Conversion from mouse embryonic to extra-embryonic endoderm stem cells reveals distinct differentiation capacities of pluripotent stem cell states
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SUMMARY
The inner cell mass of the mouse pre-implantation blastocyst comprises epiblast progenitor and primitive endoderm cells of which cognate embryonic (mESCs) or extra-embryonic (XEN) stem cell lines can be derived. Importantly, each stem cell type retains the defining properties and lineage restriction of their in vivo tissue of origin. Recently, we demonstrated that XEN-like cells arise within mESC cultures. This raises the possibility that mESCs can generate self-renewing XEN cells without the requirement for gene manipulation. We have developed a novel approach to convert mESCs to XEN cells (cXEN) using growth factors. We confirm that the downregulation of the pluripotency transcription factor Nanog and the expression of primitive endoderm-associated genes Gata4, Gata6, Sox17 and Pdgfra are necessary for cXEN cell derivation. This approach highlights an important function for Paracrine FGF signalling compensates for the loss of endogenous Fgf4 in cXEN cell derivation. However, we find that epiblast stem cells (EpiSCs) derived from the post-implantation embryo are refractory to cXEN cell establishment, consistent with the hypothesis that EpiSCs represent a pluripotent state distinct from mESCs. In all, these findings suggest that the potential of mESCs includes the capacity to give rise to both extra-embryonic and embryonic lineages.
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INTRODUCTION
Early mammalian embryogenesis is characterized by a gradual restriction in the developmental potential of cells that constitute the embryo. By the blastocyst stage, embryonic and extra-embryonic cells have diverged in their fate and function (Gardner, 1985). The outer cells of the mouse blastocyst form the trophoderm, which contributes only to the placenta. The inner cell mass (ICM) gives rise to epiblast progenitor cells, which are the source of most embryonic tissues and extra-embryonic mesoderm; and to primitive endoderm (PrE) cells, which form the two extra-embryonic endoderm (ExEn) lineages – visceral endoderm (VE) and parietal endoderm (PE) – of the yolk sac (Gardner and Papaiannou, 1975; Gardner and Rossant, 1979).

Mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) are pluripotent self-renewing in vitro cell lines derived from the ICM of blastocysts (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Martin, 1981) and have overlapping gene expression with epiblast progenitors within the ICM, including the expression of pluripotency-associated transcription factors Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog (Nichols et al., 1998; Avilion et al., 2003; Chambers et al., 2003; Mitsui et al., 2003). In seminal experiments, Beddington and Robertson tested the commitment of mESCs via blastocyst injection and demonstrated that mESCs contribute principally to the epiblast of chimeric embryos (Beddington and Robertson, 1989). Intriguingly, mESCs can also contribute, albeit rarely, to extra-embryonic lineages such as the VE, PE and placental trophoblast (Beddington and Robertson, 1989). Trophoblast stem cells (TS) and extra-embryonic endoderm stem cells (XEN) can also be derived from mouse blastocysts; these are restricted in their developmental potential and express genes specific to trophoderm or ExEn cells, respectively (Tanaka et al., 1998; Kunath et al., 2005).

In low adherent culture conditions, the aggregation of mESCs promotes the formation of embryoid bodies (EBs) which recapitulate important aspects of early embryogenesis, including both extra-embryonic and embryonic tissue lineage differentiation (Coucouvanis and Martin, 1995). Studies of VE and PE differentiation in EBs have revealed the function of several genes involved in ExEn development, including an essential function for GATA factors Gata4 and Gata6 (Soudais et al., 1995; Morrisey et al., 1998; Capo-Chichi et al., 2005), and the SOX factor Sox17 (Shimoda et al., 2007; Niakan et al., 2010). However, the stochastic nature of EB differentiation complicates the dissection of molecular interactions involved in development. In addition, the ExEn cells formed from EBs cannot be maintained indefinitely in culture as stable cell lines. However, the overexpression of Gata4 or Gata6 is sufficient to drive the establishment of self-renewing XEN cells from mESCs (Fujikura et al., 2002; Shimosato et al., 2007).
Nevertheless, it remains unclear whether self-renewing XEN cells can be derived directly from mESCs without requiring transgenic over-expression.

The fibroblast growth factor (FGF) receptor Fgfr2 is enriched in PrE cells, and the ligand Fgf4 is expressed by epiblast progenitor cells within the ICM (Feldman et al., 1995; Arman et al., 1998; Guo et al., 2010). This complementary receptor-ligand expression suggests that epiblast-secreted Fgf4 may be functionally important for PrE development (Rappolee et al., 1994; Goldin and Papaioannou, 2003). It has recently been suggested that PrE formation requires non-cell-autonomous provision of Fgf4 by Nanog-expressing cells (Nichols et al., 2009; Messerschmidt and Kemler, 2010; Yamanaka et al., 2010; Frankenberg et al., 2011). Indeed, Fgf4 or Fgf2, which are not expressed in the early embryo, both function via Fgfr2 and are routinely added during XEN derivation from embryos (Kunath et al., 2005). However, it is unclear whether FGFs are required for XEN cell derivation or whether they function in an autocrine or paracrine manner. FGFs are not required for mESC maintenance and indeed appear to promote differentiation (Kunath et al., 2005; Stavridis et al., 2007). Accordingly, inhibition of FGF or ERK signalling, downstream of the FGF pathway, together with the inhibition of Gsk3 signalling (2i) allows mESCs to be maintained as self-renewing pluripotent stem cells (Ying et al., 2008).

