chongmague reveals an essential role for laminin-mediated boundary formation in chordate convergence and extension movements

Michael T. Veeman, Yuki Nakatani*, Carolyn Hendrickson, Vivian Ericson, Clarissa Lin and William C. Smith†

Although cell intercalation driven by non-canonical Wnt/planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway-dependent mediolateral cell polarity is important for notochord morphogenesis, it is likely that multiple mechanisms shape the notochord as it converges and extends. Here we show that the recessive short-tailed Ciona savignyi mutation chongmague (chm) has a novel defect in the formation of a morphological boundary around the developing notochord. chm notochord cells initiate intercalation normally, but then fail to maintain their polarized cell morphology and migrate inappropriately to become dispersed in the larval tail. This is unlike aimless (aim), a mutation in the PCP pathway component Prickle, which has a severe defect in early mediolateral intercalation but forms a robust notochord boundary. Positional cloning identifies chm as a mutation in the C. savignyi ortholog of the vertebrate alpha 3/4/5 family of laminins. Cs-lamα3/4/5 is highly expressed in the developing notochord, and Cs-lamα3/4/5 protein is specifically localized to the outer border of the notochord. Notochord convergence and extension, reduced but not absent in both chm and aim, are essentially abolished in the aimlaim; chmlchm double mutant, indicating that laminin-mediated boundary formation and PCP-dependent mediolateral intercalation are each able to drive a remarkable degree of tail morphogenesis in the absence of the other. These mechanisms therefore initially act in parallel, but we also find that PCP signaling has an important later role in maintaining the perinotochordal/intranotochordal polarity of Cs-lamα3/4/5 localization.
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INTRODUCTION

Convergence and extension (CE) movements shape chordate embryos such that they become longer along the anteroposterior axis and narrower across the mediolateral axis (Keller, 2002; Wallingford et al., 2002). In vertebrates, CE movements are most pronounced in the tissues that form the notochord, somites and spinal cord. These movements have been best characterized in explanted Xenopus dorsal mesoderm, where they include cell intercalation driven by mediolaterally-biased tractive cell protrusions as well as ‘boundary capture’, the tendency of cells to become quiescent on surfaces contacting the nascent notochord/somite boundary (Keller et al., 1989; Shih and Keller, 1992a). Planar cell polarity (PCP) signaling is known to be a key mediator of mediolateral intercalation and related cell behaviors (Veeman et al., 2003; Wang and Nathans, 2007). The molecular basis of morphogenetic behaviors at the notochord boundary are much less well understood, although components of the extracellular matrix and integrins have been implicated in directing both motility and boundary behavior (Marsden and DeSimone, 2003; Davidson et al., 2006).

We use the ascidian Ciona savignyi as a model for chordate axial morphogenesis, both for the morphological simplicity of its body plan and the genetic simplicity of its compact genome. Ascidian notochord morphogenesis takes place without cell division and involves the intercalation of only 40 notochord cells from an initially isodiametric grouping into a single-file column of flat, disk-shaped cells (Cloney, 1964; Miyamoto and Crowther, 1985; Munro et al., 2006; Munro and Odell, 2002b). This intercalation involves mediolaterally-biased tractive cell protrusions, as has also been described in Xenopus (Shih and Keller, 1992a; Shih and Keller, 1992b), and may involve a ventrally oriented invagination movement that helps round the notochord in cross section (Munro and Odell, 2002b). While this intercalation is essential, a considerable degree of tail extension is also driven by cell-shape changes and vacuolization after intercalation is complete (Miyamoto and Crowther, 1985). Although notochord intercalation appears broadly similar between ascidians and vertebrates, there are likely to be important differences between ascidian and vertebrate CE movements. For example, ascidian muscle and neural cells do not obviously play as active and independent a role in CE as they do in vertebrates.

The Ciona mutation aimless (aim) is an allele of the PCP pathway component Prickle (Pk) (Jiang et al., 2005). aim notochord cells have severe defects in mediolateral cell polarity and, although fully motile, they converge and extend extremely slowly and incompletely, such that most of the notochord remains roughly two cells wide. The notochord-specific RNA expression (Hotta et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 2005) and mutant phenotype of aim suggest that the ascidian PCP pathway is involved only in notochord morphogenesis and not in gastrulation or neural tube closure. Although aim is probably a null mutation and has a severe defect in notochord cell behavior, the notochord cells do form a rod-like structure and the tail does show considerable elongation. These observations suggest that multiple mechanisms are important in the overall convergence and extension of the ascidian tail.

