Dendrite branching and self-avoidance are controlled by Turtle, a conserved IgSF protein in Drosophila
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The dendritic trees of neurons result from specific patterns of growth and branching, and dendrite branches of the same neuron avoid one another to spread over a particular receptive field. Recognition molecules on the surfaces of dendrites influence these patterning and avoidance processes by promoting attractive, repulsive or adhesive responses to specific cues. The Drosophila transmembrane protein Turtle (Tutl) and its orthologs in other species are conserved members of the immunoglobulin superfamily, the in vivo functions of which are unknown. In Drosophila sensory neurons, we show that the tutl gene is required to restrain dendrite branch formation in neurons with simple arbors, and to promote dendrite self-avoidance in neurons with complex arbors. The cytoplasmic tail of Tutl is dispensable for control of dendrite branching, suggesting that Tutl acts as a ligand or co-receptor for an unidentified recognition molecule to influence the architecture of dendrites and their coverage of receptive territories.
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INTRODUCTION

Developing neurons form dendritic trees with cell type-specific patterns of arborization, ranging from simple arbors with few branches to highly elaborate arbors that cover receptive territories with many branches. In neurons with even the most complex trees, dendrite branches growing from the same neuron (isoneuronal branches) avoid one another as they spread over a territory to receive sensory or synaptic inputs. Together, dendrite branching and self-avoidance are crucial for sculpting the particular architecture of a neuron’s receptive field. Both processes are thought to be controlled by molecular recognition events that occur between isoneuronal branches, or between dendrites and the substrata along which they grow. However, few of the molecules participating in these recognition events have been described.

Cell surface recognition molecules that promote dendrite growth and/or branching include cadherins (Gao et al., 2000; Kimura et al., 2006; Shima et al., 2007; Sweeney et al., 2002), as well as those mediating responses to neurotrophins (Horch and Katz, 2002), B-type ephrins (Horch and Katz, 2002), and cues that direct dendritic guidance, such as Semaphorins (Komiyama et al., 2007; Polleux et al., 2000), Slits (Dimitrova et al., 2008; Furrer et al., 2007; Godenschwege et al., 2002; Whitford et al., 2002) and Netrins (Furrer et al., 2003). In contrast to these examples, which promote or guide dendrite arborization, recognition molecules that prevent inappropriate or excessive dendrite branching in neurons with simple arbors remain unidentified.

Recognition mechanisms underlying dendrite self-avoidance have only recently emerged, with findings that the Dscam family of immunoglobulin superfamily (IgSF) proteins promote self-avoidance in Drosophila (Hughes et al., 2007; Matthews et al., 2007; Soba et al., 2007) and mice (Fuerst et al., 2008). It remains to be determined whether other families of cell surface proteins are also required to promote self-avoidance.

The identification of novel transmembrane proteins required for dendrite branching and self-avoidance is a key step in understanding molecular mechanisms that underlie dendrite patterning. The Drosophila protein Turtle (Tutl) and its mammalian orthologs, Dasm1 (Igsf9) in mice and IGSF9 (KIAA1355) in humans (Doudney et al., 2002; Shi et al., 2004), are type 1 transmembrane proteins with an ectodomain comprising five immunoglobulin (Ig)-like domains and two fibronectin type III repeats (Fig. 1A). In Drosophila, mutations of the tutl gene impair responses to tactile stimuli and the execution of complex coordinated behaviors (Bodily et al., 2001), but the causes of these nervous system deficits are unknown. To date, no morphological defects have been reported for tutl mutants, despite the structural similarity of Tutl to the Neogenin, Deleted in Colorectal Carcinoma, Frazzled and Roundabout families of axon guidance receptors (Bodily et al., 2001).

In mice, the Tutl ortholog Dasm1 is selectively expressed in the developing hippocampus (Mishra et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2004). Dasm1 knockout mice have no observable defects in dendrite morphogenesis in the developing hippocampus, nor have defects of neuronal differentiation, synaptogenesis or behavior been seen in these mice (Mishra et al., 2008). Therefore, the role Dasm1 in the mammalian nervous system remains uncertain, and genetic approaches to study Dasm1 function in mice could be complicated by redundancy of Dasm1 with Igsf9b, a closely related protein (Mishra et al., 2008).

