Different autonomous myogenic cell populations revealed by ablation of Myf5-expressing cells during mouse embryogenesis
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The development of myogenic cells is mainly determined by expression of two myogenic factors, Myf5 and Myod1 (MyoD), which genetically compensate for each other during embryogenesis. Here, we demonstrate by conditional cell ablation in mice that Myf5 determines a distinct myogenic cell population, which also contains some Myod1-positive cells. Ablation of this lineage uncovers the presence of a second autonomous myogenic lineage, which supersedes Myf5-dependent myogenic cells and expressed Myod1. By contrast, ablation of myogenin-expressing cells erased virtually all differentiated muscle cells, indicating that some aspects of the myogenic program are shared by most skeletal muscle cells. We conclude that Myf5 and Myod1 define different cell lineages with distinct contributions to muscle precursor cells and differentiated myotubes. Individual myogenic cell lineages seem to substitute for each other within the developing embryo.
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INTRODUCTION

The formation of skeletal muscle cells during myogenesis is initiated in somites, segments of paraxial mesoderm that form in an anterior-posterior pattern on either side of the neural tube. Immature somites differentiate into dermomyotome, which generates skeletal muscle and dermal cells, and sclerotome, which is the origin of ribs and vertebrae (Arnold and Braun, 2000). Myogenic progenitor cells become restricted to the epithelium of the dermomyotome when somites mature (Buckingham, 2006). After delamination of cells from the dermomyotomal layer, myogenesis starts by stable expression of the myogenic regulatory factor (MRF) Myf5 followed by expression of myogenin, Myf6 (also called MRF4) and finally Myod1 (Neuhaus and Braun, 2002). Specification, proliferation and terminal differentiation of skeletal muscle cells is controlled by the combinatorial activities of MRFs, which, together with the paired domain transcription factors Pax3 and Pax7, are essential for skeletal myogenesis (Buckingham; 2006; Neuhaus and Braun, 2002).

We have shown previously that combined inactivation of Myf5 and Myod1 mice causes a complete lack of skeletal muscle formation (Rudnicki et al., 1993), while inactivation of Myf5 and Myod1 alone results in the absence of the first wave of muscle cells (Myf5); (Braun et al., 1992) or in a moderate muscle phenotype (Myod1) (Megeney et al., 1996). More recently, it has been demonstrated that the original inactivation of the Myf5 gene also compromises expression of Myf6 at early developmental stages and that Myf6 partially rescues embryonic myogenesis in a new strain of Myf5/Myod1 double-knockout mice (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004), although fetal myogenesis was severely compromised and other new strains of Myf5/Myod1 double-mutant mice were also essentially devoid of skeletal muscle at birth (Kaul et al., 2000).

The absence of lasting muscle abnormalities in mice lacking either Myf5/Myf6 or Myod1, and the complete lack of skeletal muscle cells in compound Myf5/Myf6/Myod1 mutant mice suggested that MRFs play functionally overlapping roles during muscle cell development. In order to distinguish whether Myf5/Myf6 and Myod1 substitute for each other within the same cell lineage or whether, alternatively, each factor determines a distinct muscle cell population we previously ablated Myf5-expressing cells in ES-cell derived skeletal muscle cells using a HSV thymidine suicide gene inserted into the Myf5 locus (Braun and Arnold, 1996). Although we were able to demonstrate that complete ablation of Myf5-expressing muscle precursor cells from differentiating ES cells does not abrogate Myod1-dependent muscle cell differentiation in vitro (Braun and Arnold, 1996), the nature of compensation between Myf5/Myf6 and Myod1 genes has been questioned. In particular, it has been claimed that Myf5 acts upstream of Myod1, as Myod1 expression was detected before E10.5 in Myf5nlacZ/nlacZ embryos (Tajbakhsh et al., 1997). This statement was later modified, as Myod1 expression was not compromised (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004; Kaul et al., 2000). The existence of parallel cell lineages determined by individual bHLH genes has also been demonstrated in the nervous system where Ngn2 and Ngn1 determine two genetically and lineally distinct populations of sensory neuron precursors, which can be both independently regulated in distinct sensory lineages, as well as crosregulated within a given lineage. The neurogenesis defect in Ngn2 mutant embryos is transient (as in Myf5 mutant mice) and later compensated for by Ngn1-dependent precursors, suggesting that feedback or competitive interactions between these precursors may control the proportion of different neuronal subtypes they normally produce (Ma et al., 1999).