By contrast to their differentiation-promoting effects in mESCs, FGFs contribute to maintenance of pluripotency of stem cells derived from post-implantation mouse epiblast cells (EpiSCs) (Brons et al., 2007; Tesar et al., 2007). EpiSCs thus resemble human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) in their molecular and phenotypic characteristics, including their responsiveness to activin and Nodal as factors that maintain pluripotency (Vallier et al., 2005; Brons et al., 2007; Tesar et al., 2007). Mouse EpiSCs and hESCs both express extra-embryonic lineage-associated genes in response to bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) 4 treatment (Xu et al., 2002; Vallier et al., 2009). Although a capacity for growth factor-induced extra-embryonic differentiation could indicate that EpiSCs and hESCs have broader differentiation potential than mouse ICMs or ESCs, this seems paradoxical, as mouse embryos lose the ability to form trophoblast and ExEn at the expanded blastocyst stage. A recent study suggests that BMP-treated EpiSCs and hESCs instead form a mesodermal subtype that expresses extra-embryonic lineage-associated genes under control of a BRACHYURY-dependent transcriptional network (Bernardo et al., 2011).

In this study, we investigate the capacity of mESCs and EpiSCs to form ExEn. ExEn cells are seen in clonally derived mESC cultures (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Doetschman et al., 1985; Bradley and Robertson, 1986). Moreover, heterogeneous expression of pluripotency-associated genes Oct4/Pou5f1, Sox2 and Nanog has been noted in mESC cultures (Chambers et al., 2007; Toyoooka et al., 2008; Kalmar et al., 2009; Lanner et al., 2010). A small proportion of cells in mESC cultures contain extra-embryonic lineage-associated genes (Sox17- and/or Hex-high-expressing cells) (Canham et al., 2010; Niakan et al., 2010) and chimera experiments in which single Sox17-high-expressing cells were reintroduced into embryos suggested that these cells are committed to an ExEn fate (Niakan et al., 2010). Altogether, these observations confirm those made previously by Beddington and Robertson, suggesting that mESCs maintain an ICM-like capacity for ExEn differentiation, in addition to their ability to form all embryonic lineages (Gardner, 1985; Beddington and Robertson, 1989). We therefore reasoned that stable XEN cells lines could be established directly from mESCs in adherent monolayer culture. We have developed a protocol to convert mESCs into stable self-renewing XEN (cXEN) cell lines without the requirement for gene manipulation. Significantly, we show that mESCs maintained in LIF and serum or 2i can give rise to cXEN cells, unlike EpiSCs, suggesting that distinct pluripotent stem cell states respond uniquely to differentiation-inducing signals. In all, our cXEN protocol uncovers significant and novel molecular mechanisms involved in ExEn development.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Culture conditions for pluripotent stem cell lines**

mESCs were maintained on mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) coated pre-gelatinised plates in 2i (10 ng/ml recombinant activin A and 12 ng/ml recombinant FGF2) media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 20% knockout serum replacement (Invitrogen), 1% L-glutamine (Sigma), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) and 10 ng/ml of LIF. mESCs were also cultured on pre-gelatinised plates in 2i and LIF: N2B27 media (Stem Cell Science) supplemented with 1 μM of P0325901 (Tocris), 3 μM of CHIR99021 (Axon) and 10 ng/ml of LIF. EpiSCs were grown on MEF media coated pre-gelatinised plates in CDM/BSA media supplemented with 10 ng/ml recombinant activin A and 12 ng/ml recombinant FGF2 as previously described (Brons et al., 2007). Additional details of the media components can be found in supplementary material Tables S1-S3.

**cXEN cell derivation and culture**

mESCs were cultured in pluripotent media until they reached 70-80% confluence, then dissociated into single cells with 0.05% Trypsin (Sigma) and seeded at a density of 1*10^5 cells/cm² in standard mouse XEN cell media: 85% RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen), 13% FBS (Bioserum), 1% Glutamax (Stem Cell Technologies), 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Sigma), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma). Twenty-four hours after initial plating, the media were changed to cXEN cell derivation media: standard XEN media supplemented with 0.01 μM all-trans retinoic acid (Sigma) and 10 ng/ml activin A. Cells were maintained in derivation media for 48 hours. Cells were then dissociated with trypsin and plated at a 1:1 dilution on pre-gelatinized MEFO-coated dishes and maintained hereafter in standard XEN media. When the XEN-like cells reached 80-90% confluency they were passaged with trypsin or manually picked and maintained in the absence of MEFs.

For FGF/ERK signalling inhibition, cells were treated with SU5402 (Calbiochem), PD0325901 (Tocris) or PD173074 (Tocris) for up to 6 days at the indicated concentrations. For VE differentiation, XEN cells were cultured in the presence of 50 μg/ml of recombinant BMP4 in standard XEN media.

**Cell proliferation and viability assays**

mESCs, embryo-derived XEN and cXEN cells were seeded at 0.5*10^4 cells/cm² on pre-gelatinized 96-well tissue culture plates in 2i or standard XEN media for up to 5 days. An MTT assay (Invitrogen) was performed following inhibitor treatment and absorbance detected using an Envision 2104 Multilabel Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, USA).

**Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)**

RNA was isolated using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) with DNasel treatment. cDNA was synthesized using a First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas). qRT-PCR was performed using Quantace Sensimix on an Applied Biosystems 7500 machine (Life Technologies Corporation, CA, USA). Primer pairs were designed using Primer3 software or previously published (Molkentin et al., 1997; Fujikura et al., 2002; Niwa et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2010) and are listed in supplementary material Table S4.

**Immunohistochemistry and imaging**

Samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight, permeabilized with 0.5% Tween in 1X PBS for 20 minutes and blocked with 10% FBS diluted in 0.1% Tween in 1X PBS for 1 hour. Primary antibodies were diluted at 1:500 in blocking solution and samples incubated at 4°C rotating overnight. Samples were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in 1:300 dilution of secondary antibody (Molecular
Probes), then washed and covered with 0.1% Tween in 1× PBS containing DAPI Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Lab). A list of the antibodies used can be found in supplementary material Table S5.

Images were taken either on an Olympus 1X71 microscope with Cell^F software (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope with AxioVision Rel 4.7 software (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany), or Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope and ZEN software. Cell numbers were counted manually using the ImageJ Cell Counter Plugin.

Flow cytometry
Cells were dissociated with 0.05% Trypsin and re-suspended in 500 μl FACS buffer (1× PBS, 10% FCS) and 7AAD solution (BD Pharmingen, 5 μl/10⁶ cells) to exclude dead cells. Cells were labelled with stage-specific embryonic antigen 1 (SSEA1) primary antibody at a 1:500 dilution in FACS buffer and APC anti-mouse IgM (BD Pharmingen) secondary antibody at a 1:300 dilution, and incubated for 15 minutes on ice. After two washes in FACS buffer, cells were resuspended in 1-2 ml FACS buffer and analyzed on a Beckman Coulter CyAn ADP flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, High Wycombe, UK). FlowJo software (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK) was used to generate dotplots.

Microarray analysis
Total RNA was isolated as above and DNase treated (Ambion). RNA quality was assessed on a Eukaryote Total RNA Nano Series II (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) then processed on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer using the RNA electrophoresis program. All RNA samples were amplified using the Total Prep 96 RNA amplification kit (Ambion). Illumina expression microarray MouseWG-6 V2 (Illumina, CA, USA) was used and the data analyzed with Bioconductor packages. Data have been deposited with GEO and will be released six months after publication (Accession Number GSE38477).

RESULTS
A low dose of retinoic acid and activin promotes differentiation of mES to XEN cells
To quantify the proportion of XEN-like cells within mESC cultures in serum and LIF, we used a transgenic reporter cell line in which the gene encoding a green fluorescent protein has been introduced into the endogenous Sox17 locus (Sox17GFP+/+) (Kim et al., 2007). We performed flow cytometry to capture the GFPhigh population and compared the expression of ExEn versus pluripotency-associated genes (supplementary material Fig. S1). The expression of the cell surface antigen SSEA1 (Solter and Knowles, 1978) was used to distinguish the pluripotent cell population. The majority of Sox17GFP+/+ mESCs have high to moderate expression of SSEA1 and were GFPlow (92.3%), whereas a small proportion (1.9%) of cells have moderate SSEA1 expression and were GFPhigh. We then isolated and compared the gene expression of the GFPhigh versus GFPlow cells by qRT-PCR (supplementary material Fig. S1). The GFPhigh cells upregulate the expression of ExEn-associated genes Gata6, Pdgfra, Sox7, Sox17, Lama1, Sparc and Dab2 (Brown et al., 2010) compared with GFPlow cells, which either lack the expression of these genes, or express them at very low levels. Conversely, we find that the GFPlow cells express Pou5f1, Nanog and Sox2 at a higher level compared with the GFPhigh population (supplementary material Fig. S1). Altogether, this suggests that XEN-like cells exist within mESC cultures and may be coaxed to proliferate in XEN-promoting conditions.

Retinoic acid (RA) is a general differentiation-promoting agent that has previously been used to drive ExEn differentiation from F9 embryonal carcinoma cell lines (Strickland et al., 1980) and mESCs (Capo-Chichi et al., 2005; Soprano et al., 2007; Artus et al., 2010), suggesting that RA may be used to promote XEN derivation. Additionally, the TGFβ family member Nodal is expressed in PrE (Mesnard et al., 2006), suggesting that components of this pathway may also promote XEN derivation. However, a high dose of RA or activin, which acts via the Nodal-signalling pathway, can promote the differentiation of mESCs to neurons or definitive endoderm, respectively (Bibel et al., 2004; Kubo et al., 2004). We therefore reasoned that treatment of mESCs with a low-dose of RA and activin for a short duration could be used to promote XEN cell conversion.

We used Sox17GFP+/+ mESCs to test RA and activin concentrations up to 100 μM and 20 ng/ml, respectively, in standard XEN media. We performed flow cytometry to quantify GFP expression 4 days after the start of the cXEN protocol reflecting active cell proliferation without compromising viability due to over confluence. We observed the highest proportion of GFP-expressing cells from 0.01-10 μM of RA together with 10 ng/ml activin (supplementary material Table S6) and have subsequently used the lowest effective concentration of 0.01 μM RA (Fig. 1A,B). Altogether, this suggests that RA and activin promote the conversion of mESCs to XEN (cXEN) cells.

