INTRODUCTION

During development of the central nervous system, cell cycle lengthening accompanies the transition from stem cell-like to neurogenic divisions, and this is subsequently followed by differentiation (Lange and Calegari, 2010; Miyata et al., 2010). However, recent experiments show that lengthening (but not necessarily arresting) the cell cycle alone by perturbation of the core cell cycle machinery is sufficient to trigger neuronal differentiation, whereas shortening the cell cycle inhibits neurogenesis (Lange et al., 2009). These findings indicate that cell cycle length is itself a regulator of the balance between progenitor maintenance and differentiation, although the mechanism for this regulation is unknown.

The proneural basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor Neurogenin 2 (Ngn2, or Neurog2) is required for development of cranial and spinal sensory ganglia, as well as for the ventral spinal cord (Bertrand et al., 2002). Moreover, in the embryonic cortex, Ngn2 is required for neuronal commitment and inhibition of the astrocytic fate of progenitors, as well as for the specification of glutamatergic neurotransmitter identity and the migration of cortical neurons (Hand et al., 2005; Vosper et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2008). For example, phosphorylation of tyrosine 241 in Ngn2 is important for the transition from progenitor maintenance to differentiation (Lange et al., 2010). Ngn2 protein level is regulated by ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, and the rate of proteolysis is sensitive to cell cycle stage; *Xenopus* Ngn2 [xNgn2, also known as XNgnR1 (Nieber et al., 2009)], the frog homologue of mammalian Ngn2 (Ma et al., 1996; Nieber et al., 2009), has a shorter half-life in mitosis than in interphase (Vosper et al., 2009). Accumulation of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (cdkis), which occurs upon cell cycle lengthening and exit, promotes neurogenesis mediated by Ngn2 (Vernon et al., 2003). Surprisingly, this particular effect of cdkis is independent of their ability to inhibit cdk kinase activity, but instead results from an independent role for cdkis in stabilising the Ngn2 protein (Vernon et al., 2003; Nguyen et al., 2006). In addition, it is also possible that Ngn2 protein undergoes other post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation, that might be used to coordinate its activity with cell cycle progression.
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SUMMARY

During development of the central nervous system, the transition from progenitor maintenance to differentiation is directly triggered by a lengthening of the cell cycle that occurs as development progresses. However, the mechanistic basis of this regulation is unknown. The proneural transcription factor Neurogenin 2 (Ngn2) acts as a master regulator of neuronal differentiation. Here, we demonstrate that Ngn2 is phosphorylated on multiple serine-proline sites in response to rising cyclin-dependent kinase (cdk) levels. This multi-site phosphorylation results in quantitative inhibition of the ability of Ngn2 to induce neurogenesis in vivo and in vitro. Mechanistically, multi-site phosphorylation inhibits binding of Ngn2 to E box DNA, and inhibition of DNA binding depends on the number of phosphorylation sites available, quantitatively controlling promoter occupancy in a rheostat-like manner. Neuronal differentiation driven by a mutant of Ngn2 that cannot be phosphorylated by cdks is no longer inhibited by elevated cdk kinase levels. Additionally, phosphomutant Ngn2-driven neuronal differentiation shows a reduced requirement for the presence of cdk inhibitors. From these results, we propose a model whereby multi-site cdk-dependent phosphorylation of Ngn2 interprets cdk levels to control neuronal differentiation in response to cell cycle lengthening during development.
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(Richard-Parpaillon et al., 2004), indicating that cell cycle control does not occur at the level of Ngn2 transcription. Instead, cell cycle-dependent post-translational regulation of Ngn2 protein has the potential to directly regulate the ability of Ngn2 to drive neuronal differentiation. Here, we demonstrate how multi-site post-translational modification of Ngn2 is used as a sensor of cdk kinase levels to balance progenitor maintenance and differentiation, in coordination with cell cycle length.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Xenopus laevis extracts and embryos
Acquisition of Xenopus laevis eggs and embryos, preparation and injection of synthetic mRNA and DNA morpholinos, staging of embryos, in situ hybridisation and egg extract in vitro translation were performed as described previously (Vernon et al., 2003; Vosper et al., 2007; Vosper et al., 2009).

