Role of Fgf8 signalling in the specification of rostral Cajal-Retzius cells
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SUMMARY

Cajal-Retzius (CR) cells play a key role in the formation of the cerebral cortex. These pioneer neurons are distributed throughout the cortical marginal zone in distinct graded distributions. Fate mapping and cell lineage tracing studies have recently shown that CR cells arise from restricted domains of the pallial ventricular zone, which are associated with signalling centres involved in the early regionalisation of the telencephalic vesicles. In this study, we identified a subpopulation of CR cells in the rostral telencephalon that expresses Er81, a downstream target of Fgf8 signalling. We investigated the role of the rostral telencephalic patterning centre, which secretes FGF molecules, in the specification of these cells. Using pharmacological inhibitors and genetic inactivation of Fgf8, we showed that production of Fgf8 by the rostral telencephalic signalling centre is required for the specification of the Er81+ CR cell population. Moreover, the analysis of Fgf8 gain-of-function in cultivated mouse embryos and of Emx2 and Gli3 mutant embryos revealed that ectopic Fgf8 signalling promotes the generation of CR cells with a rostral phenotype from the dorsal pallium. These data showed that Fgf8 signalling is both required and sufficient to induce rostral CR cells. Together, our results shed light on the mechanisms specifying rostral CR cells and further emphasise the crucial role of telencephalic signalling centres in the generation of distinct CR cell populations.
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INTRODUCTION

The cerebral cortex contains different subclasses of excitatory projection neurons derived from the dorsal telencephalon or pallium, as well as inhibitory interneurons originating from the ventral telencephalon or subpallium (Marin and Rubenstein, 2003; Molyneaux et al., 2007). The cerebral cortex is subdivided into different regions with divergent evolutionary histories, i.e. the archicortex, neocortex and paleocortex, and into areas with distinct functions, i.e. the motor, somatosensory, visual and auditory cortical areas (O’Leary et al., 2007; Rakic, 1988; Rash and Grove, 2006; Sur and Rubenstein, 2005). The cortex is also organised into six layers containing neurons with different morphological, molecular and physiological characteristics and unique patterns of connectivity. This cytoarchitecture is tightly regulated, with a defined number of neurons adopting specific laminar features in each zone, which is crucial for the proper activity of the cerebral cortex.

Cajal-Retzius (CR) cells are among the first neurons to be generated between E10.5 and E13.5 in mouse (Hevner et al., 2001; Takiguchi-Hayashi et al., 2004), and they die during the first postnatal weeks (Abraham and Meyer, 2003; del Rio et al., 1995; Derer and Derer, 1990; Marin-Padilla, 1990; Marin-Padilla, 1992; Zecevic and Rakic, 2001). This transient pioneer neuronal population was discovered more than a century ago, in humans by G. Retzius and in lagomorphs by S. Ramon y Cajal, but the features and functions of these cells remain largely unknown. They appear to play a key role in the radial migration of cortical neurons and in the laminar organisation of the mouse and human cortex, largely through the production of the extracellular glycoprotein reelin (D’Arcangelo et al., 1995; Ogawa et al., 1995; Rice and Curran, 2001; Super et al., 2000).

CR cells populate the marginal zone (MZ) of the cortex evenly in the prospective neocortex, but accumulate at distinct locations such as at the olfactory piriform cortex. Various CR cell subpopulations have been identified and shown to differentially express several molecular markers, including reelin, calretinin (calbindin 2 – Mouse Genome Informatics) and p73α (Trp73 – Mouse Genome Informatics) (Bielle et al., 2005; Meyer et al., 2002; Takiguchi-Hayashi et al., 2004). CR cells have long been assumed to arise from the whole pallial ventricular zone (VZ) and to migrate radially to the cortical surface, similarly to other glutamatergic cortical neurons (del Rio et al., 1995; Hevner et al., 2003; Marin-Padilla, 1998). However, Meyer and colleagues identified restricted sites of generation of CR cells based on the expression of p73α, a transcription factor that is expressed by different CR cell subpopulations (Meyer et al., 2002). Consistently, fate mapping and cell lineage tracing studies have shown that CR cells arise from specific locations along the rostrocaudal and dorsoventral axes of the pallial VZ (Bielle et al., 2005; Garcia-Moreno et al., 2007; Imayoshi et al., 2008; Monuki et al., 2001; Takiguchi-Hayashi et al., 2004; Yoshida et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2006). Four different sites of CR generation have been identified, comprising the pallial domain of the septum in the rostromedial (RM) pallium (Bielle et al., 2005), the ventral pallium (VP) laterally (Bielle et al., 2005), the prospective choroid plexus, and the cortical hem (CH) caudally (Garcia-Moreno et al., 2007; Imayoshi et al., 2008; Monuki et al., 2001; Takiguchi-Hayashi et al., 2004).
al., 2004; Yoshida et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2006). CR cells migrate tangentially from these focal sites to populate the entire cortical surface. Furthermore, fate mapping studies have shown that CR cells originating from different sources preferentially settle in distinct regions of the cortex (Bielie et al., 2005; Imayoshi et al., 2008; Takiguchi-Hayashi et al., 2004; Yoshida et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2006). Indeed, ablation of the CH results in a substantial depletion of CR cells, except in the rostral cortex, thus demonstrating that CH-derived CR cells mainly populate the caudal cortex (Yoshida et al., 2006).

