Mechanosensilla in the adult abdomen of *Drosophila*: *engrailed* and *slit* help to corral the peripheral sensory axons into segmental bundles
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**SUMMARY**

The abdomen of adult *Drosophila* bears mechanosensory bristles with axons that connect directly to the CNS, each hemisegment contributing a separate nerve bundle. Here, we alter the amount of Engrailed protein and manipulate the Hedgehog signalling pathway in clones of cells to study their effects on nerve pathfinding within the peripheral nervous system. We find that high levels of Engrailed make the epidermal cells inhospitable to bristle neurons; sensory axons that are too near these cells are either deflected or fail to extend properly or at all. We then searched for the *engrailed*-dependent agent responsible for these repellent properties. We found *slit* to be expressed in the P compartment and, using genetic mosaics, present evidence that Slit is the responsible molecule. Blocking the activity of the three Robo genes (putative receptors for Slit) with RNAi supported this hypothesis. We conclude that, during normal development, gradients of Slit protein repel axons away from compartment boundaries – in consequence, the bristles from each segment send their nerves to the CNS in separated sets.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In the embryos of complex animals, including mammals and arthropods, the orderly deployment of selector genes determines cell identities and positions morphogen gradients. The result is a body plan that consists, in part, of segments and developmental compartments. Compartments are groups of cells, each with a unique genetic address; they do not intermingle with each other and the cells of each share distinct fates, identities and affinities (reviewed by García-Bellido et al., 1973; Lawrence, 1992). Although largely defined in the epidermis they also subdivide the nervous system. In vertebrates, compartments have been identified in the forebrain, midbrain and hindbrain (Keynes and Stern, 1988; Kiecker and Lumsden, 2005). The hindbrain is subdivided along its anteroposterior axis into lineage-based rhombomeres (Murphy et al., 1989; Fraser et al., 1990), which are also the units of neurogenesis, axonal guidance and axon bundling (Lumsden and Cohen, 1991). Rhombomeres are homologous to the parasegments of arthropods (Martínez-Arias and Lawrence, 1985; Lumsden and Cohen, 1991).

Since the meticulous studies of Lyonet (Lyonet, 1762), we have known that the peripheral sensory system of arthropods is segmented: neurons originate in sensilla in segmental groups in the epidermis and axons project from each of them to the corresponding segmental ganglion in the CNS (Bate, 1978). To achieve this, the nerves coming from each epidermal segment or compartment must not mix with nerves from neighbouring compartments (Hertweck, 1931; Wigglesworth, 1953). The epidermis of the fly and other arthropods is subdivided into a chain of anterior (A) and posterior (P) compartments, the P/A compartment boundary being the true segmental boundary (Blair and Ralston, 1997; Struhl et al., 1997b; Lawrence et al., 1999a; Lawrence et al., 2002). This segment boundary is recognised by neurons as they build the embryonic nervous system (Bate, 1976; Palka et al., 1981) and is not crossed by peripheral sensory neurons in later stages, as shown for example in *Rhyacophila* and *Galleria* (Hasenfuss, 1973) or *Oncopeltus* (Lawrence, 1975). However, little is known of the molecular mechanisms responsible for this process.

In the adult abdomen of *Drosophila*, the mechanoreceptive bristles are confined to a region of each A compartment (Fig. 1, left); they develop de novo as sensory organ precursor cells (Shirras and Couso, 1996; Fabre et al., 2008) that derive from the epidermal cells or ‘histoblasts’ that proliferate during the pupal stage (Madhavan and Madhavan, 1980). Sensory organ precursor cells divide asymmetrically to generate a bristle and their associated neurons and supporting cells (Gho et al., 1999); the neurons then extend axons towards the CNS in an orderly manner (Fabre et al., 2008). These axons remain within their compartments of origin because they are oriented with respect to the body axes: within each A compartment, the more anteriorly situated bristle axons grow backwards, while the posteriorly situated bristle axons grow forwards, and thus both sets of axons meet to form a segmental nerve bundle in the middle of the A compartment (Fig. 1, right) (Fabre et al., 2008).

