SGNs to IHCs and type I to OHCs during cochlear synaptic reorganization (Perkins and Morest, 1975). At the apical turn, both types of neurites traveled only a short distance radially to contact small numbers of OHCs (data not shown), resembling the development of the cochlear innervation in the mid-basal regions between E18 and P0.

Afferent innervation at P3 represented the maximum overlap in neurite projections from type I and type II SGNs within the outer spiral bundles. Peripherin-expressing neurons were more frequently

**Fig. 4. Development of the afferent innervation in the cochlea during neurite reorganization (P0-P6) in mice.** TMRD- and peripherin-labeled neurites undergo refinement (P0-P3) and retraction (P3-P6), as demonstrated by maximum intensity projections of confocal images from cochlear whole-mount preparations. (A,D,G) TMRD labeling (red) of type I afferent nerve fibers. These neurites innervate the IHCs between P0 and P6. Peripherin (green) immunolabeling of type II afferent nerve fibers (B,E,H) and merged images (C,F,I) demonstrate the independence and the relationship between TMRD and peripherin labeling. (A-C) At P0, both TMRD- and peripherin-labeled neurites travel along the OHCs in an unorganized pattern. (D-F) Both TMRD- and peripherin-labeled neurites formed three rows of outer spiral bundles beneath the OHCs in an organized pattern. The inner spiral plexus, associated with the IHCs, exhibited only TMRD labeling, but not peripherin labeling, at P3. (G-I) TMRD labeling was reduced under the OHCs compared with the strong representation of peripherin-expressing neurites in the outer spiral bundle region. Scale bars 10 μm. SGNI, type I spiral ganglion neurons; SGNII, type II spiral ganglion neurons.

**Fig. 3. Immature cochlear afferent innervation pattern at E18 in mice.** (A) An overview of TMRD (red, type I SGNs) and peripherin (green; type II SGNs) labeling in a whole-mount preparation displayed as a maximum intensity projection. (B) TMRD- and peripherin-labeled neurites in the organ of Corti exhibited an unorganized innervation pattern under the OHCs. These neurites traveled only a short distance in the outer spiral bundles (OSBs). TMRD labeling was also found under the IHCs. (C,D) Organ of Corti cross section from a single confocal optical section provides an alternative view under the hair cells. (C) TMRD-labeled neurites in the inner spiral plexus region, showing innervation of the IHCs. Type I fibers also traveled along the basilar membrane to innervate the first row of OHCs via the OSBs (arrow). (D) Peripherin-expressing neurites traveled along the basilar membrane, and entered the OSBs to target an OHC. Peripherin-expressing fibers also formed collateral innervation to the IHCs via thin fibers (arrowhead). Scale bars: 50 μm in A; 10 μm in B; 20 μm in C,D. isp, inner spiral plexus; osb, outer spiral bundles.

Intrageniculiarian spiral bundle (Fig. 1A,C, Fig. 2A, Fig. 3A,B). This is consistent with reports of the peripherin expression of SGN in the developing rat cochlea (Hafidi et al., 1993). The mid and basal turn regions of E18 cochlea showed an immature, unorganized innervation of both IHCs and OHCs by TMRD- and peripherin-labeled neurite populations (Fig. 3). Both afferent fiber types projected to the IHC region and extended beyond this, as neurites projecting to the OHC region (Fig. 3). The projecting neurites in the OHC region traveled short distances and formed contacts with few, predominantly first row, OHCs (Fig. 3B-D). The TMRD-labeled type I neurites innervating the IHCs had extensive terminals on the lateral walls of the cells (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material), whereas the peripherin-positive type II fibers innervating the IHC had short terminal branches that were limited to the base of the cells. In the apical region at E18, few neurites of either type reached the inner spiral plexus region; there were no terminal processes on the IHCs and no fibers projecting to the OHCs (not shown).
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**DISCUSSION**

