Tbx2b is required for the development of the parapineal organ
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Structural differences between the left and right sides of the brain exist throughout the vertebrate lineage. By studying the zebrafish pineal complex, which exhibits notable asymmetries, both the genes and the cell movements that result in left-right differences can be characterized. The pineal complex consists of the midline pineal organ and the left-sided parapineal organ. The parapineal is responsible for instructing the asymmetric architecture of the bilateral habenulae, the brain nuclei that flank the pineal complex. Using in vivo time-lapse confocal microscopy, we find that the cells that form the parapineal organ migrate as a cluster of cells from the pineal complex anlage to the left side of the brain. In a screen for mutations that disrupted brain laterality, we identified a nonsense mutation in the T-box2b (tbx2b) gene, which encodes a transcription factor expressed in the pineal complex anlage. The tbx2b mutant makes fewer parapineal cells, and they remain as individuals near the midline rather than migrating leftward as a group. The reduced number and incorrect placement of parapineal cells result in symmetric development of the adjacent habenular nuclei. We conclude that tbx2b functions to specify the correct number of parapineal cells and to regulate their asymmetric migration.
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INTRODUCTION

The formation of an organ requires specification of multiple cell types within a primordium, followed by appropriate morphogenetic movements that shape the mature organ. In the case of asymmetrically shaped and positioned organs, morphogenesis must also be regulated along the left-right (L-R) axis. The zebrafish presents a unique opportunity to study the formation of an asymmetric region in the brain, namely the pineal complex, which is found in the dorsal diencephalon (epithalamus). The pineal complex includes the melatonin-secreting pineal organ and the left-sided parapineal organ (Butler and Hodos, 1996; Borg et al., 1983). In adult fish, the pineal organ is attached to the brain via a stalk, which emerges just to the left of the midline (Liang et al., 2000). The parapineal organ is situated within the brain to the left of the pineal stalk (Gamse et al., 2002). Adjacent to the pineal complex are the bilateral habenular nuclei (habenulae). Along with the medial forebrain bundle, the habenulae and their efferent connections are a principal pathway connecting the limbic forebrain with the midbrain. Habenular axons travel via the fasciculi retroflexus (FR) to the interpeduncular nucleus (IPN) of the midbrain; this habenulo-interpeduncular connection is highly conserved throughout the vertebrate lineage (Sutherland, 1982). In teleosts, including zebrafish, axons from the left versus right habenula innervate different dorsoventral regions of the IPN, in contrast to other vertebrates, in which the two habenulae exhibit similar connections to the IPN (Kuan et al., 2007a).

In zebrafish, the asymmetric location of the parapineal organ imposes laterality on the flanking habenulae, which exhibit L-R differences in their neuropil density (Concha et al., 2000) and in the size and expression of genes such as leftover (lov; also known as kctd12.1 – ZFIN) (Gamse et al., 2003). In mutants in which the parapineal is on the right side of the brain, structural and gene expression differences in the habenulae are also L-R reversed (Gamse et al., 2003; Concha et al., 2000). Selective destruction of the parapineal by laser ablation reveals its instructive role on the left habenula. In the absence of the parapineal, both habenulae develop with equivalent size, patterns of gene expression, and neuropil density characteristic of the right habenula (Gamse et al., 2003; Concha et al., 2003). This abnormal development of the habenulae also affects efferent projections to the IPN. In wild-type (WT) embryos, the left habenular neurons project to both the dorsal and ventral regions of the IPN (Gamse et al., 2005; Aizawa et al., 2005). However, in parapineal-ablated larvae, left habenular neurons no longer project dorsally, but instead innervate the ventral IPN, characteristic of right habenular neurons (Gamse et al., 2005). Therefore, the development of the parapineal is important for asymmetry of the dorsal diencephalon as well as for the formation of diencephalic connectivity to other brain regions.

The parapineal organ is morphologically distinguishable between 28 and 32 hours post-fertilization (hpf) as a cluster of cells adjacent to the anterior left edge of the pineal anlage (Gamse et al., 2003; Concha et al., 2003). Studies of fixed samples suggest that over the course of the next 3 days, the parapineal becomes located more posteriorly and ventrally relative to the pineal organ (Gamse et al., 2002). A single parapineal organ is detected in 95% of WT zebrafish larvae on the left side of the brain, and only very rarely (<0.3%) are bilateral or no parapineal organs observed (Gamse et al., 2003; Concha et al., 2000). Precursors of the parapineal and pineal organ are thought to derive from a common pool of cells, as shown by lineage labeling of the pineal complex anlage at 22-24 hpf (Concha et al., 2003). The genes that regulate how parapineal cells are specified from this precursor pool, and that are responsible for their ultimate left-sided localization, are unknown.