A second mutation, chongmague (chm), causes a distinct and profound defect in notochord morphogenesis. All 40 notochord cells are present and express notochord-specific genes such as brachyury (bra), forkhead and tropomyosin (Deschet et al., 2003;
Nakatani et al., 1999), but the notochord cells appear rounded and fail to adopt the wild-type 1×40 ‘stack of coins’ morphology. Markers for other embryonic tissues, including tail muscle, nervous system and epidermis, are correctly expressed within the context of a short and aberrant tail (Deschet et al., 2003; Nakatani et al., 1999). Here we use high-resolution 3D confocal imaging to show that chm has a novel and severe defect in the formation of a morphological boundary around the developing notochord. Unlike in aim, early intercalation is relatively normal, but the notochord cells lose their polarized morphology and become widely dispersed in the tail.

We hypothesized that the dispersed notochord cells seen in chm reflect a failure in boundary capture or some similar behavior at the notochord border. Wild-type ascidian embryos exhibit a suite of complex behaviors at the notochord border, including a surprisingly dynamic boundary capture-like behavior in which notochord cells rapidly spread out on surfaces contacting the boundary. chm notochord cells show persistent and undirected motility that is consistent with a failure in this behavior. We have identified chm as a mutation in Cs-lam3/4/5, an extracellular matrix (ECM) molecule highly expressed in the notochord and specifically localized to perinotochordal cell surfaces.

Although the notochord boundary can be perturbed by genetic manipulations that transform notochord cells into muscle and vice-versa (Fujiwara et al., 1998; Reintsch et al., 2005), chm is, to the best of our knowledge, the only perturbation with a strong, early and direct effect on the formation of the notochord boundary. chm thus provides a unique tool to functionally test the relationship between notochord boundary formation and PCP-dependent cell intercalation. Epistasis analysis suggests that these two mechanisms initially act largely in parallel. The considerable convergence and extension remaining in aim is dependent on chm function, suggesting that the notochord boundary does not merely support the structural integrity of the notochord and help maintain polarized cell behaviors, but that it can itself drive a significant amount of CE. The relationship between PCP signaling and laminin-mediated boundary formation is not strictly independent, however, as we also observe that the PCP pathway has a role in maintaining the perinotochordal/intranotochordal polarity of Cs-lam3/4/5 localization.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Ascidian culture and genetics

Mutant ascidian lines were cultured in a running seawater facility as described (Hendrickson et al., 2004). *Ascidella aspersa* were obtained from the Woods Hole Marine Biological Laboratory. The experiments using the *bra::GFP* transgene used the *chm*<sup>3/4/5</sup> allele of *chm*, otherwise all experiments used the original *chm* allele.

**Antibody and phalloidin staining**

Embryos were fixed in either 2% paraformaldehyde in seawater or Munro’s Ascidian Cytoskeletal Fix (2% paraformaldehyde, 0.1 M Hepes pH 7.0, 0.05 M EGTA, 0.4 M dextrose, 0.01 M MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2% Triton X-100), rinsed several times in PBT (PBS+0.2% Triton-X) and fixed in 5% heat-inactivated goat serum in PBT. Bodipy-FL phallacidin (Invitrogen) was used at 1 unit/100 μl anti-Cs-lam3/4/5 at 1:250, rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP at 1:500 and mouse monoclonal anti-GFP at 1:250. The Cs-lam3/4/5 antibody was raised against a bacterially produced recombinant protein containing amino acids 1330 to 1494 of Cs-lam3/4/5<lam13> fused to an N-terminal His tag. Cs-lam3/4/5 staining required an antigen retrieval step (Kirkpatrick and d’Ardem, 1984) with 20–40 μg/ml proteinase K in PBT for 4 minutes at 37°C followed by several brief washes in PBT on ice. Primary and secondary antibody incubations were overnight at 4°C, followed by several washes in PBT. Bodipy-FL phallacidin was used for 1 hour at room temperature in PBT, followed by several washes in PBT. Two-color GFP/phalloidin staining used a rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody and a red fluorescent Alexa 594 secondary antibody to detect GFP in combination with the green fluorescent Bodipy-FL phallacidin (direct GFP fluorescence is killed by the clearing process). Embryos were cleared and mounted as described (Munro and Odell, 2002b). Electroporations were as described (Corbo et al., 1997).

**Imaging**

An Olympus Fluoview 500 was used for confocal imaging, using 40× 1.2NA and 60× 1.4NA oil immersion lenses for fixed samples and a 20× 0.7NA air lens for live imaging. Image stacks were at 0.4–0.5 μm spacing for fixed embryos. ImageJ (Wayne Rasband, NIH) was used to reconstruct z-sections across the axis of the notochord, and for maximum intensity projections. Slidebook 4.1 (Intelligent Imaging Innovations) was used for volume renderings of confocal stacks. Widefield images were collected using a Leica DMRB compound microscope with a shutter-controlled epifluorescence light path and either a SPOT-2 or a Hamamatsu ERAG CCD camera.