Axon retraction and synapse elimination are required during early postnatal development to establish the correct wiring in the central and peripheral nervous systems (Walsh and Lichtman, 2003; Sugihara, 2006). During development of the cochlea, the IHCs and OHCs are innervated by two types of primary afferent neurons. However, in the adult, IHC and OHC innervation is reduced and these cells become exclusively innervated by type I and type II auditory nerve fibers, respectively, with additional complexity contributed by reciprocal synapses on the IHCs (Sobkowicz et al., 2003). Here, we have characterized in a way not previously possible how this mature innervation pattern becomes established. We have defined the development of afferent nerve innervation by visualizing the outgrowth, branching, pruning and withdrawal of the type I and type II afferent nerve fibers independently. This reorganization occurs at a crucial period in the development of the auditory system, just before the onset of hearing (around P10 in mice) (Kros et al., 1998).
Stages of development of the afferent innervation of the organ of Corti

The direct visualization of developing innervation patterns from embryonic through postnatal development provided by this study has enabled the identification of three distinct stages of cochlear afferent innervation: (1) neurite growth and extension under the sensory hair cell region from both types of SGN between E18 and P0; (2) neurite refinement under OHCs from both types of SGN between P0 and P3; (3) neurite retraction of type I SGNs from OHCs and synaptic pruning between P3 and P6.

Neurite outgrowth and extension (E18-P0)

During this period, type I and type II SGN neurites project to the IHC and OHC regions and extend terminals towards the target hair cells. The signaling mechanism associated with this neurite outgrowth from the osseous spiral lamina is probably a result of neurotrophins, growth factors, morphogens, ephrins and/or semaphorins that are expressed in the developing inner ear and have been implicated in guiding auditory innervation (Rubel and Fritzsch, 2002; Webber and Raz, 2006). Significant evidence exists of roles for BDNF, NT3 (also known as NTF3 – Mouse Genome Informatics), semaphorin 3A and BRN3a (also known as POU4F1) (Huang et al., 2001; Coppola et al., 2001; Farinas et al., 2001; Gü et al., 2003; Fritzsch et al., 2005). BDNF probably plays the dominant role in this neurite outgrowth and extension stage, as multiple studies have shown BDNF to be the most important for short range guidance of SGN afferent fibers extending to hair cells (Pirvola et al., 1992; Wheeler et al., 1994; Coppola et al., 2001; Fritzsch et al., 2005). BDNF is expressed and released by the hair cells and attracts fiber outgrowth (Pirvola et al., 1992; Wheeler et al., 1994); however, as some fiber growth still occurs in the absence of hair cells, BDNF or sensory epithelia, directed afferent fiber outgrowth towards the target hair cells at this stage of development may also depend on matrix proteins such as semaphorins (Fritzsch et al., 2005).

Refinement of afferent fiber tracts and hair cell innervation (P0-P3)

The nerve fibers of both type I and type II SGNs are segregated into the three defined outer spiral bundles beneath the rows of OHCs. During this process, the type I SGN fiber tracts are separate, remaining dorsal to the type II SGN fiber tracts (see Movie S1 in the supplementary material; P3 organ of Corti innervation). In addition,
type I SGN innervation of the IHCs was refined, with calyceal terminal complexes forming around the basolateral region of these cells. These data provide the first resolution of the refinement of both the type I and type II afferent innervation to establish the outer spiral bundles, and the localization of synaptic terminals around the IHCs during this stage of afferent innervation. How fiber dominance is established is not known, but our finding that TMRD can specifically label type I fibers at this stage of development will enable future characterization of exclusively type I fiber synaptogenesis and refinement onto the IHCs.

Neurite retraction and synaptic pruning (P3-P6)

The excess of nerve terminals and associated ribbon synapses present at the OHCs is reduced to establish the mature innervation pattern (Sobkowicz et al., 1982; Pujol et al., 1998). This suggests that the type I afferent nerve fibers form synaptic contacts with the OHCs, which are then pruned, leading to withdrawal of this class of neurite from the OHC region. TMRD-labeling of type I fibers enabled us to directly visualize the type I fiber withdrawal from the OHCs (Figs 4-6). By contrast, the innervation pattern of the type II afferent nerve fibers is more stable and could be seen to supplant the type I innervation between P3 and P6 (Fig. 5). As the retraction is specific to type I fibers, this variation in type I versus type II stability at the OHCs probably results from distinct differences in the properties of the synaptic connections formed by the type I and type II fibers. The maturity and the molecular makeup of the temporary type I synapses onto the OHCs is currently unknown; however, glutamate receptors have been found to be expressed by type I fibers at this stage of development (Knipper et al., 1997; Eybalin et al., 2004), suggesting that these temporary synapses are at least capable of functional neurotransmission with the OHCs. We hypothesize that it is the molecular makeup of the temporary synapses that drives their retraction, with incomplete type I synapse formation onto the OHCs, resulting in an inability to compete against the stronger type II synapses. Direct competition for innervation is well characterized at the neuromuscular junction (Sanes and Lichtman, 2001; Lichtman and Sanes, 2003; Wyatt and Balice-Gordon, 2003) and may also occur in the inner ear. In addition, biochemical analysis has revealed that excitatory synapses in other brain regions express a myriad of proteins that play a pivotal role in targeting glutamate receptors to synapses and anchoring them at the postsynaptic density (Garner and Kindler, 1996; Montgomery et al., 2003). The differential expression of these postsynaptic density proteins at type I and type II synapses could play a crucial role in determining whether a synapse is temporary or stable and TMRD-labeling of type I fibers will enable future molecular characterization of the synapses formed by these fibers.

