MIG-32 and SPAT-3A are PRC1 homologs that control neuronal migration in Caenorhabditis elegans
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INTRODUCTION

The Polycomb group genes encode components of chromatin-modifying complexes, and were initially identified in Drosophila as modifiers of Hox gene expression that antagonize the action of Trithorax group genes (Kennison and Tamkun, 1988; Lewis, 1978; Nusslein-Volhard et al., 1985; Simon et al., 1992). Biochemical and molecular analyses have defined at least three protein complexes, called Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), PhoRC and PRC1. PRC2 is a histone methyltransferase that methylates Histone 3 lysine 27, and includes the proteins Enhancer of zeste, Extra sex combs, Su(z)2 and Nurf-55 (Cao et al., 2002; Czermin et al., 2002; Kuzmichev et al., 2002; Muller et al., 2002). The H3K27me3 histone mark is in turn recognized by the PRC1 complex (Cao et al., 2002; Czermin et al., 2002; Kuzmichev et al., 2002; Saurin et al., 2001), which has as core components in human cells Ring1, Ring2/Ring1B, Bmi-1 and HPH2 (Wang et al., 2004a). PRC1 is recruited to sites methylated by PRC2, where the Ring1B protein of PRC1 monoubiquitylates H2A at lysine 119 (Wang et al., 2004a; Wang et al., 2004b). In this complex, BMI-1 enhances the stability and enzymatic activity of Ring1B (Cao et al., 2005). PRC1 inhibits gene expression through mechanisms that are as yet not entirely clear but which may involve repressing the initiation of transcription, inhibiting nucleosome remodeling, regulating association of linker histone H1 and/or chromatin compaction (Francis et al., 2004; King et al., 2002; Levine et al., 2002; Shao et al., 1999; Zhu et al., 2007). Recent genome-wide identification of Polycomb group target genes has also shown that some targets are transcribed despite the presence of Polycomb group proteins on the gene, suggesting that repression may not be a universal outcome at all loci (Boyer et al., 2006; Bracken et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2006; Tolhuis et al., 2006).

Caenorhabditis elegans orthologs of the PRC2 complex have been identified and well characterized. These include the products of the mes-2, mes-3 and mes-6 genes, mutations in which result in maternal effect sterility (Capowski et al., 1991). The MES proteins form a complex in which the SET domain of MES-2 mediates di- and trimethylation of H3K27 (Bender et al., 2004; Holdeman et al., 1998). The H3K27me3 mark is concentrated on the X chromosome of wild-type animals, and transcription of X chromosome genes is normally silenced in the germline (Fong et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2001b). In mes-2, mes-3 and mes-6 mutants chromatin marks associated with active chromatin are found on the X chromosome and, according to the current model, inappropriate expression of X chromosome genes in the germline is responsible for the degeneration of germ cells and the sterility observed in mes mutants (Fong et al., 2002).

In addition to their role in the germline, mes genes also act in somatic cells. mes-2, mes-3 and mes-6 mutants have weak but reproducible defects consistent with abnormal Hox gene activity, in agreement with the classical role of Polycomb group genes as repressors of Hox gene activity (Ross and Zarkower, 2003). The abnormalities include subtle defects in migration by specific neurons, expansion of the domains of Hox gene expression, and mislocalization of sensory rays in the male tail. The genetic screens that identified the mes genes did not identify genes homologous to PRC1 components, and the C. elegans genome does not encode obvious homologs of many of the components of PRC1. These data suggest that either C. elegans lacks a PRC1 complex, which would suggest that PRC2 function could be uncoupled from PRC1 function, or that the function, composition or amino acid sequences of the proteins in a PRC1-like complex are sufficiently divergent to make recognizing them difficult.