Endogenous FGF is sufficient for cXEN cell derivation
FGF2 or FGF4 are routinely added during XEN cell derivation from pre-implantation embryos (Kunath et al., 2005). However, it is unclear whether FGF is required for XEN derivation and, if so, whether it functions in an autocrine or paracrine fashion. To test the effect of FGF signalling, we treated mESCs with cXEN derivation media supplemented with 24 ng/ml FGF2 and 1 μg/ml heparin, which facilitates FGF-receptor binding (Yayon et al., 1991). Surprisingly, we observed a greater proportion of Sox17GFP+/+ expressing cells in the absence of exogenous FGF2 and heparin (supplementary material Table S6).

To further test the requirement for FGF signalling we derived Fgf4 mutant mESCs from blastocyst stage embryos (Feldman et al., 1995). We plated Fgf4+/+; Fgf4–/– and Fgf4–/– mESCs at the same density in cXEN derivation media with or without FGF2 and heparin (Fig. 1C). Cells were subsequently grown in either the presence or absence of MEFs, which provide a source of FGF (Wang et al., 2005). Consistently, both Fgf4+/+ and Fgf4–/– cells gave rise to cXEN cells in the absence of exogenous FGF. By contrast, substantial cell death was observed for Fgf4–/– cells grown in the absence of exogenous FGF following RA and activin treatment, and none of the surviving cells became cXEN cells (Fig. 1C).

We tested the efficiency of cXEN differentiation by immunofluorescence analysis for Nanog and Sox7 (supplementary material Table S7). In the absence of exogenous FGF, Sox7-positive cells were present in Fgf4+/+ and Fgf4–/– mESCs at the same density in cXEN derivation media with or without FGF2 and heparin (Fig. 1C). Cells were subsequently grown in either the presence or absence of MEFs, which provide a source of FGF (Wang et al., 2005). Consistently, both Fgf4+/+ and Fgf4–/– cells gave rise to cXEN cells in the absence of exogenous FGF. By contrast, substantial cell death was observed for Fgf4–/– cells grown in the absence of exogenous FGF following RA and activin treatment, and none of the surviving cells became cXEN cells (Fig. 1C).
self-renewal, consistent with previous observations (Ying et al., 2008; Nichols et al., 2009) (supplementary material Fig. S2). Wild-type mESCs were subsequently plated in XEN derivation media supplemented with these inhibitors in the absence of exogenous FGF. To determine the proportion of XEN- or mESC-like cells, we performed immunofluorescence analysis for Gata6 and Sox7 compared with Nanog and Oct4. PD0325901 and PD173074 prevent cXEN derivation by restricting Gata6 and Sox7 expression and a larger proportion of cells express Nanog and Oct4 (supplementary material Table S8). Surprisingly, SU5402 did not restrict Gata6 and Sox7 expression to the same extent even at 10 or 100 μM concentrations (data not shown) suggesting that SU5402 may not be equivalent to PD173074 or PD0325901. Altogether, this suggests that endogenous Fgf4 functions via FGF/ERK signalling during cXEN derivation, rather than via a non-canonical mechanism.

We next asked whether FGF/ERK signalling is required to maintain cXEN cells after they are established. We plated embryo-derived XEN and cXEN cells in standard XEN media treated with SU5402, PD173074 or PD0325901 and determined the rate of cell proliferation by performing an MTT assay for up to 5 days following inhibitor treatment (supplementary material Fig. S2). FGF/ERK signalling inhibition had no effect on cell proliferation or cell identity, as determined by immunofluorescence analysis (supplementary material Fig. S2), confirming that it is not required to maintain XEN cells.

In all, this protocol has allowed us to derive stable cXEN cells from 16 mESC lines from a variety of backgrounds (supplementary material Table S9), demonstrating the robustness and reproducibility of this approach. In cases where we failed to derive cXEN cells, a crucial requirement for gene function within the PrE lineage has been suggested. We have maintained cXEN cells for over 4 months with no appreciable change in morphology.

cXEN cells are indistinguishable from embryo-derived XEN cells

Based on their morphological similarities, we next asked whether cXEN cells are molecularly identical to embryo-derived XEN cells. We performed immunofluorescence analysis for ExEn-associated...
cell surface or extracellular matrix proteins laminin, Dab2 and Sparc, and key endoderm transcription factors, including Gata4, Gata6, Sox7 and Sox17 (Fig. 2A), which are robustly expressed in cXEN cells, similar to embryo-derived XEN cells. Importantly, cXEN cells lacked expression of the pluripotency-associated transcription factor Oct4.

We next asked whether gene expression of cXEN cells is globally similar to embryo-derived XEN cells using cDNA microarray analysis (Fig. 2B). We performed hierarchical cluster analysis of absolute gene expression values after quantile normalization (Bolstad et al., 2003). A dendrogram of the clustering analysis illustrates the similarity between cXEN and...
embryo-derived XEN cells, which cluster together based on their gene expression patterns (Fig. 2B). mESCs have a distinct identity, clustering neither with cXEN nor with embryo-derived XEN cells (Fig. 2B). mESCs express the pluripotency-associated genes Nanog, Pou5f1, Sox2, Utf1, Zfp42, Eras, Dppa5, Dmnt3l, Col18a1, Nodal and Gli2, which is in contrast to cXEN and embryo-derived XEN cells (Mitsu et al., 2003). Our results confirm previous observations that Sal1 is expressed in both mESCs and XEN cell lines (Lim et al., 2008). cXEN and embryo-derived XEN cells similarly express genes associated with parietal endoderm (Sox17, Nodal, Fgf4, Gata6, Lama1-1 and Sparc) and visceral endoderm (Cited1, Dbx2, Emp2, Fxyd3, Atp6v0a1, Elf1, Foxa1, Col4a1, Lama1, Trr and Stra6) (Kunath et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2010). Pearson correlation analysis confirms that cXEN and embryo-derived XEN cells have stronger correlation (R2 value = 0.97 ± 0.00) than the correlation between either mESCs or cXEN or embryo-derived XEN cells (R2 value = 0.90 ± 0.00) (supplementary material Fig. S3).

