ISL1 and BRN3B co-regulate the differentiation of murine retinal ganglion cells
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LIM-homeodomain (HD) and POU-HD transcription factors play crucial roles in neurogenesis. However, it remains largely unknown how they cooperate in this process and what downstream target genes they regulate. Here, we show that ISL1, a LIM-HD protein, is co-expressed with BRN3B, a POU-HD factor, in nascent post-mitotic retinal ganglion cells (RGCs). Similar to the Brn3b-null retinas, retina-specific deletion of Isl1 results in the apoptosis of a majority of RGCs and in RGC axon guidance defects. The Isl1 and Brn3b double null mice display more severe retinal abnormalities with a near complete loss of RGCs, indicating the synergistic functions of these two factors. Furthermore, we show that both Isl1 and Brn3b function downstream of Math5 to regulate the expression of a common set of RGC-specific genes. Whole-retina chromatin immunoprecipitation and in vitro transactivation assays reveal that ISL1 and BRN3B concurrently bind to and synergistically regulate the expression of a common set of RGC-specific genes. Thus, our results uncover a novel regulatory mechanism of BRN3B and ISL1 in RGC differentiation.
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INTRODUCTION

Owing to its easy accessibility and well-organized laminar structure, the retina has long served as an ideal experimental model for studying the central nervous system (CNS). Retinogenesis in vertebrates is stereotyped in an ordered fashion: retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) are always born first; immediately followed by horizontal, amacrine and cone cells; and last by bipolar, rod and Müller cells (Cepko et al., 1996; Young, 1985). During RGC development, the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor (TF), MATH5 (ATOH7 – Mouse Genome Informatics), is expressed in post-mitotic retinal precursors and provides them with the competency to become RGCs (Yang et al., 2003). MATH5 regulates the expression of RGC-specific differentiating TFs such as the POU-homeodomain (POU-HD) factor BRN3B (POU4F2 – Mouse Genome Informatics) and the LIM-homeodomain (LIM-HD) factor ISL1 (Wang et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2003). In Math5-deficient mice, fewer than 5% of RGCs are generated (Brown et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2001). In contrast to the early function of MATH5 in RGC genesis, BRN3B expression starts in nascent RGCs and is required for their terminal differentiation, including axon outgrowth and pathfinding, and for cell survival (Erkman et al., 2000; Gan et al., 1999; Pan et al., 2005; Xiang, 1998). In Brn3b-null mice, RGCs are initially generated but 80% of them undergo apoptosis before birth (Erkman et al., 1996; Gan et al., 1996). Moreover, the compound mutation of Brn3b and Brn3c (POU4F3 – Mouse Genome Informatics) results in the further reduction of RGCs in adult mice (Wang et al., 2002a). Although previous studies have shown clearly the importance of BRN3 factors in RGC development, it remains poorly understood how RGC differentiation is regulated and whether other TFs control this process in parallel to BRN3 factors.

Studies of CNS development in both vertebrates and invertebrates have shown that TFs, often acting in distinct combinatorial manner, play important roles during neurogenesis (Bang and Goulding, 1996; Castro et al., 2006; Lee and Pfaff, 2001). The POU-HD and LIM-HD TFs appear to function primarily at the later stages of neurogenesis. For example, in the spinal cord, the unique combinatorial expression of LIM-HD TFs confers motoneuron subtypes with specific axon targeting pathways (Appel et al., 1995; Kania et al., 2000; Sharma et al., 2000; Sharma et al., 1998; Tsuchida et al., 1994). BRN3B is required in the development of RGCs (discussed above). In Drosophila, Acj6 and Drifter (Vvl – FlyBase), both POU-HD TFs, are required for the dendritic targeting in olfactory system (Komiyama et al., 2003). Moreover, LIM-HD and POU-HD TFs have been shown to cooperate in regulating neuronal differentiation. One such example is the regulation of touch receptor differentiation in C. elegans by POU-HD factor UNC-86 and LIM-HD factor MEC-3. UNC-86 dimerizes with MEC-3 on the mec-3 promoter, which is required for the maintenance of mec-3 expression and touch cell differentiation (Lichtsteiner and Tjian, 1995; Xue et al., 1993). UNC-86 and MEC-3 also synergistically activate the touch cell-specific genes mec-4 and mec-7 (Duggan et al., 1998).

