Hair follicle renewal: authentic morphogenesis that depends on a complex progression of stem cell lineages
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SUMMARY
The hair follicle (HF) grows during the anagen phase from precursors in the matrix that give rise to each differentiated HF layer. Little is known about the lineal relationship between these layer-restricted precursors and HF stem cells. To understand how the HF stem cells regenerate the typical anagen organization, we conducted in vivo clonal analysis of key stages of the HF cycle in mice. Unexpectedly, we found that the pool of HF stem cells contains precursors with both multipotent and restricted contributions. This implies that the lineal relationships between HF stem cells (persisting during telogen) and layer-restricted precursors (in the germinative layer), responsible for HF elongation during anagen, are not stereotyped. Formation of the matrix at each cycle is accompanied by the transient expansion of an intermediary pool of precursors at the origin of the germinative layer and by the progressive restriction of cell dispersion. The regionalization of clonal patterns within the outer HF structure (the outer root sheath) suggests that the position of the precursors might be a crucial factor in determining their fate. The presence of HF stem cells with multipotent contribution and the progressive segregation of HF lineages upon anagen activation indicate that each HF renewal cycle constitutes an authentic morphogenetic process. A comprehensive model was constructed based on the different clonal patterns observed. In this model, the positions of the precursors relative to the dermal papilla together with the progressive restriction of cell dispersion are part of the mechanism that restricts their contribution to the different HF lineages.
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INTRODUCTION
The hair follicle (HF) is a characteristic appendage of mammalian skin that consists of several epithelial cell types arranged in concentric layers: the outer root sheath (ORS) and the internal layers comprising the inner root sheath (IRS) and the three central hair shaft layers of cuticle, cortex and medulla (Hardy, 1992). At the base of the HF is the matrix that encases the dermal papilla (DP) (Matsuzaki and Yoshizato, 1998). The HF renews itself in cycles (Fuchs and Horsley, 2008). Hair growth takes place during the anagen phase and is sustained by precursors restricted to the ORS, which have a regional proliferative mode of growth, and by precursors for the internal layers, which are restricted to a single layer, form a germinative layer (GL) in the matrix and have a stem cell mode of growth (Légue and Nicolas, 2005); they are here termed layer-restricted precursors. Hair growth ceases during catagen, the cyclic part of the HF involutes and the DP cells move upwards following the regressing HF (Panteleyev et al., 1999). This is followed by a resting phase, telogen, during which only the permanent part of the HF persists, that is, the bulge cells around the club hair and the hair germ between the DP and the bulge. Exchange of signals between the DP and bulge cells (Cotsarelis et al., 1990) results in the initiation of a new cycle by activation of anagen.

Cells that sustain HF renewal through successive cycles have been identified in the bulge (Cotsarelis et al., 1990; Waters et al., 2007). They have stem cell features: long-term maintenance in vitro and in vivo (Blanpain et al., 2004; Oshima et al., 2001), colony-forming ability in vitro (Blanpain et al., 2004; Claudinot et al., 2005; Oshima et al., 2001) and slow cycling (Braun et al., 2003; Morris and Potten, 1994; Morris and Potten, 1999; Taylor et al., 2000; Tumbar et al., 2004). Recently, an elegant single-cell analysis showed that bulge cell self-renewal is ensured by symmetric divisions of bulge cells in late anagen that replenish the niche after depletion due to the recruitment of cells in early anagen to form the renewed HF (Zhang et al., 2009). It has been shown that a subpopulation of cycling cells in the ORS can also contribute to HF renewal (Jaks et al., 2008).