A and P compartments differ fundamentally: all the P cells but not the A cells, except for a6 (Lawrence et al., 1999a), express *engrailed* (*en*). The *en* gene encodes a homeodomain-containing transcription factor that induces *hedgehog* (*hh*) expression in P cells. Hh is a secreted morphogen that spreads into the A compartment, forming a U-shaped gradient that patterns cell fate and determines cell affinity (Struhl et al., 1997b; Lawrence et al.,...
1999b). Only the epidermal cells of the A compartment produce Patched (Ptc) and Smoothened (Smo), proteins that act as receptors for Hh. Although the mechanosensory neurons are related to epidermal cells by lineage, it is not clear whether they retain all the compartmental properties of their origin.

Here, we ask how En- and Hh-dependent information positions the neuronal cell bodies, affects the dendrites and influences the pathways followed by axons. To investigate this, we alter cell identities by manipulating the relevant genes (en, hh, ptc and smo) within clones of cells and look for effects on the neurons. Strikingly, cells with P identity, but located within an A compartment, repel nearby neurons. We find that this neuronal repulsion is not directly mediated by En or Hh, but indirectly by activating the expression of slt, a molecule previously implicated in neuronal pathfinding (Brose and Tessier-Lavigne, 2000). Also, the response to Slit appears to be mediated by one or more of the Robo proteins. We propose that, during normal development, the secretion of Slit from P cells creates a Slit gradient in each A compartment that helps position neurons and orient axon outgrowth and thereby ensures segmental bundling of axons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly genotypes

Unless stated otherwise, FlyBase (Tweedie et al., 2009) entries of the mutations and transgenes referred to in the text are as follows, ptc::lacZ: Eco1lacZ22-AT86, tub>y+>en: en1{FRT,RosCD2,gtub84B} tub>y+>hh: hh{FRT,RosCD2,gtub84B} tub>y+>Gal80,y+>Gal4: Scer{GAL4}y+>FRT,RosCD2,gtub84B
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and in consequence are located at the extreme front (anterior) and back (posterior) of the A compartment. Cells in the middle of the A compartment receive the lowest concentration of Hh and form either a2 or a3 cuticle (Struhl et al., 1997b). Late in development, en becomes necessary within a thin stripe at the back of the A compartment and helps specify a6 cuticle (Lawrence et al., 1999a). The P compartment is subdivided into three domains: p3-p1. We cannot easily distinguish a6 from p3 cuticle, and thus we sometimes have to describe cell identities as ‘a6 or p3’. Mechanosensory axons originated at the front grow posteriorly and those originated at the back grow anteriorly to meet and join the abdominal peripheral nerve (APN) in the a3 region (Fig. 1) (Fabre et al., 2008). We now ask whether the growing nerves follow the Hh gradient itself or some other cue.

Can the mechanosensory neurons respond directly to Hh?

One possibility was that the neurons might simply grow down the slope of the Hh gradient produced by the P cells, which, in the innervated regions a3-a5, should decline consistently towards the anterior (Struhl et al., 1997b). But the two sets of bristle axons within this area grow in opposite directions, one up and one down the Hh gradient (Fabre et al., 2008). In addition, β-galactosidase immunostaining on ptc.lacZ flies did not show any expression of ptc in the mechanosensory neurons (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material), arguing that mechanosensory neurons cannot ‘see’ Hh, at least via Ptc, a protein essential for Hh reception – any reception of the Hh signal should have caused an upregulation of ptc.lacZ, as occurs in the epidermis (Hooper and Scott, 1989; Nakano et al., 1989).

Are neurons sensitive to the amount of En protein and to the gradient of Hh in the epidermis?