Here, we have used genetic approaches to study the effects of tutl mutations on dendritic arborization (da) neurons in the Drosophila peripheral nervous system. We found that Tutl is expressed on dendrites of da neurons and, through loss-of-function and gain-of-function experiments in vivo, we demonstrate that Tutl cell-autonomously controls dendrite branching and self-avoidance. Tutl restricts branching in neurons with simple arbors and promotes self-avoidance in neurons with highly complex arbors. These results demonstrate that a member of the Tutl/Dasm1/IGSF9 family of proteins can influence dendrite morphogenesis in vivo, and that neurons
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of different classes employ Tutl as a common molecular component of mechanisms that sculpt dendrite arborization patterns of dramatically different complexity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly stocks and genetics

Flies were obtained from stock centers at Bloomington [tutl<sup>10965</sup>, tutl<sup>Df(2L)ed-dp</sup>] and Harvard [tutl<sup>10966</sup> and tutl<sup>23770</sup>], and from published sources (GAL4<sup>4109(2)80</sup>, GAL4<sup>221</sup>, ppk1.9-GAL4, UAS-mCD8::GFP). We generated tutl<sup>23770</sup> by FLP/FRT-mediated recombination (Parks et al., 2004) to delete the intervening DNA between the PBac elements f03096 and f02770. tutl-RD (FlyBase) encodes full-length tutl corresponding to EST RE40452. (C) UAS-mCD8::GFP driven by GAL4<sup>10962/208</sup> was used to visualize the cell bodies and dendrites of dorsal da neurons of wild-type third-instar larvae. (C') Tutl immunoreactivity was observed in da neuron cell bodies (labeled) and dendrites (arrowheads). The majority of the dendrites labeled here belong to the class I da neuron ddaD. (C'') Overlay of GFP (green) and Tutl (magenta). (D) Class I da neurons (ddaD and ddaE) visualized by GAL4<sup>221</sup>-driven expression of mCD8::GFP; there was also weak ectopic expression of GFP in class IV ddaC. (D') Tutl was expressed in the cell bodies of GFP-positive da neurons. (D'') Overlay of GFP (green) and Tutl (magenta). (E-E') In tutl<sup>23770</sup> homozygous mutants, Tutl staining was absent from GFP-positive da neurons. Scale bars in C-E: 50 μm. Anterior is left, dorsal up.

For tutl MARCM, virgin females of the stock elav<sup>C155</sup>-GAL4, UAS-mCD8::GFP; hs-FLP; FRT40A, tub-GAL80 were crossed to males that were either elav<sup>C155</sup>-GAL4, UAS-mCD8::GFP; hs-FLP; FRT40A or elav<sup>C155</sup>-GAL4, UAS-mCD8::GFP; hs-FLP; FRT40A, tutl<sup>23770</sup>. For cut MARCM, flies of the stock FRT19A, tub-GAL80, hs-FLP; GAL4<sup>4109(2)80</sup>, UAS-mCD8::GFP were crossed to flies carrying FRT19A, cut<sup>141</sup>/FM7c. Embryos were collected for 2 hours, incubated at 25°C for 2-3 hours, then heat shocked at 38°C for 1 hour and incubated at 25°C until they were analyzed just prior to pupation. Larvae mutant for tutl were cultivated on agar plates. Mutant animals were selected with the aid of balancer chromosomes CyO,twi-Gal4, UAS-GFP (for tutl, ab and kn) or TM3,twi-Gal4, UAS-GFP (for ss).

Imaging and quantification

Larvae dissected in PBS and GFP-positive da neurons were imaged with confocal microscopy using a Yokogawa spinning disk system (Perkin-Elmer) on an Eclipse TE2000-U microscope (Nikon). z-series images were collected using Metamorph software (Molecular Devices) and prepared for publication in Photoshop by converting images to grayscale and adjusting brightness and contrast. Reconstruct software (Fiala, 2005) was used to quantify the numbers of branch termini, branch points and crossing points, as well as dendritic length (classes I-III) and dendritic field area [polygon method (Grueber et al., 2002)]. The dendritic arbors of class IV neurons...
were traced and measured using Imaris software (Bitplane). The data were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and statistical analysis was performed using Analyse-It software for Microsoft Excel.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Anti-Tutl polyclonal antisera was raised in rabbits to a GST-Tutl (amino acids 1-421) fusion protein corresponding to immunoglobulin (Ig) domains 1-3 of the Tutl ectodomain, then affinity-purified and pre-absorbed using standard methods. For anti-Tutl immunofluorescence, embryos or third instar larvae were dissected in PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Ou et al., 2008), then anti-Tutl antibody (1:25, 4°C) was detected with Rhodamine Red-X-conjugated secondary antibody (1:300). In double labeling of embryos for Tutl and HRP, we also added Cy2-conjugated anti-Ro antibody (1:500, 4°C). Prior to mounting samples from third instar larvae, muscles overlying the dorsal cluster da neurons were removed by dissection for better visualization.

**RESULTS**

**Tutl expression in da neurons**

Four da neuron classes (I-IV) of increasing dendritic complexity and size can be readily observed in the larval body wall of *Drosophila*, sandwiched in two dimensions between muscles and epidermis (Grueber et al., 2002). We focused on the dorsal-most cluster of peripheral sensory neurons, which contains at least one representative from each class. We examined Tutl expression with immunofluorescence and found that Tutl was expressed in the cell bodies and along the dendrites of class I neurons ddaD and ddaE in third instar larvae (Fig. 1C’,D’). Class I neurons have the least complex arbors of all da neurons. Tutl was also readily observed in the cell body of the class IV neuron ddaC (Fig. 1C’,D’), the arbors of which are the most complex. Tutl was also expressed in the class II neuron ddaB and the class III neurons ddaA and ddaF (Fig. 1C’), in addition to in other sensory neurons in the dorsal cluster (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). The specificity of the antibody for Tutl was confirmed by the absence of expression in tutl23 mutants (Fig. 1E’), which carry a novel tutl allele (Fig. 1B).