Intriguingly, the neuroepithelial domains that generate CR cells are closely associated with signalling centres involved in the early regionalisation of the telencephalic vesicles. These signalling centres secrete morphogens that provide positional and proliferative cues to the surrounding neuroepithelium (O’Leary et al., 2007; Rash and Grove, 2006; Sur and Rubenstein, 2005). Recently, the signals produced by the CH in the caudomedial telencephalon, which include TGFB molecules, were shown to be necessary for the generation of caudal CR cells (Friedrichs et al., 2008; Hanashima et al., 2007; Siegenthaler and Miller, 2008; Theil, 2005). Morphogens produced by the commissural plate (CoP) in the RM telencephalon and by the putative anti-nerm in the lateral telencephalon might similarly participate in CR cell generation. In contrast to the substantial progress that has been made in understanding how caudal CR cell populations are specified, the mechanisms underlying the specification of rostral CR cells have remained poorly characterized.

FGF signalling has been shown to play an essential role in patterning the rostral telencephalon (Cholfin and Rubenstein, 2007; Cholfin and Rubenstein, 2008; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2001; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2003; Garel et al., 2003; Paek et al., 2009; Shimogori et al., 2004; Storm et al., 2006). Fgf8 is expressed early on at the rostral tip of the neural tube (called the anterior neural ridge or ANR) (Crossley and Martin, 1995; Crossley et al., 2001; Shimamura and Rubenstein, 1997) and its expression persists after fusion of the ANR to form the CoP at the rostromedial midline of the telencephalon (Crossley et al., 2001). Fgf8 is the main secreted factor produced by the rostral organising centre, where it regulates the expression of Fgf17 and Fgf18 and is involved in patterning both the dorsal and ventral telencephalon, as well as in promoting cell survival (Bachler and Neubuser, 2001; Borello et al., 2008; Chi et al., 2003; Cholfin and Rubenstein, 2008; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2001; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2003; Gimen and Martinez, 2007; Gutin et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2000; Ohkubo et al., 2002; Shinnugalingam et al., 2000; Storm et al., 2006; Storm et al., 2003).

In this study, we have examined the mechanisms engaged in the specification of rostral CR cells and asked specifically whether Fgf8 signalling from the rostral patterning centre is involved in this process. We found that Er81, an ETS transcription factor downstream of Fgf8 signalling, is specifically expressed at early stages by CR cells in the rostral cortex and not by caudal CH-derived CR cells. These rostral Er81+ CR cells derive largely from the RM pallium, as shown by their persistence in Pax6 mutants. We used pharmacological inhibitors and genetic inactivation of Fgf8 to demonstrate that the Fgf8 telencephalic signalling centre is required for the specification of Er81+ CR cells. We have also used an Fgf8 gain-of-function approach in vitro and analysed Eme2 and Gli3 mutant mouse embryos, which express Fgf8 ectopically, to show that ectopic Fgf8 signalling promotes the generation of rostral-type CR cells from the dorsal pallium. Together, our results shed light on the mechanisms that specify rostral CR cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice**

Mf1, Parkes and F1 (CBA/CA × C57Bl/10) mice were used. All transgenic mouse lines were genotyped as previously described: Dhx5fll-acZ (Bielie et al., 2005), Pax6 (Stoykova et al., 1996), Emx2 (Pellegrini et al., 1996), Gli3 [Extra-toes/Extra-toes (Buscher et al., 1998)] Fgf8NatNeo (Storm et al., 2003) and Fgf8TeKO [Foxf1Cre, Fgf8FloxNat] (Storm et al., 2006). At least three embryos were analysed per condition, unless specified otherwise. Midday of the day of vaginal plug discovery was considered as E0.5. All mouse experiments used protocols approved under the UK Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act.

**Embryo culture and electroporation**

E10.5 mouse embryos were dissected in Tyrode’s solution, cultured for 1 hour in rat serum at 37°C, 65% O2/5% CO2 (rolling incubator, BTC Engineering), injected (Femtojet, Eppendorf) and electroporated (chamber, CUY32020; electroporator, Nepa Gene ECM830; 50 V, five pulses of 50 milliseconds, 1 second interval). Vectors: 1 µg/µl for pCAGgy: :IRES-ns-GFP (gift from J. Briscoe, NIMR, London, UK), pCAGgy: :IRES-ns-lacZ (gift from S. Price, UCL, London, UK) and pCDNA3-Fgfr1-DA (Freeman et al., 2003), or 0.8 µg/µl for pmvIII: :Fgf8 (b isoform, gift from A. Joynier, NYU, New York, USA); the vectors are referred to as nls-GFP, nls-lacZ, Fgfr1-DA and Fgf8, respectively, in the figures. Twenty-four hours after electroporation, embryos were transferred into fresh rat serum at 37°C, 100% O2, and cultured for a further 24 hours. For cell cycle studies, 40 µM BrdU was added to the culture medium for 20 minutes.

**Rostral telencephalic explants**

E9.5 mouse embryos were dissected in Tyrode’s solution. Only 22- to 24-somite embryos were used (see Fig. S8 in the supplementary material) (Storm et al., 2003). FGF signalling inhibitors (10 µM, DMSO diluted): SU5402 (5′72630, Calbiochem); U0126 (19-147, Millipore). Millipore proliferation was only weakly affected by these inhibitors and could not account for the observed phenotypes. At 2 DIV, explants were: (1) washed in cold PBS, fixed for 30 minutes and washed in PBS for immunohistochemistry; or, (2) fixed overnight, washed in PBS, dehydrated in successive PBS/ethanol baths and kept in 100% ethanol at −20°C, for whole-mount in situ hybridisation.