To demonstrate that Myf5 is expressed only in a distinct population of muscle precursor cells and hence determines only a subset of muscle cells, we have employed an in vivo conditional cell ablation approach based on activation of the dipheria toxin A-chain (DTA) by Cre-recombinase. Activation of DTA in Myf5-Cre-expressing cells erased all Myf5-expressing cells until E15.5, which included the majority of early muscle cells in somites. Ablation of
early muscle cell was fully rescued by another, Myf5-independent, cell population, demonstrating initiation of the muscle program in autonomous cell populations. Interestingly, however, later aspects of the myogenic program are shared among all populations of muscle cells as the ablation of myogenin-expressing cells erased virtually all differentiated muscle cells at E18.5. We also detected malformations of the axial skeleton in Myf5-Cre/DTA mice, which might be due to transient activation of the Myf5 gene in the unsegmented paraxial mesoderm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Origin of mouse mutants
The generation of Myf5-Cre mice, (Tallquist et al., 2000), of the Rosa26lacZ Cre-reporter strain (Soriano, 1999), of myogenin-Cre mice (Li et al., 2005), of R26: lacZ/DTA (DTA) effector mice (Brockschneider et al., 2004) and of Z/AP reporter mice (Lobe et al., 1999) have all been described before. Mice were crossed to and maintained on a C57/B16 background and genotyped by Southern blot analysis or PCR.

Immunofluorescence, in situ hybridization, lacZ staining and skeletal analysis
For immunofluorescence and histological staining, cryosections were prepared and processed applying standard procedures (Schulze et al., 2005; Ustainina et al., 2007). The following antibodies were used: MF20 (DSHB), anti-myogenin (DSHB), anti-Myf5 (Santa Cruz), anti-Myod1 (clone 5.8A, Dako GBMh) and anti-Pax7 (DSHB). Secondary antibodies were coupled with Alexa 594 (red) and Alexa 488 (green), and used according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Molecular Probes). Whole-mount in situ hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled antisense cRNA probes and sectioning of stained embryos were performed as described previously (Schafer and Braun, 1999). lacZ staining was performed as described previously (Oustainina et al., 2004). Alkaline phosphatase staining was done on cryosections using the Vector Red Alkaline Phosphatase Substrate Kit I (Cat. No. SK-5100), according to the instructions supplied by the manufacturer (Vector Labs). For bone and cartilage staining, fetuses were processed as described (Kaul et al., 2000).

RNA isolation and RT-PCR
Isolation of RNA was carried out using established procedures that have been described previously (Schulze et al., 2005; Ustainina et al., 2007). RT-PCR analysis was essentially done as described (Schulze et al., 2005). Detailed protocols and primer sequences are available from the authors on request. In all cases, housekeeping genes such as ribosomal acidic protein (RAP) or glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), were used as internal controls. Identities of PCR products were corroborated by DNA sequence analysis.

RESULTS
Cells with a history of Myf5 promoter activation do contribute to multiple tissues
The onset of myogenesis is defined by stable activation of the Myf5 promoter in the epaxial dermomyotome, which is followed by differentiation of Myf5-expressing cells that have migrated under the dermomyotome (Buckingham, 2006). Subsequently, several waves of muscle cells form and give rise to various muscles of the body. To investigate whether all muscle cells were derived from Myf5-expressing cells (referred to as Myf5-derived cells in this paper) or whether the Myf5 lineage contributes to the cellular heterogeneity of muscular cells, as suggested by previous experiments using ES-cell derived skeletal myocytes (Braun and Arnold, 1996), we crossed Myf5-Cre mice, which carry a Cre-recombinase inserted into the Myf5 locus (Tallquist et al., 2000), with a Rosa26lacZ Cre-reporter strain (Soriano, 1999). The same Myf5-Cre strain has been employed in a number of studies to trace Myf5-expressing cells in satellite cells of adult mice (Kuang et al., 2007) or to delete genes in skeletal muscle (Wang et al., 2005). A strong expression of the lacZ reporter gene was observed at E10.5 (Fig. 1C-F). Interestingly, the expression was not only confined to the myotome but was also found in other parts of the embryo such as the dermomyotome and the sclerotome, which are derived from the paraxial mesoderm. Most likely, this expression was due to a transient activity of the Myf5 promoter in the paraxial mesoderm, which was not detectable by direct visualization of Myf5 promoter activity (Tajbakhsh et al., 1997). To further explore this possibility, we performed a RT-PCR analysis of the expression of MRFs in the paraxial mesoderm and the head of E8.5 embryos. After 35 amplification cycles, we detected an expression of Myf5 in the unsegmented paraxial mesoderm but not in the head region (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). A very faint signal was also found for Myf6 but not for Myod1 and myogenin.

