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**SUMMARY**

Specialized epithelial cells in the amphibian skin play important roles in ion transport, but how they arise developmentally is largely unknown. Here we show that proton-secreting cells (PSCs) differentiate in the *X. laevis* larval skin soon after gastrulation, based on the expression of a ‘kidney-specific’ form of the H⁺-ATPase that localizes to the plasma membrane, orthologs of the Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ antiporters ae1 and pendrin, and two isoforms of carbonic anhydrase. Like PSCs in other species, we show that the expression of these genes is likely to be driven by an ortholog of foxi1, which is also sufficient to promote the formation of PSC precursors. Strikingly, the PSCs form in the skin as two distinct subtypes that resemble the alpha- and beta-intercalated cells of the kidney. The alpha-subtype expresses ae1 and localizes H⁺-ATPases to the apical plasma membrane, whereas the beta-subtype expresses pendrin and localizes the H⁺-ATPase cytosolically or basolaterally. These two subtypes are specified during early PSC differentiation by a binary switch that can be regulated by Notch signaling and by the expression of ubp1, a transcription factor of the grainyhead family. These results have implications for how PSCs are specified in vertebrates and become functionally heterogeneous.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Transporting epithelia contain a diversity of specialized cell types, collectively called ionocytes, that mediate the selective secretion and adsorption of small molecules. Elucidating the mechanisms that generate this diversity and allow transporting epithelia to carry out their specific function remains an important goal in developmental biology. Toward this goal, recent work has focused on an epithelial cell type that drives the transepithelial movements of ions required for pH or osmoregulation by secreting protons across the plasma membrane using isoforms of the vacuolar-type H⁺-transporting ATPase (H⁺-ATPase) (Brown and Breton, 1996). Proton-secreting cells (PSCs) are classically distinguished from other transporting epithelial cells based on their unusually high content of mitochondria, earning them the name mitochondria-rich cells (Brown and Breton, 1996; Hwang and Lee, 2007). More recently, they have been defined with PSC-specific gene expression, including cell-specific isoforms of the H⁺-ATPase such as *atp6v0a4* and *atp6v1b1*, anion antiporters used to exchange bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) for Cl⁻ such as slc26a4 (Pendrin), slc4a1 [anion exchanger 1 (ae1) or the Band 3 anion exchanger] and slc4a9 [anion exchanger 4 (ae4)], and carbonic anhydrases used to produce protons and HCO₃⁻ from water and carbon dioxide (Breton, 2001; Royaux et al., 2001; Wagner et al., 2009). PSCs are found in several mammalian organ systems, including the kidney, where they are termed intercalated cells (ICs) (Oliver, 1944; Wall, 2005), in the epididymis, where they are called clear or narrow cells (Brown and Breton, 1996), and in endolymphatic duct of the inner ear, where they are termed Deiters’ or Claudius cells, or, collectively, FORE cells (Hulander et al., 2003). Disrupting the function of PSCs leads to several human diseases, including distal tubule acidosis, infertility and hearing loss (Hinton et al., 2009).

The development of PSCs in the mouse requires Foxi1, a member of the winged-helix family of transcription factors (Hulander et al., 2003; Blomqvist et al., 2004; Blomqvist et al., 2006). Mice mutant for Foxi1 fail to form PSCs in the kidney, inner ear and epididymis, based on the loss of expression of PSC-specific subunits of the H⁺-ATPase and anion exchangers. Foxi1 directly regulates PSC genes involved in ion transport, based on the analysis of promoter fragments in transient transfection studies, suggesting that it acts as a crucial regulator of terminal PSC differentiation (Blomqvist et al., 2004; Vidarsson et al., 2009). Moreover, foxi1 is not only required to form PSCs in different mammalian organs, but also in other vertebrate species. In zebrafish, the foxi1 orthologs foxi3a and foxi3b play overlapping roles in the differentiation of ionocytes that closely resemble PSCs in mammals (Hsiao et al., 2007; Janicke et al., 2007; Janicke et al., 2010). Studies of these cells in the zebrafish skin have also shown that their differentiation is negatively regulated by the Notch pathway, presaging findings that Notch also determines the number of ICs that form within the collecting duct of the mouse kidney (Jeong et al., 2009). In both cases, blocking Notch activity increases foxi1 expression and the number of PSCs, whereas activating the Notch pathway inhibits foxi1 expression and decreases PSC number. These studies suggest a model in which epithelial precursors require foxi1 to differentiate into PSCs and the number of precursors that express foxi1 is negatively regulated by the Notch pathway.

