Integration of RNA processing and expression level control modulates the function of the *Drosophila* Hox gene *Ultrabithorax* during adult development
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**SUMMARY**

Although most metazoan genes undergo alternative splicing, the functional relevance of the majority of alternative splicing products is still unknown. Here we explore this problem in the *Drosophila* Hox gene *Ultrabithorax* (*Ubx*). *Ubx* produces a family of six protein isoforms through alternative splicing. To investigate the functional specificity of the *Ubx* isoforms, we studied their role during the formation of the *Drosophila* halteres, small dorsal appendages that are essential for normal flight. Our work shows that isoform Ia, which is encoded by all *Ubx* exons, is more efficient than isoform IVa, which lacks the amino acids coded by two small exons, in controlling haltere development and regulating *Ubx* downstream targets. However, our experiments also demonstrate that the functional differences among the *Ubx* isoforms can be compensated for by increasing the expression levels of the less efficient form. The analysis of the DNA-binding profiles of *Ubx* isoforms to a natural target, *spalt*, shows no major differences in isoform DNA-binding activities, suggesting that alternative splicing might primarily affect the regulatory capacity of the isoforms rather than their DNA-binding patterns. Our results suggest that to obtain distinct functional outputs during normal development genes must integrate the generation of qualitative differences by alternative splicing to quantitative processes affecting isoform protein expression levels.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Just over three decades ago, the discovery of splicing brought a revolution to molecular biology (Gilbert, 1978). It was then realized that alternative splicing could provide a higher coding capacity to a single gene by generating distinct proteins (Black, 2003). Although it is known that at least 43% of the genes of *Drosophila melanogaster*, and about two-thirds of mouse and human genes, encode alternatively spliced mRNA sequences (Johnson et al., 2003; Stole et al., 2004; Park and Gravely, 2007; Ben-Dov et al., 2008), the functional relevance of the majority of alternative splicing events remains to be established.

In *Drosophila*, an extreme case of diversity obtained through alternative splicing is observed in the *Dscam* gene, which may potentially code for more than 38,000 splicing variants (Graveley, 2005). Alternative splicing in the *double sex* gene provides a clear example of functional divergence of protein isoforms, as it determines the specific development of males or females (Schütt and Nöthiger, 2000). Within the Hox genes, which encode homeodomain-containing transcription factors that specify structures along the anteroposterior axis of bilaterians (Pearson et al., 2005; Foronda et al., 2009) there are several examples of alternative splicing in mouse, human and *Drosophila* (Mlodzik et al., 1988; Bermingham and Scott, 1988; Celniker et al., 1989; Zavortink and Sakonju, 1989; deLorenzi and Bienz, 1990; Shen et al., 1991; Cribbs et al., 1992; Sham et al., 1992; Fujimoto et al., 1998; Patel et al., 1999). However, the relevance of alternative splicing for Hox gene function has been little explored – except, perhaps, in the *Drosophila* Hox gene *Ultrabithorax* (*Ubx*).

The *Drosophila* *Ubx* gene specifies the development of adult derivatives such as the third leg, the haltere and the first abdominal segment (Lewis, 1963; Morata and Kerridge, 1981). Wings and halteres are serially homologous, and different, thoracic dorsal appendages. *Ubx* is expressed in the haltere discs but not in the wing discs – the primordia of halteres and wings, respectively (White and Wilcox, 1984; Beachy et al., 1985). Mutations that eliminate *Ubx* expression transform halteres into wings, whereas ectopic expression of *Ubx* transforms wings into halteres (Lewis 1963; Lewis, 1978; Cabrera et al., 1985; White and Wilcox, 1985; and Akam, 1985). *Ubx* mutations that slightly change either *Ubx* expression pattern or levels in the haltere disc lead to milder, yet easily visible, transformations of haltere, revealing a highly sensitive response to the levels of *Ubx* input. Several specific targets regulated by *Ubx* in the haltere imaginal disc have been identified (Weatherbee et al., 1998; Mohit et al., 2006; Hersh et al., 2007) (T. Pavlopoulos and M.A., unpublished). Thus, the haltere system provides an excellent framework for the qualitative and quantitative assessment of Ubx function at both developmental and molecular levels.

