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The RNA-binding protein ELAV regulates Hox RNA processing, expression and function within the *Drosophila* nervous system
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MINIREVIEW

The regulated head-to-tail expression of Hox genes provides a coordinate system for the activation of specific programmes of cell differentiation according to axial level. Recent work indicates that Hox expression can be regulated via RNA processing but the underlying mechanisms and biological significance of this form of regulation remain poorly understood. Here we explore these issues within the developing *Drosophila* central nervous system (CNS). We show that the pan-neural RNA-binding protein (RBP) ELAV (Hu antigen) regulates the RNA processing patterns of the Hox gene *Ultrabithorax* (*Ubx*) within the embryonic CNS. Using a combination of biochemical, genetic and imaging approaches we demonstrate that ELAV binds to discrete elements within *Ubx* RNAs and that its genetic removal reduces *Ubx* protein expression in the CNS leading to the respecification of cellular subroutines under *Ubx* control, thus defining for the first time a specific cellular role of ELAV within the developing CNS. Artificial provision of ELAV in glial cells (a cell type that lacks ELAV) promotes *Ubx* expression, suggesting that ELAV-dependent regulation might contribute to cell type-specific Hox expression patterns within the CNS. Finally, we note that expression of *abdominal A* and *Abdominal B* is reduced in *elav* mutant embryos, whereas other Hox genes (*Antennapedia*) are not affected. Based on these results and the evolutionary conservation of ELAV and Hox genes we propose that the modulation of Hox RNA processing by ELAV serves to adapt the morphogenesis of the CNS to axial level by regulating Hox expression and consequently activating local programmes of neural differentiation.
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INTRODUCTION

The nervous system of vertebrates and invertebrates shows a remarkable level of regionalisation along the anteroposterior (AP) axis, so that the identity, arrangement and connectivity of nerve cells change according to axial level (Arendt and Nubler-Jung, 1999; Lumsden and Keynes, 1989; Lumsden and Krumlauf, 1996; Arendt and Nubler-Jung, 1999; Lumsden and Keynes, 1989; Lumsden and Krumlauf, 1996; Reichert, 2002; Thor, 1995). At the molecular level, axial neural specification relies centrally on the regulated expression of the Hox genes, a group of evolutionarily conserved genes that encode a family of homeodomain-containing transcription factors that activate specific cell differentiation programmes in different parts of the nervous system (McGinnis and Krumlauf, 1992; Alonso, 2002; Mallo and Alonso, 2013). An understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying Hox gene expression and function within the CNS is thus crucial to decipher the ability of these genes to direct pluripotent neural populations along different developmental routes.

Hox gene expression can be regulated at multiple levels through a variety of transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms (Simon et al., 1990; Shimell et al., 1994; Alonso and Wilkins, 2005; Alonso, 2012; Mallo and Alonso, 2013). Previous work in our laboratory and elsewhere has demonstrated that, in *Drosophila*, several Hox transcripts undergo mRNA processing by alternative splicing and alternative polyadenylation (Akam and Martinez-Arias, 1985; Kornfeld et al., 1989; Lopez and Hogness, 1991; O’Connor et al., 1988; Reed et al., 2010; Thomsen et al., 2010), that these processes affect Hox gene expression and function in a range of developmental contexts (Mann and Hogness, 1990; Subramaniam et al., 1994; Thomsen et al., 2010; Reed et al., 2010; de Navas et al., 2011) and are evolutionarily conserved over large phylogenetic distances (Bomze and Lopez, 1994; Patraquim et al., 2011). Notably, within the *Drosophila* embryonic CNS several Hox genes express specific splicing and 3’UTR isoforms (Thomsen et al., 2010), suggesting that RNA processing might represent a control system involved in fine-grain regulation of Hox expression within the CNS. Nonetheless, the underlying mechanisms and biological relevance of Hox RNA processing within neural tissues remain largely unknown.

Here we apply a reverse genetics approach designed to detect factors involved in Hox RNA processing, focusing on genes expressed within the *Drosophila* embryonic CNS. As a gene model we use the Hox gene *Ultrabithorax* (*Ubx*), as this is the system in which RNA processing is understood in greatest detail (Hatton et al., 1998; Alonso and Akam, 2003; de la Mata et al., 2003; Reed et al., 2010; Alonso, 2012; Mallo and Alonso, 2013). Our approach led us to identify the pan-neural RNA-binding protein (RBP) ELAV (also known as Hu antigen) (Robinow et al., 1988; Robinow and White, 1988; Good, 1995) as a key regulator of *Ubx* RNA processing, expression and function within the *Drosophila* embryonic CNS and to define what is, to our knowledge, the first specific cellular role of ELAV during neural development. We discuss the implications of our findings for the understanding of the molecular programmes controlling neural differentiation along the head-to-tail axis of animals.