We further validated the microarray data by performing qRTPCR analysis on candidate genes and comparing the mRNA expression of cXEN to embryo-derived XEN cells and mESCs (Fig. 2C,D). We confirm that cXEN cells robustly express Gata4, Gata6, Sox7 and Sox17 as well as genes encoding ExEn-associated cell surface proteins or basement membrane components Pdgfra, Col4a1, Lama1, Lamb1, Sparc, Plat, Dkk1 and Dab2, which is in contrast to mESCs that express these genes at a low level or lack expression altogether (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, we confirm that cXEN and embryo-derived XEN cells lack the expression of Nanog, Pou5f1 and Sox2 (Fig. 2D).

To determine whether cXEN cells are functionally equivalent to embryo-derived XEN cells, we established cXEN cells from mESCs that have a constitutively active promoter driving a gene encoding a red fluorescent protein. Single UbiC::dTomato cXEN cells were plated with wild-type mESCs to generate embryoid bodies (EBs) (supplementary material Fig. S4, Table S10). By comparison, we also plated single UbiC::dTomato mESCs or CAG::GFP embryo-derived XEN cells as a negative or positive control, respectively. We performed confocal imaging to determine the contribution of cXEN, XEN or mES cells. As expected, XEN and cXEN cells were restricted to the periphery of EBs, consistent with their commitment to an ExEn lineage. By contrast, mESCs contributed primarily to cells within the centre of EBs and were also observed peripherally. Finally, to determine whether cXEN cells proliferate at the same rate as embryo-derived XEN cells we performed an MTT assay for up to 5 days in standard XEN media (Fig. 2E) and show that cXEN cells do indeed proliferate equivalently to embryo-derived XEN cells. In all, this demonstrates that cXEN cells are functionally and molecularly equivalent to embryo-derived XEN cells and are distinct from the mESCs from which they were derived.

cXEN derivation requires expression of Gata6, Gata4, Sox17 and Pdgfra and downregulation of Nanog

In PrE development, Gata6 is downstream of Grb2, an effector of the FGF-signalling pathway, and upstream of Gata4, Pdgfra and Sox17 (Chazaud et al., 2006; Artus et al., 2011; Plusa et al., 2008; Artus et al., 2010; Niakan et al., 2010). Although PrE cells are established in Gata6 mutant embryos, their further ExEn differentiation is compromised (Morriey et al., 1998; Koutourakis et al., 1999). Gata6−/− mESCs lack expression of Gata4, Pdgfra and Sox17, and fail to establish VE or PE cells in EB assays (Morriey et al., 2000; Capo-Chichi et al., 2005). We find that Gata6−/− mESCs can undergo differentiation in cXEN derivation conditions, suggesting that they are not blocked from exiting mESC self-renewal (Fig. 3A). We performed immunofluorescence analysis for Sox7 and Nanog to quantify the proportion of XEN- or mESC-like cells, respectively (Fig. 3B). No Sox7-expressing XEN-like cells emerged from the Gata6−/− mESCs and we observed few Nanog-expressing cells after prolonged culture in XEN media (Fig. 3B). These results confirm that Gata6 is functionally required for cXEN establishment, thereby recapitulating the role of this gene in ExEn development.

In mouse embryos, mutation of either Gata4, Pdgfra or Sox17 has no effect on PrE initiation, which probably reflects their in vivo genetic redundancy in this cell fate decision (Kuo et al., 1997; Narita et al., 1997; Kanai-Azuma et al., 2002; Shimoda et al., 2007; Artus et al., 2011; Artus et al., 2010). However, in embryos undergoing delayed implantation, knockout of Pdgfra or Sox17 reduces PrE cell numbers (Artus et al., 2011; Artus et al., 2010) and blocks XEN cell derivation (Artus et al., 2010; Niakan et al., 2010). Moreover, Gata4−/− mESCs cannot spontaneously form VE or PE cells in EBs (Soudais et al., 1995), and the mutation of either Pdgfra or Sox17 leads to mis-expression of ExEn-associated genes and causes defects in XEN maintenance (Shimoda et al., 2007; Artus et al., 2010; Niakan et al., 2010).

A small proportion of Sox7-expressing XEN-like cells emerged from the Gata4−/−, Pdgfra−/− and Sox17−/− mESCs during the cXEN protocol; however, these cells could not be expanded or maintained, confirming previous observations that these genes are required for XEN derivation (Fig. 3A,B). This suggests that there may be compensatory mechanisms accounting for the ability of XEN cells to emerge, albeit rarely, from these mutant backgrounds. Intriguingly, we observed Nanog expression in the mutant cell lines after prolonged culture in the absence of LIF, suggesting that Gata4, Pdgfra and Sox17 expression antagonizes the expression of a key pluripotency-associated gene.

