Multiple developmental programs are altered by loss of \textit{Zic1} and \textit{Zic4} to cause Dandy-Walker malformation cerebellar pathogenesis
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\textbf{SUMMARY}

Heterozygous deletions encompassing the ZIC1;ZIC4 locus have been identified in a subset of individuals with the common cerebellar birth defect Dandy-Walker malformation (DWM). Deletion of Zic1 and Zic4 in mice produces both cerebellar size and foliation defects similar to human DWM, confirming a requirement for these genes in cerebellar development and providing a model to delineate the developmental basis of this clinically important congenital malformation. Here, we show that reduced cerebellar size in Zic1 and Zic4 mutants results from decreased postnatal granule cell progenitor proliferation. Through genetic and molecular analyses, we show that Zic1 and Zic4 have Shh-dependent function promoting proliferation of granule cell progenitors. Expression of the Shh-downstream genes Ptc1, Gli1 and Mycn was downregulated in Zic1/4 mutants, although Shh production and Purkinje cell gene expression were normal. Reduction of Shh dose on the Zic1$^{+/−}$;Zic4$^{+/−}$ background also resulted in cerebellar size reductions and gene expression changes comparable with those observed in Zic1$^{+/−}$;Zic4$^{+/−}$ mice. Zic1 and Zic4 are additionally required to pattern anterior vermis foliation. Zic mutant folial patterning abnormalities correlated with disrupted cerebellar anlage gene expression and Purkinje cell topography during late embryonic stages; however, this phenotype was Shh independent. In Zic1$^{+/−}$;Zic4$^{+/−}$;Shh$^{−/−}$, we observed normal cerebellar anlage patterning and foliation. Furthermore, cerebellar patterning was normal in both Gli2-cko and Smo-cko mutant mice, where all Shh function was removed from the developing cerebellum. Thus, our data demonstrate that Zic1 and Zic4 have both Shh-dependent and -independent roles during cerebellar development and that multiple developmental disruptions underlie Zic1/4-related DWM.
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\textbf{INTRODUCTION}

Dandy-Walker malformation (DWM) is the most common congenital cerebellar malformation in humans, estimated to occur in 1/5000 live births (Parisi and Dobyns, 2003). DWM is characterized by reduced cerebellar vermis size, rotation of the vermis away from the brain stem and a large posterior fossa (Barkovich et al., 2009), and is also often associated with mild to severe ataxia and developmental delay. Low familial recurrence rates suggest that DWM is polygenic, with strong support for at least four genetic loci (Aldinger et al., 2009; Grinberg et al., 2004; Jalali et al., 2008; McCormack et al., 2002). Characterization of two of these loci has identified three DWM causative genes: FOXC1 on human chromosome 6p25 (Aldinger et al., 2009) and the linked ZIC1 and ZIC4 genes on human chromosome 3q24. Mice that are heterozygous null for both Zic1 and Zic4 model human DWM, although the developmental basis of this phenotype remains unknown (Grinberg et al., 2004).

Zic1 and Zic4 belong to the family of five Zic genes, each encoding a highly related zinc-finger (ZF) transcription factor. The Zic genes are broadly expressed in overlapping patterns during embryogenesis and each member has been documented to have numerous roles, including cerebellar development (Aruga et al., 2002a; Aruga et al., 1998; Aruga et al., 2004; Grinberg et al., 2004). Although few transcriptional targets of Zic genes have been identified (Merzdorf, 2007), the Zic family ZF domains are very similar to Gli family ZF domains. As Gli proteins are crucial downstream mediators of Shh signaling (Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2002; Vaillant and Monard, 2009) and as they physically interact with Zics proteins in vitro, this has lead to the hypothesis that Zics proteins compete or interact with Gli proteins to regulate Shh signaling (Ishiguro et al., 2004; Koyabu et al., 2001; Mizugishi et al., 2001). The roles of Zic1 and Zic4 in Shh signaling have never been directly assessed in vivo.

Shh signaling is crucial for normal cerebellar development. Shh secreted from Purkinje cells (PCs) within the perinatal cerebellar anlage drives expansion of the overlying granule cell progenitor (GCP) population resulting in rapid cerebellar growth during the first postnatal weeks in mice (Corrales et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2004; Vaillant and Monard, 2009). The Zic1$^{+/−}$;Zic4$^{+/−}$ mutant cerebellar phenotype (Grinberg et al., 2004) is superficially similar to Smo and Gli2 conditional knockouts, which display small cerebella and simplified cerebellar foliation (Corrales et al., 2006). Given the hypothesized roles of Zic genes in regulating Gli function, we initiated an extensive developmental analysis to determine whether altered Shh signaling was central to Zic1/4-dependent DWM pathogenesis.