**Histology**

Embryos were collected in PBS, heads fixed for 1 hour for immunohistochemistry or 3 hours for in situ hybridisation, washed with PBS, transferred into 15% sucrose in phosphate buffer (PB) pH 7.2 overnight, embedded in 7.5% gelatin, 15% sucrose in PB at 4°C, frozen in −40°C isopentane and stored at −80°C. Sections (10 µm) were prepared using a Microm cryostat (Zeiss).

For in situ hybridisation, tissues were processed as described by Hirsch et al. for sections (Hirsch et al., 2007) and by Bielle et al. for whole-mount (Bielle et al., 2005). Probes: Erm (IMAGE 4036564), Pea3, Er81, Mash1 (C. Goridis, ENS, Paris, France), Ngn2, reelin (Y. Hayashizaki, RIKEN, Kanagawa, Japan), Er81 (C. Goridis, ENS, Paris, France), Ngn2, reelin (Y. Hayashizaki, RIKEN, Kanagawa, Japan), Pea3, rabbit anti-Tbr1 (gift from R. Hevner, University of Washington, Seattle, USA) and Tbr1 (J. M. Martin, University of Edinburgh, UK). For immunohistochemistry, frozen sections were air dried, washed in PBS at 4°C for 5 minutes, incubated in 1% normal goat serum at room temperature for 30 minutes, washed in PBS for 5 minutes and blocked in 1% normal goat serum at room temperature for 30 minutes. Sections were incubated overnight with primary antibodies: mouse anti-reelin (1/375, MAB5346, Chemicon), mouse anti-pep3 (1/200, MS762PO, LabVision), mouse (6B3) or rabbit (7699) anti-Calretinin (both at 1/2000, Swant), goat anti-β-galactosidase (1/1000, #ab12081, Abcam), sheep anti-GFP (1/750, 14754301, Biogenesis), mouse anti-TUJ1 (1/1000, #MMS435P, Labvision), rat anti-NtBdU (1/1000, #OBTO030X, Serotec; denaturation in 2N HCl for 30 minutes at 37°C, washed with 0.1 M sodium borate pH 8.0), mouse anti-Pax6 (1/20, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-Er81 and rabbit anti-Pea3, rabbit anti-Tbr1 (gift from R. Hevner, University of Washington, Seattle, USA) and rabbit anti-Lhx2 (gift from E. Moruki, University of California, Irvine, USA). Fluorescent secondary antibodies were Alexa 488 (Millipore) or Cy3 or Cy5 (Jackson Immunoresearch) conjugated. Note that to perform the co-detection of Er81 and Tbr1, rabbit anti-Tbr1 was directly
labelled with Cy5 using the Zenon Kit (Molecular Probes). For explant immunostaining, 1 hour blocking at room temperature was followed by incubation with antibody overnight at 4°C and washing in PBS.

Image analysis, quantifications and statistics
Images were captured using a ProgRes C14 camera (Jenoptik) linked to an Axioplan II microscope (Zeiss), a QImaging camera linked to MZ16/MZ16F scopes (Leica), or a Radiance 2100 confocal microscope (BioRad). Images were processed with Openlab (Perkin Elmer), ImageJ (NIH), Photoshop (Adobe) or FreeHand (Adobe). Quantifications were performed on confocal photographs (200 μm × 200 μm; stack of 2 or 3 μm) using Photoshop. For example, in Fig. 2 the number of Er81+ cells was counted by marking the cells with a dot on a transparent layer linked to the Er81 staining layer in Photoshop. Then each Er81+ cell was assessed for its expression of either reelin, calretinin or p73α, using another transparent layer linked to each of these stainings. The same principle was used to perform all counts. In Fig. 2, four stacks per area from two wild-type embryos were analysed. For electroporated embryos, two to three stacks were analysed per embryo. Because of electroporation variability, results were normalised for each embryo before being gathered for statistical analysis using a paired Student’s t-test (see tables in the supplementary material). For quantification in mutant embryos, three to four stacks were analysed per area.

RESULTS
Rostral CR cells express Er81, a downstream target of FGF signalling
Genetic ablation of the CH-derived CR cell subpopulation has revealed the extent of the remaining CR cell subpopulations in the rostral telencephalon (Yoshida et al., 2006). The signalling activity of the CH during telencephalic patterning is balanced by the activity of the rostral CoP (O’Leary et al., 2007; Rash and Grove, 2006; Sur and Rubenstein, 2005). We thus hypothesised that rostral CR cells, which are spared by the ablation of the CH, might be induced by FGF signals emanating from the CoP.