Changes in hair cell function during neurite retraction and synapse pruning may also contribute to variation in synaptic stability. For example, neonatal hair cells exhibit spontaneous action potentials, but during the period of neurite retraction this activity is lost as the membrane conductances mature in the early postnatal period (Kros et al., 1998; Housley et al., 2006).

Our recent data also suggest that ATP-gated ionotropic P2X receptor signaling contributes to neurite retraction (Greenwood et al., 2007). The expression of the P2X3 subunits of ATP-gated ion channels in the SGNs is developmentally regulated and is closely coordinated with the cochlear afferent synaptic reorganization (Huang et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2006). P2X signaling was found to inhibit BDNF-induced neurite outgrowth and branching (Greenwood et al., 2007), suggesting that during the maturation of cochlear innervation, temporally regulated P2X receptor expression provides a potential mechanism to control BDNF-stimulated outgrowth when neurite pruning is required.

Development of type II fiber innervation

In the developing mouse cochlea, we found that peripherin was solely expressed by the type II SGNs from E18 onwards. This is in contrast to the developing rat cochlea, where peripherin is initially expressed in type I and type II SGNs and then downregulated early in the first postnatal week (Hafidi et al., 1993). Our data indicate that any expression of peripherin by type I SGNs would have to occur before E18 in the mouse. Multiple previous studies have shown anti-peripherin to exclusively stain type II neurons (Hafidi et al., 1993; Despres et al., 1994; Mou et al., 1998; Schimmang et al., 2003). We verified that our identification of type II fibers in the mouse was specific by replicating the peripherin labeling in the postnatal rat that was previously described by Hafidi et al. (Hafidi et al., 1993) (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). In our mouse tissue, 3D reconstructions show that TMRD- and peripherin-labeled fiber tracts were distinct within the osseous spiral lamina, outer spiral bundles and beneath the IHCs (see Movies S1 and S2 in the supplementary material). The majority of the peripherin-expressing neurites terminated on the OHCs during development, but between E18 and P10 some peripherin-positive nerve fibers formed a collateral innervation with the IHCs, supporting previous reports that type II SGNs temporally project to IHCs during cochlear development (Perkins and Morest, 1975). Between E18 and P6, the number of peripherin-expressing neurons was decreased and redistributed towards the intraganglionic spiral bundles. This may be the result of cell migration and cell loss in the spiral ganglion during the first postnatal week, which rearranges and reduces the SGN II cell soma density in the ganglion, as has been evident in other species (Echteler and Nofsinger, 2000; Ard and Morest, 1984; Rueda et al., 1987). We also observed that the peripherin-expressing type II SGNs in the mid and basal turns were located mainly close to the lateral aspect of Rosenthal’s canal, where the intraganglionic spiral bundle region is located. The number of type II SGNs gradually increased from the apical- to the mid-turn, consistent with previous studies (Spoedlin, 1972; Keithley and Feldman, 1979; Hafidi et al., 1993). These data, together with the findings of previous studies employing peripherin immunoreactivity (Hafidi et al., 1993; Despres et al., 1994; Mou et al., 1998; Schimmang et al., 2003), verify the use of peripherin to label type II SGNs and therefore provide a mechanism to independently identify the neurites of the type II SGN from the TMRD-labeled type I neurites.

