Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 tyrosine kinase is required for prostatic morphogenesis and the acquisition of strict androgen dependency for adult tissue homeostasis
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The fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family consists of 22 members and regulates a broad spectrum of biological activities by activating diverse isotypes of FGF receptor tyrosine kinases (FGFRs). Among the FGFRs, FGFR7 and FGFR10 have been implicated in the regulation of prostate development and prostate tissue homeostasis by signaling through the FGFR2 isoform. Using conditional null (Fgfr2cn) embryos developed only two dorsal prostatic (dp) and two lateral prostatic (lp) lobes. This contrasts to wild-type prostate, which has two anterior prostatic (ap), two dp, two lp and two ventral prostatic (vp) lobes. Unlike wild-type prostates, which are composed of well developed epithelial ductal networks, the Fgfr2cn prostates, despite retaining a compartmented tissue structure, exhibited a primitive epithelial architecture. Moreover, although Fgfr2cn prostates continued to produce secretory proteins in an androgen-dependent manner, they responded poorly to androgen with respect to tissue homeostasis. The results demonstrate that FGFR2 is important for prostate organogenesis and for the prostate to develop into a strictly androgen-dependent organ with respect to tissue homeostasis but not to the secretory function, implying that androgens may regulate tissue homeostasis and tissue function differently. Therefore, Fgfr2cn prostates provide a useful animal model for scrutinizing molecular mechanisms by which androgens regulate prostate growth, homeostasis and function, and may yield clues as to how advanced-tumor prostate cells escape strict androgen regulations.
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INTRODUCTION

Development of mouse prostates is initiated at embryonic day 17 (E17) when a group of urogenital sinus epithelial cells derived from the hindgut endoderm grow out into the surrounding urogenital sinus mesenchyme in anterior, ventral, dorsal and lateral directions. These buds subsequently form the anterior, ventral, dorsal and lateral prostatic lobes, respectively (Cunha et al., 2004; Thomson, 2001). At postnatal day 1 (P1), solid prostatic buds are formed surrounding the urethra. These buds already exhibit secondary and tertiary ductal branches. The ducts then undergo extensive branching morphogenesis, elongate from distal points and form intraductal mucosal infolding. Approximately 80% of ductal branching is completed by day 10 of neonatal life in mice, and the whole process is normally completed in 60-90 days. During the developmental stage, the epithelial cells differentiate into luminal secretory epithelial cells, basal epithelial cells and neuroendocrine cells, concurrent with the differentiation of mesenchyme into smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts (Cunha et al., 2004; Hayward et al., 1997).

Adult prostates are androgen-dependent organs with respect to growth, tissue homeostasis and function. Normally, the epithelium rapidly regresses to an atrophic state upon depletion of androgens. Approximately 35% of the ductal tips and branch-points are lost in distal regions within 2 weeks after orchiectomy. Androgen replenishment induces active cellular proliferation in the epithelium of atrophied prostate within 2 days, and the epithelial ducts completely regenerate within 14 days (Sugimura et al., 1986b). Because tissue-recombination experiments showed that the androgen receptor (AR) in epithelial cells is not essential for prostates to respond to androgens, it is proposed that paracrine growth factors between stromal and epithelial compartments mediate at least some of the regulatory functions of androgen, and are crucial for androgens to instruct epithelial cells undergoing proliferation and differentiation (Cunha, 1996; Cunha et al., 2004; McKeehan et al., 1998; Thomson, 2001). Reciprocal communication from epithelia to mesenchyme may also play similar roles in stroma development, particularly in the differentiation to smooth muscle cells (Cunha, 1994; Cunha et al., 1996; Cunha et al., 2004; Hayward et al., 1998; Jin et al., 2004). It remains unresolved whether androgens regulate growth, tissue homeostasis and tissue functions via similar signaling mechanisms, although the FGF signaling axis has been implicated to be important for androgen signaling in prostates.

The mammalian FGF family consists of at least 22 gene products that control a wide spectrum of cellular processes. Most FGFs bind and activate transmembrane tyrosine kinases receptors (FGFRs) encoded by four highly conserved genes that exhibit a variety of splice variants (McKeehan et al., 1998; Powers et al., 2000; Wang and McKeehan, 2003). Expression of FGFRs and FGFRs is spatiotemporally-specific in embryos and tissue- and cell-type-
specific in adults. Aberrant activations of FGF signaling pathways are found in developmental disorders and in diverse adult-tissue-specific pathologies, including malignant cancer (McIntosh et al., 2000; McKeenan et al., 1998; Ornitz, 2000; Wang and McKeenan, 2003).

In prostate, members of the FGFR family and alternative splice forms of FGFRs are partitioned in the epithelium and mesenchyme (stroma), mediating directional and reciprocal communications between the two compartments. Ablation of this two-way communication in mature prostates perturbs tissue homeostasis and leads to prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) and progressively more-severe lesions (Jin et al., 2003a; McKeenan et al., 1998). In addition, a series of stepwise changes in FGFR signaling contributes to the progression of prostate lesions, including a reduction in resident FGFR2 expression accompanied by the expression of ectopic epithelial FGFR1 (Jin et al., 2003a; Kwabi-Addo et al., 2001; Lu et al., 1999; McKeenan et al., 1998; Pirskhalashvili and Nelson, 2000). Additionally, changes in the expression of FGFR1, FGFR2 (Ropiquet et al., 1999), FGFR6 (Ropiquet et al., 2000), FGFR8 (Dorkin et al., 1999; Gnanapragasam et al., 2002; Song et al., 2002; Wang et al., 1999), FGFR9 (Giri et al., 1999b) and FGFR17 (Polnaszek et al., 2004) have been observed to be associated with prostatic lesions.