**Mapping the chm mutation**

Bulked segregant analysis (BSA) was performed on DNA isolated from triplicate pools of 15 embryos each from mutant (chm/chm) and phenotypically wild-type (wt/wt and wt/chm) progeny of a self-fertilized heterozygous (chm adult, as described previously (Jiang et al., 2005)). AFLP reactions on the pooled genomic DNAs were performed using the Small Genome Kit from Invitrogen. The AFLP reactions were resolved on sequencing gels and autoradiographed. Band eluted were from the gels, reamplified, and sequenced using standard fluorescent sequencing methods. Nucleotide sequences of the linked bands were used to search the partially assembled *C. savignyi* genomic sequence.

**Cs-lam3/4/5 nucleotide sequence analysis**

Cs-lam3/4/5 genomic sequences predicted by paired scaffolds 312 and 42 were used to search protein and nucleic acid databases, including the *C. intestinalis* EST database (Imai et al., 2004). We were able to identify with high confidence N- and C-terminal ESTs for the *C. intestinalis* ortholog of Cs-Lam3/4/5. These *C. intestinalis* EST sequences were then used as guides with the *C. savignyi* genomic sequence to design oligonucleotides to the 5’ and 3’ ends of the Cs-Lam3/4/5 transcript (GAAATTTAG-GTCGAAAAAACTATGCTACGACC and GGTTCTATGGCGATATT-ACGACAAAATAACTGTC, respectively).

Oligonucleotides were used to amplify an ~11 kbp PCR product using LaTaq (TaKaRa) with cDNA synthesized from RNA extracted from pooled clutches of wild-type *C. savignyi* larvae. Two separate alleles of the wild-type Cs-lam3/4/5 DNA clones of 11.354 bp (clone lam13) and 11.605 bp (clone lam33) were sequenced in their entirety, and two conceptual cDNAs were derived from the *C. savignyi* genomic sequence (haplo1 and haplo2). Cs-lam3/4/5 cDNAs from pools of homozygous chm and chm<sup>–</sup> larvae were PCR-amplified in three overlapping fragments. Genomic fragments containing the frameshift mutation identified in the chm<sup>–</sup> cDNA were PCR-amplified from individual larvae using the oligonucleotides 5’-GGA-AATGTGCAACACACCG-3’ and 5’-TCTGTTCCTAAAGGTCACTGGCCTA-3’ and directly sequenced.

**Cs-lam3/4/5 in situ hybridization**

For late-tailbud-stage embryos, in situ hybridization was as described (Arenas-Mena et al., 2000), using a 986 bp internal cDNA fragment from base 4427 to base 5413 of the lam13 cDNA. For neurula-stage embryos, in situ hybridization was as described (Corbo et al., 1997).

**Morpholino oligonucleotide knockdown**

Fertilized eggs were injected with either sense or anti-sense morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs) corresponding to the predicted start codon and flanking 3’ nucleotides of the Cs-lam3/4/5 gene (sense: TCAATGACCCAAAATGTGCCTGGCTT; antisense: CTAACGCGCACATTTTGTTGCTATG). Eggs were injected with ~15 pl MO solutions at 0.5 or 0.67 mM (final dose ~10 fmol), as described previously (Imai et al., 2002).
Single tadpole DNA prep
Tadpole larvae were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde in seawater. After several days in fixative, the test cells sloughed off, thus removing any potential maternal DNA contamination. The larvae were then rinsed in distilled water and transferred individually to tubes containing 10 μl of 1% Triton X-100, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 7.8, 1 mM EDT, and 1 mg/ml proteinase K. The samples were incubated overnight at 55°C, followed by a 10 minute incubation at 95°C to inactivate the protease.

RESULTS
Early intercalation in chm is relatively normal, but the notochord cells fail to maintain polarized cell shapes
Confocal microscopy of a notochord-specific brachury:GFP (bra:GFP) transgene (Deschet et al., 2003) in fixed and cleared embryos allows high-resolution analysis of notochord morphogenesis. Although chm and aim are superficially similar in that both have short tails, the underlying phenotypes are distinct at the cellular level. At early tailbud stage, the earliest stage when chm can be identified, considerable convergence and extension had already occurred and the chm notochord was only slightly shorter and wider than wild-type (Fig. 1A,B). Identically staged aim embryos had undergone little convergence and extension and thus had much shorter, wider notochords (Fig. 1C). aim embryos also manifest their mutant phenotype considerably earlier (Jiang et al., 2005) (and data not shown). Unlike in wild-type and aim embryos, however, the chm notochord had an irregular outer border, with many lateral cells elongated along the anteroposterior axis instead of the mediolateral axis (green arrowheads in Fig. 1B).