In vitro translated (IVT) protein synthesis and assay
Phosphorylation assays in Xenopus extracts were performed as for degradation assays, except that extract was supplemented with 0.2 mM MG132 (Biomol) and samples were incubated for 45 minutes unless otherwise indicated. Where indicated, extracts were supplemented with the GSK3 inhibitor (2′,3′)-6-bromoindirubin-3′-oxime (BIO) (Sigma) at 100 nM or with roscovitine (VWR) at 50 mM. Where indicated, following the 45 minute incubation in extract, 400 units λ-phosphatase (NEB) were incubated with the sample at 30°C for 30 minutes.

Cell culture, transfection, immunocytochemistry and western blotting
Mouse P19 cells were cultured in α-MEM (Gibco) with 7.5% newborn calf serum and 2.5% fetal bovine serum (HyClone), 1% Glutamax (Gibco), and 100 units/ml penicillin/100 µg/ml streptomycin (Sigma). Cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), and extracts western blotting with anti-HA-peroxidase (3F10; Roche) or anti-c-Myc (9E10; Santa-Cruz). Where indicated, cells were treated with either 5-25 μM roscovitine or 400 units λ-phosphatase. P19 cells plated on poly-D-lysine and laminin (Sigma) and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 15 minutes were blotted with anti-HA-peroxidase (3F10; Roche) or anti-IgG (Abcam) (as a control) were used per ChIP reaction and in situ hybridisation experiments were performed in three independent experiments. Immunostaining, western blotting and in situ hybridisation experiments were performed in three independent experiments and representative results are shown.

Somatic cell extract preparation for Ngn2 phosphorylation assay
Somatic cell extracts were prepared as described previously (Deibler and Kirschner, 2010).

RESULTS

Neurogenin 2 phosphorylation is regulated during the cell cycle
Ngn2 phosphorylation has previously been demonstrated on tyrosine and GSK3β consensus sites (Hand et al., 2005; Vosper et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2008), but cell cycle-dependent phosphorylation has not been investigated. We have previously observed that Ngn2 protein can be phosphorylated in Xenopus egg extract, which provides a complex biochemical environment that contains stockpiles of many of the kinases and phosphatases required for cell cycle transitions and early embryonic...
development, and results in slowed migration of Ngn2 in SDS-PAGE (Vosper et al., 2007). We hypothesised that this phosphorylation might be regulated by the cell cycle.

We compared SDS-PAGE migration of in vitro translated (IVT) [35S]methionine-labelled Xenopus Ngn2 (xNgn2) in interphase egg extract (I) or in extract driven into mitosis (M) by addition of non-degradable cyclin B A90 (King et al., 1996). IVT xNgn2 runs at ~28 kDa by SDS-PAGE, and its migration is slowed slightly after incubation in I extract (Fig. 1A), and more dramatically after incubation in M extract, resulting in the appearance of one or more forms of Ngn2 running up to 8 kDa ‘larger’ (Fig. 1A, see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). Retardation was reversed by incubation with λ-phosphatase (data not shown).

Although predictions of consensus sequences that contribute to kinase recognition are imprecise (Errico et al., 2010), several prominent kinases potentially present in the egg extract, such as cdks, MAP kinases and GSK3β, preferentially phosphorylate on serine or threonine residues followed by a proline (SP or TP sites) (Ubersax and Ferrell, 2007). xNgn2 contains nine SP and one TP site. To prevent phosphorylation on SP sites, a mutant form of xNgn2, 9S-A xNgn2, in which all SP sites are mutated to alanine-proline (S-A mutant) was generated. 9S-A xNgn2 ran similarly to the IVT protein after incubation in I or M extracts (Fig. 1A), indicating that the phosphorylation seen for the wild-type protein does not occur on 9S-A xNgn2. We did not detect significant phosphorylation of the TP site by SDS-PAGE migration (data not shown), and did not consider modification of this site further.