Nanog overexpression has been demonstrated to block differentiation of mESCs and can maintain stem cell self-renewal in the absence of LIF (Chambers et al., 2003). As expected, the overexpression of Nanog alone is sufficient to block Sox7 expression and cXEN derivation from mESCs, demonstrating that the downregulation of Nanog is required for XEN derivation (Fig. 3B). In all, these results suggest that cXEN cells require the same molecular mechanism for their derivation as embryo-derived XEN cells.

cXEN cells undergo VE differentiation in response to BMP treatment

Recently, a subtype of VE cells has been differentiated from embryo-derived XEN cells treated with BMP4, demonstrating the plasticity of XEN cells (Paca et al., 2012; Artus et al., 2012). We therefore asked whether cXEN cells could also differentiate into VE cells in response to BMP4. We established cXEN cells from an α-fetoprotein (Afp) Afp::GFP1/α-transgenic reporter cell line, as the expression of this gene distinguishes VE cells (Kwon et al., 2006) (supplementary material Fig. S5). We found small patches of Afp::GFP-high-expressing cells in the course of cXEN cell establishment, but once stable cXEN cell lines were derived there was no appreciable expression of the transgene. Treatment with 50 ng/ml of BMP4 in standard XEN media resulted in the upregulation of Afp::GFP-high expression in the
cells which grew as epithelialized colonies (supplementary material Fig. S6). To enrich for the Afp-high population, we manually picked colonies for further expansion followed by qRT-PCR analysis for the expression of VE-associated genes: Afp, ApoE, Cited1 and Ihh, which are upregulated 1.9-fold or more in the BMP4-treated cXEN cells (supplementary material Fig. S6). The expression of endoderm transcription factors is not upregulated compared with untreated controls, with the exception of Gata4, which is over twofold enriched, confirming previous observations (Artus et al., 2012). Taken together, this demonstrates that cXEN cells, like embryo-derived XEN cells, have the capacity to differentiate into VE cells in response to BMP4 treatment.

mESCs from 2i and LIF conditions can be converted to cXEN cells

We have previously demonstrated that mESC cultures maintained in serum and LIF spontaneously undergo differentiation at a low frequency (1.9%) into XEN-like cells (Niakan et al., 2010) (supplementary material Fig. S1). We next asked whether XEN cells also arise from pluripotent cells grown in 2i and LIF (Ying et al., 2008). To address this, we cultured mESCs in 2i and LIF conditions for eight passages (supplementary material Fig. S7). As expected, mESCs in 2i and LIF grew as uniform dome-shaped colonies on gelatin-coated dishes and were positive for alkaline phosphatase activity. Using qRT-PCR, we confirmed that these cells express Nanog, Oct4 and Sox2 (supplementary material Fig. S7).

We performed immunofluorescence analysis for Oct4 as well as for Sox17, Gata4, laminin and Dab2 to distinguish whether XEN-like cells emerge under distinct pluripotency conditions (Fig. 4). As previously observed (Niakan et al., 2010), cells maintained in serum and LIF have Sox17-high expression within a subset of cells (Fig. 4). Sox17-high cells within serum and LIF lacked the expression of Oct4 and had nuclear colocalized Gata4 and Sox17, as well as cell surface-localized laminin and Dab2 expression. mESCs in 2i and LIF robustly express Oct4 in all of the colonies, confirming that in these defined culture conditions, pluripotency transcription factors are more homogeneously expressed (Ying et al., 2008). However, mESCs maintained in 2i and LIF, lacked the expression of Sox17, Gata4 and Dab2, suggesting that XEN-like cells are either absent in these conditions or below the detection of our analysis. To further test whether XEN-like cells emerge in 2i and LIF, we established cXEN cells, like embryo-derived XEN cells, have the capacity to differentiate into VE cells in response to BMP4 treatment.
Embryonic XEN or trophoblast stem-like cells and a possible et al., 2007), which have been suggested to reflect extra-
compared with mESCs in serum or 2i and LIF Nanog Fgf5 that EpiSCs uniquely express (supplementary material Fig. S7). qRT-PCR analysis confirmed expression of alkaline phosphatase (Brons et al., 2007) that grew as monolayer colonies and, characteristically, lacked the cXEN protocol. We cultured EpiSCs as previously described; cells lacked the expression of Oct4, suggesting that they are either poised or committed to differentiate. However, unlike mESCs, the Sox17-high-expressing cells within the EpiSC cultures do not overlap with the expression of Sox7, Dab2 and laminin. Altogether, this suggests that the heterogeneity within EpiSC cultures is distinct and that the cells within EpiSC cultures may be more reminiscent of germ layer lineages such as definitive endoderm (Sox17, Gata4, Gata6) or mesoderm (Sox7) (Gandillet et al., 2009), which would be consistent with the epiblast origin from which EpiSCs are derived.