Here we describe the genes mig-32 and spat-3, which encode homologs of the human PRC1 core components Bmi-1 and Ring1B, respectively. Consistent with MIG-32 and SPAT-3 being functionally analogous to PRC1, ubiquitylation of H2A is markedly reduced or absent in mig-32 and spat-3 mutants. Both mutants have defects in their nervous system that are similar those of mes mutants. Surprisingly, unlike mes mutants, mig-32 and spat-3 mutants are fertile, suggesting that H2A ubiquitylation by PRC1 is not required in the germline for essential functions of PRC2.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Alleles and strains

All strains were maintained at 20°C as described by Brenner (Brenner, 1974). Mutations and mapped integrated transgenes used were as follows. LGI: mig-1(e1787); mes-3(bn35); unc-73(e936); unc-40(e271); ced-1(e1735). LGII: mes-2(bn11); unc-4(e120); mald32 [P_Pmec-7::gfp] (Pujol et al., 2000);
RESULTS

MIG-32 is a RING-domain-containing protein homologous to Polycomb group family members, including the core component BMI-1

We identified MIG-32 and SPAT-3A as proteins most similar to core components of the PRC1 complex. As the existence of a PRC1-like complex has been uncertain in C. elegans, the genetic pathways in which mig-32 and spat-3 might participate are unclear. We therefore identified mutations in mig-32 and spat-3 to study their function.

We first confirmed the gene structure predictions for mig-32 by determining the DNA sequences of five full-length mig-32 cDNAs, and found that all were identical in the predicted coding sequences and were SL2-spliced, consistent with genome database predictions that mig-32 is the second gene in a three-gene operon (WormBase, http://www.wormbase.org, release WS193, July, 2008) (Spieth et al., 1993). mig-32 is predicted to encode a 542 amino acid protein with no close homologs in the completely sequenced C. elegans genome, and with a predicted RING domain as the only domain recognized by Pfam (Bateman et al., 2002). Using BLAST searches we identified MIG-32 homologs in vertebrate genomes, including six homologous proteins from humans (Fig. 1). Included in this group are the BMI-1 protein and the related proteins MEL-18/RFP110, NSPc1/PCGRF1 and Pcgf5, which participate in PRC1-related complexes (Alkema et al., 1997; Sanchez et al., 2007; Trimarchi et al., 2001). The Drosophila melanogaster genome contains two homologs: Posterior sex combs, a component of the Drosophila PRC1 complex (Saurin et al., 2001), and Lethal (3) 73 Ah, an essential gene (Belote et al., 1990; Irminger-Finger and Nothiger, 1995). We identified a single MIG-32 homolog in the genomic sequences of each of the nematodes C. briggsae and C. remanei (Fig. 1). These data suggest that MIG-32 is a RING domain protein most similar to core components of human and Drosophila PRC1 and related complexes.

We identified three deletion alleles of mig-32 (see Materials and methods). Each of the alleles deletes mig-32 genomic coding sequences and not coding sequences of the upstream or downstream genes in the operon. mig-32(n4275) mutants, and mutants carrying the tm1684 and tm1807 alleles, are homozygous viable and have defects in the male tail and other structures as outlined briefly here and in greater detail below.

The male tail has nine bilateral sensory ray structures (Emmons, 2005). We used the defect in the position of one of these rays to examine the consequences for mig-32 function of the three deletion alleles. All three alleles result in qualitatively and quantitatively similar defects in the position of Ray 1, with 60-80% of the mutants having an anterior Ray 1; all three also result in similar defects in migration of the HSN neurons (see below). The alleles are recessive, and 75% of mutants of genotype n4275 over sdf62, a chromosomal deficiency that deletes the mig-32 region, have defects in Ray 1 position similar to those of mig-32 homozygotes (data not shown).