To address this issue, we first mapped the domains of Fgf8 signalling activity by characterising the expression patterns of the ETS transcription factors Erm, Pea3 and Er81 (also known as Etv5, Etv4 and Etv1, respectively), which are effectors of Fgf8 signalling (Cholfin and Rubenstein, 2008; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2003). We conducted our analysis at different rostrocaudal levels of the mouse telencephalon at E11.5 (Fig. 1B-D’). At rostral levels, Erm and Pea3 were expressed medially throughout the dorsoventral extent of the telencephalic VZ (Fig. 1B,B’/C,C’), whereas Er81 expression was restricted to the MZ of the whole cortex at this level (Fig. 1D,D’). More caudally, Erm and Pea3 were expressed at low levels in the CH (Fig. 1B’,C’), whereas Er81 was absent (Fig. 1D’). Further caudally, expression of all three genes was absent from the telencephalon (Fig. 1B’,C’,D’). FGF signalling activity thus correlated well with Fgf expression domains and was elevated within the RM pallium, which includes the pallial septum (Fig. 1A-A’). Er81 expression was detected in the rostral cortex, where it started to decline at E12.5 and was absent at E13.5 (data not shown), suggesting a transient FGF signalling activity in postmitotic neurons.

We characterised the Er81+ expressing cells of the rostral cortex by co-immunolabelling for Er81 and cell type-specific markers at E11.5. All Er81+ cells expressed Tbr1, a T-box transcription factor that labels both CR and preplate neurons (see Fig. 5C-F in the supplementary material) (Hevner et al., 2001). Using reelin and calretinin as specific CR cell markers (Alcantara et al., 1998; del Rio et al., 1995), we further determined that ~75% of Er81+ cells were positive for reelin or calretinin and had a similar distribution in the different cortical areas analysed (Fig. 2B-C’; see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). Using p73α as a marker of CR cells derived from the pallial septum (A.G. and A.P., unpublished) (Hanashima et al., 2007), we also observed that some cells expressed both Er81 and p73α, representing more than 30% of the Er81+ population in the medial cortex (Fig. 2D-D”). These data suggest that rostral CR cells are likely to represent two distinct CR cell types, one p73α+ and one p73α-. Together with the spatial analysis of Er81 expression (Fig. 1D,D”), these data showed that rostral CR cells mainly express reelin, calretinin and the ETS transcription factor Er81 at E11.5. The co-expression of Er81 with reelin or calretinin can thus be used to discriminate rostral CR cells from CH-derived CR cells, which express neither Er81 nor calretinin at early developmental stages (E10.5-E12.5).

The pallial septum gives rise to Er81+ CR cells
We then examined which progenitor domains give rise to Er81+ CR cells at E11.5. CR cells of the rostral cortex are mainly derived from the VP, located laterally, and from the pallial septum, located mediially (A.G. and A.P., unpublished) (Bielle et al., 2005). Dhx1 is expressed by progenitors of the VP and also by early postmitotic cells derived...
from the pallial septum (A.G. and A.P., unpublished) (Bielle et al., 2005). We thus analysed the rostral cortex of heterozygous Dbx1<sup>p<sup>flh</sup>-lacZ</sup> mice using co-immunolabelling for Er81 and reelin (B,B'), Er81 and calretinin (C,C'), Er81 and p73<sup>α</sup> (D,D') in the dorsal and medial cortex (B-D) and the lateral and ventral cortex (olfactory piriform cortex) (B'-D'). (B',C',D') Cortical distribution of Er81<sup>+</sup> cells that co-express reelin (V, 72.2±5.4%; L, 68.6±7.9%; D, 70.9±7.7%; M, 71.9±8.4%), calretinin (V, 85±2%; L, 81.2±5.3%; D, 71.2±3.8; M, 69.6±6%) and p73<sup>α</sup> (V, 2.6±0.9%; L, 3.1±0.8%; D, 8±2.4%; M, 33.8±3%). D, dorsal; L, lateral; M, medial; V, ventral. Scale bar: 50 μm.

To further assess whether Er81<sup>+</sup> cells were derived from the RM pallium, we analysed rostral CR cells in the pallial septum, which is the main output of the rostral patterning centre, is involved in the generation of rostral CR cells. We first used a gain-of-function (GOF) approach, whereby a β-gal plasmid was co-electroporated with an Fgf8 expression construct, or electroporated alone in control experiments, in the rostrodorsal pallium of E10.5 embryos, which were then cultivated for 2 days before analysis (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). As expected, Fgf8 electroporation resulted in the ectopic induction of several Fgf8 signalling targets, including Er81, Pea3, Erm, Spry2 and Fgfr1 (Fig. 4A-E). Although Fgf8 has a crucial role in patterning the rostral telencephalon (Cholfin and Rubenstein, 2008; Garel et al., 2003; Shimogori et al., 2004; Storm et al., 2006), ectopic Fgf8 did not affect the expression of pallial progenitor markers such as Lhx2, Pax6 or Ngn2 (Neurog2) (Fig. 4G-I), nor that of the telencephalic marker Foxg1 (Fig. 4F), as previously reported in an Fgf8 GOF study performed at E11.5 (Shimogori et al., 2004). Hence, the telencephalic identity of the electroporated tissue was maintained upon Fgf8 GOF, but the dorsal pallium adopted a RM pallial identity as shown by the ectopic expression of Erm, Pea3, Spry2 and Fgfr1.