*Ubx* alternative splicing leads to the formation of six different *Ubx* mRNAs according to the use of alternative splice donor sites at the start of the first exon added to the optional inclusion of two 51 bp microexons located between the 5’ and 3’ common exons (Fig. 1A). The resulting *Ubx* proteins are named I, II or IV depending on whether they are encoded by: all the exons (I); the 5’ exon, the second microexon, and the 3’ exon (II); or just the 5’ and 3’ exons
(IV). Each Ubx protein variant (i.e. I, II or IV) is named as ‘a’ or ‘b’ depending on: (a) the lack of, or (b) the inclusion of a small nine amino acid segment encoded between the two donor splicing sites at the 3’ end of the first exon (O’Connor et al., 1988; Kornfeld et al., 1989) (Fig. 1A). Previous studies have revealed that each isoform has distinct expression in the embryo, that class ‘b’ isoforms are much less abundant than class ‘a’ proteins, and that isoform Ia is the predominant one in most stages (O’Connor et al., 1988; Kornfeld et al., 1989; López and Hogness, 1991; Artero et al., 1992; Bonmze and López, 1994; López et al., 1996).

The extent to which the different isoforms possess different functions remains unclear. A pioneer analysis was done with the Ubx<sup>MX17</sup> mutation, an inversion with breakpoints to the left and right of the second microexon, and which forms only isoforms IVA and IVB (Busturia et al., 1990; Subramaniam et al., 1994). Homozygous Ubx<sup>MX17</sup> adults present small changes in phenotype, most obviously a partial transformation of haltere to wing. This effect, however, was attributed to a reduction in Ubx protein expression in the haltere disc and not to changes in the distribution of the different Ubx proteins, thus suggesting all Ubx isoforms are functionally equivalent (Busturia et al., 1990).

Other experiments, however, showed that changes in the activities of the Ia and IVA isoforms affected the peripheral nervous system (Mann and Hogness, 1990; Subramaniam et al., 1994) or the Keilin’s organs, particular sensory structures (Gebelein et al., 2002), differently. Further work on the Ubx<sup>MX17</sup> mutants showed defects in flight and behaviour (Subramaniam et al., 1994). Besides, no reduction in expression was observed in haltere discs of the mutant larvae, suggesting functional differences between Ubx isoforms (Subramaniam et al., 1994). Regardless of this evidence, and perhaps because of the discrepancies between the different studies, most recent work has assumed that alternative splicing is irrelevant to Ubx function, and accordingly, a single Ubx isoform (i.e. Ubxla) is normally considered to be representative of the function of all Ubx proteins.

Here we re-examine the role of alternative splicing on Ubx function, focusing on haltere development. Our experimental design has allowed us to assess, with high sensitivity, the abilities of the different Ubx isoforms to control haltere development or the regulation of target genes in haltere discs, and to compare these results with those obtained in Ubx<sup>MX17</sup> mutants. Finally, we test the DNA-binding profiles of different Ubx isoforms to one of these molecular targets, the gene spalt. We find that Ubx isoform Ia is not able to specify the normal development of halteres or to regulate Ubx target genes as efficiently as isoform Ia when expressed at comparable levels in the haltere disc. However, higher levels of isoform Ia can compensate for its lower activity and form normal halteres. The differential activity of Ia and IVa levels of isoform IVa can compensate for its lower activity and expressed at comparable levels in the haltere disc. However, higher levels of isoform IVa can improve their function if levels of expression are increased. We suggest that developmental genes must coordinate the formation of different proteins by alternative splicing with the regulation of their levels of expression in different tissues.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Genetics**

We used the following stocks: Ubx-Gal4<sup>LDN</sup> (de Navas et al., 2006), scalloped-Gal4 (Garault et al., 2008), C-765-Gal4 (Guillén et al., 1995), MS1096-Gal4 (Capdevila and Guerrero, 1994), UAS-Ubxla (Castelli-Gair et al., 1994), UAS-GFP (Drysdale et al., 2005), abx bx1 phr and Ubc<sup>1</sup> (Lewis, 1982). In most experiments we have used a recombinant Ubx-Gal4<sup>LDN</sup> UAS-<sup>y</sup> chromosome, and grown the larvae at 17°C (except those with the UAS-Iva<sup>2</sup> line, grown at 25°C or 29°C), to reduce the amount of Gal4 protein (normally in excess) that activates each UAS construct. The sal<sup>El</sup>/Pv enhancer was described previously (Barrio and de Celis, 2004).

**Immunostaining**

Immunostaining was performed according to standard protocols (Wolff, 2000). The antibodies used were mouse and rabbit anti-β-galactosidase (Cappell), mouse anti-Ubx (White and Wilcox, 1984), rabbit and rat anti-Sal (Barrio et al., 1999), mouse anti-Wg (Brook and Cohen, 1996), rat anti-Ara (Diez del Corral et al., 1999) and rat anti-Tub (YL2/2) (Serelect). The intensity of the fluorescence signal in the haltere pouch was measured with the Measure tool of the Meta Morph program (Universal Imaging Corporation) or the Measure tool of ImageJ. Larvae of the different genotypes were put in tiny baskets, and all the baskets placed together in a wide vial in which all the fixation, incubation with antibodies and staining were carried out, allowing the free movement of the liquids, so that discs of all the genotypes received the same conditions. We took three measurements in each of five different discs and measured the average fluorescence.

