Floor plate-derived sonic hedgehog regulates glial and ependymal cell fates in the developing spinal cord
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SUMMARY
Cell fate specification in the CNS is controlled by the secreted morphogen sonic hedgehog (Shh). At spinal cord levels, Shh produced by both the notochord and floor plate (FP) diffuses dorsally to organize patterned gene expression in dividing neural and glial progenitors. Despite the fact that two discrete sources of Shh are involved in this process, the individual contribution of the FP, the only intrinsic source of Shh throughout both neurogenesis and gliogenesis, has not been clearly defined. Here, we have used conditional mutagenesis approaches in mice to selectively inactivate Shh in the FP (ShhFP) while allowing expression to persist in the notochord, which underlies the neural tube during neurogenesis but not gliogenesis. We also inactivated Smo, the common Hh receptor, in neural tube progenitors. Our findings confirm and extend prior studies suggesting an important requirement for ShhFP in specifying oligodendrocyte cell fates via repression of Gli3 in progenitors. Our studies also uncover a connection between embryonic Shh signaling and astrocyte-mediated reactive gliosis in adults, raising the possibility that this pathway is involved in the development of the most common cell type in the CNS. Finally, we find that intrinsic spinal cord Shh signaling is required for the proper formation of the ependymal zone, the epithelial cell lining of the central canal that is also an adult stem cell niche. Together, our studies identify a crucial late embryonic role for ShhFP in regulating the specification and differentiation of glial and epithelial cells in the mouse spinal cord.
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INTRODUCTION
During vertebrate embryogenesis, pattern formation and cell fate specification are directed by signaling centers that provide spatial information through the localized production and secretion of protein morphogens. In the developing central nervous system (CNS), regionalization begins at neural plate stages, when midline (future ventral) and lateral (future dorsal) signals initiate the organization of cell types along the transverse/dorsoventral (DV) axis. Ventral signals are provided by the secreted sonic hedgehog (Shh) protein, which is expressed sequentially in the embryonic node (or equivalent structure) during neurulation, then in its midline mesodermal derivative the notochord, and finally in the floor plate (FP), a group of non-neuronal ventral midline epithelial cells in the neural tube. Prior to and shortly after neural tube closure, the notochord is in direct contact with the ventral midline/FP region of the neural tube, but thereafter becomes displaced ventrally away from the spinal cord, thus leaving the FP as the only intrinsic source of Shh protein for the majority of neural and glial development. Despite this, very little is currently known about the unique and combined contributions of each tissue source of Shh to neural tube patterning and cell fate specification throughout embryogenesis.

Shh specifies ventral cell fates in the neural tube by controlling the expression of specific homeodomain (HD) or basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) proteins in dividing progenitors (Dessaud et al., 2008; Jessell, 2000). Six ventral domains can be identified by gene expression patterns: the FP (Foxa2/Shh) (Dessaud et al., 2008), p3 (Nkx2.2), pMN (Olig2), p2 ( Mash1, Foxn4), p1 (Dbx2), and p0 (Dbx1, Dbx2) domains, from ventral to dorsal. Shh regulates gene expression via the three Gli transcription factors (Jacob and Briscoe, 2003; Matise and Wang, 2011). Gli proteins have opposing effects on target genes: in the absence of Shh signaling, Gli3 is the primary transcriptional repressor produced by partial proteolysis, while Gli2 is largely degraded (Pan et al., 2006; Pan and Wang, 2007); whereas in cells exposed to Shh, Gli2/3 processing is blocked, and in their full-length form they function as transcriptional activators. The third Gli gene, Gli1, is a target of the pathway and is induced after signaling has commenced.

Shh regulates Gli processing via Ptc1 and Smo. In the absence of Shh, Ptc1 suppresses the pathway by inhibiting Smo, a seven-pass transmembrane protein that is absolutely required for all positive Hh signal transduction and which blocks the phosphorylation of Gli proteins that triggers their proteolytic processing (Dessaud et al., 2008). In Shh or Smo mutant cells, ventral progenitor markers and cell fates are not induced and dorsal genes become expressed ectopically (Chiang et al., 1996; Wijgerde et al., 2002). Notably, in both Shh;Gli3 and Smo;Gli3 double mutants in which no positive or negative Gli activity is present, most ventral cell fates are restored (except FP and p3 cells) (Litingtung and Chiang, 2000; Wijgerde et al., 2002). Together, these data show that a key role for Shh signaling is to block the formation of Gli3 repressors (Gli-R) in ventral cells in addition to inducing Gli activators (Gli-A) for target gene induction.

Prior studies have addressed the timing of Shh signaling during early neural development. In one elegant experiment, a mouse line expressing a GFP-tagged Shh fusion protein was created using gene targeting to allow direct visualization of the secreted protein in target tissues during embryogenesis (Chamberlain et al., 2008). It was shown that Shh-GFP protein can be detected at the lumenal surface of cells lining the ventral ventricular zone (VZ) even before Shh expression becomes induced in FP cells. These data, together with earlier analyses of patterning and cell fate specification defects...
in mouse Gli2 mutants that lack both FP and p3 cells (Ding et al., 1998; Lei et al., 2004; Matise et al., 1998), suggest that the earlier notochord-derived (ShhNOTO) or node-derived Shh signals are sufficient to set up the initial pattern of progenitor gene expression in mice. These and other (Dessaud et al., 2010) results also suggest that Shh derived from the FP (ShhFP) might play a more limited role in maintaining gene expression patterning set up by earlier sources. However, whether ShhFP has a more specific or significant role during later stages of neural tube development, while gliogenesis and the terminal differentiation of multiple cell types are occurring, has not yet been determined.