During prostatic organogenesis, messenger mRNAs for both FGFR7 and FGFR10 are localized in the mesenchyme, and the receptors for FGFR7 or FGFR10 are found in the epithelium of the urogenital sinus in embryos and in the distal signaling center of elongating and branching ducts in postnatal prostates (Huang et al., 2005; Thomson and Cunha, 1999). Both FGFR7 and FGFR10 can substitute for androgens in organ culture of neonatal prostates, supporting extensive epithelial growth and ductal-branching morphogenesis. Ablation of Fgfr10 alleles abrogates prostate development and diminishes androgen responsiveness of prostatic rudiments in organ-culture and tissue-recombination experiments (Donjacour et al., 2003). This suggests that Fgfr10 signaling is essential for prostate development. Although it is generally accepted that the Fgfr2IIib isoform is the primary receptor for FGFR10, the inability of mice deficient in FGFR2 to survive has prevented a direct analysis of the function of FGFR2 in prostate development, maintenance of homeostasis and androgen dependency.

To overcome this limitation, we specifically disrupted Fgfr2 alleles in prostate precursor cells at E17.5. Unlike normal prostates, which are composed of two anterior, two dorsal, two lateral and two ventral lobes, most young-adult Fgfr2−/− mice developed a small prostate that was frequently limited to two dorsal and two lateral lobes. Development of the epithelial compartment in Fgfr2−/− prostates was impaired, which could be characterized by a deficiency in intraluminal infolding. In contrast to wild-type prostates, maintenance of mature Fgfr2−/− prostates was not strictly androgen dependent. No significant prostata atrophy was observed 2 weeks after castration in adult Fgfr2−/− mice. Similarly, androgen replenishment to the castrated males also failed to induce cell proliferation in Fgfr2−/− prostates. The results showed that FGFR2 signals were essential for strict androgen dependency in adult prostates with respect to tissue homeostasis. Interestingly, as in control prostates, the production of secretory proteins in Fgfr2−/− prostates was dramatically reduced by androgen deprivation, suggesting that regulation of the secretory function by androgen remained in these prostates. Together, the data suggest that androgens may elicit regulatory functions in the prostate via multiple pathways. Thus, Fgfr2−/− prostates provide a useful animal model for scrutinizing the molecular mechanisms by which androgens regulate prostate growth, homeostasis and function, and may yield clues as to how advanced-tumor prostate cells escape strict androgen regulation.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animals

All animals were housed in the Program of Animal Resources of the Institute of Biosciences and Technology, and were handled in accordance with the principles and procedure of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The mice carrying LoxP-flanked Fgfr2 alleles, the ROSA26 reporter and the NKX3.1 (also known as NKX3-1)-Cre knock-in alleles were bred and genotyped as described (Jin et al., 2003b; Soriano, 1999; Yu et al., 2003). Orchiectomy and prostate regeneration were carried out as described previously (Donjacour and Cunha, 1988; Jin et al., 2003a; Wang et al., 2004). Serum levels of androgens in Fgfr2−/− and control littersmates were measured with the DSL–400 Androgen Assessment kit (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Webster, TX).

#### Collection of prostate tissues and histology analysis

The urogenital complex was excised from mice at the indicated ages and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde-PBS solution for 30 minutes. The prostates were then dissected from the urogenital tracks under a stereo microscope, weighted and further fixed for an additional 4 hours (Jin et al., 2003a; Wang et al., 2004). In some cases, when comparisons of individual lobes between mutant and control prostates were needed, each individual lobe was dissected and fixed separately. Fixed tissues were serially dehydrated with ethanol, embedded in paraffin and completely sectioned according to standard procedures. Immunohistochemical analyses were performed on 7 μm paraffin sections mounted on Superfrost/Plus slides (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). The antigens were retrieved by autoclaving in Tris-HCl buffer (pH 10.0) for 5 minutes or as suggested by the manufacturers of the antibodies. The source and concentration of primary antibodies are: mouse anti-cytokeratin 8 (1:15 dilution) from Fitzgerald (Concord, MA); mouse anti-smooth muscle α-actin (1:1 dilution) and mouse anti-PCNA (1:1000 dilution) from Sigma (St Louis, MO); mouse anti-p63 (1:150 dilution) and mouse anti-AR (1:150 dilution) from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA); rabbit anti-probasin (1:3000 dilution) from the Greenberg laboratory; and rabbit anti-PSP94 (1:2000 dilution) from the Xuan laboratory. Total numbers of stained cells from a minimum of three sections per prostate and at least three prostates per genotype were scored for statistical analyses.

For whole-mount lacZ staining, the urogenital sinus were lightly fixed with 0.2% glutaraldehyde for 30 minutes and incubated overnight with 1 mg/ml X-Gal at room temperature, as described (Liu et al., 2005). For TUNEL assay, tissues were fixed and sectioned as described above, and the apoptotic cells were detected with the ApopTag Peroxidase In Situ Kit (Chemicon, Temecula, CA).

Micro-dissections of the prostate were performed according to Sugimura (Sugimura et al., 1986a). Briefly, individual ductal networks of the prostate gland were micro-dissected after incubation in 1% collagenase-PBS at 4°C overnight. All micro-dissections were performed under a dissection microscope. Numbers of the main ducts and distal ductal tips were scored for statistical analyses.

