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Transcription factors involved in retinogenesis are co-opted by the circadian clock following photoreceptor differentiation
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ABSTRACT

The circadian clock is known to regulate a wide range of physiological and cellular processes, yet remarkably little is known about its role during embryo development. Zebrafish offer a unique opportunity to explore this issue, not only because a great deal is known about key developmental events in this species, but also because the clock starts on the very first day of development. In this study, we identified numerous rhythmic genes in zebrafish larvae, including the key transcriptional regulators neurod and cdx1b, which are involved in neuronal and intestinal differentiation, respectively. Rhythmic expression of neurod and several additional transcription factors was only observed in the developing retina. Surprisingly, these rhythms in expression commenced at a stage of development after these transcription factors are known to have played their essential role in photoreceptor differentiation. Furthermore, this circadian regulation was maintained in adult retina. Thus, once mature photoreceptors are formed, multiple retinal transcription factors fall under circadian clock control, at which point they appear to play a new and important role in regulating rhythmic elements in the phototransduction pathway.
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INTRODUCTION

The circadian clock is a highly conserved timekeeping system that allows organisms to anticipate and take advantage of daily environmental changes. Circadian clocks are self-sustained molecular oscillators, based on transcription-translation negative-feedback loops, that are entrained to local time by the environmental light-dark (LD) cycle. Zebrafish have become an important model system in which to study vertebrate circadian biology owing to the highly decentralised nature of its circadian system. Zebrafish tissues and cell lines contain endogenous circadian oscillators that are themselves light responsive, allowing for the direct entrainment of the cellular clock by external LD cycles, even in vitro (Whitmore et al., 2000; Vallone et al., 2004; Carr and Whitmore, 2005; Tamai et al., 2007). In the zebrafish embryo, robust oscillations in the expression of circadian clock genes can be seen on the second day of development, although the circadian pacemaker appears to begin even earlier, on the first day of development (Dekens and Whitmore, 2008). In fact, embryos are light responsive during the first stages of gastrulation, before the differentiation of classical light-responsive structures and only hours following fertilisation (Tamai et al., 2004).

Zebrafish are also a major model system for the study of vertebrate development. This is largely due to development occurring ex vivo, embryo transparency and amenability for large-scale mutant screens (Driever et al., 1996; Haftter et al., 1996). Consequently, a large number of genes crucial for the regulation of important developmental processes have been identified. In particular, this includes determinants of cell fate and transcription factors involved in cell differentiation within specific tissues, as well as signalling pathways involved in general organogenesis.

One aspect of biology that remains largely unexplored, however, is whether the circadian clock that is present within the developing embryo plays any role in the regulation and timing of the expression of these essential developmental factors. Does the circadian clock influence development, such that crucial developmental genes are expressed in a circadian fashion? If so, at what point during development does clock regulation begin? Does this regulation occur only in specific cells and tissues?

In this study, we identified numerous rhythmically expressed genes, which are involved in various developmental processes, such as the cell cycle, DNA repair, retinal/neural development and intestinal function. One of the most strongly rhythmic genes that we identified was neurod, and, somewhat to our surprise, its expression was only rhythmic within the developing retina. Moreover, several additional transcription factors involved in photoreceptor differentiation, including crx, rx2 and nr2e3, also showed robust oscillations in the larval retina. The onset of this clock control occurs at a specific developmental stage and continues into adulthood, after photoreceptor differentiation in the retina has largely been completed and when the known function of these genes would have been completed. Numerous components of the phototransduction cascade in photoreceptors were also found to oscillate, clearly suggesting a role for the circadian clock in the regulation of photoreceptor function. Our hypothesis is that the circadian clock gains control of developmentally essential retinal transcription factors, after they have completed their role in cell differentiation, and then employs them in the control of stable retinal physiology.