UAS.en clones that make a6 or p3 cuticle, induced in A compartments, are avoided by neurons

It is possible that Hh might affect neurons indirectly, via effects on target genes in the epidermal cells, near which the mechanosensory neurons grow. We therefore made clones of cells that express en and, consequently, hh (Fig. 2). In the mid to back of the A compartment, these clones produced clear unpigmented cuticle (Fig. 2A), similar to the cuticle made by a6 or p3 cells (Lawrence et al., 1999a). They affected the wild-type axons near the clones (36 clones out of 40 were associated with defects; Fig. 2B,C); the axons avoided the clones, turning away from them, or they arrested within the clones. The orientation of dendrites and the relative positions of the cell bodies were also sometimes altered. For example, when a5 bristles were localised behind a clone, the soma was found lateral to the bristle – instead of in front, as is usual in this position (Fabre et al., 2008) – and the dendrite deviated from its normal path (Fig. 2B,C). Similar effects on neurons were seen when we induced en-expressing clones in the anterior part of A (bristle 1, Fig. 2). When clones were made in various parts of the A compartment that expressed hh, they also had effects on nearby axons (not shown).

ptc– clones that form a6 or p3 cuticle, induced ectopically amongst a5, a4 and a3 territories, are avoided by neurons

The loss of ptc activates the Hh transduction pathway (reviewed by Ingham, 2008) so that ptc– cells develop as if they were close to a source of Hh. When ptc– clones are made in the posterior part of A (amongst a5, a4 and a3), en is activated in these clones (we have no clue as to why), and their cells autonomously form unpigmented cuticle with hairs (a6 or p3) and also a5 bristles (Fig. 3) (Struhl et al., 1997a; Lawrence et al., 1999b). Wild-type neurons that arose near such ptc– clones were affected (38 clones out of 40 showed defects): the positions of the neuronal somata were altered and their processes either avoided the clone or even stopped growing when they came in contact with the clone (Fig. 3B,C,E,F). ptc– neurons that originated in these clones failed to develop or were defective (Fig. 3B,C,E,F). Some axons, outside the clones, turned away sharply (Fig. 3E,F). Thus, both en-expressing cells and ptc mutant cells – that activate en (Lawrence et al., 1999a) – have similar effects when ectopically located in the A compartment: they repel neurons. This result is consistent with the wild-type abdomen, in which neurons grow away from P cells and towards the central region of the A compartments.

ptc– en– clones forming a5 cuticle, induced ectopically in the a4 or a3 territory, are crossed normally by neurons

We made clones in which both ptc and en were removed, the lack of en ensuring that all cells differentiate as A cells with a5 identity – the a5 state depends on a high level of Hh signalling...
but does not require en (Lawrence et al., 1999b). Accordingly, these clones make darkly pigmented cuticle and large bristles (a5) even when located in a4 and a3 territories (Lawrence et al., 1999b) (Fig. 4). Mutant mechanosensory axons arising within the clone grew normally towards the nerve. Also, wild-type axons regularly entered and crossed the clone to extend to the nerve (18 clones out of 21 were crossed normally by more than one neuron; Fig. 4A-C). As is usual (García-Bellido and Merriam, 1971), some bristles moved out of, or even into, clones during development. Such wild-type neurons, located within the clone and surrounded by mutant epidermis, grew anteriorly through the clone (Fig. 4A-C).

These results show that inducing a high Hh response in cells that lack en does not disturb the pathways of nearby neurons. Thus, it appears that the ptc– clones have their effects on neurons via activation of en, and not through a high level of Hh signalling per se — more evidence that neurons do not respond directly to Hh and do not require the Ptc receptor to follow their...
paths towards the nerve. Rather, it seems that it is the presence of en-expressing cells that is inhospitable for mechanosensory neurons.

**ptc** -en- and smo -en- clones that form bristles in the P compartment produce neurons that grow towards their normal target

To see how neurons would respond to being surrounded by a6 or p3 cells, we made clones of cells with A identity within the P compartment. As described above, ptc -en- cells have A identity of a5 character. Similarly, because the loss of Smo blocks Hh transduction (Ingham, 2008), smo -en- cells also have A identity, but of a3 character (Struhl et al., 1997a; Lawrence et al., 1999b). We therefore made ptc -en- clones and smo -en- clones within the P compartment. Both types of clone formed ectopic bristles in the P compartment (Fig. 4D-F and see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). We observed that axons arising from these bristles grew through the nearby p3 and/or a6 cells, and went straight anteriorly towards their normal target, the APN of the A compartment in front (10/10 ptc -en- clones and 10/12 smo -en- clones behaved this way; Fig. 4D-F and see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). No axonal process arising from these clones grew backwards through the p2/p1 regions of the P compartment towards the A compartment located posteriorly to the clone.