Ectopic Fgf8 also markedly increased the number of reelin-expressing cells in the dorsal cortex as compared with the control electroporation or the non-electroporated side (Fig. 5A,B,C,D,E,F). Co-immunolabelling experiments for β-gal, to mark the progeny of electroporated cells, and for rostral CR cells-specific markers showed that most β-gal+, reelin<sup>+</sup> cells also expressed Tbr1 (Fig. 5D-F), Er81 (Fig. 5F-F') and calretinin (see Fig. S5A-C,E,E' in the supplementary material), demonstrating that ectopic...
Fgf8 specifically induces CR cells of the rostral type. Moreover, we did not observe any change in Dbx1 or p73α labelling (data not shown; see Fig. S5B,D in the supplementary material), indicating that Fgf8 is not sufficient to induce their expression. Co-electroporation of a constitutively active form of Fgfr1 was electroporated (see Fig. S6B-H in the supplementary material). Altogether, these results demonstrate that Fgf8 signalling specifically promotes the generation of CR cells from pallial progenitors in a cell-autonomous manner.

**Ectopic expression of Fgf8 in vivo promotes the generation of rostral CR cells**

To extend these findings to ectopic expression of endogenous Fgf8, we examined Emx2 mutant mice, which present a caudal extension and persistence of the Fgf8, Fgf17 and Fgf18 rostral expression domains, and a concomitant reduction of the caudal Wnt/BMP-secreting telencephalic centre of the CH (Cholfin and Rubenstein, 2008; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2003; Kimura et al., 2005; Shimogori et al., 2004). More cells expressed Pea3 within the RM pallium in these mutants than in control embryos (Fig. 7C’, as previously described (Cholfin and Rubenstein, 2008; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2003). We then found a significant increase in the number of Tbr1+, reelin+ CR cells in E12.5 Emx2 mutant embryos at rostrodorsal and medial levels (Fig. 7A,B,D’,G,G’; see Table S6 in the supplementary material). Co-labelling for Er81 and reelin showed that the majority of reelin+ cells were of the rostral CR type (Fig. 7E,F,H,H’ and that the population of p73α+, Er81+ CH-derived CR cells was in fact reduced in the medial cortex of Emx2 mutant embryos (Fig. 7L’). We also analysed Gli3 mutant mice, in which an upregulation of Fgf8 signalling in the pallium and a loss of p73α+ CH-derived CR cells have been reported (Kuschel et al., 2003; Okada et al., 2008; Rash and Grove, 2007; Theil et al., 1999). We found an increase in Pea3-expressing cells in the RM pallium at E12.5 (see Fig. S1B,B’ in the supplementary material), as well as clusters of Er81+, Tbr1+, reelin+ rostral CR cells in the medial cortex (see Fig. S1C,F’ in the supplementary material). These data suggest that the enlarged Fgf8 domain in Emx2 or Gli3 mutant pallium results in an expanded and persistent rostral CR cell progenitor domain, and supports the conclusion that ectopic Fgf8 signalling promotes the generation of rostral CR cells, both in embryo culture and in vivo.

**In vitro inhibition of FGF signalling prevents the generation of rostral CR cells**

To investigate whether Fgf8 signalling is not only sufficient, but also required for the generation of CR cells, we set up an explant culture system in which Fgf8 signalling could be manipulated pharmacologically. The rostral head of E9.5 (21- to 23-somite) embryos was cultivated on a filter for 2 days in vitro (DIV), during which the explants maintained a dorsoventral organisation, as shown by labelling for Mash1 (Ascl1) and Ngn2 (see Fig. S8 in the supplementary material). Tuj1+ neurons were only observed in the naso placodes at the beginning of the culture, whereas TUJ1+ neurons were found in the telencephalic part of the explant and particularly at its dorsal periphery after 2 DIV (see Fig. S8 in the supplementary material). Labelling for reelin, Er81 and calretinin showed that most of the TUJ1+ neurons generated during the culture were rostral CR cells (see Fig. S8 in the supplementary material). To assess the role of Fgf8 signalling, we used the pharmacological inhibitors SU5402, which inhibits signal transduction by FGF receptors (Mohammadi et al., 1997), and UO126, which prevents phosphorylation of MEK1/2 (Map2k1/2) kinases (Favata et al., 2005).
Fig. 5. Overexpression of Fgf8 in the dorsal pallium induces the generation of Er81+ rostral CR cells. Immunolabelling of sections of mouse rostral telencephalon electroporated at E10.5 and cultivated for 2 DIV. (A) Control electroporation (nls-lacZ) does not affect reelin expression on the electroporated side (marked by +) as compared with the non-electroporated side (marked by –). (B) Co-electroporation of Fgf8 and nls-lacZ leads to an increase in reelin expression (arrows). The yellow rectangles mark the dorsal cortex area shown at larger magnification in C-F. (C-D) Co-expression of reelin and Tbr1 in electroporated β-gal+ cells demonstrating that ectopic reelin+ cells are CR cells. The white rectangles outline the areas shown at higher magnification to the far right. (E-F) Co-expression of Er81 and reelin in electroporated β-gal+ cells shows that ectopic CR cells have a rostral identity. β-gal, β-galactosidase. For each condition for reelin, n>20, and for Er81/reelin or Tbr1/reelin, n=10. Scale bars: 210 μm in A,B; 25 μm in C-F.

1998). Cultures exposed for 2 DIV to SU5402 (Fig. 8B-B″) or UO126 (Fig. 8D-D″) showed a strong decrease in TUJ1, calretinin and reelin labelling compared with DMSO-treated control explants (Fig. 8A-A″,C-C″, arrows), whereas the lateral part of the explants was less affected. These results indicate that lowering Fgf8 signalling significantly reduces the rostral CR cell population.