The current study addresses this question using a conditional mutagenesis strategy to specifically block the ability of spinal cord cells to produce Shh or respond to Hh signaling during the period of development when the FP is the only intrinsic, local source of ligand. To do this we made use of conditional loxP-targeted (‘floxed’) Shh and Smo alleles and three different transgenic Cre recombinase-expressing lines that induce genetic deletions specifically in FP or spinal cord VZ progenitor cells at a time shortly after neural tube closure. Our analysis of mutant embryos at early stages confirms prior studies showing that ShhFP is required to maintain progenitor gene expression in distinct VZ domains during neurogenesis. By contrast, at later stages during gliogenesis we find that ShhFP is required for normal oligodendrocyte (OL) specification and does so by continually repressing the formation of Gli3-R in OL progenitor cells (OPCs), which inhibits Olig2 expression and OL specification. In addition, we provide evidence for active Shh-Gli signaling in embryonic ventral astrocyte progenitors in the mouse spinal cord and show that, in the absence of ShhFP or signal transduction in progenitors, S100 expression is lost during embryogenesis and Gfap expression is upregulated aberrantly in postnatal gray matter (protoplasmic) astrocytes, suggesting a possible role for Hh signaling in normal astrocyte maturation or function. Finally, we show that the ependymal zone surrounding the central canal, which has been shown to be an adult stem cell niche in rodents (Johansson et al., 1999), does not form properly in the absence of Shh signaling. Together, our findings reveal the cell type-specific requirements for intrinsic Shh signaling during spinal cord development in mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

To generate a FP-specific deletion of Shh (ShhFP), we crossed floxed Shh mice (Shh^{lox/lox}) [Jackson Laboratory (Dassule et al., 2000)] with two different transgenic Cre lines: Foxa2^{SP.CRM::Cre}, Nkx2.2^{3.4-CRM::Cre} (Wang et al., 2011). To generate Smo^{lox/lox} mice lacking Smo in VZ cells, we crossed floxed Smo mice (Smo^{lox/lox}) [Jackson Laboratory] (Long et al., 2001) with Nestin::Cre mice [Jackson Laboratory (Tronche et al., 1999)]. To generate Smo^{lox/lox} mice lacking Smo in the FP/p3/PMN domains, we crossed Smo^{lox/lox} mice with Nkx2.2^{3.4-CRM::Cre} mice. Shh^{FP/FP}, Gli3 double mutants were generated using the extra toes allele (Gli3^H) (Hui and Joyner, 1993). The following reporter lines were also used: ROSA26^{floxed-stop-lacZ} (Rosas) (Soriano, 1999), ROSA26^{floxed-stop-EGFP} (Rosas) (Srinivas et al., 2001) and Gli2^{loX} (Bai et al., 2002).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and RNA in situ hybridization (ISH)

Staining of 14-16 µm cryostat sections using fluorochrome-conjugated primary antibodies was performed as described (Lei et al., 2004). Primary antibodies were: mouse anti-Foxa2 (clone 4C7, DSHB, 1:100), anti-Nkx2.2 (clone 74.5A5, DSHB, 1:100), anti-Pax6 (DSHB, 1:100), anti-Shh (DSHB, 1:500) and anti-S100 (DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA), rabbit anti-activated caspase 3 (BD Pharmingen, 1:500), mouse anti-Mash1/2 (BD Pharmingen, 1:100), mouse anti-Sox2 and anti-Sox9 (1:2000; a gift from M. Wegner, University of Erlangen–Nürnberg, Bavaria, Germany) (Stolt et al., 2003) and guinea pig anti-Sox10 (1:1000; a gift from M. Wegner) (Maka et al., 2005); and anti-fluoromyelin (1:300, Invitrogen). X-gal staining and RNA ISH were performed as previously described (Lei et al., 2004). RNA in situ probes were Ptc1h (M. Scott, Stanford University, USA), Shh exon 2 (D. Epstein, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA), Mmd2 and Fgfbp3 (B. Deneen).

RESULTS

Notochord regression is complete prior to gliogenesis in the spinal cord

To address the unique role of floor plate-derived Shh (ShhFP) in the mouse spinal cord, we mapped the separation of the notochord (regression) from direct contact with the neural tube in wild-type (WT) embryos (Fig. 1A). At embryonic day (E) 9.5 (24-25 somites) (n=6), the notochord is still in contact with ventral midline cells at all spinal cord levels. In 26- to 28-somite embryos (n=5), the notochord was not in contact with the neural tube at the level of somites 1-2. In 30-somite embryos (n=4), regression has progressed caudally beyond the forelimb buds located adjacent to somites 6-11. In 38-somite (~E10.5) embryos (n=4), regression reached the hindlimb buds (at somite levels 23-28). At the 42-somite stage (~E11), notochord regression has progressed beyond the hindlimb buds into sacral levels (~n=4 embryos). Thus, the notochord is no longer in contact with the overlying neural tube at forelimb levels in E10.5 embryos and at neither the forelimb nor hindlimb level at E11.5, and it takes ~48 hours for regression to occur along the length of the spinal cord once it begins at cervical levels (~E9.5 (Fig. 1B).

