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Sp8 plays a supplementary role to Pax6 in establishing the pMN/p3 domain boundary in the spinal cord
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ABSTRACT

Progenitor cells are segregated into multiple domains along the dorsoventral axis of the vertebrate neural tube, and each progenitor domain generates particular types of neurons. Selective cross-repressive interactions between pairs of class I and class II transcription factors play important roles in patterning neuronal progenitors into domains with clear boundaries. Here, we provide evidence that the zinc-finger protein Sp8 plays a supplementary role to Pax6 in establishing the pMN/p3 domain boundary through mutually repressive interactions with the class II protein Nkx2-2. The ventral limit of Sp8 expression is complementary to the dorsal limit of Nkx2-2 expression at the pMN/p3 boundary. Sp8 and Nkx2-2 exert cross-repressive interactions, and changing the expression of Sp8 and Nkx2-2 is coupled with pMN and p3 progenitor fate conversion. Sp8 exerts its neural patterning activities by acting as a transcriptional activator. The expression of a repressive form of Sp8 results in the selective inhibition of motor neuron generation and the ectopic induction of Nkx2-2 expression. Sp8 expression is positively regulated by, but not completely dependent on, Pax6. Furthermore, whereas loss of Pax6 function alone results in disruption of the pMN/p3 domain boundary only in the rostral levels of the spinal cord, loss of both Sp8 and Pax6 functions results in disruption of the pMN/p3 domain boundary along the whole rostrocaudal axis of the spinal cord. We conclude that Sp8 plays a supplementary role to Pax6 in specifying the pMN over p3 progenitor fate through cross-repressive interactions with Nkx2-2.
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INTRODUCTION

An early and fundamental step of neural development is the generation of distinct classes of neurons in appropriate numbers and precise locations. Distinct types of neurons derive either from a common population of multipotent progenitor cells (Marquardt and Gruss, 2002; Pearson and Doe, 2004; Shen et al., 2006) or from different progenitors. In the latter case, different types of progenitors are always segregated into spatially distinct populations (Flames et al., 2007; Alaynick et al., 2011). Within the ventral spinal cord, five neuronal progenitor domains (p3, pMN, p2, p1 and p0) along the dorsoventral axis have been identified based on the expression of homeodomain/basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors (Liem et al., 2000; Lee and Pfaff, 2001). The spatially specific expression of these transcription factors is largely controlled by graded sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling. Shh is secreted from the notochord and floor plate and forms a ventral-to-dorsal gradient (Marti et al., 1995; Chamberlain et al., 2008; Dessaud et al., 2008). Graded Shh signaling induces class II and represses class I transcription factor expression at different thresholds – an effect that is correlated with the position-specific expression of a particular gene. The transcription factor expression profile specifies the identity of the progenitor population within an individual domain; more ventral identities are specified in regions with higher levels of Shh signaling (Ericson et al., 1997a,b; Briscoe and Ericson, 1999).

Differences in Gli binding affinity for distinct sites in the cis-regulatory modules of class II genes and the transcriptional regulatory activity state of Gli proteins both play important roles in determining threshold-level responses to Shh (Jacob and Briscoe, 2003; Stamataki et al., 2005; Balaskas et al., 2012; Peterson et al., 2012). Selective cross-repressive interactions between pairs of class I and class II transcription factors assist in converting the continuous Shh gradient into sharp domain boundaries. Four pairs of cross-repressive transcriptional factors delineate the four ventral domain boundaries between the five neuronal progenitor domains. The two ventrally most neuronal progenitor domains, p3 and pMN, generate V3 interneurons and motor neurons (MNs), respectively, and they are distinguished and specified by expression of the transcription factors Nkx2-2 and Olig2 (Briscoe et al., 1999; Mizuguchi et al., 2001; Novitch et al., 2001; Lu et al., 2002; Zhou and Anderson, 2002).

Recent fate-mapping studies based on the Cre-loxP strategy indicated that the Nkx2-2 and Olig2 cell lineages may share a common origin (Wu et al., 2006; Dessaud et al., 2007, 2010; Holz et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), a result that is supported by the analysis of the temporal expression profile of several transcription factors (Jeong and McMahon, 2005; Lek et al., 2010). It is therefore important to understand how the Olig2/Nkx2-2 double-positive (Olig2+/Nkx2-2+) cells diversify into Olig2+ pMN progenitors and Nkx2-2+ p3 progenitors. Previous studies have suggested that the distinction in identity of the pMN and p3 progenitor domains depends on cross-repressive interactions between Pax6 and Nkx2-2/Nkx2-9 (Ericson et al., 1997b; Briscoe et al., 1999, 2000). However, without Pax6 functions, the pMN and p3 domains are specified normally at the caudal levels of the spinal cord. Thus, Pax6 might not be the only factor that antagonizes the function of Nkx2-2 to establish the pMN/p3 domain boundary.