#### Secreted protein analyses

The urogenital complex was excised from the mice as described above, and the prostate was dissected from the urogenital complex in PBS. After being dried with paper towels to remove excessive PBS, the prostate was diced with scissors in 100 μl PBS containing 1 mM PMDF. The PBS-extracted secretory proteins were collected by centrifugation as described (Bhatia-Gaur et al., 1999). The protein concentration of the collected sample was normalized with PBS to a final concentration of 1 mg/ml. Samples equivalent to 25 μg of protein were separated on a 5-20% gel gradient SDS PAGE, and the secretory proteins were visualized with Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining.

#### In situ hybridization and reverse transcriptase-PCR

For in situ hybridization, paraffin-embedded tissue sections were rehydrated and digested with protease K for 7 minutes at room temperature. After prehybridization at 70°C for 2 hours, the hybridization was carried out by overnight incubation at 70°C with 0.5 μg/ml digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes specific for the Fgfr2IIib isoform. After being washed four times,
Table 1. Nucleotide sequence of primers (5’-3’)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>GCCAACAGGGTGTAGTGGTG and GGAACCCAGGGTGATGTTCA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP4</td>
<td>AGAAGGGAGGAGAAGGACAG and TGGTATGAGGTGCAGGAA</td>
<td>CACATGCGCTAGCTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP7</td>
<td>ACCTGGGGCTACAGCTCTCTG and CGGAAATGAGGCTAG</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-catenin</td>
<td>GGTGAGATGAGAAGGTGCTG and TAGGATCCATCGGTG</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCAGGCTGCTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF7</td>
<td>GATGAAAGAGAAGGCTGG and GGAAGAGAGAGAAGAAG</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF10</td>
<td>GAGGCAAGGAGATGGAAGTGA and ATGACCTGCTGGA</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCAGGCTGCTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF2</td>
<td>GGACCGGAGGCGAGAAGGAC and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
<td>GGACCGGAGGCGAGAAGGAC and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF10</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxa1</td>
<td>CCAATGAACAGCATGACTGCG and TCGTGATGAGCGAGATGTAGGA</td>
<td>TCAACCGGAGAAAGAGAAGGAC and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxa2</td>
<td>GCTTGTGACCTGCTGGA and TGGTATGAGGTGCAGGAA</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCAGGCTGCTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gapdh</td>
<td>GGTAGGAAAGAAGGCTGG and GGAAGAGAGAGAAGAAG</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCAGGCTGCTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gl1</td>
<td>GAGGCAAGGAGAAGGCTGG and GGAAGAGAGAGAAGAAG</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCAGGCTGCTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gl2</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gl3</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoxB13</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoxD13</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKx3.1</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notch1</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pcth1</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shh</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt1</td>
<td>GTCACCGTGGAGAATAGTCA and GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA</td>
<td>GGAACCGGGCGAAGACTGGA and GTTACCTCGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

each for 30 minutes, with 0.1×DIG washing buffer at 65°C, specifically bound probes were detected by the alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). For reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR analyses, total RNA was extracted from dorsolateral prostates with the RNasey Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). Reverse transcriptions were carried out with SuperScript II (GIBCO-BRL, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and random primers according to protocols provided by the manufacturer. RT-PCR was carried out for 30 and 35 cycles, as indicated, at 94°C for 1 minute, 55°C for 1 minute and 72°C for 1 minute with Taq DNA Polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI) and specific primers listed in Table 1. RT-PCR products were analyzed on 2% agarose gels, and the resulting bands were quantified using a Typhoon 9400 variable imaging system (GE Healthcare). Real-time RT-PCR analyses were carried out with SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma) as suggested by the manufacturer. Relative abundances of mRNA were calculated using the comparative threshold (CT) cycle method and normalized with β-actin as an internal control. Data were the means of three individual experiments.

RESULTS

**Tissue-specific disruption of Fgfr2 in the prostatic epithelium**

To determine whether FGFR2 was essential for prostatic development, the LoxP-Cre recombination system was used to conditionally inactivate the Fgfr2 alleles in epithelial cells of the urogenital sinus at late embryonic stages (E17.5) by crossing mice carrying LoxP-flanked Fgfr2 (Fgfr2lox) alleles (Fig. 1A) (Yu et al., 2003) with those carrying an NKX3.1-Cre knock-in allele (Y.P.H., unpublished). Deletion of the sequence for exon 8-10 generated a defective allele encoding a truncated FGFR2 (Fig. 1C). RT-PCR analyses of prostates in 7-day-old Fgfr2−/− mice with Fgfr2IIIB-specific primers showed that expression of Fgfr2IIIB was below the detection limit; the same experiments with Fgfr2IIIC-specific primers or common primers for both Fgfr2IIIB and Fgfr2IIIC isoforms showed that expression of FGFR2 was significantly reduced in Fgfr2−/− prostates (Fig. 1D), indicating that the residual FGFR2 expression was due to expression of the Fgfr2IIIC isoform in stromal cells or other minor cell populations. Similar results were derived from 3-week-old prostates (data not shown). Furthermore, in situ hybridization with Fgfr2IIIB-specific probes showed that the expression of FGFR2 was diminished in the prostate epithelium at 3 weeks (Fig. 1E).