Conditional deletion of Shh in floor plate cells

Our results indicate that notochord regression takes place during neurogenesis and is complete prior to the onset of gliogenesis at spinal cord levels (Fig. 1B). To determine the requirement of ShhFP for neurogenesis and gliogenesis, we generated embryos with conditional inactivation of Shh specifically in FP (Shh^{FP}) but not notochord (Shh^{NOTO}) cells using a conditional floxed Shh mouse line (Shh^{floX}) (Dassule et al., 2000). To control for potential differences between the timing, levels and extent of expression of gene regulatory elements, we used two distinct transgenic lines to drive Cre expression specifically in ventral midline precursors, including FP progenitors, shortly after neural tube closure but prior to the induction of Shh expression. The first employed the FP cis-regulatory module (CRM) from the Foxa2 gene to drive Cre in a transgenic line (designated Foxa2^{2F.CRM::Cre}), whereas the second line used the CRM from the p3 progenitor marker Nkx2.2 (designated Nkx2.2^{3.4-CRM::Cre}) (Sasaki and Hogan, 1996; Wang et al., 2011; Lei et al., 2006). Published work mapping the expression of Cre from these two CRMs using the ROSA26^{floxed-stop-lacZ} (Rosas) reporter
line (Soriano, 1999) showed that the expression of Foxa2 \( {^{FP-CRM}} \),::Cre is restricted to FP cells, whereas Nkx2.2 \( {^{2p3-CRM}} \),::Cre labels all cells in the FP and p3 lineages and ~90% of pMN cells (Wang et al., 2011). Furthermore, neither enhancer requires ShhFP for expression (Fig. 1E, F; data not shown).

To confirm the specificity of the deletion, we examined Shh protein and Shh mRNA expression in ShhFP/FP embryos generated with both Cre driver lines at E10.5 (Fig. 1C-H) and E12.5 (Fig. 1I,J). Shh expression was detected in the notochord but never in the ventral midline FP region of the neural tube in either line. Importantly, notochord regression was not affected by the absence of ShhFP (Fig. 1D,F,J), unlike other mouse mutants lacking ShhFP (Matise et al., 1998). We then determined whether the absence of ShhFP alters the expression of Shh target genes in the ventral neural tube by examining Ptc1 and Gli1 (Jeong and McMahon, 2005; Bai et al., 2002). Ptc1 expression was reduced in the spinal cord at both E10.5 and E12.5 in Nkx2.2 \( {^{2p3-CRM}} \),::Cre;ShhFP/FP embryos (supplementary material Fig. S1A-D), but was not affected in the gut, which does not express Cre from Nkx2.2 \( {^{2p3-CRM}} \) (data not shown). Gli1 expression was determined by examining β-gal reporter expression from a lacZ knock-in to the Gli1 locus (Gli1lacZ) (Bai et al., 2002). Gli1 expression was also reduced in the spinal cord, but not in migrating sclerotomal cells that do not express Cre (supplementary material Fig. S1E,F). By contrast, the expression of a Shh pathway-independent FP marker, Lmx1a, was unaffected (supplementary material Fig. S1G,H). These data show that loss of ShhFP in the neural tube is required to maintain Shh-Gli target gene expression and that the levels of signaling are reduced in the ventral neural tube in the absence of this source.

It has been reported that Shh−/− mutants exhibit a severe reduction in the overall size of the neural tube and a widespread increase in cell death in dorsal and ventral cells (Borycki et al., 1999; Litingtung and Chiang, 2000). Although we did not detect changes in cell cycle or cell death markers in either line at E10.5 or E12.5 (supplementary material Fig. S2; data not shown), the gray matter area of the spinal cord in ShhFP/FP embryos was reduced compared with WT at E15.5 and E18.5 by 16% and 22%, respectively (data not shown). In addition, ShhFP/FP embryos did not display the characteristic CNS phenotypes, such as holoprosencephaly or cyclopia, that typify mouse mutants with reduced Shh signaling (Chiang et al., 1996) (data not shown). Thus, even though earlier (node or notochord) sources appear to be largely sufficient to prevent early apoptosis and maintain cell cycle dynamics, our data indicate that there is an ongoing requirement for ShhFP to support spinal cord growth during development.

**ShhFP is required to maintain specific neural progenitor domain cell numbers**

To investigate the effect of the loss of ShhFP on the patterning and specification of progenitor cell fates in the ventral neural tube, we analyzed the expression of specific HD and bHLH transcription factors in ShhFP/FP mutants at E10.5. We restricted our analysis to thoracic/forelimb bud levels in staged E10.5 embryos (with 32-35 somites) in which notochord regression had recently occurred (see Fig. 1). Quantitatively similar data were obtained in Foxa2 \( {^{FP-CRM}} \) and Nkx2.2 \( {^{2p3-CRM}} \) transgenic Cre lines, indicating that any minor differences in their spatiotemporal expression profiles are...
irrelevant for deleting ShhFP, and that they can be used interchangeably for this purpose (Fig. 2J-L). Analysis of Foxa2 (FP), Nkx2.2 (p3), Olig2 (pMN) and Mash1 (Ascl1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) (p2) expression revealed a specific pattern of changes. Whereas the number of Foxa2+ cells was not altered compared with WT (Fig. 2A-C,J), there was a significant reduction in Nkx2.2+ and Olig2+ cells at this stage (55% and 34%, respectively; Fig. 2A-F,K,L). Also, the number of Mash1+p2 progenitors was reduced in ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants (by ~27%) (Fig. 3G-I). Taken together, these results are consistent with previous data suggesting that the Shh signaling that occurs prior to FP induction is sufficient to generate the proper pattern of ventral progenitor gene expression (Matise et al., 1998; Jeong and McMahon, 2005), whereas ongoing Shh signaling from the FP is necessary to maintain progenitor domain formation during neurogenesis (Dessaud et al., 2010). Furthermore, since the phenotypes were very similar in both Foxa2FP-CRM::Cre;ShhΔflox/Δflox and Nkx2.2p3-CRM::Cre;ShhΔflox/Δflox transgenic lines (D-F) Olig2 (pMN) and Nkx2.2 (p3) expression (G-I) Olig2 (pMN) and Mash1 (p2) expression (J-L) Cell counts for Foxa2, Nkx2.2 and Olig2. Error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bar: 50 μm.