RESULTS

Sp8 is expressed in dPS-pMN progenitors and in a subset of postmitotic ventral neurons in the spinal cord

Previous studies in mice, chicken and zebrafish have detected Sp8 transcripts in the spinal cord during embryogenesis. Neural Sp8 mRNA expression is first detected in the forming neural tube and
and MNs. Some Sp8+ cells were distributed among MNs. MNs which resembles the migration patterns of V0, V1, V3 interneurons likely the dP5 domain (Fig. 1G,H). Domain, indicating that the dorsal limit of Sp8 expression is most expressing domain overlapped with the dorsal Sp8 expression and Ascl1 in the dorsal spinal cord. Only a small portion of the Ascl1-ventromost dorsal progenitors, as revealed by co-labeling for Pax7 (supplementary material Fig. S1G; Fig. 1A). In E10.5 spinal cord, Sp8 expression shared the same ventral limit as Olig2 and complemented the dorsal limit of Nkx2-2 expression, indicating that the ventral limit of Sp8 expression is located at the p3/pMN boundary (Fig. 1B,D,E). Consistent with this observation, the ventral limit of Sp8 expression was always separated from the p3/FP boundary, which is marked by the dorsal limit of Shh/FoxA2 expression (Fig. 1F). We also defined the dorsal limit of Sp8 expression. Sp8 was expressed by the ventralmost dorsal progenitors, as revealed by co-labeling for Pax7 and Ascl1 in the dorsal spinal cord. Only a small portion of the Ascl1-expressing domain overlapped with the dorsal Sp8 expression domain, indicating that the dorsal limit of Sp8 expression is most likely the dp5 domain (Fig. 1G,H).

We also observed a significant number of Sp8+ cells scattered in the ventral spinal cord outside of the ventricular zone (VZ) at E12.0-12.5 (Fig. 1C). These Sp8+ cells migrated in a ventrolateral direction, which resembles the migration patterns of V0, V1, V3 interneurons and MNs. Some Sp8+ cells were distributed among MNs. MNs segregate into discrete columns, and each column innervates a different peripheral domain (Dasen et al., 2008; Rousso et al., 2008). Within the medial motor column (MMC), the majority of Sp8+ cells expressed the MN lineage genes Lhx3, Hb9 (Mnx1) and Isl1. However, within the lateral motor column (LMC), the hypaxial motor column (HMC) and the preganglionic motor column (PGC), Sp8+ cells did not express any of these MN lineage genes (supplementary material Fig. S2D-I). These results suggest that Sp8 expression is extinguished in the majority of the postmitotic MNs, with the exception of a subset of MMC MNs. We also observed that a subset of Hb9−/Isl1−/Lhx3+/Sp8+ cells was located dorsomedially to the MNs, suggesting a V2 interneuron identity (supplementary material Fig. S2B,E,F,H,I). A subset of Sp8+ cells distributed within the LMC, the HMC and the PGC expressed neither Lhx3 nor Gata3 but expressed the V1 interneuron marker En1 (supplementary material Fig. S2C). At this stage, the pMN progenitor domain begins to generate oligodendrocytes (Lu et al., 2000, 2002; Zhou et al., 2000, 2001; Zhou and Anderson, 2002; Li et al., 2011a). Sp8 was expressed in Olig2+ cells within the VZ but not in those outside of the VZ, suggesting that Sp8 expression is maintained in the Olig2+ progenitor cells but is downregulated in differentiated oligodendrocytes (supplementary material Fig. S2A).

Taken together, these results indicated that Sp8 is expressed in dp5-pMN progenitors and in a subset of postmitotic ventral neurons, including a subpopulation of MMC MNs, V2 and V1 interneurons.

**Mutual repression of Sp8 and Nkx2-2 expression at the p3/pMN domain boundary**

In chick embryos, the expression patterns of Sp8 and Nkx2-2 are similar to those of mouse embryos (Fig. 3A). Nkx2-2 and Sp8 share a common expression boundary but are detected in distinct domains, raising the possibility that these two factors regulate each other’s expression and participate in the patterning of the ventral spinal cord. To address this possibility, we misexpressed Sp8 or Nkx2-2 by in ovo electroporation. Following electroporation with an Sp8-expressing vector, Sp8 expression was detected in all of the transfected cells that were labeled by GFP (Fig. 2A). We observed that 95.5±5.7% of GFP+ cells in the p3 domain lacked Nkx2-2 expression, whereas the expression of Nkx2-2 was retained in the neighboring untransfected p3 domain cells (Fig. 2B,G). Following electroporation with the empty control vector cUXIE, the expression of GFP alone did not change the Nkx2-2 expression patterns (Fig. 2C,G). These results suggest that Sp8 cell-autonomously

![Fig. 1. Sp8 expression pattern in the developing mouse spinal cord.](image)

In developing mouse spinal cord, Sp8 expression is expressed in progenitors located between the dp5 and the pMN domain. The ventralmost Sp8+ cells were co-labeled with Olig2, which marked the pMN domain, and all Olig2+ pMN progenitors expressed Sp8 (D). The ventral limit of Sp8 expression was complementary to the dorsal limit of Nkx2-2 expression at the p3/pMN boundary (E). Sp8 expression was separated from the Shh-expressing cells (F). Sp8 was expressed by the ventralmost dorsal progenitors, including dp6 and dp5 (G,H). Scale bars: 10 µm in A; 50 µm in B for B-H.
represses Nkx2-2 expression and that the expression of Sp8 contributes to defining the dorsal limits of Nkx2-2 expression.

To examine whether Nkx2-2 normally limits the ventral boundary of Sp8 expression, we misexpressed Nkx2-2 in regions dorsal to the p3 domain (Fig. 2D). We observed that 97.3±1.9% of the cells that ectopically expressed Nkx2-2 lacked Sp8 expression (Fig. 2E,F,H), indicating that Nkx2-2 represses Sp8 expression. These results provide evidence for a mutually repressive interaction between Sp8 and Nkx2-2 at the pMN/p3 boundary.