Fig. 1. Contribution of Myf5-derived cells to different cell types of the paraxial mesoderm. (A-K) Expression of lacZ in E9.5 (A,B,E), E10.5 (C,D,F) and E15.5 (G-K) Rosa26lacZ embryos crossed either with myogenin-Cre (A,B,E) or with Myf5-Cre mice (C,D,F-K). lacZ staining (blue) reveals Myf5-derived cells in the sclerotome at E10.5 (F), in the rib cartilage (G), vertebrae (H) and skeletal muscles of the body wall (I) and of a limb (J). Arrows in G indicate the limited presence of Myf5-derived cells in the diaphragm of this embryo. Very few Myf5-derived cells are present in the neural tube and in the dorsal root ganglia (arrows in K). Arrowheads in K indicate lacZ-positive chondrocytes in a vertebra. Scale bars: 100 μm.
We also observed numerous lacZ-positive cells in the neural tube and the brain (data not shown), which corroborates previous reports about the activity of the Myf5 gene during embryogenesis (Tajbakhsh and Buckingham, 1995; Tajbakhsh et al., 1994). Five days later, at E15.5, most lacZ-positive cells were located within skeletal muscle masses of the trunk, head and limbs (Fig. 1G and data not shown), although some lacZ-positive cells were also found in cartilage cells of the axial skeleton (ribs and vertebrae) and in the dermis, which reflects their origin from the paraxial mesoderm (Fig. 1). Only relatively few lacZ-positive cells remained in tissues derived from the neural tube (Fig. 1K), suggesting an active removal of Myf5-derived cells from certain tissues. Surprisingly, the distribution of Myf5-derived cells among different muscles varied. In some muscles, the majority of muscle cells were labeled, while in other muscles (as for example the diaphragm) the contribution was limited (arrows in Fig. 1G and data not shown). We also noted significant differences in the contribution of Myf5-derived cells to separate muscles among individual mice, suggesting that the distribution of Myf5-derived cells was controlled by a stochastic mechanism. We did not find evidence for an increased presence of Myf5-lineage derived cells in autochthonous muscles of the back or in limb muscles, which argues against a specific role of Myf5-expressing cells in the formation of epaxial and hypaxial muscles.

In contrast to Myf5, the myogenic regulatory factor myogenin is expressed later during somitic development and has been demonstrated to play a crucial role in the differentiation of embryonic myoblasts into myocytes. Myogenin-derived cells should identify all skeletal muscle cells as myogenin-null mice lack differentiated skeletal muscle fibers, thereby demonstrating that myogenin is absolutely required for embryonic muscle differentiation and has no redundant or compensatory mechanisms to replace its function (Hasty et al., 1993). Breeding of myogenin-Cre mice (Li et al., 2005) with a Rosa26lacZ Cre-reporter strain (Soriano, 1999) led to lacZ expression in the myotome of the most mature somites at E9.5 (Fig. 1A,B). At E15.5 virtual all muscle cells were positive for lacZ (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material), confirming the indispensable role of myogenin for embryonic muscle differentiation.

