Loss of focal adhesions in glia disrupts both glial and photoreceptor axon migration in the Drosophila visual system
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ABSTRACT
Many aspects of glial development are regulated by extracellular signals, including those from the extracellular matrix (ECM). Signals from the ECM are received by cell surface receptors, including the integrin family. Previously, we have shown that Drosophila integrins form adhesion complexes with Integrin-linked kinase and talin in the peripheral nerve glia and have conserved roles in glial sheath formation. However, integrin function in other aspects of glial development is unclear. The Drosophila eye imaginal disc (ED) and optic stalk (OS) complex is an excellent model with which to study glial migration, differentiation and glia-neuron interactions. We studied the roles of the integrin complexes in these glial developmental processes during OS/eye development. The common beta subunit βPS and two alpha subunits, αPS2 and αPS3, are located in puncta at both glia-glia and glia-ECM interfaces. Depletion of βPS integrin and talin by RNAi impaired the migration and distribution of glia within the OS resulting in morphological defects. Reduction of integrin or talin in the glia also disrupted photoreceptor axon outgrowth leading to axon stalling in the OS and ED. The neuronal defects were correlated with a disruption of the carpet glia tube paired with invasion of glia into the core of the OS and the formation of a glial cap. Our results suggest that integrin-mediated extracellular signals are important for multiple aspects of glial development and non-autonomously affect axonal migration during Drosophila eye development.
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INTRODUCTION
Glia provide neurons with metabolic and structural support as well as playing a direct role in guiding neuronal development (Eroglu and Barres, 2010; Freeman and Doherty, 2006; Parker and Auld, 2006). Many aspects of glial development are intimately tied to neuron-generated signals through either direct cell-cell contact or secreted factors (Newbern and Birchmeier, 2010). Other than neurons, regulatory signals are also provided to glia by the extracellular matrix (ECM) and adjacent glia. Integrins are a key family of ECM receptors important for both neuronal and glial development (Clegg et al., 2003; Milner and Campbell, 2002). Integrins are heterodimeric transmembrane proteins, composed of one alpha and one beta subunit, that control a wide range of cellular responses including adhesion, migration and differentiation (Takada et al., 2007). Integrins are components of focal adhesions, which also include proteins such as talin, vinculin, tensin, paxillin and Focal adhesion kinase (FAK) (Brakebusch and Fässler, 2003). Talin, a key component of focal adhesions, binds the cytoplasmic tail of β-integrins, linking them to the actin cytoskeleton and mediating integrin activation (Crichtley, 2000; Crichtley et al., 1999).

During glial development, integrins are necessary for the radial sorting of axons and for subsequent myelination by oligodendrocytes and Schwann cells (Barros et al., 2009; Benninger et al., 2007; Camara et al., 2009; Feltri et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2006; Nodari et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2009). Similarly, loss of FAK or Integrin-linked kinase (ILK) mimics the phenotype of β1-integrin-deficient glia of both the PNS and CNS (Grove et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2009). However, the role that integrins play earlier in glial development or in non-myelinating glia is unclear and the role of talin in glial development has yet to be determined.

The diversity and combination of multiple vertebrate integrin subunits (Milner and Campbell, 2002; Previtali et al., 2001) complicates the investigation of their function. Drosophila is advantageous for such studies as it has a simple family of integrin subunits consisting of five alpha and two beta subunits (Brown et al., 2000). Furthermore, the eye and optic stalk is an excellent model for studying glial development and, in particular, permits detailed analysis of glia-axon, glia-glial and glia-ECM interactions (Silies et al., 2010). The late larval optic stalk (OS) contains three distinct glial layers (Fig. 1A): the outermost perineurial glia (PG), the intermediate carpet glia (CG) and the internal wrapping glia (WG). Collectively, they ensheath the photoreceptor axons extending from the eye disc (ED) through the OS into the optic lobe (Silies et al., 2007; Taylor and Garrity, 2003). In early larval stages the two CG, which are specialized subperineurial glia (SPG), form a tubular glial structure encircled by a monolayer of PG. Photoreceptor axons from the developing ED migrate within this tube in the late third instar to targets in the proximal optic lobe (Silies et al., 2007). Starting in the mid-third instar, both CG and PG migrate from the OS into the ED – the CG along the basal surface of the disc epithelia and the PG between the CG and the basal ECM (Silies et al., 2007). At the distal end of the CG border, neuron-derived signals trigger differentiation of the migrating PG into WG (Franzdottir et al., 2009). Differentiated WG reverse their direction of migration and travel proximally through the OS, contacting and ensheathing the photoreceptor axons. Throughout these events glia make extensive contact with both the ECM and other glia, but the role of focal adhesion complexes in these processes is unknown.