By mid-tailbud stage, the wild-type notochord has fully intercalated into a compact rod of 40 disk-shaped cells (Fig. 1D,F). In chm, however, notochord morphology had become completely aberrant, with rounded, loosely adherent cells forming a dispersed mass in the tail (Fig. 1E,G,H,I). The wild-type notochord is located centrally in the tail, flanked by the dorsal nerve cord, the ventral endodermal strand and the lateral muscle cells (inset in Fig. 1F). In chm, however, notochord cells could be found invading these flanking tissues, most frequently the nerve cord (Fig. 1H) and endodermal strand (Fig. 1I), and occasionally the muscle cells. For rotating 3D renderings of these confocal stacks, see Movies 1 and 2 in the supplementary material. chm embryos also showed a characteristic epidermal protrusion at the tip of the tail (yellow bracket in Fig. 1E and see Movie 3 in the supplementary material).

Ascidian embryos exhibit complex and dynamic behaviors at the notochord boundary
The failure of chm notochord cells to either form or respect a morphological boundary between themselves and the flanking tissues is reminiscent of the process of boundary capture that has been described in Xenopus embryos, whereby intercalating axial and paraxial mesodermal cells become quiescent on surfaces contacting the nascent notochord/somite boundary (Keller et al., 1989; Shih and Keller, 1992a; Wallingford et al., 2002). Although time-lapse studies of ascidian notochord morphogenesis have been reported, none have focused on the morphogenetic processes at the notochord boundary (Miyamoto and Crowther, 1985; Munro and Odell, 2002b). To better characterize these processes in wild-type ascidians, we made use of the strikingly transparent embryos of Ascidia diadema (Berrill, 1930), which allow much higher quality DIC imaging than in Ciona (see Movie 4 in the supplementary material).

At the beginning of intercalation, the notochord primordium was difficult to distinguish from the surrounding cells, but as intercalation proceeded it became increasingly distinct (Fig. 2A). This is largely a result of the notochord cells each forming a neat, flat edge with the flanking muscle cells. Tracking of individual notochord cells revealed that they did not simply become quiescent on surfaces contacting the boundary, but that they actively and
rapidly spread out as they made contact (e.g. the yellow cell as it approached and contacted the boundary in Fig. 2B). A cell newly contacting the boundary often partially displaced its neighbors before they re-established an equilibrium of boundary contact (e.g. the green cell displaced its yellow neighbor on contact with the boundary in Fig. 2B). We also note that notochord cell nuclei became polarized away from the notochord boundary (Fig. 2B).

Although this rapid spreading and flattening as notochord cells contact the boundary is suggestive of an adhesive process, the notochord boundary acts as more than simply a substrate for cell adhesion. By tracking the relative positions of notochord and muscle cells over time, it was clear that there is shearing or sliding of cells along this interface (Fig. 2C). Such shearing implies that there must be some active process at work to account for the simultaneous adhesion and movement of cells along the boundary.

We also observed rhythmic pulsatile behaviors at the lateral edges of the notochord cells that persisted long after intercalation (see Movie 4 in the supplementary material). Miyamoto and Crowther observed a similar behavior in Ciona, which they suggested might be a form of blebbing involved in secreting the perinotochordal basement membrane (Miyamoto and Crowther, 1985). In our high-resolution recordings, these pulsatile movements did not appear to be blebbiform in nature, and we hypothesize that they may be involved in breaking and reforming adhesions as the boundary remodels itself during post-intercalation tail extension.

**chm shows persistent notochord cell motility**

Although Ciona is not as well suited to live imaging as Ascidella, we were previously able to use time-lapse microscopy of the bra:GFP transgene to show persistent notochord cell motility in chm (Deschet et al., 2003) (see Movie 5 in the supplementary material). Those wide-field images were unable, however, to resolve individual notochord cells. Here we used confocal microscopy to image GFP-expressing chm notochord cells as they migrated to the periphery of the tail (see Movie 6 in the supplementary material). In the time-lapse sequence shown in Fig. 2D, one small group of cells that was initially contiguous with the main mass of the notochord (blue arrowhead) broke away and migrated toward the tip of the tail (red arrow in the final frame). There is also considerable movement of cells at the notochord boundary, especially on the bottom right side of the image. Frames shown are 16 minutes apart.

