Concentric zones, cell migration and neuronal circuits in the Drosophila visual center
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SUMMARY
The Drosophila optic lobe comprises a wide variety of neurons, which form laminar neuropiles with columnar units and topographic projections from the retina. The Drosophila optic lobe shares many structural characteristics with mammalian visual systems. However, little is known about the developmental mechanisms that produce neuronal diversity and organize the circuits in the primary region of the optic lobe, the medulla. Here, we describe the key features of the developing medulla and report novel phenomena that could accelerate our understanding of the Drosophila visual system. The identities of medulla neurons are pre-determined in the larval medulla primordium, which is subdivided into concentric zones characterized by the expression of four transcription factors: Drifter, Runt, Homothorax and Brain-specific homeobox (Bsh). The expression pattern of these factors correlates with the order of neuron production. Once the concentric zones are specified, the distribution of medulla neurons changes rapidly. Each type of medulla neuron exhibits an extensive but defined pattern of migration during pupal development. The results of clonal analysis suggest homothorax is required to specify the neuronal type by regulating various targets including Bsh and cell-adhesion molecules such as N-cadherin, while drifter regulates a subset of morphological features of Drifter-positive neurons. Thus, genes that show the concentric zones may form a genetic hierarchy to establish neuronal circuits in the medulla.
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INTRODUCTION
In many animals, visual information is processed by visual centers in the brain that are composed of laminar structures and columnar units with topographic input from the retina. The neuronal circuits in the visual centers process various types of visual information such as motion, color and shape. As noted by Ramón y Cajal, the fly optic lobe shares structural characteristics with mammalian visual systems (Sanes and Zipursky, 2010), and Drosophila genetics allow high resolution genetic manipulations (Gao et al., 2008; Rister et al., 2007). The Drosophila visual system may provide a powerful model to investigate the mechanisms underlying the formation of visual processing circuits. However, little is known regarding the developmental processes that create neuronal diversity and organize these circuits in the primary region of the optic lobe, the medulla.

The Drosophila retina is composed of ~800 ommatidial units, each containing eight types of photoreceptor neurons (R1-8). R1-6 detect moving stimuli (Katsov and Clandinin, 2008; Rister et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2009), while R7-8 are involved in color vision (Gao et al., 2008; Morante and Desplan, 2008). Visual information received in the retina is transmitted to the optic lobe composed of lamina, medulla, lobula and lobula plate. The medulla, lobula and lobula plate are subdivided into ten (M1-10), six (Lo1-6) and four (Lop1-4) strata, respectively.

The medulla is the largest component of the Drosophila optic lobe, containing ~40,000 neurons forming 10 layers. In Golgi studies, 60 types of medulla neurons with different morphological characteristics have been identified (Fischbach and Dittrich, 1989; Hofbauer and Campos-Ortega, 1990). Because R7-8 axons terminate in the medulla and the visual information carried by R1-6 axons is sent to the medulla through a relay by lamina neurons, the medulla might process all of the visual information received in the retina (Takemura et al., 2008). In spite of the importance of the medulla, the developmental mechanisms that produce neuronal diversity and organize medulla circuits remain largely unknown. In the larval brain, the primary source of medulla neurons is thought to be neuroblasts (NBs) situated in the outer proliferation center (OPC) (Hofbauer and Campos-Ortega, 1990; Yasugi et al., 2008). The differentiation of NBs from neuroepithelial cells (NEs) progresses from the medial to the lateral region of the OPC, and each NB produces ganglion mother cells (GMCs) that subsequently divide to become neurons (Egger et al., 2007; Toriya et al., 2006). A key goal in developmental neurobiology is understanding the molecular mechanisms by which each of 40,000 neurons in the medulla establishes an appropriate identity among 60 different possibilities and connects to appropriate targets to form neuronal circuits.

Here, we describe the key features of the developing medulla and report novel observations that could accelerate our understanding of the Drosophila visual system. During larval development, the medulla is subdivided into concentric zones that are characterized by...
the expression of four conserved transcription factors: Drifter (Drf), Runt (Run), Homothorax (Hth) and Brain-specific homeobox (Bsh) (Anderson et al., 1995; Gergen and Butler, 1988; Jones and McGinnis, 1993; Kurant et al., 1998; Pai et al., 1998; Rieckhof et al., 1997). The expression patterns of these factors correlate with the order of neuron production. Once the concentric zones are specified, the distribution of medulla neurons changes rapidly, and the concentric zones are disrupted early in pupal development owing to the extensive migration of cell bodies. The projection patterns of medulla neurons are established through a series of steps – axonal projection, cell body migration and dendritic arborization – that are stereotypically defined according to the neuronal type most likely governed by genes that show the concentric zones.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Generation of Gal4 strains**