Three observations suggest that the deletions specifically affect mig-32 function and not the function of F11A10.8 or F11A10.6, the upstream and downstream genes in the operon, respectively. First, F11A10.8 encodes a very-well-conserved homolog of human CPSF4, a splicing factor (WormBase). Inactivation of F11A10.8 by RNAi is lethal, as is a deletion mutation, ok844, which deletes parts of the F11A10.8 and mig-32 coding regions (WormBase), suggesting that the mig-32(n4275), tm1684 and tm1807 deletions do not severely impair F11A10.8 function. Second, RNAi of mig-32, which primarily targets processed mRNA (Fire et al., 1998), results
in an anterior position of Ray 1, as we observed in mig-32 mutants. Third, expression using the plx-1 promoter (Dalpe et al., 2004) of a mig-32 cDNA in the male tail rescued the anterior Ray 1 defects of mig-32(n4275) mutants. Specifically, of 50 mig-32(n4275) mutants carrying a Pplx:mig-32:cfp transgene, 12±5% (standard error of the proportion) had an anterior Ray 1 compared with 64±5% of 100 uninjected controls, and in contrast to mig-32 mutant males the transgenic males mated efficiently (see below and data not shown). These data suggest that the n4275, tm1684 and tm1807 alleles are strong loss-of-function or null alleles of mig-32. Unless otherwise indicated, we used the mig-32(n4275) allele for the experiments described here.

Remarkably, the brood sizes of mig-32 mutants are similar to those of the wild-type strain, N2. Specifically, the brood sizes of n4275, tm1684 and tm1807 mutants are 81, 71 and 63% of the wild type. Eggs laid by mig-32 mutants hatch at rates similar to those of wild-type animals (Table 1 and data not shown).

Table 1. Brood sizes of mig-32 and spat-3 mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Avg brood size</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>2,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mig-32(n4275)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>2,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mig-32(tm1807)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>2,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mig-32(tm1684)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spat-3(gk22)</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>2,513</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average (Avg) number of progeny was determined for twelve hermaphrodites of the indicated genotypes. n, total number of progeny.

**SPAT-3A is a RING domain protein homologous to the PRC1 core component RING1B**

spat-3 was originally identified in RNAi screens as a suppressor of the embryonic polarity gene par-2, although the mechanism of suppression is unknown (Labbe et al., 2006). spat-3 is predicted to encode two large protein products, SPAT-3A and SPAT-3B,
generated by alternative promoters and supported by partial cDNA evidence (WormBase). The SPAT-3A protein is 2471 amino acids and contains a RING domain at the N-terminus that is most similar to that of the Ring1B proteins of mammals, although the similarity is very poor (Fig. 1). SPAT-3B lacks the RING domain, and neither protein has additional recognized domains. Using the BLAST algorithm, SPAT-3A is the RING domain protein in the C. elegans genome most similar to Ring1B; the next most similar protein is MIG-32 (Fig. 1). A deletion allele of *spat-3, gk22*, deletes the genomic sequences common to the *spat-3a* and *spat-3b* transcripts, and if transcribed and translated is predicted to truncate the SPAT-3A protein after position 1620. As such, it may represent a loss-of-function but not null allele, as the RING domain is very near the N-terminus (Fig. 1). *spat-3(gk22)* mutants are viable and healthy, with a brood size that is 90% of wild-type animals (Table 1).

**MIG-32 and SPAT-3A are required for ubiquitylation of histone H2A**

The defined biochemical function of PRC1 is ubiquitylation of histone H2A at position 119. In PRC1, the Ring1B protein serves as the E3 that catalyzes H2A ubiquitylation (Wang et al., 2004a). Other core components, especially BMI-1, stimulate the catalytic activity of Ring1B (Cao et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006; Wei et al., 2006), possibly by promoting folding and stability of Ring1B (Ben-Saadon et al., 2006). We therefore asked whether H2A ubiquitylation was abnormal in *mig-32* and *spat-3* mutants. We analyzed histone modification using western blots of acid-extracted histones from wild-type, *mig-32* and *spat-3* mutant *C. elegans*. Using an H2A-specific antibody and extracts from wild-type animals, we detected H2A and a rare, higher molecular weight band that migrated at the size expected for ubiquitin-modified H2A; this band was not detected in extracts from *mig-32* or *spat-3* mutants (Fig. 2). Using an antibody that detects ubiquitin with histone extracts from wild-type animals, we detected two bands that correspond to the predicted molecular weights of H2A and H2B, both of which are modified by ubiquitylation (Osley, 2006). H2A, the smaller of these bands, is not detected in extracts from *mig-32* mutants and is greatly reduced in *spat-3* mutants (Fig. 2). These data suggest that MIG-32 and SPAT-3A are required for ubiquitylation of Histone H2A, the defining biochemical function of PRC1.