To determine the impact of the changes seen during neurogenesis on later stages, we examined progenitor protein expression at E11.5 in embryos with more than 42 somites (supplementary material Fig. S3). At this stage, notochord regression has occurred at the forelimb bud level ~48 hours earlier and at the hindlimb bud levels ~12-24 hours earlier (Fig. 1A). Consistent with the E10.5 data, we saw no change in Foxa2 expression at either axial level. By contrast, there was a ~50% reduction in Nkx2.2+ cells as compared with WT tissue in thoracic regions, and at lumbar levels it was slightly attenuated (37% reduction) (supplementary material Fig. S3A,C,D,F,G,I-K). Interestingly, the number of Olig2+ cells was dramatically different in the two regions, with thoracic regions more severely affected than lumbar: 92% versus 56% reductions, respectively, compared with WT embryos (supplementary material Fig. S3D-I,L). Since the notochord regresses ~24 hours earlier in thoracic than lumbar regions (Fig. 1), these observations suggest a crucial role for ShhFP in maintaining Olig2 expression in thoracic pMN cells between E10.5 and E11.5.

We next examined ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants at E12.5. Similar to results from E10.5 and E11.5, no significant changes were seen in Foxa2+ cells in ShhΔFP/ΔFP embryos (Fig. 3A,B,M). For Nkx2.2, we found a similar decrease in the number of Nkx2.2+ cells (~25%) to that seen at E11.5 (Fig. 3A,B,D,E,N). By contrast, in ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants, few if any Olig2+ cells were detected in either thoracic or lumbar levels at this stage (Fig. 3D,E,O; data not shown). This loss was accompanied by a ventral shift in the position of postmitotic Chx10+ (Vsx2 – Mouse Genome Informatics) V2 interneurons, which normally derive from the p2 domain immediately dorsal to motoneurons (MNs) (Fig. 3G,H). Lineage-tracing experiments using the Rosalz conditional lacZ reporter revealed that many Chx10+ cells were β-gal+ in Nkx2.2p3-CRM::Cre;ShhΔflox/Δflox;Rosalz mutants (Fig. 3J,K), suggesting that some pMN cells transfate into p2 cells in the absence of Olig2 expression in ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutant embryos. Thus, ShhFP is required to maintain Olig2 expression in lumbar pMN cells between E11.5 and E12.5.

It has been shown that MN specification requires Olig2 (Lu et al., 2002). Thus, to determine whether the progressive loss of Olig2 between E10.5 and E11.5 in ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants compromised MN differentiation, we examined expression of HB9 (Mnx1 – Mouse Genome Informatics), islet1/2 (Is1/2) and Lim1 (Lhx1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) at E12.5 and E13.5 (supplementary material Fig. S4; data not shown). The number of HB9+ and Is1/2+ cells was...
reduced in ShhΔFP/ΔFP embryos compared with WT littermates (supplementary material Fig. S4). This finding is consistent with an ongoing requirement for ShhFP for the generation of normal numbers of MNs in the spinal cord.

**ShhNOTO does not influence spinal cord cell fates after E12.5**

Our results suggest that ShhFP is required to maintain Olig2 expression between E10.5 and E12.5 when the notochord is no longer in contact with the spinal cord. To determine whether Shh from the regressing notochord (or other sources) could still influence cell fate, we compared the phenotypes of ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants with those of embryos with conditional inactivation of Smo in the neural tube (SmoNT) that were generated using the nestin CRM to drive Cre (Nestin::Cre) (Tronche et al., 1999) and a conditional floxed Smo allele (SmoΔflo) (Long et al., 2001). We reasoned that if ShhNOTO or other Hh ligands were able to partially compensate for the loss of ShhFP, we would see a more severe phenotype in conditional SmoΔflo/Δflo mutants than in ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants.

Analysis of progenitor gene expression in E12.5 Nestin::Cre;SmoΔflo/Δflo (SmoNT/NT) embryos revealed an overall pattern similar to that of ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants: the number of Foxa2+ cells was similar to WT in SmoNT/NT mutants, whereas Olig2+ cells were almost entirely absent (Fig. 3C,F; data not shown). Loss of Olig2 was accompanied by a ventral shift in the position of Chx10+ V2 interneurons in SmoNT/NT embryos (Fig. 3I). Interestingly, the number of Nkx2.2+ cells in SmoNT/NT embryos was more similar to that of the WT, with only 7% fewer cells than WT (Fig. 3C,F,I,N). These subtle differences might be attributable to the slightly later timing of Cre expression from the nestin promoter compared with ShhΔFP/ΔFP embryos. Hence, additional sources of Hh ligands do not influence spinal cord ventral progenitor gene expression between E10.5 and E12.5 and that ShhFP is the sole source required during this period.