These results confirm, yet again, that mechanosensory neurons are not repelled by a high level of Hh signalling in the epidermis; indeed, coming from the P compartment, where Hh signalling is absent, mechanosensory axons both approach and cross normal a6 territory where Hh signalling is maximal. The smo -en- clones show that the axons themselves do not need Smo, a protein required for Hh reception, in order to find their way to the peripheral nerve. This is consistent with the earlier finding that the neurons neither express nor require the other Hh receptor, Ptc, and are therefore unlikely to be able to detect the Hh signal.

The neurons that originate from the clones and grow forwards into the A compartment appear to cross the anterior region of the P compartment (p3) and certainly cross the rear of the A compartment (a6). Both p3 and a6 cell identities depend on En (Lawrence et al., 1999a). Thus, in this experimental situation, cells expressing en do not repel the neurons – so it cannot be En itself that repels. But, we also showed that neurons turned away from clones of cells that express en (ptc- or UAS.en clones) when they

**Fig. 5. sli expression in the abdomen.** An enhancer trap drives expression of nuclear β-galactosidase (blue) in cells expressing sli (sli.lacZ). (A) Ventrally, sli.lacZ is expressed throughout the pleura but not in the sternites. sli expression extends across the midline in a region more or less coextensive with the P compartments. (B) Dorsally, sli.lacZ is expressed in most of the P compartments, strongly laterally (to the right in the image) and less strongly medially. The posterior boundary of the P compartment (the segment boundary, red arrow) coincides with a sharply demarcated boundary of expression. Anteriorly, the expression of sli.lacZ fades away and may not reach the front of the P compartment, even laterally. (C) ptc- clones that carry sli.lacZ are shown in two segments. Clones (arrows) are marked with pwn and the abdomen is stained for β-galactosidase. Vertical bars indicate the normal zones of sli expression within the P compartments. In the anterior domain of the A compartments, clones are strongly transformed to P identity (Struhl et al., 1997b) and more posterior clones make a6 and/or p3 cuticle. All clones stained for β-galactosidase, the anterior ones most strongly. In the large clone (c), there is a gradient of sli.lacZ, which is stronger anteriorly and weaker posteriorly. This clone makes one pwn bristle. The β-galactosidase is entirely restricted to the cells of the clones. Note that the clones carry two doses of sli.lacZ and the background only one; this will tend to augment the blue staining in the clones relative to the endogenous stripes of expression in the P compartments. (D-F) Four ptc- sli- clones (marked with y and pwn) that carry a sli.lacZ transgene that knocks out the endogenous sli gene (Taylor et al., 2004) are shown in two segments. The neurons are stained with 22C10 (E). All the pertinent bristles, both wild-type and mutant (1-6), send their axons anteriorly and cross the clones normally; the somata are situated anterior to the bristles.
occur in a more anterior territory; perhaps these cells, in these abnormal positions, are now producing an effective repellent? We attempted to identify such a molecule.

**A candidate approach to find molecules expressed in the abdominal epidermis that might guide or repel neurons**

Families of proteins implicated in neuronal guidance were tested. We report on five candidates, all of which showed a suggestive pattern of expression in the abdomen (see Table S1 and Fig. S5 in the supplementary material): Fasciclin 2 (Fas2), Fasciclin 3 (Fas3), 18 wheeler (18w), slit (sli) and Down syndrome cell adhesion molecule (Dscam). We perturbed their expression and looked for effects on the mechanosensory neurons (see Table S1 and Figs S6 and S7 in the supplementary material). We decided to concentrate on sli.