Nkx2-2 is a class II gene that is induced by Shh signaling. To determine whether Sp8 expression is regulated by Shh signaling, we analyzed the expression pattern of Sp8 in Shh mutant mouse embryos. Sp8 was expressed in the VZ of the ventral midline at the brachial level of E10.5 Shh mutants, similar to the expression patterns of the class I proteins Dbx1 and Dbx2 (supplementary material Fig. S3A) (Pierani et al., 1999). At the thoracic level, Sp8 expression was only detected in the subventricular zone (SVZ) but not in the VZ, and Sp8+ cells formed a crescent across the ventral midline (supplementary material Fig. S3B). Since MNs and V2 interneurons are almost absent from Shh mutants (Litingtung and Chiang, 2000; Persson et al., 2002), these cells are likely to be V1 interneurons. Nearly all of the Sp8+ cells in the VZ expressed Pax7
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**Fig. 2.** Sp8 and Nkx2-2 are mutually repressive. (A) Following the misexpression of Sp8 in chick embryos by in ovo electroporation (EP), Sp8 expression was detected in nearly all GFP+ cells. (B) Following the ventral misexpression of Sp8 in chick embryos, GFP+ cells in the p3 domain lacked Nkx2-2 expression. (C,F) Expression of GFP alone by electroporation of the control vector (cUXIE) did not change the expression patterns of Nkx2-2 (C) or Sp8 (F). (D) Following misexpression of Nkx2-2 in chick embryos by in ovo electroporation, Nkx2-2 expression was detected in nearly all GFP+ cells. (E) Nkx2-2 misexpression dorsal to the p3 domain resulted in the repression of Sp8 expression. (G) Quantification of the percentage of electroporated cells in the p3 domain that express Nkx2-2 after electroporation of Sp8 or cUXIE. (H) Quantification of the percentage of electroporated cells in the dp5-pMN domain that expressed Sp8 after electroporation of Nkx2-2 or cUXIE. Error bars indicate s.e.m.; n=3 chickens per group; ***P<0.001 (Student’s t-test). Scale bars: 10 µm in A for A,D; 50 µm in B for B,C,E,F.
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**Fig. 3.** Sp8 misexpression using a retrovirus directs MN generation within the p3 domain. (A) In chick embryos, the ventral limit of Sp8 expression was complementary to the dorsal limit of Nkx2-2 expression at the p3/pMN boundary. (B-E) In the chick embryos injected with control retrovirus (cUXIE RV), GFP expression alone did not change the expression patterns of the MN lineage genes Olig2 (B), Isl1 (C), Lhx3 (D) or MNR2 (E). These genes were always expressed in cells located dorsal to Nkx2-2-expressing p3 progenitors. (F) Sp8 expression was detected in all of the retrovirally labeled GFP+ cells following injection of Sp8-expressing retrovirus. (G-J) Sp8 misexpression using retrovirus induced ectopic expression of Olig2 (G), Isl1 (H), Lhx3 (I) and MNR2 (J) within the p3 domain. (B-E,G-J) The dashed lines indicate the pMN/p3 domain boundary; arrows indicate transgenic cells in the p3 domain. (K) Quantification of the above experiments. Error bars indicate s.e.m.; n=3 chickens per group; ***P<0.001 (Student’s t-test). Scale bars: 50 µm in A for A,F; 10 µm in B for B,E,G-J.
at the brachial level, whereas only a small number of Sp8+ cells in the SVZ expressed Pax7 (supplementary material Fig. S3A,B). Sp8 expression extended more ventrally in the Shh mutant spinal cord, indicating that it is normally repressed by Shh signaling. Thus, we conclude that Sp8 and Nkx2-2 are an opposing pair of class I and class II genes that mutually repress each other’s expression at the p3/pMN domain boundary.

**Sp8 misexpression in the p3 domain converts the presumptive p3 progenitors into pMN progenitors**

It has been conclusively demonstrated that Nkx2-2 expression is able to direct V3 interneuron generation throughout the dorsoventral axis of neural tube (Briscoe et al., 1999, 2000), it is unclear whether the repressive effect of Sp8 on Nkx2-2 expression is correlated with the specification of pMN over p3 progenitor fate. We therefore examined the expression patterns of MN lineage genes after retroviral Sp8 overexpression.

Following retroviral Sp8 overexpression, 65.3±8.5% of the GFP+ transgenic cells within the p3 domain expressed Olig2, and the majority of these ectopic Olig2+ cells lacked Nkx2-2 expression (Fig. 3F,G,K). Moreover, a subset of more laterally positioned GFP+ cells expressed Lhx3, Isl1 and MNR2 within the p3 domain, mirroring the normal expression pattern of differentiating MNs (Fig. 3H-K). Ectopic pMN progenitors within the p3 domain were not observed in embryos that were infected with the control retrovirus that only expressed GFP (Fig. 3A,E). These results demonstrate that the ectopic Olig2+ cells in the p3 domain that were observed following Sp8 misexpression acquired definitive characteristics of pMN progenitors. Whereas Nkx2-2 misexpression directs V3 interneuron generation along the entire dorsoventral axis, the induction of ectopic MN progenitors by Sp8 misexpression was confined to the p3 domain. Sp8 misexpression in cells dorsal to the pMN domain or in floor plate cells did not result in ectopic expression of MN lineage genes (Fig. 3G; data not shown). Consistent with this observation, the generation of En1+/V1, Chx10 (Vsx2+)/Gata3+ V2 interneurons was unaffected by Sp8 overexpression (data not shown). These results indicate that the primary function of Sp8 is in establishing pMN over p3 progenitor identity. Together, these results suggest that Sp8 misexpression within the p3 domain converts presumptive p3 progenitors into pMN progenitors.