ISL1, one of the founding members of LIM-HD TFs in the Islet subgroup, has been intensively studied in the spinal cord. ISL1 is expressed in all motoneurons immediately after they exit cell cycle and is essential for the genesis of motoneurons (Pfaff et al., 1996). By contrast, Drosophila Isl1 is not required for the genesis of motoneurons, but for the axonal trajectory selection and neurotransmitter expression (Thor and Thomas, 1997). Here, we demonstrate the co-expression of BRN3B and ISL1 in post-mitotic, differentiating RGCs. To investigate the role of ISL1 in RGC development, we generate Isl1-lacZ knock-in and Isl1 conditional knockout mice. We demonstrate that in Isl1-null retinas, RGCs appear to be generated normally but 67% RGCs subsequently undergo apoptosis and RGC axon growth is defective, a phenotype strongly resembling that of Brn3b mutants (Erkman et al., 2000; Gan et al., 1999). In Isl1 and Brn3b double null retinas, greater than 95% nascent RGCs die of apoptosis, suggesting their cooperative relationship in RGC development. Furthermore, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and in vitro transactivation assays
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demonstrate that both factors bind to and regulate the expression of RGC-specific genes. Our data strongly argue for the involvement of both parallel and cooperative functions of ISL1 and BRN3B in RGC development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Brn3blox/+, Brn3bAP and Six3-cre mice have been previously described (Furuta et al., 2000; Gan et al., 1999). Isl1lox/lox knock-in (L. Gan, unpublished) were generated by replacing all the Isl2-coding sequences with a lacZ-SV40 polyA-Neo cassette. The Isl1lox/lox targeting construct was generated by inserting the Isl1 2.6 kb 5′- and 4.2 kb 3′-flanking sequences into the 5′ and 3′ multiple cloning sites of PKII-la2c vector (L. Gan, unpublished), where a cassette with lacZ-SV40 polyA-Neo replaced Isl1 exon 1-2 and adjacent intron sequences. To make the Isl1CRE targeting construct, we inserted a neomycin resistance gene along with a loxp site at the 5′ of exon 2, and another loxp site at the 3′ of exon 2. The targeting vectors were electroporated into W4 mouse embryonic stem cells (Auerbach et al., 2000) to generate Isl1lacZloxp- and Isl1CreloxP- mice. Isl1Irp- mice were obtained by crossing Isl1Cko/+ mice with the ROSA26-FLPe mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Stock Number: 003946) to remove FRT-flanked neomycin resistance gene.

The PCR genotyping of these animals was performed as follows: 5′-AGGCGCGCAAGAAAATATCC and 5′-ACTCCGACCATCTTAGC-GCTTCCTCTCt to detect a 404 bp product of Isl1loxp allele; 5′-GGT-GCTTACGCGTATCTC-3′ and 5′-GCACTTGGGATGATTCTGGAAT-3′ to detect a 452 bp product of WT Isl1 allele and a 512 bp product of Isl1orf allele; and 5′-GTTGAAATCGCCTGATACCTGAC-3′ and 5′-GCCCAAATGTTGCGATAGT to detect Six3-cre allele. The mouse strains were maintained in the C57BL/6J and 129S6 mixed background. Embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5) was defined as the day when the vaginal plug appeared. University Committee of Animal Resources at the University of Rochester approved all animal procedures.

Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining, immunohistochemistry, X-Gal staining and in situ hybridization

Embryos were harvested from E11.5 to E18.5, decapitated and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for several hours and were processed for paraffin sections or cryosections. Horizontal retina sections across optic disc collected from controls and mutant littermates were mounted side by side for comparisons. BrdU labeling, H&E and X-Gal staining were carried out as previously reported (Pan et al., 2005). The primary antibodies used in immunohistochemistry were: mouse anti-BRN3A (POU4F1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) (Santa Cruz, 1:200), goat anti-BRN3B (Santa Cruz, 1:200), mouse anti-ISL1 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 1:200), mouse anti-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) (Becton Dickinson, 1:400), rabbit anti-phosphorylated histone 3 (Santa Cruz, 1:400), goat anti-BRN3A (POU4F1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) (Santa Cruz, 1:200), goat anti-ISL1 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 1:200), mouse anti-BRD1 (Sternberger Monoclonals, 1:1000) and rabbit anti-activated caspase 3 (R&D Systems, 1:100). The Alexa-conjugated mouse anti-SMI32 (Sternberger Monoclonals, 1:1000) and rabbit anti-phosphorylated histone 3 (Santa Cruz, 1:400), goat anti-BRN3B (Santa Cruz, 1:200), mouse anti-ISL1 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 1:200), mouse anti-BRD1 (Sternberger Monoclonals, 1:1000) and rabbit anti-activated caspase 3 (R&D Systems, 1:100). The Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) were used. The total amount of DNA was balanced by adding empty pcDNA vector. Cells were harvested 36 hours after transfection and luciferase activity was measured with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). The firefly luciferase activity was normalized by renilla luciferase activity.

RESULTS

Co-localization of ISL1 and BRN3B in post-mitotic RGCs

To address the functions of ISL1 in RGC differentiation, we first compared its spatiotemporal expression pattern in developing retinas with that of BRN3B, one of the earliest RGC markers. At E11.5, nascent RGCs were first found in the central retina and co-expressed ISL1+ and BRN3B+ (Fig. 1A-C, arrows). At E13.5, as retinogenesis proceeded in a central-to-posterioral wave, more RGCs were co-labeled with ISL1 and BRN3B (Fig. 1D-F). Although the overall expression pattern was almost identical for these two factors, there was a slight difference in the signal intensity in the labeled cells. Whereas BRN3B was expressed uniformly in both migrating RGCs in the neuroblast layer (NBL) and the post-migrated RGCs in the ganglion cell layer (GCL), ISL1 expression level appeared to be higher in the GCL and lower in the NBL. The expression of ISL1 was sustained in RGCs in adult mice and was also found in cholinergic amacrine and ON-bipolar cells (Elshatory et al., 2007a; Elshatory et al., 2007b; Galli-Resta et al., 1997). Anti-ISL1 is raised against the C-terminal of ISL1 homeodomain and reacts to ISL1 specifically but also recognizes ISL2 with a lower affinity (Tanabe et al., 1998). In mouse, weak ISL2 expression is first detected in a very few RGCs in the central GCL at E13.5 and in about 30% RGCs postnatally (see Fig. S1A-D in the supplementary material) (Brown et al., 2000; Pak et al., 2004). Targeted deletion of Isl2 reveals that apoptotic cell number for each eye. For analyses of BRN3A+ or BRN3B+ cells, whole-mount retinas were used. In these cases, three pictures were obtained from the central region of the retinas. The immunoreactive cells were counted and averaged. To compare the optic nerve size, three pairs of matched cross-sections of null and control optic nerves were collected and processed for H&E staining. The boundary of each optic nerve was outlined using Adobe Photoshop. The size of optic nerve was determined by measuring the number of pixels contained in the outlined area.