**Analysis of Tutl function in class I da neurons**

To examine the phenotypical consequences of tutl mutations in da neurons, we studied tutl23 and other available P-element insertion (tutl01085) and deficiency (tutlP) alleles. We began by examining dendrite morphology of the class I neuron ddaE using the class I driver GAL4221 and UAS-mCD8::GFP as a reporter to reveal the dendritic tree (Grueber et al., 2003). In control larvae at third instar, ddaE neurons have a simple, comb-like appearance (Fig. 2A) and 24.1±0.8 (mean±s.e.m.) branch termini per cell (Fig. 2C). One of the two or three primary dendrites projects dorsally and gives rise to several lengthy interstitial secondary branches that grow in a posterior direction toward the segment boundary (Fig. 2A). By contrast, the dendritic trees of ddaE neurons in homozygous or hetero-allelic tutl mutants had a number of defects, including
severely shortened interstitial branches and irregular patterns of curled or tortuous growth, which often lacked directed orientation (Fig. 2B; see Fig. S1A-C in the supplementary material). We scored these defects while blind to genotype, and found them in 20/20 ddaE neurons from tutl01085/tutl23 mutants, but in only 2/20 wild-type controls, which indicated a high penetrance of the tutl mutant phenotype. In addition, compared with wild-type ddaE neurons, we found significantly more branch termini (Fig. 2B; Fig. S1A-C in the supplementary material), increasing to 36.7±0.9 in tutl23 homozygotes (Fig. 2C). This was as severe as in tutl23/tutl09 hemizygotes (33.5±1.1; Fig. 2C; Fig. S1B in the supplementary material), supporting our molecular and immunochemical data that tutl23 is a null allele of tutl. Heterozygotes (tutl23/+) had a degree of branching that was intermediate between controls and homozygotes (Fig. 2C), suggesting that ddaE branching is sensitive to the levels of Tutl. Overall, the mutant genotypes had increases in ddaE branches that ranged from 126-152% of wild-type controls (Fig. 2C). Similar observations were made for ddaD (not shown), another class I da neuron.

**Single-cell analysis of Tutl function in da neuron dendrite branching**

Although Tutl is expressed in dendrites of class I da neurons, the phenotypes we observed could result from either cell autonomous or non-cell autonomous Tutl activity. To investigate whether tutl is required cell autonomously in class I da neurons, we generated single mutant neuron clones using the MARCM system (Lee and Luo, 1999). Control ddaE MARCM clones exhibited normal morphology and branching (24.0±1.2; Fig. 2C,E), but the dendrites of tutl23 ddaE MARCM clones showed increased branch termini to the same level as that found in tutl mutant animals (34.7±2.6; Fig. 2C,F). Other features of the tutl homozygous mutant phenotype (i.e. shortened interstitial branches, irregular patterns and directions of growth) were not readily observed in tutl23 ddaE MARCM clones, and thus we are unable to ascribe them to cell autonomous loss-of-Tutl function. Therefore, we focused on the role of Tutl in the control of dendrite branching, where MARCM analysis pointed to a specific and cell autonomous role for Tutl in preventing excessive dendrite branching.

When normalized for dendritic length, ddaE neurons in tutl01085/tutl23 mutants and tutl23 MARCM clones retained increased numbers of branch termini relative to controls (Fig. 2D; see also Fig. S2A in the supplementary material), which suggests that tutl mutations increase dendrite branching complexity independently of ddaE dendrite growth. We analyzed the branching defect of tutl mutants in more detail by counting (1) branch points on primary dendrites that project directly from the cell body, and (2) second or third order branch points situated more distally on the arbor (Fig. 2G). Although the number of branch points on primary dendrites was unchanged in tutl mutants and tutl23 MARCM clones, there was a clear increase in the number of second and third order branch points.