To identify genes involved in asymmetric development of the epithalamus, we performed a genetic screen for mutations that disrupt L-R differences of lov expression in the habenulae. Here, we report the isolation and characterization of the from beyond (fbv)
mutation that produces homozygous embryos with reduced lov expression in the left habenula. We identify fby as a lesion in the T-box2b (tbx2b) gene that disrupts parapineal development. Time-lapse analysis reveals details of the morphogenetic process, showing that parapineal cells migrate leftward away from the pineal complex anlage as a cluster. By contrast, the parapineal cells of fby mutants are fewer in number and are scattered near the midline of the brain. We propose that Tbx2b assigns cells to the parapineal lineage and regulates its asymmetric migration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Zebrafish

Zebrafish were raised at 28.5°C on a 14/10 hour light/dark cycle and staged according to hpf or days post-fertilization (dpf). The wild-type strains AB (Walker, 1999) and WIK (Rauch et al., 1997), the transgenic lines Tg(foxd3:GFP)\textsuperscript{k17} and Tg(foxd3:GFP)\textsuperscript{k30} (Gilmour et al., 2002), the ethynitrosoacetate-induced mutations krypek\textsuperscript{m19} and trilobite\textsuperscript{m42} (Solnica-Krezel et al., 1996), and fby\textsuperscript{e144} were used.

Mutagenesis and screening

ENU mutagenesis was performed by placing male zebrafish in 3 mM ENU for three 1-hour treatments with 48 hours between treatments. F1 fish were generated by crossing ENU-mutagenized males to AB females. F2 fish were generated by intercrossing F1 males and females. F3 larvae were generated from F2 intercrosses and at 4 dpf were used so that the location of the parapineal could be readily assessed by fluorescein-UTP staining. For single labeling, hybridized probes were detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibodies and visualized with 4-choro-1-naphthol (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate (BCIP) staining.

Cloning of fby

Heterozygous fish (AB background) carrying the fby\textsuperscript{e144} mutation were crossed with wild-type WIK fish. F2 mutant larvae were used for metiotic mapping with simple sequence length polymorphism (SSLP) markers, as previously described (Bahary et al., 2004). For identification of the lesion in the tbx2b gene, cDNA was prepared using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) from mRNA isolated from 4-day-old AB and fby mutant larvae using Trizol (Invitrogen). For sequencing, cDNA was amplified using primers that flanked the tbx2b open reading frame and Pfu DNA polymerase, and subcloned into the pCRII-Topto vector (Invitrogen).

Genotyping fby mutants

To genotype larvae, DNA was extracted by boiling larvae for 10 minutes in 25 μl of Embryo Lysis Buffer (Bahary et al., 2004). Proteinase K (Roche Biochemistry) was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml and samples were incubated at 55°C for 2 hours, then boiled for 10 minutes to deactivate the proteinase K. The fby mutation introduces an Msel restriction site at bp 134 of exon 3. Primers flanking this site were used to amplify genomic DNA (forward primer, 5'-TGTGACGAGCACTAATGTC-TTCCCTC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GCAAAAGCATCGCAGAACG-3'). The PCR product was digested with Msel (NEB) at 37°C for 1 hour, then run on a 3% agarose gel.

Morpholino injections

Antisense morpholino (MO) sequences for tbx2b were derived from the translation initiation site and the splice donor site of the third exon as described [Tbx2b-ATG and Tbx2b-SP (Gross and Dowling, 2005)]. The MO stock solution (10 mg/ml) was diluted to 6 mg/ml in distilled H₂O, and embryos (1- to 2-cell stage) were pressure-injected with ~1 nl to deliver 6 ng of MO per embryo. Embryos from the transgenic line Tg(foxd3:GFP)\textsuperscript{k17} were used so that the location of the parapineal could be readily assessed by fluorescence in live larvae at 3-4 dpf.