RESULTS

ELAV regulates *Ubx* RNA processing in the embryonic CNS

Embryos carrying a null mutation in the *elav* gene [*elav*0 mutants (Yao et al., 1993)] produced patterns of *Ubx* alternative splicing (AS) and alternative polyadenylation (APA) that were significantly different from those found in wild-type embryos (Fig. 1A-H),
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indicating that Drosophila ELAV is necessary for normal Ubx RNA processing within the embryonic CNS. During normal embryonic development, alternatively spliced forms of Ubx are produced in a specific spatiotemporal pattern of expression: isoforms containing both M1 and M2 exons (Ubx I, Fig. 1A,B) account for most of the Ubx mRNAs expressed in epidermis and mesoderm, whereas isoforms lacking M1 and/or M2 (Ubx II and IV, Fig. 1A,B) are most abundant in neural cells (Artero et al., 1992). With regards to APA, Ubx mRNA forms bearing short 3’UTRs are dominant during early and mid-embryogenesis, but later on in development (late embryogenesis) the formation of long 3’UTR forms becomes predominant, especially in neural tissue (Akam and Martinez-Arias, 1985; Kornfeld et al., 1989; O’Connor et al., 1988; Lopez and Hogness, 1991; Thomsen et al., 2010).

We examined Ubx RNA processing profiles using two independent experimental approaches: RT-PCR and RNA in situ hybridisations in dissected ventral nerve cords (i.e. oligo in situ hybridisations) or in whole embryos (Fig. 1C-H). Remarkably, both approaches were consistent in showing that, in elav5 mutants at stage 16, Ubx splicing isoforms Ia and IVa were over- and under-represented, respectively (Fig. 1C-E) (whereas isoform Ubx Ila showed comparatively little change across genotypes). This analysis also revealed an overall reduction in Ubx long 3’UTR mRNAs (Fig. 1F-H). Notably, we also observed that ectopic expression of ELAV during gastrulation is sufficient to change the pattern of Ubx APA by directing the formation of Ubx mRNA forms carrying long 3’UTRs that are typically observed only in the CNS (Fig. 1I) instead of the shorter 3’UTRs commonly expressed at this stage (Thomsen et al., 2010). This indicates that ELAV is both necessary and sufficient to reprogramme Ubx 3’UTR RNA processing during embryogenesis. At later stages (stage 16), when the majority of Ubx mRNAs normally exhibit a long 3’UTR (Thomsen et al., 2010), overexpression of ELAV causes no detectable change, suggesting that the system is likely to already be producing as much of the long Ubx 3’UTRs as it possibly can (supplementary material Fig. S2). Altogether, these experiments demonstrate that ELAV is necessary and sufficient to modify the RNA processing patterns of a Drosophila Hox gene.

**ELAV interacts with discrete elements within Ubx RNA**

To determine the mechanisms that link ELAV expression level with Ubx RNA processing events we explored the model that ELAV exerts its effects via direct interaction with Ubx RNA. ELAV/Hu proteins possess an RNA-binding unit bearing recognition motifs that show high affinity for AU-rich elements (Wang and Tanaka, 2001). Given that elav encodes an RBP we...
Fig. 2. See next page for caption.
scanned Ubx RNA sequences for putative ELAV binding sites (EBS). Bioinformatic analysis of the Ubx locus revealed the existence of several EBSs (Fig. 2A; supplementary material Fig. S3 and legend). Remarkably, 16 Ubx EBSs were significantly evolutionarily conserved across Drosophila species that evolved independently from each other for over 60 million years, suggesting a potential functional relevance of these sites (Fig. 2A).