The differentiation of PSCs in the kidney is further complicated by the fact that several subtypes exist with different functional properties (Al-Awqati, 1996; Wall, 2005). The main subtypes are alpha-ICs, which reduce acidosis by secreting protons into the lumen of the collecting duct, and beta-ICs, which reduce alkalosis by secreting bicarbonate. To function as polar opposites during pH regulation, these two subtypes differentially localize the H⁺-ATPase along the apicobasal axis and differentially express the anion exchangers *Ae1* and *Pendrin* (Royaux et al., 2001; Devonald et al., 2003; Stehberger et al., 2003; Stehberger et al., 2007; Hinton et al., 2009). How different subtypes of ICs form has been mainly addressed in the mammalian adult, in which, under chronic pH
imbales, the proportions of alpha- and beta-ICs appear to shift, leading to the suggestion that they are plastic and interconvertible (Al-Awqati, 1996; Schwartz et al., 2002; Wagner et al., 2002; Schwartz and Al-Awqati, 2005). This suggests that the differentiation of IC subtypes could rely on phenotypic plasticity; however, the mechanisms underlying IC subtype specification are largely unknown. The extracellular matrix molecule hensin/dnmt1 has been proposed to mediate subtype interconversion in pH shift experiments on cultured cells (Al-Awqati, 1996) and in vivo (Schwartz et al., 2002; Gao et al., 2010) but the transcriptional mechanisms underlying its activities are still unclear. Moreover, little is known about when ICs acquire subtype properties during their differentiation, or the developmental mechanisms that lead to the differential localization of the H^+V-ATPase or expression of ae1 and pendrin during subtype specification (Hiatt et al., 2010).

Here, we examine the mechanisms that underlie the formation of different PSC subtypes by first describing the Xenopus laevis larval skin as a new model system for PSC differentiation. We show that PSCs form across the larval skin surface in a manner that is regulated by the Notch pathway and can be driven by foxi1. We show that PSCs form from the skin as at least two distinct subtypes of different PSC subtypes by first describing the proteinase K step and accompanying washes, using riboprobes against the proteinase K step and accompanying washes, using riboprobes

**Tools** directed against co-injected as a lineage tracer (Stubbs et al., 2006). Morpholinos (Gene Accessions). This suggests that the subtype-specific gene expression is already evident at PSC precursor stages, suggesting that subtype specification occurs developmentally during PSC differentiation. We shift the proportion of different subtypes by altering the levels of Notch activity, by misexpressing foxi1, or by altering the expression of the grainyhead-like transcription factor ubp1, which is normally expressed in the beta- but not alpha-subtype. In each experimental manipulation, the proportion of subtypes changes, but subtype identity is maintained as defined by ae1 expression, pendrin expression, and H^+V-ATPase localization. These results suggest that subtype identity is specified in PSC precursors by a binary switch that can be regulated by the Notch pathway and members of the grainyhead family.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**X. laevis fertilization, microinjection and embryo culture**

Xenopus embryos were obtained by in vitro fertilization using standard protocols and maintained in 0.1× Marc’s Modified Ringers (MMR) pHi 7.4 (Sive et al., 1998). Embryos were injected at the 2- or 4-cell stage with capped synthetic mRNAs (1-5 ng) that encode the intracellular domain of Notch (ICD), a dominant-negative form of human mastermind HMMmut (Wetstein et al., 1997; Fryer et al., 2002), or foxi1 or ubp1 cloned upstream of the human glucocorticoid receptor (Kolm and Sive, 1995). RNA encoding a membrane-localized mRFP or GFP was injected as a control or co-injected as a lineage tracer (Stubbs et al., 2006). Morpholinos (Gene Tools) directed against atp6vlb1 mRNA targeted the initiation codon (5′-GCCCTCTACCTATGGCAGTCTTT-3′), and morpholinos directed against ubp1 mRNA targeted either the initiation codon (5′-GTCTGTGCTGCTACCAAACCATG-3′) or a splice junction between exons 6 and 7 (5′-AAATTAGGGAATGCACCTAAAAAC-3′) (Heasman, 2002).

**Transplant assays**

The transplantation of outer layer ectoderm onto inner layer hosts was performed as described (Stubbs et al., 2006); in some cases, embryos were subsequently stained for immunofluorescence, as below.

**In situ hybridization**

Whole-mount in situ hybridizations were performed as described, omitting the proteinase K step and accompanying washes, using riboprobes generated from cDNAs (Harland, 1991). Two-color fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed as described (Brend and Holley, 2009), omitting the methanol washes after development of TSA substrate.

Embryos were then rinsed, mounted in PVA/DABCO (Sigma), and imaged on a BioRad Radiance 2100 confocal mounted to a Zeiss inverted microscope or a Zeiss LSM710, using a 25× or 63× objective. For cell counts, data were collected from at least three random fields (one field was equal to 196 μm^2 of the embryo surface) from at least five, but typically eight, embryos.

**Immunofluorescence**

X. laevis larvae were fixed for immunohistochemistry in fresh 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.8 phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 2 hours on ice followed by dehydration in 100% methanol. After rehydration, embryos were stained as described previously (Stubbs et al., 2006) in PBS containing 0.02% Tween 20 and 10% goat serum with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-ZO-1 (Zymed, 1:200), mouse anti-acetylated tubulin (Sigma, 1:200-1:1000), mouse anti-Xenopus E-cadherin (IDSHB clone 5D3, 1:500), monoclonal anti-AE1 (IDSHB clone IVF-12, 1:250), chicken anti-GFP (Aves, 1:500) or rabbit anti-αtubulin (Santa Cruz, 1:100). Secondary antibodies comprised Cy2-, Cy3- or Cy5-labeled goat anti-IgG of the appropriate species (1:500, Jackson ImmunoResearch).