**mig-32 is broadly expressed, localized to nuclei and concentrated within nucleoli**

In situ hybridization using a *mig-32* cDNA suggested that the gene is expressed prominently in the *C. elegans* germline (Y. Kohara, personal communication). We constructed a rescuing GFP reporter to determine the expression pattern in somatic cells (see Materials and methods). Consistent with MIG-32 acting as a modifier of chromatin, the *P*$_{mig-32}$-*mig-32:gfp* reporter is expressed broadly in most or all nuclei, beginning early in embryogenesis and continuing in larval development and into adulthood of males and hermaphrodites (Fig. 3 and data not shown). Expression is predominantly nuclear, with relatively bright intranuclear areas of fluorescence that correspond with nucleoli evident as seen with Nomarski optics, particularly in some hypodermal cells where nucleoli are easily identified (Fig. 3). Transfection of mammalian cells with a FLAG-epitope-tagged MIG-32 showed nuclear expression concentrated in a shell around nucleoli, suggesting that the interactions that determine subcellular localization may be evolutionarily conserved (Fig. 3). We considered the possibility that localization of MIG-32 might depend upon *mes* gene activity. To test this, we examined the expression and localization of the *P*$_{mig-32}$-*mig-32:gfp* reporter in *mes-2* mutants and observed that expression of the reporter is brighter in the *mes-2* mutant background but that the fusion protein remains localized to nuclei and nucleoli (data not shown).

**mig-32 and spat-3 have similar defects in the anatomy of the male tail, and mig-32 may act with the mes genes to position Ray 1**

We observed that *mig-32* and *spat-3* mutant males mate very poorly. Observation of the male tail showed that Ray 1 is located abnormally anterior in *mig-32* and *spat-3* mutants, and also in *mig-32(RNAi)* and *spat-3(RNAi)* animals (Fig. 4). Several genetic pathways position Ray 1 appropriately in males. These include the *mes-2*, *mes-3* and *mes-6* genes, which encode *C. elegans* homologs of the PRC2 histone methyltransferase complex (Bender et al., 2004; Ross and Zarkower, 2003). We constructed double mutants between *mig-32* and putative null alleles of each of the *mes* genes. Strikingly, the Ray 1 position defects of these double mutants were not enhanced (Fig. 4), suggesting that *mig-32* acts in the same genetic pathway as the *mes* genes to position Ray 1.
Several additional pathways position Ray 1, including signaling by semaphorins and a Plexin receptor (Fujii et al., 2002; Ginzburg et al., 2002), acting through the \textit{unc-73} guanine nucleotide exchange factor and the \textit{ced-10/Rac mig-2/Rho} GTPases (Dalpe et al., 2004). We constructed double mutants between \textit{mig-32} and each of these genes and examined the position of Ray 1 in the male tails of the animals. In each case we identified significantly enhanced defects in the position of Ray 1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that \textit{mig-32} acts parallel to these pathways to position Ray 1.

\textit{mig-32} and \textit{spat-3a} are required for HSN neuronal migration and axon extension