To test the hypothesis that ELAV interacts with Ubx RNA in a direct fashion, we determined to what extent ELAV was able to interact with radioactively labelled Ubx RNAs in vitro (Fig. 2B-E). We used two distinct experimental approaches: protein/RNA UV crosslinking followed by RNase A treatment (Fig. 2B) and RNA electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) (Fig. 2C-E). In both biochemical approaches we tested ultraconserved EBSs (Fig. 2A) using sequences derived from the previously described ELAV target erect wing (ewg) as positive [ewg wild type (WT)] and negative [ewg antisense (AS)] controls (Koushika et al., 2000; Soller and White, 2003). Notably, analysis of those sites showing the highest level of evolutionary conservation (supplementary material Fig. S3) revealed, by means of these two independent experimental approaches, that ELAV strongly interacts with Ubx RNAs directly through high affinity binding to ultraconserved sites EBS3 and EBS8, but very weakly with sites EBS13 and EBS16. Furthermore, site-specific mutation of the predicted ELAV binding sequences contained within EBS3 and EBS8 (supplementary material Fig. S3) led to different patterns of ELAV binding to Ubx RNA (Fig. 2E): EMSA using mutated versions of probes 3 and 8 showed reduced binding activity and a change in the range of protein-RNA complexes formed. These experiments also reveal the formation of protein-RNA complexes, including multimerised forms of ELAV on RNA (Fig. 2C,D). This has been observed previously in the interaction of ELAV with ewg RNAs (Soller and White, 2005).

To determine the extent to which ELAV-Ubx RNA interactions take place within the physiological environment of the developing embryo we developed a series of RNA cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (RNA-CLIP) assays using embryonic nuclear extracts (Fig. 2F). These experiments confirmed that ELAV interacts with Ubx RNAs at EBS3 and EBS8 in normal tissue, while a negative control antibody (anti-Tubulin) rendered a significantly lower level of Ubx RNA precipitation (Fig. 2F). We conclude that our biochemical analysis supports the model that ELAV interacts with Ubx RNAs directly via sites in Ubx introns 1 and 3 (EBS3 and EBS8, respectively; see Fig. 2A).

ELAV regulates Ubx expression levels within the CNS

To investigate the biological consequences of ELAV interactions with Ubx during development we first sought to explore whether these had an impact on Ubx expression. First, we examined the extent to which the effects observed at the level of RNA processing (Fig. 1C-H) were reflected at the protein level. Western blot analysis of whole-embryo protein extracts produced from wild type and elav<sup>5</sup> mutants (stage 16) revealed that elav<sup>5</sup> mutant embryos produce a larger amount of Ubx Ia and reduced levels of Ubx Ivα compared with wild-type embryos, closely matching the ELAV-dependent changes observed in Ubx mRNA isoforms (Fig. 1C-H and Fig. 3B). Interestingly, our western blot experiments also showed that elav<sup>5</sup> mutants produced reduced levels of Ubx protein. Given that at this point in development Ubx is also expressed in other tissues (e.g. epidermis) we carried out a series of immunostainings to detect Ubx protein expression exclusively in dissected ventral nerve cords (Fig. 3A). These experiments showed that elav<sup>5</sup> embryos produce significantly less Ubx protein than their wild-type counterparts at stage 16 (Fig. 3A,C). Heterozygous elav<sup>5</sup> mutant embryos showed an intermediate level of expression between homozygous mutants and wild-type embryos (not shown). These data confirmed that ELAV removal leads to a significant reduction in Ubx protein expression.

In principle, ELAV could exert its effects on protein expression at multiple points, including Ubx mRNA synthesis, stability and/or the translational process itself (Simone and Keene, 2013). Two observations suggested that ELAV might be affecting Ubx RNA processing during the transcription cycle. First, our data demonstrated that ELAV is able to modify two aspects of RNA processing, including multimerisation on RNA (Fig. 2A).
ELAV removal leads to reduced expression of Ubx mRNA and protein and promotes accumulation of nascent Ubx RNA transcripts within the Drosophila CNS.

(A) Immunostaining of dissected embryonic ventral nerve cords (anterior is to the left) showing the expression of Ubx protein in wild-type and homozygous elav (elav5) mutant embryos at stage 16; elav5 mutant embryos express significantly lower levels of Ubx protein within their CNS.

(B) Western blot analysis of late wild-type and elav5 embryos shows that the spectrum of Ubx protein isoforms produced in wild-type and mutant embryos closely matches the changes observed at the level of mRNA (Fig. 1) and reveals that elav5 embryos express overall lower levels of Ubx protein than their wild-type counterparts. \( n = 9 \) per genotype; error bars indicate s.e.m.; **\( P < 0.01 \) [Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test (non-parametric t-test)].