An important issue that requires clarification is the potency of the HF stem cells with respect to their contribution to the different HF lineages. Several genes display differential expression within the HF stem cell pool, raising the possibility that these mark distinct subpopulations: for example, basal and suprabasal populations are defined by high and low α6-integrin/keratin 14 (K14) expression, respectively (Blanpain et al., 2004); the hair germ (the part of the telogen HF close to the DP) expresses Lgr5 (Jaks et al., 2008), P-cadherin (Greco et al., 2009) and S100A4 (Ito and Kizawa, 2001), the bulge expresses CD34 (Blanpain et al., 2004), while a more distal population expresses MTS24 (Nijhoff et al., 2006). So far, the contribution of HF stem cells has been assessed at the level of the cell population. The progeny of K15+ bulge cells, as well as the progeny of Lgr5+ cells, in the hair germ contribute to all the concentric layers of the HF (Jaks et al., 2008; Morris et al., 2004). Other studies have shown that single, isolated bulge cells are able to form colonies in vitro that upon grafting contribute to all HF lineages (Blanpain et al., 2004; Oshima et al., 2001). Labeled grafted cells can then be reisolated and recultured and still retain their stem cell properties (Claudinot et al., 2005). The limitations of these observations are that groups of cells are grafted to assess their contributions and amplification in culture before grafting may alter...
the properties of cells. Interestingly, a recent clonal analysis of the progeny of K14+ cells labeled in telogen suggested that bulge cells may preferentially contribute to the internal lineages, whereas the hair germ might make most of the ORS (Zhang et al., 2009). The progeny of grafted bulge cells can also display restricted contributions (Claudinot et al., 2005). Therefore, it is still not clear whether cells that contribute to the renewal of the HF in vivo are multipotent or whether there is a heterogeneous population of molecularly distinct unipotent stem cells.

Layer-restricted precursors in the matrix GL sustain HF elongation during anagen (Legué and Nicolas, 2005), but little is known about their genealogical relationship to HF stem cells (Waters et al., 2007). The transition between the telogen and anagen HF is characterized by an engulfment of the DP due to cell proliferation (Ito et al., 2004; Müller-Röver et al., 2001). This transition has been characterized at the molecular level (Botchkarev and Kishimoto, 2003), but information about cell behavior that is crucial to understanding how a new HF is generated is lacking.

In this study, we examine the progression of the pools of precursors present in the HF from telogen to anagen activation and HF elongation. We genetically labeled single cells in the HF in telogen and in early anagen and analyzed their clonal descendants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Transgenic mouse lines

All experiments were carried out in accordance with the national guidelines for care and use of laboratory animals. The CMV CreERT2 line is from Daniel Metzger (Feil et al., 1996). The ROSA CreERT2 line (from Lars Grotewold and Austin Smith, Wellcome Trust Centre for Stem Cell Research, University of Cambridge, UK) was obtained by introducing the CreERT2 gene (Indra et al., 1999) by homologous recombination into the ROSA26 locus. Each inducer line was crossed to the R26R Cre reporter mouse from Philippe Soriano (Soriano, 1999) to generate CMV CreERT2×R26R mice (CMV mice) and ROSA CreERT2×R26R mice (ROSA mice). The CMV promoter confers wide expression, including expression in all HF cells (Metzger and Chambon, 2001). The ROSA26 promoter confers ubiquitous expression on lacZ or CreERT2.

Synchronization of the HF cycle

CMV and ROSA mice were depilated with cold wax during telogen to synchronize the HF cycle by inducing synchronous anagen in the depilated region (Stenn and Paus, 2001). Depilation of telogen HF’s mimics exogen and induces the initiation of anagen in all depilated HF’s at the same time.

4-hydroxytamoxifen preparation and injection of HFs

4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) was suspended as described (Metzger et al., 1996). The ROSA CreERT2 line (from Lars Grotewold and Austin Smith, Wellcome Trust Centre for Stem Cell Research, University of Cambridge, UK) was obtained by introducing the CreERT2 gene (Indra et al., 1999) by homologous recombination into the ROSA26 locus. Each inducer line was crossed to the R26R Cre reporter mouse from Philippe Soriano (Soriano, 1999) to generate CMV CreERT2×R26R mice (CMV mice) and ROSA CreERT2×R26R mice (ROSA mice). The CMV promoter confers wide expression, including expression in all HF cells (Metzger and Chambon, 2001). The ROSA26 promoter confers ubiquitous expression on lacZ or CreERT2.