RNA in situ hybridization

Whole-mount RNA in situ hybridization was performed as described previously (Gamse et al., 2003), using reagents from Roche Applied Bioscience. RNA probes were labeled using fluorescein-UTP or digoxigenin-UTP. To synthesize antisense RNA probes, pBS-oxt5 (Gamse et al., 2002), znot (Talbot et al., 1995), pB2 SK-znr1 (cyx) (Sampath et al., 1998), and pBK-CMV-leftover (Gamse et al., 2003) were linearized with EcoRI and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase; pCRII-tbx2b, pZits2 (Takui et al., 1999) and pBK-CMV-right on (Gamse et al., 2005) with BanHI and T7 RNA polymerase; pCRII-dexter (Gamse et al., 2005) with Xhol and SP6 RNA polymerase; pB2 SK-ATF (fliI) (Thissie and Thissie, 1999) with NorI and T7 RNA polymerase; pBSKe-southpaw (Long et al., 2003) with SpeI and T7 polymerase; pGEM-fzd7a (El-Messiaoudi and Renucci, 2001) with Apul and SP6 polymerase; pB2 SK-ventll (Makita et al., 1998) with HindIII and T3 polymerase; and pBS-gfl (Dufourcq et al., 2004) with SacII and T3 RNA polymerase. Embryos were incubated at 70°C for three 1-hour treatments with 48 hours between treatments. F1 fish were used so that the location of the parapineal could be readily assessed by fluorescein-UTP staining. For single labeling, hybridized probes were detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibodies and visualized with 4-choro-1-naphthol (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate (BCIP) staining for single labeling, or NBT/BCIP followed by iodonitrotetrazolium (INT) and BCIP staining for double labeling. For sagittal sections, larvae were embedded in OCT (Sakura) and sectioned on a Leica cryostat. All in situ data were collected on a Leica DM6000B microscope with a 5× or 20× objective.

Immunofluorescence

For whole-mount immunohistochemistry with rabbit or mouse antibodies, 4-dpf larvae were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde and stored at −20°C in methanol. Samples were permeabilized by treatment with 10 μg/ml proteinase K, refixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and blocked in PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% sheep serum, 1% DMSO, 1% BSA (PBSTrS). For antibody labeling, rabbit anti-Lov or anti-Ron (1:500) (Gamse et al., 2005), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000, Torrey Pines Biolabs), mouse anti-opsin (1:500) (Adamas et al., 1991) or mouse anti-Zpr1 (1:250, Zebrafish International Resource Center, Eugene, OR) were used. Larvae were incubated overnight in primary antibody diluted in PBSTrS. Primary antibody was detected using goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies conjugated to the Alexa 568 or Alexa 488 fluorophores (1:350, Molecular Probes).

To simultaneously detect Lov and Ron, chicken anti-Lov polyclonal antibody was generated. A bacterially expressed C-terminal fragment of Lov was generated and purified as described previously (Gamse et al., 2005), injected into tens, and total IgG was isolated from egg yolks (Aves Labs). For Lov/Ron double labeling, larvae were fixed in 100% Fixative (Anatech) overnight and stored in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 for up to 2 weeks. Samples were permeabilized, refixed and blocked as above, then incubated overnight with chicken anti-Lov (1:500 dilution of total IgG) and rabbit anti-Ron (1:500) antibodies simultaneously in PBSTrS, and primary antibodies detected with goat anti-chicken:Alexa 568 and goat anti-rabbit:Alexa 488 (1:350, Molecular Probes). All immunofluorescence data were collected on a Zeiss/Perkin Elmer spinning confocal microscope with a 40× oil-immersion objective and analyzed using Volocity software (Improvement).

Time-lapse imaging

For time-lapse imaging, wild-type or fby mutant embryos carrying the transgene (foxd3:GFP)\textsuperscript{k20} were either uninjected or injected with a 6 ng/ml solution of tbx2b splice MO. Embryos were mounted in a 0.8% solution of low-melting-point agarose containing 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU) and anesthetized with 0.04% Tricaine. Images were collected on a Leica MZ6 MP microscope with a 40× oil-immersion objective every 15 minutes from 24-48 hpf and analyzed using Volocity software.