**RT-PCR studies**

DNA was isolated using illustra RNAspin Mini (GE Healthcare) from 50 haltere discs of either wild-type or Ubx<sup>MX17</sup> homozygous larvae. cDNA synthesis was performed with the M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) using the Ubx 3’A1 primer (5’-GGGCGTCAGATAATGATTCGT-3’), which hybridizes to nucleotides 78 to 98 bp downstream to the 3’ splice site of the 3’ exon. The cDNA obtained was amplified by PCR using the Ubx-551 (5’-GGAATGC-CATTTGACCATC-3’), which hybridizes to the Ubx 5’ exon at nucleotides –133 to –113 bp upstream to the ‘b’ 5’ splice site, and Ubx-3A1 primers. The analysis of the PCR product was made in 2% agarose gels.

**Construction of UAS-Ubx transgenic lines**

This has been previously described (Reed et al., 2010).

**Western blots**

Western blotting was performed as described (Ausubel et al., 2004). We used biotinylated anti-rat (1:10,000) and anti-mouse antibodies (1:200). Measurement of the signal was carried out with a GS-710 Calibrated Image Densitometer (BioRad) for the digitalization of the film and the Quantity One (BioRad) software for the analysis of the data.

**DNA-binding experiments**

A series of DNA elements derived from the sal cis-regulatory region were analysed by electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) (the sequence of each element is provided on request). In brief, double-stranded oligonucleotides (33-38mer) centred on Ubx binding sites sal<sub>3</sub> and sal<sub>5/6</sub> (Galant et al., 2002; Walsh and Carroll, 2007) bearing 5’ protruding T-overhangs (2/3x) were radioactively labelled with <sup>35</sup>P by end-filling with the Klenow fragment of the DNA polymerase I (New England Biolabs). Proteins were produced by in vitro translation using the TnT system (Promega, Madison, USA) in the presence of small amounts of <sup>35</sup>S-methionine (MP Biomedicals). Protein quality and size were examined in 12% PAGE gels, protein bands were quantified in a Typhoon Trio Scanner Unit (Amersham Biosciences), and values normalized according to the number of methionines in each isoform. EMSA reactions were carried out as previously described (Galant et al., 2002; Walsh and Carroll, 2007); matching amounts of Ubx proteins were incubated with <sup>35</sup>P-labelled DNA elements for 30 minutes at room temperature in a buffer system (4% Ficoll, 40 mM Hepes pH 7.8, 100 mM KCl, 0.5 mg/ml BSA, 2 mM DTT) in the presence of non-specific competitor p[dl(dC)] (Sigma). Total amount of lysate volume was kept constant across all reactions using un-programmed TnT reactions (without any template DNA). Protein-DNA binary complexes were resolved at 4°C in pre-run 5% native polyacrylamide gels; after electrophoresis, gels were fixed, dried and exposed to imaging plates (Molecular Dynamics) overnight. Images were collected as tiff files using ImageQuant software (Amersham Biosciences).
Ultrabithorax isoforms specificity

RESULTS

We analysed the abilities of the Ubx splicing isoforms (Fig. 1A) to specify haltere development by two methods: first, we combined the use of Ubx mutants and a specific Ubx-Gal4 driver line to examine Ubx functions during haltere development; second, we re-examined the phenotype and Ubx protein expression in Ubx\textsuperscript{MX17} mutant animals.