To determine whether Tutl cell autonomously inhibits branching in other classes of da neurons, we used MARCM to examine class II (ddaB), class III (ddaA, ddaF) and class IV (ddaC) neurons (Grueber et al., 2002). In tutl23 MARCM clones for neurons of class II (Fig. 3A-D) and class III (Fig. 3E-H), we found no significant changes of branch number nor did we observe any effects on the pattern, growth or targeting of their dendritic trees. In the class IV neuron ddaC, we observed defects in dendrite self-avoidance (see below), but branch number was unaffected (see Fig. S2B in the supplementary material, MARCM data). Together, these results indicate that tutl has class-specific effects on dendrite branching in vivo.
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**Fig. 3. Class II and class III da neurons are unaffected in tutl23 MARCM clones.** (A,B) MARCM clones of class II ddaB neurons. (A) Wild-type ddaB clone. (B) tutl23 mutant ddaB clone showing normal dendritic pattern. (C) Quantification of ddaB termini (mean±s.e.m.), showing no significant (ns) difference between wild-type and tutl23 MARCM clones (t-test, P>0.05). (D) Quantification of ddaB termini normalized to dendritic field area (mean±s.e.m.), again showing no significant difference. (E,F) MARCM clones of class III ddaA neurons. (E) Wild-type clone; (F) tutl23 MARCM ddaA clone. (G) Quantification of ddaA termini (mean±s.e.m.). (H) Quantification of ddaA termini normalized to the total length of the main dendritic branches of ddaA (mean±s.e.m.), not including spine-like protrusions.

**Effects of tutl mutation on class IV da neurons**

Dendrite self-avoidance involves repulsive interactions between isoneuronal branches following transient contact (Hughes et al., 2007; Matthews et al., 2007; Soba et al., 2007). To investigate the role of tutl in dendrite self-avoidance, we examined the complex
arbor of the class IV da neuron ddaC in tutl mutants and tutl23 MARCM clones. In control third instar larvae, the high-order branches of ddaC neurons normally show self-avoidance, with only occasional crossing points (arrows). tutl01085/23 mutant showed numerous dendrite crossing points (arrows). The dendritic field is smaller than that of wild type because tutl mutant animals are shorter than wild type. (C-D') MARCM clones of ddaC neurons. (C,C') Wild-type ddaC clone showed self-avoidance and occasional crossing points (arrows). (D,D') tutl23 clone showed increased numbers of crossing points (arrows). (E) Quantification of dendrite length in ddaC neurons (mean±s.e.m.; asterisks: tutl mutants, t-test, P<3×10^{-7}; tutl MARCM, t-test, P=0.144; ns, not significant; N, number of neurons quantified for each genotype). (F) Quantification of dendrite crossing points normalized to dendritic length in ddaC neurons (mean±s.e.m.; tutl mutants, t-test, P<2×10^{-5}; tutl MARCM, t-test, P<0.03). Scale bars in A,B,C,D: 100 μm. Anterior is left, dorsal up. Genotypes: A,A', UAS-mCD8::GFP/+;ppk1.9-GAL4/+; B,B', UAS-mCD8::GFP/+; tutl01085/tutl23;ppk1.9-GAL4/+; C,C', elav155-GAL4,UAS-mCD8::GFP,hs-FLP,FRT40A; D,D', elav155-GAL4, UAS-mCD8::GFP, hs-FLP, FRT40A, tutl23.

**Fig. 4. tutl is required for dendrite self-avoidance in class IV da neurons.** (A-B') Class IV ddaC neurons visualized by ppk1.9-GAL4 driving UAS-mCD8::GFP. (A) ddaC neuron in wild type. Dotted outline marks area shown in A'. (A') Branches of ddaC neurons normally show self-avoidance, with only occasional crossing points (arrows). (B,B') ddaC neuron in tutl01085/23 mutant showed numerous dendrite crossing points (arrows). The dendritic field is smaller than that of wild type because tutl mutant animals are shorter than wild type. (C-D') MARCM clones of ddaC neurons. (C,C') Wild-type ddaC clone showed self-avoidance and occasional crossing points (arrows). (D,D') tutl23 clone showed increased numbers of crossing points (arrows). (E) Quantification of dendrite length in ddaC neurons (mean±s.e.m.; asterisks: tutl mutants, t-test, P<3×10^{-7}; tutl MARCM, t-test, P=0.144; ns, not significant; N, number of neurons quantified for each genotype). (F) Quantification of dendrite crossing points normalized to dendritic length in ddaC neurons (mean±s.e.m.; tutl mutants, t-test, P<2×10^{-5}; tutl MARCM, t-test, P<0.03). Scale bars in A,B,C,D: 100 μm. Anterior is left, dorsal up. Genotypes: A,A', UAS-mCD8::GFP/+;ppk1.9-GAL4/+; B,B', UAS-mCD8::GFP/+; tutl01085/tutl23;ppk1.9-GAL4/+; C,C', elav155-GAL4,UAS-mCD8::GFP,hs-FLP,FRT40A; D,D', elav155-GAL4, UAS-mCD8::GFP, hs-FLP, FRT40A, tutl23.