(C) Profile quantification of Ubx protein expression along the AP axis (axial expression) as shown in A (standard error is indicated by grey shading).

(D) Total Ubx mRNA levels as detected by amplification of the constant Ubx 5' exon region are significantly reduced in elav5 embryos compared with wild type. \( n = 6 \) per genotype; error bars indicate s.e.m.; *\( P < 0.05 \) [Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test (non-parametric t-test)].

(E) Analysis of Ubx transcriptional inputs in elav mutants and wild-type embryos using the 35UZ Ubx-lacZ promoter fusion. No appreciable difference in Ubx-lacZ expression is detected between wild-type and elav5 embryos.

(F) Ubx nascent transcript expression detected by FISH using Ubx intronic probes (intron 3) indicates that elav mutant embryos show an overall higher signal in Ubx nascent transcript foci. Inset shows Ubx signal detected in two discrete nuclear foci (orange arrowheads) per nucleus (blue, DAPI).

(G) Quantification of Ubx nascent transcript expression in wild type and elav5 mutants shows that the distributions of Ubx nascent transcript foci \([\log(10)]\) versus voxel signal intensity are substantially different among genotypes. Best fit curves are shown. Plotting either the relative number of Ubx foci (middle) or relative intensity of Ubx foci (right) detected in wild-type and elav5 mutant embryos further confirms that elav5 mutants show an overall higher level of expression of Ubx nascent transcripts. \( n = 7 \) per genotype; error bars indicate s.e.m.; *\( P < 0.05 \) [Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test (non-parametric t-test)].
rates, as this is a regulatory process known to affect the kinetics and outcome of both AS and APA reactions (de la Mata et al., 2003; Licatalosi and Darnell, 2010; Proudfoot, 2011).

To explore this possibility we first tested the total levels of Ubx mRNAs produced in wild type and elav5 mutants and observed that, indeed, elav mutant embryos show reduced levels of Ubx mRNA (Fig. 3D). This finding led us to look more closely at the levels of Ubx transcription in elav mutants. We first explored the transcriptional activity of Ubx cis-regulatory regions using the Ubx transcriptional reporter 35UZ (Irvine et al., 1991). This reporter construct includes 35 kb of Ubx regulatory DNA linked to the lacZ reporter and drives Ubx-like lacZ expression during embryogenesis (Irvine et al., 1991). Contrary to our expectations, these experiments showed no significant differences in Ubx transcriptional activity in the presence and absence of ELAV (Fig. 3E), indicating that ELAV effects on Ubx expression were likely to occur after transcriptional initiation. Bearing this in mind, we then looked at the levels of Ubx nascent transcripts in wild-type and elav5 embryos, developing a series of fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) experiments using intronic probes so as to detect precursor RNAs rather than mature mRNAs as visualised by standard RNA in situ hybridisation (Fig. 3F). Remarkably, we found that in ≥70% of elav5 embryos the abundance of Ubx nascent transcripts was significantly higher than in their wild-type counterparts at an identical developmental stage (Fig. 3F,G). Furthermore, applying an image segmentation and quantification pipeline based on the Fiji imaging platform (Schindelin et al., 2012) to nascent Ubx transcript signals detected in elav5 and wild-type samples, we observed that elav5 embryos show both a higher number of transcriptional foci (Fig. 3G, middle) and an overall higher signal intensity level per focus (Fig. 3G, right).

Based on these experiments, we propose that the absence of ELAV leads to inefficient Ubx RNA processing and retention of RNA at the site of transcription, with a consequential reduction in Ubx mRNA release and lower Ubx protein formation, suggesting that ELAV-dependent Ubx RNA processing could ‘fine-tune’ the levels of expression of Ubx within the nervous system. This model is consistent
with our data and with previous reports on other systems (including human beta-globin, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, ribosomal protein L3, DNA damage-inducible transcript 3) indicating that non-canonical RNA processing reactions usually prevent mRNA flow to the cytoplasm by tethering processed mRNAs to DNA around transcription sites (Custodio et al., 1999, 2007; Schwartz et al., 2012).