$^*$Author for correspondence (kathleen.millen@seattlechildrens.org)

Accepted 23 December 2010
In this study, we demonstrate that Zic1 and Zic4 have Shh-dependent roles in postnatal cerebellar GCP proliferation. We also show that Shh pathway mutant cerebellar phenotypes are similar to, but distinct from, Zic1 and Zic4 mutant phenotypes, indicating additional roles for Zic1 and Zic4 outside of the Shh pathway in anterior vermis cardinal fissure formation and the patterning of PC topography in the embryonic cerebellar anlage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Animals were treated in accordance with an IACUC approved protocol. Zic1+/– (Aruga et al., 1998), Zic4+/–, Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– (Grinberg et al., 2004) and Shh+/–;Zic4+/– mice (Chiang et al., 1996) were maintained on a 129S1/SvImJ background and Gli2+/flox;En1-cre and Gli2+/R26R mice were maintained on a CD1 background (Corrales et al., 2006) with genotyping performed as previously described in these references.

BrdU incorporation, immunohistochemistry and histology

Pregnant dams or P0 and P1 pups were injected intraperitoneally with 1.0 or 0.1 ml BrdU labeling reagent (Invitrogen) and sacrificed after 30 minutes. Brains were fixed and cryopreserved as previously described (Chizhikov and Millen, 2004). Sagittal cryosections (12 µm) were collected across the cerebellar vermis. Antigen retrieval for immunohistochemistry was performed with target retrieval solution (DAKO) according to the manufacturer instructions. Following this, antibody staining was performed as previously described (Chizhikov and Millen, 2004) and antibodies were as follows: Zic1 (rabbit polyclonal, Rockland Immunochemicals), GABAß receptor t6 (rabbit polyclonal, Chemicon), calbindin (rabbit polyclonal, Swant), and Tag1 and BrdU (both mouse monoclonal, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank). Species-appropriate Alexa 568-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) and DAPI (Sigma) counterstain were also used.

For histology, brains were fixed in 4% PFA for at least 24 hours, sunk in either 30% sucrose/PBS or 30% sucrose/formalin and embedded in OCT. Cresyl Violet staining of 20 µm sections was performed according to standard protocols.

Cell counts and statistics

Anti-BrdU+ DAPI stained sections were photographed for area measurements and cell counts. Morphological landmarks from each section were used to distinguish vermis from hemispheres and only cerebellar vermis sections were analyzed. Three regions of the external granule layer (EGL) corresponding to the preculminate, primary and secondary fissures were chosen for cell counts. Cell counts and area measurements were performed in Image J (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). For each age and genotype (n=4 animals, n=6 sections/animal, n>1850 counted cells/animal), statistical significance was determined using a paired t-test.

Whole-mouse immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization

 Whole-mouse immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described (Silholte and Hawkes, 2002). P3 and E17.5 cerebellum were stained using anti-Pcp34 (rabbit polyclonal, Santa Cruz) and a biotin-Sp-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) with a Vectastain ABC peroxidase kit (Vector Laboratories).

In situ hybridization was performed as previously described (Chizhikov and Millen, 2004) using digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes to mouse Zic1, Zic4, Wnt7b and Epha4 (Grinberg et al., 2004; Liebl et al., 2003; Nagai et al., 1997; Parr et al., 1993).

MRI and volumetric analysis

As previously described (Spring et al., 2007), P33 to P40 mice were anesthetized with Euthasol (Delfarva Laboratories) and transcardially perfused with PBS and PFA containing 2 mM Prohance (Bracco Diagnostics). Imaging and analysis were also performed as described in the above reference and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to identify significant differences in brain structure size.

RESULTS

Zic gene dose determines severity of cerebellar abnormalities and ataxia

We previously reported the cerebellar phenotypes of Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mice, which model human chromosome 3q24 deletion DWM (Grinberg et al., 2004). Here, we report the mouse phenotypes associated with a complete allelic series of all Zic1- and Zic4-null genotypes.

Zic1+/–, Zic4+/– and Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mutant mice, generated by heterozygote mating, were all born at expected Mendelian frequencies. Zic4+/– pups were slightly smaller than wild-type littersmates but were otherwise indistinguishable by behavior or viability. Zic1+/– mutants (Aruga et al., 1998), and Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mutants were markedly smaller than wild-type and heterozygous littersmates from postnatal day 5 (P5) onwards (data not shown). At two postnatal weeks, both groups of mutants had failed to develop the righting reflex, a characteristic sign of cerebellar ataxia. There was no discernible difference in either relative size or righting reflex between Zic1+/– and Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– pups, and further quantitative behavioral assessment was not possible due to the severity of the phenotype. Neither Zic1+/– nor Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mice survived past weaning age.

Analysis of whole mutant cerebella and sagittal vermis sections revealed that Zic4+/– cerebellar size was slightly reduced compared with wild type (Fig. 1A,B), whereas foliation was grossly normal (Fig. 1E,F). Zic1+/– cerebella were small compared with wild type, most notably along the anterior-posterior (AP) axis (Fig. 1C), and sections through the vermis showed the loss of one folium anterior to the primary fissure (Fig. 1G). Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– cerebella were smaller than wild type, Zic4+/– and Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– cerebella with respect to AP and medial-lateral (ML) axes (Fig. 1D), and also exhibited loss of one anterior folium (Fig. 1H).