**chm is Cs-lamα3/4/5**

The aberrant cell morphology and movement of the chm notochord cells suggests two main hypotheses: chm might represent a defect in adhesion between notochord cells or it might reflect a failure to form a basement membrane or other barrier between the notochord and flanking tissues. To distinguish between these and other possibilities, we used amplified fragment length polymorphism analysis on bulked pools of segregants (AFLP-BSA) to map chm, initially finding two potentially linked markers on paired scaffold 44 (now part of refitig 96) and one on paired scaffold 312 (now part of refitig 19) of the partially assembled C. savignyi genome (Fig. 3A). An examination of potential open reading frames revealed that these two genomic scaffolds encoded the C- and N-terminal regions, respectively, of a predicted alpha laminin gene. An alpha laminin cDNA that spans these two scaffolds confirmed that they were contiguous. Single-strand confirmation polymorphism (SSCP) confirmed tight genetic linkage at the alpha laminin locus (SSCP2; Fig. 3A) and partial linkage near the most distant AFLP marker (SSCP1; Fig. 3A).

Laminins are polymeric heterotrimers of large alpha, beta and gamma chains that are important components of the basement membranes (basal laminae) that serve to adhere cells and separate tissues (Sasaki et al., 2004). Given that chm is defective in forming a
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of (Fig. 3J), exactly as seen in the notochord boundary with notochord cells widely dispersed in the tail region.

Further examining the morphant phenotype, we also injected the embryos injected with the control sense MO showed this range of phenotypes seen in the antisense MO showed a short tail and epidermal protrusion phenotype characteristic of the antisense MO.

Effect control and (F) decreased expression in a chm/chm embryo. (G) Embryo injected with control morpholino. (H,I) C. lam/4/5 morphant embryos showing the range of phenotypes observed. (J) bra:GFP expressing embryo co-injected with C. lam/4/5 morpholino and red fluorescent dextran as an injection marker. Note the disorganized notochord boundary, the dispersed bra:GFP expressing cells in the tail (blue arrowheads) and the characteristic epidermal protrusion (yellow bracket). (K) Schematic of C. lam/4/5 domain structure showing a 9 amino acid insertion in chm and a frameshift predicted to cause to premature termination in chm. The antigen used to make the C. lam/3/4/5 antibody is indicated. (L) Chromatograms showing the chm frameshift in genomic DNA from wt/wt, chm2/1, chm2/2, and chm2/2 wt individuals. wt, wild type.

Fig. 3. Chm is C. lam/3/4/5. (A) Schematic of AFLP and SSCP mapping showing a predicted alpha laminin gene on paired scaffolds 312 and 44 in C. savignyi. (B-D) Whole-mount in situ hybridization for C. lam/3/4/5 message showing notochord-specific expression in (B) late neurula, (C) mid-tailbud and (D) late tail extension stages. (E) Sense control and (F) decreased expression in a chm/chm embryo. (G) Embryo injected with control morpholino. (H,I) C. lam/3/4/5 morphant embryos showing the range of phenotypes observed. (J) bra:GFP expressing embryo co-injected with C. lam/3/4/5 morpholino and red fluorescent dextran as an injection marker. Note the disorganized notochord boundary, the dispersed bra:GFP expressing cells in the tail (blue arrowheads) and the characteristic epidermal protrusion (yellow bracket). (K) Schematic of C. lam/3/4/5 domain structure showing a 9 amino acid insertion in chm and a frameshift predicted to cause to premature termination in chm. The antigen used to make the C. lam/3/4/5 antibody is indicated. (L) Chromatograms showing the chm frameshift in genomic DNA from wt/wt, chm2/1, chm2/2, and chm2/2 wt individuals. wt, wild type.

Chm is a candidate for the morphological boundary between the notochord and its flanking tissues, a basement membrane component such as a laminin was a strong candidate gene. A phylogenetic analysis revealed that this gene was one of only two C. savignyi alpha laminins, and was most closely related to vertebrate alpha 3, 4, and 5 laminins (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). Accordingly, we named it C. lam/3/4/5.

In situ hybridization against C. lam/3/4/5 message showed that it was strongly expressed in the notochord during tail extension (Fig. 3B, C, D). There was also faint expression throughout the tail and the epidermis at later stages. In chm, C. lam/3/4/5 RNA expression was greatly reduced and the strong notochord-specific expression was eliminated (Fig. 3F). Both the strong notochordal expression and the decreased expression in chm mutant embryos are consistent with C. lam/3/4/5 being chm.

To further investigate C. lam/3/4/5 as a candidate for chm, fertilized eggs were injected with either sense or antisense MOs corresponding to the predicted start codon and adjacent 3' nucleotides of C. lam/3/4/5. The majority of embryos injected with the antisense MO showed a short tail and epidermal protrusion phenotype characteristic of chm [74% (n=23) and 83% (n=24), for two independent experiments]. The two larvae presented in Fig. 3H, I show the range of phenotypes observed, which closely mirrored the range of phenotypes seen in chm/chm embryos. By contrast, none of the embryos injected with the control sense MO showed this chm-like phenotype (n=62 and 99, for the two experiments, and Fig. 3G).