RESULTS

Rhythmic gene expression during zebrafish larval development

Considering the ubiquitousness of circadian clocks, surprisingly little is known about their role during vertebrate development. This is in part due to the difficulty of studying early developmental events in mammals, whereas this is relatively straightforward in zebrafish. To address this issue, we performed a gene expression analysis during zebrafish development using the NanoString nCounter Analysis System, a digital quantification method whereby hundreds of target mRNAs can be analysed in a single reaction (Geiss et al., 2008). Zebrafish larvae were collected at four time points per day between 4...
and 7 days post fertilisation (dpf). This developmental time window was chosen as most tissues and organs are present and functional but, at the same time, are still actively developing and maturing. Moreover, at these stages, the circadian clock is fully functional, and clock-controlled processes, such as cell cycle timing (Dekens et al., 2003; Laranjeiro et al., 2013) and locomotor activity rhythms (Hard and Cahill, 2002), become evident. Zebrafish larvae raised on an LD cycle were compared with those raised in constant light (LL), a condition known to repress clock function in zebrafish (Tamai et al., 2007). Larvae raised on an LD cycle until 6 dpf and then transferred to constant darkness (DD) on day 7 were also analysed to assess whether genes that oscillated maintained their rhythmicity under free-running, constant conditions. For this quantitative expression analysis, 87 genes were selected, comprising circadian clock, cell cycle and DNA repair components and cell lineage/tissue-specific genes, including those known to be expressed in brain/neuron/glia, eye, intestine, pancreas, kidney, liver, heart, skeletal muscle, blood cells, vasculature and bone (the complete list is included in supplementary material Fig. S1).

As expected, core circadian clock genes [including clock, arntl1a (bmal1), per1b, per3 and nr1d1 (rev-erba)] exhibited a clear 24 h rhythm on an LD cycle and an arrhythmic or ‘flat’ expression profile in LL. Importantly, these rhythms were maintained after transfer into DD, confirming regulation by the circadian clock as opposed to being directly light driven (Fig. 1A,B; supplementary material Fig. S1). One important output of the circadian clock is the regulation of cell cycle timing, and in this zebrafish developmental analysis we identified several rhythmically expressed genes involved in regulating different phases of the cell cycle: ccnd1, cdkn1a (p21), cdkn1d (p20) (G1/S transition); myca (c-myc), pcdn (S phase); and ccnb1, cdk1, wee1 (G2/M transition) (Fig. 1A,C; supplementary material Fig. S1). This suggests that circadian clock regulation of the cell cycle can occur at multiple levels, resulting in complex interactions between these two cellular oscillators.

The three DNA repair genes analysed (cry5 (6-4 photolyase), cpd-phr (zgc:66475) and dlh2) exhibited robust rhythms on an LD cycle, with the peak of expression occurring during the light phase. However, when transferred into DD, these rhythms were completely abolished or significantly damped, showing that light rather than the circadian clock is the primary driver of these rhythms. Consistent with this observation, the expression levels of these genes in LL were constitutively high (supplementary material Fig. S1). Other previously identified light-regulated genes had a similar expression profile, including per2, cry1a, tefα and fch (supplementary material Fig. S1) (Gavrishchakina et al., 2010; Weger et al., 2011).

Interestingly, three intestinal-specific genes included in this analysis seemed to be clock regulated, as shown by their rhythmicity on an LD cycle and in DD (Fig. 1A,D; supplementary material Fig. S1): intestinal fatty acid binding protein (fabp2, or ifabp) is involved in fat absorption and metabolism; solute carrier family 15 member 1b (scl15a1b, or pep1l) plays an important role in the uptake of oligopeptides by intestinal cells; and caudal type homeobox 1b (cdx1b), which is a ParaHox transcription factor, is essential for the regulation of proliferation and differentiation of various zebrafish intestinal cell lineages (Flores et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009). These results not only suggest an active role for the circadian clock in the regulation of intestinal function, but also provide a potential molecular link (i.e. cdx1b) between the clock, the cell cycle and intestinal differentiation.

The most striking 24 h expression rhythm from all the cell lineage/tissue-specific genes was observed for neurod. This proneural basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor exhibited sharp upregulation in expression in the late light phase, at zeitgeber time (ZT) 9, where ZT0 refers to lights on. Moreover, neurod expression is completely arrhythmic in LL, and the rhythmicity observed under LD conditions is maintained in DD, showing clear circadian clock regulation. Other brain/neuron-specific transcription factors (e.g. neurog1 and otx2) did not display any circadian regulation (Fig. 1A,E; supplementary material Fig. S1).

neurod rhythmic expression is restricted to retinal photoreceptors

neurod is a bHLH transcription factor that plays a role in cell cycle exit, cell fate determination, differentiation and cell survival. In vertebrates, neurod is expressed in areas of the brain, such as the cortex, cerebellum, eye, olfactory bulb and midbrain, but also in non-neuronal tissues, such as the endocrine pancreas (Chae et al., 2004). The very dramatic and precisely timed daily rhythm in neurod expression observed in our developmental analysis, and the crucial role it plays in determining neuronal cell fate, led us to focus on neurod. The initial aim was to determine where this transcription factor is rhythmically expressed during larval development, so we performed whole-mount in situ hybridisation (WISH) on 6 dpf larvae. neurod expression was exclusively detected in the head region, specifically in the brain and eye. Whereas the mid-hindbrain boundary exhibited constitutively high levels throughout the LD cycle, the larval eye specifically showed a clear upregulation of neurod expression at ZT9 (Fig. 2A), consistent with the expression peak observed in the NanoString analysis.