Efferent innervation

Efferent fiber innervation patterns were visualized with ChAT immunolabeling (Sobkowicz and Emmerling, 1989; Merchant Perez et al., 1994). ChAT labeling verified that the TMRD-positive fibers examined during the neurite refinement and retraction stages were type I fibers and not efferent fibers. In the mid-turn, we observed no ChAT immunolabeling under the IHCs or OHCs until P3. By P6, some ChAT-positive efferent tunnel-crossing fibers were matched with limited TMRD labeling. ChAT-positive efferent fiber labeling in the region of the inner spiral plexus at P3 and P6 was lateral and basal to the TMRD-labeled SGN innervation of the hair cells. In addition to ChAT labeling, at P6 the efferent fibers could easily be distinguished by their unique travel path crossing the tunnel of Corti. TMRD-labeling of the efferent fibers in the mid-turn of the cochlea was not significant until P6, by which time the refinement and retraction of TMRD-labeled type I fibers was largely complete.
Our observed ChAT labeling is identical to that reported by Sobkowicz and Emmerling, (Sobkowicz and Emmerling, 1989), consistent with increased postnatal ChAT expression correlating with efferent synaptogenesis (Sobkowicz and Emmerling, 1989; Merchan Perez et al., 1994). Studies using DiI to characterize the development of efferent innervation (Fritzsch and Nichols, 1993; Bruce et al., 1997) show an earlier arrival of efferent nerve fibers in the organ of Corti: in the basilar turn, DiI-labeled efferents could be seen extending to the OHCs by birth, lagging only slightly behind afferent innervation at the same stage (Bruce et al., 1997). Our observed absence of ChAT labeling in the mid-turn before P3 could be due to a lack of ChAT expression until after efferent nerve terminals are formed between P2 and P7 (Shnerson et al., 1982). However, examination of ChAT labeling in the basal turn revealed efferent fibers extending towards the inner hair cells at P0 (not shown), consistent with the timecourse from studies utilizing DiI labeling of efferents (Bruce et al., 1997) and with ChAT expression under the IHCs at the basal turn at P0 (Sobkowicz and Emmerling, 1989). Therefore, we believe that the lack of ChAT labeling we observed at P0 in the mid-turn is due to the basal-apical gradient, which can result in a lag in innervation of as much as 4 days. Our ChAT labeling provides an accurate picture of efferent innervation patterns and confirmed that up to P6, when the afferent neural innervation of the cochlea approached the mature configuration, TMRD labeling was able to specifically resolve the type I SGN innervation.

**TMRD labeling of type I SGN**

The TMRD-specific labeling of type I fibers has enabled for the first time the characterization of the developmental innervation patterns of type I and type II afferent fibers independently. The mechanism that allows TMRD to selectively label type I nerve fibers probably relates to the diameter of the nerve fibers that were sectioned to provide the dextran uptake pathway. The diameters of both type I SGNs (>1 μm) and cholinergic efferent fibers (~0.8 μm) are larger than for type II SGNs (<0.7 μm) in the adult mouse (Brown and Ledwith, 3rd, 1990; Wilson et al., 1991). We hypothesize that the smaller-diameter type II nerve fibers reseal before the dextran crystals can be taken up by these fibers. Additional potential mechanisms that underlie TMRD-specific labeling of type I fibers include a lower dextran diffusion efficiency in type II versus type I fibers due to a high density cytoskeletal meshwork in type II fibers (Schwartz et al., 1983; Dau and Wenthold, 1989). In addition, a higher dextran transport efficiency in the anterograde direction (Fritzsch, 1993; Kobbert et al., 2000) may have enabled TMRD labeling of efferent nerve fibers in the later stage of cochlear neural development.

**Conclusions**

Our data have defined the spatial and temporal development of cochlear afferent innervation by type I and type II SGN populations. We have identified three stages of the afferent innervation between E18 and P6: (1) neurite growth and extension of both classes of afferents to all the hair cells; (2) neurite refinement, with formation of the outer spiral bundles under OHCs; (3) neurite retraction and synaptic pruning to eliminate type I innervation of OHCs, while retaining their innervation of IHCs. These developmental stages are required to establish the mature cochlear innervation pattern. This precise spatiotemporal regulation of afferent nerve fiber development in the cochlea is probably to be associated with specific differences in the properties of the synapses formed by type I and II SGNs. The study also highlights the cochlea as a powerful model system for the study of synaptic development, elimination and neurite retraction in the developing central nervous system.
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