To further examine the morphant phenotype, we also injected the C. lam/3/4/5 antisense morpholino into eggs fertilized with sperm carrying the bra:GFP transgene. This revealed an irregular notochord boundary with notochord cells widely dispersed in the tail (Fig. 3J), exactly as seen in the chm mutant (Fig. 1E). Other aspects of chm were also closely phenocopied, including the formation of a large epidermal protrusion at the tip of the tail.

Sequencing of C. lam/3/4/5 cDNAs from chm and two wild-type alleles revealed multiple non-synonymous polymorphisms, and a splicing variation found only in chm that created a 9 amino acid insertion (Fig. 3K). A second non-complementing allele, chm25, was isolated in a screen for spontaneous mutations in the wild C. savignyi population (Hendrickson et al., 2004). chm25 was phenotypically indistinguishable from chm, and also showed tight genetic linkage to C. lam/3/4/5 (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). Nucleotide sequence analysis of C. lam/3/4/5 cDNA and genomic DNA from chm25 identified a frameshift mutation early in the laminin I domain predicted to cause truncation of nearly half the protein (Fig. 3K, L). The tight genetic linkage, expression pattern, reduced expression in chm, morpholino phenocopy and definitive frameshift mutation in chm all support the conclusion that the two alleles of chm both have lesions in the C. lam/3/4/5 gene.

C. lam/3/4/5 protein is localized to perinotochordal surfaces

Given the chm phenotype, we hypothesized that C. lam/3/4/5 might be a component of a perinotochordal ECM. Perinotochordal basement membranes have been described in the mature notochords of many species, where they are thought to form an inelastic sheath that opposes the turgor pressure generated by vacuolization (Stemple, 2005). Earlier developmental roles for perinotochordal ECM molecules are not as well established. Perinotochordal ECM has been observed in Ciona embryos by electron microscopy as early as tailbud stage, but does not form a thick, multilayered basement membrane until much later, during vacuolization (Cloney, 1964). Although the molecular composition of the ascidian perinotochordal ECM is unknown, laminins are known to be important components of the zebrafish perinotochordal basement membrane (Scott and Stemple, 2005).
We raised a rabbit polyclonal antibody against a bacterially expressed segment of Cs-lam3/4/5 that is not conserved in the second C. savignyi alpha laminin gene. Staining was first evident at neurula stage as a faint, irregular outline around the outside of the notochord primordium (Fig. 4A). By early tailbud stage there was robust staining around the notochord primordium as well as expression between the epidermis and the underlying tissues (Fig. 4B). By late tail extension stage the perinotochordal staining was stronger yet (Fig. 4C). In tangential optical sections, longitudinal fibers could be seen along the notochord surface (Fig. 4D). In reconstructed z-sections, the strongest staining was around the notochord, but it also demarcated all of the major tissues in the tail: notochord, muscle, epidermis, nerve cord and endodermal strand (Fig. 4E). There was also peripheral epidermal staining that was somewhat variable dependent on the protease treatment necessary to unmask the laminin epitope.

In chm embryos, staining was still evident but noticeably decreased. The perinotochordal staining in particular was weak and patchy (Fig. 4F). As further controls for antibody specificity, preblocking the antibody with recombinant antigen greatly decreased the observed staining, and little to no staining was seen in embryos stained with non-immune rabbit serum (Fig. 4K). Mosaic overexpression of full-length Cs-lam3/4/5 by electroporation also gave rise to increased staining in the expressing cells (Fig. 4L-M). We conclude that the antibody is a faithful probe for Cs-lam3/4/5 protein localization and that the perinotochordal staining observed is fully consistent with a role as a perinotochordal basement membrane component involved in notochord boundary formation. The subectodermal staining is also consistent with the epidermal tail protrusion phenotype, which may involve decreased adhesion between the ectoderm and underlying tissues.

Cs-lam3/4/5 polarity is perturbed in the PCP mutant aim
To examine potential interactions between the PCP pathway and laminin-mediated boundary formation, we stained aim embryos with the Cs-lam3/4/5 antibody. The perinotochordal staining remained robust, but we also observed large patches of staining deep within the notochord, between adjacent cells (Fig. 4G-J). This internal laminin expression was typically quite mild at early stages and became progressively more severe over time, suggesting that PCP signaling is involved in maintenance rather than establishment of Cs-lam3/4/5 polarization.