**The pattern of expression of sli suggests that it might repel neurons in the abdominal epithelium**

**Expression of sli.lacZ in a wild-type background**

We found that sli.lacZ, a transgene that signals sli expression, is expressed in all or part of the P compartments (Fig. 5A,B). Dorsally, the expression is broad in the lateral parts but confined within the P compartment, whereas medially it is more narrowly expressed at the back of the compartment. There is a sharp boundary at the rear, at the segment border (Fig. 5B, arrow). Thus sli, a secreted protein (Rothberg et al., 1988) and an axonal repellent in other systems (Brose and Tessier-Lavigne, 2000), is expressed in a pattern that could produce a gradient of Sli protein that peaks at the back of the P compartments and declines both forwards and backwards. In this way, Sli could force mechanosensory neurites to grow away from the P compartments. Even the higher expression laterally fits with this hypothesis, as axons arising from lateral bristles tend to turn medially, towards the dorsal midline (Fabre et al., 2008). We test this hypothesis further below.

**ptc clones express sli**

We generated ptc clones that carry sli.lacZ. This same transgene is associated with a strong mutation for the endogenous sli gene (Tayler et al., 2004). In the anterior domain of the A compartment, these ptc sli.lacZ clones had the typical phenotype of ptc clones: they were clearly transformed to P character, affected the identity of the nearby A cells outside the clone and reversed polarity behind the clone (Fig. 5C) (Struhl et al., 1997a; Lawrence et al., 1999b). The clones stained for β-galactosidase, indicating that sli is upregulated in ptc cells and consistent with our observations that ptc clones repel neurons. Careful examination of the pwn marker showed that the β-galactosidase is expressed only and precisely in the cells of the clone. This pattern of sli expression might argue that ptc cells are autonomously transformed towards P, as sli is normally expressed only by P cells. Anteriorly located clones exhibited sli.lacZ staining most strongly; more posterior cells expressed sli.lacZ clearly, but less strongly (Fig. 5C). This suggests that the transformation towards P is less extreme in the posteriorly located clones, but is still sufficient for them to repel neurons.

**ptc clones that lack sli do not repel neurons**

We tested the hypothesis that the neurons are repelled by Sli. Whereas ptc clones repel axons, ptc sli.lacZ clones lack sli function and should not. Indeed this is the case: axons entered and left the marked clones freely, behaving normally and showing no indication of any response to the mutant tissue (Fig. 5D-F). This clear result argues strongly that normal ptc clones cause axonal avoidance by producing Sli protein. It also supports the earlier conclusion that Hh itself does not guide axons as these ptc sli.lacZ clones are completely or partially transformed to make P cuticle and should therefore produce Hh (yet they have no effect on the axons).

**en-expressing clones express sli**

en-expressing clones transform cells towards P identity and also repel neurons (see above). As would be expected under the current hypothesis, these clones also expressed sli.lacZ (Fig. 6). As with ptc clones, these clones expressed sli.lacZ more strongly in the anterior region of the A compartment (where they appeared to transform towards posterior P, p1,p2) than in the posterior region (where they transform towards anterior P, p3). This fits with the wild-type expression of sli being strongest in the posterior region of the P compartment.

**sli-expressing clones disturb axon pathfinding**

Clones were made that express sli under a strong Gal4 driver. We found that they caused a generalised disruption of the axonal pathways, sometimes up to a segment’s width away from the clones; they did not produce definable local effects (not shown). The level of Sli produced by these clones is likely to be high and, given the presumed long-range action of the molecule, perhaps the results are not surprising.

**A change in the gradient of Sli can turn the sensory axons pannier is expressed in a broad stripe mid-dorsally in the adult epidermis**

Calleja et al., 2000). sli was driven by pannier in order to produce a change in the Sli gradient, towards peaking in the dorsal midline and declining laterally. As a consequence, the paths followed by peripheral axons were meandering and longer than normal, presumably because they were repelled by the ectopic peak of the Sli gradient (Fig. 7A,B).