Previous reports have shown that, in the zebrafish retina, neurod is expressed in the rod and cone photoreceptor lineages (Ochocinska and Hitchcock, 2007; Nelson et al., 2008) and is essential to promote photoreceptor progenitor withdrawal from the cell cycle, leading to terminal differentiation (Ochocinska and Hitchcock, 2009). Therefore, we hypothesised that rhythmic neurod expression in the larval eye could be responsible for the rhythmic differentiation of photoreceptor cells. To address this, 5 dpf larvae were exposed to a BrdU pulse and then allowed to develop for a further 48 h. Two days after the BrdU pulse, almost every BrdU-positive cell was still in the stem cell region of the retina, termed the circumferential marginal zone (CMZ), and, consequently, BrdU-positive photoreceptor cells were rarely, if ever, detected (supplementary material Fig. S2A,B). Given that photoreceptor differentiation is extremely limited at these stages of development, it is highly unlikely that this process is the main target of neurod rhythmicity. In fact, and rather surprisingly, in situ hybridisation on larval sections revealed that rhythmic expression of neurod is not found in retinal progenitors, but rather in the retinal outer nuclear layer (ONL), which corresponds to the photoreceptor cell layer (Fig. 2B). These results indicate that the circadian rhythm in neurod expression is only seen in differentiated photoreceptors. We therefore examined, using qPCR, when neurod rhythmicity becomes established during zebrafish development. As shown in Fig. 2C, neurod expression levels steadily increased from 24 to 72 h post fertilisation (hpf). On the fourth day of development, the first peak in expression at ZT9 was observed, and in the following days the amplitude of this rhythm became stronger. We conclude that the neurod expression rhythm only appears in zebrafish development after the wave of differentiation of rod and cone photoreceptors, which...
occurs between 48 and 72 hpf (Branchek and Bremiller, 1984; Raymond et al., 1995; Schmitt and Dowling, 1996).

We also compared neurod expression between larvae raised on an LD cycle with those raised on a reverse, dark-light (DL) cycle. If neurod is truly clock regulated, we would expect these rhythms to be 12 h out of phase (anti-phase) between the two lighting conditions. As shown in Fig. 2D, this was exactly what we observed. Importantly, a western blot analysis on 6 dpf larvae revealed that neurod expression is not only rhythmic at the transcript level but also at the protein level, with the peak of Neurod occurring at ZT9-15 (Fig. 2E).

Together, these results reveal that, following the wave of photoreceptor differentiation between 2 and 3 dpf, neurod becomes strongly rhythmic, indicating that the circadian clock is the primary regulator of neurod transcription at this time, promoting a 24 h expression rhythm that is restricted to differentiated photoreceptors.
Additional retinal transcription factors are rhythmically expressed in differentiated photoreceptors

The transcription factors that are expressed by rod and cone lineages during photoreceptor differentiation are well-characterised in the zebrafish retina. We asked whether clock regulation was neurod specific or if it could be extended to additional photoreceptor transcriptional regulators. Cone-rod homeobox (crx), retinal homeobox 1 (rx1) and retinal homeobox 2 (rx2) are expressed in both rod and cone lineages at different stages of photoreceptor genesis (Chuang et al., 1999; Shen and Raymond, 2004; Bernardos et al., 2007; Nelson et al., 2008, 2009). Neural retina leucine zipper (nrl) and photoreceptor-specific nuclear receptor (nr2e3) are only expressed in rods of the mature retina, but during development in zebrafish, unlike in other vertebrates, they can be expressed in both rod and cone progenitors (Chen et al., 2005; Nelson et al., 2008).

Using qPCR, we analysed the expression of these five transcription factors during zebrafish development. On the second and third day of development (24-72 hpf), none of these genes was rhythmic and, in general, their expression levels increased with time (Fig. 3A-E), consistent with the role played by these transcription factors during the wave of photoreceptor differentiation (48-72 hpf). After 72 hpf, however, a significant decrease in expression was observed, followed by the emergence of a circadian rhythm for all genes, except nrl, the expression of which was fairly constant from 5 dpf onwards. The 24 h expression rhythms appeared on the fourth or fifth day of development and persisted in DD (at 7 dpf), although the peak of expression occurred at different times of the day for different genes (Fig. 3A-E).