**RNA isolation and microarray**

Animal caps were explanted onto coverslips coated with fibronecctin as described (Davidson et al., 2002). Total RNA was isolated using the proteinase K method from explanted ectoderm at the equivalent of stage 22-24 of development. Total RNA from explanted ectoderm was used to generate labeled complimentary RNA (cRNA) that was hybridized to Xenopus laevis I Genome Array chips (Affymetrix #900491). Microarray data were obtained from three independent experiments in which embryos were injected with ICD mRNA alone, or with HMMmut mRNA. Two data sets were analyzed using Bullfrog analysis software (Zapala et al., 2002), using a pairwise comparison, with the minimum fold change set at 3.

Annotation of the dataset was then performed using Unigene identifiers. The complete microarray dataset has been submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession GSE23844.

**Cloning of the pendrin promoter and transgenic methods**

A PCR fragment was amplified from a X. tropicalis genomic library using one primer corresponding to the start of translation and the second located 3 kb upstream and shuttled into a vector containing the GFP coding region, followed by a short intron and poly(A) site from SV40. X. laevis transgenes were generated using the protocol of Kroll and Amaya (Amaya and Kroll, 1999) with modifications described by Sparrow et al. (Sparrow et al., 2000). Embryos generated by sperm nuclei injection were selected at the 4-cell stage for proper cleavage patterns and then injected with RNAs or morpholinos as indicated. Transgenic embryos were fixed without prior selection for GFP expression, stained with antibodies, and then scored under confocal microscopy. Transgenic embryos occurred at a frequency of 30-50% on average; quantitative data were collected by confocal microscopy as described above.

**RESULTS**

Blocking Notch in the developing skin of X. laevis embryos markedly increases two cell types that arise as precursors within the inner layer of the ectoderm and differentiate by moving into the outer epithelium (Stubbs et al., 2006). About half of these precursors give rise to multilicate cells, whereas the other half give rise to a second cell type called intercalating non-ciliated cells (INCs) or small secretory cells (Stubbs et al., 2006; Hayes et al., 2007). INCs can be distinguished from other cell types in skin functional identity is unknown. To identify genes that are expressed at INC differentiation, we analyzed RNA isolated from cultured skin with decreased or increased Notch activity by hybridization to Affymetrix microarrays (see Materials and methods).
Genes showing the largest fold change in this analysis (see Table S1 in the supplementary material) encode two transcription factors, foxi1 and ubp1, and proteins that are typically expressed by PSCs in other species. Four genes in the latter category encode isoforms of the H\(^{+}\)-ATPase that localize to the plasma membrane, including \(atp6v1c2\) (112-fold change), \(atp6v0a4\) (100-fold change), \(atp6v0d2\) (55-fold change) and \(atp6v1b1\) (32-fold change) (Wagner et al., 2004; Pietrement et al., 2006; Kujala et al., 2007). Three genes on the list encode isoforms of carbonic anhydrase (ca): \(ca2a\) (64-fold change), \(ca2b\) (43-fold change) and \(ca12\) (81-fold change), enzymes that are highly expressed by PSCs in order to catalyze the production of \(H^{+}\) and HCO\(_3^{-}\) from carbon dioxide and water (Breton, 2001). The list also contains an anion exchanger related to mammalian Pendrin, which exchanges HCO\(_3^{-}\) for Cl\(^{-}\) in some PSCs (Royaux et al., 2001; Hulander et al., 2003; Wall, 2005). Finally, another gene on the list encodes an unannotated member of the CLC family of chloride channels, genes also observed to be expressed in PSCs (Sakamoto et al., 1999; Kobayashi et al., 2001). As phylogenetic analysis of a subset of these genes suggested that they are direct orthologs of vertebrate genes implicated in PSC development and function (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material), one possibility is that \(X.\) laevis skin contains a PSC that increases in number when Notch is blocked.

PSC-specific genes are expressed in an INC-like pattern in the skin

To determine which cells in the skin express the genes described above, we selected several representatives and characterized their expression in \(X.\) laevis larval embryos by whole-mount in situ hybridization (Fig. 1; see Figs S2 and S3 in the supplementary material). All genes tested, including \(ca2\), \(ca12\), \(atp6v0a4\), \(atp6v1b1\), \(atp6v0d2\), \(atp6v1e1\), pendrin-like and pendrin, gave a similar staining pattern, localizing to scattered cells throughout most of the skin but excluded from skin anterior to the eye and along the tailbud, a distribution that corresponds to the INCs/small secretory cells (Hayes et al., 2007) rather than ciliated cells. In addition, in contrast to the uniform expression of the ciliated cell marker \(\alpha\)-tubulin, the expression of the ion transport genes varied in intensity from cell to cell, suggesting that the cells expressing these genes are a heterogeneous population (compare Fig. 1F with 1A-E), a possibility that is addressed further below. We also examined the expression of these genes in embryos in which Notch activity was inhibited or increased. As predicted, the number of cells expressing the PSC-specific genes increased markedly in embryos when Notch activity was inhibited (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material) and decreased when Notch was overactive (Fig. 2G,H; data not shown). These data, along with the higher resolution localization studies described below, suggest that INCs are PSCs that form in the skin in a manner regulated by the Notch pathway.

foxi1-HGR induces the expression of ion transport genes

In other species, Fox1 orthologs play a major role in the differentiation of PSCs (Hulander et al., 2003; Blomqvist et al., 2004; Blomqvist et al., 2006; Hsiao et al., 2007; Janicke et al., 2007; Vidarsson et al., 2009; Janicke et al., 2010). Thus, the finding that \(X.\) laevis foxi1 (also called \(Xema\) or \(fox1e\)) was the first gene on the list obtained from the array analysis described above is striking (see Table S1 in the supplementary material). Previous studies have shown that \(X.\) laevis foxi1 is first expressed at blastula stages within the ectoderm, is required for germ layer specification (Suri et al., 2005; Mir et al., 2007), and then strongly expressed following gastrulation in scattered cells of the skin, in a manner regulated by Notch signaling (Mir et al., 2008) (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material).