Intriguingly, the cXEN protocol results in the differentiation of EpiSCs into cells that lacked the morphology of XEN cells (Fig. 5A,B). By qRT-PCR analysis we confirm that the EpiSC differentiated cells downregulated the expression of Pou5f1, Nanog and Sox2 (Fig. 5E). Significantly, with the exception of laminin, these cells lacked the expression of ExEn-associated proteins (Fig. 5C). Moreover, cells differentiated from EpiSCs lacked the expression of Gata4, Sox7, Sox17, Pdgfra, Lama1, Lamb1 and Dab2, and have low to moderate expression of Gata6, Col4a1, Sparc and Plat (Fig. 5D). We next performed qRT-PCR analysis for genes associated with later stages of germ cell lineages (ectoderm, endoderm or mesoderm), as the EpiSCs we used for this analysis had been maintained in cXEN derivation conditions for 1 month. We used the Sox factor Sox1 (Wood and Episkopou, 1999) and the oligodendrocyte factor Olig3 (Muller et al., 2005) as markers of ectoderm neural differentiation; the pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 1 gene Pdx1 (Jonsson et al., 1994) and Afp (Kuhlmann, 1979) as markers of endoderm pancreatic or liver differentiation; smooth muscle actin (Sma) (Shimizu et al., 1995), smooth muscle 22 alpha (Sm22a) (Li et al., 1996) and smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (Smmhc) (Watanabe et al., 1996) as markers of mesoderm smooth muscle differentiation; and the homeobox-containing gene Nkx2.5 (Lints et al., 1993) as a marker of cardiac mesoderm (Fig. 5F). The cells differentiated from EpiSCs in cXEN conditions robustly express Sma (287.9±192.5-fold upregulation), Nkx2.5 (49.0±47-fold upregulation) and Sm22a (67.7±59.6-fold upregulation), and have low-moderate Smmhc expression (2.7±0.4-fold upregulation). The expression of Pdx1 (11.4±5.0-fold upregulation) suggests that there is some heterogeneity within these differentiation conditions and the large standard deviation for gene expression points to the variability in differentiation efficiency between biological replicates of EpiSC lines (Fig. 5F). As the cells differentiated from EpiSCs lack the expression of Sox1, Olig3 and Afp, this suggests that neuroectoderm and liver differentiation may be blocked under these conditions (Fig. 5F). Altogether, these results demonstrate that EpiSCs, in contrast to mESCs, are blocked from cXEN differentiation and may be refractory to extra-embryonic differentiation.

**DISCUSSION**

mESC contribution towards extra-embryonic lineages in chimera experiments has been noted (Beddington and Robertson, 1989) and, in conjunction with a growing body of work on mESC heterogeneity, suggests that mESCs can give rise to both epiblast progenitor and ExEn-like cells. We have previously demonstrated that Sox17-high expression in cells within mESC cultures reversion of developmental commitment. We next asked whether XEN-like cells exist within EpiSC cultures. Like mESCs, EpiSC cultures contained Sox17-high-expressing cells that colocalized with the expression of Gata4 (Fig. 4). Sox17-high-expressing cells lacked the expression of Oct4, suggesting that they are either poised or committed to differentiate. However, unlike mESCs, the Sox17-high-expressing cells within the EpiSC cultures do not overlap with the expression of Sox7, Dab2 and laminin. Altogether, this suggests that the heterogeneity within EpiSC cultures is distinct and that the cells within EpiSC cultures may be more reminiscent of germ layer lineages such as definitive endoderm (Sox17, Gata4, Gata6) or mesoderm (Sox7) (Gandillet et al., 2009), which would be consistent with the epiblast origin from which EpiSCs are derived.

![Fig. 4. XEN-like cells are present in mESC cultures in serum and LIF. Immunofluorescence analysis of Sox17 expression: in mESCs maintained in serum and LIF; in mESC maintained in Erk and Gsk3 inhibitors, and LIF (2i+LIF); and in EpiSC maintained in the presence of Fgf2 and activin. Sox17-high-expressing cells were analyzed for colocalization with Oct4, Gata4, laminin or Dab2 (red) expression along with DAPI (blue) merge. Scale bars: 100 μm.](image)

**Different pluripotent stem cell states have distinct ExEn differentiation capacities**

We next asked whether EpiSCs are equivalent responsive to our cXEN protocol. We cultured EpiSCs as previously described; cells grew as monolayer colonies and, characteristically, lacked the expression of alkaline phosphatase (Brons et al., 2007) (supplementary material Fig. S7). qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that EpiSCs uniquely express Fgf5 and have lower expression of Nanog compared with mESCs in serum or 2i and LIF (supplementary material Fig. S7).

EpiSCs give rise to Sox7- and Cdx2-expressing cells (Brons et al., 2007), which have been suggested to reflect extra-embryonic XEN or trophoblast stem-like cells and a possible reversion of developmental commitment. We next asked whether XEN-like cells exist within EpiSC cultures. Like mESCs, EpiSC cultures contained Sox17-high-expressing cells that colocalized with the expression of Gata4 (Fig. 4). Sox17-high-expressing cells lacked the expression of Oct4, suggesting that they are either poised or committed to differentiate. However, unlike mESCs, the Sox17-high-expressing cells within the EpiSC cultures do not overlap with the expression of Sox7, Dab2 and laminin. Altogether, this suggests that the heterogeneity within EpiSC cultures is distinct and that the cells within EpiSC cultures may be more reminiscent of germ layer lineages such as definitive endoderm (Sox17, Gata4, Gata6) or mesoderm (Sox7) (Gandillet et al., 2009), which would be consistent with the epiblast origin from which EpiSCs are derived.