Analysis of a complete allelic series of Zic1 and Zic4 mutants revealed that phenotypic severity was dependent on Zic1 and Zic4 dose as mutant viability and cerebellar phenotype worsened progressively with loss of Zic alleles (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Zic4+/– and Zic1+/– mice (see Fig. S1B,C in the supplementary material) had no gross behavioral or cerebellar morphological defects, and had normal viability. As we previously described, 15% of Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mice (see Fig. S1H in the supplementary material) had a severe cerebellar phenotype similar to Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mutants (see Fig. S1K in the supplementary material) (Grinberg et al., 2004). In this study, 40% of Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mice also had the severe cerebellar phenotype with hypoplasia and loss of an anterior folium (see Fig. S1G in the supplementary material), while 60% appeared normal (see Fig. S1F in the supplementary material). Additional factors that contribute to phenotypic variability in Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– cerebella remain to be identified; however, these data indicate that Zic1 and Zic4 interact.

RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from whole, flash frozen cerebella using the RNeasy extraction kit with Qiashredder and gDNA eliminator columns (Qiagen) according to manufacturer protocols. cDNA was reverse transcribed with a SuperscriptII kit (Invitrogen) and quantitative (q) RT-PCR was performed with Sybr Green master mix (BioRad) in an iQ5 iCycler (BioRad). Primers were designed to amplify 100-200 bp unique regions of genes analyzed, spanning splice sites when possible. Primer sequences were obtained either from primer depot (http://mouseprimerdepot.nci.nih.gov/) or were designed using primer3 software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/input.html) (sequences available upon request). Gapdh was used as a reference gene to calculate 2−ΔΔct and experiments were performed with three biological replicates on at least four samples per genotype and age examined.
genetically to regulate cerebellar development in a dose-sensitive manner. To avoid the complexity of variable phenotypes, all subsequent analyses of Zic1 and Zic4 function were performed in homozygous mutants.

**Zic1 and Zic4 are expressed throughout cerebellar development**

To determine how Zic1 and Zic4 regulate cerebellar development, we conducted a detailed analysis of their developmental expression profiles. Confirming and extending previously published analyses (Aruga et al., 1998), we found scattered Zic1 expression in cells throughout both the developing white matter and internal granule cell layer (IGL) and strong expression in the external granule layer (EGL) (Fig. 2A) at P1 in wild type. No Zic1 expression was detected anywhere in the brain using this antibody in Zic1–/– animals, demonstrating antibody specificity (data not shown). As no Zic4-specific antibody was available, we used in situ hybridization to characterize expression of this gene. We detected strong Zic4 expression in the EGL as well as the anterior IGL of the cerebellar cortex, with additional scattered staining throughout the remaining cerebellum at P1 (Fig. 2B). At embryonic day 17.5 (E17.5), Zic1 and Zic4 were broadly expressed throughout the entire cerebellar anlage (Fig. 2C,D). At E12.5, Zic1 and Zic4 were both expressed along the entire ML extent of the cerebellar anlage (Fig. 2E-H); however, although both Zic1 and Zic4 were expressed in the cerebellar rhombic lip and adjacent mesenchyme, Zic1 expression alone extended through the cerebellar ventricular zone (VZ), the source of several cell types, including PCs (Fig. 2I,J).

---

**Fig. 1. Zic1 and Zic4 mutants have cerebellar size and foliation defects.** (A-D) Dorsal views of whole P20 cerebella show that Zic4–/– (B) cerebella were slightly smaller than wild type (A). Zic1–/– (C) and Zic1–/–;Zic4–/– (D) cerebella were much smaller than wild type along the anterior-posterior (AP) axis and Zic1–/–;Zic4–/– cerebella were also smaller along the medial-lateral (ML) axis. (E-H) Cresyl Violet-stained sagittal sections through the cerebellar vermis. Black arrowheads and arrows indicate the primary and preculminate fissures, respectively, and folia are labeled with roman numerals (II-X). Wild-type (E) and Zic4–/– (F) cerebella had three folia anterior to the primary fissure, whereas Zic1–/– (G) and Zic1–/–;Zic4–/– (H) cerebella were dramatically hypoplastic, were missing one anterior folium and lacked a fissure between folia VIa and VIb. Scale bars: 500 μm in E-H.

**Fig. 2. Zic1 and Zic4 have dynamic expression patterns throughout cerebellar development.** (A,B) P1 cerebellar sagittal sections: Zic1 and Zic4 were expressed in the cerebellar external granule layer (egl, arrow) and white matter (wm), and Zic4 was also expressed in the internal granule cell layer (igl, arrowhead). (C,D) Anterior view of whole cerebellum at E17.5; Zic1 and Zic4 were broadly expressed in the embryonic cerebellum. d, dorsal; v, ventral; a, anterior; p, posterior; m, medial; l, lateral. (E-H) Whole-mount lateral (E, G) and dorsal (F, H) views of the E12.5 cerebellar region (cb, brackets) with dotted lines (F, H) indicating the level of E12.5 sagittal sections in I, J. (I,J) Zic1 and Zic4 were both expressed in the cerebellar rhombic lip (rl) and mesenchyme (mes), with Zic1 also expressed in the ventricular zone (vz). Scale bars: 500 μm in A-H; 100 μm in I, J.
Cerebellar size and foliation defects appear during early postnatal development in Zic1/Zic4 mutant mice

We next conducted a developmental analysis of Zic1 and Zic4 homozygous mutants. In all mutants, cerebellar anatomy was grossly normal at E17.5 (Fig. 3A-D) with no discernible changes in size, shape, tissue organization or cell morphology. However, at P0, when the cardinal fissures of the cerebellum were apparent (Sillitoe and Joyner, 2007), differences between wild type and the Zic mutants became evident. Notably, in both Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− mutant cerebella (Fig. 3G,H), the preculminate fissure (arrow) was shifted anteriorly compared with wild type or Zic4−/− mutants (Fig. 3E,F). As a result, the anterior lobe (asterisk in Fig. 3E,F) was larger in size and it was from this region that one folium was missing in adult Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− mutants (Fig. 3G,H). By P5, wild-type and Zic4−/− cerebella had three anterior folia and had grown considerably, whereas Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella had only two anterior folia and remained small (Fig. 3I-L).