Based on these observations, one possibility is that foxi1 first plays a role in ectoderm specification, but then later plays a role in specifying the differentiation of INCs as PSC-like cells, by regulating the expression of genes involved in ion transport. To test this idea, and to avoid possible ectodermal specification problems resulting from early misexpression, we fused the ligand-binding domain of the human glucocorticoid receptor to the C-terminus of \(X.\) laevis foxi1 (foxi1-HGR) (Kolm and Sive, 1995). Our reasoning was that foxi1-HGR could be expressed in embryos by RNA injection, stay in an inactive form during blastula stages when germ layer specification occurs, but could then be activated after gastrulation by adding the synthetic hormone dexamethasone (DEX).

Expression of the PSC-specific genes was dramatically upregulated in early tadpoles that were injected with foxi1-HGR mRNA at the 2-cell stage and treated with DEX at stage 12 (Fig. 2A,B; data not shown). No obvious changes in gene expression occurred without DEX treatment, indicating that they are caused by the late action of foxi1 (data not shown), presumably after its role in germ layer specification. Injecting foxi1-HGR had slightly inhibitory effects on the expression of the ciliated cell marker \(\alpha\)-tubulin (Fig. 2C). Injecting embryos with mRNA encoding another forkhead
transcription factor, foxa1, fused to HGR had no apparent effect on the expression of PSC-specific genes (Fig. 2D,E). Activated Notch blocks the formation of INCs (Stubbs et al., 2006), the expression of the ion transport genes (Fig. 2G,H), as well as the expression of foxi1 (Mir et al., 2008). However, foxi1-HGR also induced PSC-specific gene expression in the presence of activated Notch (ICD), suggesting that foxi1 acts downstream of Notch to activate gene expression required for PSC differentiation (Fig. 2J,K).

**foxi1-HGR induces cell intercalation**

foxi1-HGR might simply induce ectopic expression of PSC-specific genes, or, alternatively, it might induce the formation of ectopic INCs. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we assayed the morphology of the skin in embryos injected with foxi1-HGR at the 2-cell stage and treated with DEX at stage 12. At stage 26, the morphology of the skin in foxi1-HGR-injected, DEX-treated larvae differed markedly from control larvae in two respects (Fig. 3B versus 3A). First, foxi1-HGR/Dex induced a 3- to 4-fold increase in the number of cells with an INC morphology (Fig. 3B,E). Second, ciliated cells (CCs) still appeared in the foxi1-HGR-expressing embryos based on acetylated tubulin staining and their characteristic morphology, but many failed to form cilia (Fig. 3B,E). These aberrant CCs formed in increased numbers but this could be a consequence of disabling the Notch pathway (Stubbs et al., 2006). To test this idea, we expressed the activated form of Notch, ICD, in skin at levels that normally block the appearance of both CCs and INCs (Fig. 3C,E; see Fig. S4A-C,G in the supplementary material). In skin that expressed both ICD and foxi1-HGR and was then treated with DEX, the aberrant CCs were absent but INCs still appeared in increased numbers (Fig. 3D,E), suggesting that foxi1-HGR acts downstream of Notch to promote the formation of PSCs but not CCs. Using a transplant assay to distinguish between skin cells derived from the two layers (Stubbs et al., 2006), we found that the number of intercalating inner cells increased markedly in response to foxi1-HGR, and this occurred even in the presence of ICD, which normally blocks intercalation.
INCs fall into two subtypes

The isoforms of the H⁺-ATPase expressed by INCs have been shown to localize to the plasma membrane in PSCs in other species (Wagner et al., 2004; Pietrement et al., 2006; Hinton et al., 2009). To determine whether this holds true in Xenopus INCs, we examined the localization of the H⁺-ATPases using an antibody raised against a peptide conserved in both the atp6v1b1 and b2 subunits. To test antibody specificity, we also stained embryos injected with a morpholino designed to block the expression of atp6v1b2. In wild-type embryos, the antibody strongly stained the plasma membrane of INCs but not outer cells or CCs (Fig. 4; see Fig. S5B,C in the supplementary material), whereas in atp6v1b1 morphants this staining was lost (see Fig. S5E,F in the supplementary material). We conclude that the major epitope detected in INCs with the B1 antibody is atp6v1b1 and that the H⁺-ATPase localizes to the plasma membrane in INCs as in other PSCs.

Strikingly, one population of INCs showed strong apical staining with the B1 antibody, whereas in the other population staining was more basolateral or cytoplasmic, in a manner that mirrored the differential localization of the H⁺-ATPase in alpha- and beta-ICs in the kidney (compare Fig. 4D with 4E and see also 4B,F; see Fig. S5B in the supplementary material).