**ELAV removal leads to respecification of neural differentiation programmes under Ubx control**

Having established the roles of ELAV in Ubx expression within the CNS, we then explored further the biological consequences of ELAV-regulated Hox expression during nervous system development, focusing on the functions of Ubx during the establishment of specific cellular programmes within the developing CNS. We reasoned that if ELAV regulation of Ubx RNA processing and protein expression were relevant to the biological functions of Ubx during neural development then we should be able to find specific cellular processes affected in elav mutants and these should be reverted by artificial provision of Ubx protein. To test these predictions we used a cellular system that had been shown to be sensitive to Ubx dosage: the lineage NB7-3 (Fig. 4A). Here, variations in Ubx expression lead to a notable respecification of the apoptosis patterns of the NB7-3-derived GW neuron (Rogulja-Ortmann et al., 2008). In particular, reductions in Ubx expression, such as those observed in Ubx het heterozygotes, lead to a decrease in the proportion of GW neurons undergoing apoptosis in the posterior thorax and abdomen (Rogulja-Ortmann et al., 2008). We examined to what extent the apoptosis patterns in GW neurons were affected in elav mutants (Fig. 4B-E). Remarkably, when we restored expression of Ubx mRNAs within the NB7-3 lineage in elav embryos we observed normal apoptosis levels of GW (Fig. 4D,E), demonstrating that the effects of ELAV on the cellular behaviour of GW are mediated by Ubx. Interestingly, selective expression of distinct Ubx splicing isoforms (i.e. Ubx Ia versus Ubx IVa) in the elav mutant background revealed isoform-specific roles of Ubx proteins in the rescue of GW apoptosis patterns: Ubx Ia was significantly less efficient than Ubx IVa in rescuing GW apoptosis. These observations suggest that individual Ubx isoforms might play differential roles in neural differentiation along the AP axis. In addition, the fact that Ubx isoform Ia is less efficient than IVa in rescuing normal GW apoptosis patterns provides another explanation for why GW apoptosis is diminished in elav mutants: in the absence of ELAV the system produces overall reduced levels of Ubx protein (Fig. 3A,C) and, in addition, much of the protein formed is of the ‘wrong’ kind (i.e. high levels of Ubx1 versus IVa).
Ubx Ia low Ubx Iva) to efficiently activate GW apoptosis. Interestingly, similar effects on apoptosis were observed when studying the role of ELAV in the apoptotic behaviour of MNa neurons in the posterior thoracic segment (T3) (supplementary material Fig. S4), which showed a clear reduction in apoptosis compared with wild-type embryos. These cells are derived from the NB2-4 lineage and their apoptosis profiles were previously shown to rely on Ubx protein level (Rogulja-Ortmann et al., 2008). All in all, our analysis here reveals that ELAV modifies the outcome of specific cellular subroutines under Hox control within the Drosophila developing embryonic CNS.

**Artificial expression of ELAV promotes Ubx protein expression in glial cells**

To further explore the biological roles of ELAV in Hox expression within neural tissue we considered the possibility that the absence of ELAV from specific neural cell types (e.g. glial cells) could be related or even contribute to the known lack of Hox protein expression in such cells (Miguel-Aliaga and Thor, 2004). Indeed, the fact that both ELAV and Hox genes are not expressed in the glia could form the basis for an experimental approach to test this idea. Based on the molecular functions of ELAV as an RBP primarily involved in RNA processing and stability, and the fact that its genetic removal does not affect Ubx transcription (Fig. 3E), we reasoned that if ELAV had any effects on Hox protein expression in the glia then such effects would only be expected in those glial cells in which Ubx transcription was normally active. To determine which glial cells (if any) could meet this proviso, we colabelled glial cells (using anti-Repo antibodies) and nascent Ubx nRNAs (nRNAs) (making use of a combination of intrinsic Ubx probes) in late wild-type embryos (Fig. 5A,C,E). These experiments revealed that the majority of glial cells did not have an active Ubx transcriptional programme. Indeed, in the posterior thoracic segment (T3), which is one of the regions with highest expression of Ubx, only about one-third of glial cells transcribes Ubx nRNAs, making it unlikely that the absence of ELAV from glia could explain the general lack of Hox expression in these cells.

However, detailed analysis of those cells in which Ubx nRNAs could be detected did reveal that artificial expression of ELAV via repo-gal4 could indeed promote the expression of Ubx protein: forced expression of ELAV in dorsal (e.g. longitudinal glia; Fig. 5B), medial (e.g. lateral cell body glia; Fig. 5D) and ventral (e.g. medial ventral subperineurial glia; Fig. 5F) glial cells resulted in the production of Ubx protein, which is normally not formed in these cells. These experiments suggest that absence of ELAV from glial cells might contribute to the lack of Ubx protein expression in the fraction of these cells in which Ubx transcription is normally active.

