INTRODUCTION
The replenishment, survival and proper differentiation of stem cells is necessary for development, continuous production of gametes and the repair of lost or damaged tissues. It is therefore important to understand the mechanisms responsible for regulating the maintenance, proliferation and proper differentiation of stem cells. The capabilities of stem cells are vividly displayed during post-embryonic development of planarian flatworms. A population of planarian adult stem cells, known as neoblasts (Dubois, 1948; Dubois and Wolff, 1947), are the only dividing cells in the planarian soma: they are the sole source of additional neoblasts and differentiated cells during regeneration, growth and homeostasis (Baguñà, 1976; Newmark and Sanchez Alvarado, 2000; Newmark and Sanchez Alvarado, 2002). Single cells within this population (clonogenic neoblasts; cNeoblasts) are sufficient to rescue differentiated cells during regeneration, growth and homeostasis. A characteristic feature of neoblasts is the presence of chromatoid bodies, large cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein (RNP) granules morphologically similar to structures present in the germline of many organisms. The capabilities of stem cells are vividly displayed during post-embryonic development of planarian flatworms. A population of planarian adult stem cells, known as neoblasts (Dubois, 1948; Dubois and Wolff, 1947), are the only dividing cells in the planarian soma: they are the sole source of additional neoblasts and differentiated cells during regeneration, growth and homeostasis (Baguñà, 1976; Newmark and Sanchez Alvarado, 2000; Newmark and Sanchez Alvarado, 2002). Single cells within this population (clonogenic neoblasts; cNeoblasts) are sufficient to rescue differentiated cells during regeneration, growth and homeostasis. A characteristic feature of neoblasts is the presence of chromatoid bodies, large cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein (RNP) granules morphologically similar to structures present in the germline of many organisms. This study aims to reveal the function, and identify additional components, of planarian chromatoid bodies. We uncover the presence of symmetrical dimethylarginine (sDMA) on chromatoid body components and identify the ortholog of protein arginine methyltransferase PRMT5 as the enzyme responsible for sDMA modification in these proteins. RNA interference-mediated depletion of planarian PRMT5 results in defects in homeostasis and regeneration, reduced animal size, reduced number of neoblasts, fewer chromatoid bodies and increased levels of transposon and repetitive-element transcripts. Our results suggest that PIWI family member SMEDWI-3 is one sDMA-containing chromatoid body protein for which methylation depends on PRMT5. Additionally, we discover an RNA localized to chromatoid bodies, germinal histone H4. Our results reveal new components of chromatoid bodies and their function in planarian stem cells, and also support emerging studies indicative of sDMA function in stabilization of RNP granules and the Piwi-interacting RNA pathway.
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post-translational modification is catalyzed by the Type II protein arginine methyl transferase PRMT5 in mammals (Meister et al., 2001) and its ortholog Capsulene in flies (Anne et al., 2007).

sDMAs form the major epitope for recognition of Sm proteins by the widely used Y12 monoclonal antibody (Brahms et al., 2000; Lerner et al., 1981), which also recognizes sDMAs on mouse and fly PIWI (Kirino et al., 2009) and Vasa (Kirino et al., 2010a) proteins. Indeed, PIWI complexes containing PRMT5 and TDRDs have been isolated from mouse germline cells (Reuter et al., 2009; Vagin et al., 2009b; Vasileva et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009). sDMA modifications on PIWI and Vasa are also mediated by PRMT5, are required for the physical interaction between PIWI and TDRDs, and drive localization of PIWI to cytoplasmic foci (Kirino et al., 2009; Liu, H. et al., 2010; Liu, K. et al., 2010; Nishida et al., 2009; Vagin et al., 2009b).

The composition of cytoplasmic RNP granules in somatic stem cells has been investigated less extensively than that of their germline-restricted counterparts. Here, we examine sDMA modifications in CB components of planarian neoblasts, identify the enzyme responsible for this modification and reveal novel CB components.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Planarian culture

The clonal asexual strain CIW4 (Sanchez Alvarado et al., 2002) and a hermaphroditic strain (Zayas et al., 2005) of *Schmidtea mediterranea* were used and maintained as described by Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2007).

Irradiation

Asexual planarians 3-5 mm in length were exposed to 40 Gy of gamma irradiation using a Gammacell-220 Excel with a cobalt-60 source (Nordion, Ottawa, ON, Canada) in 2 ml of planarian salts (Cebra and Newmark, 2005) and processed at the indicated time points.

Whole-mount and fluorescent in situ hybridization (ISH)

ISH was performed as described (Pearson et al., 2009), with modifications as per Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2010) for large hermaphrodites.