**Sp8 expression and the pMN domain expand ventrally in Nkx2-2 mutants**

In E10.5 Nkx2-2 mutants, Sp8 expression expanded ventrally and the gap between the floor plate and the ventral boundary of Sp8 expression was absent (Fig. 4A-C,E-G). At this time point, Olig2 expression also extended more ventrally, and all of the Olig2+ cells expressed Sp8 (Fig. 4B,C,F,G). These Olig2+/Sp8+ cells were located next to the floor plate, indicating that the pMN domain expands ventrally without the function of Nkx2-2. Therefore, we conclude that the majority of the presumptive p3 progenitors are not specified but are instead converted into pMN progenitors in the absence of Nkx2-2. Consistent with previous studies, Pax6 expression was unaltered in Nkx2-2 mutant embryos (Fig. 4D,H). It is known that Pax6 expression is not derepressed ventrally after loss of Nkx2-2 or Nkx2-2/Nkx2-9 functions (Briscoe et al., 1999; Holz et al., 2010). It is possible that the ventral limit of Pax6 expression is not controlled by Nkx2-2; alternatively, Pax6 expression is downregulated by Olig2 expression, as Olig2 expression acquired definitive characteristics of pMN progenitors. However, these results suggest that Sp8 misexpression within the p3 domain converts presumptive p3 progenitors into pMN progenitors.

**An activator form of Sp8 mimics the patterning activities of the wild-type protein**

Progenitor patterning in the developing spinal cord is largely mediated by transcriptional repressors (Muhr et al., 2001; Novitch et al., 2001). To test whether the neural patterning activities of Sp8...
reflect its function as an activator or repressor, we examined whether activating (Sp8ZnF::VP16) or repressing (Sp8ZnF::EnR) forms of Sp8 could mimic the patterning activities of wild-type Sp8 (Fig. 5A).

Expression of the Sp8ZnF::VP16 fusion protein resulted in 66.2±5.3% of the GFP+ cells within the p3 domain lacking Nkx2-2 expression (Fig. 5B,E), and 27.8±5.2% of these GFP+ cells ectopically expressed Olig2 (Fig. 5C,E). In comparison, following Sp8 electroporation, 95.5±5.7% of the GFP+ cells within the p3 domain lost Nkx2-2 expression as described above (Fig. 2G), with 63.3±8.6% of the GFP+ cells exhibiting Olig2 expression (Fig. 5D,E). Thus, Sp8ZnF::VP16 possessed the patterning activities of the full-length Sp8 protein, but was less efficient. Consistent with this result, the majority of the transfected p3 progenitors retained Nkx2-2 expression following retroviral expression of Sp8ZnF::VP16, a protocol that resulted in lower levels of exogenous gene expression than electroporation in the short term (data not shown). Accordingly, Olig2 was only induced in certain retrovirally transfected bright GFP+ cells in the p3 domain; these Olig2+ cells lacked Nkx2-2 expression (data not shown). The misexpression of wild-type Sp8 using the same retrovirus resulted in Nkx2-2 repression and Olig2 induction in most of the transfected p3 progenitors (Fig. 3G). This result indicates that Sp8ZnF::VP16 only functions at higher expression levels. Notably, compared with Sp8 protein, which was primarily distributed in the nucleus of cultured cells, Sp8ZnF::VP16 protein was not confined to the nucleus but was also weakly detected in cell processes (Fig. 5F,G).

The inappropriate distribution of the fusion protein most likely accounts for its weaker functions. The expression of Nkx2-2 within the p3 domain was not reduced following the misexpression of Sp8ZnF::EnR (supplementary material Fig. S5B; see below). We therefore consider that Sp8 exerts its neural patterning activities through its function as a transcriptional activator.

A repressive form of Sp8 inhibits MN generation

Collectively, our findings suggest that Sp8 specifies the pMN over p3 progenitor fate through its function as a transcriptional activator. We therefore considered whether a repressive form of Sp8 might interfere with endogenous Sp8 function by competitively inhibiting the expression of target genes. To examine this possibility, we tested whether the misexpression of Sp8ZnF::EnR influenced the restriction of Nkx2-2 expression dorsal to the p3 domain.

Indeed, misexpression of Sp8ZnF::EnR resulted in mild ectopic Nkx2-2 expression dorsal to the p3 domain in one-quarter of the embryos (3/13) (supplementary material Fig. S5A,B), and this was not observed in embryos electroporated with control vectors. By contrast, ectopic expression of Nkx6-1, a homeodomain transcription factor that is also expressed in the ventral spinal cord, was never found following Sp8ZnF::EnR electroporation (supplementary material Fig. S5H), indicating that the ectopic expression of Nkx2-2 is specific. These results suggest that Sp8ZnF::EnR exerts a dominant-negative effect on the Sp8 protein and interferes with the function of endogenous Sp8. Thus, restricting the dorsal limit of Nkx2-2 expression at least partially requires Sp8 function. Importantly, the expression of Nkx6-1 was even repressed following Sp8ZnF::EnR electroporation (supplementary material Fig. S5H). Consistently, Olig2 expression was also reduced by 49.7% compared with the control side, and ~80% of the GFP+ cells in the pMN domain no longer expressed Olig2 (supplementary material Fig. SSC,1). Moreover, ectopic Nkx2-2 expression was only induced in less than 10% of the GFP+ pMN domain cells (supplementary material Fig. S5C). The more pronounced Olig2 repression compared with the Nkx2-2 expansion indicates that the loss of Olig2 expression following Sp8ZnF::EnR electroporation did not result primarily from the ectopic induction of Nkx2-2 expression; Sp8 appears to be required for the induction of Olig2 expression.