RESULTS

The pool of HF stem cells contains precursors with both multipotent and restricted contributions

To analyze the renewal of the HF at a clonal level, we induced single-cell labeling in the pool that renews the HF by injecting low doses of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) into CMV or ROSA mice. To reach the cells that renew the HF, the induction was performed during telogen, when only the permanent component of the HF is present (Stenn and Paus, 2001). Two days after induction, a new anagen was activated by depilation (D0). Therefore the day of induction is D–2 (Fig. 1a). HFs were harvested 14 days after depilation (D14), when all distinct HF structures are recognizable (Müller-Röver et al., 2001) (Fig. 1a).

We first analyzed labeled HFs of a CMV CreERT2×R26R mouse (CMV mouse). Twenty-six out of 44 labeled HFs (59.1%) (Fig. 2a; and see Table S1 in the supplementary material, column d) showed labeled cells both in the outer and in several internal structures. Statistical analysis showed that these labelings were generated by a single recombination event (see Table S2 in the supplementary material, column d) and were therefore identified as multipotent clonal patterns (Fig. 2a). These patterns demonstrate that during telogen, there are single cells that contribute to the renewal of the HF by injecting low doses of 4-OHT, restricted clonal patterns were also observed. However, their frequency was 7- to 16-fold lower than in the induced animals (see Table S1 in the supplementary material, columns a and b, 0.3% and 0.7 %, versus column d, 3.7%), indicating that the restricted clonal patterns observed in the induced animals resulted from the induction. These findings indicate that a large proportion of the cells within the pool that renews the HF have restricted contributions (40.9%, n=18 out of 44; Fig. 2a).

Depilation in itself induces perturbations that could be responsible for the heterogeneity of contribution of the HF stem cells. We therefore performed the same analysis in non-depilated CMV mice, taking advantage of the synchronicity of the first hair cycle. After induction at post-natal day 18 (P18), when HFs are at the end of catagen, we again observed both multipotent (47.8% of the labeled HFs) and more restricted (52.2% of the labeled HFs) clonal patterns...
These findings indicate that the pool of HF stem cell precursors is heterogeneous in terms of lineage contribution, and that this heterogeneity is conserved through successive cycles of HF renewal.

**The pool of precursors evolves dramatically between telogen and anagen**

We next examined how this heterogeneous pool of HF stem cells present during telogen produces the characteristic anagen HF organization, in which layer-restricted internal precursors are located in the matrix GL and ORS precursors are distributed all along the outer structure (Legué and Nicolas, 2005). We compared, in CMV mice, the composition of the pool of HF stem cells during telogen (induction at D–2, Fig. 2a) with the pools of precursors that sustain HF growth during anagen (induction at D8, Fig. 2g). As shown above, the pool of telogen HF stem cells contains cells with three different categories of contribution: multipotent precursors (which contribute to both the ORS and internal structures); precursors that contribute only to (one or several) internal structures; and precursors restricted to the ORS. These categories were found to evolve between telogen and anagen. Multipotent clonal patterns initiated during telogen represented 59.1% of the total population (Fig. 2a). Following induction at mid-anagen (D8), this category was not detected above background levels (see Table S1 in the supplementary material, compare column n with columns a and b), and the number of HF's labeled in more than one layer was not statistically different from that expected with more than one recombination event (see Table S2 in the supplementary material).

Conversely, the frequencies of clonal patterns restricted to either the ORS or internal structures obtained following mid-anagen (D8) induction increased relative to those detected in telogen (D–2) inductions: from 25% to 63.8% for the ORS clones and from 15.9% to 24.6% for the internal clonal patterns (Fig. 2, compare a with f) (Legué and Nicolas, 2005). Therefore, the transition from telogen to anagen involves the complete separation of the ORS from the internal structures, with no detectable induced anagen precursors that would contribute to both the outer and internal structures.