Whole-mount immunofluorescence

Animals for anti-PCNA (1:500; Orii et al., 2005) and anti-phospho-histone H3 (Ser10) D2C8 (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danver, MA, USA) analyses were killed in 2% HCl and fixed for two hours in 4% formaldehyde, 5% methanol in PBS. Y12 (Neomarkers, Fremont, CA, USA) was used at 1:250. Goat anti-mouse Alexa-488 (1:500) (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) was used at 1:250. Goat anti-mouse Alexa-488 (1:1000) and goat anti-rabbit Alexa-568 (1:500) (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) was used for detection of primary antibodies. Immunofluorescence was performed and visualized as described by Forsthoefel et al. (Forsthoefel et al., 2011) following overnight bleaching or fluorescent ISH.

RNA interference (RNAi)

Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) feedings were performed as described by Rouhana et al. (Rouhana et al., 2010) with modifications (Collins et al., 2010). Briefly, dsRNA diluted to 0.1 μg/μl in 2:1 mined liver extract was watered to planarians twice a week (250 ng per animal). Non-planarian dsRNA encoded in pJC53.2 (Collins et al., 2010) served as negative control.

Electron microscopy (EM)

Polysclines (Warrington, PA, USA) provided chemicals unless otherwise stated. We processed and analyzed three animals per condition for EM and immuno-EM. Animals were fixed in iced 2% formaldehyde, 2.5% glutaraldehyde in EMBuffer (70 mM sodium cacodylate, 1 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.4), excised, fixed for four additional hours, washed twice in EMBuffer, post-fixed (1% OsO₄, 90 minutes, 4°C in dark), washed twice (EMBuffer), dehydrated (20%-2% uranyl acetate, 40%, 60%, 80%, 100% ethanol), gradually placed in acetone, and infiltrated (Mollenhauer, 1964). Sections (80 nm) were stained as described by Vennable and Coggeshall (Vennable and Coggeshall, 1965) and were imaged using a Philips CM-200.

For immuno-EM, fixed samples were rinsed twice (EMBuffer), quenched with 0.1 M glycine, and dehydrated, infiltrated and cured using Lowicryl-K4M according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sections collected on FORMVAR-coated grids were treated with IEMBlock (TBST, IgG-free 0.5% BSA, 0.45% fish gelatin), incubated for 1 hour in IEMBlock with or without Y12 (1:1), washed in TBST, incubated for 1 hour with 10 nm immunogold bead-conjugated anti-mouse antibodies (Molecular Probes) in IEMBlock (1:2), TBST-washed, rinsed in water, stained with 4% uranyl acetate, and imaged described as above.

Immunoblotting

Groups of four 3-4 mm asexual or two 1-1.2 cm sexual planarians (rinsed) were snap-frozen, resuspended in 50 or 100 μl SDS loading dye, respectively, homogenized with Kontes pestles, snap-frozen once again, boiled for three minutes, vortexed and centrifuged for 10 minutes (20,000 g), all at 4°C. The equivalent of half to three-fourths of an asexual planarian, or one-fifth of a sexual planarian was used for immunoblotting. Membranes blocked in 1% BSA in PBS, were incubated with Y12 (1:250, NeoMarkers, Fremont, CA, USA) or anti-actin A2066 (1:1000, Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) in 0.05% Tween-20 PBS overnight at 4°C.

Immunoprecipitation and labeling of planarian RNAs

All steps were performed in medium salt buffer [MSB; 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.05% NP-40, Roche EDTA-free protease inhibitors at 4°C]. Extracts were prepared from eighteen 5-7 mm asexual planarians snap-frozen, homogenized in 200 μl MSB, snap-frozen once again, supplemented with 200 μl MSB, further disassociated by pipetting, snap-frozen, thawed, centrifuged for ten minutes (8000 g), re-cleared for five minutes (20,000 g) and split between 4 μl of control or Y12 mAAb [courtesy of Drs J. Steitz (Yale University) and G. Dreyfuss (University of Pennsylvania)] (Lerner et al., 1981; Pettersson et al., 1984) bound to 30 μl of protein G-Dynabeads slurry (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). FE-J1 antibodies (Federson et al., 1984) (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, IA, USA) served as a negative control. Immunoprecipitation and RNA analysis were performed as per Nishida et al. (Nishida et al., 2009) and Kirino et al. (Kirino et al., 2011) with minor modifications. [γ-32P] ATP-labeled RNA was RQ1 DNase-treated (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) or RNaseT1-treated (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA), and resolved by 12% denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

RNAseq and RT-qPCR

RNA from two-month-old control and PRMT5 RNAi-treated planarians was isolated using TRIzol (Invitrogen), DNase-treated, purified with RNA Clean and Concentrator kit (Zymo, Irvine, CA, USA) and submitted to the W. M. Keck Center for Comparative and Functional Genomics for Illumina sequencing. Reads were mapped to a reference transcriptome (https://www.ideals.illinois.edu/handle/2142/28689) using CLC Genomics Workbench and compared as per Marioni et al. (Marioni et al., 2008). Sequence Read Archive (SRA) data were deposited at NCBI under project accession PRJNA79031.