Mouse Ngn2 (mNgn2) can drive neuronal differentiation of mouse embryonal carcinoma P19 cells when overexpressed (Farah et al., 2000), and also contains nine SP sites. However, only the position of the two most C-terminal GSK3β consensus sites, which have previously been shown to be phosphorylated in spinal cord (Ma et al., 2008), is conserved with xNgn2. After transfection into P19 cells, at least two phosphoforms of mNgn2 were seen, as evidenced by migration in SDS-PAGE and phosphatase treatment, whereas 9S-A mNgn2 ran as a single, faster migrating band (Fig. 1B). Further analysis of Ngn2 mutants in which SP sites in the N- and C-termini have been separately mutated shows that xNgn2 and mNgn2 are both phosphorylated on multiple sites in both the N- and C-terminal domains (Fig. 1B, see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). Analysis of phosphorylation of 2S-A Ngn2 (which lacks the potential GSK3β sites) and 7S-A Ngn2 (in which only the two potential GSK3β SP sites are present in an otherwise S-A background) indicates that phosphorylation does not predominantly occur on these GSK3β SP sites (Fig. 1B).

To determine the phosphorylation status of Ngn2 expressed from its endogenous locus, we investigated the migration of a myc-tagged form of mNgn2 in embryonic brain from a mouse line in which myc-mNgn2 is inserted in the place of endogenous mNgn2 (Hand et al., 2005). Western blotting demonstrated that mNgn2 is phosphorylated in the developing mouse brain (Fig. 1C).

### Ngn2 is phosphorylated on multiple sites by cyclin-dependent kinases

Cdks drive cell cycle progression and are known to target SP (and TP) sites (Errico et al., 2010). We postulated that Ngn2 might be a direct cdk substrate. Addition of roscovitine, a chemical cdk inhibitor with marked specificity for cdks alone (Bain et al., 2007), results in a dose-dependent reduction of the slower migrating form of mNgn2 expressed in P19 cells (Fig. 2A). Roscovitine also reversed the reduction in mobility seen when xNgn2 was incubated in neurula stage Xenopus embryo extracts (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material).

To quantitatively analyse the sensitivity of Ngn2 to increasing cdk activity, we turned to an in vitro human HeLa cell extract system that exhibits quantitative cyclin dose-dependent effects on substrate phosphorylation (Deibler and Kirschner, 2010), as evidenced by slowed migration in SDS-PAGE, and recapitulates cell cycle-relevant transitions. From entry into S phase through prometaphase, cyclin A concentration increases in the cell, and this can be reproduced by increasing the dose of cyclin in the cell extract (Deibler and Kirschner, 2010). mNgn2 is highly sensitive to cyclin A-dependent kinase, and phosphorylation is evident when only 12.5 nM cyclin A is added (Fig. 2B). As the cyclin A
concentration rises, at least two or three increasingly retarded mNgn2 forms appear (Fig. 2B), indicating that mNgn2 is phosphorylated on multiple sites. That slower migrating phosphoforms require higher cyclin A concentrations demonstrates that there is no single threshold at which multiple sites are phosphorylated, but rather that the extent of phosphorylation is sensitive to the level of kinase activity. Above 100 nM cyclin A, when cyclin A-dependent kinase levels are saturating, the fastest migrating mNgn2 band is lost, indicating that all Ngn2 is essentially phosphorylated. These results demonstrate that mNgn2, together with Wee1, is one of the most sensitive substrates of cyclin A-dependent kinase currently known (Deibler and Kirschner, 2010), although in contrast to Wee1, as cyclin A levels rise mNgn2 becomes further phosphorylated on additional sites.

The addition of cyclin B to these G2 phase HeLa extracts leads to triphasic cdk kinase activation: a first phase in which cdk kinase activity increases in proportion to cyclin B up to ~100 nM, followed by a plateau of kinase activity between 125-400 nM, and finally a further increase to saturation above 400 nM (Deibler and Kirschner, 2010). mNgn2 is also highly sensitive to cyclin B-dependent kinase (Fig. 2B), showing phosphorylation at the lowest concentration of cyclin B assayed, 31 nM, with maximal phosphorylation at additional sites achieved around the plateau stage from 125 nM cyclin B upwards, indicating dose-dependent sensitivity to cdk kinase levels.