Circadian clock involvement was further tested by comparing larvae raised on an LD cycle versus a DL cycle. crx, rx2 and nr2e3 showed a clear anti-phase expression rhythm when exposed to a DL cycle, confirming clock regulation. By contrast, rx1 expression on a DL cycle was not clearly rhythmic, suggesting that not only the circadian clock but also developmental pathways might be controlling its expression (Fig. 3A-E).

Expression analysis by WISH on 6 dpf larvae revealed that crx, rx1, rx2 and nr2e3 are predominantly expressed in the larval eye, with some expression in the developing brain. Conversely, nrl expression was stronger throughout the brain than in the eye (supplementary material Fig. S3A-E). To determine exactly where the retinal transcription factors that exhibited a clear circadian regulation (i.e. crx, rx2 and nr2e3) are expressed in the eye, we performed in situ hybridisation on larval sections. Rhythmic expression of crx was found in the ONL (photoreceptor layer) and the outer part of the inner nuclear layer (INL) of the larval eye (Fig. 4A). rx2 rhythmic expression was restricted to the ONL (Fig. 4B). nr2e3 rhythmic expression was also observed in the ONL; however, not every photoreceptor cell expressed this transcription factor. In the central retina, nr2e3 expression was restricted to scattered photoreceptors, a spatial and temporal pattern...
previously described for rod photoreceptors (Fadool, 2003). In the less mature retinal periphery, nr2e3-expressing cells were present at a higher density and are therefore likely to include some cone precursors (Chen et al., 2005) (Fig. 4C).

These results reveal for the first time that several retinal transcription factors involved in photoreceptor generation during the first days of zebrafish development subsequently become strongly rhythmically expressed in differentiated photoreceptors. In addition, they suggest a more global regulation by the circadian clock of photoreceptor gene expression and, possibly, of photoreceptor function.

**Circadian expression of retinal transcription factors is maintained in adult zebrafish**

To determine if circadian expression of retinal transcription factors is maintained in adult zebrafish, we performed a qPCR analysis on adult eyes collected over two days of an LD cycle and one subsequent day of DD. All transcription factors exhibited rhythmic expression on an LD cycle, and the rhythm was maintained in DD, although with a lower amplitude for most genes (Fig. 5A-F). The amplitude of rhythmic expression on an LD cycle varied between two- and sevenfold for all transcription factors, except nr2e3, which showed a remarkably high amplitude of at least 50-fold.

In situ hybridisation performed on adult eye sections allowed us to identify the retinal cell types that rhythmically expressed the six transcription factors in question owing to the characteristic layered organisation of the zebrafish adult retina (Branchek and Bremiller, 1984) and by comparison with in situ signals of known rod and cone markers, specifically the α-subunit of rod and cone transducins (supplementary material Fig. S4A,B). neurod expression was predominantly found in the photoreceptor layer, although some
positive cells were also observed in the INL at all time points analysed. The peak in expression observed at ZT9 was restricted to cone photoreceptors. Interestingly, at the end of the dark phase (at ZT21), neurod expression was only observed in rod photoreceptors, showing that neurod is rhythmically expressed by both rod and cone photoreceptors but at different times of the day (Fig. 5G). crx peak expression occurred at ZT15 in two different regions: the outer part of the INL and, more noticeably, in cone photoreceptors (supplementary material Fig. S5A).

rx1 also showed rhythmic expression in different cell types at different times of the day; at ZT3, rx1 was predominantly expressed in the INL and rod nuclei, whereas at ZT9, expression was restricted to cone photoreceptors (supplementary material Fig. S5B). Rhythmic expression of rx2 was observed in the INL and rod nuclei, with the peak at ZT9 (supplementary material Fig. S5C). Expression of nrl and nr2e3 was restricted to rod photoreceptors and exhibited an expression peak at ZT21 (Fig. 5H; supplementary material Fig. S5D).

Components of the photoreceptor phototransduction cascade are also rhythmically expressed in the zebrafish retina

The clock-controlled transcription factors identified in this study have the potential to control numerous target genes, implying a wider circadian control of photoreceptor gene expression as well as photoreceptor function. The main role of retinal photoreceptors is the conversion of a light signal into an electrical signal, a process called phototransduction. The phototransduction cascade involves multiple proteins, including opsins, transducins, phosphodiesterases (PDEs), cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) ion channels, G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) and arrestins (Fu and Yau, 2007; Larhammar et al., 2009).