Transcript quantification in control and PRMT5(RNAi) animals was performed as described by Miller and Newmark (Miller and Newmark, 2012) using GoTaq qPCR reagent (Promega), with the following primers: 5’-CCCCACCGGATATTCCAAA-3’; 5’-CCCATCTCTTTGAC-TTGTG-3’ for *Smed-PRMT5*; 5’-GGGCTAATCCAAATCCTGGT-3’; 5’-TGTCGTAACACTCAGGAGA-3’ for *Smedwi-1* (Eisenhofer et al., 2008); and 5’-TGGCTGCTTGTGTACCAAAGA-3’, 5’-AAATTTGCC-GCAACAGTCAAATA-3’ for β-tubulin.

Sequences

cDNA clones for *gH4* and *nanos* (Wang et al., 2007), *Smedwi-1* (Reddien et al., 2005b), *Smedwi-3* (Palakodeti et al., 2008; Reddien et al., 2005b) and *Smedwi-3* (Palakodeti et al., 2008), were obtained from an expressed sequence tag (EST) library (Zayas et al., 2005). PRMT homologs were amplified from planarian cDNA and cloned into pJC53.2 using the following: 5’-ATGGTATGTGATAGTTGTCAGCACTG-3’, 5’-GGACATGGAAATAAGCAGCCTTTTGCATTAGTAA-3’; 5’-ATGG-
RESULTS
Planarian neoblasts are enriched with sDMA-containing protein localized to chromatoid bodies
Previous studies of germline development in the sexual strain of *S. mediterranea* revealed the presence of Y12-immunoreactive material in the cytoplasm of spermatogonia (Fig. 1A) (Wang et al., 2010). Y12-immunoreactive material is also detected in neoblasts of asexual planarians (supplementary material Fig. S1). Y12 specifically recognizes sDMA (Brahms et al., 2000), suggesting that neoblasts express machinery necessary for this modification. Recent reports have shown that interactions between proteins containing sDMA and TDRDs are important for RNP aggregation in mouse and fly germlines (Anne, 2010; Gonsalvez et al., 2010; Orii et al., 2005; Shibata et al., 1999). Neoblasts reside in the mesenchyme of intact planarians posterior to the photoreceptors (Newmark and Sanchez Alvarado, 2000); and 5'-CCAGCTCAAATATCGGATATGCTATTGAAGCATC-3' for *Smed005105* (GenBankID:JQ035531); and 5'-CTCCCTTTAAC-TTCAGATCAAATGCATCATCAATTGCTCTAGC-3' for *Smed001246* (GenBankID:JQ035530).

# Fig. 1. Chromatoid body components of neoblasts show enrichment of sDMA modification. (A) sDMA-specific antibody Y12 (green) and DAPI (gray) staining of planarian testis lobe. Y12 labels spermatogonia in the outer layer of testes lobes, but not more differentiated cells (spermatocytes, spermatids, sperm) located towards the lumen. (B) Y12 (green), anti-PCNA (magenta) and DAPI staining of neoblasts located between posterior intestinal branches of asexual planarians. Y12 recognizes cytoplasmic aggregates in neoblasts, verified by the presence of PCNA, a marker of proliferative neoblasts. (C) Y12, gh4 RNA fluorescence (green) and DAPI staining of neoblasts in asexual planarians. Y12 recognizes cytoplasmic RNP aggregates in planarian neoblasts; some aggregates contain gh4 RNA (filled arrowheads) whereas others do not (empty arrowheads). (D-D') Immuno-EM reveals Y12 epitopes as components of CBs. Material recognized by Y12 is visualized by 10 nm immunogold-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibodies. D' and D" show magnified views of the boxed areas in D. (E) Immunoblot analysis using *Smed001246* reveals that proteins containing sDMA decrease in an irradiated asexual animal extracts following gamma irradiation (post-irradiation times indicated). Anti-actin serves as loading control. Scale bars: in A-C, 10 μm; in D, 1 μm; in D' and D", 200 nm.

Among planarian cell types, CBs are an unambiguous characteristic of neoblasts and germ cells (Coward, 1974; Hori, 1982; Morita et al., 1969). We hypothesized that epitopes recognized by Y12 are CB components based on their perinuclear localization in neoblasts and colocalization with RNA (Fig. 1B,C). To test this idea, we performed immuno-EM on regenerating neoblasts...
planarians three days post-amputation, because neoblasts accumulate at the base of the blastema. We found strong Y12 immunoreactivity in neoblasts, particularly in CBs (Fig. 1D), which did not appear in control sections prepared without primary antibody. We counted the number of colloidal gold-particles present in areas containing CBs (~564.3 counts/μm²; n=8 cells), compared with nuclear (~36.4 counts/μm²) or cytoplasmic neighboring areas (~8.4 counts/μm²). This 15-fold higher Y12 signal in CBs than elsewhere in cells reveals that CBs are indeed enriched with sDMA-containing protein.