**Effects of ELAV on the expression of other Hox proteins**

Finally, we decided to investigate the generality of our observations by testing whether ELAV was capable of exerting any effects on the expression of other Hox genes within the nervous system. We looked at the effects of ELAV removal on the other Hox genes within the Drosophila Bithorax complex (BX-C), namely abdominal A (abdominal A) and Abdominal B (Abdominal B) (Sánchez-Herrero et al., 1985), given that these genes also display neural-specific patterns of RNA processing (Thomsen et al., 2010). Immunostaining of late embryos (late stage 16) using anti-Abd-A and anti-Abd-B antibodies (Fig. 6A,B,D,E) established that expression of both Abd-A and Abd-B proteins was markedly reduced when ELAV was genetically removed, demonstrating that our observations concerning the effects of ELAV on Ubx expression reflected a more general case concerning all Hox proteins encoded within the BX-C. Nonetheless, the reduction in Ubx, Abd-A and Abd-B protein expression in the absence of ELAV could also be explained by a potentially pleiotropic effect of ELAV on neural gene expression: if ELAV were required for the normal expression and function of cellular components involved in gene expression (e.g. ribosomal proteins) then its removal would be expected to impact the expression of a large
number of genes, including the Hox genes. Further experiments made this possibility very unlikely, as the expression of another Hox gene, Antennapedia (Antp), is unaffected by ELAV removal (Fig. 6G-I), demonstrating that the effects of ELAV on BX-C genes are specific and not the consequence of a general shutdown of protein synthesis in neural tissue caused by the absence of ELAV.

DISCUSSION

Our work shows that the pan-neural RBP ELAV regulates Ubx RNA processing, expression and function within the Drosophila embryonic CNS, demonstrating that changes in ELAV level respectively cellular subroutines under Ubx control. Based on these findings we propose a model whereby the regulation of Hox gene expression by RNA processing factors adapts the morphogenesis of the nervous system according to axial level by specifically activating local programmes of cell differentiation.

Our study also adds to the understanding of the biological roles of ELAV/Hu by revealing that ELAV is part of the molecular machinery underlying an intrinsic and highly specific programme of cell death within the developing Drosophila CNS. This is, to our knowledge, the first demonstration of a specific cellular role for this neural protein. Based on the recent finding that ELAV controls the formation of 3′UTR extensions of several other Drosophila mRNAs (Hilgers et al., 2012), we envisage that our present findings are likely to have revealed the first of a wider set of cellular functions played by ELAV through the regulation of its targets in neural tissue.

The notion that the activation of particular patterns of Hox RNA processing via RBPs such as ELAV/Hu can lead to significant fluctuations in Hox protein expression in selected cellular environments suggests the existence of a novel regulatory framework whereby cellular decision making within neural tissue could be adapted to axial level via specific RNA processing programmes triggered and controlled by RNA regulatory factors.

At the molecular level, we interpret the changes observed in Ubx RNA processing patterns in the absence of ELAV to represent the outcome of those transcription/processing rounds that were completed despite the absence of this crucial regulator of the process. According to this view, the unavailability of ELAV, with the consequential effects on normal Ubx RNA processing, leads to the retention and accumulation of Ubx RNAs close to the site of transcription. This interpretation is in line with previous work suggesting that disruption of normal RNA processing reactions can prevent mRNA flow to the cytoplasm by tethering of incompletely processed RNAs to DNA around sites of transcription (Custodio et al., 1999, 2007; Schwartz et al., 2012). We speculate that the cell must have ways to determine, via a series of molecular devices, whether a certain RNA processing pathway has been completed successfully and, should this be not apparent, to trigger an appropriate course of action that seeks to prevent potentially deleterious RNAs from proceeding to export and translation.