Following DNA fragments were PCR amplified using primers listed in Table S1 in the supplementary material.

drf-Gal4
The drfL, Gal4 and drfR fragments were inserted to pW25 to have drfGal/W25, which was used for homologous recombination (Gong and Golic, 2003).

hth-Gal4
The hthL, Gal4 and hthR fragments were inserted to pW25 to have hthGal/W25, which was introduced to the hth locus by converting to the hth-lacZ insertion using a2-3 (Sepp and Auld, 1999). hth-Gal4 was inserted between exons 9 and 10. hth expression was significantly reduced in hth-Gal4 homozygous clones (not shown).

bsh-Gal4
The bshLz, Gal4, Kmr and bshRz fragments were inserted to pW25 to have bshLzGal4Kmr, which was inserted to a BAC containing the bsh locus (Kimura et al., 2006; Venken et al., 2006). The bshLB and bshRB fragments at the boundary of the bsh locus were inserted to attB-Pacman-ApR. The bsh locus was retrieved from the BAC to obtain bshGalBAC/Pacman, which was introduced to the fly genome by P-element mediated transformation (third chromosome).

**Fly strains**

Fly strains used were: repo-Gal4, AyGal4, Actin>stop>lacZ, UAS>CD2>CD8GFP, UAS-flp, hs-flp, elav-Gal4, UAS-CD8GFP, tub-Gal80, FRT40A, FRT2A, FRT82B, M33r, UAS-GFP, UAS-nlsGFP, UAS-SytHA, UAS-tau-myc, dfrE82, dfrH76, UAS-drf, hthP2, UAS-hth, hth-lacZ, NCadN, NCadN, NP2646 (CG11873) and NP6013 (annemac), dpnGal4 was provided by Ethan Bier (UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA).

**Clonal analysis**

Following genetic crosses and heat shock conditions were used: Fig. 2, hs-flp; UAS-CD8GFP was crossed to AyGal4 (32°C, 15 minutes at early 3rd instar, dissected 36 hours after heat shock); Fig. 3, elav-Gal4 UAS-CD8GFP hs-flp; tub-Gal80 FRT2A was crossed to FRT2A (37°C, 60 minutes at 2nd instar); Fig. 4, hs-flp; UAS>CD2>CD8GFP was crossed to hth-Gal4, NP6013 and bsh-Gal4 flies. hs-flp; FRT82B tub-Gal80 was crossed to UAS-SytHA; FRT82B hth-Gal4 UAS-CD8GFP (32-33°C, 60 minutes at 3rd instar). Fig. 5, y> FRT40A; hth-Gal4 UAS-CD8GFP was crossed to hs-flp; tub-Gal80 FRT40A; UAS-CD8GFP hs-flp; FRT82B tub-Gal80 was crossed to FRT82B hth-Gal4 UAS-CD8GFP hs-flp; FRT82B tub-Gal80 was crossed to FRT82B hth-Gal4 UAS-CD8GFP (32-33°C, 60 minutes at 3rd instar). hs-flp; FRT82B tub-Gal80 FRT40A; UAS-CD8GFP hs-flp; FRT82B tub-Gal80 was crossed to NP6013; NCadN or NCadN FRT40A; UAS-CD8GFP or NP6013; y> FRT40A; UAS-CD8GFP (32°C, 60 minutes at 2nd to early 3rd instar). hs-flp; tub-Gal80 FRT40A; UAS-CD8GFP was crossed to NCadN or NCadN FRT40A; bsh-Gal4 UAS-CD8GFP or y> FRT40A; bsh-Gal4 UAS-CD8GFP (32-33°C, 60 minutes at 3rd instar). hs-flp; AyGal4 UAS-GFP was crossed to UAS-hth (34°C, 30-60 minutes at early 3rd instar). hs-flp; FRT82B hthP2 was crossed to FRT82B ubi-GFP M3(3R)w flies (37°C, 60 minutes at 1st instar).

In Fig. 6, hs-flp; tub-Gal80 FRT40A; drf-Gal4 UAS-CD8GFP was crossed to UAS-SyntHA; FRT40A flies (31°C, 30 minutes at 3rd instar); in Fig. 7, UAS-SyntHA; tub-Gal80 FRT24 was crossed to hs-flp; FRT2A UAS-CD8GFP NP2646 or hs-flp; dfrE82 or dfrH76 FRT2A UAS-CD8GFP NP2646. hs-flp; UAS-drf; tub-Gal80 FRT2A was crossed to hs-flp; dfrE82 FRT2A UAS-CD8GFP NP2646 (33°C, 20 minutes at 3rd instar).