Laminin-mediated boundary formation can drive considerable tail elongation in the absence of PCP signaling
The observation of ectopic intranotochordal laminin in aim embryos caused us to reconsider aspects of the aim phenotype. It was previously shown that aim notochord cells have a near-complete loss of the mediolateral bias in actin-rich cell protrusions thought to drive intercalation (Jiang et al., 2005; Munro and Odell, 2002b). Such measurements were made, however, only very early in the process of intercalation, and the aim notochord does continue to intercalate, albeit very slowly, such that it eventually becomes approximately two cells wide.

In Fig. 5A,B, we compare an early-tailbud-stage wild-type embryo with a considerably (~2 hours) older aim embryo, in order to compare embryos at a similar degree of intercalation. (A wild-type embryo of identical age to Fig. 5B has already completed intercalation, as shown in Fig. 1D,F.) Although they had notochords of roughly comparable length and width, the aim notochord cells were less mediolaterally elongated than in wild type, and they failed to polarize their nuclei away from the notochord boundary. Despite
these differences, the aim notochord cells were, in many respects, relatively normal: they formed a neat, flat edge with the flanking muscle cells; they showed a degree of mediolateral elongation; and they typically showed concentrations of actin on medial cell surfaces opposite the notochord boundary and not on their anterior and posterior edges (white arrowheads in Fig. 5B).

We hypothesized that the considerable degree of notochord morphogenesis in aim might be the result of Cslama3/4/5-mediated boundary formation, given that aim embryos form a smooth and compact notochord boundary. To test this hypothesis, we constructed the aim/aim; chm/chm double mutant. Unlike either single mutant, the double mutant showed essentially no tail extension (Fig. 5C), but still had the normal number of brachyury-expressing notochord cells (Fig. 5D and data not shown; for molecular genotyping of these phenotypic classes, see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material). With the exception of a small number of notochord cells that migrated between the two blocks of muscle cells (probably the secondary notochord lineage), the majority of notochord cells remained in an isodiametric group comparable to the wild-type notochord primordium before the onset of intercalation (compare Fig. 5D with 5E). Having shown that laminin-mediated boundary formation is sufficient to drive considerable tail elongation in the absence of PCP signaling, one question that remains is why aim embryos fail to complete intercalation, given that they do slowly develop polarized cell morphologies. We suggest that this may reflect the observed failure to maintain the perinotochordal/intranotochordal polarity of ECM proteins such as laminin, giving rise to an ectopic basement membrane on intranotochordal surfaces and preventing further intercalation. See Fig. 6 for a diagram of this model.

**DISCUSSION**

**Importance of the perinotochordal boundary**

Although not well understood on a cellular or molecular level, there is considerable evidence that the notochord boundary is of great importance in shaping the converging and extending mesoderm. Keller and colleagues initially described how intercalating *Xenopus* mesoderm cells are ‘captured’ such that they become quiescent on cell surfaces contacting the notochord/somite boundary (Keller et al., 1989; Shih and Keller, 1992a). In ascidian embryos, Munro and Odell used explant and ablation studies to demonstrate that the notochord primordium requires certain contacts with neighboring tissues in order to converge and extend (Munro and Odell, 2002a). Ascidian tail extension can also be perturbed by misexpression of a Snail-VP16 construct that gives rise to notochord fate in a subset of
muscle cells, suggesting that the orderly physical arrangement of the notochord/muscle boundary is essential (Fujiiwara et al., 1998). Here we have shown that the ascidian notochord boundary supports several complex behaviors: a dynamic spreading behavior as notochord cell surfaces are captured by the boundary; shearing along the boundary between the notochord and muscle cells; rhythmic pulsatile movements after intercalation is complete; and, most significantly, considerable convergence and extension of the notochord, even in the absence of a functional PCP pathway.

While numerous genetic, pharmacological and embryological approaches have been used to study notochord development in many model systems, the severe phenotype seen in chm has not been described in other contexts. In *Xenopus* embryos, perturbations of perinotochordal ECM molecules such as fibrillin and fibronectin cause convergent extension phenotypes that may reflect defects in boundary capture, but do not cause a wholesale disruption of the notochord boundary as seen in chm (Davidson et al., 2006; Skoglund and Keller, 2006). We suggest that laminins be considered candidate vertebrate boundary capture signals.

The 3D tissue architecture of the converging and extending ascidian notochord is quite complex. As it narrows and lengthens, it not only intercalates but also undergoes a ventrally-oriented invagination movement that transforms it from a sheet to a rod (Munro and Odell, 2002b). Although it is clear that morphogenetic processes at the notochord boundary are of great importance in shaping the ascidian notochord, it is not clear if there is a morphologically distinct population of border cells. It is possible that all notochord cells are, at some dorsoventral position, in partial contact with the nascent perinotochordal boundary from the earliest stages, and that what is presented in Fig. 6 as cells being trapped de novo by the boundary actually represents cells expanding the fraction of their periphery in contact with the boundary. Competition for an initially limiting expanse of bounding surfaces may help explain the extreme length-to-width ratios (Munro and Odell, 2002b) of the disk-shaped cells in the just-intercalated notochord.