Morphological examination revealed that Fgfr2−/− mice had a notably smaller prostate compared with wild-type mice. Only small and thin dp and lp lobes were apparent in the Fgfr2−/− prostates, which were more apparent than control prostates. Because Fgfr2−/− dp and lp lobes were small and closely connected, rendering them difficult to separate, the dp and lp lobes were collectively referred to as dp lobes. Among the 45 Fgfr2−/− prostates examined at different ages, 42 exhibited only two dp lobes. As for the remaining three mice, in addition to two dp lobes, one mouse also had a very small anterior lobe, one had a ventral lobe, and one had two small anterior and one ventral lobe. Subsequent analyses were mostly performed with the dp lobes.

**Disruption of Fgfr2 gene in the prostate of 3-week-old males**

was confirmed by PCR analysis for the absence of the LoxP-flanked exons (Fig. 1C). RT-PCR analyses of prostates in 7-day-old Fgfr2−/− mice with Fgfr2IIIB-specific primers showed that expression of Fgfr2IIIB was below the detection limit; the same experiments with Fgfr2IIIC-specific primers or common primers for both Fgfr2IIIB and Fgfr2IIIC isoforms showed that expression of FGFR2 was significantly reduced in Fgfr2−/− prostates (Fig. 1D), indicating that the residual FGFR2 expression was due to expression of the Fgfr2IIIC isoform in stromal cells or other minor cell populations. Similar results were derived from 3-week-old prostates (data not shown). Furthermore, in situ hybridization with Fgfr2IIIB-specific probes showed that the expression of FGFR2 was diminished in the prostate epithelium at 3 weeks (Fig. 1E).

Morphological examination revealed that Fgfr2−/− mice had a notably smaller prostate compared with wild-type mice. Only small and thin dp and lp lobes were apparent in the Fgfr2−/− prostates, which were more apparent than control prostates. Because Fgfr2−/− dp and lp lobes were small and closely connected, rendering them difficult to separate, the dp and lp lobes were collectively referred to as dp lobes. Among the 45 Fgfr2−/− prostates examined at different ages, 42 exhibited only two dp lobes. As for the remaining three mice, in addition to two dp lobes, one mouse also had a very small anterior lobe, one had a ventral lobe, and one had two small anterior and one ventral lobe. Subsequent analyses were mostly performed with the dp lobes.

**Disruption of Fgfr2 alleles in the prostate epithelium inhibited prostatic bud-branching morphogenesis**

To visualize better the defects in prostatic development in Fgfr2−/− mice, the ROSA26 reporter allele (Soriano, 1999) was bred into Fgfr2−/−/NKX3.1-Cre mice. The disruption of Fgfr2−/− alleles should occur concurrently with activation of the lacZ reporter by excision of the floxed cassette in ROSA26 alleles. The lower urogenital sinus was then dissected from embryos and newborn pups for whole-mount staining with X-Gal (Fig. 2A). Because the expression of NKX3.1-Cre is initiated in urogenital sinus epithelial cells that give rise to prostate epithelium between E17.0-E17.5...
Disruption of Fgfr2 alleles in prostate epithelium inhibits branching morphogenesis and growth of epithelial ducts

To continue tracking prostate development in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> mice, prostate tissues and the adjacent urethra were dissected from these mice at 2, 4 and 6 weeks of age. Although always smaller and more transparent than normal prostates, Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates substantially increased in size during pubertal development (Fig. 2B). The prostate is mainly composed of epithelial ducts packed tightly into a lobular structure. To determine whether the Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates had fewer or smaller ducts than control prostates, the dorsolateral lobes of both Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> and control prostates were treated with collagenase, and the epithelial ducts were subsequently separated (Fig. 2B, B'). The number of main ducts and total number of distal ductal tips were then quantified. The results revealed that Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates had fewer ducts than the controls. The average number of main ducts in the Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> dorsolateral prostates was 7.2, compared with 12.5 in control prostates (<i>P</i> < 0.05). The average number of distal ductal tips in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates was 58, compared with 113 in control prostates (<i>P</i> < 0.01). Furthermore, the Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> ducts were shorter in length and smaller in diameter than those of the control prostates. This indicates that the disruption of FGFR2 in prostatic bud epithelial cells inhibited both ductal-branching morphogenesis and growth of epithelial ducts.

To investigate whether the FGFR2 kinase was required for rapid growth of prostate cells during pubertal development, proliferating cells in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> and control prostates at the ages of 2, 4 and 6 weeks were assessed by the immunostaining of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). At pre-pubertal age (2 weeks), the proliferating cells were mainly localized at the distal tips in both Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> and
control prostates (Fig. 2C). Data from three individual prostates showed that approximately 34.2±5.0% of epithelial cells in Fgfr2cn prostates and 36.2±4.4% in control prostates were actively engaged in proliferation. No significant difference was observed at this stage (P>0.05). At the age of 4 weeks, when the mice were undergoing rapid pubertal growth, the proliferating cells were widely distributed in the whole prostate. At this stage, the population of proliferating cells in Fgfr2cn prostates was significantly smaller than that in control prostates (17.8±1.2% in Fgfr2cn prostate and 35.4±3.5% in control prostate, P<0.01). At the post-pubertal age (6 weeks), the proliferating cell population in both Fgfr2cn and control prostates was dramatically reduced (2.00±0.01% in Fgfr2cn prostate and 2.20±0.05% in control prostate, P>0.05), indicating that both Fgfr2cn and control prostates were mature at this stage. Together, the result demonstrates that ablation of Fgfr2 in prostate epithelium impaired cellular proliferation during pubertal growth.