To pursue this finding further, we examined the X. laevis homologs of ae1 and pendrin, as expression of these genes in the kidney differentially marks alpha- and beta-ICs, respectively (Royaux et al., 2001) (see Fig. S3K-Y in the supplementary material). Using two-label FISH and confocal microscopy, we found that pendrin and ae1 mRNAs localize to non-overlapping, but roughly equal, populations of INCs, in contrast to the INC-wide expression of RNAs encoding foxi1 or subunits of the H⁺-ATPase (Fig. 4A; see Fig. S3A-J in the supplementary material; data not shown). Similar expression of ae1 was also detected in about half the INCs using a monoclonal antibody raised against human AE1 (Fig. 4C-E,1), ae1 staining localized to the basolateral plasma membrane (Fig. 4C,D,1), and the INCs that stained for ae1 were the same as those that showed strong apical staining with the B1 antibody (compare Fig. 4B with 4C and 4D with 4D), as found for alpha-ICs in the kidney.

Finally, because we were unable to identify an antibody that recognizes X. laevis pendrin, we took a transgenic approach to mark pendrin-expressing cells. A 3.0 kb fragment proximal to the start of transcription of the X. tropicalis pendrin gene was isolated by PCR, cloned upstream of sequences encoding a membrane-localized form of eGFP, and introduced into X. laevis embryos using the sperm nuclei transfer method (Amaya and Kroll, 1999). In pendrin-GFP transgenic (pendrin-GFP⁺) larvae, GFP expression was detected in INCs but not outer cells or CCs (Fig. 4G-I; see Fig. S5 in the supplementary material). Moreover, GFP expression occurred in INCs with basolateral B1 staining, and was absent in INCs that express ae1 (Fig. 4F-I), as found for beta-ICs in the kidney.

Together, these results show that INCs fall into two subtypes based on the localization of the H⁺-ATPase and the differential expression of ae1 and pendrin. By analogy with ICs in the kidney, we refer hereafter to INCs with ae1 expression and apical H⁺-ATPase localization as the alpha-subtype, and those with pendrin expression and basolateral H⁺-ATPase localization as the beta-subtype.

Subtype specification occurs during early INC differentiation

Since the timing of IC subtype specification during development has not yet been extensively examined in the mouse, we determined when subtype identity first arises during PSC differentiation in Xenopus by assaying the developmental expression of foxi1, atp0a4, ae1 and pendrin (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material). As shown previously, spotty expression of foxi1 in the developing skin suggested that INCs initiate differentiation during gastrulation (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary
material) (Suri et al., 2005; Mir et al., 2007). Soon after gastrulation was complete, expression of *atp6v0a4* was detected in a spotty pattern at stage 13, marking the early phase of PSC differentiation (see Fig. S3F in the supplementary material). Significantly, at the same time as *atp6v0a4* expression began, *pendrin* expression was also detected in a subset of INCs (see Fig. S3K in the supplementary material). *ae1* expression first occurred slightly later, at stage 15, but still before INCs had completed radial intercalation (see Fig. S3U in the supplementary material) (Stubbs et al., 2006). Thus, based on *ae1* and *pendrin* expression, INC precursors exist as different subtypes prior to terminal differentiation, suggesting that subtype specification involves developmental mechanisms that operate during PSC formation rather than as a direct response to physiological conditions.

**Notch signaling regulates INC subtype specification**

The two INC subtypes form in the skin in approximately equal numbers, and are intermingled across the skin, suggesting that they might be specified via local cell-cell interactions. One possibility, therefore, is that Notch signaling is used again in the PSC lineage to influence the formation of different subtypes. To test this possibility, we examined INC subtype formation in embryos in which Notch activity was inhibited using a dominant-negative form of mastermind, or increased by injecting limiting amounts of ICD. Both INC subtypes increased in number when Notch was disabled, but the beta-INCs formed at much higher levels than alpha-INCs, suggesting that loss of Notch favors beta-INC formation (Fig. 5). Conversely, in embryos injected with limiting amounts of ICD, the formation of alpha-INCs was dramatically favored over that of beta-INCs (Fig. 5). Thus, Notch signaling contributes to the specification of INC subtypes, presumably by regulating the expression of factors involved in beta-INC and alpha-INC formation.

**ubp1 marks and influences INC subtype specification**

Disabling Notch in the skin also leads to marked increases in the expression of a second transcription factor gene that is annotated as *ubp1* in Xenbase, but is the closest ortholog to *ubp1a* in higher vertebrates (see Table S1 and Figs S1 and S6 in the supplementary material). *ubp1*, along with *cp2* and *cp21*, define a subfamily of the grainyhead transcription factors conserved in vertebrates (Yoon et al., 1994; Wilanowski et al., 2002; Katsura et al., 2009). In the mouse, a targeted mutation in *Ubp1* leads to defects in extraembryonic tissues that has thus far precluded an analysis of *Ubp1* function during embryogenesis (Parekh et al., 2004). Accordingly, we examined the role of *ubp1* in Xenopus skin, analyzing its expression by in situ hybridization. *ubp1* was expressed in a spotty pattern in the skin in the same manner as other INC markers (see Fig. S3Z-DD in the supplementary material). Blocking Notch led to a dramatic increase in cells expressing *ubp1*, whereas activating Notch led to a reduction (see Fig. S7A-D in the supplementary material). Using FISH and confocal microscopy, *ubp1* expression was seen to localize to the *pendrin*-expressing beta-INCs, but was either absent or strongly reduced in *ae1*-expressing alpha-INCs (Fig. 6A,B), making *ubp1* the first known transcription factor that marks a specific PSC subtype. Therefore, its subtype-specific expression and its regulation by Notch suggest a potential role for *ubp1* in beta-INC formation.