**Mechanosensory neurons may express robo and dock**

In order for mechanosensory neurons to respond to Sli, they should express molecules involved in the reception of Sli. Three receptors, Roundabout (Robo), Leak (Robo2) and Robo3, have been
described in *Drosophila*, all of which can mediate axon repulsion in response to secreted Sli protein. Also, the adaptor protein Dreadlocks (Dock) functions during Robo repulsion by binding to the cytoplasmic domain of Robo upon stimulation by Sli (Fan et al., 2003; Dickson and Gilestro, 2006). Unfortunately, the abdominal cuticle interferes with staining by some antibodies, which was the case for those against Robo, Robo2, Robo3 and Dock. We therefore used reporter assays under the control of the *robo* and *dock* promoters. To investigate *robo* expression, we used a putative *robo.Gal4* driver in combination with a GFP reporter. We found that both the mechanosensory neurons and the nerve show strong GFP expression, arguing that these cells may normally express *robo* (see Fig. S3A in the supplementary material). We were not able to test for *robo2* expression. To investigate *dock*, we expressed lacZ under the control of the *dock* promoter. Antibodies against β-galactosidase strongly stained the neuronal cell bodies of the mechanosensory bristles, together with large parts of the abdominal epithelium, arguing that the neurons also express *dock* (see Fig. S3B in the supplementary material).

**Evidence from RNAi implicates the Robo genes in neuron guidance**

We built flies carrying the neuronal driver *elav.Gal4* and *UAS.RNAi* constructs targeting each of the Robo genes (*robo*, *robo2* and *robo3*). These flies were grown at 18°C until early pupal stages, when the sensory neurites begin to extend (Fabre et al., 2008); they were then transferred to 29°C to produce the RNAi. Each of the three RNAi constructs caused defects in the pathways followed by mechanosensory neurons, particularly in the anterior part of the segment, but varied in their efficacy. RNAi for *robo2* caused the strongest phenotype, with many misrouted axons and some unusual bundling; some axons even grew away from the peripheral nerve instead of towards it (Fig. 7D). Flies of the same genotype raised at 18°C throughout development, or *elav.Gal4 UAS.GFP* flies raised at 29°C, had normal patterns (Fig. 7C).

Finally, we show evidence which suggests that *robo3* is expressed exclusively in at least some of the multidentritic neurons (Grueber et al., 2007) (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). Perhaps these neurons respond to Sli via Robo3? Note that multidentritic neurons normally cofasciculate with mechanosensory neurons, and this might explain the abnormal fasciculation caused by RNAi against *robo3* (not shown).

Together, our results argue that both ptc- and *en*-expressing clones repel neurons because they produce Sli. Moreover, the mechanosensory neurons appear to require *robo2*. There is evidence that they might also express *dock* and *robo*; all these three proteins are involved in receiving the Sli signal. We therefore propose that the mechanosensory neurons are, at least in part, guided by a Sli signal emanating from cells within P compartments that acts to repel the neurons away from the compartmental borders.

Fig. 7. Effects of disturbing sli signalling on axon pathfinding. (A,B) Effects of ectopic sli expression. When the Sli gradient is altered (by driving the gene with *pnr.Gal4*) axons follow a less direct path to the APN. How this is measured is shown in A. A beeline to the nerve is measured and compared with the actual course of the nerve. The ratio between these measures is plotted in B and shows a strong effect on many axonal pathways when four wild-type (black squares) and four mutant (white circles) A3 hemisegments are compared. (C,D) RNAi against the *robo2* gene affects axon patterning. For clarity, the mechanosensory neurones have been drawn to scale from the microscope; for corresponding images of the neuronal staining, see Fig. S3C,D in the supplementary material. (C) Wild-type mechanosensory neurones of a right dorsal hemisegment (Fabre et al., 2008). The anteriorly located axons turn right and then extend posteriorly to join the nerve (APN). In the posterior part, the axons extend directly anteriorly to the nerve. A maximum of four axons bundle together before they reach the nerve. (D) The effect of expressing an RNAi that targets *robo2*. Many abnormalities can be seen; bristles with axons that bundle abnormally or take unusual paths are shown in blue. At least 12 axons bundle together to make an aberrant nerve.
**DISCUSSION**

In *Drosophila*, a region of each A compartment bears mechanosensory bristles that send axons centrally, but, between these regions, there are bare strips of integument that contain no bristles. As nascent axons leave the bristles en route to the CNS they orient away from these bare strips so that the nerves from each segment form separate bundles. The result is an orderly and somatotopic display of incoming neurons in the segmental ganglia of the CNS. The oriented paths followed by the axons are in no way influenced by the planar cell polarity of the epidermal cells nearby (Fabre et al., 2008), so we sought to identify what does orient them. Here, we present evidence that Sli, a secreted ligand for the transmembrane receptors Robo, Robo2 and Robo3, helps position the neurons and orients the outgrowing axons.