Lipophilic dye tracing

For anterograde labeling of the optic pathway, mouse heads at E13.5 and E15.5 were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. After conclusion of the right eye, Dil crystals (Molecular Probes) were implanted unilaterally in the optic disc. After incubation in PBS containing 0.1% sodium azide at 37°C for 1-2 weeks, the brains were dissected to expose the optic chiasm and visualized under a Nikon SMZ1500 fluorescent stereomicroscope.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

Chromatin from retinas at indicated stages was collected according to the protocol supplied with the Chip assay kit (Upstate Biotechnologies). Mouse anti-ISL1 and goat anti-BRN3B were used for immunoprecipitation. Promoter regions with BRN3-binding consensus sequences were detected in the precipitated material by PCR (primer set details can be provided on request). Brn3b ORF was used as a negative control.

Luciferase activity assay

CV1 epithelial cells were cultured in 24-well plates in DMEM with 10% FBS. Transfections were carried out with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) when cells reached 70% confluence. Brn3b expression plasmid and Brn3a-luciferase reporter construct were generous gifts from Dr Eric Turner (Trieu et al., 2003). Isl1 expression plasmid was generated by inserting Isl1 cDNA into pcDNA expression vector (Invitrogen). For each transfection, 100 ng of Isl1 and/or Brn3b expression plasmid, 200 ng of Brn3a-luciferase reporter construct and 5 ng of PRL (Promega) Renilla luciferase control plasmid were used. Expression of Brn3a-luciferase was measured using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). The firefly luciferase activity was normalized by renilla luciferase activity.

DEVELOPMENT
Isl2 is not necessary for the generation and survival of RGCs (Pak et al., 2004). To confirm that the above anti-ISL1 labeling reveals the true Isl1 expression pattern, we compared the ISL1-immunostaining in wild-type (WT) and Isl2-null retinas at E13.5 to E15.5 and found no difference in the labeling patterns (Fig. 1D-F, data not shown; see Fig. S1E-J in the supplementary material). Thus, at E11.5 to E15.5, all cells labeled with anti-ISL1 in the retina represent ISL1-expressing cells.

The detection of ISL1 in the NBL suggested that it is expressed in retinal progenitors. To test this possibility, we performed immunostaining with anti-ISL1 in conjunction with several cell cycle markers, BrdU labeling to mark S-phase cells and anti-phosphorylated histone 3 (pH3) staining to identify M-phase cells. At E12.5, when both proliferating progenitors and post-mitotic RGCs were readily detectable, ISL1 expression was detected mostly in cells negative for BrdU and pH3 (Fig. 1G-L). Thus, ISL1 is predominantly expressed in post-mitotic cells during early retinogenesis.

The early expression of ISL1 in nascent RGCs and its colocalization with BRN3B suggested that ISL1 could function in parallel to BRN3B or immediately upstream or downstream of BRN3B during RGC development. To distinguish these possibilities, we analyzed the expression of ISL1 in Math5-null and Brn3b-null mice. In Math5-null retinas at E13.5, the expression of ISL1 and BRN3B was dramatically decreased (Fig. 1M,N,P,Q), consistent with our previous finding that Brn3b and Isl1 are downstream genes of Math5 (Wang et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2003). By contrast, we observed no discernible changes in Isl1 expression in Brn3b-null retinas at E14.5 (Fig. 1O,R), a stage before the onset of RGC death caused by the absence of Brn3b (Gan et al., 1999). Therefore, Isl1 probably functions upstream of or in parallel to Brn3b during RGC development.

**Targeted disruption of Isl1 in retina**

Conventional Isl1 knockout mice do not survive beyond E11.5, probably owing to the failure in vascular development (Pfaff et al., 1996). To assess the role of ISL1 in RGC development that occurs during mid- to late gestation stages, we generated an Isl1 conditional knockout allele (Isl1loxP) by flanking exon 2, which encodes the first LIM domain, with loxP sequences (Fig. 2A). Cre recombinase-mediated deletion of the loxP-flanked exon 2 resulted in a null mutation via a reading frame shift. Additionally, a lacZ knock-in allele, Isl1loxZ, was created by replacing the exon 2 with a nuclear lacZ reporter gene (Fig. 2B). The genotypes of Isl1 mutant mice were confirmed by Southern blotting and PCR (Fig. 2C). The expression of β-galactosidase in Isl1loxZ mice faithfully recapitulated the pattern of endogenous Isl1 as shown by in situ hybridization and immunostaining (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material) and, thus, served as an excellent marker of Isl1-expressing cells.