Integrin-associated FAK has been suggested to genetically interact with βPS integrin and control OS morphogenesis (Murakami et al., 2007). Whether integrins and other adhesion complex components are necessary for glia migration and development in the eye has yet to be determined. Here we show that Drosophila integrin- and focal adhesion-mediated glia-ECM and glia-glia interactions are necessary for retinal glia development. Specifically, the loss of βPS integrin or talin compromises glial migration into the ED and leads to changes in
RESULTS
Integrins and focal adhesion proteins are expressed in the glia of the larval optic stalk

To determine the integrin expression pattern in the glia of the OS and ED, we used an antibody to the single essential beta subunit in *Drosophila*, βPS [also known as Myospheroid (Mys)]. We detected βPS in OS glia in early third instar larvae (Fig. 1C-E). βPS was located in puncta, similar to those observed in the peripheral nerve (Xie and Auld, 2011), that were located at the interface of the PG layer and the ECM, the PG-CG interface, and between the two CG (Fig. 1D,E).

*Drosophila melanogaster* has five α-integrin subunits, of which only αPS1-3 (also known as Multiple edematous wings, Inflated and Scab, respectively) have vertebrate counterparts (Takada et al., 2007). Using immunolabeling and endogenously tagged proteins, we found that αPS2 and αPS3, but not αPS1, were present in the ED and OS. In early L3, αPS3 was predominantly at the PG-CG interface (Fig. 1F,G) in the OS, and αPS2 was primarily between the PG and ECM (Fig. 1F). We assayed for the presence of the focal adhesion markers talin (Rhea – FlyBase) and ILK, both endogenously tagged with GFP, and found βPS colocalized with both (Fig. 1H,I). These focal adhesions persist and, in wandering larvae, integrin colocalized with talin- and ILK-labeled puncta, primarily on the outer surface of the PG contacting the ECM (supplementary material Fig. S1). Some integrin immunolabeling was observed in the WG associated with the photoreceptor axons in the OS of wandering stage larvae (supplementary material Fig. S1). These results suggest that βPS integrin together with specific alpha subunits are components of focal adhesions positioned to mediate glia-ECM, glia-glia or glia-neuron interactions.

βPS integrin and talin are required for glial organization and migration in the OS

βPS genetically interacts with *Fak56D* and regulates PG distribution along the OS (Murakami et al., 2007). However, it was unclear whether integrins are involved in other aspects of glial development such as migration and differentiation, and whether glial integrins affect photoreceptor axon outgrowth. As loss-of-function mutants in the *βPS (mys)* gene are embryonic lethal, we used RNAi to determine integrin function in OS and ED development in third instar larvae.

To analyze the roles of integrin in glia at larval stages, we used the pan-glial driver repo-GAL4 to drive the expression of RNAi constructs previously shown to knock down βPS and talin (Perkins et al., 2010; Xie and Auld, 2011). When repo-GAL4 drove the respective RNAi (repo>βPS-RNAi or repo>talin-RNAi), βPS or talin immunolabeling was absent in the OS glia, whereas the integrin complexes within the ED epithelium remained intact (supplementary material Fig. S2).

In both repo>βPS-RNAi and repo>talin-RNAi we observed morphological changes in the OS as the distal end became thicker compared with controls. This phenotype was observed in both early (supplementary material Fig. S2) and wandering third instar (Fig. 2) larvae and was consistently observed in two independent RNAi constructs for each gene. Two glial aberrations were observed corresponding to the morphological changes in the OS. First, PG nuclei aggregated in the distal half of the thickened OS (Fig. 2B,C,D,F), in contrast to the normally uniform distribution (Fig. 2A,E). The corresponding proximal end was thinner and contained the CD8::GFP-labeled membrane of the CG projection glial organization within the OS paired with axon stalling and a failure of axons to enter the brain lobe.

Fig. 1. Integrin subunit and talin expression in the eye disc and optic stalk. (A) The three glial layers of the optic stalk (OS): the perineurial glia (PG, green), carpet glia (CG, red) and wrapping glia (WG, cyan). The two CG were labeled by SPG>CD8::GFP (green) and asterisks mark the larger CG nuclei. (C–E) Integrin immunolabeling (red) of all glial nuclei. The two CG were labeled by SPG>CD8::GFP (green) labeled CG (asterisks). D is a digital expansion of the boxed region in C. The green line in C shows the position of the orthogonal sections in E. βPS integrin forms puncta located at the PG-ECM (arrowheads) and PG-CG (arrows) interface. (F) αPS2 (green) and αPS3 (red) integrin subunits. αPS2::YFP was primarily located in the outer PG (arrow), whereas αPS3 immunolabeling was predominant in the central CG (arrowhead). (G) αPS3 (green) and βPS (red) integrin subunits. αPS3 and βPS were colocalized in puncta in the central CG (arrowhead). (H,I) Co-localization of talin::GFP (G, green) or ILK::GFP (H, green) with βPS immunolabeling (red, arrowheads). All panels are single 0.2 μm z-sections except for the projection in B. Boxed regions in F–I are digitally expanded and shown to the right. Scale bars: 10 μm in B,C and in F–I (left); 5 μm in D,E and in F–I (middle and right).
along the full length of the OS. Second, the PG formed aggregates instead of the normal monolayer, resulting in a greater OS diameter (Fig. 2F). These OS phenotypes were rescued by co-expressing a βPS transgene with the βPS-RNAi in glia (Fig. 2G), along with the αPS2 subunit to block the dominant-negative effects of βPS alone (Han et al., 2012).