To quantify cerebellar growth during early postnatal development, we measured mid-sagittal cerebellar vermis area at P0, P1 and P5 for each mutant genotype (Fig. 4A; data not shown). Area measurements for each mutant were not statistically different from wild type at P0. However, at P1, when the cardinal fissures of the cerebellum were apparent (Sillitoe and Joyner, 2007), differences between wild type and the Zic mutants (Fig. 1G,H) were quantified. By P5, wild-type and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella had only two anterior folia and had grown considerably, whereas Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella had only two anterior folia and remained small (Fig. 3I-L).

Reduced granule cell proliferation correlates with decreased Shh target gene expression to contribute to reduced cerebellar size

Early postnatal cerebellar growth is predominantly due to rapid proliferation of the EGL (Porgoriler et al., 2006; Vaillant and Monard, 2009) and as Zic1 and Zic4 are highly expressed in EGL cells (Fig. 2A,B), we assessed EGL proliferation by BrdU incorporation analysis. Although unchanged from wild type at P0, the mitotic indices of the EGL in Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella were significantly reduced by 18-22% (P<0.05) at P1 (Fig. 4B). To rule out the possibility that premature granule cell (GC) differentiation contributed to reduced cerebellar size, we also performed antibody staining of P0 and P1 sections with antibodies to Tag-1 and Gaba-α6. Neither marker of GC differentiation was expressed at the stages examined (data not shown), supporting our hypothesis that the main cause of mutant cerebellar size defects was reduced EGL proliferation.

As postnatal EGL expansion is primarily driven by Shh signaling from the underlying PC layer (Vaillant and Monard, 2009), we next assessed whether the Shh pathway was disrupted in our mutants. Shh target gene expression was measured by qRT-PCR (Fig. 4C). At E17.5, Gli1 and Ptch1 expression were significantly reduced in Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella (P<0.05). Mycn was also reduced in Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella (P<0.05). At P1, Gli1 and Ptch1 expression were significantly reduced in Zic1−/−, Zic4−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella (P<0.05), whereas Mycn was reduced in Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− (P<0.05), but not in Zic4−/− cerebella. Reduced expression of Shh targets suggested that the Shh pathway was compromised in Zic1−/−, Zic1−/−;Zic4−/−, and to a lesser extent Zic4−/− cerebella. Although EGL cells in Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella had a reduced response to Shh signaling, analysis of Shh itself as well as of Rora and Calb1, all of which are expressed in PCs, showed that mRNA levels were unaffected in Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− cerebella, further demonstrating that PCs were unaffected in these mutants (Fig. 4C).

To determine whether disruption of other pathways contributed to reduced perinatal EGL proliferation, we performed qRT-PCR at E17.5 and P1 for Bmp2, Bmp4, Fgf2, Fgf8, Wnt1, Igf2 and Igfr1, all of which have been demonstrated to regulate EGL proliferation (Behesti and Marino, 2009). Bmp2 and Bmp4, which antagonize the Shh pathway and promote GC differentiation, were not significantly upregulated at E17.5, whereas Bmp2 expression was slightly elevated in Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− at P1, expression was not significantly increased in Zic1−/− mutants and Bmp4 expression at P1 was also normal (data not shown), indicating that if Bmps play a role in Zic mutant cerebellar abnormalities, it is probably a minor one. Of the remaining genes examined, only Wnt1 was significantly downregulated in Zic1−/− and Zic1−/−;Zic4−/− mutants at E17.5; however, this was not observed at P1 (data not shown). We also found no evidence of altered expression of GC genes such as Pax6 and Ru49 (Zscan21 – Mouse Genome Informatics) (data not shown). These data indicate that general GC health and identity were not affected in our mutants, suggesting a specific deficit in the Shh pathway.
**Zic1** and **Zic4** regulate cerebellar size via a Shh-dependent pathway

Zic proteins have long been hypothesized to regulate Gli-mediated Shh signaling (Koyabu et al., 2001). Our proliferation and expression data confirmed downregulation of Shh pathway components in **Zic1**+/− and **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− mutant cerebella, with the additional possible contribution of reduced **Wnt1** expression at E17.5. To directly test the extent to which **Zic1** and **Zic4** interact with the Shh pathway, we crossed **Shh**+/− mice to **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− mutants to produce triple heterozygotes. We hypothesized that if the Zics function within the Shh pathway, reduced Shh expression on the **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− background would be sufficient to cause a severe cerebellar phenotype similar to that observed in **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− mice. **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/−;**Shh**−/− pups were born at expected Mendelian frequencies, and were comparable in size and behavior with wild-type littersmates. Notably, histological analysis of **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/−;**Shh**−/− animals revealed the correct number of normally patterned cerebellar folia (Fig. 5D); however, the area of cerebellar vermis sections was decreased, similar to the size reduction in **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− animals.