Retina-specific removal of Isl1 was achieved by breeding heterozygous Isl1loxZ/+ or Isl1loxP/+ with Six3-cre mice and subsequently crossing with Isl1loxP/loxP mice. Six3-cre mice express Cre recombinase in the eye field and the ventral forebrain from E9 to E9.5 (Furuta et al., 2000), and have been used successfully as an effective retina-specific deleter (Mu et al., 2005b). In our experiments, we observed consistently a greater than 90% deletion of Isl1 in Isl1loxP/loxZ, Six3-cre and Isl1loxP/loxP; Six3-cre retinas at E13.5 (Fig. 2D; data not shown). In the following experiments, Isl1loxP/loxZ, Six3-cre and Isl1loxP/loxP; Six3-cre mice were used interchangeably as Isl1 nulls. Isl1loxP/loxZ, Isl1loxP/loxZ and wild-type mice were phenotypically indistinguishable and were designated as controls hereafter. The Isl1 nulls were born at Mendelian frequencies (26%, n=96) with no overt morphological defects, but exhibited moderate growth retardation postnatally. Their body weights were about 91% of their littermate controls at P10 (n=7 pairs) and about 80% at 6 weeks (n=5 pairs).

**Major loss of RGCs in the absence of Isl1**

To assess the importance of ISL1 during RGC development, we analyzed BRN3B expression in Isl1-null retinas at different embryonic stages (Fig. 3A-H). At E13.5 and E15.5, the peak period of RGC generation, there was no substantial difference in the
The number and distribution of BRN3B+ RGCs in Isl1-null retinas compared with controls (Fig. 3A,B,E,F), indicating that Isl1 is dispensable for the generation and migration of RGCs and for the onset of BRN3B expression. However, BRN3B+ RGCs were drastically reduced in the absence of Isl1 at E17.5 (Fig. 3C,G). This reduction progressed in a central-to-peripheral wave and was most evident at E18.5 (Fig. 3D,H).

To determine whether the progressive loss of BRN3B+ RGCs resulted from apoptosis, we examined the number of apoptotic cells in control and Isl1-null retinas. The developmental RGC death normally takes place in the first postnatal week to eliminate false axon connections (Farah and Easter, 2005; Perry et al., 1983). Consistently, we detected few apoptotic cells in wild-type retinas throughout embryogenesis. In Isl1-null retinas, although there was no significant change in the number of apoptotic cells during the peak of RGC genesis at E13.5 to E15.5, a significant increase in the number of apoptotic cells was detected from E16.5 (2.8-fold of the wild-type level at E16.5, P<0.01; 5.3-fold at E17.5, P<0.01; and 2.6 fold at E18.5, P<0.05, Fig. 3K). The apoptotic cells were primarily detected in the GCL (Fig. 3I,J) and the timing of increased apoptosis corresponded to the massive loss of BRN3B+ RGCs.

To quantify RGC loss as a result of Isl1-null mutation, we performed whole-mount immunostaining of adult retinas using anti-BRN3A and BRN3B antibodies (Fig. 4A-E). In adult retinas, BRN3A and BRN3B are each expressed in ~70% of RGCs in a partially overlapping pattern and their combined expression reveals nearly the entire RGC population (Xiang et al., 1995). In Isl1-null retinas, both BRN3A+ and BRN3B+ cells were reduced to about 33% of those in wild type. To rule out the possibility that this reduction was due to the downregulation of BRN3A and BRN3B, rather than the loss of RGCs, we also analyzed RGC axons as another parameter of RGC number. SMI32 antibody recognizes a non-phosphorylated epitope on the neurofilament H-chain and specifically labels large RGCs and their nerve fibers (Nixon et al., 1989). In Isl1-null retinas, the number of SMI32+ axon bundles was significantly reduced and the remaining ones appeared to be less fasciculated (Fig. 4F,G). Consistently, the ventral view of mouse brains revealed that Isl1 nulls have much thinner optic nerves, optic chiasms and optic tracts (Fig. 4H,I). Quantification analysis showed a 69% reduction in the cross-section area of optic nerves in Isl1 nulls. These data indicate that ISL1 is required for RGC survival but not for the generation and migration of RGCs or for the initiation of BRN3B expression in retinas.

**ISL1 and BRN3B regulate common downstream target genes**

The co-expression of ISL1 and BRN3B raises the possibility that they regulate a common set of downstream genes in differentiating RGCs. To test this possibility, we examined retinas at E14.5, a time...
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Fig. 3. Targeted disruption of Isl1 results in the developmental loss of RGCs. (A-H) Immunostaining of retina sections with anti-BRN3B reveals a significant loss of BRN3B+ RGCs in Isl1 nulls at E17.5 (C,G) and E18.5 (D,H). Insets show the enlarged views of the central retina. (I,J) Anti-activated caspase3 immunostaining shows an increase in apoptotic cells (arrows) in the GCL of Isl1-null retina. (K) The difference of apoptotic cell numbers in wild-type and Isl1-null retina is insignificant at E13.5-15.5. However, in Isl1 nulls, the apoptotic cells are significantly increased from E16.5. Each histogram represents the mean+s.d. ***P<0.001, **P<0.01; t-test. R, retina; L, lens; NBL, neuroblast layer; GCL, ganglion cell layer. Scale bars: 100 μm in A-D, 25 μm in I.