**ShhFP maintains Olig2 in part by inhibiting Gli3 repressor activity**

It has been shown previously that many of the phenotypes of Shh mutants can be rescued by genetically removing Gli3 (Litingtung and Chiang, 2000; Rallu et al., 2002), indicating that derepression of Gli3-mediated target gene expression is a key element of Shh signaling. To test whether the loss of Gli3 could rescue Olig2+ cells in ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants, we generated ShhΔFP/ΔFP;Gli3xt/xt double mutants. ShhΔFP/ΔFP;Gli3xt/xt embryos (n=3) showed a partial rescue in Olig2 expression at E12.5 (Fig. 3L,O). In contrast to ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants, which exhibited a 99% reduction in Olig2 expression (Fig. 3O), the number of Olig2+ cells was similar to WT in ShhΔFP/ΔFP;Gli3xt/xt mutants (Fig. 3L,O). This result suggests that ShhFP maintains Olig2 in part by inhibiting Gli3 repressor activity.
**ShhFP is required for oligodendrocyte specification during gliogenesis**

To further investigate the genetic pathway involved in maintaining Olig2 expression in glial progenitors, we examined the expression of several genes that have been linked to gliogenesis (Kang et al., 2012). Among those examined, expression of fibroblast growth factor binding protein 3 (Fgfbp3) and monocyte to macrophage differentiation-associated 2 (Mmd2) was reduced in E12.5 ShhΔFP/ΔFP and SmoNT/NT mutants and restored in ShhΔFP/ΔFP;Gli3ext double-mutant embryos (supplementary material Fig. S6), an expression profile similar to that of other Shh-Gli pathway-regulated genes, such as Olig2 (Matise and Wang, 2011). Notably, expression of the Sox9 and nuclear factor 1A (Nfia) transcription factors, which have been shown to be required for the expression of Mmd2 in chick embryos (Kang et al., 2012), was not affected in any mutant line (supplementary material Fig. S6), further supporting the possibility that Mmd2 might be a direct target of Gli3-R in developing glial progenitors in the spinal cord.

The changes in glial progenitor markers at E11.5-12.5 indicate that OL specification might be perturbed in mutant embryos. To study this, we examined OPC marker expression in white matter (WM) tracts in E15.5 and E18.5 ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants stained with antibodies against Nkx2.2, Olig2, Sox10 and platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (Pdgfra). We focused our attention on two WM regions: the dorsal funiculus (DF) and ventral funiculus (VF). As expected by the loss of Olig2 expression at E12.5, the number of Olig2+ OPCs in E15.5 ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants was decreased in the DF and VF by 70% and 90%, respectively (Fig. 4A,B,E,F,Q,R). Similarly, the number of cells expressing Nkx2.2, Sox10 and Pdgfra was also severely reduced in both WM regions (Fig. 4A,B,E,F,I,J,M,N,Q,R). Notably, a smaller but still significant reduction was detected for all markers in both WM regions at E18.5 (Fig. 5A-N), suggesting that the number of OPCs recovers over time even in the absence of ongoing Shh signaling (see below).

To determine whether ShhΔFP is continually required to block Gli3-R activity during OL specification, we analyzed OPC marker expression in ShhΔFP/ΔFP;Gli3ext double mutants at E15.5. Consistent with earlier stages, there was a substantial rescue of Olig2 expression in the VF of double mutants compared with single ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants (Fig. 4F,G,R). The number of Sox10+ and Pdgfra+ OPCs was also significantly greater in ShhΔFP/ΔFP;Gli3ext double versus ShhΔFP/ΔFP mutants in both WM regions analyzed (Fig. 4C,G,K,O,Q,R). By contrast, Olig2+ cells in the DF decreased even further below the already low number present (Fig. 4B,C,Q). These findings confirm earlier data demonstrating a crucial role for ShhΔFP in maintaining Olig2 expression in OPCs by repressing Gli3, and also suggest that later expression of Sox10 and Pdgfra is similarly maintained by a derepression mechanism, either directly or indirectly, downstream of Olig2 in the VF but not DF. By contrast, the number of Nkx2.2+ cells did not differ in ShhΔFP/ΔFP embryos in the absence of Gli3 (Fig. 4K,O,Q,R). This finding is consistent with the idea that ShhΔFP is primarily required to induce Nkx2.2 expression in OPCs via Gli-A proteins (Gli1, 2 or 3) rather than by derepression via inhibition of Gli3-R.

---

**Fig. 4. Altered oligodendrocyte progenitor cell (OPC) marker protein expression in two white matter regions of ShhΔFP/ΔFP and SmoNT/NT embryos at E15.5.** (A-P) Olig2 and Pdgfra (A-H) and Nkx2.2 and Sox10 (I-P) expression in the dorsal funiculus (DF) and ventral funiculus (VF) in WT, ShhΔFP/ΔFP, ShhΔFP/ΔFP;Gli3ext and SmoNT/NT embryos. Dotted white lines indicate the boundaries of the white matter. (Q,R) Cell counts for each marker for the indicated genotypes (key above A-D). (S) Schematic indicating the area of the spinal cord shown in the panels above. *P<0.05; Student’s two-tailed t-test. Error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bar: 100 μm.
Oligodendrocyte differentiation is compromised in Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> and Smo<sup>ΔNT/ΔNT</sup> mutants

Next, we assayed the requirement of FP-derived Shh for OL differentiation/maturation by examining myelin basic protein (Mbp) expression in Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> and Smo<sup>ΔNT/ΔNT</sup> mutants at E18.5 (supplementary material Fig. S7). In WT embryos, Mbp is seen in the VF and DF (n=3 embryos), whereas in Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> mutants there was a decrease in Mbp<sup>+</sup> cells in both WM regions (n=3 embryos). Since Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> mutant embryos die at birth, we stained surviving Smo<sup>ΔNT/ΔNT</sup> mutants at postnatal day (P) 26 for the mature OL markers Apc, Olig2 and fluoromyelin to determine whether the decrease in embryonic Mbp expression foreshadowed a reduction in OL differentiation and myelination. Although there were 25-30% fewer Apc<sup>+</sup> and Olig2<sup>+</sup> cells in the DF and 10-15% fewer in the VF in the mutant compared with WT mice, robust fluoromyelin expression was seen (supplementary material Fig. S7D,E). This suggests that OL differentiation and axon tract myelination can occur at near normal levels in mice lacking embryonic Shh signaling within the neural tube, although it may be delayed compared with WT embryos.