We observed that \textit{mig-32} mutants were variably egg-laying defective, with some animals in a population carrying more eggs than wild-type animals. In staged adults the wild-type strain N2 carried an average of 17.5±5 eggs, compared with 28.8±11 eggs in \textit{mig-32} mutants (n=55 animals for each genotype, P<0.0001, unpaired two-tailed \(t\)-test). Egg-laying requires a vulva through which the eggs are laid, muscles to expel the eggs, and neurons to control the vulval muscles (Trent et al., 1983). We found that the two HSN neurons, which are essential for egg-laying (Trent et al., 1983), are often abnormal in \textit{mig-32} mutants (Figs 5 and 6). During embryogenesis the HSN neurons migrate from the tail to the midbody (Sulston et al., 1983). Using the \textit{P}_{nlp-1}gfp reporter to identify the HSN neurons (Li et al., 1999), we observed that the HSNs of \textit{mig-32} (n=4275) mutants failed to reach the midbody in 41% of mutants; by comparison, all HSNs migrated to their normal position in otherwise wild-type animals carrying the \textit{P}_{nlp-1}gfp reporter (Fig. 6). The axons extended by the HSN neurons were also abnormal. In wild-type animals, each HSN
extends an axon from the vulval region ventrally to the ventral nerve cord; the axons then turn anterior and extend to the head. Of the HSN axons of mig-32 mutants, 56% failed to reach the head; by comparison, all HSN axons extended to the head of otherwise wild-type animals carrying the Pspat-3A:gfp reporter (Figs 5 and 6). All HSNs of mig-32 mutants expressed the Pspat-3A:gfp reporter, suggesting that the HSN neurons correctly establish their identity and that the defects in HSN migration result from a requirement for mig-32 in other processes important for migration and axon extension. The defects in HSN migration and axon extension are likely to account for the variable defects in egg-laying we observed in mig-32 mutants; such variability has been associated with other mutants with defects in HSN migration (Desai et al., 1988).

mig-32 could have two distinct roles: modification of H2A to regulate gene expression and a developmental role in neuronal migration that is independent of H2A ubiquitylation. If the defects we observed in the nervous system of mig-32 mutants reflect the role of MIG-32 as part of a PRC1-like complex that includes SPAT-3A, spat-3 mutants should have defects very similar to those of mig-32 mutants. Indeed, the HSN neurons of spat-3 mutants failed to migrate appropriately, and were defective to an extent similar to that observed in mig-32 mutants (Fig. 6). Like mig-32 mutants, all HSNs of spat-3 mutants expressed the Pspat-3A:gfp reporter, suggesting that the HSNs of spat-3 mutants also correctly establish their identity.

mig-32 mutants have additional defects in laterality of commissures, process extension and midline crossing by axons

Using gfp reporters, we surveyed mig-32 mutants for defects in neuronal migration and processes extended by other neurons. The Punc-47gfp reporter is expressed in the VD and DD motoneurons of the ventral nerve cord (McIntire et al., 1997). In otherwise wild-type animals carrying the Punc-47gfp reporter, the VD and DD neurons extend commissures from the ventral nerve cord laterally along the body wall to the dorsal nerve cord. In wild-type animals, all but one pair of commissures track along the right side of the animal; only 4% of otherwise wild-type animals carrying the Punc-47gfp reporter had more than one pair of commissures on the wrong side (Fig. 5; Table 2). By contrast, 63% of mig-32 mutants had more than two left-sided commissures, with some animals having as many as five commissures on the wrong side (Fig. 5; Table 2). The number and positions of VD and DD neurons, the total number of commissures and the expression of Punc-47gfp were normal in mig-32 mutants (data not shown).

We also identified defects in midline crossing by the PVQR and PVQL neurons. The PVQ neurons are located in the lumbar ganglion and each extends an axon anteriorly to the head. Initially, both axons extend along the right side of the hypodermal ridge that divides the ventral nerve cord. The PVQL axon then crosses the midline to the left side and proceeds to the head (White et al., 1986). Using the Punc-47gfp reporter, which is expressed in the PVQ neurons...
(Troemel et al., 1995), we observed that the PVQ axons of mig-32 mutants did not respect the midline boundary and crossed inappropriately (Fig. 5; Table 2). Of the PVQ axons of mig-32 mutants, 26% crossed the midline inappropriately, compared with wild-type animals. For the VD commissures, defective commissures included those that tracked on the wrong side of the body wall. mig-32 mutants had 0-5 commissures on the wrong side, with posterior VD neurons being more likely to have defective commissures.