Rescue of the Ubx mutant phenotype by different Ubx isoforms

We first studied which Ubx isoforms are present in the wild-type haltere disc during late larval stages by RT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 1B, isoforms I and II are abundant in these discs, and a small amount of isoform IV can also be detected. This agrees with results showing that cDNAs encoding isoforms Ia and IV are much less abundant than other Ubx cDNAs in third instar larvae (O’Connor et al., 1988; Kornfeld et al., 1989). We cannot resolve whether isoforms I or II (a and b) are equally represented, but previous data indicate that the ‘a’ isoforms are much more abundant than the ‘b’ ones throughout development, whether the mutant phenotype was rescued. Because the ‘b’ forms are much less abundant than the ‘a’ ones throughout development, we concentrated on studying the ‘a’ variants. As shown in Fig. 1F,G, the expression of isoforms Ia and Ila in the mutant background rescues much of the mutant phenotype, reducing the size of the haltere and suppressing the development of marginal bristles and veins. We found, however, that a line that expresses the Ia protein (line UAS-Ia) only partially rescues the mutant phenotype (Fig. 1H): the size of the transformed haltere was much bigger than that of the wild type and there were marginal bristles and veins. Although the initial experiments with this line were done at 25°C, the rescue was not much better at 29°C (not shown). Similar results were observed when expressing these lines in the wing disc with \textit{scalloped-Gal4}, MS1096-Gal4 or C-765-Gal4 lines: the flies expressing the Ia protein variably transformed wings into halteres, even though the crosses are made at 17°C (Fig. 1I,L; data not shown), whereas adults expressing the Ia line only showed mild effects in wing development, even at 25°C (Fig. 1I,K; data not shown). We decided to study this line further and compare it with our standard Ia line (encoding the most abundant Ubx isoform).

UAS-y\textsuperscript{+}/abx bx\textsuperscript{+} pbx adults (we refer to this mutant combination as the Ubx mutant background, or UMB), show a transformation of the distal part of the haltere (the capitellum) into the wing (de Navas et al., 2006); halteres are bigger and bear bristles in their margins and veins in their surface (Fig. 1E, the wild-type haltere and wing are shown in Fig. 1D and 1C, respectively). As shown in Fig. 1B, this mutant combination does not alter the isoform distribution in haltere discs. We introduced different Ubx isoforms (UAS-Ubx constructs) into this mutant background and observed whether the mutant phenotype was rescued. Because the ‘b’ forms are much less abundant than the ‘a’ ones throughout development, we concentrated on studying the ‘a’ variants. As shown in Fig. 1F,G, the expression of isoforms Ia and Ila in the mutant background rescues much of the mutant phenotype, reducing the size of the haltere and suppressing the development of marginal bristles and veins. We found, however, that a line that expresses the Ia protein (line UAS-Ia) only partially rescued the mutant phenotype (Fig. 1H): the size of the transformed haltere was much bigger than that of the wild type and there were marginal bristles and vein tissue. Although the initial experiments with this line were done at 25°C, the rescue was not much better at 29°C (not shown). Similar results were observed when expressing these lines in the wing disc with \textit{scalloped-Gal4}, MS1096-Gal4 or C-765-Gal4 lines: the flies expressing the Ia protein variably transformed wings into halteres, even though the crosses are made at 17°C (Fig. 1I,L; data not shown), whereas adults expressing the Ia line only showed mild effects in wing development, even at 25°C (Fig. 1I,K; data not shown). We decided to study this line further and compare it with our standard Ia line (encoding the most abundant Ubx isoform).

Adult cuticle analysis

This was done as previously described (de Navas et al., 2006).
The UbxIVa isoform is not as efficient as the UbxIa isoform in promoting a wild-type haltere

The partial rescue of the haltere mutant phenotype obtained with the IVa2 line could be due to its expressing very low Ubx levels. To check this possibility we compared, by measuring fluorescent staining and in western blots, the levels of Ubx products in the haltere discs of the wild-type and Ubx mutant background larvae with those observed when different UAS-Ubx constructs were expressed in the same mutant background. In all the cases we used an anti-Ubx antibody that recognizes all Ubx proteins (White and Wilcox, 1984).