Fig. 4. Loss of RGCs in adult Isl1-null retina. (A-G) Immunostaining of whole-mount retina with anti-BRN3A (A,B), BRN3B (C,D) and SM32 (F,G) antibodies reveals the reduction of RGCs in Isl1-null retina. (E) Quantification of BRN3A+ and BRN3B+ cells in the central retinal region of the whole mounts (n=4 for each genotype) reveals a loss of 66% RGCs in Isl1-null retina. (H,I) Ventral views of brain show the thinner optic nerves (arrows) in Isl1 nulls. (J) Quantification of optic nerve size by measuring the cross area of H&E stained optic nerve transverse sections at the level indicated by arrows. Mean size of optic nerve in Isl1-null mice is reduced to 31% of that in wild type (n=6 pairs). Each histogram represents the mean+s.d. ***P<0.001 (t-test). OC, optic chiasm; OT, optic tract. Scale bars: 50 μm in A; 100 μm in F; 1 mm in H.

starting from E12.5 and is thought to play a redundant role with BRN3B (Pan et al., 2005). ISL2 is selectively expressed in one-third of contralaterally projecting RGCs and represses the ipsilateral targeting program (Pak et al., 2004). IRX4 is involved in intra-retina pathfinding of RGCs (Jin et al., 2003). OLF1, with an early onset of retinal expression from E12.5 (Xiang, 1998), has no identified function in RGC differentiation. ABLIM1, GAP43 and L1CAM all play crucial roles in RGC axon growth and pathfinding by mediating cytoskeleton changes or cell-cell interactions (Demyanenko and Maness, 2003; Erkman et al., 2000; Suh et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2000). SHH is secreted by differentiated RGCs and negatively regulates the differentiation of retinal progenitors into RGCs (Wang et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2002b; Zhang and Yang, 2001). When compared with the controls, the expression of the above genes in the GCL was reduced in mice lacking either Isl1 or Brn3b (Fig. 5; see Table S1 in the supplementary material). Interestingly, we also observed that the reduction in the expression of Brn3a, Olf1 and Ablim1 was less severe in Isl1 null than in Brn3b null retinas (Fig. 5A,C,E). By contrast, a more significant decrease in Isl2 expression was seen in Isl1-null retinas (Fig. 5B). The expression analysis presented here not only supports a direct role of ISL1 in RGC differentiation but also suggests that ISL1 and BRN3B probably function cooperatively through regulating the common downstream target genes.

Isl1-null mutants are defective in RGC axon growth and pathfinding

As several Isl1 downstream genes are involved in axon growth and/or pathfinding, we suspected that ISL1 might regulate axon behavior and that axon targeting defects might be the trigger of RGC apoptosis in Isl1 nulls. We anterogradely traced RGC axons by placing DiI crystals at the optic nerve heads of fixed embryos. Consistent with the observation that the pioneer RGC axons reach the optic chiasm at E12.5 in mice (Marcus and Mason, 1995), our analysis revealed that in the wild-type embryos at E13.5, a large proportion of RGC axons already passed the midline of the optic chiasm and extended in the contralateral optic tract. At the same time, a sizeable proportion of axons turned away from the midline and proceeded in the ipsilateral optic tract (Fig. 6A). However, in Isl1 nulls and Brn3b nulls (Fig. 6B,C), most axons failed to reach the midline at E13.5. At E15.5, the optic chiasm structure in wild-type mice was well defined and resembled its adult-like

point at which neither the reduction of BRN3B expression nor the progressive RGC apoptosis has occurred. BRN3A, ISL2, IRX4, and OLF1 (EBF1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) are TFs whose expression immediately follows that of BRN3B during retinal development. BRN3A is expressed in the post-migrated RGCs immediately following that of BRN3B during retinal development. BRN3A, ISL2, IRX4, and OLF1 (EBF1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) are TFs whose expression immediately follows that of BRN3B during retinal development.
morphology (Fig. 6D). In both Isl1 nulls and Brn3b nulls, though RGC axons projected into the contra- and ipsilateral optic tracts, several pathfinding defects were apparent (Fig. 6E,F). First, the optic nerves were smaller, indicating that a substantial fraction of RGCs failed to send out axons or that the axons did not exit the optic disc. Second, the axons in the optic tracts were less fasciculated and appeared to be grouped into two bundles (Fig. 6E,F, arrows). These observations are consistent with the recognized function of BRN3B in RGC axon growth and pathfinding (Erkman et al., 2000; Gan et al., 1999), and the similar roles of ISL1 in the projections of spinal motoneuron and sensory neuron axons (Kania and Jessell, 2003; Segawa et al., 2001; Thor and Thomas, 1997). Taken together, our data suggest that the axon growth defects occur prior to the onset of RGC apoptosis and may contribute to RGC death in both mutants.