Intriguingly, the cXEN protocol results in the differentiation of EpiSCs into cells that lacked the morphology of XEN cells (Fig. 5A,B). By qRT-PCR analysis we confirm that the EpiSC differentiated cells downregulated the expression of Pou5f1, Nanog and Sox2 (Fig. 5E). Significantly, with the exception of laminin, these cells lacked the expression of ExEn-associated proteins (Fig. 5C). Moreover, cells differentiated from EpiSCs lacked the expression of Gata4, Sox7, Sox17, Pdgfra, Lama1, Lamb1 and Dab2, and have low to moderate expression of Gata6, Col4a1, Sparc and Plat (Fig. 5D). We next performed qRT-PCR analysis for genes associated with later stages of germ cell lineages (ectoderm, endoderm or mesoderm), as the EpiSCs we used for this analysis had been maintained in cXEN derivation conditions for 1 month. We used the Sox factor Sox1 (Wood and Episkopou, 1999) and the oligodendrocyte factor Olig3 (Muller et al., 2005) as markers of ectoderm neural differentiation; the pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 1 gene Pdx1 (Jonsson et al., 1994) and Afp (Kuhlmann, 1979) as markers of endoderm pancreatic or liver differentiation; smooth muscle actin (Sma) (Shimizu et al., 1995), smooth muscle 22 alpha (Sm22a) (Li et al., 1996) and smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (Smmhc) (Watanabe et al., 1996) as markers of mesoderm smooth muscle differentiation; and the homeobox-containing gene Nkx2.5 (Lints et al., 1993) as a marker of cardiac mesoderm (Fig. 5F). The cells differentiated from EpiSCs in cXEN conditions robustly express Sma (287.9±192.5-fold upregulation), Nkx2.5 (49.0±47-fold upregulation) and Sm22a (67.7±59.6-fold upregulation), and have low-moderate Smmhc expression (2.7±0.4-fold upregulation). The expression of Pdx1 (11.4±5.0-fold upregulation) suggests that there is some heterogeneity within these differentiation conditions and the large standard deviation for gene expression points to the variability in differentiation efficiency between biological replicates of EpiSC lines (Fig. 5F). As the cells differentiated from EpiSCs lack the expression of Sox1, Olig3 and Afp, this suggests that neuroectoderm and liver differentiation may be blocked under these conditions (Fig. 5F). Altogether, these results demonstrate that EpiSCs, in contrast to mESCs, are blocked from cXEN differentiation and may be refractory to extra-embryonic differentiation.

**DISCUSSION**

mESC contribution towards extra-embryonic lineages in chimera experiments has been noted (Beddington and Robertson, 1989) and, in conjunction with a growing body of work on mESC heterogeneity, suggests that mESCs can give rise to both epiblast progenitor and ExEn-like cells. We have previously demonstrated that Sox17-high expression in cells within mESC cultures...
distinguishes XEN-like cells (Niakan et al., 2010). However, it was unclear whether self-renewing XEN cell lines could be established directly from mESCs without genetic manipulation. Here, we describe an approach for directing the differentiation of mESCs to cXEN cells, which are identical to XEN cells derived from the PrE of pre-implantation embryos. Interestingly, mESCs may also generate trophoblast-like cells without genetic manipulation (Schenke-Layland et al., 2007; He et al., 2008). Altogether, our work further suggests that mESCs have a greater differentiation capacity than has been previously appreciated.
Conversion of mES cells to cXEN cells

Fig. 6. A schematic model of cXEN cell derivation from mESCs. mESCs maintained in LIF and either 2i (purple) or serum (red) can give rise to cXEN cells. Our data suggest that, during cXEN derivation, cells transit through a XEN-like cell state (blue), whereby pluripotency genes are downregulated and extra-embryonic endoderm (ExEn) genes are upregulated. FGF signalling is required to exit mESC self-renewal but not for cXEN maintenance (green).

The absence of XEN-like cells in 2i and LIF culture conditions suggests that our cXEN protocol probably reflects both a differentiation and selection process in which a low dose of RA and activin limits the range of differentiated cell types that can emerge from mESCs. One of these differentiated cell types are XEN cells that can be enriched and expanded in conditions that favour their proliferative advantage. Based on our observations, we present a model (Fig. 6) in which we suggest that mESCs exit pluripotency and transit through a pre-XEN state where key pluripotency genes are downregulated and ExEn-associated genes are upregulated. Eventually, in conditions that favour XEN expansion, we select and grow stable XEN stem cell lines. By contrast, EpiSCs represent a distinct pluripotent stem cell state unable to undergo XEN conversion, suggesting that these cells do not reverse their developmental commitment. The hypothesis that EpiSCs are limited in their differentiation potential to embryonic germ lineage layers is supported by recent observations that EpiSCs, like hESCs, undergo extra-embryonic mesoderm rather than trophoblast differentiation in the presence of BMP4 (Bernardo et al., 2011). It will be interesting to determine whether, like EpiSCs, hESCs are refractory to XEN differentiation.

Our FGF/ERK signalling results are consistent with previous studies that have demonstrated that VE and PE differentiation in EBs is blocked in the presence of FGFR inhibitors or in mESCs that have a dominant-negative mutation of FGFR2 (Chen et al., 2000; Li et al., 2001; Li et al., 2004; Hamazaki et al., 2006). Furthermore, our results confirm previous studies suggesting that FGF signalling is required to exit mESC self-renewal (Kunath et al., 2007; Stavridis et al., 2007). In all, this suggests that FGF/ERK signalling is also required for mESC differentiation to cXEN cells. Intriguingly, cXEN derivation demonstrates that exogenous FGF is not required unless endogenous Fgf4 is compromised. In conclusion, a notable advantage to the cXEN protocol is its potential application to a wide selection of mutant mESCs, representing a novel approach to the genetic study of ExEn development.
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