We examined the fluorescent staining levels of haltere pouches of the following genotypes: wild type, Ubx+/+, Ubx-Gal4\textsuperscript{UAS-y\textsuperscript{-}}/abx bx\textsuperscript{I} phx (UMB), Ubx-Gal4\textsuperscript{UAS-y\textsuperscript{-}}/abx bx\textsuperscript{I} phx UAS-UbxIa (SG1 line) (UAS-UbxIa/+; UMB) and UAS-UbxIVa2+/++; Ubx-Gal4\textsuperscript{UAS-y\textsuperscript{-}}/abx bx\textsuperscript{I} phx (UAS-UbxIVa2+/++; UMB; this cross was made at 29\(^\circ\)C) (Fig. 2A-E; the pattern driven by the Ubx-Gal4\textsuperscript{UAS-y\textsuperscript{-}} line is shown in Fig. 2F). Data showing fluorescence intensities in the pouches are summarized in Table 1. We found that the average intensity in the haltere pouch of UAS-UbxIVa2+/++; UMB larvae is 60.2±2.4% of the wild type, a figure not statistically significantly different (\(P<0.05\)) from that observed in Ubx+/+ larvae (66.6±2.3%); the latter percentage is higher than 50% due to Ubx negatively regulating its own transcription (Irvine et al., 1993; Garaulet et al., 2008; Crickmore et al., 2009). Measurements in western blots of haltere discs gave comparable results: 62±6.7% for the UAS-UbxIVa2+/++; UMB discs and 65.6±2.3% for the Ubx+/+ genotype (Fig. 2I and Table 1), the two measurements not being statistically significantly different (\(P=0.05\)). Therefore, the total amount of Ubx protein in the haltere pouch of UAS-UbxIVa2+/++; UMB or Ubx+/+ larvae did not differ much. However, the phenotype in the halteres of the corresponding adult flies was different: Ubx+/+ heterozygous flies only showed a slight enlargement of the capitellum and, occasionally, one or two bristles, whereas UAS-UbxIVa2+/++; UMB adults showed a partial transformation into wing (compare Fig. 2G,H). We note that in the western blots we compared the amount of protein in the whole disc, whereas our immunofluorescence measurements were concentrated in the pouch. However, the similar results obtained with the two methods support the conclusion that isoform IVa is not as efficient as isoform Ia in making a wild-type haltere when similar levels of expression are achieved.

Two results indicate, nevertheless, that isoform IVa is not completely unable to direct haltere development. First, when we expressed this protein at higher levels in the mutant background, by using the UAS-UbxIva1 or UAS-UbxIva33 lines (Fig. 3B, compare with a UAS-UbxIVa2+/++; UMB disc in Fig. 3A), the halteres were smaller than those of wild-type flies and slightly malformed, but showed no wing characteristics (Fig. 3D, arrow, compare with a UAS-UbxIVa2+/++; UMB haltere in Fig. 3C; data not shown); second, when we introduced two UAS-UbxIva2 insertions in our mutant background we obtained an almost complete rescue of the mutant phenotype (Fig. 3E). Our conclusion is supported by the results obtained when we used the UAS-UbxIva1 and UAS-UbxIva33 constructs to express the UbxIVa protein in the wing pouch with the MS\textit{I096-Gal4} or the \textit{scalloped-Gal4} lines at 17\(^\circ\)C; in these cases we obtained a transformation of wings into halteres (Fig. 3F,G, compare with Fig. 1K and 1I, respectively; data not shown).

In our experiments the Ia isoform rescued the Ubx mutant phenotype but at levels higher than those of the wild type or those obtained with the Iva2 line (see Table 1). To ascertain if lower levels of this protein in the mutant background were sufficient to make a normal haltere, we reduced the amount of UbxIVa protein by increasing the number of UAS ‘neutral’ constructs to titrate the Gal4 protein. In UAS-GFP/UAS-GFP; UAS-UbxIa/UMB haltere

Table 1. Relative abundance of Ubx protein in the haltere disc in different mutant backgrounds when compared with the wild type (100%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement in discs ((n=15))</th>
<th>Measurement in westerns ((n=3))</th>
<th>Isoform IVa in haltere disc(^a)</th>
<th>Transformation to wing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB</td>
<td>31.4±2.0%</td>
<td>35.5±0.5%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubx+/+</td>
<td>66.6±2.3%</td>
<td>65.6±2.3%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB Ia</td>
<td>151.0±3.9%</td>
<td>136.6±13.5%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB IVa</td>
<td>60.2±2.4%</td>
<td>62.0±6.7%</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubx\textit{MX17}</td>
<td>72.0±1.9%</td>
<td>76.3±9.6%</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2xUASGFP UMB Ia</td>
<td>55.2±2.4%</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Only high levels considered.

n.d., not determined.
The gene *wingless* (*wg*) is required for the formation of the wing margin and is expressed at the dorsoventral boundary of wing discs (Phillips and Whittle, 1993; Couso et al., 1994) (Fig. 4A). By contrast, it is only present in the anterior compartment of the haltere disc dorsoventral boundary (Weatherbee et al., 1998; Shashidara et al., 1999) (Fig. 4B, arrow). The *aracatan* (*ara*) gene is required for the development of vein L3 and associated sensilla campaniformia (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1996a). *ara* is expressed in two patches of anterior cells close to the anteroposterior boundary of the wing pouch (Fig. 4I, arrows) (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1996a; Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1996b), but it is not present in the haltere pouch (Fig. 4J). Finally, the gene *spalt* (*sal*) is needed for the positioning of veins L2 and L5 (reviewed in de Celis and Barrio, 2009) and is expressed in the central domain of the wing pouch (de Celis et al., 1996; Leuci et al., 1996; Nellen et al., 1996) (Fig. 4Q) but not in the haltere pouch (Weatherbee et al., 1998; Barrio et al., 1999) (Fig. 4R).