**Fig. 4. tutl is required for dendrite self-avoidance in class IV da neurons.** (A-B') Class IV ddaC neurons visualized by ppk1.9-GAL4 driving UAS-mCD8::GFP. (A) ddaC neuron in wild type. Dotted outline marks area shown in A'. (A') Branches of ddaC neurons normally show self-avoidance, with only occasional crossing points (arrows). (B,B') ddaC neuron in tutl01085/23 mutant showed numerous dendrite crossing points (arrows). The dendritic field is smaller than that of wild type because tutl mutant animals are shorter than wild type. (C-D') MARCM clones of ddaC neurons. (C,C') Wild-type ddaC clone showed self-avoidance and occasional crossing points (arrows). (D,D') tutl23 clone showed increased numbers of crossing points (arrows). (E) Quantification of dendrite length in ddaC neurons (mean±s.e.m.; asterisks: tutl mutants, t-test, P<3×10^{-7}; tutl MARCM, t-test, P=0.144; ns, not significant; N, number of neurons quantified for each genotype). (F) Quantification of dendrite crossing points normalized to dendritic length in ddaC neurons (mean±s.e.m.; tutl mutants, t-test, P<2×10^{-5}; tutl MARCM, t-test, P<0.03). Scale bars in A,B,C,D: 100 μm. Anterior is left, dorsal up. Genotypes: A,A', UAS-mCD8::GFP/+;ppk1.9-GAL4/+; B,B', UAS-mCD8::GFP/+; tutl01085/tutl23;ppk1.9-GAL4/+; C,C', elav155-GAL4,UAS-mCD8::GFP,hs-FLP,FRT40A; D,D', elav155-GAL4, UAS-mCD8::GFP, hs-FLP, FRT40A, tutl23.

Class IV neurons also exhibit dendritic ‘tiling’, which is the complete and non-redundant coverage of receptive fields by neurons of a similar functional type (Grueber et al., 2002; Parrish et al., 2007). Class IV neurons show tiling with other class IV neurons, even though they overlap extensively with dendrites of class I-III neurons. Like self-avoidance, tiling is thought to be caused by mutual repulsion between dendrites (Parrish et al., 2007), and the processes are related through a common requirement for the nuclear Dbf2-related (NDR) protein kinase Tricornered (Trc) and its putative adaptor protein Furry (Emoto et al., 2004). To determine whether tutl is required for dendritic tiling between class IV neurons, we examined the borders between ddaC and another neighboring
class IV neuron (v’ada) for dendritic overlap (Fig. 5A, A’). We found no evidence that tutl is required for tiling, as the branches between these different class IV neurons approached one another but did not overlap in tutl mutants (Fig. 5B, B’).

Effects of tutl overexpression in da neurons
To test whether tutl is sufficient to inhibit dendrite branching, we overexpressed full-length Tutl in da neurons using a UAS-tutl transgene. In class I neurons (ddaE), branching was unaffected (not shown), suggesting that neurons with small simple arbors and substantial levels of endogenous Tutl along their dendrites are unaffected by adding more Tutl. We then tested the effects of UAS-tutl on the large and highly complex dendritic arbors of the class IV da neuron ddaC (Fig. 6A). In contrast to class I ddaE neurons, overexpression of Tutl in ddaC neurons inhibited dendrite branching (Fig. 6B, C).

One idea consistent with the branching and self-avoidance defects caused by tutl mutations is that Tutl could promote repulsion between isoneuronal dendrite branches. Repulsion could conceivably induce branch collapse in class I da neurons, or could steer dendrites away from one another in class IV da neurons. To explore whether Tutl is sufficient to repel dendrites away from one another, we exploited the fact that the dendrites of neurons of another, we exploited the fact that the dendrites of neurons of explore whether Tutl is sufficient to repel dendrites away from one another in class IV da neurons. To conceivably induce branch collapse in class I da neurons, or could caused by tutl mutations is that Tutl could promote repulsion (Fig. 6B, C).

overexpression of Tutl in ddaC neurons inhibited dendrite branching, as do mutations in genes encoding the transcription factors Abrupt (Ab) or Spineless (Ss) cause ectopic branching in class I neurons (Kim et al., 2006; Li et al., 2004; Sugimura et al., 2004), resembling the effects we have found for tutl mutants. To test whether Tutl expression in class I neurons is regulated by Ab or Ss, we examined Tutl expression in mutants with tutl23 and Dscam33, a strong mutant allele of Dscam (Hummel et al., 2003) (data not shown).

Investigation of Tutl regulation by transcription factors
Class-specific patterns of da neuron dendrite morphogenesis are regulated by key transcription factors. For example, mutations of the genes encoding the transcription factors Abrupt (Ab) or Spineless (Ss) cause ectopic branching in class I neurons (Kim et al., 2006; Li et al., 2004; Sugimura et al., 2004), resembling the effects we have found for tutl mutants. To test whether Tutl expression in class I neurons is regulated by Ab or Ss, we examined Tutl expression in mutants with immunohistochemistry. Both of these mutant alleles are known to cause defects in dendrite morphogenesis, yet we found no obvious changes in Tutl immunoreactivity (see Fig. S4A-B in the supplementary material). Overexpression of Tutl in class IV neurons inhibits dendrite branching, as do mutations in genes encoding the transcription factors Knot (also known as Collier) (Crozatier and Vincent, 2008; Hattori et al., 2007; Jinushi-Nakao et al., 2007) and Cut (Grueber et al., 2003). To explore the possibility that Tutl expression is normally suppressed to endogenous levels in class IV da neurons by Knot or Cut, we examined Tutl protein expression in ddaC neurons in mutants and cutc145 MARCM clones. Changes of Tutl immunoreactivity were not detected in da neurons in either case (see Fig. S4C-D in the supplementary material).
Rescue of tutl mutant phenotypes and assessment of the dispensability of the Tutl cytoplasmic tail