In the wild-type fly (and perhaps therefore also in many other invertebrates), we find that Sli is normally made in the P compartments, spreading forwards and backwards to repel neurons at the back and the front of the A compartments. As a consequence, the axons meet in the middle of the A compartments. Thus, En regulates *sli* expression to form a Sli gradient, the axons growing away from the source of Sli and down that gradient (Fig. 8). Sli may also drive oriented nucleokinesis of the mechanosensory cell bodies away from the compartmental boundaries (Fabre et al., 2008).

**Hh is not involved directly in mechanosensory neuronal pathfinding**

Gradients of morphogens, such as Wingless (Wg), Hh and Decapentaplegic, can act at short or long range to specify cell identity and have also been implicated in axon pathfinding. Numerous studies have concluded that Hh can act as an axonal repellent or attractant, and that axons can respond directly to the gradient of Hh (reviewed by Charron and Tessier-Lavigne, 2005). Surprisingly, in the abdomen we have presented evidence that Hh does not guide the mechanosensory neurons. We see no dependence on the Hh receptors Ptc or Smo (Fig. 4D,E,F, Fig. 5D,E,F and see Figs S1 and S2 in the supplementary material). This raises the possibility that some of the previously described effects of Hh (Charron and Tessier-Lavigne, 2005) might also be indirect. Indeed, in the zebrabfish forebrain, Hh acts to guide commissural and retinal axons indirectly by regulating *sli* expression (Barresi et al., 2005).

**En indirectly repels neurons away from the compartment borders via the induction of *sli* expression in the P compartment**

In vertebrates, En affects axon routing (Saueressig et al., 1999; Wenner et al., 2000). In invertebrates, En modifies axon morphology via the expression of cell adhesion molecules such as Connectin and Neuroglian (Siegler and Jia, 1999) or the cell adhesion receptor Frazzled (Joly et al., 2007). In the cockroach cercus, En is essential for axonal pathfinding, perhaps acting directly on genes needed for guidance and synaptic recognition (Marie and Blagburn, 2003). There is a hypothesis that En acts directly: En protein has structural domains that could regulate nuclear export, secretion and cell-internalisation, processes also directly: En protein has structural domains that could regulate nuclear export, secretion and cell-internalisation, processes also...
RNAi suggest that all three Robo genes are required for the normal fasciculation of the mechanosensory axons; the strongest effect was found with RNAi for robo2 (Fig. 7). Note that knockdown of any one of the Robo genes is unlikely to produce a very clear phenotype as they can partially substitute for each other (Spitzwек et al., 2010).

p3 and/or a6 normal territories are not avoided by neurons, but p3 and/or a6 in clones can repel axons: an inhibitory role for Wg on the Slit signal?

Flies carrying sli.lacZ suggest that sli is normally strongly expressed only at the back of the P compartments (Fig. 5), raising the question of how its expression is controlled in the wild type. In the adult tergites, wg is expressed at the rear of each A compartment (Shirras and Couso, 1996) and Wg protein is thought to cross over the A/P border to form a gradient that patterns the P compartment (Lawrence et al., 2002). If so, and if a high concentration of Wg were to inhibit sli expression, then sli expression might be blocked in the anterior part of P (p3), but allowed in the posterior part of P (p1) (Fig. 8). There are two other arguments supporting this hypothesis. First, wg is not (or is weakly) expressed in the most lateral tergite, which could explain why the wg arguments supporting this hypothesis. Second, wg is not expressed in the pleura (Lawrence et al., 2002), where sli.lacZ expression is ubiquitous (Fig. 5A). By contrast, in the sternites, wg is expressed and there sli.lacZ is confined to the P compartments (Fig. 5). It could therefore be that ptc as well as the en-expressing clones that are transformed towards P identity would not express wg themselves. Thus, when located far from the endogenous source of Wg they should escape repression and transform into p1, which is of extreme posterior P identity, and become sources of Sli, as observed (Fig. 5C).

Other cues?