MRI followed by 3D reconstruction was employed to quantify cerebellar size differences. The results showed significant differences in cerebellar size (f(17, P=0.0001) between wild type and the three groups of heterozygotes: **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**+/− and **Shh**+/− cerebella were smaller than wild type. Notably, **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/−;**Shh**−/− cerebella were significantly smaller than either **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− or **Shh**+/− cerebella (P<0.0024) (Fig. 5H). The cerebellum was disproportionately affected relative to total brain volume and structures such as the frontal lobes were not significantly different across genotypes (f=1.53, P=0.22). Thus, the **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− cerebellar size phenotype was exacerbated by reduced Shh expression. To further bolster these findings, we performed qRT-PCR on **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**+/−, **Shh**+/− and **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/−;**Shh**−/− cerebella and found that, whereas Gli1 and Mycn were normally expressed in **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**+/− and **Shh**−/− cerebella at E17.5, expression of both genes was reduced in triple heterozygotes. Ptc1 expression was reduced in all three genotypes, but was significantly lower in the triple heterozygote (Fig. 5I, P<0.05). Our volumetric and expression data supported the conclusion that the cerebellar size reduction seen in **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− mutants was the result of a genetic interaction between Zic genes and the Shh pathway.

We observed normal foliation in triple heterozygous mutants, despite decreased size (Fig. 5D); however, these data were insufficient to allow us to state conclusively that the **Zic1**/4 folial patterning phenotype was Shh independent. Homozygous deletion of Shh is lethal by birth, long before completion of cerebellar foliation, making the study of cerebellar foliation in these mutants impossible (Chiang et al., 1996). Conditional deletion of Gli2 by En1cre (Gli2cko) has been shown to effectively eliminate Shh activity from the developing cerebellum, while ensuring postnatal viability (Corrales et al., 2006). With these mice, we were able to determine that, despite severe cerebellar hypoplasia, all Gli2cko cerebella (n=5) had three folia anterior to the primary fissure (Fig. 5F), similar to wild type (Fig. 5A). In conjunction with our data from **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/−;**Shh**−/− mice, these observations strongly argue that Zic-mediated patterning of the cardinal folia in the anterior cerebellar anlage is Shh independent.

**Zic1** and **Zic4** regulate PC compartmentalization independent of the Shh pathway

The loss of one anterior folium in **Zic1**+/− and **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− animals suggested that **Zic1** and **Zic4** are important for setting up early patterns in the developing cerebellum that drive normal anterior foliation. Although no known genes mark sites of cardinal fissure formation, a number of genes demonstrate regional patterning at E17.5 (Blanco et al., 2002; Karam et al., 2002; Larouche and Hawkins, 2006; Millen et al., 1995; Sarna et al., 2006) and we hypothesized that patterning of the anterior cerebellar anlage would be abnormal in **Zic1**+/− and **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− mutants at this stage.

In our **Zic** mutants, some genes, such as *En2*, had normal medial lateral patterning in the embryonic cerebellar anlage (data not shown). However, in situ hybridization with probes to *Wnt7b* and *Epha4* showed that stripes of expression present in wild type (Fig. 6A,C) were absent or truncated only in the most anterior regions of **Zic1**+/− and **Zic1**+/−;**Zic4**−/− mutant cerebellar vermis (Fig. 6B,D, see Fig. S2B,D,F,H in the supplementary material). Qualitative observations of reduced *Epha4* expression were bolstered by qRT-PCR.
PCRs, which showed a significant decrease in Epha4 expression in Zic1+/− and Zic1+/−;Zic4−/− mutant cerebella (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material). Importantly, the patterning of Epha4 and Wnt7b domains was normal in Zic4−/−, Gli2-cko and Zic1+/−;Zic4−/−;Shh−− cerebella (see Fig. S2C,G,M-P in the supplementary material), in which adult foliation was normal. Wnt7b expression patterns were also normal in E17.5 Smoﬂox;En1cre cerebella (data not shown), from which Shh activity is eliminated by E9.0 (Corrales et al., 2006; Kimmel et al., 2000; Li et al., 2002), further indicating that stripe patterning is Shh independent.

Changes in PC gene expression at E17.5 were not transient, but reflected permanent PC patterning defects, as we observed changes in the striped expression of Ptcβ3 at P3 in Zic1+/− and Zic1+/−;Zic4−/− mutants; specifically, within the anterior vermis domains of Zic1+/− and Zic1+/−;Zic4−/− cerebella, lateral stripes of expression were disproportionately narrow in lobe II/III and all stripes were disrupted in lobe IV/V (Fig. 6J,K; see Fig. S4A’,C’,D’ in the supplementary material). Despite disrupted expression, PC morphology was normal at all stages in all Zic gene mutants (data not shown).