We observed more glia located in the RNAi-treated OS than in controls, and measured the average number of glia in control and RNAi-expressing animals with 11-15 rows of ommatidia in the ED (Fig. 2H). On average, the RNAi-treated OS contained 36-56% more glia and the RNAi-treated ED 33-54% fewer glia than controls. To better show the relative distribution of glia between the ED and OS, the ratio of glia in the OS (GliaOS) compared with the entire population in the OS plus the ED (GliaOS+ED). Larger ratios indicate more glia in the OS. Lines indicate the mean ratio and s.e.m. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (one-way ANOVA with Dunn’s multiple comparison test).

In the normal OS, PG are physically separated from the internal photoreceptor axons and the WG by the glial tube formed by the CG (Franzdottir et al., 2009). In the absence of a specific membrane marker for the CG, we assayed the integrity of the CG sheath and changes in CG morphology using the transmembrane protein Neurexin IV endogenously tagged with GFP (NrxIV::GFP). NrxIV is a core component of septate junctions (SJs) and is specifically expressed in the SPG to generate the blood-brain barrier (Baumgartner et al., 1996; Silies et al., 2007; Stork et al., 2008).

In the OS of normal early third instar larvae (n=6/7), NrxIV::GFP localized to two condensed lines between the two CG (Fig. 3A,B) and extended the entire length of the OS to the ED, where an NrxIV::GFP-positive end-foot was seen (Fig. 3B). The majority of repo>βPS-RNAi(R1) OSs (n=6/8) displayed a disorganized...
Fig. 3. CG and PG defects are observed upon integrin knockdown. (A-D) The morphology of the CG was assayed using NrxIV::GFP in early third instar larvae. (Left) z-stack projections; (right) orthogonal sections at the dashed lines. repo>CD8::RFP (red) marked glial membranes and 24B10 immunolabeling (blue) labeled Bolwig’s nerve. In controls (A,B), NrxIV::GFP labeled the two septate junctions (SJs; arrows) formed between the CG along the OS and the end-feet at the distal end (arrowhead). In repo>βPS-RNAi(R1) (C,D), NrxIV::GFP stopped in the middle of the OS (arrowhead) and was disorganized or diffuse at the distal end (double arrow). The CG still formed a tube-like structure in the proximal half of the OS and NrxIV::GFP condensed into two normal lines (D, arrow). (E,F) NrxIV::GFP was used to label the SPG in wandering L3 peripheral nerves (PN). Repo and HRP immunolabeling of glial nuclei and axons, respectively. In controls (E), NrxIV::GFP was concentrated in a single line marking the SJs along the SPG. NrxIV::GFP disruption was observed in the repo>βPS-RNAi(GD) peripheral nerve (F). (G-I) Dye permeability assays were conducted to determine if CG ensheathment and blood-nerve barrier formation were disrupted. Examples of control (G) and NrxIV-RNAi (H) are shown to illustrate the full extent of dye exclusion (G) and of dye penetration (H). (I) The ratios of dye penetration for the peripheral nerve and OS are shown with s.d. indicated. Each experimental sample exhibited significantly greater dye penetration than the control. Dye penetration between the two control genotypes was not significantly different. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction). (J-L′) TEM analysis of late third instar OSs from wild-type control (J), and repo>βPS-RNAi(GD). The boxed areas are shown at higher magnification in J′-L′. False coloring indicates the WG (red), PG (blue) and CG (green). (J) In controls, WG ensheath photoreceptor axon bundles (arrow) and PG form a monolayer (arrowhead). (K,L) Photoreceptor axons were not bundled in the βPS-RNAi OS (K, arrow) and breaks between the glial layers were observed (L, arrow). Ectopic PG (light blue, arrowheads) were found within the OS. Scale bars: 10 μm in A-F (left) and G,H; 5 μm in A-D (right); 1 μm in J-L; 2 μm in J′-L′.
NrxIV::GFP pattern in which NrxIV::GFP failed to form condensed lines at the distal end of the OS and terminated prematurely in the middle of the OS (Fig. 3D). The NrxIV::GFP labeling often appeared diffuse or bunched (Fig. 3C, D), suggesting that the CG processes were retracted or disrupted. Interestingly, the NrxIV::GFP distribution appeared to be normal in the proximal half of the OS (Fig. 3D). The distribution of NrxIV::GFP in βPS-depleted larvae was not restricted to the OS; NrxIV::GFP disorganization was also seen in the peripheral nerves (Fig. 3F), suggesting that βPS integrin is required globally by Drosophila glia to maintain the sheath and SJ formation. Similar results were observed with repo>talin-RNAi in the OS glia (supplementary material Fig. S2). These data suggest that the loss of focal adhesions results in a disruption of SJ formation and/or maintenance.