**The lineages that generate the internal structures are not stereotyped**

To further characterize the telogen-to-anagen transition, we examined the generation of the internal layers and ORS separately. We first focused on the renewal of the matrix that contains, in the GL, the precursors for the internal structures and is disorganized during catagen (Müller-Röver et al., 2001). We analyzed HF's labeled in the internal structures, excluding those labeled only in the ORS. We induced labeling at early anagen (D3), when cells from the permanent part of the HF begin to cover the DP (anagen I or II) (Müller-Röver et al., 2001), and analyzed HFs at D14 (Fig. 1d). In CMV mice we detected three classes of clonal patterns for the internal structures: 47.4% multipotent clonal patterns, 28.1% internal clonal patterns contributing to several internal structures (termed oligopotent), and 14% internal clonal patterns restricted to one layer (Fig. 4c). Similar results were obtained in ROSA mice (Fig. 4e).

The frequencies of the various classes of clonal pattern induced at early anagen (D3) were different to those induced at telogen (D–2) and at mid-anagen (D8) (Fig. 4). Strikingly, the fraction of oligopotent internal clonal patterns increased during the first stages of matrix formation. At D–2 they were rarely detected (Fig. 4a), whereas they represented 28.1% of the internal clonal patterns at D3 (Fig. 4d), and then their proportion decreased to background levels once the matrix was organized (D8) (Fig. 4f).
see Table S1 in the supplementary material, column n). After induction during mid-anagen (D8), most internal clonal patterns were restricted to a single internal structure (15 out of 17; see Table S1 in the supplementary material, column n). During the same period, the fraction of multipotent clonal patterns decreased from 78.8% at D–2 to 52.6% at D3 and this category finally disappeared at D8 (Fig. 4a,d,f). A similar evolution of the categories of clonal pattern was observed in ROSA mice (Fig. 4c,e). Thus, the transition from telogen to anagen during HF renewal is accompanied by an evolution of the composition of the precursor pool that renews the matrix, such that each step of matrix morphogenesis is characterized by a prominent precursor type: the pool at telogen contains a high proportion of multipotent precursors, that at early anagen a large proportion of oligopotent internal precursors, whereas the organized matrix contains only layer-restricted precursors located in the GL.

The evolution in the frequencies of multipotent and oligopotent internal precursors during the HF cycle suggests that at least a fraction of the oligopotent internal precursors at D3 is generated by the multipotent precursors present in the telogen HF at D–2. Consistent with this idea, the pattern of each multipotent clone induced at D–2 incorporated the patterns of several oligopotent clones, suggesting that oligopotent clones are subclones of multipotent clones (compare Fig. 3A and Fig. 5A with Fig. 3E,F and Fig. 5D,E). Hence, oligopotent internal precursors present at D3 are probably produced by a diversification mode of division from multipotent precursors. In addition, in oligopotent internal clonal patterns induced at D3 \( (n=27; \) Fig. 5B,D,E), we did not observe within the matrix any labeled derivatives outside the GL sectors, where the layer-restricted internal precursors are located (Legué and Nicolas, 2005). Again, therefore, all of the characteristics of the oligopotent internal clonal patterns can be described by adding together the characteristics of several restricted internal clonal patterns, suggesting that oligopotent internal precursors generate, in turn, the layer-restricted internal precursors of the GL.

Taken together, the contribution of multipotent precursors present during telogen (D–2) is progressively restricted to give rise, via an intermediate pool of oligopotent precursors at D3, to the layer-restricted internal precursors of the GL during anagen. However, this
Therefore, descendants of the labeled cell in these telogen induced structures, as detected after induction at D–2 (Fig. 3B, Fig. 5B). In the internal clonal patterns that contribute to several internal structures (Fig. 3A, Fig. 5A, Fig. 6). Similar dispersive patterns were observed patterns induced at D–2 displayed a highly dispersive pattern within the phase was analyzed (Fig. 6). Seventy percent of multipotent clonal cells cover the DP (Müller-Röver et al., 2001). and is most probably linked to the phase during which epithelial cell movements become more constrained. Moreover, after induction at D3, labeled derivatives in oligopotent internal clonal patterns displayed a more limited dispersion than those in multipotent clonal patterns (Fig. 5E, Fig. 6). Thus, restriction of cell dispersion is closely associated with the progressive restriction of precursor contributions to the different HF lineages.