**Table 2. Quantification of process defects in mig-32 mutants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Cell process</th>
<th>Animals with a defect (%)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P_nlp::gfp</td>
<td>HSN axon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mig-32(n4275), P_nlp::gfp</td>
<td>HSN axon</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mig-32(tm1684), P_nlp::gfp</td>
<td>HSN axon</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mig-32(tm1807), P_nlp::gfp</td>
<td>HSN axon</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_unc::gfp</td>
<td>PVQ axons</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mig-32; P_qra::gfp</td>
<td>PVQ axons</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_unc::gfp</td>
<td>VD commissures</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mig-32; P_unc::gfp</td>
<td>VD commissures</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of animals with a defect in specific neuronal processes is shown. For the HSN axons, animals were scored as defective if the axon failed to reach the head; in general, axons that reached the head followed a normal path from the HSN ventrally into the ventral nerve cord then turned anterior to the head. HSN neurons that failed to migrate to the midbody often had more severe defects in axon pathfinding, with axons that tracked posterior rather than anterior. For the PVQ axons, defective axons included those that crossed the midline inappropriately, as compared with wild-type animals. For the VD commissures, defective commissures included those that tracked on the wrong side of the body wall. mig-32 mutants had 0-5 commissures on the wrong side, with posterior VD neurons being more likely to have defective commissures.

**Fig. 6. mig-32 and spat-3 act similarly in HSN migration. (A)** The embryonic migration path (red arrow) and final positions of the HSN neurons in wild-type and mutant transgenic animals. The P_nlp::gfp reporter, which is expressed in the HSNs (Li et al., 1999), was used to identify the final positions of the HSNs (see Materials and methods). The shaded boxes indicate the percentage of animals with HSNs in specific regions. The percentage of HSN axons that failed to reach the head is shown. n, number of HSNs assayed. (B) The migration path (red arrow) and final positions of the ALM neurons. The P_mec-7gfp reporter, which is expressed in the ALMs (Hamelin et al., 1992), identified their final positions.

migration of the distal tip cells, which are somatic cells that lead the anterior and posterior arms of the proliferating germline along the body wall, appeared normal, comparing 23 mig-32 mutants with 18 wild-type animals (data not shown).

In summary, mig-32 is required for normal migration of the HSN neurons and for extension of some neuronal processes. It participates in ensuring that VD neuronal commissures extend along the correct side of the animal, and that the PVQ axons do not cross the midline inappropriately. The defects we observed in mig-32 mutants are unlikely to be a result of markedly altered neuronal differentiation, because expression of all the gfp reporters used for these experiments were expressed in the expected patterns in mig-32 mutants (a list of reporters used is found in Materials and methods).

**mig-32 acts parallel to most known pathways that act in HSN migration**

Several genetic pathways have been identified that ensure correct migration of the HSN neurons from the tail to the vulva. To determine whether mig-32 acts within one of these pathways, we constructed double mutants between mig-32 and other genes that regulate HSN migration, including mig-1, a Wnt receptor and Frizzled homolog (Pan et al., 2006), mig-10, a cytoplasmic protein that mediates attractive and repulsive guidance signals by unc-6/Netrin and slt-1 (Chang et al., 2006; Quinn et al., 2006), respectively, and slt-1 (Hao et al., 2001). In each case, the double
mutants with mig-32 had significantly enhanced defects in HSN migration assayed with the P<sub>nlp</sub>-gfp reporter (Fig. 6). These data suggest that mig-32 acts genetically parallel to these pathways to promote HSN migration.