We next examined the role of *ubp1* in subtype specification by expressing in embryos a form of *ubp1* fused to HGR. When not treated with DEX, the numbers of alpha- or beta-INCs were unchanged in injected embryos based on B1 localization and *ae1* expression (see Fig. S8 in the supplementary material). Strikingly, activation of *ubp1-HGR* with DEX at stage 12 inhibited the formation of alpha-INCs while inducing additional beta-INCs, as marked by whole-mount *ae1* and *pendrin*-GFP staining or by *atp6v1b1* localization (not shown). *HMMmut* was inserted into the genome of *ubp1* transgenics (Fig. 6C). Quantification of the different INC subtypes in *ubp1-HGR* injected embryos indicated that *ubp1* misexpression not only biases subtype specification toward beta-INCs but also increases the total INC number.

We also examined H’v-ATPase localization in INCs in *ubp1-HGR*-injected embryos, and found a similar reduction of apical localization indicative of alpha-INCs and an increase in basolateral localization indicative of beta-INCs (Fig. 6E). We did not observe any cells co-expressing *pendrin* and *ae1* by transcript, transgene or protein, nor did we see *ae1*-positive cells with basolateral *atp6v1b1* or *ae1*-negative cells with apical *atp6v1b1* (not shown), suggesting
that the alpha-INC and beta-INC identities remained intact under these manipulations. Finally, we induced ubp1-HGR-injected embryos with DEX at both stage 12, when INC precursors first form, and at stage 18, when INCs have differentiated, and scored subtype identity at stage 26 (see Fig. S8 in the supplementary material). Misexpressing ubp1 suppressed alpha-INC subtype formation at stage 12 but not at stage 18, suggesting that once alpha-INCs have formed they cannot be interconverted to a beta fate by ubp1 activity.

**ubp1 acts downstream of foxi1 to suppress alpha-INC differentiation**

 ubp1 could conceivably influence INC subtype specification by altering the ability of foxi1 to activate gene expression in the subtype lineages. To test this possibility, we examined INC subtype specification at stage 26 in embryos injected with foxi1-HGR RNA and treated with DEX at stage 12. By whole-mount in situ hybridization, foxi1-HGR strongly increased the number of cells expressing ae1, suggesting that the INCs induced by ectopic foxi1 were primarily alpha in character (see Fig. S9A-B in the supplementary material). By contrast, foxi1-HGR produced a much more modest increase in the number of cells expressing pendrin, as determined by whole-mount in situ hybridization (see Fig. S9C-D in the supplementary material) and confirmed when foxi1-HGR was expressed in pendrin-GFP transgenics (data not shown). However, when assayed by ae1 antibody staining to quantify this result, foxi1-HGR strongly induced ae1 ectopically in outer cells, thus obscuring whether the INCs induced by foxi1-HGR were ae1 positive or negative (Fig. 7D). To circumvent this problem, we used a transplantation assay (see Fig. S9G in the supplementary material) to restrict foxi1-HGR expression to the inner layer cells. This assay confirmed that the majority of INCs induced by foxi1-HGR were ae1 positive, with a much more modest increase in ae1-negative INCs, similar to that seen when scored by pendrin expression (see Fig. S9E-J in the supplementary material). Thus, the increase in INC formation produced by foxi1-HGR biases the generation of alpha- over beta-INCs (see Fig. S9 in the supplementary material).

**Fig. 6. ubp1 regulates beta-INC specification.** (A,B) Two-color FISH indicates that ubp1 is co-expressed with pendrin, which marks beta-INCs (A), but not with ae1, a marker of alpha-INCs (B). The arrow marks an ae1-expressing cell and the arrowhead marks a ubp1-expressing cell.

(C,E) Xenopus embryos were injected with ubp1-HGR RNA at the 2-cell stage, treated with DEX at stage 12 and then fixed at stage 26 to quantify the number of alpha-INCs and beta-INCs using three different approaches: two-label FISH for ae1 (red) and pendrin (green) mRNA (C); pendrin-GFP transgenics (green) with ae1 antibody staining (blue) and mRFP (red) (D); or mGFP (green) and B1 antibody staining (red) (E). Arrows mark alpha-INCs. Cells were counted from at least three random fields (196 μm² of embryo surface) from at least five embryos, typically eight; fields shown are 98 μm². Error bars indicate ± s.d. All values between a given INC subtype under various conditions are significantly different relative to the control, based on a two-tailed t-test (P≤0.005). Scale bar: 20 μm.