**Fgfr2cn prostates had an underdeveloped epithelium compartment with reduced basal cell population**

Epithelial cells in each prostatic lobe normally exhibit a lobe-specific infolding and cellular morphology. Histological analyses showed that Fgfr2cn prostate had less epithelial infolding compared with control prostates, especially in the anterior and dorsolateral lobes (Fig. 3A). The epithelial cells were less polarized with reduced columnarization, suggesting that a deficiency in resident FGFR2 disrupted the completion of terminal differentiation in the epithelium. Notably, among the two adult Fgfr2cn males having poorly developed ap lobes in the prostates (out of 45 Fgfr2cn mice examined), one had two ap lobes that exhibited a tissue structure similar to that of seminal vesicles (Fig. 3A and see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material); the other mouse only had one lobe that had a tissue structure similar to the prostatic bud of newborn mice (data not shown). Nevertheless, semi-quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 3B) and real-time RT-PCR (Fig. 3C) analyses of total RNA samples extracted from 3-week-old dorsolateral prostates revealed that ablation of Fgfr2 in the prostate epithelium did not significantly alter the expression of key regulatory molecules for prostate organogenesis and growth, however, the expressions of BMP4, TGF-β and HOXD13 were somewhat reduced in Fgfr2cn prostates. Data from real-time RT-PCR confirmed that the expression of BMP4 in Fgfr2cn prostates was reduced by 55% (P<0.001), TGF-β by 53% (P<0.001) and HOXD13 by 58% (P<0.001). Similar to the data in Fig. 3B, real-time RT-PCR data also showed no significant difference in expression levels of all other tested molecules between Fgfr2cn and control prostates (data not shown).
The epithelial compartment of mature prostates mainly consists of well-differentiated luminal epithelial cells that express cytokeratin 8, and basal epithelial cells that express p63 (Cunha et al., 2004; Kurita et al., 2004). The stromal compartment largely consists of smooth muscle cells that express β-actin and are keratin-deficient. To determine whether Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates also express these characteristic markers, tissue sections were immunochemically analyzed with antibodies against cytokeratin 8, β-actin and p63. The epithelial and stromal cells in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates expressed cytokeratin 8 and β-actin, respectively, at levels similar to that seen in control prostates (Fig. 4A). By contrast, the population of p63-positive basal cells in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates was significantly reduced compared with controls, both in growing and mature prostates (Fig. 4B,C). To quantitate the ratio of basal:luminal cells, p63-positive cells in the three sections per prostate were scored. Data from three individual experiments showed that the mean ratios of basal:luminal epithelial cells were 0.48 and 0.67 (P<0.001) in 2-week-old, 0.24 and 0.48 (P<0.001) in 4-week-old, and 0.20 and 0.34 (P<0.001) in 6-week-old Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> and control prostates, respectively, which validated the observation that the basal cells were reduced in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates.

**Fig. 4. Immunohistochemical characterization of the Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostate.** (A) The prostate sections from 4-week-old mice were immunostained with anti-α-actin or anti-cytokeratin 8, as indicated. (B) Prostate sections from Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> and control mice at the indicated ages were immunohistochemically stained with anti-p63 antibodies. Inserts: high-magnification views from the same section. (C) Ratios of p63-positive cells in the epithelial compartment were calculated from three samples. Data representing means and s.d. of triplicate samples. F/F, homozygous Fgfr2<sup>flox</sup> mice; CN, Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> mice; ap, anterior prostate; dp, dorsal prostate; lp, lateral prostate; vp, ventral prostate.
Ablation of Fgfr2 diminished androgen dependency with respect to maintenance of tissue homeostasis, but not to production of the secretory proteins in prostates

Androgens are crucial for prostate development, tissue homeostasis and for the production of secretory proteins. To ascertain whether Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> mice were deficient in androgens, serum androgen levels in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> and control littermates were determined. No significant difference in serum androgens was observed. The average androgen concentration in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> serum was 7.5±8.00 ng/ml (n=12), and that of control was 8.9±9.1 ng/ml (n=12). Thus, the defect in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostate development was not a result of androgen insufficiency. To determine whether androgen was required for maintaining tissue homeostasis in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates, mice at the age of 6 weeks were orchiectomized to deprive the mice of androgens. Apoptotic cells in the prostates were subsequently assessed with TUNEL analyses (Fig. 5A). The results showed that apoptotic cells were seldom observed in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> and control prostates prior to the castration. Apoptotic cells appeared in the epithelial compartment of control prostates within 1 day after castration and became more abundant in days 2-4 post-castration. By sharp contrast, only a limited number of apoptotic cells were detected in the epithelial compartment of Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates, indicating that the maintenance of cellular homeostasis in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates did not depend on androgen as stringently as in control prostates. Hematoxylin and Eosin (HE) staining further demonstrated significant tissue atrophy in the prostate of castrated control, but not the Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup>, males (Fig. 5B).

To investigate whether ARs are expressed similarly in control and Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates, immunostaining with anti-AR antibodies was carried out. The results revealed that both stromal and epithelial cells in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates expressed AR at levels comparable to that detected in control prostates. As in control prostates, the majority of the AR was located in the nucleus of Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostate epithelial cells, indicating no abnormality in

---

**Fig. 5. Diminished androgen dependency in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates with respect to tissue homeostasis.** (A) Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> and control mice were orchiectomized to eliminate testis-derived androgens. At the indicated day after the operation, the prostate tissues were harvested and apoptotic cells were detected with TUNEL assay. (B) HE staining of the same tissues showing that castration failed to induce tissue atrophy in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates. F/F, homozygous Fgfr2<sup>flox</sup> mice; CN, Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> mice.