Sli might work with other guidance cues in the fly abdomen. In the Drosophila eye, disruption of the Sli/Robo mechanism disturbs the boundary between the lamina and the distal cell neurons (Taylor et al., 2004). Tayler and colleagues suggest that the Fasciclin adhesion molecules also support the boundary: Fas3 is expressed in the region where distal cell neurons are found, and Fas2 is expressed by the photoreceptor axons that carry Hh to the lamina. We suspect that Fas2 and Fas3 might contribute to corolling neurons inside of the A compartment by promoting axonal bundling to the APN (see Fig. S5 and Table S1 in the supplementary material).

Marc Tessier-Lavigne wrote that “an individual axon might be pushed from behind by a chemorepellent, pulled from afar by a chemoattractant, and hemmed in by attractive and repulsive local cues” (Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman, 1996). These signals constitute what Ramón y Cajal proposed to be an ‘intelligent force’ guiding axons (Ramón y Cajal, 1890). It is not easy to dissect out these various signals, but we have found one repulsive signal, Sli, that hems in neurons and helps bundle segmental sets of sensory neurons in an arthropod.
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Table S1. Candidates for molecules involved in axon guidance in the Drosophila adult abdomen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Expression patterns and phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasciclin 1 (Fas1)</td>
<td>Homophilic cell adhesion molecule expressed in the fly embryonic central and peripheral nervous system (Eikins et al., 1990; McAllister et al., 1992). No expression was found by immunostaining using anti-Fas1 antibody.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasciclin 2 (Fas2)</td>
<td>A cell adhesion molecule involved in axon pathway finding and in bundling in both vertebrates and invertebrates (Grenningloh et al., 1991; Kristiansen and Hortsch, 2008). Expression was found in the extracellular membrane of mechanosensory neurons, and in abdominal peripheral nerves (Fig. S5A). Fas2 enhance mutant epidermal clones are normal except for some axonal misrouting and an abnormal spacing of bristles (Fig. S6) reminiscent of the phenotype of Egfr− (Diaz-Benjumea and Garcia-Bellido, 1990).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasciclin 3 (Fas3)</td>
<td>In fly embryos, Fas3 is found in intercellular junctions and lateral cell membranes (Snow et al., 1989). Fas3 is expressed in axons (Patel et al., 1987) and acts in axon pathfinding and synaptic target recognition (Chiba et al., 1995; Kose et al., 1997; Rose and Chiba, 1999). Antibody recognises the extracellular membranes of all A and P epidermal cells, in particular intercellular junctions more strongly (Fig. S5B). Fas3− clones were normal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slit (sli)</td>
<td>It is expressed in a subset of P cells (see main text and Fig. 5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sema-1a</td>
<td>It acts in neuronal guidance both in vertebrates and invertebrates (Ayoob et al., 2006; Bagnard et al., 1998; Chen et al., 1998). An enhancer-trap line showed no expression. No defects were observed on neurons when Sema-1a is epidermal clones were made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sema-2a</td>
<td>It acts in the axon guidance of invertebrates (Ayoob et al., 2006; Roy et al., 2000). No expression was found by immunostaining using anti-Sema-2a antibody.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down syndrome cell adhesion molecule (Dscam)</td>
<td>It can act in axonal and dendritic wiring (Matthews et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2007; Zhan et al., 2004). An enhancer-trap line showed expression in the socket cell of each bristle (Fig. S5D). When Dscam is expressed with the sca.Gal4 driver, all anterior dendrites formed a U-shape. However, the U-shape in 45% of the dendrites was abnormally long and turned away from the midline (instead of towards it, as in the wild type); segment 3 of five pupae were quantified (Fig. S7).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shotgun (shg)</td>
<td>The fly E-cadherin orthologue, it modulates cell adhesion in epithelia (Dumstrei et al., 2002; Teppas et al., 1996) but shg− epidermal clones induced no defects in neurons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 wheeler (18w)</td>
<td>It may act in cell-cell interactions (Chiang and Beachy, 1994) and is expressed in the embryonic CNS (Eldon et al., 1994). An enhancer-trap line showed expression in epidermal cells of A compartments (Fig. S5C).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>