**Fig. 7. ubp1-HGR converts foxi1-HGR-induced alpha-INCs into beta-INCs.** (A-F) Xenopus embryos were injected at the 2-cell stage with foxi1-HGR RNA or both foxi1-HGR and ubp1-HGR RNA, along with mGFP RNA as a tracer. Injected embryos were treated with DEX at stage 12, fixed at stage 26, and then stained with B1 (red, upper panels) and with ae1 (blue, lower panels) antibodies. (G) Pendrin-GFP embryos were injected with foxi1-HGR, ubp1-HGR and mGFP as tracer, and then stained with ae1 antibody (blue). (H) Based on ae1 and B1 staining, alpha-INCs and beta-INCs per field were scored under the various conditions; each field represents 196 μm² of embryo surface. Error bars indicate ± s.d. Scale bar: 20 μm.
To determine whether ubp1 can influence the subtypes of INCs induced by foxi1-HGR, embryos were injected with both ubp1-HGR and foxi1-HGR mRNA and treated with DEX at stage 12. In these embryos, ae1 expression in the outer layer cells was largely repressed, and most of the INCs now differentiated as beta-INCs rather than alpha-INCs, based on antibody staining against ae1 and atp6v1b1 as well as pendrin-GFP expression (Fig. 7E-G). Thus, foxi1 on its own appears to tip the balance toward alpha-INC specification, whereas ubp1 can tip the balance back to beta-INC specification.

DISCUSSION

The adult frog skin has been extensively studied as a transporting epithelium, reflecting the fact that it mediates both NaCl uptake under low ionic conditions and pH homeostasis (Schachowa, 1876; Schulze, 1876; Schiefferdecker, 1881; Krogh, 1937; Krogh, 1938). These studies have identified cells that have been proposed to be analogous to ICs in the kidney, based on the fact that they independently exchange Na+ for H+ and Cl− for HCO3− (Larsen et al., 1996; Ehrenfeld and Klein, 1997; Jensen et al., 2003), using a proton-motive force attributed to a H+–ATPase pump related to that in mammalian ICs (Klein et al., 1997; Jensen et al., 2002). Here, we provide evidence that cells remarkably similar to the ICs of the mammalian kidney arise in the Xenopus larval skin. We also show that these cells are likely to be functionally heterogeneous, resembling the two main subtypes of ICs (Royaux et al., 2001; Devonald et al., 2003; Jensen et al., 2003). Finally, we exploit this new model system to explore how PSCs become specified developmentally and heterogeneous in function.

Cell type specification in the skin

INC express many of the genes that characterize PSCs in mammals, including the ‘kidney-specific’ subunits of the H+–ATPase, atp6v1b1 and atp6v0a4, which when mutated induce recessive distal renal tubular acidosis (dRTA) in humans (Karet et al., 1999; Stehberger et al., 2003; Breton and Brown, 2007). Like PSCs in mammals and fish (Hulander et al., 2003; Blomqvist et al., 2004; Blomqvist et al., 2006; Hsiao et al., 2007; Janicke et al., 2007; Vidarsson et al., 2009; Janicke et al., 2010), the expression of these genes in INCs appears to be driven by foxi1 (Vidarsson et al., 2009). The role of foxi1 in PSC differentiation, however, is likely to extend beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very potent at inducing cells beyond the activation of genes that mediate the ion transport properties of PSCs. foxi1-HGR is also very poten...
cp211, both of which are also expressed in the skin (data not shown) (Hayes et al., 2007). Members of this grainyhead subfamily are known to heterodimerize and have been suggested to have overlapping functions (Yoon et al., 1994; Wilanowski et al., 2002; Sato et al., 2005; Katsura et al., 2009; To et al., 2010), although this has not been formally tested in vivo by making compound mutants. Thus, further work needs to be done to determine whether these grainyhead family members are indeed required for INC subtype specification by promoting the formation of beta-subtypes, or if ubp1 is acting fortuitously to regulate the expression of other transcription factors that normally serve this function.

Subtype specification is also altered, but in the opposite way, when INCs are induced by misexpression of foxi1-HGR. foxi1 induces an increase in both INC subtypes, but with a strong bias for alpha- over beta-INCs. The small increase in beta-INCs can be attributed to the observation that foxi1 also induces the expression of ubp1 (I.K.Q., unpublished observations), perhaps explaining how expression of the subtype specification factors is initiated during INC formation. However, co-expression of ubp1-HGR along with foxi-HGR suppresses the formation of alpha-INCs while promoting beta-INC formation. Together, these results are best explained by a model in which the specification of INC subtypes is determined by the ratio between ubp1, acting as a beta-forming factor, and an unknown alpha-forming factor, the expression of which is activated by foxi1. A stochastic competition between these factors undergoing cross-repression would then act as a binary switch to ensure that the two subtypes form in a mutually exclusive manner but in a ratio determined, at least in part, by the levels of foxi and/or Notch activity. This model could explain why we have not detected INCs with a mixed subtype character, in which pendrin and ael would be co-expressed, in any of the experimental manipulations described here, and why other studies have failed to show colocalization of ael and pendrin in any individual ICs in the kidney (Royaux et al., 2001; Song et al., 2007). The model also predicts the existence of an as yet unknown factor that is influenced by Notch and promotes the alpha-INC fate at the expense of beta-INCs by repressing pendrin expression and apical localization of the H+V-ATPase.