We performed a qPCR analysis on zebrafish adult eyes to assess whether components of the phototransduction cascade are rhythmically expressed. Cone- and rod-specific genes were selected for this analysis (Table 2). Several cone-specific phototransduction genes exhibited a
clear circadian rhythm in LD and DD conditions, including transducin (gnat2, gnb3a), PDE (pde6c, pde6h), CNG ion channel (cnga3a, cnga3b, cngb3), GRK (grk7a) and arrestin (arr3a, arr3b) genes (Fig. 7A; supplementary material Fig. S7A,B). By contrast, from all the rod-specific components analysed, only arrestin genes (saga, sagb) showed an obvious rhythmic expression profile in both LD and DD conditions (Fig. 7B; supplementary material Fig. S8). These results show that key components of the phototransduction cascade in cone and rod photoreceptors are rhythmically expressed in the zebrafish adult retina, which makes them likely target genes of the transcription factors identified in this study. Consistent with this idea was the observation that both cone- and rod-specific phototransduction genes were also rhythmically expressed in 6-7 dpf larvae (Fig. 8A,B), a developmental time when most retinal transcription factors already show circadian regulation.

To further explore the hypothesis that the rhythmic retinal transcription factors control the expression of phototransduction genes, we used a translation-blocking vivo-morpholino to knockdown Neurod expression in the larval retina and then assess if phototransduction gene expression was affected. Larval eyes injected with neurod vivo-morpholino exhibited consistent upregulation of cnga3a, a cone-specific CNG ion channel, and of sagb, a rod-specific arrestin, whereas the expression of other phototransduction components was unaffected relative to control morpholino injections (Fig. 8C,D). Moreover, cnga3a and sagb promoters (3 kb) contain four and one Neurod binding sites, respectively, as predicted by MatInspector (core similarity >0.70, optimised matrix similarity). These results suggest that Neurod contributes to regulating the expression of some phototransduction genes, both in rod and cone photoreceptors.

Together, our results support the hypothesis that genes crucial for neural/retinal development become strongly clock controlled at later developmental stages and in the adult retina, where they play a key role in the circadian clock regulation of photoreceptor function, in particular in the detection and transmission of light signals.

**DISCUSSION**

Circadian clock function in vertebrates has been primarily studied in adult organisms and in specific adult tissues. By contrast, our understanding of the influence of circadian clocks on vertebrate
development is very limited. In this study, we performed a gene expression analysis during zebrafish larval development using NanoString technology, which led to the identification of numerous rhythmically expressed genes involved in various biological processes, including cell cycle regulation, DNA damage repair, tissue-specific functions and cell differentiation. Furthermore, we described novel circadian clock regulation of multiple retinal transcription factors, which becomes established during zebrafish larval development and is maintained in the adult retina. This regulation was primarily observed in rod and cone photoreceptors, suggesting an active role for these transcription factors in the clock-controlled expression of phototransduction components, leading to the rhythmic regulation of photoreceptor function.

Cell division occurs preferentially at specific times of the day in most organisms, in a process known to be regulated by the circadian clock (Johnson, 2010; Masri et al., 2013). Here, we identified rhythmically expressed cell cycle regulators involved in the various phases of the cell cycle. These results confirmed and expanded our previous studies of zebrafish clock-controlled cell cycle timing (Tamai et al., 2012; Laranjeiro et al., 2013). The CDK inhibitors cdkn1a and cdkn1d are directly clock controlled (Laranjeiro et al., 2013), whereas other cell cycle components might be indirectly clock controlled or simply rhythmically expressed as a consequence of a cell cycle rhythm promoted by other genes.

Circadian expression of cell lineage/tissue-specific genes was also found in our NanoString analysis. In particular, clock-controlled expression of intestinal absorption genes (fabp2 and slc15a1b) suggest that intestinal function in zebrafish larval development is already circadian regulated, a phenomenon known to occur in the adult mammalian intestine (Pácha and Sumova, 2013). Furthermore, the transcription factor Cdx1b, which is the functional equivalent of mammalian Cdx2 and essential to regulate the proliferation and differentiation of several intestinal cell lineages, is likely to provide a direct link during zebrafish larval development between the circadian clock, the cell cycle and cell differentiation. Given the perpetual self-renewal/regenerative nature of the intestinal epithelium, it will be interesting to determine whether clock regulation of cdx1b is maintained in the adult intestine.