Cell ablation

Ablation of a subset of parapineal cells was performed using Tg(flih,GFP)c161 or Tg(foxd3:GFP)\textsuperscript{k30} 31-hpf embryos, mounted dorsal-side-up in 0.8% agarose in 35 mm Petri dishes. Parapineal cells were visualized by GFP fluorescence and ~5 cells were ablated by 5-10 pulses/cell from a 440 nm laser beam (Photonic Instruments) focused through a 40× water-immersion objective mounted on a Leica DM6000B microscope. An equivalent number of cells contralateral to the parapineal were ablated in the controls.
RESULTS

The from beyond mutation abolishes habenular asymmetry

We screened 4-dpf larvae to identify ENU-induced mutations that altered expression of the K+ channel tetramerization domain-related (KCTD) gene leftover (lov). In the habenulae, lov is typically expressed at high levels and in a broader domain on the left (Gamse et al., 2003) (Fig. 1A). The from beyond (fby) mutation reproducibly caused reduced expression of lov in the left habenula (Fig. 1B). In WT larvae, the left habenula is larger and contains more neuropil than the right (Gamse et al., 2003; Concha et al., 2000), whereas in fby mutants the size and neuropil content of the left habenula was similar to the right (data not shown). Intercrosses between carriers from the original F2 family revealed that fby segregates as a simple mendelian recessive mutation (104/438, 24%).

fby mutant larvae developed with normal overall body morphology, formed swim bladders and fed on schedule, but most larvae died at ~2 weeks of age. Rarely, mutants survived to adulthood (4/101, 4%). A morphological phenotype of reduced otic vesicle size was also detected, with 30% of mutant larvae showing fused otoliths in one or both otic vesicles at 4 dpf, and 100% by 7 dpf (data not shown).

Reduced lov expression in the left habenula was associated with other alterations in the usual L-R asymmetric pattern of the habenulae. In WT larvae, a smaller area of the left than of the right habenula expresses the KCTD genes right on (ron); also known as kctd12.2 – ZFIN and dexter (dex; kctd8 – ZFIN) (Gamse et al., 2005) (Fig. 1C,E). By contrast, the left habenula of fby mutant larvae had an expanded region of ron- and dex-expressing cells similar to the right habenula (Fig. 1D,F).

In addition to asymmetric gene expression, the left and right habenulae differ in their axonal projections to the IPN (Kuan et al., 2007b; Gamse et al., 2005; Aizawa et al., 2005). In WT larvae, Lov+ axons project to the dorsal and ventral regions of the IPN, whereas Ron+ axons project to the ventral IPN only (Gamse et al., 2005) (Fig. 1G-J). In fby mutant larvae, the dorsal/ventral (D/V) pattern of L-R habenula projections was altered. Fewer Lov+ axons projected dorsally and more projected ventrally (Fig. 1H,L), and an increased number of Ron+ axons were detected ventrally (Fig. 1M,N). These data are consistent with left habenular efferents adopting a projection pattern characteristic of the right habenula.

The abnormal laterality in the brains of fby mutants prompted an examination of asymmetric Nodal signaling, a key early determinant of L-R axis formation (Shen, 2007). In WT embryos, two nodal genes, southpaw (spaw) and cyclops (cyc; also known as ndr2 – ZFIN), are expressed in the zebrafish left lateral plate mesoderm (LPM), as are the nodal-induced genes lefty1 (lft1) and pitx2 (Sampath et al., 1998; Rebagliati et al., 1998b; Rebagliati et al., 1998a; Long et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2000; Concha et al., 2000; Bisgrove et al., 2000) (see Fig. 2A,B). cyc, lft1 and pitx2 were also expressed in the left side of the epithalamus (Fig. 2C-E). In fby mutants, expression of spaw, cyc, lft1 and pitx2 was indistinguishable from that in WT siblings in both the left LPM and left epithalamus (Fig. 2F-J). The right-sided position of the pancreas and rightward looping of the heart tube, which are influenced by Nodal signaling in the left LPM (Yan et al., 1999), were also unaffected in fby mutants (data not shown).