**mig-32 and spat-3 repress ectopic vulval development**

The lin-15 locus is an operon that includes two genes, lin-15A and lin-15B, both of which are redundant repressors of a vulval fate for hypodermal descendants of the P cells in the vulval equivalence group (Clark et al., 1994). Mutants carrying the temperature-sensitive allele n765 are morphologically normal when raised at 15°C but show a multiple vulva (Muv) phenotype when raised at 20°C; the lin-15(n765ts) allele has a single mutation that impairs function of both lin-15A and lin-15B (Cui et al., 2008). During strain constructions, we observed that mig-32; lin-15(n765ts) double mutants are 100% Muv at 15°C (data not shown). We used this observation to test whether spat-3 and mig-32 might act similarly to repress vulval fates. We subjected lin-15(n765ts) mutants to mig-32(RNAi) or spat-3a(RNAi) and raised the animals at 15°C; the spat-3a(RNAi) construct specifically targeted the spat-3a transcript that encodes the RING domain. Reducing either mig-32 or spat-3a activity resulted in a highly penetrant Muv phenotype. Seventy-three percent of lin-15(n765ts); mig-32(RNAi) or spat-3a(RNAi) and raised the animals at 15°C; the spat-3a(RNAi) mutants (n=320) and 42% of lin-15(n765ts); spat-3(RNAi) mutants (n=200) were Muv, compared with 3% of lin-15(n765ts); unc-22(RNAi) mutants (n=100). These data suggest that mig-32 and spat-3a act similarly to repress vulval fates in hypodermal cells that do not normally contribute to vulval development.

**DISCUSSION**

In this manuscript we report the consequences for *C. elegans* of the loss of two proteins homologous to core subunits of the PRC1 complex, MIG-32 and SPAT-3A. MIG-32 and SPAT-3A are most similar to families of proteins that include Bmi-1 and Ring1B, respectively. In mammals, Ring1B is the E3 ligase in PRC1 that modifies H2A, and Bmi-1 is a physical partner of Ring1B that promotes the stability and catalytic activity of Ring1B (Cao et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2004a; Wang et al., 2004b). Consistent with MIG-32 and SPAT-3A acting together in a PRC1-like complex, mig-32 and spat-3 mutants are markedly defective in H2A ubiquitylation and have very similar defects in the anatomy of their nervous systems and as repressors of ectopic vulval fates in hypodermal cells. We therefore propose that MIG-32 and SPAT-3A are core subunits of a PRC1-like complex in *C. elegans*, although we have not yet demonstrated complex formation by these proteins.

**The relationship of MIG-32 and SPAT-3A with PcG complexes of *C. elegans***

There are at least two complexes in *C. elegans* that are functionally related to the *Drosophila* and mammalian Polycomb repression complexes. Strong genetic and biochemical evidence indicates that the MES-2–MES-3–MES-6 complex is functionally analogous to the PRC2 complex (Xu et al., 2001a), which places the histone H3K27me3 mark characteristic of Polycomb repression (Bender et al., 2004; Fong et al., 2002; Holdeman et al., 1998; Xu et al., 2001a). *mes* mutants are sterile, probably as a consequence of inappropriate expression of genes normally silenced in the developing germ cells. If PRC1 were required for regulation of gene expression by PRC2, mig-32 and spat-3 mutants might be expected to share the Mes phenotype of sterility. However, both mutants are healthy and fertile. mig-32 and spat-3 could be redundant with other proteins or complexes responsible for H2A ubiquitylation in the germline, or H2A ubiquitylation could be dispensable for the silencing function that is thought to be the essential role of the *mes* genes in ensuring germline integrity. We favor the latter possibility, as western blots of L1-stage wild-type animals with rudimentary germlines have relatively high steady state levels of ubiquitylated H2A and H2B, but we detected little ubiquitylated histone in L4 or young adult animals with proliferative germlines (our unpublished observations).

More recently the sop-2, sor-1 and sor-3 genes have been proposed as components of a distinct Polycomb complex-like repressive mechanism in *C. elegans*. Mutations affecting these genes result in expanded domains of Hox gene expression (Wang et al., 2004a; Yang et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2004). All are essential genes, and mutants carrying partial loss-of-function alleles have severe defects not observed in *mes* null mutants, suggesting that the *sop* and *sor* genes have many important functions in somatic cells and do not simply maintain the pattern of gene expression established by the *mes* genes, which have subtle functions in somatic cells (Ross and Zarkower, 2003). The pattern of nuclear fluorescence we observed for a rescuing MIG-32-GFP fusion protein suggests that MIG-32 does not co-localize with the SOP-2 and SOR-1 proteins (Saurin et al., 1998; Yang et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2006). Given the numerous and severe defects of the *sop* and *sor* mutants and the comparatively limited defects of *mig-32* and *spat-3* mutants, MIG-32 and SPAT-3A are unlikely to be essential components of a putative SOP/SOR Polycomb-like complex.