We developed a dye permeation assay to test the integrity of the SJ and the blood-nerve barrier. To confirm the feasibility of this assay we first tested peripheral nerves of the third instar larvae (Fig. 3G, H). In control larvae (SPG-GAL4, n=19), Alexa 647 conjugated to 10 kDa dextran was unable to penetrate the peripheral nerve (Fig. 3G). By contrast, knockdown of NrxIV in the SPG (SPG>NrxIV-RNAi, n=4) disrupted the blood-nerve barrier, and dye diffused freely into the peripheral nerve (Fig. 3H). The degree of dye penetration was quantified by comparing the intensity of the dye within the nerve to a similar area outside (Fig. 3I). We then tested the effect of knockdown of βPS and talin and found a significant difference in dye penetration in both the peripheral nerves of SPG>talin-RNAi (n=4) and OS of repo>βPS-RNAi (n=9) or repo>talin-RNAi (n=15) compared with control (repo-GAL4, n=19) (Fig. 3I; supplementary material Fig. S3). These results support the observation that CG morphology is disrupted when focal adhesions are absent.

To analyze these glial morphology changes at the ultrastructural level, we carried out TEM analysis of the repo>βPS-RNAi OS compared with controls (Fig. 3J-L). In wild type (Fig. 3J), the WG ensheath the bundles of photoreceptor axons and the PG form a monolayer around the OS as previously described (Silies et al., 2007). In repo>βPS-RNAi larvae, the photoreceptor axons bundles were not separated (Fig. 3K). Multiple PG were stacked between the

---

**Fig. 4. Photoreceptor axon migration defects observed with focal adhesion loss in glia.**

Photoreceptors were immunolabeled with anti-Chaoptin antibody (mAb 24B10). (A-B) In control larvae, photoreceptor axons exited the ED, passed through the OS and terminated in the lamina (la) and medulla (me) (A′) in the brain lobe (Br). VNC, ventral nerve cord. (C-E′) In repo>βPS-RNAi larvae, the photoreceptor axons exhibited a disorganized pattern in the brain lobe (C′, arrow), failed to exit the ED (D′, arrowhead) or stalled in the OS (E′, arrowheads). BN, Bolwig’s nerve. (F-H′) Axon stalling phenotypes were also observed in wandering third instar larvae of repo>αPS2-RNAi>αPS3-RNAi and repo>talin-RNAi. Boxed regions are magnified in the respective prime panels.
Table 1. Summary of neuronal phenotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Axonal phenotype class (%)</th>
<th>Total number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Mistargeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repo-GAL4</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repo&gt;ψPS-RNAi(R1)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repo&gt;talin-RNAi(GD)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repo&gt;talin-RNAi(R1)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*repo-GAL4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*repo&gt;ψPS-RNAi(GD)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*repo&gt;ψPS-RNAi(GD)&gt;ψPS, αPS2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*repo&gt;talin-RNAi(GD)&gt;ψPS, αPS2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*repo&gt;ψPS2-RNAi(GD)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*repo&gt;ψPS2-RNAi(R1)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*repo&gt;ψPS3-RNAi(GD)&gt;αPS3-RNAi(8353)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*repo&gt;ψPS3-RNAi(R1)&gt;αPS3-RNAi(8353)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tub-GAL80ts, repo-GAL4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tub-GAL80ts, repo&gt;NrxIV-RNAi(8353)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tub-GAL80ts, repo&gt;NrxIV-RNAi(9039)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tub-GAL80ts, repo&gt;ψPS-RNAi(R1)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tub-GAL80ts, repo&gt;ψPS-RNAi(R1)&gt;NrxIV-RNAi(8353)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tub-GAL80ts, repo&gt;ψPS-RNAi(R1)&gt;NrxIV-RNAi(9039)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The genotypes examined for optic stalk morphology changes and photoreceptor axon outgrowth and targeting phenotypes are listed. All RNAi and control crosses were performed with co-expression of UAS-CD8-GFP and at 25°C unless otherwise specified. UAS-Dicer2 was co-expressed in all crosses except those marked with an asterisk. Crosses with tub-GAL80ts (the bottom six genotypes) were conducted using a temperature shift protocol (see Materials and Methods). ED, eye disc; OS, optic stalk.