Immunoblot analysis of planarian protein extracts revealed Y12-reactive proteins of approximately 13, 24, 28, 85 and 110 kDa, and a minor polypeptide migrating at ~15 kDa (Fig. 1E). The estimated sizes of some of these polypeptides correspond to planarian homologs of sDMA-containing proteins [such as SmB, SmD and PIWI (Table 1)], recognition of which by Y12 is evolutionarily indeed sDMA, we identified homologs of Type I (asymmetrical) and Type II (symmetrical) protein arginine methyltransferases in the S. mediterranea genome (supplementary material Table S1) (Robb et al., 2008). Among these genes was Smed-PRMT5 (S. mediterranea protein arginine methyltransferase 5) mammalian and fly orthologs of which methylate PIWI (Kirino et al., 2009; Nishida et al., 2009), Vasa (Kirino et al., 2010a) and Sm proteins (Anne et al., 2007; Gonsalvez et al., 2006; Meister et al., 2001). Methylation by PRMT5 is required for recognition of these proteins by Y12 (Anne et al., 2007; Kirino et al., 2009; Kirino et al., 2010a). Thus, we suspected that PRMT5 could be responsible for the methylation of at least some of the sDMA-containing proteins present in planarians. To test this, we inhibited the expression of PRMT5 by RNA interference (RNAi) and tested for levels of sDMA recognized by Y12. In PRMT5(RNAi) asexual planarians, we found a dramatic reduction of Y12-immunoreactive material in neoblasts (supplementary material Fig. S2B) and in protein extracts (Fig. 2A). Similarly, sDMA modifications were prominent in spermatogonia of sexual control animals (Fig. 2B), but were undetectable in PRMT5(RNAi) animals (Fig. 2C). Disrupting expression of homologs of PRMT1, the predominant mammalian Type I arginine methyltransferase (supplementary material Table S1) (Tang et al., 2000), did not alter sDMA detection by Y12 (Fig. 2D,E), validating the specificity of effects seen after PRMT5 RNAi. From these results, we conclude that material recognized by Y12 in planarian cells and CBs is indeed sDMA-containing protein and that the enzyme responsible for this modification in S. mediterranea is PRMT5.

**Characterization of Smed-PRMT5 expression**

To identify the cell types in which PRMT5 is expressed, we performed ISH on sexual and asexual planarians. PRMT5 transcript was detected in the brain, neoblasts and testes of sexual planarians, while no signal was detected in asexual planarians. This suggests that PRMT5 may play a role in sexual reproduction in planarians. The expression of PRMT5 in the brain and testes indicates its potential roles in neural development and spermatogenesis.
PRMT5 promotes proper neoblast function during growth, homeostasis and regeneration

The detection of PRMT5 activity in planarian neoblasts and the enrichment of its product (sDMA) in CBs suggest an involvement of PRMT5 in neoblast function. To test the role of PRMT5 in planarian neoblasts, we inhibited PRMT5 expression by RNAi. As shown above, PRMT5 RNAi specifically reduces Y12-detectable sDMA modifications (Fig. 2A-C). Animals were subjected to a long-term regimen of biweekly PRMT5 and control double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) treatments (see Materials and methods). After two- to three-months of RNAi, asexual PRMT5(RNAi) animals were ~35% smaller than control animals subjected to the same diet and amounts of dsRNA [average 5.86±0.65 mm (n=19) vs 3.77±0.56 mm (n=15)] (Fig. 3A). At this point, anterior blisters and head defects were observed in >25% of asexual PRMT5(RNAi) (n=21/76), some of which exhibited complete loss of the head region (n=6/76; Fig. 3B; supplementary material Fig. S3A). Head loss was also observed in PRMT5(RNAi) sexual animals (n=9/11; supplementary material Fig. S3B). To analyze whether the defects observed after PRMT5 RNAi could be due to changes in neoblasts, we analyzed neoblast distribution by gH4 ISH. A substantial decrease in the number of gH4-expressing cells was found in severely affected PRMT5(RNAi) animals, which was not observed in controls (Fig. 3C-E). However, this reduction in neoblast number was not observed in all individuals nor distributed evenly throughout the entire body of single individuals subjected to PRMT5 RNAi (supplementary material Fig. S3C), complicating the quantification of neoblasts by whole-mount gH4 ISH (Fig. 3C-E). Thus, we analyzed the expression of another well-characterized neoblast marker, Smedwi-1 (Reddien et al., 2005b), in PRMT5(RNAi) and control animals. Total RNA from groups of PRMT5(RNAi) and control animals was extracted and subjected to reverse transcription-coupled quantitative PCR analysis (qRT-PCR; Fig. 3F). PRMT5 RNAi resulted in a modest yet reproducible reduction of Smedwi-1 RNA levels compared with controls (30-45% reduction; Fig. 3F), confirming an overall reduction of neoblasts after PRMT5 RNAi. The range of observed phenotypes (Fig. 3B-E; supplementary material Fig. S3) is unlikely to be due to insufficient knockdown, as PRMT5 transcript levels (Fig. 3F) and detection of sDMA by Y12 (Fig. 2A) are both dramatically reduced by this RNAi regimen. The compromised ability of PRMT5(RNAi) animals to sustain