**The myogenic lineage is established from multiple independent muscle progenitor populations**

Previously, we have demonstrated that ablation of Myf5-expressing muscle precursors cells did not prevent Myod1-dependent muscle cell differentiation. The system employed was based on ES cells engineered to carry the HSV TK suicide gene in one allele and the lacZ reporter gene in the other allele of the Myf5 gene (Braun and Arnold, 1996). Although ES cell-derived skeletal myoblasts offer some experimental advantages they lack the complexity of normal embryonic development. In addition, more subtle changes in the spatial and temporal distribution of myogenic cell populations might be obscured in the in vitro model (Braun and Arnold, 1994). We therefore turned to an in vivo cell ablation system that uses Cre-recombinase-mediated activation of the diptheria toxin A-chain (DTA). To examine the efficiency of DTA-mediated elimination of Myf5-derived cells, we crossed Myf5-Cre mice to Z/AP reporter mice, which activate the alkaline reporter gene instead of the lacZ reporter gene upon Cre-recombinase-mediated recombination (Lobe et al., 1999). The use of Z/AP mice was necessary as our R26/lacZ/DT-A (DTA) effector mice express lacZ ubiquitously (Brockeschnieder et al., 2004). At E15.5, skeletal muscles were labeled by AP activity (Fig. 2A,C,E) comparable with Myf5-Cre/Rosa26lacZ mice. We next crossed Myf5-Cre//Z/AP mice to R26//lacZ/DT-A (DTA) mice and found that Myf5-Cre//DTA//Z/AP embryos were essentially devoid of any Myf5-derived cell at E15.5, as indicated by the absence of AP-positive cells (Fig. 2B,D,F).

Similar to Myf5-Cre//Rosa26lacZ mice, we observed variations in the distribution of Myf5-derived cells among different muscles, suggesting that this phenomenon was not due to a variable expression from the Rosa26lacZ or from the unrelated Z/AP locus. Interestingly, mice lacking Myf5-derived cells did not show a significant loss of muscle masses, as judged from differential interference contrast microscopy (Fig. 2B,D,F). Immunofluorescence analysis of muscles of Myf5-Cre//DTA mice at E14.5 and E18.5 using an antibody against MyHC (MF-20) confirmed the normal formation of skeletal muscles in the absence of Myf5-derived myogenic cells (Fig. 3A,D,G,J). By contrast, myogenin-Cre//DTA mice failed to form differentiated muscle at E18.5 (Fig. 3E,H,K). The formation of essentially normal skeletal muscles at E18.5 in Myf5-Cre//DTA and the loss of muscle masses in myogenin-Cre//DTA at this stage were also confirmed by inspection of Hematoylin and Eosin-stained tissues sections (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material). Efficient killing of muscle cells in myogenin-Cre//DTA mice was not apparent before E14.5, indicating a considerable delay between the onset of promoter activity that drove Cre-recombinase expression, recombination of the DTA locus and DTA-mediated cell death (Fig. 3B and data not shown).
Clearly, embryos were able to compensate for the ablation of Myf5-derived cells to form normal skeletal muscles but not for the absence of cells that had expressed myogenin. This finding was also supported by a preliminary DNA microarray-based analysis of Myf5-Cre//DTA E14.5 embryos using the GeneChip Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Array (Affymetrix). Only very few structural muscle genes were significantly changed in Myf5-Cre//DTA mice compared with wild-type controls, indicating a relatively normal formation of skeletal musculature (see Table S1 in the supplementary material). The complete dataset of the microarray experiment has been deposited in the ArrayExpress repository (Accession Number E-MEXP-1486). Apparently, muscle cells that developed from non-Myf5-derived cells generated a similar expression profile to Myf5-derived cells, which suggested a similar developmental potential of Myf5-derived and non-Myf5-derived muscle progenitor cells. The DNA microarray analysis also revealed a decrease of Myf5 expression and a reduction of the expression of Myf6 of a log ratio of 1.8. Owing to the low expression level of Myf5 at E14.5, the decline of Myf5 expression appeared comparatively modest (see Table S1 in the supplementary material).

We next investigated the effects of the ablation of Myf5-derived cells on the expression of other myogenic regulatory factors that might mark parallel myogenic cell lineages by RT-PCR. At E10.5, we found a severe downregulation of Myf5-expression, reflecting the loss of Myf5-expressing cells. Surprisingly, we also observed a strong downregulation of Myod1 and myogenin and to a lesser degree of Myf6 in Myf5-Cre//DTA mice at E10.5, while the global expression level of Pax3 and Pax7 was not significantly affected (Fig. 4). At E14.5, the expression of Myod1 returned to control levels and the expression of myogenin and Myf6 was only mildly affected, which was in agreement with the DNA microarray analysis.