### More severe RGC loss in Isl1 and Brn3b compound null mice

Based on their similar expression patterns and roles during RGC development, and the known cooperativity of these two types of TFs, we reasoned that BRN3B and ISL1 could function synergistically in RGCs and expected to observe more severe phenotypes in Isl1 and Brn3b compound null (Isl1/Brn3b null) mutants. We therefore compared the number of BRN3A+ RGCs in whole-mount adult retinas of control, Isl1 nulls, Brn3b nulls and Isl1/Brn3b nulls. We observed a reduction in the number of BRN3A+ cells in Isl1-null and Brn3b-null retinas compared with controls (Fig. 7A-C). Strikingly, only a very few BRN3A+ cells were identified in Isl1/Brn3b-null retinas (Fig. 7D). Similarly, compared with the high density of SMI32+ axon bundles in controls (Fig. 7E) or even the reduced axon bundles in Isl1 null or Brn3b null (Fig. 7F,G) retinas, only a very limited number of SMI32+ axon bundles were detected in Isl1/Brn3b nulls (Fig. 7H). Consistent with the reduction of axon bundles in the retinas, the optic nerves of Isl1/Brn3b nulls were barely detectable and those of Isl1 nulls and Brn3b nulls were reduced to about 31% and 20% of the controls, respectively (Fig. 7I-L, n=3 each genotype). The striking RGC loss in the compound mutants resembled that in Math5-null mice where only about 5% of RGCs are generated (Brown et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2001). However, unlike Math5-null mutation, loss of both Isl1 and Brn3b did not affect the genesis of RGCs. X-Gal staining of E13.5 retina sections revealed the comparable number of Brn3b-lacZ-labeled nascent RGCs in the NBL and GCL of control, Brn3b-null and Isl1/Brn3b-null mice (Fig. 7M-O). Taken together, these data imply that Isl1 and Brn3b function together downstream of Math5 to control the terminal differentiation but not the genesis of almost all RGCs.

### Simultaneous binding of ISL1 and BRN3B to RGC-specific promoters

To investigate whether the cooperative function of ISL1 and BRN3B during RGC development was mediated by their direct regulation of RGC-specific genes, we explored the co-occupancy of these two factors on RGC-specific promoters in vivo with ChIP assays. The BRN3-binding site, ATNA(A/T)T(T/A)AT (Gruber et al., 1997; Trieu et al., 2003), was found in many genes expressed in RGCs. We examined four of them, Brn3b, Shh, Brn3a and Isl2, to determine whether they are directly regulated by both ISL1 and
BRN3B. Besides Brn3b, these genes were chosen because their expression in RGCs immediately follows that of ISL1 and BRN3B (Quina et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005; Xiang, 1998) and depends on ISL1 and BRN3B (Fig. 5). We immunoprecipitated the chromatin from wild-type retinas at E13.5-14.5 and PCR-amplified the promoter regions containing BRN3-binding consensus sequence. Both anti-ISL1 and anti-BRN3B antibodies co-precipitated with the promoter sequences of Brn3b, Shh, Brn3a and Isl2 (Fig. 8A and Fig. S3 in the supplementary material). Neither of these antibodies precipitated with Brn3b-coding sequences in the controls (Fig. 8A). To further sustain the specificity of our assays, we also incorporate several negative controls, including IgG-precipitation, anti-BRN3B precipitation of chromatin derived from Brn3b-null retinas and anti-ISL1 precipitation with cerebellum tissues where ISL1 was not expressed. (Fig. 8A; see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material). Moreover, anti-ISL1 was not able to co-precipitate with these promoters in Brn3b-null retinas, implying that the binding of ISL1 to these promoters depends on BRN3B.

We further explored whether ISL1 and BRN3B synergistically regulate the expression of their targets using in vitro transactivation assay. We used the established Brn3a-luciferase transactivation assays in CV1 epithelial cells (Trieu et al., 2003) and co-transfected CV1 cells with Brn3a-luciferase reporter and Isl1 and/or Brn3b expression plasmids. Although BRN3B alone activated Brn3a-luciferase expression to 2.34-fold of the control level (t-test \( P<0.001 \)) and ISL1 had no effect on Brn3a-luciferase expression (1.10-fold, \( P>0.05 \)), co-expression of ISL1 and BRN3B activated Brn3a-luciferase expression by 5.07 fold (\( P<0.001 \), Fig. 8B). Thus, ISL1 and BRN3B simultaneously bind to and synergistically regulate the expression of their target genes.

**DISCUSSION**

TF networks have been shown to regulate the sequential events of neurogenesis including cell fate specification, differentiation and neuronal subtype determination (Castro et al., 2006; Lee and Pfaff, 2003; Shirasaki and Pfaff, 2002). Among these TFs, the POU-HD and LIM-HD TFs are often co-expressed in the same differentiating neurons and play essential roles during late stage of the neuronal differentiation in both invertebrates and vertebrates. In this study, we use neural retina to explore the functional mechanism of LIM-HD and POU-HD TFs during neurogenesis. Using retina-specific knockout of Isl1, we show that similar to those in Brn3b-null retinas, a majority of RGCs in Isl1/Brn3b nulls are defective in axon growth and pathfinding, and die prenatally. Moreover, a loss of greater than 95% RGCs in Isl1/Brn3b nulls, the necessity of both ISL1 and BRN3B for the expression of common downstream genes, and their simultaneous binding to and synergistic regulation of RGC-specific genes demonstrate the parallel and cooperative nature of ISL1 and BRN3B function in RGC development.