Loss of βPS integrin and talin in glia causes axonal migration defects

To further address how neurons responded to the glial morphology changes, we used the anti-Chaoptin antibody (mAb 24B10) to label photoreceptor axons. In control larvae, photoreceptor axons pass through the OS to targets in the optic lobe (Fig. 4A-B). Depletion of βPS or talin in the glia resulted in three categories of photoreceptor axon phenotype: (1) mistargeting in the brain; (2) stalling in the OS; and (3) stalling in the ED (Fig. 4C-E, Table 1). These phenotypes were not observed when GMR-GAL4 was used to deplete integrin in the photoreceptor cells (data not shown). Co-expressing a βPS transgene with the βPS-RNAi in glia rescued the axon phenotypes, suggesting that this effect was specific to the knockdown of glial integrin complexes (Fig. 2G, Table 1). The same transgene did not rescue the axon defects observed with talin-RNAi, indicating that rescue was not simply due to attenuation of GAL4 (Table 1). These results suggest that loss of the focal adhesion complex in the OS glia leads to photoreceptor axon defects.

In the mistargeting category, all or most photoreceptor axons successfully passed through the OS but failed to terminate correctly in the optic lobe, forming highly disorganized axon patterns (Fig. 4C,C'). These data suggest that reduced βPS or talin in the glia strongly affects photoreceptor axon guidance in the brain. In the stalling categories, photoreceptor axons either failed to exit the ED (Fig. 4D,D',F,F',G,G') or the OS (Fig. 4E,E',H,H'). Defects in axonal targeting and lack of photoreceptor innervation were also observed in adult optic lobes (supplementary material Fig. S4), suggesting that these neuronal defects were not corrected at later developmental stages.

The distribution of βPS in glia of the OS led us to test the contribution of each layer in mediating the glia and neuronal phenotypes. However, when drivers specific for each glial layer (C527-GAL4 for PG; SPG-GAL4 for CG; MZ97-GAL4 and Gli-GAL4 for WG) were used to express either βPS or talin-RNAi, none generated the glial or neuronal phenotypes observed using repo-GAL4 (data not shown). These results suggest that these other glial drivers do not generate an effective reduction in βPS, or that the disruption of the integrin complexes in multiple glial layers contributed to the phenotypes observed.

We next analyzed somatic MARCM clones of a null mutation in the βPS gene (mysql). Previously, we had successfully used the MARCM system to obtain somatic clones of mysql in the PG of the peripheral nerve (Xie and Auld, 2011). Using this approach in the optic nerve, we obtained multiple mysql clones in the WG, some clones in the PG and occasional clones in one of the two CG (never both). The mysql clones observed in the glia of the OS and eye were generally limited in size, and we were unable to obtain clones simultaneously involving both CG and PG cell types. We did not observe the glia or neuronal phenotypes seen with global depletion of βPS with RNAi, suggesting that the loss of βPS or talin in multiple glial layers is necessary for the observed phenotypes. In support of this, when we depleted either αPS2 or αPS3 in all glia using repo-GAL4-driven RNAi, we rarely observed axonal phenotypes (Table 1). However, when we simultaneously depleted αPS2 and αPS3 in all glia, we observed axon stalling phenotypes similar to those of βPS- and talin-RNAi (Fig. 4F,F', Table 1). As αPS2 and αPS3 are differentially localized to the interface of different glia layers (Fig. 1), these results suggest that the observed axonal phenotypes were due to the combined loss of integrin complexes from multiple glial layers.

Disrupted glial morphology and distribution in the OS are correlated to axon stalling

To further characterize the axon stalling phenotype, F-actin in the axons stalled in the OS was labeled with fluorophore-conjugated phalloidin. In contrast to the control (Fig. 5A), strong phalloidin labeling was detected in the stalling region (Fig. 5B), suggesting that the region might include actin-rich growth cones.

To address the relationship between the glial and axon phenotypes, we analyzed the photoreceptor axons in conjunction with the OS glia. When photoreceptor axons stalled in the OS, clusters of glia aggregated to form a cap-like structure adjacent to...
the stall and proximal to the optic lobe (Fig. 5B-F). These glia were closely associated with the stalled axonal terminals (Fig. 5G,H). Glia were observed within the core of the OS surrounded by the stalled photoreceptor axons (Fig. 5C). Occasionally, only one of the two bundles of axons stalled in the RNAi OS (supplementary material Fig. S5) while the other continued into the optic lobe. We observed expanded areas of the microtubule-associated protein Futsch, when immunolabeled (mAb 22C10), associated with the axons within the stalled regions (Fig. 5G-I). These areas were associated with the presence of glia within the OS.