Immunofluorescence analysis of Myf5-Cre//DTA, myogenin-Cre//DTA and wild-type mice at E10.5 revealed a complete loss of cells that expressed Myf5 protein (Fig. 5A,D,G). The ablation of Myf5-expressing cells was already starting 1 day earlier at E9.5, as indicated by the significant reduction of the number of cells that stained positive for Myf5 protein, although some remaining cells were clearly visible at this stage (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). At E10.5, we also observed a massive reduction of the number of Myod1 and myogenin-expressing cells, which occurred concomitant with the loss of Myf5-positive cells (Fig. 5A,D,G). The remaining Myod1 and myogenin-positive cells were scattered within the somites (Fig. 5A,G), which had lost their regular architecture (Fig. 5A,D,G). Pax7-positive cells were found only at the dorsolateral and ventromedial edges of the dermomyotome, while the central area was devoid of Pax7 expression (Fig. 5D). The induction of cell death by Cre recombinase-mediated activation of DTA was also accessed directly using the TUNEL assay. We detected a large number of TUNEL-positive apoptotic cells in somites of Myf5-Cre//DTA mice at E9.5 and E10.5, but only a comparatively small number of apoptotic cells was present in somites of wild-type mice (see Fig. S5 in the supplementary material). No reduction of Myf5, myogenin, Myod1 or Pax7 was observed in myogenin-Cre//DTA embryos at E10.5, which corresponds to the later onset of expression of the myogenin-Cre and the activity of the myogenin-promoter in differentiating muscle cells. Similar to the

---

**Fig. 3. Loss of MyHC-expressing skeletal muscle cells in myogenin-Cre//DTA mice at E18.5.**

(A-L) Immunofluorescence staining with a MyHC antibody (MF20) of sections from Myf5-Cre//DTA (A,D,G,J), myogenin-Cre//DTA (B,E,H,K) and wild-type mice (C,F,I,L) at E14.5 (A-C) and E18.5 (D-L). Note the absence of MyHC-positive muscle cells in myogenin-Cre//DTA but not in Myf5-Cre//DTA mice, which show a virtually normal formation of skeletal muscles at E18.5. Sections from wild-type mice are shown for comparison. Scale bars: 200 μm.
loss of cells that stained positive for Myf5 protein, using RNA in situ hybridization we observed a loss of cells that expressed Myf5 mRNA at E10.5 in cranial somites (see Fig. S6B in the supplementary material). In the caudal part of Myf5-Cre//DTA embryos, residual amounts of Myf5 mRNA-positive cells were still detectable (see Fig. S6H in the supplementary material) reflecting the ongoing process of cell ablation, which was completed only at E15.5 (Fig. 2). Similarly, no mRNAs for Myod1 and myogenin were detected in cranial somites at E10.5 (see Fig. 6D,F in the supplementary material), although some signals were present in caudal somites (see Fig. S6J,L in the supplementary material). The localization of signals in caudal somites was aberrant, again reflecting the continuing process of cell death and the resulting disorganization of the somites. In our view, the persistent presence of some dislocated Myf5 transcripts within somites of Myf5-Cre//DTA embryos (see Fig. S6H in the supplementary material) in the absence of Myf5 protein reflects the delay between the onset of Myf5-Cre-, Cre-recombinase-mediated DTA activation and cell death.

The relatively normal appearance of the skeletal musculature of Myf5-Cre//DTA embryos at E14.5 and E18.5 was most probably due to a compensatory increase of myogenic cells, which express Myod1 but not Myf5. To explore this possibility, we analyzed the expression of Myod1 in Myf5-Cre//DTA and wild-type embryos. We detected a strong increase of the expression of Myod1 in Myf5-Cre//DTA embryos between E11.5 and E12.5 (Fig. 6B,C). At E11.5, the expression of Myod1 was mostly confined to the hypaxial part of somites, which is still highly abnormal. One day later, however, at E12.5 the differences between wild-type and Myf5Cre//DTA mice were only minor (Fig. 6C,F). Apparently, Myod1-dependent cells underwent an accelerated proliferation to fill the gap created by the Myf5 ablation.

**Myf5-Cre//DTA mice die perinatally due to severe malformations of the axial skeleton**

Both Myf5-Cre//DTA and myogenin-Cre//DTA were born at normal Mendelian ratios but died shortly after birth. Although we expected this outcome for myogenin-Cre//DTA mice, which lacked all skeletal muscles at E18.5, the perinatal death of Myf5-Cre//DTA, which did not show any major alterations of the skeletal musculature at E18.5, was a surprise. As we observed the presence of Myf5-derived lacZ-positive cells in the cartilage of the axial skeleton at E15.5 (Fig. 1G,H), we decided to analyze the skeleton of newborn Myf5-Cre//DTA mice by Alcian Blue/Alizarin Red staining. We detected major deformities of the axial skeleton, including fusion of ribs and vertebrae, lack of distal and proximal parts of the ribs and other defects (Fig. 7). In general, the observed malformation were variable and severe, thus differing from the stable phenotype, which we have observed previously in Myf5 mutant mice and which always affected only the distal parts of the ribs (Braun et al., 1992).