Ngn2 phosphorylation is rapid. After addition of cyclins A or B, histone H1 kinase levels become maximal after a 16-minute lag required for cdk kinase activation. When mNgn2 was added to these extracts, initial phosphorylation at some sites occurred within 20 minutes (Fig. 2C), but additional phosphorylations took longer, indicating that some sites are phosphorylated before others and that phosphorylation continues over a considerable period. We see greater phosphorylation in these mitosis-like extracts than in P19 lysates from asynchronous P19 cells representing all cell cycle stages, where interphase will predominate (Fig. 1). Immunodepletion of specific cdks from HeLa extracts indicates that Ngn2 is a substrate of both cdk1 and cdk2 (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material).

**Phosphorylation of Ngn2 reduces its ability to induce neuronal differentiation**

Ngn2 can be phosphorylated on multiple sites by cdks. What is the effect of phosphorylation on Ngn2 activity in the developing embryo? To distinguish effects of post-translational modification from fluctuating levels of Ngn2 transcripts, which vary from cell to cell and as development progresses (Ma et al., 1996; Bertrand et al., 2002; Shimojo et al., 2008), we chose to express xNgn2 from microinjected mRNA in order to allow us to control the level of xNgn2 expression in vivo in Xenopus embryos that are at the correct developmental stage to provide the physiological response of primary neuron differentiation (Ma et al., 1996).

Injection of 5 pg xNgn2 mRNA results in a small increase in neurogenesis within the neural plate in individual embryos, but little ectopic neurogenesis outside the neural plate, indicating a similar level of expression to endogenous xNgn2 mRNA. Injection of 5 pg 9S-A xNgn2 mRNA, by contrast, induces both a significant increase in neurogenesis in the neural plate and is sufficient to change the fate of epidermis to differentiated neurons (Fig. 3A) (Ma et al., 1996). At 20 pg mRNA, xNgn2 was still only able to induce a modest increase in neurogenesis, although now neurons were present outside the neural plate, whereas the same amount of 9S-A xNgn2 mRNA induced very extensive neurogenesis (Fig. 3A).

Quantitation of neural β-tubulin levels in these neural plate stage embryos by qPCR demonstrates that 9S-A xNgn2 is ~7-fold more active than wild-type xNgn2 when expressed at near physiological levels in Xenopus embryos (Fig. 3B).

Testing of seven mutants, in which individual SP sites had been reintroduced into a phosphomutant xNgn2 background, demonstrated a similar level of activity of each to 9S-A xNgn2 (see Fig. S5 in the supplementary material), indicating that no individual SP site is responsible for substantially limiting Ngn2 activity, but instead that phosphorylation at a combination of sites might be required to limit the ability of Ngn2 to induce neurogenesis.

Mutation of SP sites also promotes Ngn2-driven neurogenesis in P19 cells. Significantly more extensive neurogenesis was seen in P19 cells expressing 9S-A mNgn2 than in cells expressing wild-type mNgn2, as measured by TuJ1 staining (Fig. 3C) and confirmed by qPCR analysis (Fig. 3D). Analysis of mutants indicated that potential phosphorylation on the GSK3β sites might contribute to phosphoregulation of Ngn2 activity to a small extent, but that phosphorylation of other sites accounts for the majority of the inhibition of Ngn2-dependent neuronal differentiation (see Fig. S6 in the supplementary material).

**Mechanism of regulation of Ngn2 activity by phosphorylation**

The transcriptional activation of promoters of genes promoting differentiation may require many cycles of productive transcription factor binding and greater promoter occupancy to bring about progressive chromatin modification, ultimately resulting in an active transcribable state (Koyano-Nakagawa et al., 1999; Hager et al., 2009; Michel, 2009). Ngn2 must bind and activate the promoters of key target genes, such as NeuroD, to drive neuronal differentiation. Hence, we reasoned that phosphorylation might affect Ngn2 half-life or its ability to stably bind to promoter DNA, either of which could affect the duration and/or productivity of the interaction between Ngn2 and target promoters driving differentiation.