fby mutants have fewer and mispositioned parapineal cells

Previous studies have shown that the left-sided parapineal organ is required for the asymmetric identity of the habenulae, and therefore for L-R habenular neurons to adopt D/V differences in their projection to the IPN (Gamse et al., 2003; Gamse et al., 2005; Concha et al., 2003; Aizawa et al., 2005). To determine if the altered laterality of the habenulae in fby mutants was due to disrupted development of the parapineal, we examined pineal complex formation.
The pineal complex adopted an abnormal morphology in fby mutants. In 24-hpf WT larvae, the otx5 gene is expressed throughout the pineal complex anlage (Gamse et al., 2002) (Fig. 3A). By 34 hpf, the otx5-expressing parapineal is revealed as a morphologically distinct group of cells emerging from the left anterior border of the pineal complex anlage (Gamse et al., 2002) (Fig. 3C). By 4 dpf, parapineal cells are found in a more posterior and ventral position adjacent to the left habenula (Gamse et al., 2003; Concha et al., 2003) (Fig. 3E,G). In fby mutants, the pineal complex anlage appeared similar to WT at 24 hpf (Fig. 3B), but by 34 hpf a distinct parapineal organ was not found (Fig. 3D). At 4 dpf, individual otx5-expressing cells were ectopically located below the pineal organ (Fig. 3F,H).

A number of molecular tools allow pineal and parapineal cells to be distinguished in WT larvae. The growth factor independent 1 (gfi1) gene is selectively expressed at 48 hpf in a tight cluster of parapineal cells on the left side of the brain (10±1 cells, n=23) (Dufourcq et al., 2004) (see Fig. 4A,C and Table 1) and are never detected in the pineal. We also examined pineal-specific markers, in the context of the transgenic line Tg(foxd3:GFP)kg17 that labels both pineal and parapineal cells with GFP (Gilmour et al., 2002; Concha et al., 2003) (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). The entire pineal complex of WT larvae consisted of 60±10 GFP-positive cells (n=13, Table 1). Red-green cone cells labeled by the Zpr1 antibody were found in the pineal but were absent from the parapineal (Fig. 4E). Almost half of the GFP-positive pineal cells were identified in confocal sections as red-green cone cells (44%, n=13, Table 1). Rod cells in the dorsal midline of the pineal organ were labeled by the anti-rhodopsin antibody (Concha et al., 2000). Parapineal cells were negative for rhodopsin expression (Fig. 4G,I, Table 1).

In fby mutants, some ectopic cells located ventral to the pineal organ were labeled by gfi1 at 4 dpf (Fig. 4B). However, there were far fewer gfi1-expressing cells in mutants than in WT larvae (Table 1), and these were scattered near the midline of the brain, rather than tightly clustered on the left as in WT (Fig. 4D). Some of the ectopic cells in fby mutants sent out projections reminiscent of WT parapineal axonal projections to the left habenula (Fig. 4, compare I with J). In mutants, projections from the ectopic cells did not appear to extend to either habenula, as assessed by GFP expression (Fig. 4J and see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). Other ectopically positioned cells expressed the pineal cone-cell marker Zpr1, but not rhodopsin (Fig. 4F,H,J). These data indicate that the ectopic cell population consisted of a mixture of pineal and parapineal cells. The few parapineal cells that did develop in fby mutants failed to organize into a coherent structure.

Migration of a group of cells, such as the lateral line primordium, requires polarization of the cell cluster (reviewed by Ghysen and Dambly-Chaudiere, 2007). The failure of parapineal migration in fby mutants could therefore be a secondary consequence of specifying too few parapineal cells to form a polarized group. To test whether parapineal migration and cohesion depend on cell number, ~5 cells...
were ablated at 31 hpf, prior to their movement away from the pineal complex anlage, leaving a number of cells comparable to fby mutants (Fig. 5A-F). The placement of the remaining parapineal cells was assayed at 55 hpf, when they have moved leftward, as well as posteriorly and ventrally, and begin to express gfi1. We found that the remaining parapineal cells formed a coherent and correctly positioned, albeit smaller, parapineal organ, similar to controls (Fig. 5G-L).