**DISCUSSION**

The Myf5-Cre//Rosa26lacZ mice revealed that more cells than previously anticipated were derived from Myf5-expressing cells. Previous reports, which were based on in situ hybridization (Ott et al., 1991) and a direct knock-in of the lacZ reporter into the Myf5 locus (Tajbakhsh et al., 1996a) showed a much more restricted expression pattern of Myf5, which reflects the difference between permanent cell tracing and temporarily restricted gene activity. Although the β-galactosidase protein is rather stable in certain cells, transient activity of a promoter might escape detection or might not suffice to generate sufficient amounts of a reporter protein. The relatively broad presence of Myf5-derived cells in tissues originating from the paraxial mesoderm and the neuroectoderm in Myf5-Cre//Rosa26lacZ embryos might be explained in two ways: (1) aberrant activity of the Myf5-Cre inserted into the Myf5 locus (Tajbakhsh et al., 1996a) showed a much more restricted expression pattern of Myf5, which reflects the difference between permanent cell tracing and temporarily restricted gene activity. Although the β-galactosidase protein is rather stable in certain cells, transient activity of a promoter might escape detection or might not suffice to generate sufficient amounts of a reporter protein. The relatively broad presence of Myf5-derived cells in tissues originating from the paraxial mesoderm and the neuroectoderm in Myf5-Cre//Rosa26lacZ embryos might be explained in two ways: (1) aberrant activity of the Myf5-Cre inserted into the Myf5 locus, which causes lacZ-activation in tissues derived from the paraxial mesoderm and the neural tube; or (2) transient activity of the Myf5 promoter in the paraxial mesoderm, which was not detectable by direct visualization of Myf5 promoter activity as described by Tajbakhsh et al. (Tajbakhsh et al., 1996a). The fact that Myf5-derived cells were confined to tissues of the paraxial mesoderm and the neural tube at E9.5 and E10.5, and not in uncharacteristic locations within the embryo makes an aberrant activity of Myf5-Cre at E9.5 and E10.5 unlikely. Usually, it takes 1-2 days until the recombination initiated by Cre-recombinase is completed and cell labeling occurs, which suggests that the presence of lacZ-positive cells at E9.5 and E10.5 was due to an activity of Myf5-Cre at earlier time points. A transient activity of the Myf5 promoter in the paraxial mesoderm around E8 would explain the observed presence of Myf5-derived cells in progeny of the paraxial mesoderm. In fact, we were able to detect a low-level expression of Myf5 in unsegmented paraxial mesoderm by RT-PCR. Similar observations were also made in our laboratory several years ago, which were neglected at that time as no further evidence for an activity of the Myf5/Myc locus in the unsegmented paraxial mesoderm existed (data not shown). Moreover, further evidence for an activity of the Myf5 promoter in unsegmented mesoderm comes from other species. In the zebrafish, Chen et al. have described a significant expression of...
Myf5 in the unsegmented paraxial mesoderm (Chen et al., 2001). It is also intriguing to see that cells that carry a homozygous mutation of the Myf5 gene are able to contribute to other somitic derivatives, according to their local environment (Tajbakhsh et al., 1996b), including the axial skeleton and the dermis, exactly the tissues that also harbor Myf5-derived cells. In our view, this observation further supports the hypothesis that a transient activity of the Myf5 promoter occurs in multipotent cells of the paraxial mesoderm, which is later stabilized by specific positional cues eventually leading to the characteristic Myf5 expression profile (Buckingham, 2001). Taken together, our results indicate that the activity of the Cre-recombinase inserted into the Myf5 locus faithfully recapitulates (a transient) expression of Myf5 in the paraxial mesoderm, although we cannot rule out completely that the insertion of the cre-recombinase gene into the Myf5 locus affected its regulation. The latter caveat might principally be raised for all reporter genes inserted by targeted recombination.