neurod was one of the most strongly rhythmic genes identified in the NanoString analysis and became the focus of our study. We described, for the first time, that neurod mRNA and protein are very strongly rhythmically expressed in the zebrafish retina. This observation might explain some of the discrepancies in neurod expression reported previously (Ochocinska and Hitchcock, 2007; Nelson et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2012), since its expression is highly dependent on the time of the day at which samples are collected, and whether the circadian clock is entrained or not. The
‘adult-like’ pattern of *neurod* expression at 96 hpf previously described by Ochocinska and Hitchcock (2007) is identical to the expression pattern that we observed for 6 dpf larvae at ZT3, ZT15 and ZT21. However, its expression pattern is completely different at ZT9, when all differentiated rods and cones express *neurod*, demonstrating the importance of taking circadian time into account when performing developmental studies. Furthermore, both rod and cone photoreceptors express *neurod* in the adult retina, but at different times of the day. This highly dynamic and complex regulation of *neurod* transcripts might also explain the differences in the expression levels of endogenous *neurod* and reporter EGFP observed by Thomas et al. (2012) in the adult zebrafish retina.

We have identified several additional retinal transcription factors that are clock controlled. Rhythmic expression of *crx*, *rx2* and *nr2e3* became apparent during zebrafish development, but only after the wave of photoreceptor differentiation (48–72 hpf). *nrl* transcription is clock controlled in the adult retina, but no rhythmicity was found during development. This might suggest that some retinal genes only become regulated by the circadian clock in the fully mature zebrafish retina. The absence of *nr2e3* rhythmic expression in zebrafish larvae might also be explained by the fact that whole larvae RNA was used for qPCR analysis. As determined by WISH, *nrl* expression is most abundant in the developing brain; therefore, it is possible that larval eyes exhibit a rhythmic *nrl* expression, which is masked by abundant, non-rhythmic expression in the brain. Previous studies have suggested that expression of both *rx1* and *rx2* in the zebrafish adult retina is restricted to the CMZ and cone photoreceptors (Chuang et al., 1999; Raymond et al., 2006). Here, we show that *rx1* expression can, in fact, be found in the INL and rods at ZT3 and in cones at ZT9, and that *rx2* expression can be observed in the INL and rods at ZT9. These discrepancies can be explained, at least in part, by the previously unknown rhythmic expression of these genes and the different times of the day at which expression analyses were performed.

The nuclear receptor *Nr2e3* exhibited the most impressive clock-controlled transcriptional rhythm in zebrafish adult retina, with an amplitude of at least 50-fold on an LD cycle. High amplitudes such as this are rarely seen outside the core circadian genes. Since nuclear receptors, such as *Nr1d1*, have already been shown to have an important role in the circadian clock mechanism, this raises the intriguing possibility that *Nr2e3* might also be involved in the circadian transcription-translation feedback loops. Previous reports in mouse retina have identified an interaction between *Nr1d1* and *Nr2e3*, and several genes involved in photoreceptor development and function that are co-targeted by these nuclear receptors (Cheng et al., 2004; Mollema et al., 2011). It will be interesting to determine if a similar phenomenon occurs in zebrafish rod photoreceptors and whether some of the target genes are components of the core clock mechanism.

All the retinal transcription factors identified in this study as being clock controlled have a role in photoreceptor differentiation in the first days of zebrafish development. Subsequent to photoreceptor differentiation, these transcription factors then become rhythmically expressed, suggesting an alternative role for these genes in photoreceptor maturation, maintenance and/or function. In fact, even though the wave of photoreceptor generation is largely completed by 72 hpf, the postmitotic rods and cones undergo full maturation in the following days and weeks, including the onset of expression of photoreceptor-specific genes, growth of photoreceptor outer segments (POSs) and expansion of synaptic connectivity (Branchek and Bremiller, 1984; Biehlmaier et al., 2003; Morris and Fadool, 2005). The highly dynamic transcriptional regulation observed in the zebrafish adult retina is likely to contribute to the control of day and night vision, as mediated by cone and rod photoreceptors, respectively.

Genome-wide expression studies have identified thousands of genes (including a high percentage of transcription factors) that show circadian oscillations in at least one tissue; however, the number of genes showing circadian regulation in multiple tissues is extremely low (Panda et al., 2002; Miller et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2008). These results strongly suggest a hierarchical organisation in which core clock components directly regulate the expression of a few tissue-specific transcription factors, which can then control numerous target genes by a transcriptional cascade. This type of circadian gene regulatory network has recently been proposed to exist in zebrafish (Li et al., 2013). Therefore, it is likely that a similar phenomenon is also present in the zebrafish retina, where key components of the phototransduction pathway might be regulated by clock-controlled, tissue-specific transcription factors. The six clock-controlled retinal transcription factors identified in this study