Consistent with the loss of Olig2 expression within the pMN domain after the expression of Sp8ZnF::EnR, only a few GFP+ cells expressed the MN lineage genes MNR2 and Isl1, and the number of Isl1+ cells was reduced by 58.3% compared with the unelectroporated control side (supplementary material Fig. S5D,E,H). By contrast, the generation of other ventral V2 and V1 interneurons was apparently

Fig. 5. Sp8 exerts its neural patterning activities by acting as a transcriptional activator. (A) Domain architecture of the wild-type Sp8, Sp8ZnF::VP16 activator and Sp8ZnF::EnR repressor proteins. ZnF, zinc-finger domain; btd, buttonhead box. (B,C) The misexpression of Sp8ZnF::VP16 following electroporation repressed Nkx2-2 in many but not all p3 domain cells (B); the induction of ectopic Olig2 expression was also detected in the p3 domain cells that lacked Nkx2-2 expression (C). (D) Following Sp8 electroporation, ectopic Olig2 expression was induced in most of the GFP+ cells within the p3 domain; these GFP+ cells lost Nkx2-2 expression. (E) Quantification of the percentage of electroporated cells in the p3 domain that expressed Nkx2-2 or Olig2 after electroporation of Sp8, cUXIE or Sp8ZnF::VP16. Error bars indicate s.e.m.; n=3 chickens per group. (F,G) Compared with Sp8 protein, which was primarily distributed in the nucleus (G), Sp8ZnF::VP16 protein was not confined within the nucleus as it was detected at weaker levels in the cell processes in vitro (F). Scale bar: 10 µm in B for B-D,F,G.
unaffected by Sp8ZnF::EnR overexpression (supplementary material Fig. S5F-H). We conclude that Sp8 is not only required to restrict the dorsal limit of Nkx2-2 expression but also directly participates in the specification of pMN progenitor identity. Below, we discuss possible reasons why blocking Sp8 function using the mouse mutant and chick dominant-negative strategies give different results.

Sp8 expression is positively regulated by, but not completely dependent on, Pax6
Both Sp8 and Pax6 repress Nkx2-2 expression. These proteins might function through a transcriptional regulatory cascade or they might act independently. To address these possibilities, we first tested whether Sp8 regulates Pax6 expression.

We did not observe ectopic Pax6 expression ventral to its normal expression domain following Sp8 overexpression (supplementary material Fig. S6A,B), and Pax6 expression was also unaltered following Sp8ZnF::VP16 electroporation (supplementary material Fig. S6C). These results indicate that Sp8 expression does not induce Pax6 expression. Upon blocking Sp8 function with Sp8ZnF::EnR, with the exception of a few ectopic Nkx2-2+ cells, Pax6 expression was detected in most of the GFP+ transgenic cells (supplementary material Fig. S6D; data not shown), indicating that Sp8 is not required for Pax6 expression. Consistent with this result, Pax6 expression was unaffected in Sp8 mutants (supplementary material Fig. S6E,F). Thus, Sp8 exhibits no regulatory activity on Pax6 expression, and Pax6 is unlikely to be downstream of Sp8.

We then tested whether Pax6 regulates Sp8 expression. We first checked Sp8 expression in Sey/Sey embryos, which contain no Pax6 protein, compared with Sey/+ controls (Fig. 6A,D). Sp8 expression was detected at all rostrocaudal levels of the spinal cord in the Sey/Sey embryos; however, at the rostral levels of the spinal cord a mosaic reduction in ventral Sp8 expression was observed accompanied by mosaic dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression (Fig. 6B,E). Sp8 expression in the dorsal progenitors co-labeled by Pax7 remained unchanged (Fig. 6C,F). Despite the increased expression of Nkx2-2, neural progenitors nevertheless segregated into distinct populations defined by their expression of Sp8 and Nkx2-2, with fewer than 5% of the cells co-expressing both proteins (Fig. 6G,H). At caudal levels of the spinal cord, where Nkx2-2 expression did not expand dorsally, Sp8 expression was also unaltered both dorsally and ventrally (Fig. 7G). Collectively, in the Sey/Sey embryos, the downregulation of Sp8 expression was concurrent with the dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression and with MN loss at the rostral level of the spinal cord. We then analyzed Sp8 expression patterns in chick embryos after Pax6 electroporation. Ectopic Sp8 expression was induced in 44.1±5.5% of the transgenic cells within the p3 domain (Fig. 6I). These results suggest that Sp8 expression is positively regulated by Pax6; therefore, Sp8 potentially acts downstream of Pax6 to repress Nkx2-2 expression.