Finally, taking into consideration that: (1) Hox genes are evolutionarily conserved between insects and mammals; (2) mammalian Hox genes are key developmental regulators of neural differentiation and undergo substantial levels of RNA processing (P.P. and C.R.A., unpublished); (3) ELAV/Hu RBPs are also evolutionarily conserved between insects and mammals (Yao et al., 1993); and (4) mutations in ELAV-like Hu proteins lead to various forms of neural pathology in humans, we envisage that our findings in Drosophila might be of general relevance for understanding the molecular and cellular specification of Hox gene function during the development of the mammalian nervous system. We are currently testing this possibility in the mouse, in which the role of Hox genes during neural specification, differentiation and connectivity has been studied in great detail (Phillippidou and Dassen, 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly strains

Flies were cultured following standard procedures at 25°C on a 12 h light/dark cycle. Oregon Red was used as a wild-type strain. The following mutant fly strains were used: elanΔ null mutant (Robinow and White, 1991) and UAS-Elav22, UAS-ElavΔ1 (both kindly provided by Matthias Soller, University of Birmingham, UK), UAS-Apoplinera (Bardet et al., 2008), elanΔ1 (Bloomington Stock Center), Act5c-GAL4 (a gift from Rob Ray, University of Sussex, UK), Ubx-35UZ (Irvine et al., 1991), eagle-Gal4 (M2360) (Dittrich et al., 1997), UAS-Ubxda and UAS-UbxdVa (Reed et al., 2010).

Embryo collection, RNA isolation and RT-PCR

Embryos were collected using standard procedures and antibody stainings, embryos were fixed following standard procedures. For RT-PCR, total RNA was extracted from staged embryo collections using TRI Reagent (Sigma), followed by RNase-free DNaseI treatment (New England BioLabs). Total RNA (1-2 μg) was used for cDNA synthesis using random hexamer or oligo(dT) primers and MuLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Expression values were normalised using RpL32 (Rp49). At least three independent biological replicates were performed.

RNA in situ hybridisations

Embryos were fixed using standard protocols. Templates of RNA probes for RNA in situ hybridisation were obtained from PCR-amplified genomic fragments cloned into pGEM-T (Promega). RNA probes were labelled using a digoxigenin (DIG) RNA Labelling Kit (SP6/T7; Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Embryos were treated as described (Beckvordersandforth et al., 2008) and hybridised with riboprobes according to standard protocols. RNA probes were detected using anti-DIG-AP (Roche; 1:2000) and a chromogenic reaction using NBT/BCIP substrate (Roche). Enzymatic detection reactions with NBT/BCIP (Roche) were carried out in parallel and stopped at exactly the same time for probes targeting universal and distal 3′UTR sequences to ensure comparability of results. Fluorescent detection of RNA probes was performed using anti-DIG-POD (Roche; 1:300) followed by FITC or Cy3 TSA Plus Amplification Kit (PerkinElmer; 1:50). Subsequent imaging was performed on a Zeiss Axiophot confocal microscope and the images were processed using ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop.

Immunocytochemistry

Antibody stains were performed following standard procedures. Primary antibodies were monoclonal mouse anti-Ubx (FP3.38, a gift from Robert White, University of Cambridge; 1:20), mouse anti-Antp (4C3; 1:20), mouse anti-Abd-B (1A2E9; 1:20), rat anti-ELAV (7E8410; 1:300) and mouse anti-ELAV (9F8A9; 1:300) (all from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank); goat anti-Abd-A (dH-17, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:20); mouse anti-Antp (4C3; 1:20), mouse anti-Abd-B (1A2E9; 1:20), rat anti-ELAV (7E8410; 1:300) and mouse anti-ELAV (9F8A9; 1:300) (all from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank); goat anti-Abd-A (dH-17, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:20); rabbit anti-Abd-B (1A2E9; 1:20), rat anti-ELAV (7E8410; 1:300) and mouse anti-ELAV (9F8A9; 1:300) (both kindly provided by Matthias Soller, University of Birmingham, UK), UAS-Apoplinera (Bardet et al., 2008), elanΔ1 (Bloomington Stock Center), Act5c-GAL4 (a gift from Rob Ray, University of Sussex, UK), Ubx-35UZ (Irvine et al., 1991), eagle-Gal4 (M2360) (Dittrich et al., 1997), UAS-Ubxda and UAS-UbxdVa (Reed et al., 2010).
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Permeabilisation was performed in 3 µg/ml Proteinase K solution for 13 min at 22°C, followed by incubation on ice for 1 h. Proteinase K was inactivated by washes in 2 mg/ml glycine in PBTr (PBS with 0.1% Tween 20) and embryos refixed for 20 min in 4% PFA. Prehybridisation was carried out overnight at 37°C. DIG-labelled oligo probes were hybridised for 1 day at 37°C, washed in hybridisation buffer at 40°C and in PBTr at room temperature. For detection of oligo probes, embryos were incubated with sheep anti-DIG-AP antibody (Roche) and stained for 20 h at 4°C using the ABC Kit (Vectastain). After a series of washes, embryos were fixed in 4% formaldehyde. For identification of elav\(^{-}\) mutant embryos, a rabbit anti-β-gal antibody was used (55976, MP Biomedicals; 1:1000). Embryos were mounted and stored in 70% glycerol.