**RESULTS**

The larval medulla is subdivided into concentric zones expressing conserved transcription factors

To identify genetic subdivisions in the medulla primordium of the larval brain, the expression of conserved transcription factors was examined. We identified concentric zones characterized by the expression of four conserved genes that encode transcription factors: Drifter (Drf) from the Brn family, Runt (Run) from the Runx family, Homothorax (Hth) from the Meis family and Brain-specific homeobox (Bsh) from the Bsx family (Fig. 1D,E) (Anderson et al., 1995; Gergen and Butler, 1988; Jones and McGinnis, 1993; Rieckhof et al., 1997). All of these genes, which we collectively call ‘concentric genes’, were expressed in differentiated neurons (Fig. 1F); however, weak Hth signals were also found in NBs and NEs (Fig. 1D’). The concentric zones expressing Drf, Run and Hth were adjacent but did not overlap (Fig. 1D). Bsh expression was found in the outer subdomain of the Hth domain (Fig. 1E). Medulla neurons projected axon-like structures toward the center of the brain hemisphere to form concentric neuropiles (Fig. 1A,B,F). Accumulations of tau-Myc suggest that the axon-like structures are indeed axons (Fig. 1J) (Thor et al., 1999). Large glial cells were found on the surface of the medulla, while small glial cells were in the innermost area of the medulla cortex adjacent to the Hth domain (Fig. 1G), which most likely become the medulla neuroepithelial glia (MNG; see Fig. 3B) (Tix et al., 1997). The processes of glial cells were found in the larval medulla cortex (Fig. 1G).

The birth order of medulla neurons correlates with concentric gene expression

The NBs are formed from NEs in the OPC during early third larval instar. NB produces GMCs that subsequently divide to produce medulla neurons (Colonquies et al., 2007; Egger et al., 2007; Nassi
et al., 2003; Toriya et al., 2006; Yasugi et al., 2008). Many neurons are produced from a single NB with a linear and radial orientation towards the center of the developing medulla (Fig. 1A,B). A weak expression of concentric genes appeared around mid third instar (not shown).

Each clone of neurons produced from a single NB formed a line that intersected the concentric zones (Fig. 1A,B,H,I); thus, the expression of concentric genes may not be lineage dependent. Each NB may produce several types of medulla neurons that are distinguished by the expression of concentric genes. To address this possibility, a small group of cells were labeled with constitutive expression of \( \text{UAS-CD8GFP} \) (Fig. 2A,B) (Ito et al., 1997). Mild induction of FLPase triggered GFP expression in a single NB, as identified by Deadpan (Dpn) expression, and in daughter cells of the NB (Fig. 2B) (Bier et al., 1992). The GFP-positive neurons are thought to derive from a single NB. Our observations suggest that various combinations of neurons can be produced from a single NB (Drf/Bsh, Drf/Run, Bsh/Hth, Run/Hth; Fig. 2B and not shown). Because we could not restrict the timing of clone induction in newborn NBs, a subset of daughter cells produced from a NB could be labeled with GFP. However, a single NB clone tended to contain two or three Drf-positive, one Run-positive and one Bsh-positive neurons (Fig. 2C), suggesting that many of the NBs have essentially the same potential to produce a series of medulla neurons (Fig. 2D).

Because NBs produce neurons directed towards the center of the medulla, it is likely that neurons born early in development are located in inner concentric zones, while late-born neurons are found in outer zones. To confirm this theory, we used a neuroblast specific Gal4 driver (\( \text{dpn-Gal4} \)) that specifically expresses Gal4 mRNA in NB cells (Fig. 2G,H). Differentiated neurons inherit the Gal4 protein, even after \( \text{Gal4} \) transcription is terminated; thus, a strong GFP signal was detected in neurons in the outer concentric zones (Fig. 2E). However, the GFP signal was decreased in neurons distant from NBs and was not detectable in the innermost zones. A prominent GFP signal was observed in the innermost, non-neuronal glial cells (Fig. 2F), a result supporting the hypothesis that NBs produce glial cells that subsequently migrate toward the medulla.
produce neurons with a radial orientation, GFP-positive neurons situated in the outermost region of the larval medulla primordium and after migration were compared (Fig. 3C). Because the NBs containing multiple NBs (A) or a single NB (B). (C) Number of cells expressing Drf, Bsh and Run in single NB clones that intersect the Drf-Bsh or Drf-Run domains. Clones in the posterior edge of the OPC are not considered. (D) A schematic of NBs producing multiple types of medulla neurons. (E,F) Neuronal birth order visualized using drf-Gal4 UAS-GFP (green). Later-born neurons show stronger GFP signals. (E) Drf (blue) and Bsh (magenta) expression. (F) Glial cells (Repo; magenta; arrowheads). 