Fig. 2. PRMT5 mediates sDMA modification of proteins in neoblasts and germline cells of S. mediterranea. (A) Y12 epitopes are dramatically reduced in extracts of asexual PRMT5(RNAi) but not control (C) animals after three weeks of RNAi. Anti-actin serves as loading control. (B-E) Y12 (green) and phospho-histone H3 (magenta) staining showing the loss of sDMA in testes lobes of PRMT5(RNAi) (C), but not in control (B) nor knockdowns of PRMT1 homologs Smed-005105 (D) or Smed-001246 (E) after three weeks of RNAi treatment. Images were taken at the same magnification and exposure. DAPI staining is shown in gray in B’-E’). Scale bar: 20 μm. (F) Detection of PRMT5 transcript in the outer layer of testes lobes in a sexually mature animal detected by ISH. F’ shows a magnified view of the boxed area. (G-I) PRMT5 is expressed in neoblasts of asexual planarians. Detection of PRMT5 transcript in mesenchymal cells and cephalic ganglia of asexual animals (G); mesenchymal labeling is dramatically reduced one (H) and four (I) days after gamma irradiation. (J) PRMT5 expression and sDMA-containing granules are present during oogenesis. PRMT5 transcripts were detected in oocytes by fluorescence ISH (magenta). sDMA-containing granules (arrowheads) were observed in the cytoplasm of young oocytes (oO, dotted circles; mid-sized ovarian cells localized more anteriorly) by Y12 immunofluorescence (green). Advanced oocytes (oA; dashed circles; larger ovarian cells with meiotic chromosomes) are indicated. Scale bars: in F-I, 0.5 mm; in J, 10 μm.
homeostatic size and growth, as well as the development of blisters, head loss and reduction of neoblasts, raised the possibility that PRMT5 could be required for proper neoblast function.

The highest demand for neoblasts is during regeneration, when amputation-induced signals drive an increase in proliferation; differentiation of newly born neoblasts will help replace missing body parts (Baguñà, 1976; Best et al., 1968; Saló and Baguñà, 1984; Wenemoser and Reddien, 2010). The homeostatic defects observed as a result of \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) suggest that regeneration might also be compromised in these animals. Thus, after one month of RNAi, we challenged fragments of \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) animals to regenerate their heads and tails after amputation anterior and posterior to the pharynx. Under these conditions, the effect upon neoblasts should be less severe than those observed in two-month knockdowns (Fig. 3D–F). Indeed, 11% and 10% of \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) trunk and tail fragments \((n=36\) and \(n=29\), respectively) failed to regenerate normal heads, as judged by the presence of photoreceptors on the seventh day after amputation (Fig. 4A–D). Additionally, 24% of tail fragments showed abnormal head regeneration with only a single photoreceptor, and 21% of \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) head fragments \((n=34)\) failed to regenerate a tail (Fig. 4A). Conversely, all control fragments \((n=38\) and \(n=32\), respectively) displayed successful head regeneration and only one of 38 head fragments failed to regenerate a tail (Fig. 4A,B). These results demonstrate that PRMT5 promotes proper regeneration.

Ultrastructural analysis of trunk fragments three days after amputation revealed additional differences between head-regenerating regions of control and \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) samples. The organized architecture of the blastema and postblastema region observed in controls (Fig. 5A) seemed distorted in \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) fragments (Fig. 5B). Qualitative analyses revealed that neoblasts of similar shape and size covered the area close to the site of amputation in control animals (Fig. 5A). However, irregularities in shape, size and distribution of neoblasts were observed in \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) sections (Fig. 5B). Differentiated structures, such as ciliated ducts of the protonephridial system (not shown) and secretory cell structures (Fig. 5A, arrows), were observed more often in sections of control animals by this time point, than in \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) sections (Fig. 5B). Similarly, germ cell clusters, which arise from neoblasts in adult planarians (Wang et al., 2007; reviewed by Newmark et al., 2008), were reduced in regenerating \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) head fragments relative to comparatively sized control fragments \((P<0.05,\) two-tailed unpaired \( t \)-test; supplementary material Fig. S4). Furthermore, a 52% reduction in the number of CBs per neoblast was observed in sections of \( PRMT5(\text{RNAi}) \) animals \((P<0.05,\) two-tailed unpaired \( t \)-test, \(n=82\).
Analysis of planarian sDMA-containing proteins reveals a connection between chromatoid bodies and PIWI proteins

Examples of Sm and PIWI protein family methylation by PRMT5 have been observed in flies and mice, and primary sequence analysis suggested that the same could be true of planarian homologs (Table 1). To verify that planarian PIWI proteins are PRMT5 substrates, we analyzed the expression of polypeptides recognized by Y12 after knockdown of three PIWI homologs. Detection of the 110 kDa polypeptide by Y12 was lost after Smedwi-3 RNAi (Fig. 6A), and was often reduced (but not eliminated entirely) in extracts of Smedwi-1 (RNAi) animals (Fig. 6A). The designation of this polypeptide as sDMA-SMEDWI-3 is corroborated by the predicted molecular weight of SMEDWI-3 and the presence of multiple PRMT5 substrate motifs in the primary sequence of SMEDWI-3 (but not SMEDWI-1 or SMEDWI-2; Table 1). However, indirect effects of Smedwi-3 RNAi on other sDMA-modified protein are still possible.