To identify the type of glia associated with the stalled photoreceptor axons, we examined molecular markers for the OS glial subtypes in control and repo>βPS-RNAi or repo>talin-RNAi larvae. The transcription factor apontic (apt) is expressed in the PG but not other glial subtypes (Dr C. Klämbt, personal communication). In control OS (n=7), Apt immunolabeling was detected in the peripheral PG nuclei, while the internal WG nuclei were labeled by Gli-lacZ (Fig. 6A). In repo>βPS-RNAi most PG and WG nuclei still differentially expressed Apt and Gli-lacZ (Fig. 6B,C). However, we observed a class of glia in the repo>βPS-RNAi OSs not labeled by either Apt or Gli-lacZ (n=15 out of 16) (Fig. 6) and a smaller population of glia positive for both Apt and Gli-lacZ (n=8 out of 16) (Fig. 6). The loss or mix of the PG and WG markers in βPS-RNAi OS suggests that the integrin complex might be important for PG/WG differentiation or maintenance of their identities.

Laminin is expressed by the PG in the peripheral nerve (Xie and Auld, 2011) and was tested as another potential PG marker in the OS. In controls, γ-Laminin (LanB2) immunolabeling plus Perlecan (Trol – FlyBase) endogenously tagged with GFP were observed in the ECM ensheathing the OS (Fig. 7A,A′). LanB2 labeling but not Perlecan::GFP was detected in the cytoplasm of the PG, suggesting that the OS PG, like the peripheral nerve PG, are in part responsible for ECM production. In βPS- and talin-depleted OSs, we observed LanB2-positive glia in the core of the OS (Fig. 7B,B′). The LanB2-positive glia were unlikely to be WG as they did not express Gli-lacZ (Fig. 7D-F). We consistently observed mislocalized WG (Gli-lacZ positive, Apt/LanB2 negative) in the glial cap (Fig. 6B,C and Fig. 7E), suggesting that the WG maintained Gli-lacZ expression even when they migrated beyond the stalled axons.
The internal LanB2-positive and Gli-lacZ-negative glia were not observed in controls ($n=12$) but were observed in the majority of talin-RNAi and βPS-RNAi OSs (86%, $n=42$). All OSs with stalled axons contained a glial cap that included LanB2-positive glia ($n=49$). Of those RNAi-treated OSs that did not exhibit stalling ($n=22$), none contained a glial cap but 27% had at least one internal LanB2-positive glial cell. This suggested a correlation between the presence of ectopic glia, the formation of the glial cap and axonal stalling.

Our finding that CG morphology was disrupted after knockdown of either βPS or talin led to the hypothesis that the ectopic glia might arise from PG that anomalously entered into the OS core due to disruption of the CG tube. To test whether disruption of the CG contributes to the axon stalling phenotypes that we observed, we used repo-GAL4 to express NrxIV-RNAi and temperature-sensitive GAL80 to temporally control expression and bypass embryonic lethality. Expression of NrxIV-RNAi, starting in the second instar stage, disrupted the blood-nerve barrier and resulted in the loss of NrxIV::GFP but did not affect photoreceptor morphology and there was no evidence of axon stalling (Table 1). However, when NrxIV-RNAi was combined with βPS-RNAi, the axon stalling phenotypes were enhanced compared with βPS-RNAi alone (Table 1). These data suggest that the axon stalling phenotypes result from a combination of disruption of the CG and the reduction in glia migration leading to an accumulation of PG in the distal end of the OS.

**DISCUSSION**

We found that OS glia express integrin complexes that play a role in the development of the glia and axons of the ED and OS. βPS integrin is located in puncta at the glial membrane and associates with talin and ILK. These focal adhesion markers are found between the PG and ECM, plus at the interfaces of the PG-CG and CG-CG layers. We found a different distribution for the αPS2 and αPS3 integrins, which were concentrated at the periphery and interior of the OS, respectively. Our results from RNAi-mediated knockdown revealed that these complexes play important roles in OS glial development, as knockdown led to disruption of PG and CG morphology. Specifically, the loss of βPS integrin or talin caused PG to aggregate in the distal half of the OS, resulting in an accumulation of glia in the OS. The PG formed clusters instead of a surrounding monolayer, suggesting that PG make integrin-mediated associations that maintain their distribution.

The PG migrate between the CG and the basal ECM (Silies et al., 2007), and loss of focal adhesions led to a disruption in PG migration, suggesting that integrin complexes on one or both surfaces play a role in mediating glia migration. The αPS2/βPS heterodimer binds ligands containing the tripeptide RGD sequence (Bunch et al., 1992; Fogerty et al., 1994) and αPS3/βPS binds laminins (Schock and Perrimon, 2003; Stark et al., 1997), so either or both could mediate adhesion of the PG to the ECM. However, it appears that depletion of the integrin complex in apposing glial layers is necessary to disrupt glial migration into the OS, as MARCM clones within the PG alone did not disrupt migration. Integrin function is conserved in mediating glial cell migration either on ECM or neighboring glial surfaces. For example, vertebrate glial studies found that integrins are involved in astrocyte (Milner et al., 1999), oligodendrocyte precursor (Milner et al., 1996) and Schwann cell migration on various ECM molecules (Milner et al., 1997). Loss of β1-integrin in Bergman glia leads to mislocalization, ectopic migration and disruption of process growth within the vertebrate cerebellum (Frick et al., 2012). ILK and CDC42 within Bergmann glia are required for the β1-integrin-dependent control of process outgrowth (Belvindrah et al., 2006).