**Fig. 6. Expression of the androgen receptor in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates.** Prostates were harvested from 6-week-old mice before (0 day) and at the indicated days after the castration. Expression and cellular localization of the AR were assessed with immunostaining with anti-AR antibodies. Notice that a considerable amount of AR in Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> prostates remained in the nuclei at day 14 after the castration. ap, anterior prostate; dp, dorsal prostate; lp, lateral prostate; vp, ventral prostate; F/F, homozygous Fgfr2<sup>flox</sup> mice; CN, Fgfr2<sup>cn</sup> mice.
either AR expression or nuclear translocation (Fig. 6). To further investigate whether Fgfr2cn prostates had defects in the subcellular localization of AR after androgen deprivation, prostate sections from castrated control and Fgfr2cn mice were immunostained with anti-AR antibodies. The results showed that, 14 days after androgen deprivation, most of the AR in control prostates could be found in cytoplasm only (Fig. 6), which is similar to what has previously been reported (Lee and Chang, 2003). However, even 14 days after the castration, a significant amount of AR was still localized in the nucleus of Fgfr2-deficient epithelial cells (Fig. 6), which was in sharp contrast to control prostates, which exhibited no nuclear-localized AR at this time point. The prolonged nuclear localization of the AR in Fgfr2cn prostates may explain why the mutant prostates were less androgen dependent, although the detailed molecular mechanism underlying this phenotype remains to be elucidated.

At 2 weeks after orchiectomy, control prostates underwent tissue atrophy and had a significant change in gross tissue appearance. Consistent with failing to induce apoptosis, androgen deprivation also failed to induce significant tissue morphological changes in Fgfr2cn prostates (Fig. 7A). HE staining of tissue sections verified that no obvious changes in tissue morphology of Fgfr2cn prostates could be observed 14 days after the orchiectomy (Fig. 7B). To further examine the effects of androgen in Fgfr2cn prostates, time-release testosterone pellets were implanted into mice 2 weeks after orchiectomy. The prostates were then harvested from day 1 to day 14 after androgen replenishment for histological analyses. Results showed that androgen replenishment induced massive cellular proliferation in control prostates within 2 days (Fig. 7C,D), and tissue architecture was restored by 2 weeks after the androgen therapy (Fig. 7B), as reported elsewhere (Sugimura et al., 1986b). By contrast, androgen treatment failed to induce significant proliferation in Fgfr2cn prostates (Fig. 7C,D), a marked contrast to control prostates in which over 90% of luminal epithelial cells were undergoing mitosis at day 2 after androgen treatment. Accordingly, androgen replenishment also failed to induce significant histological changes in Fgfr2cn prostates (Fig. 7B).

Although budding of the prostate is androgen dependent, prostatic ductal morphogenesis in prenatal and neonatal stages is probably controlled by a combination of chronic androgen stimulation and an intrinsic ‘program’, which, because neonatal castration only impairs approximately 60% of prostatic ductal branching (Donjacour and Cunha, 1988), is not well-defined. To test whether ablation of FGFR2 signaling altered the androgen dependency of neonatal prostatic morphogenesis, neonatal castration was performed on...
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**DEVELOPMENT**

by androgens. Morphogenesis and growth, and of adult prostate tissue homeostasis, implies divergence in the control of prostatic branching for neonatal branching morphogenesis or for the pubertal growth of the prostate. Inhibition of epithelial growth in the prostate (Thomson and Cunha, 1999). The finding that ablation of FGFR2 in prostate epithelia significantly impaired prostate branching morphogenesis is consistent with the notion that FGF10 functions as a mesenchymal paracrine regulator of epithelial growth in the prostate (Thomson and Cunha, 1999). However, prostatic phenotypes in Fgf10-null mice, because, with a few exceptions that exhibit poorly developed rudimentary prostatic buds, most Fgf10-null embryos lack prostatic buds (Donjacour et al., 2003). Furthermore, ex-vivo cultures of Fgf10-null urogenital sinus show that Fgf10-null phenotypes can not be rescued by FGF10 alone, and probably represent prostatic secretory proteins. SDS-PAGE analyses of PBS-extracts from dlp lumens showed that, as in control prostates, Fgf2cn-null prostates produced abundant secretory proteins (Fig. 9A). Western blot and real-time RT-PCR showed that Fgf2cn-null prostates also produced probasin and PSP94 (prostatic secretory protein of 94 amino acids; also known as MSMB – Mouse Genome Informatics), although at reduced levels (Fig. 9B,C). Both probasin and PSP94 are androgen-regulated secretory proteins of dorsolateral prostates in rodents (Huizen et al., 2005; Imasato et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2000; Kasper and Matusik, 2000).

To clarify whether the secretory function of Fgf2cn-null prostates is regulated by androgen, prostatic secretory proteins were extracted from adult Fgf2cn-null and control prostates 2 weeks after castration and were analyzed as above. Results showed that the abundance of total soluble proteins (Fig. 9A), and of probasin and PSP94 (Fig. 9B), were significantly reduced in both Fgf2cn-null and control prostates 2 weeks after castration, even though HE staining showed that the lumen of Fgf2cn-null prostates was packed with a highly eosinophilic substance. The results suggest that the eosinophilic substances in the prostate of castrated Fgf2cn-null mice were not PBS-extractable and, therefore, were not normal prostatic secretory proteins. The results showed that, in both control and Fgf2cn-null prostates, the production of probasin and PSP94, as well as other soluble secretory proteins, was controlled by the androgens. Together, the data indicated that, although ablation of the FGFR2 signaling axis in prostatic epithelium diminished androgen activity in the regulation of homeostasis, it did not abrogate androgen activity in the regulation of secretory-protein production in prostates.