Our data indicate a correlation between altered patterning of the developing cerebellar anterior vermis and abnormal postnatal foliation in this domain. Although we cannot directly link these phenotypes, our data strongly argue that Zic1+/− and Zic1+/−;Zic4−/− cerebellar patterning phenotypes are Shh independent.

**Early patterning and proliferation of the cerebellar anlage is normal in Zic1 and Zic4 mutants**

We next examined the organization of the cerebellar anlage at E12.5 when Zic1 is expressed in the cerebellar VZ (Fig. 2I) and PC production is under way (Goldowitz and Hamre, 1998), as the PC ‘stripe’ and positional identity have been shown to be an intrinsic phenotype that is probably conferred when PCs are generated (Hashimoto and Mikoshiba, 2003; Larouche and Hawkes, 2006). Antibody staining was performed on wild-type and Zic1+/−;Zic4−/−;Shh−− mutant cerebellar sections at E12.5 using a heat map indicating regions of size difference that were significant at a false discovery rate of 0.1% in wild type and Zic1+/−;Zic4−/−;Shh−− brains (H). Heatmap of voxels from wild-type, Zic1+/−;Zic4+/−, Shh+/− and Zic1+/−;Zic4−/−;Shh−− cerebella (n=10 per genotype) showed that cerebellar size was significantly reduced in Zic1+/−;Zic4−/−;Shh−− mice (whole dataset comparison t=17, P<0.0001; paired t-test comparison of Shh−− with Zic1+/−;Zic4−/−;Shh−−; **P=0.0024). Frontal lobe size did not vary significantly across genotypes (f=1.53, P=0.22).

**Relative expression of gli1, ptc1 and mycn at E17.5 (**P<0.05). Data are means±s.e.m.
mouse mutant data demonstrate that viability (Aruga et al., 1998). However, both our human DWM and anterior lobe, as well as ataxia, growth retardation and decreased hypoplasia and foliation defects, specifically loss of an entire lobe of the cerebellum, yet its genetic and developmental basis remain largely unknown (Aldinger et al., 2009; Grinberg et al., 2004; Jalali et al., 2008; McCormack et al., 2002; Parisi and Dobyns, 2003). The first characterized DWM locus encompasses the linked mouse genes causes a DWM-like phenotype, implicating these genes as the first DWM causative genes (Grinberg et al., 2004). Complete loss of Zic1 in mice is known to cause cerebellar hypoplasia and foliation defects, specifically loss of an entire anterior lobe, as well as ataxia, growth retardation and decreased viability (Aruga et al., 1998). However, both our human DWM and mouse mutant data demonstrate that Zic4 is also essential for normal cerebellar development and can function in combination with Zic1.

To delineate the developmental pathogenesis of ZIC1/ZIC4-dependent DWM, we examined mature and developing Zic1–/–;Zic4–/– cerebellar phenotypes and compared them with Zic1–/– mutants. We determined that Zic1 and Zic4 both have roles in the regulation of cerebellar size and cerebellar anlage patterning. During early postnatal development, Zic1 and Zic4 regulate GCP proliferation in the cerebellar EGL in a Shh-dependent manner. Zic1 and Zic4 have an additional function in regulating embryonic cerebellar anlage PC patterning and cerebellar foliation; this function, however, is Shh independent. Taken together our data, suggest that the DWM-like pathogenesis in Zic1/4 mutant mice is the result of disruptions of multiple cerebellar developmental programs.

**DISCUSSION**

DWM is the most common congenital malformation of the human cerebellum, yet its genetic and developmental basis remain largely unknown (Aldinger et al., 2009; Grinberg et al., 2004; Jalali et al., 2008; McCormack et al., 2002; Parisi and Dobyns, 2003). The first characterized DWM locus encompasses the linked ZIC1 and ZIC4 genes on chromosome 3q24 and heterozygous co-deletion of Zic1 and Zic4 mouse genes causes a DWM-like phenotype, implicating these genes as the first DWM causative genes (Grinberg et al., 2004). Complete loss of Zic1 in mice is known to cause cerebellar hypoplasia and foliation defects, specifically loss of an entire anterior lobe, as well as ataxia, growth retardation and decreased viability (Aruga et al., 1998). However, both our human DWM and mouse mutant data demonstrate that Zic4 is also essential for normal cerebellar development and can function in combination with Zic1.

During early postnatal development, Zic1 and Zic4 regulate GCP proliferation in the cerebellar EGL in a Shh-dependent manner. Zic1 and Zic4 have an additional function in regulating embryonic cerebellar anlage PC patterning and cerebellar foliation; this function, however, is Shh independent. Taken together our data, suggest that the DWM-like pathogenesis in Zic1/4 mutant mice is the result of disruptions of multiple cerebellar developmental programs.