Reduction of focal adhesions disrupted the CG sheath and the integrity of the blood-nerve barrier, suggesting that maintenance of the CG tube also requires integrin-mediated adhesion. The disruption of the CG tube is similar to observations made in vertebrates, in which glial tubes are necessary for chain migration of neuroblasts along the rostral migratory stream; β1-integrin plays a role in both chain migration and maintenance of the glial tubes (Belvindrah et al., 2007). However, the link between the integrin complex and the formation or stabilization of the CG tube is currently unknown.

The integrin complex appears to play a limited role in the migration of the WG into the OS. After knockdown of βPS or talin, WG with normal bipolar membrane processes were observed and WG mysMARCM clones had morphologies similar to control
clones (data not shown), suggesting that integrin signaling is not required for migration in differentiated WG. Our TEM analysis suggests that the WG failed to properly ensheathe and segregate the bundles of photoreceptor axons, a phenotype consistent with that observed in vertebrate glia, although it is also possible that the lack of WG ensheathment is a secondary effect of axon stalling.

Loss of integrin complexes resulted in a failure of photoreceptor axons to exit the ED, navigate the OS or correctly target the optic lobe. Previously, blocking glial migration from the OS using dominant-negative Ras1 (Ras85D – FlyBase) resulted in photoreceptor axons stalling in the ED but not the OS (Rangarajan et al., 1999). The phenotype suggested that photoreceptor axons require physical contact with retinal glia to exit the ED. However, this mechanism does not seem to apply to the stalling phenotype observed with knockdown of the integrin complex. In the majority of our samples, glia were still present in the ED and around the axonal stalling region, suggesting that the axon stalling phenotypes are likely to be due to a different mechanism.

It is possible that axon stalling results from a combination of glial changes affecting the multiple subtypes of OS glia and disruption of both the βPS/αPS2 and βPS/αPS3 adhesion complexes. Only the simultaneous loss of both αPS2 and αPS3 triggered the axonal phenotypes. Similarly, knockdown of βPS or talin within individual glial subtypes did not trigger axon stalling, whereas disruption of adhesion complexes in all glial subtypes did. It might be that only the repo-GAL4 driver is sufficiently strong or expressed early.
enough for the effective knockdown of integrin or talin to trigger the axon stalling phenotypes. However, we can effectively produce the axon stalling phenotype by delaying the expression of the RNAi with the repo-GAL4 driver until the second instar, suggesting that early expression is not key. Overall, our results suggest that it is the combined loss of the focal adhesion complex in multiple glial layers that led to the axon stalling phenotype, although the underlying mechanism is not known. It is possible that the simultaneous aggregation of the stalled PG and disruption of the CG sheath triggers axon stalling by allowing ectopic PG to enter the center of the OS or form the glial cap. The ectopic PG within the axon stalling area and in the glial cap were likely to be PG given their expression of LanB2, Apt and the lack of the WG Glia-lacZ marker. Normally, the PG migrate into the ED and differentiate into WG in the presence of photoreceptor axons. However, in the RNAi-treated OS many of the Apt-positive glia also expressed Glia-lacZ, suggesting a change in the normal differentiation pathway, perhaps owing to the premature and ectopic contact of the PG with the photoreceptors within the OS. Loss of integrins throughout the entire glial population could also lead to global changes to the ECM, as loss of integrins can alter the deposition of ECM components during epithelial morphogenesis (Narasimha and Brown, 2004). Although loss of integrins in the PNS does not lead to changes in the neural lamella of the peripheral nerve (Xie and Auld, 2011), it is possible that ECM changes in terms of structural integrity or the ability to recruit protein components could result in the multiple glial morphological changes.