**Fig. 4. Early anagen is characterized by the expansion of oligopotent internal precursors.** Clones contributing to the internal structures are arranged into three categories: multipotent (labeled in internal structures and ORS), oligopotent internal (labeled in several internal structures) and layer-restricted internal (labeled in only one internal structure). Bars indicate the percentage in each category out of the total HF population labeled in the internal structures; the number of HFs in each category is indicated above each bar. The stage of induction and biopsy and the animal used in each experiment are indicated below the chart (see also Fig. 1). The labeled HFs corresponding to double or triple recombination events are not represented (for statistical analysis, see Table S2 in the supplementary material).

The cells that form the matrix proliferate until at least early anagen and their dispersion is gradually spatially restricted

Once the matrix is formed, its structure remains static: a layer-restricted precursor in the GL always generates cells in the same proximal-distal column, indicating a highly constrained growth, particularly along the HF circumference (Legué and Nicolas, 2005). This characteristic implies that the clonal patterns in the matrix, derived from multipotent or oligopotent precursors, can provide information about the behavior of cells during its formation.

Multipotent precursors induced at D–2 contributed many cells in the matrix in the clones shown in Fig. 3. HF induced at D–2 (A-C) or at D3 (D,E) and observed at D14. (A) Multipotent clone. (B) Oligopotent internal clone. (C) Layer-restricted internal clone. (D,E) Oligopotent internal clones. (F) Schematic representation of the position of the sectors that contain the restricted precursors for the internal structures, adjacent to the DP. The sectors are also shown in each matrix image. The patterns of multipotent and oligopotent internal clones are inclusive of the patterns of several internal-restricted clones. Scale bar: 25 µm.

**Fig. 5. Multipotent and oligopotent internal precursors generate the internal-layer-restricted precursors.** (A-E) Magnified views of the matrix in the clones shown in Fig. 3. HF induced at D–2 (A-C) or at D3 (D,E) and observed at D14. (A) Multipotent clone. (B) Oligopotent internal clone. (C) Layer-restricted internal clone. (D,E) Oligopotent internal clones. (F) Schematic representation of the position of the sectors that contain the restricted precursors for the internal structures, adjacent to the DP. The sectors are also shown in each matrix image. The patterns of multipotent and oligopotent internal clones are inclusive of the patterns of several internal-restricted clones. Scale bar: 25 µm.

Multipotent and restricted HF stem cells contributing to the ORS show differential contributions in the proximal-distal axis of the HF

We next examined the renewal of the ORS by restricting the analysis to clones that contributed cell descendants to this structure. The ORS precursors detected following induction at D–2 belong to two categories: those that also contribute to internal structures, representing 70.3% of the pool of cells that renew the ORS, and those whose descendants are restricted to the ORS, representing the
Fig. 6. Spatial distribution of the clonal descendants in the germinative layer of the matrix. Multipotent and oligopotent internal clones induced at D–2 and/or D3 (CMV and ROSA mice) display derivatives in the germinative layer of the matrix at D14. In most clones induced at telogen (D–2), labeled cells are dispersed radially around the DP in a sector exceeding 90° (circumferential contribution, blue). By contrast, in clones induced at early anagen (D3), labeled derivatives within the matrix display a more restricted spatial distribution in a sector of less than 90° (unilateral distribution, yellow). na, not applicable because statistical analysis did not identify the HFs in these categories as clones (see Table S2 in the supplementary material).