To determine whether additional sources of Hh could influence OPC specification during gliogenesis, we again compared marker expression patterns in Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> mutants and Nestin::Cre;Smo<sup>ΔNT/ΔNT</sup> embryos at E15.5 and E18.5. The Nestin::Cre driver allows all spinal cord OPC populations (both ventral and dorsal derivatives) (Cai et al., 2005; Vallstedt et al., 2005) to be assayed. Again, if other sources of Hh can influence OPC specification during these stages, we would expect a more severe phenotype in Smo<sup>ΔNT/ΔNT</sup> than Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> mutants. Indeed, although we found a significant reduction in marker expression in the VF in E15.5 and E18.5 Smo<sup>ΔNT/ΔNT</sup> embryos that was similar to or slightly greater than that in Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> mutants (with the exception of Sox10) (Fig. 4H,P,R; Fig. 5D-F,J-L,N), there were notable differences in the DF. Specifically, comparing Smo<sup>ΔNT/ΔNT</sup> with Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> mutants, we found significantly fewer Olig2<sup>+</sup> and Pdgfra<sup>+</sup>, but not Sox10<sup>+</sup>, OPCs in the DF at E15.5, whereas all three were further reduced at E18.5 in Smo<sup>ΔNT/ΔNT</sup> embryos (Fig. 4Q; Fig. 6M). This raises the possibility that an additional source(s) of Hh ligand apart from Shh<sup>FP</sup> is involved in signaling to OPCs destined to settle in the DF between E15.5 and E18.5. Alternatively, loss of Smo in DF OPCs might impact their development independently of Hh signaling.

Evidence for a role for Shh signaling in astrocyte development and function

To investigate the possibility that astrocyte specification and/or differentiation is affected by the absence of intrinsic spinal cord Shh, we first asked whether Hh signaling is ongoing at later embryonic stages in astrocyte progenitors by examining β-gal expression in targeted Gli1lacZ/+, reporter mice (Bai et al., 2002) at E15.5 and E18.5. In agreement with this possibility, β-gal expression was detected at both stages in a small group of cells in the VZ that also co-expressed Gli2, Nkx6.1 and Pax6 (Fig. 6A-C; supplementary material Fig. S8; data not shown), and expression was lost in Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> mutants (Fig. 6E,F). Notably, S100 expression (which marks immature and mature astrocytes) was also detected in a small but reproducible number of β-gal<sup>+</sup> cells in the VZ, most prominently at E18.5 (Fig. 6D-D’; supplementary material Fig. S8C-C’).

These data indicate active Shh signaling in a subset of S100<sup>+</sup> VZ progenitors that generate astrocytes. To test the requirement for this signaling, we examined immature and mature astrocyte marker
protein expression in late gestation ShhΔFP/ΔFP and postnatal SmoΔNT/ΔNT mutants. Notably, expression of both Gli2 and S100 was absent in VZ cells in both genotypes at E15.5 and E18.5 (Fig. 6G-H, J-K). By contrast, the number of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (Fgfr3) or brain lipid binding protein (Blbp; Fabp7 – Mouse Genome Informatics) expressing migrating immature astrocytes did not differ in ShhΔFP/ΔFP or SmoΔNT/ΔNT embryos at E18.5 compared with WT (data not shown).

To determine whether the changes in S100 expression affected astrocyte differentiation, we examined the expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein (Gfap), which is normally weak or undetectable in protoplasmic (gray matter) but strong in fibrous (white matter) astrocytes. In postnatal SmoΔNT/ΔNT mutants, we found that Gfap expression was strongly upregulated in the gray matter region surrounding the central canal compared with WT (Fig. 6L-M). Together, these data provide evidence that intrinsic spinal cord Shh signaling plays a role, directly or indirectly, in astrocyte maturation or function in mice.

**Neural tube Hh signaling is required for postnatal ependymal zone cell formation**

During normal development, the spinal cord VZ retracts during late gestation due to the terminal division and emigration of progenitor cells following neurogenesis and gliogenesis. The remaining progenitors give rise to ependymal cells lining the central canal [the ependymal zone (EZ)]. Studies tracking progenitor gene expression during this time indicate that adult EZ cells are the descendants of ventral VZ cells originating from the pMN and/or p2 progenitor domains as they retain Nkx6.1 (p3/pMN/p2) but not Nkx2.2 (p3) expression; all other embryonic VZ domains appear to become fully depleted (Fu et al., 2003). The ventral embryonic origin of the adult EZ therefore raises the possibility that cells within this structure develop under the influence of ShhFP. To test this, we examined EZ morphogenesis in ShhΔFP/ΔFP and SmoΔNT/ΔNT embryos at E15.5, E18.5 and in surviving SmoΔNT/ΔNT mutants at P26/30 (ShhΔFP/ΔFP mice die at birth).