In summary, we have shown that glia in the OS and ED express integrins and talin, through which they receive external signals important for PG migration, organization and CG barrier formation. The combined impact of integrin complexes on the morphology and development of both glial layers is crucial for proper axonal outgrowth through the OS and targeting in the brain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Fly strains and genetics
The following fly strains were used: repo-GAL4 (Sepp et al., 2001); spg-GAL4 (Schwabe et al., 2005); UAS-mCD8::GFP (Lee and Luo, 1999); UAS-mCD8::RFP (a gift from Dr Elizabeth Gavis, Princeton University); UAS-Dicer2 (Dietzl et al., 2007); mys′ (Bunch et al., 1992); FRT71A,tubP-GAL80,hsFLP,w* (Lee and Luo, 1999); repo-FLP (Stork et al., 2008); Gli-lacZ (Auld et al., 1995); Perlecan::GFP; NrxIV::GFP; ILK::GFP; apS1::YFP and apS2::YFP (Rees et al., 2011); talin::GFP (a gift from Dr Guy Tanentzapf, University of British Columbia). The UAS-RNAi strains used were: UAS-βPS-RNAi(μ) (GD15002), UAS-talin-RNAi(μ) (GD12050), UAS-AP32-RNAi(μ) (GD44885), UAS-AP35-RNAi(μ) (GD48919), UAS-NrxIV-RNAi(μ) (GD2436) (Dietzl et al., 2007); UAS-βPS-RNAi(R1) (1560R-1), UAS-AP32-RNAi(R1) (9623R-2), UAS-AP35-RNAi(R1) (8095R-1) and UAS-talin-RNAi(R1) (6831R-1) from the National Institute of Genetics, Japan. Unless specified otherwise, RNAi experiments were carried out at 25°C with UAS-Dicer2 plus UAS-mCD8::GFP in control (crossed with w1118) and experimental crosses. UAS-βPS-RNAi(μ) was expressed without Dicer2 as the presence of Dicer2 stalled animal growth in the early third larval instar. GAL80ts was used to reduce protein components could result in the multiple glial morphological changes.

**Immunohistochemistry and imaging analysis**

The following monoclonal antibodies were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (University of Iowa): anti-βPS (CF.6G11) (Brower et al., 1984) at 1:10, anti-AP32 (CF.2C7) (Brower et al., 1984) at 1:5, anti-Repo (8D12) (Alfonso and Jones, 2002) at 1:50, anti-Chaoptin (24B10) (Fujita et al., 1982) at 1:50, anti-β-galactosidase (40-1a) (Goto and Hayashi, 1999) at 1:50, and anti-Futsch (22C10) at 1:5. Other primary antibodies were: rabbit anti-αPS3 (Wada et al., 2007) at 1:200, rabbit anti-HRP (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 323-005-021) at 1:500, rabbit anti-Laminin-γ (LanB2) (Abcam, ab47651) at 1:100, rabbit anti-talin at 1:500 (a gift from Nicholas Brown, University of Cambridge), and rat anti-Apontic at 1:100 (a gift from Paul MacDonald, University of Texas). All secondary antibodies were used at 1:200 dilution: goat anti-mouse Alexa 568 and 647, goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488, 568 and 647 (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen); peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch).

**Vascular and tissue perfusion**

for immunofluorescence were performed according to standard procedures (Sepp et al., 2000). For βPS integrin immunolabeling, larvae were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min. For immunohistochemistry, EDs were incubated with DAB (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30–60 min. For actin staining, eye-brain complexes were incubated with Alexa 568-phalloidin (Molecular Probes) at 1:50.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

(Under Applied Precision) using a 60× oil immersion objective (NA 1.4) at 0.2 μm steps. Stacks were deconvolved (SoftWorx) using a point spread function (PSF) measured from 0.2 μm fluorescent beads (Molecular Probes) in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). Fig. 2G and Fig. 6 images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope with a 63× lens (NA 1.4). Images were compiled using ImageJ (NIH, Photoshop (Adobe) and Illustrator CS4 (Adobe). Lower magnification images were taken using a 20× objective (NA 0.4) or a 40× objective (NA 0.75) on an Axiostopk2 (Zeiss). DIC images were taken on an Axiostopk2 using 5× (NA 0.13) or 20× (NA 0.5) objectives.

**For statistical analysis, each replicate (n) represented the glia counted per ED/OS. The average glia number was expressed as mean±s.d. using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). All comparisons were conducted with a Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparison post-test. Significant differences were assessed at a 95% confidence interval.**

**For transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis larval brains were fixed in 4% PFA and 3% glutaraldehyde, rinsed in 0.1 M Pipes, post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide,embedded in 1:1 acetone/toluene in Spurr resin and polymerized in Spurr resin. Thin sections (50 nm) were obtained with a Leica ultracutomicrotome and analyzed with a FEI Tecnai TEM operating at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV.**

**Dye permeation assay**

Live everted larvae were prepared as described previously (Brink et al., 2012). Individual larva was mounted for imaging in 0.7 mg/ml 10 kDa dextran conjugated with Alexa 647 (Invitrogen/Molecular Probes) dissolved in HL-6 (Macleod et al., 2002), 2 mM Ca2+ and 5 mM glutamate. Peripheral nerves and OSs were imaged 10 min and 20 min, respectively, after mounting. Image stacks were collected with a DeltaVision Spectris microscope using a 60× water immersion lens (NA 1.2) and deconvolved with a measured PSF (0.2 μm fluorescent beads in HL-6 plus Ca2+ and glutamate). Average pixel intensities of matching interior and exterior areas of each nerve/OS were measured with ImageJ. The ratios of interior versus exterior intensities were analyzed and compared with control using an unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction and plotted using Prism 6. The ratio for each genotype was expressed as mean±s.d.
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