We first investigated whether phosphorylation status affects Ngn2 protein stability. Ngn2 has a short half-life of ~20 minutes in Xenopus and P19 cells, which is enhanced by binding to its heterodimeric E protein partner (Vosper et al., 2007). We determined whether phosphorylation directly affects Ngn2 half-life by incubating IVT xNgn2 or 9S-A xNgn2 in I and M extract. The half-life of 9S-A xNgn2 was similar to that of xNgn2 in both I and M (Fig. 4A) and in neurula stage Xenopus embryo extracts (data not shown). Addition of E12 protein stabilised xNgn2 and 9S-A xNgn2 in both I and M extracts (Fig. 4A). However, in M extract, where cdk-dependent phosphorylation is maximal, E12 stabilised 9S-A xNgn2 to a greater extent than it stabilised the wild-type protein. Hence, the phosphorylation status of Ngn2 affects its ability to be stabilised by E protein binding; un(der)phosphorylated, and therefore more stable, Ngn2-E protein complexes might be expected to show greater promoter occupancy than the phosphorylated form of the protein.

To test this we investigated whether phosphorylation affects Ngn2 binding to the NeuroD (NeuroD1) and Delta (DII1) promoters, which are direct downstream targets of Ngn2, by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) in P19 cells, 24 hours post-transfection. As described above, phosphorylation status affects the stabilisation of Ngn2 by E proteins (Fig. 4A). To distinguish differences in chromatin affinity from potential differences in mNgn2 and 9S-A mNgn2 protein levels, we quantitated the
expression of wild-type mNgn2 versus 9S-A mNgn2 by western blot, allowing normalisation of the amount of Ngn2 protein prior to chromatin immunoprecipitation. After normalisation, 9S-A mNgn2 was more than 2-fold enriched compared with wild-type mNgn2 on each promoter, but promoter-specific differences in mNgn2 versus 9S-A mNgn2 binding were not observed (Fig. 4B).

To test directly whether phosphorylation of Ngn2 affects its ability to associate with DNA, we investigated the binding of xNgn2-E12 complexes to their cognate E box-binding motif in an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) in I and M extracts. Wild-type xNgn2 and 9S-A xNgn2 had similar DNA-binding activity in I extract, where xNgn2 phosphorylation is low, but 9S-A xNgn2-E12 bound DNA with considerably greater affinity than the wild-type protein in M extracts, where Ngn2 phosphorylation is maximal (Fig. 4C).

**Multi-site phosphorylation of Ngn2 acts quantitatively to control DNA binding**

Promoter activation requires productive transcription factor binding cycles, which in turn depend on the strength and/or duration of promoter occupancy by that transcription factor. Phosphorylation of Ngn2 occurs on multiple sites, which together can regulate DNA and promoter binding. We investigated whether specific SP sites are responsible for Ngn2 phosphoregulation or whether regulation is attained by the additive effect of individual phosphorylation events, each one quantitatively having a small effect on promoter occupancy by Ngn2, the number of sites phosphorylated being quantitatively dependent on the cdk kinase level (Fig. 2). We tested whether the mutation of an increasing number of phosphorylation sites had an additive effect on Ngn2 DNA binding and its ability to induce neuronal differentiation.
Fig. 4. Phosphomutant Ngn2 binds more efficiently than wild-type Ngn2 protein to DNA and is stabilised more by E12. (A) IVT \[^{[35]S}\]methionine-labelled xNgn2 or 9S-A xNgn2 were incubated in I or M Xenopus egg extracts in the presence of unlabelled IVT GFP or E12. Samples were removed every 10 minutes, separated by SDS-PAGE and the amount of Ngn2 protein determined by phosphorimaging, calculating the half-life of Ngn2 protein degradation using first-order rate kinetics. Half-lives were normalised to that of xNgn2 with GFP in each experiment and the average ratios of stability relative to xNgn2 with GFP for three experiments were plotted (mean ± s.e.m.). (B) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis of cell extracts containing normalised amounts of HA-tagged mNgn2 and 9S-A mNgn2, assessing binding to the promoters of Neurod1, delta-like 1 (Dll1) and Neurod4 in mouse P19 cells, 24 hours following transfection (IgG control for non-specific background binding). ***, P<0.005. (C) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) showing E box binding of normalised IVT xNgn2 or 9S-A xNgn2 in I and M extracts with and without E12.
A panel of S-A mutant versions of mNgn2 was constructed in which the mutation of SP sites was undertaken additively from the N-terminus (i.e. mS-A1 has the most N-terminal SP site mutated to AP, mS-A2 has the first two N-terminal sites mutated, and so on; see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material), and their DNA binding tested by EMSA. As previously seen with xNgn2 and 9S-A xNgn2 (Fig. 4C), there was little difference in the DNA binding of any of our additive mNgn2 phosphomutants in I extract (see Fig. S7 in the supplementary material). However, in M extract, the E box binding increases progressively as phosphorylation sites are lost (Fig. 5A).