In *UbxMX17* haltere discs, the *wg*, *ara* or *sal* expression patterns remain as in the wild type (Fig. 4C,K,S), but they change to the wing pattern in the UMB haltere discs (Fig. 4D,L,T). The wild-type haltere pattern for any of these three genes is restored if the constructs express the Ia (Fig. 4F,N,V) or IVa (line IVa1) (Fig. 4G,O,W) proteins are introduced in the mutant background (except that the IVa1 construct also eliminates Wg expression in the anterior pouch), but not if we introduce the IVa2 line in the same mutant background (Fig. 4E,M,U). These results indicate that: (1) *wg*, *ara* and *sal* are repressed by just one dose of Ubx in the haltere pouch; (2) isoform Ia is able to repress the expression of these targets in the mutant background that transform haltres into wings; and (3) isoform IVa is able to achieve a similar repression only when expressed at high levels but not when present at comparable levels to those of *UbxMX17* haltere pouches.

These results are paralleled by those obtained when expressing different isoforms in the wing disc, where no endogenous protein is present. In *sd-Gal4*/*+*; UAS-UbxIVa2/*+* wing discs, the expression of Sal was only barely changed (Fig. 4Y), whereas expression of the Ia isoform under the same driver eliminated Sal expression (Fig. 4Z).

**A functional analysis of the *UbxMX17* mutation in haltere development**

The *UbxMX17* mutation is an inversion between the second and third introns of the *Ubx* gene that prevents the correct splicing between microexons I and II so that only isoforms IVa and IVb are made in *UbxMX17* homozygotes (Fig. 5A,B) (Busturia et al., 1990; Subramaniam et al., 1994). The distribution of the IVa (and IVb) proteins in *UbxMX17* embryos follows that of the compound wild-type Ubx pattern, and not the particular one of the IV isoforms in the wild type (Busturia et al., 1990; Subramaniam et al., 1994). Similarly, the expression of the IV variants in *UbxMX17* third leg and haltere discs (Fig. 5E,F) closely resembled the Ubx wild-type pattern (Fig. 5C,D), although the levels of expression were slightly reduced (Table 1).

*UbxMX17* homozygous haltres are partially transformed into wings (Busturia et al., 1990) (Fig. 5H, compare with the wild type in Fig. 5G) and the number of bristles in the first abdominal segment is reduced compared with that of the wild type (Fig. 5J, compare with Fig. 5I) (Busturia et al., 1990). We have also found small changes in the pattern of the metathoracic legs: in 83% of the mutant legs (*n=24*) there was a small apical bristle in the anterior compartment (Fig. 5K, arrow), similar to that present in the wild-type mesothoracic leg (Fig. 5L, arrow) but absent in the wild-type leg.

**Isoform IVa does not efficiently suppress Ubx targets**

To explore in more detail the different ability of the IVa2 and Ia lines in rescuing the *Ubx* mutant phenotype, we compared the expression of *Ubx* targets in the haltere pouches of wild type and different mutant combinations.

**Fig. 3.** Correlation between the amount of UbxIVa and UbxIa proteins and phenotypic rescue. (A,C) Haltere imaginal disc (A) and haltere (C) of a UAS-UbxIVa2/+; UMB larva (A) or adult (C). (B,D) Haltere imaginal disc (B) and haltere (D) of a UAS-UbxIVa33/+; UMB larva (B) or adult (D). Note the reduced size of the haltere in D (arrow; the haltere is also of abnormal shape) compared with that of C, which correlates with the much higher Ubx protein expression (B). (E) Halteres of a UAS-UbxIVa2/UAS-UbxIa2; UMB fly, showing an almost complete rescue of the mutant phenotype (arrow). (F) MS1096-Gal4/+, UAS-UbxIVa33/+ female, showing a partial transformation of wing into haltere. A similar result is seen when expressing the Iva1 line (not shown). (G) sd-Gal4/+, UAS-UbxIVa1/+, female, in which the wing (arrow) is transformed into a haltere. A similar result is observed with the Iva33 line (not shown). (H) UAS-GFP/UAS-GFP; UAS-Ubxla UMB fly. An almost wild-type haltere develops (arrow).
To characterize in more detail the different activities of the Ia and IVa proteins, we selected the sal gene. Ubx directly regulates sal in the haltere disc, as functional Ubx-binding sites are present in the sal regulatory region (Galant et al., 2002; Walsh and Carroll, 2007). An EcoRI/PvuII 1085 bp fragment from this region, named sal/E/Pv (see Fig. S1A in the supplementary material), reproducibly expressed sal expression in the wing pouch when fused to a lacZ reporter gene (Barrio and de Celis, 2004) (see Fig. S1B in the supplementary material; compare with Fig. 4Q). This fragment is similar in size and location to the 1.1 kb fragment previously characterized (Galant et al., 2002). The expression of this reporter mimicked the endogenous sal expression in the haltere pouches of wild type, UbxIVa2+/+, UMB, UAS-UbxIVa2 and UMB UAS-UbxIVa1 larvae (see Fig. S1C-G in the supplementary material; compare with Fig. 4R-V).