Recognition of sDMA-SMEDWI-3 by Y12 suggests that CBs might be associated with piRNAs. Indeed, RNAs within the size range of piRNA (26-32 nts) (Palakodetti et al., 2008; Friedlander et al., 2009) were enriched in material from planarian extracts specifically co-immunoprecipitated with Y12, but not with control antibodies (Fig. 6B, single asterisk). RNA species of higher molecular weight were also detected in Y12 immunoprecipitates, as expected from this antibody’s likely affinity for methylated Sm proteins (Fig. 6B, double asterisks). These results strongly suggest that sDMA-SMEDWI-3 is one of the CB factors recognized by Y12 in planarian neoblasts, and that methylated planarian PIWI proteins are capable of direct or indirect association with PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs).

The identification of sDMA-SMEDWI-3 raised the possibility that PRMT5 might influence repression of transposon activity by SMEDWI-3. Indeed, Illumina-based sequencing analyses revealed that the most over-represented transcripts in PRMT5 (RNAi), compared with control planarians, corresponded to transposon and repetitive-element sequences (supplementary material Tables S2 and S3). This result suggests that RNAs localized to CBs might be substrates or components of the piRNA pathway, rather than representatives of the translatable pool of neoblast mRNAs. To test whether localization of RNAs to CBs was a general phenomenon, we analyzed the subcellular localization of a number of neoblast-enriched transcripts by ISH followed by Y12 immunofluorescence. Surprisingly, only gh4 RNA seemed to localize to CBs (Fig. 6C). Transcripts that encode proteins known to be required for neoblast function, such as SMEDWI-2 and SMEDWI-3 (Palakodetti et al., 2008; Reddien et al., 2005b), were not detected in CBs (Fig. 6C). Similarly, transcripts of Smed-nanos, function of which is required for germ cell maintenance, did not colocalize with Y12 in presumptive germ cells of asexual animals (Fig. 6C). These results

Fig. 4. PRMT5 RNAi decreases regeneration capacity.

(A) Regeneration of asexual animals subjected to control and PRMT5 RNAi for over one month. Animals displaying blister or head-regression prior to the time of amputation were not used for this analysis. Percentage of animals showing normal regeneration within seven days (unshaded); failed posterior regeneration (transverse shading); failed anterior regeneration (black shading) and partial anterior regeneration (gray shading; cyclopia). (B-D) PRMT5 (RNAi) animal amputated trunk fragments show variable head regeneration defects ranging from reduced blastema size (mild, C) to eye regeneration failure (severe, D). Scale bar: 0.2 mm.

controls and n=60 PRMT5 (RNAi) neoblasts; Fig. 5C). These results suggest that PRMT5 plays a role in promoting CB stability or nucleation.

Analysis of planarian sDMA-containing proteins reveals a connection between chromatoid bodies and PIWI proteins

Fig. 5. Neoblasts in PRMT5 (RNAi) animals have reduced numbers of chromatoid bodies and develop blastemal defects during regeneration. (A,B) Ultrastructural analysis of representative sections from animals subjected to one month of control (A) or PRMT5 (B) RNAi, three-days post-amputation. Note the absence of some differentiated cells in B (such as secretory cells; arrows in A). A', A", B' and B" show magnified views of representative neoblasts, with some CBs indicated (arrowheads). Scale bars: 20 μm. (C) A reduced number of CBs was observed in neoblasts of PRMT5 (RNAi) animals. Neoblasts were defined as ovoid cells with large nucleocytoplasmic ratio that are devoid of cytoplasmic organelles, except CBs or mitochondria. *P<0.05; two tailed unpaired t-test. Error bars represent s.d.
suggest that mRNAs actively translated in neoblasts and presumptive germ cells (in the case of nanos) are not retained in CBs.

What dictates the localization of gH4 transcripts to CBs remains unclear. Processing or translational regulation of gH4 mRNA could occur in CBs. Alternatively, a subset of gH4 RNA could be a substrate for piRNA machinery localized to CBs. In support of this latter idea, the 13.7 kbp genomic region containing the gH4 locus harbors several piRNA islands, a recent inverted duplication of gH4 with >97% nucleotide sequence identity, and a Ty3/gypsy-type transposon (supplementary material Fig. S5A-B). Transcription from regions neighboring gH4 sequences could result in imperfect but detectable substrates of the piRNA machinery. Transposon-derived transcripts were not localized to CBs and were barely detected in neoblasts (supplementary material Fig. S6A). However, transcripts of one transposon (PL06017B1E01) were readily detected in a number of differentiated cell types (supplementary material Fig. S6B), raising the possibility that transposons are not transcribed and/or are effectively degraded in neoblasts. The identification of gH4 RNA as a CB component warrants future experiments addressing the nature, metabolism and regulation of this RNA to understand better the events that occur in CBs.