**Medulla neurons migrate extensively and the concentric zones are disrupted during pupal development**

The expression of concentric genes in the larval medulla primordium may regulate neuronal identities and contribute to the formation of neuronal circuits in the adult medulla. We thus examined concentric gene expression during pupal development (Fig. 3A). The concentric zones found in the larval medulla were essentially conserved during early pupal development until 8 hours after puparium formation (APF; Fig. 3A, part a). However, the concentric zones began to collapse at 12 hours APF (Fig. 3A, part b). The distribution of neurons continued to change until 24 hours APF, becoming completely disorganized (Fig. 3A, parts c-e). The same disorganized pattern was found in the adult brain (Fig. 3A, part f). Because the expression of concentric transcription factors appeared stable and strong, it is likely that cell bodies migrate extensively between 12 and 24 hours APF without changing gene expression. Hth- and Drf-positive cells were found in the innermost and outer zones of the larval medulla, respectively. However, both Hth- and Drf-positive cells were found in the inner and outer zones in adults (Fig. 3A, part f). This result suggests that the cell bodies of medulla neurons may be migrating in a radial pattern.

In order to address whether they are indeed migrating, clones of medulla neurons were constitutively labeled with GFP (Lee and Luo, 1999). The relative distributions of GFP-positive cells before and after migration were compared (Fig. 3C). Because the NBs situated in the outermost region of the larval medulla primordium produce neurons with a radial orientation, GFP-positive neurons were linearly arranged in the larval brain (Fig. 3C, part a). Similar distributions of GFP-positive cells were found at the beginning of migration at 12 hours APF (Fig. 3C, part b). However, after the completion of migration at 24 hours APF, GFP-positive cells were stochastically distributed (Fig. 3C, part c). Therefore, medulla neurons changed their relative locations between 12 and 24 hours APF. Because a radial migration would not disrupt the radial arrangement of cells, medulla neurons may also migrate with a tangential orientation, perpendicular to the linear arrangement of GFP-positive cells in the larval brain (Fig. 3C, part a).

To determine whether the expression of concentric genes persists during metamorphosis, Drf-positive neurons were constitutively labeled with lacZ (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). A Gal4 driver that accurately mimics drf expression (drf-Gal4) was used to express FLPase to constitutively label Drf-positive cells with lacZ (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material) (McGuire et al., 2003; Struhl and Basler, 1993). If drf expression persists during metamorphosis, all of the lacZ positive neurons in the adult brain should express GFP, which is under the control of drf-Gal4. Indeed, our data suggest that drf expression persists during metamorphosis.

Changes in distributions of Hth-, Run- and Drf-positive neurons were seemingly random. However, Bsh/Hth double positive neurons displayed a clear pattern of migration (Fig. 3B). Because Bsh is expressed in a subset of Hth-positive neurons, Bsh/Hth-positive cells were initially situated in the inner area of the medulla primordium (Fig. 1E, Fig. 3B, part a). However, they always moved outward during metamorphosis and were eventually situated in the outermost area of the adult medulla (Fig. 3B, parts b-f). This finding suggests that the migration of medulla neurons is indeed an organized and patterned process, but that labeling several types of neurons may obscure the migration pattern. Because the projection pattern of Bsh/Hth-positive neurons could be continuously traced from the larval to adult medulla (Fig. 5), we assume that the expression of Bsh and Hth in these neurons does not change throughout development. The locations of Drf-positive neurons in the adult brain could also be classified according to
neuronal subtypes (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material), again suggesting that each type of medulla neuron exhibits a stereotyped migration pattern.

**Hth is expressed in four types of medulla neurons, while co-expression of Hth and Bsh is found in a single subtype**

To investigate projection patterns of Hth-positive neurons, a Gal4 driver that mimics hth expression was generated. The resulting *hth-Gal4* allele widely induced *UAS-GFP* expression in the larval medulla probably due to residual expression of Gal4 produced in NBs. In the adult brain, *hth-Gal4* largely recapitulated Hth expression (Fig. 4A). We also searched for Gal4 drivers that mimic Hth expression. *NP6013* induced *UAS-CD8GFP* expression in a subset of Hth-positive neurons in the larval brain (Fig. 4B). The projections of Hth-positive medulla neurons were already present in the larval brain; their terminals were restricted to the medulla neuropile and were not found in the lobula complex (lobula and lobula plate; Fig. 4B).