**Expression and function of ISL1 in RGC development**

The onset of ISL1 expression in post-mitotic cells at E11.5 and its complete co-localization with BRN3B in nascent RGCs suggest a role for ISL1 in RGC development. We found that targeted disruption of Isl1 does not affect the genesis of RGCs. Rather, it results in the apoptosis of a significant number of RGCs (Fig. 3). The observed RGC defect in Isl1-null retinas is consistent with the spatiotemporal expression of ISL1 in nascent RGCs and supports its role in the late stages of RGC development. In addition to RGCs, ISL1 is also expressed in developing cholinergic amacrine and ON-bipolar cells in mouse retina (Elshatory et al., 2007a). In a separate study, we have examined the effect of the Isl1-null mutation on these other two types of retinal neurons and found the postnatal loss of nearly all these cells in the absence of Isl1 (Elshatory et al., 2007b), further supporting its essential role in the late stages of retinal neurogenesis.

In normal retina development, about half of RGCs die during the first postnatal week owing to the deprivation of target-derived neurotrophins as the result of axon mis-projection (Farah and Easter, 2005; Perry et al., 1983). It is possible that the massive RGC death in Isl1-null or Brn3b-null retinas could also result from a similar mechanism, based on their recognized roles in axon guidance. In Drosophila, Islet is required for proper axon trajectory of spinal motoneurons (Thor and Thomas, 1997). Mis-expression of Isl1 in chicken also causes axon targeting errors of the spinal motoneurons (Kania and Jessell, 2003). Moreover, mis-guidance of RGC axons...
Fig. 8. Functional mechanism of ISL1 and BRN3B in the development of RGCs. (A) Concurrent binding of ISL1 and BRN3B to RGC-specific promoters. Anti-BRN3B and anti-ISL1 antibodies but not IgG co-purify with the promoters of Brn3b, Shh, Brn3a and Isl2. Both antibodies do not precipitate with control Brn2 ORF. (B) Functional synergy of ISL1 and BRN3B on Brn3a luciferase reporter gene expression. Cells transfected with empty pcDNA expression vector and reporter are used as controls. Luciferase activity is determined by normalizing firefly activity with renilla activity. Then fold activation is calculated by dividing the luciferase activity of experimental groups with that of control. Each histogram represents the mean±s.d. (n=4). (C) The Math5-Brn3b/Isl1 pathway in the development of RGCs. Solid lines, direct regulation identified; broken lines, indirect or proposed regulation.

at multiple decision-making points has been reported in Brn3b-null mutants (Erkman et al., 2000). In this study, we show that the defect in RGC axons arises prior to RGC apoptosis in Isl1 nulls or Brn3b nulls, suggesting that the defect in axon outgrowth and/or targeting contribute to the excessive apoptosis of RGCs. Interestingly, in Isl1-null or Brn3b-null retinas, there is a significant downregulation of genes implicated in axon growth (Gap43), fasciculation (L1cam) and guidance (Isl2, Ablim1) (Fig. 5). Furthermore, Di
terograde tracing experiments reveal that at E13.5, a significant amount of pioneer RGC axons fail to reach the midline in Isl1 nulls or Brn3b nulls (Fig. 6). At E15.5, although the optic chiasm does form in these null mutants, it is always associated with axon growth and fasciculation defects.

As loss of Brn3b results in a similar phenotype of RGC apoptosis, it is possible that ISL1 could regulate the terminal differentiation and survival of RGCs by maintaining Brn3b expression. Using anti-BRN3B immunostaining, we show that starting from E16.5, both the number of BRN3B+ RGCs and its expression intensity per cell decline in Isl1-null retinas (Fig. 3). ChIP assays show that both ISL1 and BRN3B bind to Brn3b promoter in vivo. Previously, it has been shown that after the initial expression, BRN3B maintains its own expression by positive autoregulation (Liu et al., 2001). Taken together, these data suggest that ISL1 and BRN3B co-bind to Brn3b promoter directly and maintain Brn3b expression in retina. The continuous expression of Brn3b then maintains the survival of developing RGCs.

Alternatively, ISL1 could directly control the terminal differentiation and survival of RGCs by regulating the expression of genes essential for these processes. Supporting this hypothesis are our findings that genes with expression in differentiating RGCs are significantly downregulated in Isl1-null retinas before the reduction of BRN3B expression and the initiation of RGC apoptosis (Fig. 5). Moreover, inconsistent with the reduced Shh expression and its role as a retinal progenitor mitogen, there is a slight decrease of M-phase retinal progenitors in Isl1-null retinas (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). The expression of these RGC genes is also diminished in Brn3b nulls, suggesting that these two TFs regulate a common set of downstream target genes. Interestingly, we have also noticed that the extent of downregulation of certain RGC genes differs in Isl1 nulls than in Brn3b nulls. For example, the expression of Brn3a, Olf1 and Ablim1 is reduced more severely in Brn3b nulls, while Isl2 expression is more significantly downregulated in Isl1 nulls (Fig. 5), suggesting the expression of these genes could have different dependency on ISL1 than on Brn3B. BRN3B alone is probably sufficient to maintain the expression of Brn3a, Olf1 and Ablim1 in certain RGCs, whereas ISL1 is essential for the expression of Isl2. The resolution of this different dependency awaits the future transcriptional regulation analysis of these genes.