**Zic1 and Zic4 regulate proliferation to control Shh-mediated cerebellar growth**

A postnatal growth deficit is a prominent feature of both Zic1–/– and Zic1–/–;Zic4–/– phenotypes. Cerebellar growth occurs mainly after birth in mice and is due to rapid EGL proliferation. Mitotic index measurements revealed that in Zic1–/– and Zic1–/–;Zic4–/– cerebella, proliferation was normal at P0 but significantly reduced at P1, explaining the reduced cerebellar size seen both at P5 and P20. EGL expansion is largely driven by Shh signaling from the underlying PC layer (Corrales et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2004). We determined that PC expression of Shh and two other markers of PCs, Calb1 and Rora, was normal. However, we observed decreased expression of the EGL-specific Shh target genes Ptc1, Gli1 and Mycn in Zic1–/– and Zic1–/–;Zic4–/– cerebella, indicating abnormalities in reception and/or processing of the Shh signal in EGL cells, rather than defects in PC Shh signaling. Decreased Shh target expression was observed at E17.5, preceding obvious size differences, and reduced expression was observed again at P1, which correlated with reduced EGL proliferation at this stage. Interestingly, Shh downstream target gene expression in Zic4–/– mutants was normal at E17.5, but Gli1 and Ptc1 expression levels were reduced at P1. As Zic4–/– adult cerebella were not significantly smaller than wild type and EGL proliferation was not reduced at P1 in these mutants, our findings indicate either that Zic4 is not required for regulation of all Shh target genes, or that the loss of Zic4 is partially compensated by additional factors such as other Zic family members. A more detailed analysis of additional Shh target genes will be necessary to determine the extent to which each Zic gene participates in Shh-mediated developmental processes.
It has been hypothesized that Zic proteins are downstream effectors in the Shh pathway based on the similarity between Zic and Gli ZF domains (Mizugishi et al., 2001). Our observation of downregulated Shh target genes Ptc1, Gli1 and Mycn supports this hypothesis. To directly test a possible genetic interaction in vivo, we generated Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup>;Shh<sup>+/–</sup> triple heterozygotes. We determined that loss of one allele of Shh in the Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup> background was sufficient to cause additional reduction of cerebellar size, comparable with that observed in Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup> cerebella. This size reduction was significant compared with changes observed in Shh<sup>+/–</sup> and Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup> animals. QRT-PCR also confirmed that Shh target genes were downregulated in triple heterozygous cerebella at E17.5 to a degree comparable with that of Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup> mutants and further indicated that a 20-30% reduction of Shh target gene expression is sufficient to significantly reduce EGL proliferation and cerebellar size.

Together, our data provide the first direct in vivo confirmation of an interaction between Zic1, Zic4 and the Shh pathway; however, the mechanism remains unclear. Zic1 can preferentially bind to Gli DNA-binding sequences through its ZF domains, and both Zic1 and Zic4 can drive reporter expression from plasmids containing Gli-binding sites (Koyabu et al., 2001; Mizugishi et al., 2001). In addition, Zic and Gli proteins can directly interact via their ZF domains in co-immunoprecipitation assays (Koyabu et al., 2001). Therefore, Zic proteins may also modulate Gli function in a DNA-independent manner. ChIP assays that compare EGL cells from wild type, Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup> and Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup> mutant cerebella will be necessary to determine whether Ptc1, Gli1 and Mycn are indeed direct Zic targets. It is also plausible that Zic proteins regulate the Shh pathway indirectly. For example, Wnts have been shown to modulate the Shh pathway by regulating Gli2 and Gli3 in avian somites (Borycki et al., 2000) and our expression data at E17.5 indicate that Wnt1 downregulation may contribute to the Zic1/4 cerebellar phenotype. Although we can conclude that Fgf5 and Bmps are not significantly affected in our mutants, genome-wide expression microarray analyses will determine whether other pathways, such as the Notch signaling pathway (Aruga et al., 2002b), are also effectors of Zic1 and Zic4 function in the developing EGL.

**The roles of Zic1 and Zic4 in cerebellar foliation and PC patterning**

In addition to regulating size, Zic1 and Zic4 are required for normal foliation of the anterior cerebellar vermis. In Zic1<sup>–/–</sup> and Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup> mutants, we observed abnormal positioning of the preculminate fissure, resulting in reduction of the anterobasal domain in mutant cerebella. The relationship between cerebellar growth and foliation is complex as the two processes occur during roughly the same developmental period and are often both affected in cerebellar mutants (Alcaraz et al., 2006; Cheng et al., 2010; Corrales et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2004; Satokata et al., 2000; Sillitoe and Joyner, 2007). Furthermore, analysis of conditional mutants in the Shh pathway has shown that reduction of Shh signaling produces a range of foliation phenotypes in addition to size deficits (Corrales et al., 2006). Careful analysis of two Shh-depleted mouse lines demonstrated that Zic mutant foliation defects arose earlier and were more severe than those produced by loss of Shh. Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup>;Shh<sup>–/–</sup> cerebella, which had reduced Shh expression, were small but had the correct number of anterior folia. Gli2-cko mice, from which cerebellar Shh signaling is functionally removed, were severely hypoplastic with almost no GCs, but also had the correct number of anterior folia. Gli2-cko cerebella also had correct positioning of the preculminate fissure, providing even more compelling evidence that the anterior foliation defects seen in Zic1<sup>–/–</sup> and Zic1<sup>–/–</sup>;Zic4<sup>–/–</sup> mutants are independent of the Shh pathway.