remaining 29.7% in CMV mice (see Table S1 in the supplementary material, column d). The same categories were observed in ROSA mice (see Table S1 in the supplementary material, column f, Fig. 3A,D and Fig. 7A). These two categories of ORS precursors were still detected when one or two HF cycles were intercalated between the induction of labeling and observation (see Table S1 in the supplementary material, columns g, h, i and j). Therefore, these precursors function as long-term stem cells. After induction at D3, these two categories were still observed but their proportions had changed. The percentage of multipotent clonal patterns decreased to 35.1%, while the proportion of ORS-restricted clonal patterns increased to 57.1% (see Table S1 in the supplementary material, columns k and l). A decrease in the proportion of multipotent clonal patterns was also observed in the ROSA mouse, from 45.5% (D–2) to 13.9% (D3). Finally, during the main anagen phase (D8), ORS growth was sustained only by ORS-restricted precursors dispersed all over the structure (Legué and Nicolas, 2005). The simultaneous presence of multipotent precursors and ORS-restricted precursors in the pool of cells that renews the HF at D–2 indicates that, similarly to the internal layers, the renewal of the ORS is not characterized by a stereotyped lineage.

We further examined whether ORS precursors contributed equally to different regions of this layer. Previously, we showed that ORS growth during anagen is homogeneous in the proximal-distal axis of the HF; that is, ORS precursors labeled at D8 contribute equally (the same number of clones) to each level of the HF axis (Fig. 7B, blue line) (Legué and Nicolas, 2005). Here, we analyzed the contribution to each level of the HF proximal-distal axis in ORS-restricted clonal patterns derived from the labeling of precursors present at D–2 or maintained in the pool at telogen over one or two cycles before observation (Fig. 1a-c). These clones showed a striking lack of contribution to the proximal HF levels (0-20% of the proximal-distal axis; Fig. 7B, pink line). This finding raised the possibility that the most proximal ORS region is formed by the multipotent precursors. Indeed, 79% of the multipotent clonal patterns induced at D–2 in CMV and ROSA mice contributed derivatives to the ORS surrounding the matrix. Therefore, there is a regionally distinct contribution to the ORS from precursors present in telogen: ORS-restricted precursors preferentially contribute to the distal part of the ORS, whereas the proximal part of the ORS is mainly produced by multipotent precursors. Since the cells of the ORS undergo a regional mode of growth, the proximal-distal position of the precursors contributing to the ORS might be related to their potential in terms of lineage contribution. The DP at the proximal end of the growing HF might be the source of signals that trigger cells to contribute to internal lineages in addition to the ORS.

DISCUSSION

HF stem cells exhibit heterogeneous contributions

Grafts of clonogenic cells after in vitro expansion (Claudinot et al., 2005), and retroviral fate-mapping of the cells that renew the HF (Ghazizadeh and Taichman, 2001), have shown that some stem cells may have restricted contributions, as some HFs are labeled only in a subset of structures. The present study demonstrated that the contributions of single precursor cells, which sustain HF renewal through successive cycles, are heterogeneous. Within the pool of HF stem cells we found similar proportions of precursors that contribute to all HF lineages and precursors with lineage-restricted contributions. In vivo assessment of precursor contributions might not reveal the full potential of a cell. Thus, the question remains whether heterogeneous contribution is due to intrinsic differences between precursors or to extrinsic factors acting upon the fate of their descendants. Interestingly, cells that have the potential to renew the HF are molecularly and spatially distinct (Blanpain et al., 2004; Ito and Kizawa, 2001; Jaks et al., 2008; Nijhof et al., 2006). However, none of these populations has been shown to differ in their contribution to the HF lineages. Fate-mapping of Lgr5-expressing cells (Jaks et al., 2008), and reconstitution assays using CD34/α6-integrin high- and low-level expressing cells (Blanpain et al., 2004), resulted in cell descendants that colonize all HF structures, suggesting that the molecular identity of these cell populations does not correlate with their contribution to distinct HF lineages. However, clonal analysis by Zhang et al., preferentially labeling the bulge cells and rarely the hair germ, suggested that hair germ makes most of the ORS and the bulge makes the matrix and some ORS (Zhang et al., 2009). With both CMV and ROSA mice, we found labeled cells either in the bulge or in the hair germ (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). However, the proportions of labeling in each structure do not correspond to those of any of the clonal categories observed in the next anagen, suggesting that none of these telogen structures is fated to contribute preferentially to any anagen structure. This question requires further investigation using hair germ-specific and bulge population-specific Cre recombinases.