### Table 2. Phototransduction genes analysed in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Opsin</th>
<th>Transducin</th>
<th>PDE</th>
<th>CNG ion channel</th>
<th>GRK</th>
<th>Arrestin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cone</td>
<td><em>opn1sw1</em>, <em>opn1mwl</em>, <em>opn1sw1</em></td>
<td><em>gnat2</em>, <em>gnb3a</em>, <em>gnb3b</em>, <em>gnfl2a</em>, <em>gnfl2b</em></td>
<td><em>pde6c</em>, <em>pde6h</em></td>
<td><em>cnga3a</em>, <em>cnga3b</em>, <em>cnga3b</em></td>
<td><em>grk7a</em>, <em>arr3a</em>, <em>arr3b</em></td>
<td><em>sagga</em>, <em>saggb</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod</td>
<td><em>rho</em>, <em>rho1</em></td>
<td><em>gnat1</em>, <em>gnb1a</em>, <em>gnb1b</em>, <em>gnfl1</em></td>
<td><em>pde6a</em>, <em>pde6b</em>, <em>pde6g</em></td>
<td><em>cnga1</em>, <em>grk1a</em></td>
<td><em>sagga</em>, <em>saggb</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDE, phosphodiesterase; CNG, cyclic nucleotide-gated; GRK, G protein-coupled receptor kinase.

Genes that exhibited a clear circadian expression profile are underlined.

---

**Table 1. The expression patterns of six retinal transcription factors in zebrafish larval and adult retina**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Larva</th>
<th>Adult</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circadian</td>
<td>Peack (ZT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neurod</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crx</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rx1</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rx2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nrl</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nr2e3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*rx1 expression seems to be regulated not only by the circadian clock but also by developmental pathways.*
(neurod, crx, rx1, rx2, nrl and nr2e3) are obvious candidates to regulate phototransduction gene expression. In fact, downregulation of Neurod in the larval eye led to a significant increase in cnga3a and sagb expression, pointing to a repressor activity of Neurod on some phototransduction genes. Neurod might act directly as a transcriptional repressor (Itkin-Ansari et al., 2005) or might indirectly control phototransduction gene expression by inducing an intermediate transcriptional repressor. Although our results suggest that Neurod alone can only regulate a limited number of phototransduction genes, it is likely that the other clock-controlled transcription factors and different combinations thereof could account for most, if not all, rhythmic regulation of phototransduction gene expression. Significantly, in mammals, Crx, Nrl and Nr2e3 have been shown to regulate the transcription of several phototransduction genes, including Gnat2, Gnb3, Pde6c, Pde6h, Cngb3 and Arr3 (Sakuma et al., 1998; Zhu et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2005; Peng and Chen, 2005; Corbo et al., 2010; Hao et al., 2012), all of which exhibit circadian expression in zebrafish retina.

The vertebrate retina is a remarkably rhythmic tissue, with many cellular, biochemical and physiological processes following a circadian rhythm, including visual sensitivity andelectroretinogram responses, disc shedding of POSs, melatonin synthesis and dopamine release (Iuvone et al., 2005). Nonetheless, the molecular regulation underlying these circadian processes is largely unknown. Our findings shed light on the possible transcriptional cascades involved in these clock-controlled retinal functions and reveal that key developmental transcription factors are co-opted into this process.

Fig. 7. Phototransduction genes are also rhythmically expressed in the zebrafish adult retina. qPCR analysis of cone-specific (A) and rod-specific (B) phototransduction genes in zebrafish adult eyes over two days of an LD cycle and one subsequent day of DD (n=3-4). Zeitgeber time (ZT) or circadian time (CT) indicates the four time points analysed per day. White and grey backgrounds represent light and dark phases, respectively. Statistically significant differences between the expression peak and trough on each day (Fisher’s LSD test) are indicated: *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. Error bars indicate s.e.m.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Zebrafish lines and maintenance

The zebrafish line AB/TL was used for all experiments. Adult zebrafish were maintained under standard conditions in the UCL fish facility at 28.5°C on an LD cycle (14 h light:10 h dark). Zebrafish embryos were obtained from natural spawning, transferred to 25 cm² tissue culture flasks in egg water, and incubated in a large-volume water bath at 28°C on an LD cycle (12 h light:12 h dark), LL or DD for up to 7 dpf. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the United Kingdom Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

NanoString nCounter analysis system

Zebrafish larvae were harvested from 4 to 7 dpf in TRIzol (three independent biological replicates) and total RNA was isolated following the manufacturer’s instructions (Ambion). Gene-specific probes were designed and synthesized by NanoString Technologies. The nCounter gene expression assay was performed by UCL Genomics, Scientific Support Services. Data were normalised using the internal positive controls included by NanoString Technologies, and the geometric mean of actin, beta 1 (actb1, NM_131031.1) and eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1a, like 1 (eef1a1l1, NM_131263.1) was used to control for total RNA input across samples. CodeSet information and complete gene expression data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository: http://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.r07bc.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Zebrafish embryos/larvae, larval or adult eyes were harvested in TRIzol. RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR were carried out as described (Tamai et al., 2012). eef1a1l1 was used as a reference gene. Primer sequences are listed in supplementary material Table S1.