In contrast to previous studies (Briscoe et al., 2000), we observed that 64% of Pax6-expressing cells within the p3 domain retained Nkx2-2 expression following Pax6 electroporation (supplementary material Fig. S7A,D). Nkx2-2 expression was only abolished in a subset of transgenic cells that expressed higher levels of Pax6, whereas Nkx2-2 remained in the cells that expressed the physiological level of Pax6, which was defined as the highest Pax6 protein level in the unelectroporated side (supplementary material Fig. S7A). The different exogenous gene expression levels that result from the different expression vectors used in this and previous studies is likely to account for the differing outcomes of the experiment.
We note that it is important to mimic physiological conditions when performing gain-of-function experiments for a particular gene. After Pax6 expression, 52.0% of the transgenic cells within the p3 domain ectopically expressed Olig2, and a portion of these cells did not abolish Nkx2-2 expression (36% of GFP+ cells co-expressed Nkx2-2 and Olig2; supplementary material Fig. S7B,D,E). Pax6 expression at high levels repressed both Sp8 and Olig2 expression (supplementary material Fig. S7C). This result is consistent with previous studies that have suggested that all neural progenitor markers are downregulated by high levels of Pax6 (Bel-Vialar et al., 2007).

**Sp8 plays a supplementary role to Pax6 in establishing the pMN/p3 domain boundary**

The absence of the expected expression phenotype in the Sp8 mutant embryos raises a concern that Sp8 might not be involved in setting the boundary between the pMN and p3 domains or in MN generation. To determine whether Sp8 is physiologically relevant in this process, we compared the expression patterns of Nkx2-2 and Olig2 in Sp8<sup>−/−</sup>; Sey/Sey double mutants and Sey/Sey single mutants. At E10.5, we observed a more robust dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression and MN loss along the entire rostrocaudal axis of the spinal cord in the Sp8<sup>−/−</sup>; Sey/Sey embryos compared with the Sey/Sey embryos. At the forelimb levels of the spinal cord, Nkx2-2 expression was confined to the p3 domain in the wild-type control mice (Fig. 7A); however, its expression extended dorsally and occupied nearly one-third of the dorsoventral axis of the spinal cord in both the Sey/Sey and Sp8<sup>−/−</sup>; Sey/Sey embryos (Fig. 7E,I,M), and the density of ectopic Nkx2-2<sup>+</sup> cells was greatly increased in the Sp8<sup>−/−</sup>; Sey/Sey embryos (Fig. 7L,M) compared with the Sey/Sey embryos (Fig. 7E,M). At the hindlimb levels of the spinal cord, the dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression was also observed in Sp8<sup>−/−</sup>; Sey/Sey embryos (Fig. 7K,N) but not in wild-type or Sey/Sey embryos (Fig. 7C,G,N).

Concurrent with the robust dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression, a much greater reduction in Olig2 expression was observed in Sp8<sup>−/−</sup>; Sey/Sey than in Sey/Sey embryos (Fig. 7E-N). Moreover, the vast majority of the remaining Olig2<sup>+</sup> pMN progenitors that were interspersed among Nkx2-2<sup>+</sup> cells in the Sey/Sey embryos has lost Nkx2-2 expression (Fig. 7E); however, 44.0% of these Olig2<sup>+</sup> cells expressed Nkx2-2 after the loss of both Sp8 and Pax6 functions in the Sp8<sup>−/−</sup>; Sey/Sey embryos (Fig. 7L,K), indicating that the remaining Sp8 expression extinguished Nkx2-2 expression from the pMN/p3 domain boundary only at the rostral levels of the spinal cord; however, loss of function of both Pax6 and Sp8 results in the disruption of the pMN/p3 domain boundary along the entire rostrocaudal axis of the spinal cord. Thus, we conclude that both Sp8 and Pax6 are involved in the repression of Nkx2-2 expression.

**The clearance of Nkx2-2 expression from pMN progenitors is crucial for the specification of MN fate**

Sp8 and Nkx2-2 exert opposing effects on MN fate specification. The gene interaction pathway was determined by performing epistasis experiments, in which we co-electroporated Sp8 in combination with Nkx2-2 into chick embryos. This electroporation resulted in the co-expression of Sp8 and Nkx2-2 in ~90% of the GFP<sup>+</sup> cells (supplementary material Fig. S8C). This result is consistent with previous studies that have suggested that all neural progenitor markers are downregulated by high levels of Pax6 (Bel-Vialar et al., 2007).

Pax6 electroporation experiments. The vector that we used in the present study expressed the exogenous gene at levels that were similar to mammalian cell expression levels. In this vector, Pax6 expression is under the control of the human ubiquitin C (UBC) promoter. By contrast, many other vectors use promoters from viral genes, resulting in the expression of the exogenous gene at much higher than physiological levels.
p3 domain, as none of the Nkx2-2+/GFP+ cells within the p3 domain expressed Olig2 or Isl1 (supplementary material Fig. S8B; data not shown). By contrast, Sp8 expression did not rescue the MN generation defects that resulted from Nkx2-2 misexpression in the pMN progenitors. This conclusion was reached given that (1) GFP+ cells in the pMN domain never expressed Olig2, and (2) GFP+ cells in the mantle zone never expressed Isl1, regardless of Sp8 expression (supplementary material Fig. S8D; data not shown). These results suggest that the clearance of Nkx2-2 expression from pMN progenitors is crucial for them to attain the MN fate, indicating the importance of Sp8 function in controlling the dorsal limit of Nkx2-2 expression.