Generating single cell clones expressing *CD8GFP* under the control of *hth-Gal4* and *NP6013* (Wong et al., 2002), we identified at least four types of Hth-positive neurons in the adult brain (Fig. 4C-F; see Fig. S2B in the supplementary material). As observed in the larval medulla, none of these neurons projected to the lobula complex (Fig. 4B). Neuronal types were classified according to the combinations of layers to which they project, as confirmed by N-Cadherin expression (NCad; Fig. 6E, part c) (Fischbach and Dittrich, 1989; Morante and Desplan, 2008). The neuronal type most frequently observed was Mi1, which shows small arborizations at M1, M5 and M9-10 (Fig. 4C; see Fig. S2B in the supplementary material). Neurons that show stratifications at M1 and M4 in the medulla and projections to the lamina were temporarily named lamina wide field-like1 (Lawfl1) as arborizations in the lamina were barely detectable owing to weak GFP expression. The other Lawfl-like neuron, Lawfl2, showed arborizations at M1 and M8-10 in the medulla and projections to the lamina (Fig. 4E). Lawfl2 has not been described in the literature. Pm3 are local neurons restricted to the proximal medulla (Fig. 4F).

Bsh is expressed in a subset of Hth-positive neurons from larval to adult stages (Fig. 1E, Fig. 3B). We found that Bsh was only expressed in Mi1 type neurons in the adult medulla (see Fig. S2B in the supplementary material). We thus generated a *bsh-Gal4* strain by inserting the Gal4-coding sequence into the genomic fragment of the *bsh* gene that contains the entire *bsh* locus. The expression of *bsh-Gal4* was similar to Bsh in adults (Fig. 4G).
Indeed, mosaic analyses using *bsh-Gal4* specifically indicated the presence of Mi1 neurons in the medulla. Presynaptic sites were revealed using synaptotagmin-HA (SytHA) (Robinson et al., 2002). The SytHA signal was very strong at M9-10 but weak or hardly detectable at M1/5, suggesting that Mi1 neurons have postsynaptic sites at M1/5 and a presynaptic site at M9-10 shaped like a fish hook (Fig. 4H).

In addition to the morphological features, neurotransmitters are important characteristics of neurons. We found that choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) was always upregulated in Bsh-positive neurons, making cells apparently switch neuronal types (Fig. 5; see Fig. S3A in the supplementary material). Mi1-like abnormal neurons, which were similar to Mi1 but exhibited irregular arborizations, were occasionally found (Fig. 5A,D). The phenotype could partially be rescued by introducing exogenous *hth* (Fig. 5D; see Fig. S3A in the supplementary material). Thus, the loss of *hth* function transforms Hth-positive neurons into diverse types of medulla neurons that are probably specified by genes other than *hth*.

Using *bsh-Gal4*, consistent phenotypes were observed in clones homozygous for a strong hypomorphic allele, *hth*<sup>22</sup>. In control experiments, *bsh-Gal4* exclusively labeled Mi1 neurons (Fig. 5D). However, Tm1-like rather than Mi1 neurons were found in *hth*<sup>22</sup>-homozygous clones (Fig. 5C,D; see Fig. S3A in the supplementary material). The formation of Mi1 was partially rescued by introducing exogenous *hth* (Fig. 5D; see Fig. S3A in the supplementary material). In contrast to the distal localization of Mi1 cell bodies in the wild-type medulla cortex, the cell bodies of *hth*-mutant Tm-like neurons were found in the proximal to intermediate cortical zone (*n*=11/16; Fig. 5C). Therefore, *hth* is essential to determining the identity of Mi1 neurons, including the location of cell bodies in the adult brain. Cell bodies of non-Mi1 type Hth-positive neurons tended to be found in more proximal cortical zones in the wild-type medulla cortex (Fig. 3Bf). Thus, *hth* and *bsh* may cooperate to determine the location of Mi1 cell bodies.

Because Hth was weakly expressed in NE and NB cells in the larval brain (Fig. 1D,E), the *hth*-mutant phenotypes shown above may be caused by general defects in neurogenesis resulting from abnormalities in neuronal precursor cells. However, we did not detect such defects in production of GMCs and neurons, as determined by Prospero and Elav expression in *hth*-mutant clones in the larval medulla (see Fig. S3B in the supplementary material).