Bioinformatic search of ultraconserved ELAV targets

We scanned the Ubx sequence of Drosophila melanogaster for elements with high similarity to experimentally validated EBSs present in the previously reported ELAV target genes (Nrg, Nrg-like) (TTTTGTTGTG, TTGGTTTTT, TTGGTTTTT, TTGGTTTTT, TTGGTTTTT, TTGGTTTTT, TTGGTTTTT, TTGGTTTTT) (Lisbin et al., 2001) and erect wing (ewg, ewg-like) (AAUUUUAU, CAUUUUAU) (Soller and White, 2003). To explore the evolutionary conservation of these elements across related Drosophila species we retrieved the sequences corresponding to the full Ubx transcription unit from the 12 fully sequenced drosophilids from the UCSC Genome Browser (D. melanogaster, D. simulans, D. sechellia, D. yakuba, D. erecta, D. ananassae, D. pseudoobscura, D. persimilis, D. willistoni, D. mojavensis, D. virilis, D. grimshawi) and confirmed their identity as Ubx-encoding sequences using BLAST. Ubx sequences derived from different Drosophila species were then aligned using the mVISTA LAGAN algorithm (Brudno et al., 2003). We defined as putative ELAV binding sites (pEBSs) all those elements that: (1) presented an exact match to those present in Nrg and ewg and (2) were evolutionarily conserved in a homologous position across eight or more of the 12 drosophilid species (i.e. ultraconserved). In addition to sequence elements similar to those present in Nrg and ewg RNAs, we also included in our list of Ubx pEBSs a previously described AU-rich element (ARE) present in the 3′UTR of D. melanogaster Ubx (Cairns et al., 2009) given that AREs were shown to be crucial regulators of RNA metabolism through binding of Hu proteins (López de Silanes et al., 2004). All 16 pEBSs are presented in supplementary material Fig. S3.

Site-specific mutation of the putative ELAV binding sequences contained within EBS3 and EBS8 (site 3 WT, ATTTTTTT; site 3 Mut, AGTGTGTGG; site 8A WT, TTTTTTTTT; site 8A Mut, TGTGTGTGG; site 8B WT, TTTTATTTAT; site 8B Mut, TTTTTTTTT) (Lisbin et al., 2001) by DpnI-mediated site-directed mutagenesis.

EMSA experiments

Recombinant GST-ELAV protein was produced in E. coli using an expression vector kindly provided by Matthias Soller (described in Soller and White, 2003) following the manufacturer’s instructions (Amersham). GST tag was cleaved with PreScission Protease (Amersham). EMSA experiments were performed as described by Soller and White (Soller and White, 2003). In brief, gel purified ‘body’ labelled RNA was incubated with tRNA (50 µg/µl) at 65°C for 5 min, renatured at room temperature for 10 min and then mixed with binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 40 mM KCl, 35 mM NaCl, 25 µg/ml tRNA, 0.5 mM DTT, 50 µg/ml BSA) in a total of 10 µl and incubated at room temperature for 20 min with either 0, 200, 400 or 600 mM ELAV protein. Ten microlitres reaction with 1 µl tRNA (50 µg/ml) at 65°C for 5 min, renatured at room temperature for 10 min and then divided into two samples, one of which was subsequently UV cross-linked (Stratalinker) for 12 min on ice whereas the other (negative control) was kept on ice in the absence of UV. Samples were then treated with RNaseA at 37°C for 15 min. Reaction products were resolved by SDS-PAGE and dried gels were exposed to phosphorimagery plates.

Cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (CLIP) experiments

Immunoprecipitation of nuclear extracts from an overnight collection of embryos was performed following the RNA immunoprecipitation protocol of Hilgers et al. (Hilgers et al., 2012), except that the nuclear extracts were incubated overnight with 2 µg mouse anti-ELAV 9F8A9 or mouse anti-Tub E7 antibodies (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank).
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