**Binding of UbxIa and UbxIVa proteins to sal regulatory sequences**

The preceding results indicate that Ubx isoforms Ia and IVa differentially regulate Ubx targets and show different abilities to rescue a Ubx mutant phenotype when expressed at similar levels. In a molecular framework, these different abilities could be the result of: (1) differential binding profiles of the Ubx proteins to DNA-binding sites present in the cis-regulatory sequences of Ubx target genes; (2) distinct regulatory capabilities of the Ubx proteins independently of their binding profiles; or (3) a combination of both. To investigate this issue, we measured the abilities of Ubx isoforms Ia and IVa to form DNA-protein complexes on Ubx-binding sites present in the sal regulatory region contained in the sal/E/Pv fragment (named salI.1 in Galant et al., 2002) and Walsh and Carroll (Walsh and Carroll, 2007)). To this end, in vitro translated Ubx proteins were resolved by PAGE, quantified (see Materials and methods) and used in EMSA applied to the sal3, sal4 and sal5/6 DNA elements (Galant et al., 2002) (Fig. 6A).

Our results indicate that UbxIa and UbxIVa proteins possess very similar DNA-binding abilities to sal4 and sal5/6 DNA elements (Fig. 6B), forming binary DNA-protein complexes in comparable proportions in the presence of excess DNA target. By contrast, isoform UbxIa seems to bind the sal3 element in a slightly more stable manner than UbxIVa (assayed with proteins at equal molar proportions) (Fig. 6B). Mutation of Ubx-binding sites leads to a significant binding of either Ia or IVa proteins (Fig. 6B), showing that these proteins bind specifically to these sites (see Galant et al., 2002).
DISCUSSION

Although the prevalence of alternative splicing in metazoans is indisputable, the functional relevance of most alternative splicing events is still unknown (Bienewes, 2006; Hartmann and Valcárcel, 2009). Here we examined the impact of alternative splicing on the functions of the Drosophila Hox gene Ubx.

Specificity of Ubx isoforms, levels of Ubx protein and morphology

Previous experiments resulted in conflicting views regarding specificity of Ubx isoforms: some claim that UbxIa and UbxIVA perform different roles in some contexts (Mann and Hogness, 1990; Subramaniam et al., 1994; Gebelein et al., 2002; Reed et al., 2010) whereas in the original work describing the UbxMX17 mutation it was concluded that the activity of all Ubx isoforms was basically the same (Busturia et al., 1990). However, some of these studies did not take into account the amount of Ubx protein obtained in each experiment. Furthermore it has been recently demonstrated that relatively small differences in the amount of Ubx protein cause major changes in development (Tour et al., 2005).

Our study has re-examined this controversy, focusing on the development of the haltere, and measuring the amount of Ubx protein in different mutant combinations. We have not found a major difference in Ubx protein staining in UbxMX17 haltere (or third leg) discs, which would account for the mutant phenotype (Busturia et al., 1990). By contrast, the analysis of UbxMX17 animals, and of flies expressing different isoforms in a Ubx mutant background, suggests that isoform UbxIVA is not able to form a normal haltere at the levels that the Ubx isoform Ia does, suggesting different activity and helping to explain the UbxMX17 mutant phenotype. Although we have based some of these conclusions on experiments expressing different isoforms in a non-null Ubx mutant background, which allowed the precise comparison of Ubx protein levels in the haltere disc, we have also obtained similar results when expressing different isoforms in the wing disc, where no Ubx is present, except in the peripodial membrane.