**The fby mutation introduces a premature stop codon in the tbx2b coding sequence**

The fby mutation was mapped to a region of chromosome 15, approximately 100 kb telomeric to the SSLP marker z22430 (Fig. 6A). Examination of the zebrafish genome sequence (Hubbard et al., 2007) revealed two genes, tbx2b and tbx4, in this region. The tbx2b gene was a likely candidate based on previous reports of expression in the pineal anlage (Ruvinsky et al., 2000; Dheen et al., 1999) and the observation that antisense morpholino (MO)-treated embryos developed abnormal otic vesicles similar to those seen in fby mutants (Gross and Dowling, 2005). Sequencing of tbx2b cDNA from fby mutants at 4 dpf revealed a T-to-A transversion introducing a premature stop codon (Fig. 6B). This lesion lies within the T-box sequence and is predicted to prevent translation of 9 of the 20 DNA-contacting residues (Sinha et al., 2000). Two different MOs that blocked either tbx2b translation or removal of the third intron (Gross and Dowling, 2005) effectively phenocopied the fby mutation (Fig. 6C,D). Microinjection of tbx2b MOs into fby mutants did not cause a further reduction in the number of gfi1-expressing cells (data not shown), supporting the premise that the fby mutation eliminates tbx2b function.

**tbx2b is expressed early in the pineal complex anlage**

Expression of tbx2b in the cells that give rise to the medially located pineal complex anlage was present at the six-somite stage, in two clusters of cells at each lateral edge of the neural plate (Fig. 7A). By the ten-somite stage, when the lateral edges of the neural plate meet to form the neural rod, a single dorsal midline domain of tbx2b expression was detected (Fig. 7B) (Ruvinsky et al., 2000). At 24 hpf, expression of tbx2b was found in many cells of the pineal complex anlage, and was most intense in the midline (Ruvinsky et al., 2000; Dheen et al., 1999; Cau and Wilson, 2003) (Fig. 7C). At 4 dpf, tbx2b expression was maintained in the parapineal organ and the pineal stalk (Fig. 7D). In fby mutants, tbx2b RNA expression was still detected in the pineal complex anlage at a similar level to that in WT (Fig. 7E,F), indicating that the fby mutation did not cause nonsense-mediated decay.

The tbx2b expression pattern resembled that of flh, a homeobox transcription factor also expressed in the pineal complex anlage (Masai et al., 1997), although the two expression patterns did not completely overlap. During somitogenesis, many flh-positive cells
expressed tbx2b (Fig. 7G). However, some medial cells of the pineal complex anlage expressed tbx2b only (Fig. 7H). By 24 hpf, the pineal complex anlage expressed both flh and tbx2b, except at its most lateral edges, where only flh expression was detected (Fig. 7I,J).

Previous reports indicated that during neural plate formation in zebrafish, tbx2b expression is regulated by the Wnt receptor frizzled 7a (fzd7a) (Fong et al., 2005), and that in the developing mouse hindbrain, Tbx2 regulates expression of Wnt planar cell polarity (PCP) genes including Fzd7 (Song et al., 2006). However, we find that disrupting the Wnt PCP signaling pathway, by mutation of the proteoglycan knypek (glypican) (Topczewski et al., 2001) or van gogh-like 2 (strabismus; also known as trilobite in zebrafish) (Jessen et al., 2002), has no effect on tbx2b expression, parapineal specification or migration (see Fig. S2A-I in the supplementary material). In addition, neither fzd7a nor other PCP genes (wnt11, wnt5, fzd7b, trilobite) are expressed in the epithalamus (see Fig. S2J-Q in the supplementary material; data not shown).

**Migration of parapineal cells is disrupted in fby mutants**

In fby/tbx2b mutants, the absence of a distinct left-sided parapineal organ and the development of parapineal cells in an ectopic ventral location suggested that parapineal cells failed to move to the left side of the brain. Although studies have inferred that parapineal precursors migrate out from the anlage to the left side of the brain (Gamse et al., 2003; Concha et al., 2003), these cellular movements have not been directly documented in live embryos. We performed time-lapse analysis using foxd3:gfp transgenic embryos, recording cell locations between 24 and 48 hpf (see Movie 1 in the supplementary material). One or two highly protrusive cells extending multiple short and wide filipodia were detected at the anterior left edge of the pineal complex anlage at 31 hpf (Fig. 8A). Over the next 8 hours, these cells migrated in a leftward and slightly caudal direction (Fig. 8D,G). They were very closely followed by more cells that originated from the same anterior left region of the pineal complex anlage (Fig. 8J). By 48 hpf, the cells stopped moving, they coalesced into a tightly packed cluster and extended highly dynamic, thin processes (Fig. 8M,P).