**The roles of Polycomb complexes in nervous system development**

The mig-32 homolog Bmi-1 has been intensively studied following its isolation as a target gene upregulated by proviral integration in *Eμ-mycc-driven lymphomas in mice* (Haupt et al., 1991; van Lohuizen et al., 1991). In the mammalian nervous system Bmi-1 is required for the self-renewal of neural stem cells (Molofsky et al., 2003), and epigenetic regulation of the cell cycle is a crucial function of Bmi-1 in the hematopoietic and nervous systems (Jacobs et al., 1999; Molofsky et al., 2005; Molofsky et al., 2003). We have not observed abnormalities in cell numbers in *mig-32* mutants that would suggest an essential role in the regulation of the cell cycle, but we have not directly examined this possibility.

Expression of additional MIG-32 homologs in the mammalian nervous system has been reported (Gunster et al., 1997; Jacobs et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2005; Leung et al., 2004; Molofsky et al., 2003; Nunes et al., 2001; Schoorlemmer et al., 1997; Shakhova et al., 2005; van der Lugt et al., 1994), but with the exception of Bmi-1 little is known about what these genes contribute to nervous system function. Our data suggest that epigenetic regulation of gene expression by PRC1 and related complexes will participate in neuronal migration and process extension, but the precise basis for the defects we observe in *mig-32* and *spat-3* mutants is not yet clear. Specifically, does PRC1 regulate transcription of individual gene targets that are crucial regulators of individual cell migrations or process extensions, or does loss of PRC1 result in a noisy pattern of gene expression to which some cells are more sensitive? Recent genomic screens in *Drosophila* and vertebrates have identified targets of Polycomb repression complexes (Boyer et al., 2006; Bracken et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2006; Negre et al., 2006; Schwartz et al., 2006; Tolhuis et al., 2006). Many of these biochemically defined targets are involved in nervous system patterning, and our data raise the possibility that Polycomb-group complexes regulate these targets.
in a functionally important way in the developing nervous system. Our observation that most cells appear to adopt fates similar to those of wild-type animals, as suggested by normal expression of the cell-type-specific gfp reporters used in this study, suggests that mig-32 is not crucial for establishing cell fates, but instead acts in a subtle manner to refine cellular phenotypes.

**Defects in Hox gene expression are unlikely to be central for the mig-32 or spat-3a mutant phenotypes**

Mutations affecting Hox genes result in abnormal neuronal migrations in *C. elegans* (Baum et al., 1999; Chalfie and Sulston, 1981; Chisholm, 1991; Clark et al., 1993; Harris et al., 1996; Kenyon, 1986; Salser and Kenyon, 1992; Wang et al., 1993), and given the classical role of Polycycomb family members as repressors of Hox gene activity we asked whether abnormal Hox gene activity might underlie the defects in the nervous system we observed. However, our data suggest that regulation of Hox gene expression by mig-32 and spat-3 may be subtle. In general, the migration and neuronal process extension defects of mig-32 and spat-3 mutants have little in common with those observed in gain- or loss-of-function Hox mutants. In addition, the expression domains of the Pegl-gfp Hox and Ppact-gfp reporters do not appear to be expanded in mig-32 mutants, and mig-32 mutations do not suppress pal-1 mutations, which reduce Hox activity (our unpublished observations). These data suggest that non-Hox targets of mig-32 and spat-3 are more likely to be responsible for the defects we describe, and are consistent with the Polycycomb-group targets of Drosophila and mammalian cells, the vast majority of which are not Hox genes. However, our data do not rule out a role for MIG-32 or SPAT-3A as regulators of Hox gene activity, and further work to define the genetic and biochemical properties of mig-32 and spat-3 in germline and somatic cells will allow comparison to the Polycycomb group complexes of other species.
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