Tutl and its mammalian orthologs have a conserved ectodomain comprising five Ig-like and two FnIII domains. They also have lengthy but divergent cytoplasmic tails. To begin to investigate the molecular basis for Tutl function in vivo, we wondered whether the cytoplasmic tail of Tutl was dispensable for its function in dendrite morphogenesis. Testing this in class IV da neuron ddaC (i.e. branch inhibition). Instead, we examined class I da neurons where Tutl has no gain-of-function effect. We assessed the requirement for the cytoplasmic tail in a rescue assay for branch inhibition in the class I neuron ddaE. To do this, we used GAL4221 to specifically express either full-length Tutl or a truncated form lacking the cytoplasmic tail (TutlΔcyto) in class I neurons of tutl mutants (Fig. 7; see also Fig. S5 in the supplementary material). The full-length form of Tutl fully restored the number of branch termini per cell (ddaE) to wild-type levels (Fig. 7B,D), providing further confirmation that the phenotype observed in tutl mutants was specifically due to the loss of Tutl. Importantly, we found that TutlΔcyto was equally capable of rescuing tutl mutants (Fig. 7C,D), which indicates that the cytoplasmic tail of Tutl is indeed dispensable for dendrite branch inhibition in class I da neurons.

Fig. 7. The cytoplasmic tail of Tutl is dispensable for function in class I da neurons. (A) Class I ddaE neuron in tutl mutant expressing full-length Tutl with GAL4221. (B) ddaE neuron in tutl mutant expressing TutlΔcyto. Anti-Tutl immunohistochemistry demonstrating expression of Tutl and TutlΔcyto can be found in Fig. S4 in the supplementary material. (D) Quantification of ddaE branch termini in wild type, tutl mutants, and rescued animals (mean±s.e.m.). Asterisk indicates significant difference from wild-type (wt) control, ANOVA, P<10–4. N, number of neurons quantified for each genotype. Full-length Tutl and TutlΔcyto each rescue the number of branch termini in tutl mutants to wild-type levels. Scale bar in A,B,C: 50 μm. Anterior is left, dorsal up. Genotypes: A, UAS-mCD8:GFP+/+;ppk1.9-GAL4+/+; B, UAS-mCD8:GFP+/+;ppk1.9-GAL4/UAS-tutl; C, tutlΔcyto.UAS-tutl.GAL4221; D, UAS-tutl;UAS-mCD8:GFP/UAS-tutl.GAL4221; UAS-mCD8::GFP/UAS-tutl.GAL4221; UAS-mCD8:GFP/UAS-tutl.GAL4221; UAS-mCD8::GFP/UAS-tutl.GAL4221; UAS-mCD8::GFP/UAS-tutl.GAL4221.

DISCUSSION

Dendrite branching and self-avoidance are two important cellular mechanisms that shape the receptive fields of neurons during development. Here, we have investigated the role of Tutl in these processes using the da sensory neurons of Drosophila, an excellent system in which to study dendrite arborization at a single cell level in vivo. Tutl is a member of the
Tutl/Dasm1/IGSF9 family of evolutionarily conserved transmembrane proteins. We have found that Tutl inhibits excessive branch formation in neurons with simple dendrites (class I), and contributes to the processes that prevent crossing of isoneuronal dendrite branches in neurons with complex arbors (class IV), which demonstrates that Tutl influences the architecture of dendrites and their coverage of receptive territories. In contrast to our results for class I and class IV neurons, our MARCM studies found no evidence of a cell-autonomous role for Tutl in class II or class III neurons, despite detectable Tutl expression in their cell bodies. The reasons for a lack of apparent effects on class II or class III da neuron dendrites in tutl MARCM clones remain unclear. Sufficient Tutl protein, inherited from precursors, could have remained in MARCM clones to promote normal outgrowth. Alternatively, there might be no role for Tutl in these cells. Nevertheless, it is clear from our results for class I and class IV da neurons that Tutl is required for the arborization of dendritic trees with dramatically different complexity.

A role for Tutl in dendrite branching
Tutl cell-autonomously inhibits dendrite branching in vivo, providing a means by which da neurons with the simplest architecture suppress the formation or stabilization of supernumerary dendrite branches during development. We observed a clear increase in the number of second and third order branch points on tutl mutant ddaE neurons. This finding suggests that tutl regulates branching only at certain locations along the growing arbor, perhaps by inhibiting branch additions or promoting branch retractions.