DISCUSSION

Cross-repressive interactions are fundamental to the mechanisms that underlie the specification of cell identities during embryonic development. In the present study, we demonstrate that Sp8 plays a supplementary role to Pax6 in specifying the dorsal limit of the p3 progenitor domain boundary by repressing Nkx2-2 expression in the adjacent pMN progenitor domain. Our conclusions are supported by the following results: (1) the ventral limit of Sp8 expression is complementary to the dorsal limit of Nkx2-2 expression at the pMN/p3 boundary; (2) gain-of-function experiments in chick embryos reveal that Sp8 and Nkx2-2 exert cross-repressive interactions and that changing the expression of Sp8 and Nkx2-2 is coupled with pMN and p3 progenitor fate conversion; (3) the loss of Nkx2-2 function leads to ectopic expression of Sp8 in the p3 domain; (4) blocking Sp8 function via a dominant-negative strategy leads to a dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression; and (5) the loss of function of Pax6 alone results in the disruption of the pMN/p3 domain boundary only at rostral levels of the spinal cord, whereas loss of function of both Pax6 and Sp8 results in disruption of the pMN/p3 domain boundary along the entire rostrocaudal axis of the spinal cord.

Specification of pMN/p3 progenitor identities

Recent studies have shown that ~90% of pMN cells are lineage traced in Nkx2-2P3-CRM::Cre mice, indicating that this Nkx2-2 enhancer is initially activated in the pMN progenitors (Wang et al., 2011). Similarly, Olig2 and Olig1 lineage-tracing experiments using Cre knock-in mice suggest that Olig2 and Olig1 are transiently activated in the Nkx2-2+ p3 progenitors (Wu et al., 2006; Dessaud et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2011). Thus, the pMN and the p3 progenitors share a common origin; the Olig2+/Nkx2-2+ cells. These cells undergo a refining patterning step, during which Nkx2-2 expression is selectively repressed in the pMN domain and Olig2 expression is selectively repressed in the p3 domain. Nkx2-2 represses Olig2 expression and is responsible for the clearance of Olig2 from the p3 domain, but Olig2 expression does not repress Nkx2-2 expression. Thus, a gene other than Olig2 might clear Nkx2-2 expression from the pMN domain. The dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression at the rostral levels of the spinal cord after the loss of Pax6 function suggests that Pax6 contributes to repressing Nkx2-2 expression in the pMN domain.

In the present study, we found that Sp8 is also involved in repressing Nkx2-2 expression. The overexpression of Sp8 repressed Nkx2-2. The more robust dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression in Sp8−/−; Sey/Sey embryos compared with Sey/Sey embryos suggests that Sp8 plays a supplementary role in repressing Nkx2-2 expression. Thus, both Sp8 and Pax6 participate in the clearance of Nkx2-2 expression from the pMN domains, which is essential for the maintenance of Olig2 expression and creates competency for the production of MNs.

Pax6 expression was unaltered following gain or loss of Sp8 function, indicating that Pax6 is not regulated by Sp8; however, the reduction of Sp8 expression in the Sey/Sey mutants and the induction of Sp8 in the p3 domains following Pax6 overexpression suggest that Sp8 expression is positively regulated by Pax6. Although Pax6 plays a positive role in Sp8 expression, it is not required, suggesting that there might be other inputs regulating Sp8.

The induction of Olig2 expression is activated by Shh-Gli signaling through the Gli binding site in the Olig2 promoter (Peterson et al., 2012). Olig2 expression is initiated in the floor plate, p3, pMN and p2 domains; however, the Nkx2-2 expression that is later activated by Shh-Gli signaling extinguishes Olig2 expression from the floor plate and the p3 domain (Dessaud et al., 2007). A balance between the activation and repression of Olig2 expression appears likely. Pax6 overexpression induces Olig2 expression in the p3 domain cells without clearance of its repressor Nkx2-2, indicating that activation by Pax6 overrides repression by Nkx2-2 of Olig2 expression. Sp8 strongly represses Nkx2-2 expression and maintains Olig2 expression by clearance of Nkx2-2. Sp8 also appears to activate Olig2 expression independently of its repression of Nkx2-2, as numerous pMN domain cells lost Olig2 expression without ectopic Nkx2-2 induction after blocking Sp8 function with Sp8ZnF::EnR.

Both Sp8 and Pax6 are expressed much more broadly than Olig2 but only induce Olig2 expression in the ventralmost neuronal progenitor domains. Sp8 and Pax6 might only act synergistically with graded Shh-Gli signaling and, in more dorsal regions, the lower Shh concentration might be insufficient to initiate Olig2 expression. Moreover, Olig2 expression is extinguished by Irx3 in the p2 domain through induction of Mir-17-3p, which directly targets and silences Olig2 mRNA (Chen et al., 2011).

Sp8 functions as an activator to pattern the ventral spinal cord

The majority of the progenitor transcription factors that have been implicated in ventral neural tube patterning function as direct repressors and exert this effect by recruiting the Gro/TEL co-repressor through their engrailed homology-1 (eh1) domains (Muhr et al., 2001). In the present study, we demonstrated that the chimeric protein Sp8ZnF::VP16 mimics the patterning activities of full-length Sp8; however, Sp8ZnF::EnR did not repress Nkx2-2 expression. This indicates that Sp8 functions through its activator activity. Sp8 is not the only progenitor transcription factor that functions as an activator. For example, Irx3 lacks eh1 motifs and establishes the p2/pMN boundary through cross-repressive interactions with Olig2, and recent studies demonstrate that Irx3 induces Mir-17-3p expression, which silences Olig2 mRNA (Chen et al., 2011). Pax6 has also been shown to pattern the ventral spinal cord through its activator activities (Muhr et al., 2001).