**DISCUSSION**

In this article, we demonstrate a role for the conserved methyltransferase PRMT5 in promoting stem cell function. We show that PRMT5 methylates CB components in planarian neoblasts. PRMT5 depletion leads to loss of protein sDMA methylation in neoblasts, reduced CB number and defects in regeneration, growth and homeostasis. Neoblast loss was observed in severely affected individuals after PRMT5(RNAi). However, complete loss of neoblasts does not seem to be required for manifestation of PRMT5 deficiency, as homeostatic defects in both size and blistering were seen in animals without a complete loss of neoblasts. Expression of PIWI homologs is characteristic of planarian neoblasts (Palakodeti et al., 2008; Reddien et al., 2005b; Rossi et al., 2006) and, similar to PRMT5(RNAi), defects in regeneration and homeostasis of PIWI knockdowns are not based upon complete loss of neoblasts (Reddien et al., 2005b), although decreased neoblast numbers are observed in Smedwi-2, Smedwi-3 and Smedwi-2/-3 RNAi-treated animals (Palakodeti et al., 2008). One possible explanation for this observation is that neoblasts in PIWI knockdown animals have decreased piRNA function and increased transposable element activity. Although neoblasts might be lost owing to disruptive activity of transposable elements in...
genes required for neoblast maintenance, transposition could also lead to mutation of genes involved in differentiation, repression of neoblast proliferation, or some other neoblast function. The increase in transposon and repetitive element transcripts in PRMT5(RNAi) and the detection of sDMA on SMEDWI-3, links PRMT5 and CBs to the piRNA pathway. Nevertheless, PRMT5 might also influence piRNA-independent processes in neoblasts.

**Planarian chromatoid body structure**

Several ribonucleoprotein granules have been studied intensively in the last decade, and the mechanism by which they maintain structural stability continues to be the focus of much research. Interactions within prion-like domains of some RNP granule components might be important for formation of P-bodies and neuronal RNP granules (Decker et al., 2007; Mazzoni et al., 2007; Reijns et al., 2008; Salazar et al., 2010). Mechanisms involved in RNP granule assembly in the nematode germline include hydrophobic interactions between the phenylalanine-glycine repeat domains of VASA-related proteins GLH-1/2/4 (Updike et al., 2011), and self-interaction domains of P-granule abnormality family member (PGL) proteins (Hanazawa et al., 2011). A conserved interaction between TDRDs and sDMA on RNA-binding proteins appears to be responsible for the formation of germ granules in mice and flies (reviewed by Arkov and Ramos, 2010; Siomi et al., 2010). Our data suggest that planarian CBs are stabilized by this same mechanism and that PRMT5 function in RNP-granule formation is also conserved. The identification of SpolTud-1, a planarian gene encoding a protein that contains three Tudor domains and localizes to CBs, supports this idea (Solana et al., 2009).

Like PRMT5(RNAi), SpolTud-1(RNAi) animals display regeneration defects and a reduction in neoblast number; however, these phenotypes are only observed several weeks after protein depletion (three weeks for protein depletion versus seven for phenotypic manifestation) (Solana et al., 2009). A similar long-term delay between loss of gene product and phenotypic manifestation occurs after PRMT5 RNAi. Loss of methylation indicative of PRMT5 depletion is observed within three weeks of dsRNA treatment (Fig. 2A); however, most homeostatic defects were not observed until two months after the start of RNAi treatment (Fig. 3). The phenotypic similarities between PRMT5 and SpolTud-1 knockdowns suggest that both factors could be working together to promote CB function and neoblast stability. How might these factors act together? One model proposed from work in mice and flies posits that interactions between PIWI proteins create an amplification loop of piRNA production (reviewed by Siomi et al., 2011). PIWI protein aggregates are stabilized by bridging interactions between the Tudor domains and sDMAs of different PIWI proteins (reviewed by Vagin et al., 2009a). Given that many TDRDs contain two or more Tudor domains, their interaction with multiple sDMA-containing proteins would lead to macromolecular aggregation and compartmentalization of piRNA processing (Fig. 6D) (Aravin et al., 2009; reviewed by Arkov and Ramos, 2010; Chuma and Pillai, 2009).