In WT embryos, the lumen of the VZ begins to close dorsally and ventrally just prior to E15.5 (Fig. 7A). At this stage, vimentin expression is seen in radial processes extending away from the VZ in the position of the future dorsal and ventral/anterior median fissures (Fig. 7A). Cells within the dorsal portion of the remaining VZ region express Pax6 (EZ3), whereas ventral EZ cells (EZ2) are labeled by GFP expression in Nkx2.2p3-CRM::Cre; RosaGFP lines that marks only p3 and pMN derivatives (Fig. 7L,K; data not shown) (Wang et al., 2011). This complementary expression pattern is maintained at later embryonic stages and postnatally (Fig. 7L,K). At E18.5, EZ cells begin to form a closely compacted ring surrounding...
Our results demonstrate a crucial and unique role for ShhFP regression specification and maturation following notochord adulthood (Fig. 7K).

Furthermore, the spatial relationship between these two domains that is established during early neurogenesis is preserved in adulthood (Fig. 7K).

DISCUSSION

ShhFP is continually required for oligodendrocyte specification and maturation following notochord regression

Our results demonstrate a crucial and unique role for ShhFP signaling within the spinal cord beginning at ~E10.5, as notochord regression is taking place. This is first revealed by the reduction in Olig2 expression in pMN cells at thoracic versus lumbar levels between E10.5 and 11.5 relative to the displacement of the notochord away from the neural tube in these two regions: in ShhAFΔFP embryos, Olig2 expression is first lost in thoracic regions at E11.5 and then at lumbar levels at E12.5. This correlation suggests that the influence of ShhNOTO diminishes significantly following regression. Consistent with this, Olig2 expression is also absent in embryos lacking Smo in neural tube progenitors at stages (E12.5) when the notochord has fully regressed at all axial levels. The similarity in the phenotype in ShhAFΔFP and SmoANT ANT embryos demonstrates that ShhNOTO no longer influences neural tube progenitors following regression, indicating that this event has a significant impact on Shh signaling within the neural tube.

Although it has been shown in a number of studies that OLs produced in the ventral neural tube require Shh signaling, our data supports the idea that the downstream mechanisms differ depending on their specific site of origin. Ventral OLs are generated from two distinct progenitor domains: the Olig2-expressing pMN domain that produces MNs before switching to OLs during gliogenesis, and the p2 domain, which extends vimentin staining is preserved (Fig. 7E-H). Notably, in Nkx2.2p3-CRM::Cre;SmoΔNT-V mice, which lack Hh signal transduction only in EZV (pMN-derived) but not EZp2-derived (pax6+ p2-derived) ependymal cell progenitors, Pax6 expression is maintained and the organization of the EZ is more similar to that of WT, except that the EZV domain marked by β-gal expression is reduced (Fig. 7LJ). These data indicate that Hh signaling is required in all developing EZ cells for their proper differentiation, and reveal a novel role for Shh signaling in the formation of this important spinal cord structure in mice.
formation of Gli-A in p3-derived cells (to induce Nkx2.2). Furthermore, analysis of Shh;Gli3 double mutants at E15.5 shows that intrinsic CNS Shh signaling is continually required during OL gliogenesis to maintain Olig2 expression in pMN/pOlig-generated OLs via repression of antagonistic Gli3-R activity.

Our analysis of glial progenitor gene expression patterns in Shh and Smo mutants reveals that the maintenance of both Fgfr3p3 and Mmd2 requires a similar Shh-Gli3-R derepression mechanism (supplementary material Fig. S6). Interestingly, both of these genes can be linked to Olig2 either functionally or genetically. Fgfr3 encodes a member of an extracellular FGF-binding protein family that has been shown to enhance signaling (Tassi et al., 2007; Yamanaka et al., 2011). Thus, reduced expression in Shh and Smo mutants could in theory lead to attenuation of FGF signaling and reduced Olig2 expression in the spinal cord (Bilican et al., 2008; Novitch et al., 2003). Mmd2, which encodes a putative mitochondrial protein involved in energy metabolism and cell proliferation in glial progenitors, was recently identified as a target gene of Sox9/Nfia in the spinal cord, and has been shown to be required for Olig2 expression in chick embryos (Kang et al., 2012). Interestingly, the expression profile of Mmd2 in Shh, Smo and Shh;Gli3 mutants suggests that it might also be directly regulated by Shh-Gli signaling. Consistent with this, a Gli binding site was found in close proximity to the previously identified Sox9 and Nfia binding sites in an Mmd2 regulatory element (data not shown) (Kang et al., 2012). Together, these data raise the possibility that Mmd2 is a novel Shh-Gli target gene in spinal cord OPCs.

Intrinsic CNS Shh signaling influences astrocytes

Previous studies have shown that astrocytes are generated from multiple progenitor domains (Rowitch, 2004; Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010), including the p2 domain in the ventral spinal cord. Despite evidence showing that Hh signaling is required for the V2 neuronal populations that are generated from this domain (Litingtung and Chiang, 2000; Wijgerde et al., 2002), a role for Shh signaling in astrocyte development has not been demonstrated. Astrocytes are the last cell subtype to appear in the CNS; at spinal cord levels, progenitors begin to express astrocyte progenitor marker genes at ~E15.5. Our data provide several lines of evidence to suggest that Shh-Gli signaling has an important influence on spinal cord astrocytes. First, we find that the Shh transduction factors Gli1 and Gli2 either functionally or genetically. Fgfr3 encodes a member of an extracellular FGF-binding protein family that has been shown to enhance signaling (Tassi et al., 2007; Yamanaka et al., 2011). Thus, reduced expression in Shh and Smo mutants could in theory lead to attenuation of FGF signaling and reduced Olig2 expression in the spinal cord (Bilican et al., 2008; Novitch et al., 2003). Mmd2, which encodes a putative mitochondrial protein involved in energy metabolism and cell proliferation in glial progenitors, was recently identified as a target gene of Sox9/Nfia in the spinal cord, and has been shown to be required for Olig2 expression in chick embryos (Kang et al., 2012). Interestingly, the expression profile of Mmd2 in Shh, Smo and Shh;Gli3 mutants suggests that it might also be directly regulated by Shh-Gli signaling. Consistent with this, a Gli binding site was found in close proximity to the previously identified Sox9 and Nfia binding sites in an Mmd2 regulatory element (data not shown) (Kang et al., 2012). Together, these data raise the possibility that Mmd2 is a novel Shh-Gli target gene in spinal cord OPCs.