In vivo reduction in Fgf8 signalling decreases rostral CR cell generation
The requirement for Fgf8 signalling in the generation of CR cells was further examined in Fgf8Null/Neo mouse embryos, in which Fgf8 signalling activity in the rostral signalling centre is reduced (Storm et al., 2006; Storm et al., 2003), as a complete loss of Fgf8 has drastic effects on telencephalic development that preclude examination of CR cells (Meyers et al., 1998). Tbr1+, reelin+ CR cells were completely absent from the RM cortex in E11.5 Fgf8Null/Neo mutant embryos (Fig. 9C,C″,J; see Table S7 in the supplementary material), and there was a reduction of this population in the rostromedial cortex and piriform cortex in these embryos (Fig. 9D-E″,J; see Table S7 in the supplementary material). By contrast, Tbr1+, reelin+ CR cells were found in normal numbers in the caudal olfactory cortex (Fig. 9F,F″), indicating that Fgf8 signalling is required only rostrally for the generation of CR cells. In addition, Er81 expression was almost completely absent in Fgf8Null/Neo mutant embryos (Fig. 9G,G″).

Fig. 6. Fgf8 overexpression promotes the differentiation of CR cells in the dorsal cortex. Immunolabelling of sections of mouse rostral telencephalon electroporated at E10.5 and cultivated for 2 DIV. (A-C) Triple labelling for BrdU, following a 20-minute pulse at 1 DIV and a 24-hour chase, and for β-gal and TUJ1 to mark newborn neurons. Ectopic Fgf8 significantly promotes neuronal differentiation in the dorsal cortex (Student’s t-test, **P=0.002; see Table S4 in the supplementary material), whereas it does not significantly affect the rate of proliferation as measured by the fraction of cells double labelled for BrdU and β-gal (P=0.77). (D-F) Double labelling for reelin and TUJ1 to mark CR cells shows that ectopic Fgf8 specifically promotes the differentiation of this cell type (**P=0.004; see Table S5 in the supplementary material). Scale bars: 25 μm.
indicating that CR cells generated in the mutant have lost their rostral identity. Moreover, expression of the progenitor marker Pea3 was absent from the mutant RM pallium (Fig. 9I,I'), indicating that this territory was not properly specified in Fgf8 Null/Neo embryos. We then analysed the p73α expression pattern at E12.5 to determine whether the decrease in rostral CR cells resulted in an expansion of CH-derived CR cells. However, we did not observe an increase in p73α-expressing cells (Fig. 9H,H'), suggesting that CH-derived CR cells had not invaded the rostroventral cortex in Fgf8Null/Neo embryos at the stage examined. We also asked whether eliminating the Fgf8-secreting rostral signalling centre would affect the generation of rostral CR cells by analyzing embryos with a telencephalon-specific deletion of Fgf8 (Fgf8TelKO) (see Fig. S9 in the supplementary material) (Storm et al., 2006; Storm et al., 2003). We compared reelin expression in E12.5-13 whole telencephalon from wild-type, Fgf8TelKO embryos and found a strong reduction in reelin-expressing cells in the medial and dorsal domains of the telencephalon of both Fgf8 mutants as compared with the wild type (see Fig. S9 in the supplementary material). Altogether, these results establish that Fgf8 signalling activity is required for the specification of rostral CR cells originating from the RM pallium.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have shown that rostral CR cells express the ETS transcription factor Er81, a downstream target of Fgf8 signalling, in addition to reelin, calretinin and p73α. We found that Er81+ CR cells originate largely from the pallial septum, which is under the patterning influence of Fgf8 signalling, suggesting that this pathway could play a role in the specification of this rostral CR cell population. In agreement with this, we showed that ectopic activation of Fgf8 signalling in the dorsal pallium in vitro and in vivo results in ectopic generation of rostral CR cells. We also demonstrated that Fgf8 signalling activity is required in vitro and in vivo for the specification of the RM pallium, including the pallial septum, and for the generation of rostral CR cells. Altogether, our data establish a new role for the rostral signalling centre in the specification of rostral CR cells and further emphasise the involvement of telencephalic signalling centres in the generation of a diverse array of CR cells.

![Fig. 7. Increased number of rostral CR cells in the dorsal cortex of Emx2 mutant mouse embryos.](image)

(A) Schemes of coronal telencephalic sections showing the levels analysed at E12.5. (B) The proportion of CR cells is significantly increased in the rostroventral cortex of Emx2 knockout (KO) embryos (Student’s t-test, **P=0.006; see Table S6 in the supplementary material). (C,C') More cells express Pea3 in the RM pallium of Emx2 knockout than of wild-type embryos. (D-D') The boxed areas are shown at high magnification beneath in single channel and merge. (D,D',G,G') Co-expression of Tbr1 and reelin identifies CR cells. (E,E',H,H') Mainly Er81 and reelin are co-expressed in the dorsal and medial cortex demonstrating that ectopic CR cells in Emx2 KO cortex have a rostral identity. (F,F',I,I') p73α expression is decreased in the medial cortex, whereas Er81 expression is increased, even at more medial levels (see scheme in A). Scale bars: 25 μm.