To determine whether the number of SP sites available for phosphorylation is more important than the location of those sites, we investigated a series of xNgn2 phosphomutants in which SP sites were additively mutated, but this time from the C-terminus (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). Again, we saw that the availability of SP sites quantitatively regulates DNA binding in M; as each SP site was additionally mutated, DNA-binding activity progressively increased (Fig. 5A). Thus, phosphorylation of multiple SP sites can act quantitatively, in a rheostat-like manner, to control E box binding by mNgn2 and

**Fig. 5.** Phosphorylation on multiple serine-proline (SP) sites has an additive effect on Ngn2 DNA binding. (A) EMSA analyses in *Xenopus* M extracts showing E box binding of normalised IVTs of xNgn2 or mNgn2 and additive phosphorylation site mutants of xNgn2 or mNgn2 as labelled. Graphs show quantitation of E box binding of phosphorylation site mutants by phosphorimaging, normalised to DNA binding of xNgn2 or mNgn2 as appropriate (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). (B) qPCR analysis of neural βIII-tubulin in P19 cells. P19 cells were transiently transfected with mNgn2 and additive phosphorylation site mutants thereof for 24 hours. Average fold increase (± s.e.m.) in βIII-tubulin mRNA expression normalised to housekeeping genes [β-actin (Actb) and Gapdh]. **, P<0.05; ###, P<0.005.
xNgn2, even though the context and location of only the two most C-terminal SP sites are conserved between these two species.

We next investigated whether the ability of Ngn2 to induce neuronal differentiation of P19 cells is quantitatively dependent on the number of phosphorylation sites available. Using our mNgn2 phosphomutant series (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material), we saw a fairly gradual increase in βIII-tubulin expression as additional SP sites were mutated (Fig. 5B), demonstrating that the propensity of Ngn2 to drive neuronal differentiation depends, at least semi-quantitatively, on the number of phosphorylation sites available. However, mutation of the final three (most C-terminal) SP sites had the biggest effect.

**Cell cycle regulators control neuronal differentiation by post-translational regulation of Ngn2 protein**

Enhancing cdk activity inhibits neurogenesis in vivo (Richard-Parpaillon et al., 2004; Lange et al., 2009). The results presented above led us to hypothesise that its inhibitory activity is via post-translational modification of the Ngn2 protein, and so phosphomutant Ngn2 would not be susceptible to inhibition by cyclin A/cdk2 overexpression. To test this, we chose to inject levels of xNgn2 mRNA that induce limited ectopic neurogenesis, largely within the neural plate, to mimic in vivo expression levels.

As previously reported, injection of cyclin A/cdk2 mRNA promotes cell cycling within the ectoderm and inhibits endogenous neurogenesis (Richard-Parpaillon et al., 2004). Cyclin A/ cdk2 overexpression also inhibited neurogenesis in the presence of ectopic xNgn2 mRNA, indicating that inhibition occurs at the level of post-translational modification of xNgn2 or, potentially, of a downstream target (Fig. 6A,B). 9S-A xNgn2 induced substantially greater ectopic neurogenesis in both the neural plate and epidermis than the wild-type protein, as expected. Strikingly, this extensive ectopic neurogenesis induced by 9S-A xNgn2 overexpression was unaffected by cyclin A/ cdk2 overexpression (Fig. 6A,B). This demonstrates that enhanced cyclin/cdk kinase activity, which promotes more rapid cell cycling (Richard-Parpaillon et al., 2004), also directly inhibits the neurogenesis-inducing ability of xNgn2 protein in vivo by post-translational modification.