**DISCUSSION**

Disruption of Fgfr2 in prostate epithelium impaired prostatic morphogenesis

Despite a large body of indirect evidence, direct demonstration of FGFR2 kinase function in regulating prostatic development, as well as insight into how FGFR2 cross-talks with the androgen signaling axis, has been hampered by its essential role in early embryonic development (De Moerlooze et al., 2000; Xu et al., 1998; Yu et al., 2003). Here, we report that tissue-specific disruption of FGFR2 in prostate epithelium at E17.5 significantly impairs prostatic development. Most Fgf2cn-null mice developed only two dorsal and two lateral lobes of the prostate instead of the normal eight lobes (two anterior, two dorsal, two lateral and two ventral). Although prostates devoid of FGFR2 in the epithelium retained general prostate-tissue architecture and were active in generating secretory proteins, the epithelial compartment of Fgf2cn-null prostates had a poorly developed ductal structure characterized by less-extensive intra-ductal infolding, suggesting that the disruption of FGFR2 in the epithelium impaired branching morphogenesis.

The FGF10-FGFR2 signaling axis is important for prostate branching morphogenesis

Fig. 8. Prostate development in Fgfr2cn null and control mice was partially inhibited by neonatal castration. Left panels: gross tissue appearance of prostates from Fgfr2cn null and control mice at the indicated ages with or without neonatal castration. Right panels: the same tissues were micro-dissected to reveal detailed ductal structures. CN, Fgfr2cn null mice; F/F, Fgfr2+/- heterozygous mice. Scale bars: 1 mm.

Fgfr2cn-null and control mice within 24 hours after birth. Results from 2- and 4-week-old neonatally castrated mice showed that the prostate continued to development in both Fgfr2cn-null and control mice, although the size of the dlp lobes was significantly smaller than that of uncastrated counterparts (Fig. 8A). Micro-dissection analyses showed that the differences in complexity of the epithelial ductal network between Fgfr2cn-null and control prostates were not curtailed. Thus, the results indicate that disruption of the FGFR2 signaling axis in the prostatic epithelium does not diminish androgen dependency for neonatal branching morphogenesis or for the pubertal growth of prostates. Together with adult tissue homeostasis data, the result implies divergence in the control of prostatic branching morphogenesis and growth, and of adult prostate tissue homeostasis, by androgens.

A major function of prostates is to produce secretory proteins for semen. HE staining showed that dp and lp lumens of Fgfr2cn-null prostates had abundant eosinophilic substances (Fig. 3A), which...
can only be partially rescued by FGF10 together with testosterone, suggesting that FGF10 deficiency may cause other defects as well as those in the epithelial compartment. Thus, the mechanism underlying Fgf10-null phenotypes in prostates is not simple. Here, we show that NKX3.1-Cre only efficiently excised floxed sequences in epithelial cells in prostatic rudiments in the urogenital sinus; therefore, the defects in Fgf10-null prostates were probably direct phenotypes of a deficiency in FGF10 and/or FGFR2 signals. Thus, Fgf10-null prostates provide a good model to assess FGF10 and FGFR2 signaling axis in prostate development and function.

**Ablation of Fgfr2 diminished androgen dependency with respect to tissue homeostasis but not to secretory function**

In contrast to adult wild-type prostates, which were stringently androgen dependent, tissue homeostasis in adult Fgfr2-null prostates was less androgen dependent; androgen deprivation failed to induce tissue degeneration in adult Fgfr2-null prostates within 2 weeks. Neonatal prostatic morphogenesis is controlled by both androgen dependent and independent mechanisms (Donjacour and Cunha, 1988). Androgen-independent regulation is probably diminished during development because adult prostates are strictly androgen dependent. Interestingly, ablation of FGFR2 did not alter androgen dependency for neonatal branching morphogenesis and pubertal growth of the prostate. Together, the results suggest that FGFR2 signaling is important not only for prostate organogenesis and growth, but also for the prostate to be developed into a strict androgen-dependent organ with respect to tissue homeostasis. Interestingly, AR expression remained intact in both the epithelial and stromal compartments of Fgfr2-null prostates, and the androgen signaling axis remained active in controlling secretory-protein production in mutant prostates. Thus, it appears that the androgen signaling axis regulates tissue homeostasis and function through different pathways.

The AR in mesenchymal cells is both essential and sufficient for promoting epithelial branching morphogenesis and growth during prostate development (Cunha et al., 2004). Function of the epithelial AR is not understood, although it has been reported to be required for stromal cell differentiation (Thomson, 2001). Data from our present study suggest that the androgen may regulate tissue homeostasis and the production of secretory protein through different mechanisms; it is also androgens that regulate the secretory function of epithelial cells directly through AR signaling pathways within the cells, and that regulate tissue homeostasis through bidirectional communication between the stromal and epithelial compartments. The stromal AR-mediated signals for prostate development and homeostasis have been proposed to be mediated by paracrine growth factors that have been referred to as andromedins. Although FGF7 and FGF10 are proposed to be candidate andromedins in rat prostate-tumor models (Lu et al., 1999; Yan et al., 1992), and ablation of FGF10 disrupts the development of male secondary sex organs, including the prostate (Donjacour et al., 2003), no evidence shows that the expression of FGF10 is androgen regulated in normal prostates (Thomson, 2001; Thomson and Cunha, 1999). Thus, whether FGF10 functions as an andromedin for prostate development remains unknown. Although this study did not address the andromedin issue, the data here demonstrates that FGFR2 signals are important for prostate to develop into a strictly androgen-dependent organ.