![Fig. 8. Impairment of FGF signalling with pharmacological inhibitors decreases rostral CR cell generation in telencephalic explants.](image)

(A-D*) Whole-mount immunohistochemistry for calretinin and TUJ1 and in situ hybridisation for reelin on mouse rostral telencephalic explants harvested at 22 somites and cultivated for 2 DIV (see Fig. S8 in the supplementary material). Exposure to SU5402 (B-B' versus A-A' control) or UO126 (D-D' versus C-C' control) leads to a decrease in the generation of CR cells (calretinin/TUJ1* or reelin*) in the part of the explant that corresponds to the dorsal telencephalon (arrow), whereas the neuronal population (TUJ1* cells) is, overall, less affected. Neurogenesis appears to be less affected by exposure to FGF signalling inhibitors in the part of the explant that corresponds to the lateral cortex. For each condition for calretinin/TUJ1, n=15, and for reelin, n≥5. Scale bar: 400 μm.
Er81+ CR cells present unique defects in mutant mice

The existence of distinct origins and the fairly limited number of available markers might have complicated the analysis of CR cell subpopulations (Bielle et al., 2005; Garcia-Moreno et al., 2007; Imayoshi et al., 2008; Monuki et al., 2001; Takiguchi-Hayashi et al., 2004; Yoshida et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2006). Expression of the ETS factor Er81 by rostral CR cells distinguishes them from CR cells located in the subpallium and the caudal pallium, where Er81 is not expressed. We thus used the co-expression of reelin and Er81 to identify rostral CR cells and re-examined the generation of CR cells in Pax6, Emx2 and Gli3 mutant mice.

Emx2 and Pax6, which cross-repress each other, are considered as positive and negative regulators of CR cell generation, respectively (Bishop et al., 2003; Mallamaci et al., 2000; Shimozaki et al., 2002; Stoykova et al., 2003). We found, however, that a Er81+, reelin+ rostral CR cell population is still present in Pax6 mutants, indicating that in contrast to other cell types (Tuoc and Stoykova, 2008), some Er81-expressing rostral CR cells are not dependent on Pax6 activity.

Our finding that loss of Emx2 promotes the generation of rostral CR cells through derepression of Fgf8 signalling (Cholfin and Rubenstein, 2008; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2003; Shimogori et al., 2004) is in agreement with the increase in reelin expression observed in Emx2 mutant cortex (Mallamaci et al., 2000), although a loss of CR cells in Emx2 mutants at later stages has been more widely documented (Bishop et al., 2003; Mallamaci et al., 2000; Yoshida et al., 1997). We can now associate this later phenotype with the loss of the CH-derived subpopulation, which we found already impaired at E12.5.

The generation of CR cells is impaired in Gli3 mutant embryos, with the loss of caudal signals resulting in a substantial decrease in p73α+ CR cells and in a derepression of Dbx1 in the dorsolateral pallium, which adopts a VP-like identity (Friedrichs et al., 2008; Hanashima et al., 2007; Theil, 2005). In agreement with these previous studies, we observed ectopic clusters of Er81+ CR cells in the lateral cortex in the Gli3 mutant (data not shown). However, we also noted clusters of Er81+ CR cells in the medial cortex, as well as an increase in Pea3-expressing cells, reflecting the upregulation of Fgf8 signalling in these mutants (Okada et al., 2008; Rash and Grove, 2007; Theil, 2005; Theil et al., 1999). Thus, mutations in patterning genes differentially affect the subpopulations of CR cells because of their distinct effects on the different telencephalic signalling centres.

Multiple roles for Fgf8 signalling in the generation of rostral CR cells

The rostral signalling centre is characterised by the activity of FGF molecules, with Fgf8 playing the main role. We identified two distinct functions of Fgf8 signalling by challenging its activity in vitro and by analysing Fgf8 gain- and loss-of-function phenotypes in mice. Firstly, Fgf8 signalling is involved in the specification of a progenitor domain within the RM pallium that gives rise to rostral CR cells, which is in line with its role in rostral telencephalic patterning. Secondly, Fgf8 signalling promotes the generation of rostral CR cells from mitotic progenitors.

Our GOF analysis showed that Fgf8 specifically promotes the generation of rostral CR cells. In contrast to a recent study in which ectopic Fgf8 expression resulted in re-patterning of the dorsal pallium into dorsal midline tissue (Okada et al., 2008), we observed that Fgf8-expressing cells maintained the expression of pallial progenitor markers such as Lhx2, Pax6 and Ngn2. These differences between the two studies can be explained by: (1) the competence of the tissue, as Okada et al. performed their experiment in younger embryos than we did (E9.5 as compared with E10.5); (2) the level of Fgf8 overexpression, as they used a stronger promoter to express Fgf8 (the pCAGgs vector versus pMiw in our study); and (3) the shorter time of exposure to Fgf8 (at 1 DIV versus 2 DIV). The importance of Fgf8 concentration and duration of action in determining its activity has been previously reported in various systems, including the CoP and the mid-hindbrain boundary (Basson et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2003; Sato et al., 2001). Altogether, these data lead us to suggest that a short
exposure to a high Fgf8 concentration promotes a rostral midline identity (Okada et al., 2008), whereas a longer exposure to a lower Fgf8 concentration promotes RM pallial identity.

We have not detected any effect of ectopic Fgf8 on the proliferation of pallial progenitors, which is in agreement with previous studies demonstrating that Fgf8 regulates rostral telencephalic patterning but not cell proliferation (Crossley et al., 2001; Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2003; Okada et al., 2008; Shimogori et al., 2004). However, we observed that Fgf8 induces neurogenesis, a function that has been previously reported in the eye, in the basal telencephalon (Crossley et al., 2001), and in the developing olfactory system (Bailey et al., 2006; Kawauchi et al., 2005).