Whether intrinsic or extrinsic, the heterogeneity of precursor contributions implies that there is not a single stereotyped lineage progression from the telogen precursors to the layer-restricted precursors in the matrix GL. Furthermore, the different composition of the precursor pool at telogen and anagen, and the presence of telogen precursors with multipotent contributions, indicates that the organization of the mature HF is not prefigured in the organization of its founder pool.

Each HF renewal cycle is an authentic morphogenetic process

The finding that the organization of the anagen HF is not prefigured in the precursor pool at its origin argues that each cycle of HF renewal is not a mere expansion of a pre-existing organization, but an authentic morphogenesis. This morphogenesis involves the recruitment of precursors and the segregation of HF lineages with initial separation of the internal and outer lineages and expansion of
an intermediary pool of oligopotent internal precursors, eventually giving rise to layer-restricted precursors that sustain HF elongation during anagen.

Another aspect of this process is the progressive restriction of cell dispersion. Extensive cell dispersion characterizes the early period following anagen activation; thus, the descendants of cells labeled up to this stage populate the whole, or most, of the HF circumference. Cell movements seem progressively constrained as anagen proceeds, such that eventually, layer-restricted internal precursors labeled during mid-anagen contribute to cell descendants that are confined to a single proximal-distal column.

Based on the composition of the pool of precursors and their behavior, three periods can be distinguished during anagen: anagen initiation, characterized by the precursors with multipotent contributions and a highly dispersive cell behavior; early anagen (anagen I-IIIa), characterized by the expansion of precursors with oligopotent internal contributions, following the separation of internal lineages from the ORS and the emergence of the matrix; and mature anagen [anagen IIIb-VI (Müller-Röver et al., 2001)], when the matrix has acquired its final organization, cell movements are minimal and HF growth relies on layer-restricted precursors; this is a phase of linear proximal-distal elongation of the HF.

**The successive positions of the HF precursors determine their fate**

Several observations suggest that the position of cells during formation of the matrix might, at least partly, be the mechanism that restricts their fate: (1) ORS-restricted precursors labeled during telogen contribute only to the distal portion of the HF; (2) ORS and internal lineages progressively segregate as growth spatially separates groups of cells during anagen; and (3) lineage restriction is closely associated with the restriction of cell dispersion. Descendants of precursors with multipotent contributions are widely dispersed around the circumference of the matrix, whereas descendants of precursors with oligopotent internal contribution are circumferentially restricted; finally, layer-restricted precursors in the matrix GL contribute to a single proximal-distal column of each layer (Legué and Nicolas, 2005).

Based on the clonal patterns observed, we propose that the heterogeneity of precursor contribution to different HF lineages depends on the position that cells occupy relative to the DP, following anagen initiation (Fig. 8). Previous studies indicated that the DP plays a crucial role in HF cycling and maintenance through a molecular cross-talk (Noggin, BMP, Notch, FGF, Wnt) with HF cells (Schneider et al., 2009). It was shown that hair germ cells are the first population to proliferate at anagen initiation, whereas the more distal bulge cells respond later (Greco et al., 2009); the proximity of the DP signals might be responsible for this differential response. Dissection of Lef1 and Tcf3 roles suggested that ORS and internal lineages segregate owing to the activation of Wnt signaling in the internal precursors (Merrill et al., 2001). In addition, our previous study showed that internal-layer-restricted precursors are juxtaposed to the DP, and their contribution to each of the concentric internal layers corresponds to their proximal-distal arrangement (Legué and Nicolas, 2005). It is therefore tempting to propose that the position of cells determines their exposure to DP signals, and that this might be crucial for their fate and their dispersive behavior.