**Laminins in notochord morphogenesis**

Although it is possible that a specific role for laminin in notochord boundary formation is unique to the ascidians, we argue that the novelty of the chm phenotype is likely to reflect the morphological simplicity of the ascidian embryo and the genomic simplicity of its complement of ECM components. In vertebrates, for example, there are typically five alpha, four beta and three gamma laminin subunits (Li et al., 2003), as opposed to two alpha, one beta and one gamma in ascidians (Sasakura et al., 2003). Analysis of the large set of murine laminins has been complicated by essential early roles in preimplantation development in addition to considerable redundancy and compensation between various family members (Li et al., 2003). Laminins are clearly important for vertebrate notochord development, as indicated by the zebrafish mutations *bashful* (*lam*1), *grumpy* (*lamB1*) and *sleepy* (*lamY1*), which have later roles in notochord differentiation (Parsons et al., 2002; Pollard et al., 2006). While *grumpy* and *sleepy* mutants show a nearly complete absence of reactivity to a mouse laminin-1 antibody (Parsons et al., 2002), it is likely that there is still considerable functional redundancy between the zebrafish laminins that may mask a more *chm*-like phenotype. For example, injection of *lam*5 morpholino into *bashful* causes a severe disruption of notochord and other tissues that has not been characterized in detail (Pollard et al., 2006). A convergent extension phenotype has also been seen in zebrafish embryos depleted of a galactosyl-transferase important in post-translational modifications of laminin (Machingo et al., 2006).

**PCP signaling and the ECM**

Recent work suggests that there may be important interactions between vertebrate PCP signaling and the extracellular matrix. The PCP proteins Prickle, Strabismus and Dishevelled have been shown to be important in restricting fibronectin protein localization to the outer surfaces of the *Xenopus* notochord (Goto et al., 2005), similar to what we observe with laminin localization in the Cs-pk mutation *aim*. Tissue separation defects have also been described in *Xenopus* and zebrafish embryos with perturbed non-canonical Wnt/PCP signaling (Ulrich et al., 2003; Winklbauer et al., 2001). It may be of considerable interest to look for defects in polarized ECM deposition in zebrafish PCP mutants and other contexts that have previously been interpreted strictly in terms of perturbed cell motility.

**Interactions between PCP signaling and laminin-mediated boundary formation**

Unlike in *aim* mutants, early notochord intercalation in chm is relatively normal and then becomes progressively more aberrant, suggesting that one role of the notochord boundary is to maintain polarized cell behaviors initiated by PCP signaling. Cs-lama3/4/5-mediated boundary formation is able, however, to cause considerable narrowing and lengthening of the notochord even in the absence of a functional PCP pathway, as indicated by the near-complete loss of convergence and extension in the *aim/chm* double mutant compared with *aim/aim*. As prickle and Cs-lama3/4/5 are predominantly expressed in the notochord, the severity of this phenotype suggests that the ascidian muscle and neural plate do not have a strong ability to autonomously converge and extend as seen in vertebrates.

Although the double mutant phenotype indicates that *aim* and *chm* act largely in parallel, the observation of intranotochordal laminin in *aim* embryos suggests that there is a more complex relationship. As this intranotochordal staining is initially minimal and becomes progressively stronger over time, it appears that PCP signaling is involved in the maintenance rather than the initial establishment of perinotochordal ECM polarity. The *aim* mutation truncates much of the Cs-Pk protein, causes a complete loss of membrane localization of the PCP effector molecule Dishevelled, and shows no maternal effect (Jiang et al., 2005), so the *aim* phenotype probably reflects a complete loss of Pk function. We cannot fully exclude, however, that there may be residual function in *chm* or *aim* that might confound epistasis analysis. It will be of great interest to determine whether perinotochordal laminin localization involves polarized secretion, stabilization or degradation, and how later perinotochordal/intranotochordal polarity relates to earlier mediolateral polarity. We suggest that PCP signaling has multiple distinct functions in ascidian notochord morphogenesis, including an early role in the mediolateral polarity of tractive cell protrusions (Jiang et al., 2005), a subsequent role in maintaining polarized ECM localization (this study), a role in polarizing cell nuclei away from the boundary late in intercalation (this study), and a role in anteroposterior polarity after intercalation is complete (Jiang et al., 2005). Advanced imaging methods will probably be of great importance in supporting and extending these models of notochord morphogenesis.
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