Hth may regulate expression of downstream target genes to control specification and formation of Hth-positive neurons. *bsh* is a potential candidate of Hth-target gene because Bsh expression was frequently reduced in *hth* mutant clones (Fig. 5L). However, residual Bsh expression was detected (Fig. 5L). This may be due to hypomorphic nature of *hth*<sup>22</sup>, or *hth* function may not be
Fig. 5. hth regulates Bsh and NCad expression and neuronal identities. (A-C) Projections of hth mutant neurons labeled with UAS-CD8GFP (green) under the control of hth-Gal4 (A,B; hth-Gal4 clones) and bsh-Gal4 (C; hthP2 clone) in adults. NCad (blue). Bsh (blue in A,B). (A) Irregular arborizations of Mi1-like neuron (arrowheads). (B) C Tm13-like and Tm1-like neurons presumably transformed from Hth- and Bsh-positive neurons, respectively. Putative glial cells are indicated (arrowhead in B). (D) Catalogue of neurons in control, hth mutant and hth rescue clones. (E-I) Mi1 neurons of control (E-H) and NCadM19 (I) clones at 0 (E,I), 20 (F), 24 hours APF (G) and in adult (H) brains. Clones expressing UAS-CD8GFP (green) under the control of NP6013 (E,I) or bsh-Gal4 (F-H). NCad, magenta; Bsh, red in E,I. Arrows in E,I indicate Bsh-positive cell bodies. (E,F) Two arborization sites at ‘Distal’ (D) and ‘Proximal’ (P) NCad domains (arrowheads). (G) The arborizations of M1 are formed at 24 hours APF. ‘Distal’ and ‘Proximal’ arborizations in E,F correspond to M5 and M9-10, respectively. (I) Proximal arborization is missing in NCadM19 clones. (J) NCad upregulation (white; arrow) in Hth domain (magenta) in larval medulla. Only NCad is shown in the right half. (K) Ectopic NCad upregulation (white) in clones expressing hth (GFP; green; arrows). (L) Downregulation (arrows) of NCad (white) and Bsh expression (magenta) in hth mutant clones (dotted lines). Lo1 and 4, lobula layers 1 and 4; M, medulla; M1-M10, layers of the medulla.
Drf is expressed in nine types of medulla neurons

To compare neuronal types of Drf- and Hth-positive population, we generated drf-Gal4 strains that accurately mimic Drf expression. The drf-Gal4 induced GFP expression in a manner identical to Drf distribution throughout development (Fig. 6A,B). In the adult medulla, restricted subsets of medulla strata were densely labeled with GFP (Fig. 6E). Drf-positive neurons project to common target layers in the adult lobula.

To identify neuronal types showing Drf expression, we performed MARCM analyses using drf-Gal4 to catalog Drf-positive medulla neurons in adults and identified nine subtypes of Drf-positive neurons (Fig. 6F-M), the majority of which were lobula projection neurons that projected to the lobula complex (TmY3, Tm27, Tm27Y, Tm9, Tm3a/b, Mi10b, Dm8a and Dm8b). Three-dimensional reconstructed images (F-M, part a) and single confocal sections (F-M, part b; F-J, part c; J, part d). Lo1 and 4, lobula layers 1 and 4; M1-M10, layers of the medulla.
each subtype of Drf-positive neurons, locations of cell bodies were examined. As a result, we found that four subtypes of Drf neurons have cell bodies in the distal zone; three subtypes in the intermediate zone and two subtypes in the proximal to intermediate zones. Thus, cell body location correlated with the neuronal subtype of Drf-positive neuron, suggesting that each subtype shows a stereotyped pattern of migration during pupal development.

Intriguingly, many of Drf-positive neurons already projected to the lobula primordium in the larval brain (Fig. 6A,C). After projecting to the lobula, cell bodies began to migrate between 12 and 24 hours APF (Fig. 3A). At 24 hours APF, Drf-positive cell bodies were found in the inner area of the medulla cortex (Fig. 6D). Cell bodies were occasionally elongated with a radial orientation at 24 hours APF (arrowheads in Fig. 6D), which may imply these cells are migrating in radial orientation.

During pupal development, the medulla and lobula complex rotated 90° around the vertical axis, and the medulla cortex was eventually placed between the lamina and the medulla neuropile (Fig. 6D,E) (Meinertzhagen and Hanson, 1993). The migration of medulla neurons may be a secondary effect of medulla rotation. However, the relative locations of the medulla cortex and the lamina at 24 hours APF were relatively unchanged when compared with the larval brain (Fig. 6C,D), suggesting that the medulla neurons independently migrate before the rotation that occurs after 24 hours APF.