**Reduced p63-positive basal cells in the Fgfr2-null prostate**

p63-expressing basal cells are a small population of epithelial cells localized as a discontinuous layer between the luminal epithelial cells and the basement membrane, and which account for approximately 10% of cells in mature prostate epithelium. The prostatic basal compartment has been proposed to consist of a pool of cellular subtypes, including tissue stem cells and transient/amplifying progenitor cells, which give rise to terminally differentiated cells (Lam and Reiter, 2006; Rizzo et al., 2005; Tokar et al., 2005). However, the cell-lineage relationship between luminal and basal cells is unclear because the elimination of basal cells by p63 ablation does not affect neuroendocrine (NE)- and luminal-epithelial cell populations (Kurita et al., 2004). Here, we show that prostate rudiments and growing prostates exhibit a higher ratio of basal/luminal epithelial cells, the population of which was gradually reduced as prostates matured (Fig. 4B). Disruption of the FGFR2 signaling axis in prostates significantly reduced the basal cell population, especially in mature prostates. FGF7 has been suggested to have a negative effect on the maintenance of basal cell properties in cell culture by promoting differentiation (Heer et al., 2006).
However, our results suggest that FGFR2 signaling is most probably essential for maintaining basal cell populations in the prostate. Because NKX3.1-Cre was expressed in both basal and luminal epithelial cells, it is possible that FGFR2 either directly controls the basal cell population and their fate-determination within the cells, or indirectly controls this population through regulatory communications between luminal and basal epithelial cells. Further efforts are needed to address this issue.

**Development of dlp is less dependent on FGFR2 signaling**

Experiments with the ROSA26 reporter indicated that NKX3.1-Cre was expressed in all buds concomitantly between E17.25 and E17.5, indicative that the Fgfr2 alleles were ablated in all prostatic buds at the same time. Similar to previous reports (Cunha et al., 2004; Thomson, 2001), data in Fig. 2A show that the buds for each prostatic lobe appeared at E17.5. No significant difference was noticeable between Fgfr2-2 mice and control prostates at this stage. The defects in ap and vp lobe development in Fgfr2-2 mice apparently occurred between E17.5 and E18.5. Together with the notion that Fgfr2IIIB is expressed from the central to the distal tips of the elongating ducts in every prostatic bud during branching morphogenesis (Huang et al., 2005), the results indicate that the development of ap and vp buds is more FGFR2-signal dependent than dlp buds, and that the function of FGFR2 in rodent prostates is lobe-specific. Future experiments with Fgfr2IIIB-isomorph-null mice will be carried out to validate this finding. Differential responses to regulatory signals among the prostatic lobes are not uncommon in rodent. For example, treating pregnant females with ligands for aryl hydrocarbon receptors also exhibits a lobe-specific inhibition of prostate branching morphogenesis in mouse (Ko et al., 2002); and ablation of HOXA10 in mice causes partial ap-dlp transformation (Marker et al., 2001; Podlaszek et al., 1999).

It appears that, relative to other prostatic lobes, the dlp has more potential to escape from strict regulation by the FGFR2 and androgen signaling axes with respect to growth and tissue homeostasis. With regards to tissue structure, the dlp in rodents is the most similar to the peripheral zone of human prostates, where most prostate cancer arises. Together with the fact that the majority of malignant prostate cancers lose FGFR2 expression and are not androgen responsive (Giri et al., 1999a; Kwabi-Addo et al., 2001; McKeehan et al., 1998; Wang and McKeehan, 2003), and that disruption of the FGFR2 signaling axis has been associated with the progression of prostate lesions in mouse models (Jin et al., 2003a; Polnaszek et al., 2003), the results support a model in which the loss of FGFR2 signaling contributes to the escape from androgen regulation in prostate cancer cells.

Prostate development is orchestrated by multiple signaling pathways, including SHH, Notch, BMPs and FGFs. FGF10 has been shown to regulate the expression of multiple morphoregulatory genes, including SHH, BMP4, BMP7, HOXB13 and NKX3.1 (Huang et al., 2005). Here, we demonstrate that, at the mRNA level in Fgfr2-2 prostates, the expression of SHH, BMP7, NKX3.1, Notch, HOXB13, β-catenin, Foxa1, FGFr7 and FGFR10 was similar to that seen in control prostates; and that of TGF-β, BMP4 and Hox D13 was reduced. NKX3.1-Cre mice carry a Cre knock-in allele that is also null for NKX3.1, which causes slight changes in prostate ductal morphogenesis, as well as in secretory-protein expression, in ap and vp lobes (Y.P.H., S. M. Price, Z. Chen, W. A. Banach-Petsosky, C. Abate-Shen and M.M.S., unpublished). Quantitative RT-PCR results show no significant changes in NKX3.1 expression in the dlp of Fgfr2-2 mice, indicating that the abnormalities in prostate organogenesis and androgen dependency were independent of NKX3.1 heterozygosity.

In summary, the FGFR2 tyrosine kinase plays a major role in tissue organogenesis and androgen regulation in prostates. Prostates devoid of epithelial resident FGFR2 responded poorly to androgens with respect to cellular homeostasis. Thus, the results suggest that cross-talk between FGFR2 and androgen signaling axes is important for prostate development, tissue homeostasis and tissue function. These results also provide a hint for how advanced prostate cancer escapes strict regulation by androgens.
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