The activity of the Myf5 promoter in the unsegmented mesoderm does also explain the defects of the axial skeleton of Myf5-Cre//DTA mice, which are clearly due to ablation of cells in the sclerotome and sclerotome-derived cells forming the axial skeleton. As we have only used heterozygous Myf5-Cre//DTA mice in our experiments, we can rule out that the skeleton phenotype was due to cis-effects caused by the integration of the Myf5-Cre allele, which we have identified previously to be responsible for the rib anomalies in the original Myf5 mutants (Myf5m1) (Kaul et al., 2000). Although the skeleton phenotypes of Myf5m1 and Myf5-Cre//DTA mice are caused by different effects and vary significantly between both strains, they both reveal the vulnerability of the formation of the axial skeleton. Unlike the skeletal muscle lineage (and probably dermal cells), which are able to compensate even major losses of cells, the axial skeleton seems to lack this ability. It is tempting to speculate that the compensatory potential of the skeletal muscle lineage is based on the high proliferative and migratory capacities of fetal myoblasts, a property that is also maintained throughout adult life and accounts for the exceptional regenerative capacity of skeletal muscle.

Our study clearly demonstrates that only a subset of myogenic cells expresses Myf5 and that this cell population is one among others, which form the skeletal muscle lineage. Interestingly, the Myf5-derived cell population seems not to coincide with any known myogenic cell lineage as, for example, epaxial or hypaxial cells, which have been proposed to depend differentially on Myf5 and Myod1 (Kablar et al., 1997), and on fast or slow muscle cells (Biressi et al., 2007). This raises the question about the functional importance of Myf5-derived cells. As our previous in vitro studies using ES cell derived skeletal myoblasts suggested the existence of...
Myf5-independent muscle cell lineages, it was surprising to see that most of the early Myod1 expressing cells were killed during early somitogenesis. These results might be explained by the activation of the Myod1 gene in most Myf5-expressing cells, which also corresponds to the co-expression of Myf5 and Myod1 in established muscle cells lines and at later stages of fetal skeletal muscle development. A distinct cell population, however, was obviously independent of Myf5 and expressed Myod1. These cells, which need further characterization, allowed expansion of the myogenic cell lineage and efficient rescue of myogenesis. It is also interesting to note that the lack of the primary wave of muscle cells in Myf5 knockout mice (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2007; Ustainina et al., 2007). Based on the preliminary DNA microarray analysis at E14.5 and the regular formation of skeletal muscles at E18.5, the Myod1-dependent cell lineage did not show major differences to the heterogeneous mix of cell populations that develop normally, indicating a high degree of plasticity and adaptability.

The high plasticity of skeletal muscle is also reflected by an enormous heterogeneity of skeletal muscle cells, which is not only restricted to developed fibers but also apparent during development and adult life at molecular and cellular levels, as indicated by recent cell lineage and gene expression studies (Biressi et al., 2007). Using the same Myf5-Cre strain that was employed in this study and a ROSA26-YFP Cre-reporter strain, it has been described that 10% of sublaminar Pax7-positive satellite cells did never express Myf5 (Kuang et al., 2007). As Pax7+/Myf5- satellite cells gave rise to Pax7+/Myf5+ satellite cells through asymmetric cell division, Kuang et al. argued that Pax7+/Myf5+ are on top of a hierarchy of muscle stem cells that give rise to new (Pax7+/Myf5+) stem cells and to committed (Pax7+/Myf5+) myogenic progenitors. Our finding that Myf5 myogenic cells form only a subset of muscle cells, therefore contributing to the heterogeneity of muscle cells during development, raises the question whether Pax7+/Myf5- cells did indeed never see a muscle regulatory factor of the Myf5/Myod1 family and thus belong to a more immature muscle stem cell lineage. Alternatively, it might be envisaged that Pax7+/Myf5- cells depend on other MRFs and thus do not belong to the Myf5-derived muscle cell population. The widespread presence of Myod1-derived satellite cells in adult muscles (David Goldhammer, University of Connecticut, personal communication; N.G., T.B., A.S., D.R. and T.B., unpublished) does also argue in this direction. It will be interesting to determine whether Pax7+/Myf5- cells are derived from Myod1- or Myf6-expressing cells or developed from a Pax7+/Pax3 cell population (Relaix et al., 2005).
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