In contrast to the robust migration of parapineal cells to the left side of the brain, leftward migrating cells were not observed in tbx2b MO-treated or fby homozygous mutant embryos (see Movies 2, 3 in the supplementary material). At 33 hpf, one or two highly protrusive cells were seen at the anterior end of the pineal complex anlage (Fig. 8A). Over the next 9 hours, these cells migrated in a leftward and slightly caudal direction (Fig. 8D,G). They were very closely followed by more cells that originated from the same anterior left region of the pineal complex anlage (Fig. 8J). By 48 hpf, the cells stopped moving, they coalesced into a tightly packed cluster and extended highly dynamic, thin processes (Fig. 8M,P).

In contrast to the robust migration of parapineal cells to the left side of the brain, leftward migrating cells were not observed in tbx2b MO-treated or fby homozygous mutant embryos (see Movies 2, 3 in the supplementary material). At 33 hpf, one or two highly protrusive cells were seen at the anterior end of the pineal complex anlage (Fig. 8A). Over the next 9 hours, these cells migrated in a leftward and slightly caudal direction (Fig. 8D,G). They were very closely followed by more cells that originated from the same anterior left region of the pineal complex anlage (Fig. 8J). By 48 hpf, the cells stopped moving, they coalesced into a tightly packed cluster and extended highly dynamic, thin processes (Fig. 8M,P).
8N,O,Q,R). The time-lapse analyses indicated that tbx2b is required for the leftward migration of parapineal cells and their morphogenesis into a coherent cluster.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we examined the specification and migration of cells that form the parapineal organ using the fby mutation, which disrupts the Tbx2b transcription factor. In fby mutants, fewer parapineal cells develop, and these cells fail to migrate to the left side of the brain to coalesce into the parapineal organ. Our findings have therefore identified a genetic regulator of a distinct subpopulation of cells in the pineal complex anlage that is important for their specification and migration.

**Expression of tbx2b in the pineal complex specifies parapineal cells**

The tbx2b gene is expressed in many cells of the pineal complex anlage, but only a dozen cells contribute to the parapineal organ. How does a widely expressed transcription factor play a role in the development of a limited number of parapineal cells? One likely model is that different cell types in the pineal complex anlage are specified by different repertoires of transcription factors that interact with tbx2b. Modulation of enhancer-binding activity by interaction with other transcription factors (particularly Lim, Gata and homeodomain proteins) is a common feature of Tbx family members (Showell et al., 2004; Naiche et al., 2005). The tbx2b gene is co-expressed with the homeobox gene flh in most of the pineal complex anlage at eight somites. However, a small symmetric domain in the anterior medial region of the anlage expresses tbx2b but not flh. We propose that cells from this anterior medial domain will be specified as parapineal, whereas cells expressing both flh and tbx2b will generate the pineal organ. This model predicts that the fby mutation causes parapineal precursors to be respecified to a pineal fate. In support of this model, we find that in addition to reduced numbers of gfi1-expressing parapineal cells, red-green cone cells typically restricted to the pineal are instead situated in an ectopic position ventral to the pineal organ.
The absence of a tbx2b-exclusive domain in the pineal complex anlage of fby mutants affects parapineal specification; however, a few cells still adopt parapineal identity as assessed by gfi1 expression. The action of tbx2b in combination with additional transcription factors during parapineal and pineal specification will be directly tested by conditional misexpression in the epithalamus using tissue-specific promoters.

A trivial explanation for the formation of a few gfi1-expressing cells in fby mutants is that the mutation is hypomorphic; however, the c144 ENU lesion produces a premature stop codon that is predicted to abolish Tbx2b binding to DNA. Moreover, depletion of tbx2b in WT or fby mutant embryos by two highly effective MOs phenocopied all aspects of the fby mutation, but did not eliminate gfi1-expressing cells completely. It is unlikely that a second zebrafish Tbx gene partially compensates for the loss of tbx2b, because the closest relatives of tbx2b (tbx2a, tbx3, tbx4 and tbx5) do not appear to be expressed in the pineal primordium (Ruvinsky et al., 2000; Dheen et al., 1999).