Note added in proof
Dubaisi and Papalopulu (Dubaisi and Papalopulu, 2010) have recently described ionocytes in the Xenopus skin, focusing on the interaction of these cells with ciliated cells.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GenBank</th>
<th>Unigene</th>
<th>Δ</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Human ortholog</th>
<th>Mouse phenotype or human symptom</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BC042303.1</td>
<td>Xl.20089</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>Foxi1, winged-helix transcription factor</td>
<td>FOXI1</td>
<td>Loss of PSCs in kidney, epididymis and inner ear in mouse, deafness and Pendred syndrome in humans</td>
<td>PMID: 17503324 (Yang et al., 2007), 16932748 (Blomqvist et al., 2006), 15173882 (Blomqvist et al., 2004), 12642503 (Hulander et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ090165</td>
<td>Xl.22874</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>upb1, Grainyhead family of transcription factors</td>
<td>LBP1A</td>
<td>Loss of placental angiogenesis in mouse</td>
<td>PMID: 15282311 (Parekh et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB564456</td>
<td>Xl.5948</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Fetuin B-like, cystatin, Histidine-rich protein</td>
<td>fetuin B</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ054524</td>
<td>Xl.16561</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Similar to CLC-K, voltage-dependent chloride channel</td>
<td>CLCN2</td>
<td>Blindness and infertility in mouse, expressed in epithelia affected by cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>PMID: 11250895 (Bosil et al., 2001), 9520461 (Schwiebert et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM172535</td>
<td>Xl.10887</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Pendrin-like, anion exchanger</td>
<td>Pendrin, SLC26A4</td>
<td>Loss of hearing, kidney bicarbonate transport in mice, Pendred syndrome, autosomal deafness/enlarged vestibular aqueduct in humans</td>
<td>PMID: 11274445 (Royaux et al., 2001), 9398842 (Everett et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG555028</td>
<td>Xl.2715</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ATP6V0A4, proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6V0A4</td>
<td>Distal renal tubular acidosis in humans</td>
<td>PMID: 10577919 (Karet et al., 1999b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF072092</td>
<td>Xl.10362</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase XII</td>
<td>CA12</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC041213.1</td>
<td>Xl.15327</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase II A</td>
<td>CA2</td>
<td>Renal tubular acidosis in mice and humans</td>
<td>PMID: 3126501 (Lewis et al., 1988), 1301935 (Hu et al., 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ051736</td>
<td>Xl.11114</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>ATP6AC45, proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATPase, H+ transporting, lysosomal accessory protein 1</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ089334</td>
<td>Xl.8939</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>ATP6V0D2, proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6V0D2</td>
<td>None reported, expressed in kidney and epididymis</td>
<td>PMID: 12384298 (Smith et al., 2002), 16192400 (Pietrement et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB560639</td>
<td>Xl.9576</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase II B</td>
<td>CA2</td>
<td>Renal tubular acidosis in mice and humans</td>
<td>PMID: 3126501 (Lewis et al., 1988), 1301935 (Hu et al., 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC043831.1</td>
<td>Xl.17895</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Highly conserved coiled-coiled protein</td>
<td>LOC80127</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI314316</td>
<td>Xl.18310</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>cyp3c1, p450 enzyme</td>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetic defects</td>
<td>PMID: 10668853 (Sata et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ076278</td>
<td>Xl.15867</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ATP6V1B1, proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6V1B1</td>
<td>Distal renal tubular acidosis in mice and humans, deafness in humans</td>
<td>PMID: 16174750 (Finberg et al., 2005), 9916796 (Karet et al., 1999a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ088745</td>
<td>Xl.24143</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>CLC7 chloride channel protein 7</td>
<td>CLCN7</td>
<td>Osteopetrosis in mice and humans due to poor extracellular acidification</td>
<td>PMID: 11207362 (Kornak et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF419159.1</td>
<td>Xl.17387</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>neutralized, Notch pathway</td>
<td>neutralized</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB558944</td>
<td>Xl.24365.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ATP6V1H proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6V1H</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE189176</td>
<td>Xl.5223</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>ATP6V1E2 proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6V1E2</td>
<td>None reported, expressed in epididymis</td>
<td>PMID: 16192400 (Pietrement et al., 2006), 12036578 (Imai-Senga et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ076846</td>
<td>Xl.13563.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Anion Exchanger 1, Band 3</td>
<td>AE1, SLC4A1</td>
<td>Distal renal tubular acidosis in mice and humans</td>
<td>PMID: 17409310 (Steinberger et al., 2007), 12539048 (Devonald et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG161319</td>
<td>Xl.6664.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ATP6V1F proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6V1F</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM192083</td>
<td>Xl.24352</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ATP6V0B proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6V0B</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ086424</td>
<td>Xl.26301.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>ATP6AP2 proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6AP2</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC043805.1</td>
<td>Xl.8573</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>ATP6V0C proton pump subunit</td>
<td>ATP6V0C</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All four blastomeres of X. laevis embryos at the 4-cell stage were injected with either ICD or HMM RNA. At stage 10, ectoderm was isolated and cultured on fibronectin-coated coverslips. RNA was isolated at stage 22 and analyzed by Affymetrix arrays. The expression levels of RNAs from three separate experiments were compared pairwise, and average fold change determined. Shown are all of the top genes in terms of fold change, many of which encode proteins involved in ion transport. The lower collection of genes represents other potential INC genes with lower fold changes on the array. The complete microarray dataset has been submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession number GSE23844.
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