In our model, the ORS is considered as the default lineage. This is supported by the high frequency of ORS-restricted clones induced at telogen and early anagen, and the observation that ORS cells share several markers with bulge cells [K14, keratin 5, α6-integrin (Blanpain et al., 2004), Tcf3 (DasGupta and Fuchs, 1999; Nguyen et al., 2006), Lgr5 (Jaks et al., 2008; Morgan, 2008)]. According to this model, descendants of the cells that engulf the DP to form the new matrix upon anagen activation would be stochastically positioned within the emerging matrix; cell descendants positioned close to the DP would respond to signals emanating from it, changing their default fate towards internal lineages, whereas descendants positioned further away from the DP would contribute to the ORS. The progressive restriction of cell dispersion, together with the position of cells relative to the DP, can account for all the clonal patterns observed following induction at different stages. The high frequency of multipotent clonal patterns induced at telogen, and the decrease in their frequency during anagen, are consistent with a decreasing probability of cell descendants dispersing to occupy positions close to and distant from the DP. Thus, as predicted by the model, the restriction of cell dispersion with anagen progression results in an increase in the frequency of clones with contribution to either internal or outer structures. Finally, when the dispersion of cells becomes minimal in mature anagen, precursors in the matrix become organized along the proximal-distal axis in the GL and their fate is further restricted to a single proximal-distal column of each...
HF layer. Similarly, differential gene expression in distinct proximal-distal sectors along the DP, such as that of Wnt signaling components and Sox21, may be responsible for the restriction of precursor contribution to a single internal layer (DasGupta and Fuchs, 1999; Kiso et al., 2009; Merrill et al., 2001). In this model, the DP therefore acts as a typical organizing center that regiments contributions to both the outer and internal layers (D–2 multipotent clones). Cells whose descendants contribute only to the distal part of the HF (red) are not influenced by signals from the DP and contribute only to the ORS (D–2 ORS-restricted distal clones). As anagen proceeds, the movements become more constrained (arrows in II). Cells are more likely to remain either in proximity to the DP and generate only internal layer descendants (yellow; D3 oligopotent internal clones), or distant from it and produce only ORS descendants (red; D3 ORS-restricted clones). This is reflected by the progressive clonal separation between internal and outer layers and by the decrease in the frequency of multipotent clones at D3. Once the matrix has reformed (anagen IIb), cell dispersion becomes minimal (III), and precursors organized in the germinative layer adjacent to the DP generate differentiated descendants in each of the internal layers according to their position along the proximal-distal axis of the DP (Legué and Nicolas, 2005).

HF layer. Similarly, differential gene expression in distinct proximal-distal sectors along the DP, such as that of Wnt signaling components and Sox21, may be responsible for the restriction of precursor contribution to a single internal layer (DasGupta and Fuchs, 1999; Kiso et al., 2009; Merrill et al., 2001). In this model, the DP therefore acts as a typical organizing center that regiments contributions to both the outer and internal layers (D–2 multipotent clones). Cells whose descendants contribute only to the distal part of the HF (red) are not influenced by signals from the DP and contribute only to the ORS (D–2 ORS-restricted distal clones). As anagen proceeds, the movements become more constrained (arrows in II). Cells are more likely to remain either in proximity to the DP and generate only internal layer descendants (yellow; D3 oligopotent internal clones), or distant from it and produce only ORS descendants (red; D3 ORS-restricted clones). This is reflected by the progressive clonal separation between internal and outer layers and by the decrease in the frequency of multipotent clones at D3. Once the matrix has reformed (anagen IIb), cell dispersion becomes minimal (III), and precursors organized in the germinative layer adjacent to the DP generate differentiated descendants in each of the internal layers according to their position along the proximal-distal axis of the DP (Legué and Nicolas, 2005).
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HF, suggested that each restricted internal precursor in the matrix GL self-renews by asymmetric division. However, this process ceases at catagen and internal layer-restricted stem cells most likely disappear when the matrix is disorganized (Greco et al., 2009; Morgan, 2008).

These two types of HF precursors illustrate the fact that the function of stem cells in HF maintenance and regeneration does not necessarily rely on strict self-renewal at the single-cell level and that maintenance of the stem cell pool might be limited to the period during which a specific developmental process takes place.
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