**Planarian chromatoid body function and the role of methylation**

The effect of methylation on protein-protein interactions in RNPs came from studies of Sm proteins and the survival of motor neuron protein, a TDRD assembly factor for snRNPs and other ribonucleomolecules (Brahms et al., 2001; Friesen et al., 2001). Tudor domains mediate binding to sDMA-modified RG repeats of SmB/B’, SmD1, SmD3 (Brahms et al., 2001; Cote and Richard, 2005; Selenko et al., 2001; Sprangers et al., 2003) and PIWI proteins (Kirino et al., 2010b; Liu, H. et al., 2010; Nishida et al., 2009; Vagin et al., 2009b). Functional analyses have shown that the PRMT5/PIWI/TUDOR network plays a role in the piRNA processing pathway, retrotransposon silencing and, consequently, genomic stability (Nishida et al., 2009; Reuter et al., 2009; Shoji et al., 2009; reviewed by Siomi et al., 2011). By contrast, the PRMT5/Sm/TUDOR network present in fly oocytes is involved in localization of oskar mRNA and specification of germ cell fate (Anne et al., 2007; Anne, 2010; Boswell and Mahowald, 1985; Gonsalvez et al., 2006; Gonsalvez et al., 2010). Our biochemical and sequence analyses suggest that both SMEDWI-3 and Sm protein family members are methylated in planarians. Recent work has shown that both SmB and PIWI are required for neoblast function (Fernandez-Taboada et al., 2010; Palakodeti et al., 2008; Reddien et al., 2005a). Furthermore, SmB was shown to localize to planarian CBs (Fernandez-Taboada et al., 2010) and our results suggest that the same is true for sDMA-SMEDWI-3. Thus, planarian CBs might be sites of piRNA processing, as well as other RNA-processing events. However, further characterization of gH4 RNA and identification of additional CB RNA components are necessary to fully elucidate the post-transcriptional processes that take place in CBs and their influence on planarian stem cell stability and function.

**Riboregulation of ancestral stem cells: germline versus soma**

Given their transcriptionally quiescent state, germ cells are hubs of post-transcriptional regulation. The establishment, proliferation, differentiation and function of the germ line are centered around RNA-binding proteins and RNA-modifying enzymes that regulate the timing, location and translational activity of specific transcripts (reviewed by Seydoux and Strome, 1999; Seydoux and Braun, 2006; Thompson et al., 2007; Voronina et al., 2011). nanos function is required for maintenance of the germ line in asexual and sexual strains of *S. mediterranea* (Wang et al., 2007). To our knowledge, *gH4* RNA is the first transcript reported to be abundant in CBs of asexual planarian neoblasts. We failed to see accumulation of nanos transcripts in CBs of *S. mediterranea* presumptive germ cells (Fig. 6C). nanos transcripts accumulate in spermatogonial CBs of *Dugesia japonica*, but their localization to CBs in sexually immature animals is less clear (Sato et al., 2006). Interestingly, *gH4* colocalization with Y12 was observed only infrequently in testes lobes (supplementary material Fig. S7). Thus, it is likely that regulation of piRNA and mRNA by CBs depends on sexual specification.

Recent studies in non-traditional model organisms have shown that a number of RNA-binding proteins often considered to be restricted to germ line functions, such as Vasa, Nanos and PIWI, operate in multipotent progenitors and stem cells of many metazoans (reviewed by Juliano and Wessel, 2010; Juliano et al., 2010). These studies led to the suggestion of a ‘germline multipotency program’ present in multipotent stem cells that generate somatic cell types. Indeed, PIWI homologs are expressed in stem cells of sponge (Funayama, 2010; Funayama et al., 2010), acelols (De Mulder et al., 2009), sea urchin (Juliano et al., 2006), jellyfish (Seipel et al., 2004), annelids (Rebscher et al., 2007) and ctenophores (Alie et al., 2011). Methylation of RNP components by PRMT5 may also be considered a constituent of such a ‘germline multipotency program’.
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Table S1. Identification of type I and type II protein arginine methyl transferases in *S. mediterranea*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hs Protein</th>
<th>Smed Homolog</th>
<th>Smed Genome</th>
<th>Human -&gt; Smed TBLASTN E-value</th>
<th>Smed -&gt; Human Reciprocal BLASTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT5</td>
<td>SmedPRMT5</td>
<td>&gt;lcl</td>
<td>mk4.002031.05</td>
<td>3.00E-136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT7</td>
<td>SmedPRMT7</td>
<td>&gt;lcl</td>
<td>mk4.002889.01</td>
<td>2.00E-77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT9/FBXO11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TYPE I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT1</td>
<td>SmedPRMT1A</td>
<td>&gt;lcl</td>
<td>mk4.001246.12</td>
<td>2.00E-126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SmedPRMT1B</td>
<td>&gt;lcl</td>
<td>mk4.005105.01</td>
<td>1.00E-118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT3</td>
<td>SmedPRMT3</td>
<td>&gt;lcl</td>
<td>mk4.001683.06</td>
<td>6.00E-56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT4/CARM1</td>
<td>SmedPRMT4</td>
<td>&gt;lcl</td>
<td>mk4.002107.06</td>
<td>1.00E-124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT6</td>
<td>SmedPRMT6</td>
<td>&gt;lcl</td>
<td>mk4.017465.00</td>
<td>2.00E-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT8</td>
<td>SmedPRMT8</td>
<td>&gt;lcl</td>
<td>mk4.019775.00</td>
<td>5.00E-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNKNOWN FUNCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRMT11/FBXO10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top hit is Smed 002107 (5.00E-42)
Top hit is Smed 005105 (8.00E-90)