Colorimetric in situ hybridisations

The following digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled riboprobes were used for colorimetric in situ hybridisations: neurod (Blader et al., 1997), crx (Liu et al., 2001), rx1, rx2 (Chuang et al., 1999), nrl (Nelson et al., 2008), nr2e3 (Chen et al., 2005), rod α-transducin (gnat1) and cone α-transducin (gnat2).

WISH on 6 dpf larvae was performed according to standard protocols (Thysse and Thysse, 2008). BM purple (Roche) was used as substrate. Adult eyes or 6 dpf larvae were harvested and 10 µm cryosections prepared as described (Laranjeiro et al., 2013). In situ hybridisation on sections was performed as previously described (Schaeren-Wiemers and Gerfin-Moser, 1993) with the following modifications: blocking was performed with 10% goat serum in buffer B1; incubation with anti-DIG-AP antibody (Roche, 11093274910; 1:5000) was performed overnight at 4°C; to perform the colour reaction, BCIP/NBT (Vector Laboratories) in buffer B3/0.1% Tween 20 was used. All sections were stained with DAPI to aid identification of the retinal cell layers.

Fluorescent in situ hybridisations

Double fluorescent in situ hybridisations were performed with a combination of DIG-labelled (neurod and crx) and fluorescein-labelled (gnat1 and gnat2) riboprobes. The protocol was similar to that described in the previous section for cryosections, with the following modifications: incubation at 4°C overnight with anti-fluorescein-POD antibody (Roche, 11426346910; 1:2000) was followed by incubation for 1 h at room temperature in TSA Plus Cyanine 5 Solution (PerkinElmer). Sections were imaged on a Leica TCS SPE confocal microscope.
BrdU labelling and immunohistochemistry

At 5 dpf, larvae were incubated at 27°C for 40 min in BrdU solution (10 mM BrdU and 5% DMSO in egg water) at 28°C. After BrdU exposure, larvae were transferred to 10 mM thymidine in egg water to develop for a further 48 h. At 7 dpf, larvae were harvested and cryosections prepared as described (Laranjane et al., 2013). Sections were first processed for photoreceptor staining with mouse anti-zpr-1 or zpr-3 (Zebrafish International Resource Center; 1:100) and goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa 594 (Molecular Probes, A11005; 1:100) antibodies, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h, and then processed for BrdU staining as described (Laranjane et al., 2013). Sections were imaged on a Leica DMLB fluorescence microscope.

Western blot

6 dpf larvae were harvested in Laemmli buffer. Western blots were performed as described (Laranjane et al., 2013) using mouse anti-Neurod1 (Abcam, ab60704; 1:1000) (Kroehne et al., 2011), horse anti-mouse-HRP (Cell Signaling, 7076; 1:1000), rat anti-α-tubulin (AbD Serotec, MCA77G; 1:1500), and goat anti-rat-HRP (Calbiochem, 401416; 1:1000).

Morpholino injections

Intraocular injections were performed in anaesthetised 5 dpf larvae with 0.4 nl/eye 0.5 mM morpholino (5'-TACAGTTACAATTATA-3' and TTCGTCATGTCGGAACTCTAG-3') (Sarrazin et al., 2006; Ochocinska et al., 2009) or control vivo-morpholino (5'-CCTCTTACC-TCAGTTACAATTATA-3') (Gene Tools). Injections were performed at 24-27 h before dissection of larval eyes.

Statistical analysis

The data in this study are presented as mean+s.e.m. (n≥3). Genes were considered to be rhythmically expressed if the same rhythmic expression profile was observed on at least 2 consecutive days (i.e. expression peak/trough occurring at the same time of the day), and if statistically significant differences were found on each day. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA on each day (P<0.05), followed by Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) test. For simplicity, only significant differences between the expression peak and the expression trough on each day are shown in the figures.

For morpholino experiments, statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student’s t-test.
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