CR cells originate from progenitor domains that are not only restricted spatially, but also short lived (e.g. Imayoshi et al., 2008). Our data show that the arrest of production of rostral CR cells after E12.5 might be a consequence of the reduction of Fgf8 signalling in the RM pallium at this stage. Hence, a longer exposure to Fgf8 signalling in Emx2 mutants or in electroporated embryos not only induced ectopic rostral CR cell production, but also extended the period of generation of this CR cell population.

In conclusion, our data establish that the rostral telencephalic signalling centre specifies a rostral CR cell population. Our study thus provides new evidence that CR cell progenitor domains are closely associated with telencephalic signalling centres and strengthens the hypothesis that CR cells participate in regional patterning of the cortex (Bielle et al., 2005; Meyer et al., 2004; Meyer et al., 2002). Because they migrate above progenitors at early developmental stages, CR cells are good candidates to provide telencephalic progenitors with instructive cues (Nomura et al., 2008; Soriano et al., 1997) (A.G. and A.P., unpublished). Thus, characterising CR cell subpopulations and their progenitor domains might help to unravel the mechanisms that control the early stages of development of the whole telencephalon.
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Table S1. Er81<sup>+</sup>, reelin<sup>-</sup> CR cell population is not significantly affected in the E12.5 Pax6 mutant (Sey/Sey), which presents an absence of the VP progenitor domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total medial</th>
<th>Av Er81&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, reelin&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt; cells</th>
<th>Total dorsal</th>
<th>Av Er81&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, reelin&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt; cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=3)</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>65.8±9</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>57.2±6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pax6 mutant (n=3)</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>54.8±8</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>54±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-test</td>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Av) is shown ± standard deviation.
Table S2. Fgf8b gain-of-function affects neither cell proliferation nor differentiation after 1 DIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total β-gal&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Total BrdU&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, β-gal&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Av % BrdU&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, β-gal&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/β-gal&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Total TUJ1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, β-gal&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Av % TUJ1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, BrdU&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, β-gal&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/β-gal&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=4)</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>42.3±5.3</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>21.8±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fgf8b (n=4)</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>37.7±6.5</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>24±5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-test</td>
<td>P=0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Av) is shown ± standard deviation.
Table S3. Fgf8b gain-of-function does not affect cell proliferation, but promotes cell differentiation after 2 DIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Total β-gal</th>
<th>Total BrdU−β-gal</th>
<th>Av % BrdU−β-gal / β-gal</th>
<th>Total TUJ1−β-gal</th>
<th>Av % TUJ1−BrdU−β-gal / β-gal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=4)</td>
<td>2907</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>35.9±6.8</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>41.5±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fgf8b (n=4)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>30±1.9</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>45.3±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Av) is shown ± standard deviation.
Table S4. Fgf8b gain-of-function promotes cell cycle exit at 2 DIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total β-gal⁺</th>
<th>Total BrdU⁺, β-gal⁺</th>
<th>Av % BrdU⁺, β-gal⁺ / β-gal⁺</th>
<th>Total TUJ1⁺, β-gal⁺</th>
<th>Av % TUJ1⁺, BrdU⁺, β-gal⁺ / β-gal⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=4)</td>
<td>4111</td>
<td>2209</td>
<td>55.3±5.4</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>20.7±5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fgf8b (n=6)</td>
<td>2807</td>
<td>1551</td>
<td>54.4±4.4</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>32.8±3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Av) is shown ± standard deviation.

t-test

\[ P = 0.77 \]

\[ P = 0.002 \]
Table 55. Fgf8b gain-of-function promotes CR cell differentiation at 2 DIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total TUJ1⁺, β-gal⁺</th>
<th>Total reelin⁺ TUJ1⁺, β-gal⁺</th>
<th>Av % reelin⁺, TUJ1⁺, β-gal⁺ / TUJ1⁺, β-gal⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=5)</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>30.6±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fgf8b (n=5)</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>51.6±11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>t-test</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>P=0.004</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Av) is shown ± standard deviation.
Table S6. Increased generation in CR cells in *Emx2* mutant dorsal cortex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Tbr1⁺</th>
<th>Total Tbr1⁺, reelin⁺</th>
<th>Av % reelin⁺, Tbr1⁺ / Tbr1⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control (n=3)</strong></td>
<td>514</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>66.8±5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emx2 mutant (n=3)</strong></td>
<td>958</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>83.9±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>t</em>-test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>P</em>=0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Av) is shown ± standard deviation.
### Table S7. Decreased generation in CR cells in Fgf8<sup>Null/Neo</sup> mutant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; medial</th>
<th>Av % reelin&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; medial</th>
<th>Total Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; dorsal</th>
<th>Av % reelin&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; dorsal</th>
<th>Total Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; CxP</th>
<th>Av % reelin&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; CxP</th>
<th>Total Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; caudal</th>
<th>Av % reelin&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; caudal</th>
<th>Av % reelin&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/Tbr1&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32.4±3.2</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35.5±4.5</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>41±5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fgf8&lt;sup&gt;Null/Neo&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22.3±4.8</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>22.2±5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>t</em>-test</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Av) is shown ± standard deviation.

*, n=2.