Sp9 may compensate for Sp8 in Sp8 mutant embryos

In the present study, although the pMN/p3 domain boundary was disrupted along the entire rostrocaudal axis of the spinal cord in Sp8−/−; Sey/Sey embryos, a substantial number of Olig2+ cells were still present. More than half of these Olig2+ cells did not turn on Nkx2-2 expression, suggesting that they might have a pMN progenitor identity. There are several possibilities as to how this phenotype might arise. First, a delay in the onset of Nkx2-2 expression could lead to persistent Olig2 expression. Alternatively, a gene other than Pax6 or Sp8 might account for the repression of Nkx2-2 expression.
The loss of Sp8 function (in $Sp8^{-/-}$ mutants) did not impair the specification of pMN/p3 progenitors, whereas blocking Sp8 function using a dominant-negative strategy resulted in defects in pMN progenitor specification and in a mild dorsal expansion of Nkx2-2 expression. These differing results might have been due to off-target effects of the Sp8ZnF::EnR construct, perhaps interfering with the functions of both Sp8 and Sp9. Only one amino acid residue differs between Sp8 and Sp9 within the zinc-finger domain. Thus, these two proteins might bind to the same genes and serve several redundant roles. Indeed, following Sp9 overexpression, we observed that Sp9 displayed overlapping functions with Sp8 in restricting Nkx2-2 expression and in specifying pMN progenitor fate (data not shown). Therefore, Sp9 might compensate for the loss of Sp8 in $Sp8^{-/-}$ mutant embryos, whereas both Sp8 and Sp9 functions might be blocked by Sp8ZnF::EnR in our experiments. Future studies are needed to examine neural tube patterning in $Sp8^{-/-}$ and $Sp9^{-/-}$ double mutants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**DNA constructs**

All coding sequences were cloned into the retroviral expression vector cUXIE (provided by H. Song, The Johns Hopkins University, USA) in order to co-express a transgene and GFP under the human UBC promoter. The primers used for gene cloning are listed in supplementary material Table S2. The coding sequence of the VP16 activation domain (VP16) was ligated to the Sp8 zinc-finger domain by overlapping PCR. Canonical Pax6 was amplified by overlapping PCR from Pax6(5a) (purchased from OriGene). All constructs were confirmed by sequencing.

**In vivo electroporation and retrovirus injection**

All experiments using animals were performed according to institutional guidelines. Fertilized chicken eggs were incubated in a humidified, forced-draft incubator at 37.8°C. Plasmid DNA was electroporated into Hamburger and Hamilton (HH) stage 11-12 chick embryos. At 36-48 h post transfection, embryos were collected. In co-electroporation experiments, the constructs were mixed at a ratio of 1:1. Replication-incompetent retrovirus was packaged and co-transfected with cUXIE and VSV-G (Clontech, PT3343-5) plasmids into the GP2-293 cell line using the calcium phosphate method.

**Immunohistochemistry and imaging**

For stage mouse embryos, noon on the day of plug confirmation was defined as embryonic day (E) 0.5. Embryos were collected in 0.1 M PBS on ice and fixed for 30 min to 2 h with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) and then washed twice for 10 min each, immersed in 30% sucrose/0.1 M PB and frozen in embedding medium (O.C.T., Sakura Finetek). Serial sections of 14-20 μm were then cut and collected on Fisher Colorfrost-Plus slides. Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described (Li et al., 2011b). Primary antibodies (supplementary material Table S1) were incubated overnight. The following secondary antibodies were used: donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes, A-11055, 1:600), donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 555 (Molecular Probes, A-21432, 1:600), donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 633 (Molecular Probes, A-21082, 1:600), donkey anti-mouse Cy2 (Jackson, 715-225-151, 1:300), donkey anti-mouse Cy3 (Jackson, 715-165-151, 1:300), donkey anti-mouse Cy3 (Jackson, 715-175-151, 1:300), donkey anti-rabbit Cy2 (Jackson, 712-225-152, 1:300), donkey anti-rabbit Cy3 (Jackson, 711-165-152, 1:300) and donkey anti-rabbit Cy5 (Jackson, 711-175-152, 1:300). Secondary antibodies were incubated for 2 h. All sections were counterstained with DAPI (Sigma, 400 ng/ml, 5 min). Images were collected using an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope.

**Transgenic mouse strains**

Nestin::Cre mice and EIA::Cre mice were genotyped by PCR using generic Cre primers. Shh::Cre mice (which carry an Shh null allele) were genotyped as previously described (Harfe et al., 2004). $Sp8^{-/-}$ floxed mice were provided by K. Campbell (University of Cincinnati) and genotyped as described (Waclaw et al., 2006). $Sp8^{-/-}$ mutant mice were generated by crossing $Sp8^{-/-}$ floxed mice with E12.5 mice (E12.5 mice were of previously described (Bell et al., 2003). Nkx2-2 mutant mice (Sussel et al., 1998) were genotyped by PCR using the following primers: Nkx2-2 sense, CAGAGGCGATGAGCAAGAA; Nkx2-2 antisense, GCCTAGTGTACCCTTTCG; PGK antisense, GAAAGCGAAAGGACAAAG. Sey/Sey mice were genotyped by eye defects.

**Data quantification**

A total of three samples were used for each group and three sections were counted for each sample. Results are presented as mean±s.e.m. Statistical significance was evaluated by Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA. $P<0.05$ was considered significant.
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