We have also found that if a higher amount of the Iva protein is achieved (using the Iva33 or Iva1 insertions, or with two doses of the Iva2 construct) the transformation of haltere into wing in the mutant background is suppressed. Accordingly, some Ubx targets (wg, sal, ara), silenced in the wild-type haltere pouch, are derepressed when the UbxIVA isoform is expressed at low levels in the mutant background, but suppressed if the levels are high. Therefore, higher levels of expression can compensate for the functional differences of different Ubx isoforms caused by alternative splicing. During normal development, genes must integrate the generation of qualitative differences by alternative splicing with the regulation of the levels of expression of each isoform; the molecular coupling of transcriptional regulation to alternative splicing via modulations in transcriptional elongation rate may provide a mechanism to achieve this molecular coordination during gene expression (Cramer et al., 1997; de la Mata et al., 2003).

Binding efficiency and activity of Ubx proteins

Most Hox proteins include a hexapeptide motif that is required to interact with the extradenticle protein, the main Hox protein cofactor in Drosophila (Mann and Chan, 1996). The Ia and Iva proteins differ in the length of a region located between the hexapeptide motif and the homeodomain (the linker region, longer in the Ia variant). It has been shown that this linker region is necessary for Ubx repression of embryonic Dll but not for the binding of Ubx to the relevant Dll binding region, and that the UbxIa and UbxIVA proteins have different transcriptional regulatory properties (Gebelein et al., 2002). Similarly, we have shown that the Ia and Iva proteins do not show major differences in binding in vitro to a relevant region of the sal regulatory domain (Galant et al., 2002) but do not repress the sal gene with equal...
sites are mutated, neither UbxIa nor UbxIVa proteins bind significantly to the control reactions lacking Ubx proteins (empty triangles). When binding probes are shifted in the presence of Ubx proteins producing protein-sal5/6.

The binding abilities of Ubx proteins UbxIa and UbxIVa to the wild-type sal elements sal3, sal4 and sal5/6, as well as to the mutated version of sal5/6 sites. In the conditions of this experiment, all three labelled probes are shifted in the presence of Ubx proteins producing protein-DNA complexes; of these, some appear to form in a similar manner with either UbxIa or UbxIVa proteins (grey arrowheads), whereas others seem to be more stably formed with UbxIa (black arrowhead, sal3 element). We also detect a series of nonspecific products also present in control reactions lacking Ubx proteins (empty triangles). When binding sites are mutated, neither UbxIa nor UbxIVa proteins bind significantly to the DNA (see also Galant et al., 2002).

The repression of Dll by Ubx, however, requires the cofactors Extrardenticle (Exd) and Homothorax (Hth) (Gebelein et al., 2002). By contrast, Exd is cytoplasmic and inactive in the haltere pouch (González-Crespo and Morata, 1995; Aspland and White, 1997) and Hth is not expressed and probably not required in the haltere pouch (González-Crespo and Morata, 1995; Aspland and White, 2002). By contrast, Exd is cytoplasmic and inactive in the haltere disc, as well as others needed to repress wing development and promote haltere development, are more efficiently regulated by isoform Ia than IVa, but if the levels of the latter are increased, a similar regulation is achieved; and (3) the expression of dpp in the posterior visceral mesoderm (Reed et al., 2010) or of targets needed to specify the segmental pattern in the embryonic peripheral nervous system (Mann and Hogness, 1990; Subramaniam et al., 1994), are differentially controlled by proteins Ia and IVa, independently of their levels of expression.

Other Ubx targets can be assigned to one of these three classes with less certainty. Thus, Dll is repressed in the embryo by the Ia protein, and not by the IVa isoform (Gebelein et al., 2002), and may be included in the second group. Although Dll expression in UbxMX17 animals, or in embryos with high levels of the IVa isoform, has not been detailed, high levels of this protein repress the formation of Keilin’s organs, which is driven by Dll expression (Mann and Hogness, 1990). We note that UbxMX17 adults have abnormalities in metathoracic legs and the A1 (Busturia et al., 1990; and this report), in the development of A1 and A2 larval abdominal muscles (Reed et al., 2010) and are not as healthy as wild-type flies (Subramaniam et al., 1994), suggesting that isoform IVa may be less efficient than isoform Ia in controlling many Ubx targets, not just those making a haltere. In conclusion, we think that the particular architecture of cis-regulatory regions in each Ubx target may account for the inclusion of each gene in one of these three categories, so a specific research may be needed for each case.

Our studies also point to the importance of measuring protein levels when trying to correlate isoform activity and morphology. Several studies in different systems have also shown that the different levels of Ubx (Tour et al., 2005) or other Hox proteins may also end up in distinct phenotypes (Cribbs et al., 1995; Greer et al., 2000; Trvdik and Capecchi, 2006). In sum, we conclude that alternative splicing modulates Ubx function during Drosophila adult development, providing a good example of the functional relevance of alternative splicing events and protein level control within the physiological context of animal development.
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