tbx2b specifies parapineal cells without affecting Nodal signaling

In addition to expression in the pineal complex, tbx2b is expressed in the chordoneural hinge (CNH), a structure in the embryonic tailbud (Ruvinsky et al., 2000; Dheen et al., 1999). Expression of the Nodal gene southpaw in the CNH is required for its subsequent asymmetric expression in the left LPM, where it regulates left-sided expression of another Nodal gene, cyc, in the LPM and epithalamus (Gourronc et al., 2007). Loss of spaw activity results in the absence of cyc expression in the left epithalamus (Long et al., 2003) and in L-R randomization of parapineal location (Gamse et al., 2005). However, fby mutant embryos develop with normal left-sided expression of Nodal genes, indicating that tbx2b activity in the CNH is not required for initiation of asymmetric spaw expression. This suggests that tbx2b acts in the pineal complex anlage to regulate specification of the parapineal organ in a pathway that is independent of Nodal signaling.
Parapineal cells require \textit{tbx2b} activity to migrate away from the pineal complex anlage

In WT embryos, migration of parapineal precursor cells from the pineal complex anlage begins with the emergence of a single cell from the anterior left region, followed by other closely apposed cells. This sequence suggests a leader-follower mechanism for directional migration, in which cells at the leading edge coordinate the behavior of the group. Such cellular behavior has been best described in zebrafish for the migration of the lateral line primordium, where leader cells expressing \textit{cxc4b} at the anterior end of the primordium organize the migration of the rest of the cells (Haas and Gilmour, 2006). The first cells to emerge from the pineal complex anlage may be leader cells that direct the left-sided movement of the parapineal organ. In \textit{fby} mutants, a reduced number of cells develop with parapineal characteristics, including \textit{gf1} expression and anteriorward axonal projections, and they do not migrate to the left side of the epithalamus; rather, at 48 hpf they are found in the midline, at the anterior edge of the pineal complex. The failure of parapineal cells to migrate in \textit{fby} mutants could be due to the reduced number of parapineal precursor cells that are specified, the inability of mutant cells to move, or a loss of leader cell function. We believe that the latter hypothesis is correct. First, reducing the total number of parapineal precursor cells by laser ablation to a number similar to that of the scattered cells in the \textit{fby} mutant, still allows a cohesive organ to form on the left side of the brain. Second, \textit{fby} mutant parapineal cells still move posteriorly and ventrally, and thus are not immobile. Therefore, we speculate that \textit{tbx2b} is required for the specification or maintenance of leader cells. Testing this hypothesis will require the development of methods to identify the leader cells and specifically destroy them as they emerge from the pineal complex.

One mechanism invoked to mediate \textit{tbx2b} regulation of migration is the Wnt-PCP pathway. Although \textit{tbx2b} plays a role in PCP in other contexts (Fong et al., 2005; Song et al., 2006), we find no role for PCP in parapineal migration.

Delayed parapineal migration away from the midline until after 48 hpf has been observed in \textit{masterblind (mbl; also known as axin1)} and RNA-rescued \textit{one-eyed pinhead (Roep)} mutants in a significant percentage of larvae (Gamse et al., 2002; Carl et al., 2007). However, by 96 hpf, the parapineal cells of \textit{mbl} and \textit{Roep} mutants have achieved their correct left-sided position, whereas the parapineal cells of \textit{fby} mutants remain scattered close to the midline. The data suggest that \textit{mbl} and \textit{oepl} regulate the timing or speed of migration, but are not required for leader cell formation.

An evolutionarily conserved role for Tbx genes in parapineal formation?

Fish, frog, lizard and bird embryos all develop a pineal and a pineal accessory organ (although in birds the parapineal does not persist in the adult), whereas mammals seem to form only the pineal gland. In lizards and frogs, the pineal accessory organ (known as the parietal eye or frontal organ, respectively) is photoreceptive, expresses certain opsin family members and is thought to transmit diurnal information (Engbretson et al., 1981; Eldred et al., 1980; Su et al., 2006; Blackshaw and Snyder, 1997). In mammals, which do not receive direct light input to the pineal, the major source of diurnal information is stimulation of the melanopsin-expressing retinal ganglion cells (Hattar et al., 2002). The acquisition of photic input to the pineal via the eyes in mammals rendered a photoreceptive pineal accessory organ unnecessary. Loss of \textit{Tbx2/3} expression in the pineal complex anlage might be the mechanism that prevents formation of a pineal accessory organ in mammals, by altered specification and disrupted migration of precursors. How a transcription factor regulates the number and behavior of parapineal cells will be revealed by identification of its downstream target genes.
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