The tutl phenotype is distinct from that of mutants of Neuregion (Nrg), which also encodes a cell surface IgSF protein that affects dendrite branching. Loss of Nrg reduces the number of branches on the dendritic arbors of class I da neurons, and increases branching along their axons, suggesting a role for Nrg in correctly distributing neurites but not as a branching inhibitor (Yamamoto et al., 2006). The tutl mutant phenotype is also distinct from the dendrite overgrowth phenotype observed in mutants of the IgSF receptor Robo (Dimitrova et al., 2008), or of the cadherin Flamingo (also known as starry night) (Gao et al., 2000; Kimura et al., 2006; Sweeney et al., 2002). In vertebrate systems, no recognition molecules have yet been shown to inhibit dendrite branching in vivo. However, it is noteworthy that inhibition of axon branching has been demonstrated in the chick visual system, where inappropriate arborization of retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axon terminals is thought to be inhibited by EphA (Yates et al., 2001) and Ryk (Schmitt et al., 2006) receptors. In zebrafish, RGC axons are inhibited from branching by Robo2 (Campbell et al., 2007), an IgSF protein with which Tutl shares homology.

A role for Tutl in dendrite self-avoidance
After Dscam, Tutl is the only cell surface protein that has been shown to be required for dendrite self-avoidance in either invertebrates or vertebrates. As in Dscam mutants, the dendrites of tutl mutant neurons cross one another with increased frequency, leading to uneven coverage of the receptive field. Unlike Dscam, which promotes self-avoidance in all four da neuron classes (Hughes et al., 2007; Matthews et al., 2007; Soba et al., 2007), Tutl does so only in the highly complex arbors of class IV neurons. We observed no genetic interactions between Dscam and tutl mutations, and have yet to find any evidence that Dscam and Tutl could act in a common molecular pathway to control dendrite self-avoidance. Future studies could reveal whether and how these seemingly distinct pathways converge but, based on our findings, we speculate that the molecular mechanisms ensuring dendrite self-avoidance will prove to be more complex than is appreciated currently.

Neither Tutl nor Dscam affect dendritic tiling among neurons of a similar functional type, illustrating that self-avoidance and tiling are likely to be mediated by distinct recognition molecules on the surfaces of dendrites.

How does Tutl regulate dendrite morphogenesis?
The full-length form of Tutl is a transmembrane protein with a five Ig/2x FnIII ectodomain and a cytoplasmic tail, which suggests that it could act as a signaling receptor. Alternative splicing also gives rise to a membrane-tethered form that lacks the cytoplasmic tail (Bodily et al., 2001). This suggests that Tutl could also function as a membrane-bound ligand for an unknown receptor or, alternatively, as a co-receptor in a multiprotein receptor complex. These possibilities are not mutually exclusive, because Tutl could conceivably act as a ligand or a co-receptor in one cellular context, and as a signaling receptor in another. We found that the cytoplasmic tail was completely dispensable for the inhibition of dendrite branching in class I da neurons. This is consistent with a model in which Tutl acts as a ligand or a co-receptor in dendrites. By contrast, we found that the cytoplasmic tail was required to fully rescue viability in tutl mutants, suggesting that Tutl acts as a signaling receptor in this context.

It is currently unclear how Tutl controls dendrite branching and self-avoidance because our studies have not revealed a connection between Tutl and known regulators of dendrite morphogenesis such as Toc. We sought evidence for genetic interactions between trc and tutl and found none. These results alone cannot exclude the possibility that Toc and Tutl act in a common pathway to govern dendrite branching or self-avoidance, but it is noteworthy that the phenotypes of trc and tutl mutants also show some differences that could suggest they work through independent molecular pathways. Unlike tutl, trc is required for dendritic tiling among different class IV neurons, and tutl mutants do not display the excessive terminal branching in class IV neurons that is characteristic of trc mutants (Emoto et al., 2004).

The transcription factors Abrupt, Spineless, Knot and Cut each regulate patterns of dendrite branching in keeping with tutl mutations or Tutl overexpression (Crozatier and Vincent, 2008; Grueber et al., 2003; Hattori et al., 2007; Jinushi-Nakao et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2006; Li et al., 2004; Sugimura et al., 2004). However, in immunohistochemical studies of loss-of-function mutants for these transcription factors, we found it to be likely that Tutl expression is influenced by a regulatory program that is distinct from those involving Abrupt, Spineless, Knot or Cut.