Cdk inhibitors (cdkis) accumulate as the cell cycle lengthens and their expression is associated with both a drop in cdk kinase levels and neuronal differentiation (e.g. Cremisi et al., 2003; Vernon et al., 2003; Nguyen et al., 2006). We have previously shown that cdkis have an additional role in neurogenesis independent of, but complementary to, their role in inhibiting cyclin/cdk kinase activity: the Xenopus Cip/Kip family cdk inhibitor, Xic1, is required for neuronal differentiation in the developing embryo, where it functions to stabilise Ngn2 protein independently of its ability to inhibit overall cdk kinase activity. Similarly, p27Kip1 stabilises Ngn2 in the mouse cortex (Nguyen et al., 2006). Because of their more stable association with promoter DNA, we hypothesised that 9S-A xNgn2-E protein complexes might be less dependent on the presence of Xic1 to enhance Ngn2 protein stability and hence drive neuronal differentiation in vivo than the wild-type protein. To test this, we investigated the ability of xNgn2 and 9S-A xNgn2 to induce neurogenesis in the presence of a Xic1 morpholino (Xic1 Mo), which has previously been shown to abolish Xic1 protein expression and inhibit Ngn2-dependent neuronal differentiation (Vernon et al., 2003). As expected, Xic1 Mo injection significantly reduced endogenous neurogenesis, as assayed by in situ hybridisation, whereas the control morpholino (Con Mo) had little effect (Fig. 7A,B) (Vernon et al., 2003). The low doses of xNgn2 mRNA chosen to achieve near-physiological levels of expression induced modest but clear ectopic neurogenesis both within and outside the neural plate in the presence of Con Mo. Both the ectopic and endogenous neurogenesis seen in xNgn2-injected embryos were largely abolished by co-injection of Xic1 Mo, confirming that wild-type xNgn2 requires Xic1 for full activity (Fig. 7A,B) (Vernon et al., 2003).

As expected, extensive neurogenesis was induced by 9S-A xNgn2 mRNA plus Con Mo both within and outside the neural plate. However, in contrast to xNgn2, 9S-A xNgn2 could induce
substantial neurogenesis even in the presence of Xic1 Mo (Fig. 7A,B). Thus, 9S-A xNgn2 has a reduced requirement for Xic1 compared with the wild-type protein, consistent with the enhanced stability of un(der)phosphorylated Ngn2.

**DISCUSSION**

Ngn2 acts as a master regulatory transcription factor that controls the balance between progenitor maintenance and differentiation in response to increasing cell cycle length as development progresses. We show that this is achieved by multi-site phosphorylation of Ngn2, which is quantitatively sensitive to cdk levels and quantitatively regulates the stability of Ngn2 binding to promoters of key downstream transcriptional targets, resulting in the regulation of neuronal differentiation.

Transcription factors undergo dynamic binding cycles on promoters. Increased dwell time on promoters is associated with more active transcription; for example, a slower exchange rate of the glucocorticoid receptor at promoters would promote the assembly of additional components required for chromatin remodelling and transcriptional activation (Stavreva et al., 2004; Hager et al., 2009). The requirement for stable association of Ngn2 with the target promoter to drive differentiation leads directly to a mechanism whereby post-translational modification of Ngn2 in response to the cellular environment, and the cdk kinase level in particular, can influence the propensity to differentiate.