**Drf regulates axonal projections and dendritic arborizations**

Because the *drf-Gal4* we used is not a strong loss-of-function allele, it is not suitable for an analysis of *drf* mutants. We thus searched for Gal4 drivers that could label Drf-positive neurons independently of *drf* gene expression. NP2646 expressed Gal4 in some types of medulla neurons, including Tm27(Y) neurons (see Fig. S5 in the supplementary material). Tm27 neurons were rare, so we focused our analyses on Tm27Y. We created *drf* mutant MARCM clones and examined their morphology (Fig. 7). Although wild-type Tm27Y neurons showed extensive arborizations at M4-5 and M8-10 in the medulla and targeted Lo4 in the lobula, loss of *drf* function caused reduced arborization at M8-10, ectopic arborization at M2-3 and axonal targeting defects in the lobula (Fig. 7 and see Fig. S5C-F in the supplementary material). These defects are likely most caused by *drf* mutation because similar phenotypes were observed after using two independent *drf* alleles. Moreover, the defects were partially rescued by exogenous *drf* expression (see Fig. S5C-F in the supplementary material). The localization of Tm27Y cell bodies in the proximal to intermediate cortical zone was not noticeably altered in *drf* mutant clones (see Fig. S5G in the supplementary material). Essentially the same results were observed in Tm27 neurons (not shown). In other types of Drf-positive neurons, minor morphological defects were observed by knocking down *drf* under the control of *drf-Gal4* (not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

**Neuronal identities are pre-determined in the larval medulla**

Concentric genes are expressed in a defined subset of medulla neurons throughout development, suggesting that a part of neuronal identities are pre-determined in the larval medulla primordium. Our data suggest that Drf-positive neurons produce nine types of medulla neurons, including lobula projection and medulla intrinsic neurons, while Hth-positive neurons produce at least four types of neurons, including lamina projection and medulla intrinsic neurons. In Hth-positive neurons, Bsh is exclusively expressed in medulla intrinsic Mi1 neurons. A *hth* mutation caused the neuron to switch type (Fig. 5), while a *drf* mutation affected subsets of morphological features of Drf-positive neurons (Fig. 7). Thus, roles of concentric genes may be functionally segregated to form a genetic hierarchy. Apparently, other concentric genes must exist in addition to the four genes reported in this study. Because there are many neurons outside of the Drf domain in the larval medulla, some concentric genes may be expressed in the outer zones. Some transcription factors may have expression patterns that differ from those of concentric genes, and their combined expression may specify restricted subtypes of medulla neurons. For example, *apterous* (*ap*) and Cut are widely expressed in medulla neurons (see Fig. S4D in the supplementary material) (Morante and Desplan, 2008). Cut was co-expressed in subsets of Drf-positive neurons, while *ap* was expressed in all Drf- and Bsh/Hth-positive neurons (see Fig. S4B in the supplementary material and data not shown).

Early-born medulla neurons express the inner concentric genes, while late born neurons express the outer ones. Thus, concentric gene expression correlates with neuronal birth order (Fig. 2). However, it is still unknown how concentric gene expression is specified. It would be possible to speculate that genes controlling temporal specification of neurons are expressed in NBs to control the concentric gene expression. However, the genes that are known to control neuronal birth order in the embryonic CNS were not expressed in larval medulla NBs (not shown) (Ishikawa et al., 2001). In addition to local temporal mechanisms, such as birth order, global and spatial mechanisms governed by morphogen gradient may also play a role in determining medulla cell type (Jessell, 2000). In addition to birth order or a morphogen gradient, mutual repression among concentric genes may be essential in establishing defined concentric zones. Except for rare occasions, de-repression of other concentric genes was not induced in clones mutant for *hth* or *drf*. Additionally, ectopic *hth* expression did not compromise Drf and Run expression (not shown). These results may suggest that...
unidentified genes act redundantly with these genes to repress expression of other concentric genes and that weak Hth expression in NBs does not play roles in temporal specification of medulla neurons.

**Regulation of migration and its biological significance**

Various types of cell migration play important roles during vertebrate neurogenesis (Hatten, 1999; Nobrega-Pereira and Marin, 2009). Although *Drosophila* has been a powerful model of neural development, extensive neuronal migrations coupled with layer formation found in this study have not been previously reported. Our findings may establish a model to understand molecular mechanisms that govern brain development via neuronal migrations.