Tutl remains somewhat enigmatic because we have yet to find evidence for a genetic or regulatory connection between tutl and genes with similar mutant phenotypes. Nevertheless, our discovery that Tutl regulates dendrite morphogenesis and the coverage of receptive territories underscores the fact that the molecular mechanisms that underlie dendrite morphogenesis remain incompletely understood. We can only speculate as to why tutl mutants have class-specific effects on dendrite morphogenesis, despite Tutl expression in all da neuron classes. Perhaps an unidentified Tutl-interacting protein, such as a receptor required for Tutl function, might be differentially expressed among da neurons and could thus account for the specificity of the phenotype. Other explanations may also exist. For example, it is possible that our MARCM experiments failed
to show cell-autonomous defects in certain da neuron classes (classes II and III) because the requirement for Tutl in these cells was met by perdurance of sufficient Tutl protein inherited from the precursor cells of MARCM clones. Alternatively, Tutl in class II and class III da neurons might function non-cell autonomously to influence neighboring cell types.

**Does Tutl promote dendrite branch repulsion?**

It is intriguing that the two processes of branching and self-avoidance are related by a common requirement for *tutl*. Both phenotypes are consistent with the idea that Tutl promotes repulsion, perhaps between isoneuronal dendrite branches, or between dendrites and the substrata along which they grow. However, there is no direct evidence at this time for a repulsive role for Tutl. Simultaneous overexpression of Tutl in different da neuron classes was insufficient to induce branch repulsion among their dendrites. Together with our rescue experiments showing the dispensability of the cytoplasmic tail for dendrite branching, these data suggest that Tutl could function as a ligand or a co-receptor in complexes with one or more unidentified proteins at the cell surface. Such proteins might not be expressed in all da neurons, which could explain why *tutl* mutations do not affect da neuron classes II and III, and why Tutl cannot induce repulsion when overexpressed in overlapping neurons of Classes I-III. The *tutl* mutant phenotypes remain the strongest evidence of a repulsive role for Tutl, and it is likely that direct evidence for repulsion must await the identification of the relevant Tutl-interacting proteins.

If it is true that Tutl mediates repulsion, we speculate that the nature or degree of that repulsion could be influenced by the size of the dendritic arbor, leading to class-specific effects. Class I dendrites remain relatively small with Tutl protein distributed along the entire arbor, where Tutl-mediated repulsion could promote the collapse of transient interstitial branches that are known to extend during development (Gao et al., 1999) (branch inhibition). In large class IV arbors where Tutl is distributed more sparingly, Tutl-mediated development (Gao et al., 1999) (branch inhibition). In large class IV arbors where Tutl is distributed more sparingly, Tutl-mediated repulsion could be one part of a multi-component system to redirect isoneuronal branches away from one another (self-avoidance) and thereby ensure proper distribution of dendrites over receptive territories (Hughes et al., 2007; Matthews et al., 2007; Soba et al., 2007).

In this way, neurons of different classes could employ a common repulsive mechanism involving Tutl to sculpt arborization patterns of dramatically different complexity.

**Is there an evolutionarily conserved role for Tutl-related proteins in dendrite morphogenesis in mammals?**

Our findings that Tutl inhibits dendrite branching in *Drosophila* contrast with initial observations in cultured rodent neurons, in which RNAi-knockdown experiments suggested that the Tutl ortholog Dasm1 was required to promote dendritic outgrowth (Shi et al., 2004). However, it was recently argued that these RNAi findings were due to off-target effects (Mishra et al., 2008). The role of Dasm1 in mammalian dendrite morphogenesis is currently unclear, as Dasm1 knockout mice have no observable dendritic defects (Mishra et al., 2008). However, the possibility has been raised that Dasm1 function in dendrites is redundant with the function of Igsf9b, a closely related protein that is coexpressed in the developing hippocampus, the expression of which is unaltered in the brains of Dasm1 knockout mice (Mishra et al., 2008). Loss-of-function studies for both Dasm1 and Igsf9b should reveal whether Tutl-like proteins in mammals share with Tutl an evolutionarily conserved role in dendrite morphogenesis.
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Table S1. Genetic interaction experiments for tutl and trc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>s.e.m.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class I (ddaE): termini per neuron</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tutl&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;/+</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trc&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;/+</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tutl&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;/+; trc&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;/+</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Class IV (ddaC): crossing points per 1000 µm of dendritic length** |    |      |        |
| tutl<sup>23</sup>/+ | 10 | 7.5  | 0.4    |
| trc<sup>1</sup>/+  | 11 | 5.8  | 0.4    |
| tutl<sup>23</sup>/+; trc<sup>1</sup>/+ | 14 | 8.1  | 0.5    |

Double heterozygotes for trc and tutl have no enhanced branching defects (class I) or self-avoidance defects (class IV) compared with tutl heterozygotes alone.

n, number of neurons examined; s.e.m., standard error of the mean.

*There is no significant difference between any two of the three genotypes listed (ANOVA, Tukey, P=0.18).
†tutl<sup>23</sup>/+, trc<sup>1</sup>/+ double heterozygotes are not significantly different from tutl<sup>23</sup>/+, but trc<sup>1</sup>/+ heterozygotes and tutl<sup>23</sup>/+ heterozygotes are different from one another (ANOVA, Tukey, P=0.0022).