Cdk-dependent inhibitory phosphorylation of Ngn2 complements another level of cell cycle-dependent post-translational regulation of Ngn2. We have previously shown that the cdks p27<sup>Xic1</sup> in *Xenopus* and p27<sup>Kip1</sup> (Cdkn1b) in mouse are required for transcriptional activity of Ngn2 (Nguyen et al., 2006; Vernon et al., 2003). Acting independently of (but complementary to) their ability to inhibit cyclins and cdks, these cdks inhibit ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of Ngn2 protein, thus facilitating neuronal differentiation. In addition to this stabilising effect, accumulation of cdks in neural precursors with lengthening cell cycles will also directly inhibit cdk activity, reducing the inhibitory phosphorylation of Ngn2 and so enhancing its transcriptional activity on differentiation targets. However, it should be noted that these cdks themselves have not been shown to be direct transcriptional targets of Ngn2 (Seo et al., 2007).

From our biochemical in vitro assays, coupled with overexpression experiments in both cultured P19 cells and *Xenopus* embryos, we propose the following model (Fig. 8). In cycling cells, cdk levels rise rapidly on transition through late G1 and S phase into G2 and mitosis, promoting increasing phosphorylation of Ngn2 by cdk2 and cdk1, and resulting in reduced promoter occupancy, which is insufficient to activate the Ngn2-responsive promoters of genes required for neuronal differentiation, such as *NeuroD*. By contrast, cell cycle lengthening results in a longer time spent in G1, where cdk levels are low and cdk inhibitors accumulate. This favours un(der)phosphorylated, more stable Ngn2, which binds E box DNA more tightly. This greater promoter occupancy would be permissive for transcription of Ngn2 targets driving neuronal differentiation. Moreover, the graded response of neural β-tubulin to Ngn2 phosphorylation on additive sites allows cdk levels to be interpreted in a rheostat-like manner (Deshaies and Ferrell, 2001; Pufall et al., 2005). Here, we have concentrated on cdk-dependent phosphorylation of Ngn2. However, multi-site phosphorylation could be used as a mechanism to integrate a range of signals that culminate in proline-directed kinase activity. The promoter of the *NeuroD* gene is known to respond slowly to Ngn2 expression and to require extensive chromatin modification for activation (Koyano-Nakagawa et al., 1999), which fits with our model in which stable promoter association is required to drive neuronal differentiation. Ngn2 has many direct downstream targets with potentially differing requirements for epigenetic modification, and hence for recruitment of chromatin modifiers, before activation (Koyano-Nakagawa et al., 1999; Seo et al., 2007). Different promoters might therefore respond....

---

**Fig. 7.** 9S-A xNgn2 does not require the cdk inhibitor Xic1 for activity. (A) *Xenopus* embryos were injected (left side) in one of four cells (dorsally targeted) with 20 pg mRNA as indicated, together with 20 ng of either control (a,c,e) or Xic1 (b,d,f) morpholino, fixed at stage 15 and subject to in situ hybridisation for neural β-tubulin expression. (B) Semi-quantitative analysis of in situ hybridisation data. The number of embryos scored was 43-59 per condition. Neurogenesis was enhanced (+3, +2, +1), the same as (0) or reduced (–1, –2, –3) compared with the uninjected side (see Fig. S8 in the supplementary material for examples of the scoring method).
differently to changes in Ngn2 phosphorylation status, affecting the frequency/duration of promoter occupancy, and we are currently exploring this possibility.

Our analyses indicate the importance of the number of SP sites modified, rather than their precise location, for regulation of protein function (Fig. 5). Native Ngn2 might be largely unstructured, like the related protein Ngn1 (Aguado-Llera et al., 2010), and hence might have limited structural constraints for phosphorylation on SP sites. Several classes of transcription factors have been identified in which unstructured N- and C-terminal extensions from the known DNA-binding domains contribute to DNA-binding affinity (Crane-Robinson et al., 2006), which would in turn affect the frequency and duration of DNA-protein binding cycles (Michel, 2009). Our data demonstrate that phosphorylation of residues in both the N- and C-termini quantitatively reduce Ngn2 DNA-binding activity.

In summary, we have shown here that Ngn2 is directly phosphorylated at multiple sites by cdk kinases and that this directly controls its ability to drive neuronal differentiation. Hence, we have uncovered a molecular mechanism whereby cell cycle lengthening can directly trigger neuronal differentiation.
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