ISL1 and BRN3B co-regulate the RGC differentiation program

Our data indicate that ISL1 and BRN3B simultaneously bind to the promoter regions of RGC-specific genes and synergistically regulate their expression during RGC differentiation. This finding is consistent with prior studies of the cooperative function of POU-HD and LIM-HD factors. The POU-HD factor PIT1 (POU1F1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) and the LIM-HD factor P-LIM (LHX3 – Mouse Genome Informatics) are co-expressed during pituitary development. PIT1 and P-LIM interact with each other and both bind to promoter sequences containing PIT1-binding sites (Bach et al., 1995). The LIM-domains of P-LIM are not required for DNA binding but are crucial for the synergistic interaction with PIT1 on distal target genes, including Pit1. In C. elegans, UNC-86 dimerizes with MEC-3 to play an essential role in regulating the terminal differentiation of touch sensory cells (Duggan et al., 1998; Lichtsteiner and Tjian, 1995; Xue et al., 1992; Xue et al., 1993). However, in contrast to the interaction between PIT1 and P-LIM, the coupling of UNC-86 and MEC-3 does not require the LIM-domains and MEC-3 alone binds poorly to the promoters. In retina, we demonstrate that anti-ISL1 does not co-precipitate with promoters tested in the absence of BRN3B (see Fig. S3A in the supplementary material), suggesting the binding of ISL1 to these promoters depends on BRN3B. Moreover, ISL1 alone is not sufficient to activate Brn3b-luciferase reporter, but is essential for the synergistic activation of Brn3a when co-expressed with BRN3B (Fig. 8B).
simultaneously binding of ISL1 to the same region in the first intron of Shh. Intriguingly, ISL1 has also been reported as an upstream regulator of Shh during cardiac morphogenesis (Lin et al., 2006). It would be interesting to test whether ISL1 controls Shh expression through its first intron in developing heart.

Taken together, our expression and targeted deletion analysis suggests a Math5-Is1/Brn3b pathway of RGC development (Fig. 8C). The expression of Math5 endows the post-mitotic precursors with RGC competence and activates the expression of Is1l and Brn3b to initiate the RGC differentiation program. Math5 also suppresses the non-RGC differentiation pathways by negatively regulating the non-RGC specifying factors such as Ngn2, Neurod, Math3 (Neurog2, Neurod1 and Neurod4, respectively – Mouse Genome Informatics) and Bhlhb5 (Feng et al., 2006; Mu et al., 2005a). As the loss of either Is1l or Brn3b does not affect the initial expression of the other, their expression is regulated in parallel by upstream TFs such as Math5. The joint action of Is1l and Brn3b leads to the expression of RGC-specific genes including Brn3a, Shh, Otf1 and Ablim1, as well as the autofeedback regulation of Brn3b. Although our data imply that the majority of RGCs require both factors to activate their terminal differentiation, the presence of a few RGCs in Is1l+Brn3b-null suggests the existence of a pathway independent of Brn3b or Is1l. Published studies show that other TFs, such as DLX1 and DLX2, also participate in regulating the terminal differentiation and survival of 33% RGCs (de Melo et al., 2005). Interestingly, the expression of Dlx1 and Dlx2 is upregulated in Brn3b-null retinas, suggesting BRN3B normally represses Dlx1/2 expression (Mu et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2005). Thus, it is possible that Brn3b and DLX1/2 are required for the development of complementary sets of RGCs (de Melo et al., 2005). Though the remaining RGCs in Brn3b-null retinas represent most or all RGC subtypes (Lin et al., 2004), it remains to be tested whether any specific RGC subtype is selectively lost in Is1l-null or Dlx1/2-null mice.

In addition to retina, both Is1l and Brn3b TFs are co-expressed in the developing dorsal root ganglia, trigeminal ganglia, and the spiral and vestibular ganglia of the inner ear (Artinger et al., 1998; Avivi and Goldstein, 1999; Huang et al., 2001; Radde-Gallwitz et al., 2004; Sohal et al., 1996). Our findings that Brn3b and Is1l cooperate in RGC differentiation strongly argue for a common functional mechanism of these two classes of TFs in neurogenesis in these other areas of the developing nervous systems. It remains unknown whether these two factors directly couple with each other in transcriptional complexes. Interactions of LIM-HD proteins are generally mediated by LDB family co-factors with the direct interaction between Unc-86 and MEC-3 as the only exception (Hobert and Westphal, 2000; Lichtsteiner and Tjian, 1995). In combination with immunoprecipitation, future experiments, such as in vitro pull down, in vitro DNA binding and yeast two-hybrid assays, can be used to resolve the biochemistry nature of this interaction.


## Table S1. ISL1 and BRN3B regulate the expression of Gap43 and L1cam in the retina

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Relative expression</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>Relative expression</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>t-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is1 null</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brn3b null</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap43</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1cam</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>P&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Real time PCR is performed with E14.5 retinas from Isl1 nulls, Brn3b nulls and the controls. Relative expression of genes is calculated using the formula: $2^{\Delta\Delta CT}$. $\Delta CT$ is the difference of cutoff cycles between the gene of interest and the control gene (β-actin) for mutants and $\Delta CT$ is that for controls. The numbers listed in the table are the average from three independent experiments.