Very little is known of mechanisms that regulate cardinal fissure positioning in the developing cerebellum and our data add Zic1 and Zic4 to the short list of genes known to regulate cardinal fissure placement (Cheng et al., 2010). Expression of Zic1 and Zic4 is relatively uniform across the cerebellar anlage from E17.5 to P0 when these fissures form; thus, Zic1 and Zic4 must interact with other factors to read positional coordinates in the anterior cerebellum. Differentiating PCs are one potential source of this...
information. Parasagittal clusters of PCs can be identified during perinatal development by expression of genes such as En2, En1, Wnt7b, Pech4 and several members of the Eph family of receptors (Blanco et al., 2002; Karam et al., 2002; Larouche and Hawkes, 2006; Millen et al., 1995; Sama et al., 2006). This PC heterogeneity is thought to encode a topographic map for incoming cerebellar afferents (Sillitoe and Joyner, 2007). Cerebellar foliation defects are linked to abnormal afferent patterning in the posterior lobes. This has led to speculation that foliation and afferent innervation are developmentally related (Millen et al., 1995), although there is also evidence that folial and PC patterning can be dissociated (Sillitoe et al., 2008).

We determined that a subset of genes that delineate parasagittal PC compartments (Epha4, Wnt7b) had altered expression in Zic1+/– and Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mutant cerebella at E17.5, specifically in anterior regions where later abnormal foliation was evident. Furthermore, aberrant PC topography in this region was not transient, but remained disrupted at P3, as evidenced by altered Pech4 expression patterns in Zic1+/– and Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– mutant cerebella. Thus, our data reveal a role for Zic1 and Zic4 in PC patterning of the anterior vermis. Notably, Epha4 and Wnt7b stripe patterns were normal in Zic1+/–;Zic4+/–;Shh+/–, Gli2-cko and Smo-cko mutants at E17.5, indicating that this Zic function is also independent of the Shh pathway. Although there is no direct evidence of a causal relationship between PC parasagittal stripes and cerebellar foliation, our findings that both foliation and PC patterning are disrupted in the anterior vermis in our Zic mutants are suggestive and clearly merit further study.

The developmental basis of the cerebellar anlage patterning phenotypes we observed remains unclear. One possibility is that loss of Zic1 function in the cerebellar VZ disrupts the generation of a subset of PCs destined for the anterior vermis. This hypothesis is based on the observation that following adenoiral labeling of PC progenitors between E10.5 and E12.5, clonally related PCs are positioned in parasagittal stripes in the adult cerebellum. Organization of clonally related PCs is established by late embryonic development and parasagittal stripe domains correlate with expression of stripe markers such as Epha4 and Wnt7b, indicating that PC fate choices are specified at their genesis. It is notable that adenoiral ablation of PCs born on E12.5 disrupts cerebellar parasagittal stripe organization embryonically and produces abnormal foliation in the adult cerebellum (Hashimoto and Mikoshiba, 2003). As Zic4 is not expressed in the cerebellar VZ, this may explain why Zic4 mutant PCs do not have abnormal parasagittal stripe or folial patterning. Recent work has shown that Shh in fourth ventricle cerebrospinal fluid is required for normal proliferation within the cerebellar VZ from E12.5 onwards (Huang et al., 2010). However, we did not detect proliferation abnormalities in the Zic1+/–;Zic4+/– cerebellar VZ at E12.5 when substantial numbers of PCs are generated, indicating that Zic1 is dispensable for Shh-mediated VZ proliferation at this stage.

PC topography abnormalities may also reflect a requirement for Zic1 in early differentiating neurons to control their migratory pathways into the anterior vermis. This is supported by the recent observation that Zic1 is required for correct migration to and positioning of differentiating pontine gray neurons in the brainstem (Dipietrantonio and Dymecki, 2009). A detailed analysis of the fates of PC birth cohorts and their migration patterns within the cerebellar anlage will be required to determine whether a subset of PCs is absent, mis-specified or migrates incorrectly within developing Zic mutant cerebella.

**Extracerebellar roles for Zic1 and Zic4**

Through genetic and developmental analyses, we have determined that Zic1 and Zic4 have multiple roles within the developing cerebellum. Notably, loss of Zic1 and Zic4 produces a DWM-like phenotype through a mechanism distinct from that which we have reported to be caused by deletion of Foxc1, the only other known DWM causative gene identified to date. Foxc1, which is expressed in the head mesenchyme surrounding the cerebellar anlage, regulates expression of secreted growth factors required for rhombic lip development, but has no apparent direct function within the developing cerebellum (Aldinger et al., 2009). By contrast, here, we have detailed multiple roles for Zic1 and Zic4 within the cerebellar anlage. It is interesting to note, however, that both Zic1 and Zic4 are also expressed in the posterior fossa mesenchyme (Fig. 2I,J and Aruga et al. (Aruga et al., 2004)). Recent work has shown that Foxc1 is significantly downregulated in Zic1+/–;Zic3–/– mutant forebrain meninges (Inoue et al., 2008). The role of mesenchymal signaling in cerebellar development is not well understood, but these data suggest that, in addition to the proliferation and patterning roles played by Zic1 and Zic4 in the cerebellar anlage, these genes may have additional roles in the posterior fossa mesenchyme that are important for cerebellar development. This link between all known DWM genes bears further inquiry.
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