A role for CNS Hh signaling in normal ependymal zone cell formation

A surprising finding in our study was that the formation of the EZ was severely disrupted in mice lacking embryonic Hh signaling. Despite data showing that the EZ derives solely from the embryonic ventral pMN and p2 progenitor domains (Fu et al., 2003) (this study), a link with Hh signaling had not been explored. Notably, the EZ in rodents has been shown to be an adult stem cell niche that continues to produce primarily glial derivatives under normal circumstances and also following injury in postnatal animals (Johansson et al., 1999; Meletis et al., 2008). Our results indicating a requirement for Hh signaling in establishing this unique germinial zone niche are consistent with similar results in the brain (Machold et al., 2003) and support the possibility that this pathway has a conserved and fundamental role in maintaining adult stem cells in the CNS throughout life.
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Fig. S1. Shh target gene expression changes in the neural tube of Shh\textsuperscript{ΔFP/ΔFP} embryos. (A-D) Ptch1 expression is reduced at both E10.5 and E12.5 (arrowheads). (E,F) β-gal expression in a targeted Gli\textsubscript{1lacZ} reporter line shows weaker expression in the ventral spinal cord in Shh\textsuperscript{ΔFP/ΔFP} embryos (arrowheads) at E10.5. (G,H) Lmx1a expression is not altered in the FP at E10.5. Section in H is at a more rostral level than that in G in the thoracic region.
Fig. S2. Analysis of cell proliferation and cell death in Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> embryos. (A,B) Activated caspase 3 expression is not elevated in conditional mutants compared with WT. (C-G) No significant differences were seen in BrdU incorporation rates or pHH3 expression in Nkx2.2<sup>p3-CRM::Cre;Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup></sup> conditional mutants at E10.5 (A-F) and E12.5 (G) compared with WT.
Fig. S3. Altered progenitor domain marker protein expression in Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> embryos at E11.5. (A-C) Foxa2 and Nkx2.2 expression in WT (A), Shh<sup>ΔFP/+</sup> heterozygotes (B) and Shh<sup>ΔFP/ΔFP</sup> homozygotes (C). (D-I) Nkx2.2 and Olig2 expression in thoracic (D-F) and lumbar (G-I) regions. (J-L) Quantification of marker expression.
Fig. S4. Reduction in motoneuron formation in ShhΔFP/ΔFP embryos. Staining for postmitotic motoneuron markers HB9 (A-C) and Isl1/2 (D-F) in E12.5 embryos shows that reduced numbers of cells are generated.

Fig. S5. Gli3 expression shifts ventrally in ShhΔFP/ΔFP and SmoΔNT/ΔNT embryos. E11.5 embryos stained for Gli3 and Nkx2.2 (marking the p3 domain) show a ventral shift in the expression boundary of Gli3 into the pMN domain (brackets, asterisks).
Fig. S6. Changes in the expression of specific glial progenitor genes in mutant embryos identifies novel Shh-Gli target genes. 

(A,B) Staining for Fgfbp3 and Mmd2 in WT, Shh\textsuperscript{ΔFP/ΔFP}, Smo\textsuperscript{ΔNT/ΔNT} and Shh\textsuperscript{ΔFP/ΔFP};Gli3\textsuperscript{xt/xt} mutant embryos at E12.5. Expression of both genes is reduced or absent in Shh\textsuperscript{ΔFP/ΔFP} and Smo\textsuperscript{ΔNT/ΔNT} embryos but is restored in Shh\textsuperscript{ΔFP/ΔFP};Gli3\textsuperscript{xt/xt} mutants. 

(C) Expression of Olig2, a gene that appears to be primarily regulated by Gli3 derepression, is shown for comparison. 

(D) Sox9 and Nfia expression in Shh\textsuperscript{ΔFP/ΔFP} and Smo\textsuperscript{ΔNT/ΔNT} embryos is not altered. Shh is shown in some panels for comparison.
Fig. S7. Expression of differentiated OL marker protein expression in $Shh^{ΔFP/ΔFP}$ and $Smo^{INT/INT}$ embryos at E18.5 and P26.  

(A-C) Myelin basic protein (Mbp) expression in WT (A), $Shh^{ΔFP/ΔFP}$ (B) and $Smo^{INT/INT}$ (C) embryos shows reduced staining in both the VF and DF in mutant embryos (arrowheads).  

(D, E) Fluoromyelin expression is similar in WT (D) and $Smo^{INT/INT}$ (E) mice at P26.  

(F-K) Analysis of differentiated OL marker protein expression in P26 $Smo^{INT/INT}$ mice. Both Olig2 and Apc are reduced in both the DF and VF at this stage but to a lesser degree than at embryonic stages.
Fig. S8. Co-expression of Nkx6.1, Pax6 and S-100 in Gli1-lacZ+ VZ cells at E18.5. (A-C) All three markers show strong overlap with β-gal (from a Gli1lacZ knock-in line) in VZ cells (yellow). (A-C) β-gal; (A′-C′) marker; (A″-C″) merge; all with DAPI.