It is important to know whether the migration of medulla neurons occurs actively or passively. The distribution of cell bodies in the adult medulla cortex was not random, but organized according to cell type (Fig. 3; see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). In particular, the Mi1 neurons identified by Bsh expression migrated outwards and were eventually located in the outermost area of the adult medulla cortex (Fig. 3), which was affected in *hh* mutant clones (Fig. 5). The observation that defined localization of cell bodies is under the control of genetic program may not be explained by passive migration. Repression of apoptosis by expressing p35 under the control of *elav-Gal4* did not compromise migration of Bsh- and Drf-positive neurons, suggesting that apoptosis is not a driving force of the migration (not shown). If neurons migrate actively in an organized manner, what regulates the pattern of migration? In many cases, glial cells play important roles in neuronal migration (Hatten, 1999). Indeed, we identified glial cells and their processes in the medulla cortex (Fig. 1G). Glial cells or other cell types could provide cues for neuronal migration.

The medulla neurons project axons near their targets forming subsets of dendrites in the larval brain (Figs 5 and 6); the cell bodies migrated in the presence of preformed neurites during pupal development (Fig. 3). During or following cell body migration, additional dendrites were formed along the axonal shafts (Fig. 5). Therefore, cell body migration may somehow contribute to circuit formation in the medulla. Indeed, similar strategies have been reported in sensory neurons of *C. elegans* and cerebellar granule cells in mammals (Heiman and Shatam, 2009; Solec, et al., 2006). Thus, cell body migration in the presence of neurites may be a general conserved mechanism of circuit formation. Cell body migration may also allow developing cells to receive inductive cues provided by cells in the vicinity of the medulla cortex. For example, glial cells placed on the surface of the brain may trigger the expression of specific genes (e.g. *ChAT*) in Mi1 cells that are located in the outermost area of the adult medulla cortex.

**Contributions to functional neuronal circuits**

In adults, Mi1 neurons have arborization sites at M1 and M5, which coincide with the L1 lamina neuron terminals. In Golgi studies, Mi1 neurons were found in all parts of the retinotopic field (Fischbach and Dittrich, 1989). Indeed, the number of Bsh expressing medulla neurons was about 800, a figure similar to the number of ommatidial units (not shown). Therefore, the Mi1 neurons identified by Bsh expression are most probably columnar neurons with direct inputs from L1 neurons. Because L1 is known to have inputs from R1-6, which processes motion detection, Mi1 may participate in the motion detection circuit (Fischbach and Dittrich, 1989; Joesch et al., 2010; Katsov and Clandinin, 2008; Rister et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2009).

If the genetic codes that specify each type of neuron are found, it may encourage the functional study of defined neurons. In the medulla, *bsh-Gal4* is solely expressed by Mi1 neurons. Although the expression of Bsh is also observed in L4/5 lamina neurons (Zhu et al., 2009), intersectional strategies such as split Gal4 may enable us to specifically manipulate the activity of Mi1 by inducing expression of neurogenetic tools like *shibire* (Kitamoto, 2001; Luan et al., 2006). Our findings may thus provide insight into high-resolution functional neurobiology in the *Drosophila* visual system.

**Similarities with vertebrate neurogenesis**

Development of the mammalian central nervous system reiteratively establishes cell identity, directs cell migration and assembles neuronal layers (Hatten, 1999), processes similar to the patterns observed during medulla development. In the cerebral cortex, neurons are generated within the ventricular or subventricular zones and migrate outwards, leaving their birthplace along the radial glial fibers. Later-born neurons migrate radially into the cortical plate, past the deep layer neurons and become the upper layers. The layers of the cortex are thus created inside-out (Nobrega-Pereira and Marin, 2009). In the developing spinal cord, neuronal types are specified according to morphogen gradients (Jessell, 2000). Within each domain along the dorsoventral axis, neuronal and glial types are specified according to their birth order (Gullemot, 2007). The spinal cord neurons then migrate extensively along the radial, tangential and rostrocaudal axes (Leber and Sanes, 1995). Therefore, the initial organization of spinal cord neurons is disrupted in the mature system.

The medulla shares intriguing similarities with the mammalian central nervous system. For example, the concentric zones established in the larval medulla resemble the dorsoventral subdivisions of the spinal cord (Jessell, 2000). Extensive migrations of medulla neurons disrupt concentric zones, as observed in the spinal cord. However, we found that the locations of cell bodies were organized according to neuronal type, a distribution that may be similar to the cortical organization of the cerebral cortex (Nobrega-Pereira and Marin, 2009). Thus, the development of the medulla may share characteristics with various forms of neurogenesis found in the mammalian central nervous system. A comprehensive study of important features of neurogenesis will now be possible using the *Drosophila* visual center and powerful tools of *Drosophila* genetics. Unveiling all aspects of development in the medulla will not only shed light into the functional neurobiology of the visual system, but also elucidate the developmental neurobiology of vertebrates and invertebrates.
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