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ABSTRACT

Legume root nodules are induced by N-fixing rhizobium bacteria that are hosted in an intracellular manner. These nodules are formed by reprogramming differentiated root cells. The model legume *Medicago truncatula* forms indeterminate nodules with a meristem at their apex. This organ grows by the activity of the meristem that adds cells to the different nodule tissues. In *Medicago sativa* it has been shown that the nodule meristem is derived from the root middle cortex. During nodule initiation, inner cortical cells and pericycle cells are also mitotically activated. However, whether and how these cells contribute to the mature nodule has not been studied. Here, we produce a nodule fate map that precisely describes the origin of the different nodule tissues based on sequential longitudinal sections and on the use of marker genes that allow the distinction of cells originating from different root tissues. We show that nodule meristem originates from the third cortical layer, while several cell layers of the base of the nodule are directly formed from cells of the inner cortical layers, root endodermis and pericycle. The latter two differentiate into the uninfected tissues that are located at the base of the mature nodule, whereas the cells derived from the inner cortical cell layers form about eight cell layers of infected cells. This nodule fate map has then been used to re-analyse several mutant nodule phenotypes. This showed, among other things, that intracellular release of rhizobia in primordium cells and meristem daughter cells are regulated in a different manner.
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INTRODUCTION

The symbiosis of rhizobium and legumes results in the formation of N-fixing root nodules, which can have determinate or indeterminate growth. Determinate nodules lose their meristem at an early stage of development. By contrast, indeterminate legume nodules have a persistent meristem at their apices by which they add cells to the different nodule tissues throughout their lifetime (Hadri et al., 1998). The model legume *Medicago truncatula* (*Medicago*) forms indeterminate nodules, so their nodule tissues are of graded age with the youngest cells near the meristem. The central tissue of the nodule is composed of two cell types: the infected cells that harbour the rhizobia, interspersed with specialized uninfected cells. This central tissue is surrounded by three uninfected peripheral tissues: the nodule parenchyma, endodermis and cortex (Bond, 1948; Van de Wiel et al., 1990; Brewin, 1991). Uninfected tissues are also present at the basal part of the nodule (see Fig. 7A).

In general, it is assumed that in indeterminate nodules, the cells along the complete apical-basal axis are derived from the apical meristem. However, this assumption creates some paradoxes. For example, how can the uninfected tissues at the basal part of the nodule be formed from the meristem and not be infected by rhizobium, whereas the layers that are subsequently formed do become infected? Furthermore, the *nf-yal* mutant forms nodules lacking a meristem or have a meristem that gives rise to daughter cells in which intracellular infection is blocked. However, several cell layers with fully infected cells are present at the base of these nodules (Combier et al., 2006; Laporte et al., 2014).

Root nodule formation is initiated by mitotic activation of root cells. The most detailed analysis of which root tissue cells are activated has been performed on *Medicago sativa* (Timmers et al., 1999). This study showed that inner and middle cortical cells, as well as pericycle cells, become mitotically active upon rhizobial inoculation. Furthermore, it was shown that the cells of the middle cortex form the nodule meristem. However, whether cells derived from the inner cortex and pericycle contribute to the mature nodule has not been studied. Based on the mutant nodule phenotype of *nf-yal-1*, we hypothesize that cells derived from inner cortex form several cell layers of infected cells at the base of the nodule and intracellular infection of these cells is less strictly controlled than infection of cells derived from nodule meristem. To test this hypothesis, we selected *Medicago* (*M. truncatula* A17) to generate a detailed nodule fate map.

The infection process in *Medicago* starts with the formation of an infection thread in a root hair and it grows to the base of the infected root hair cell. Subsequently, infection threads traverse outer cortical cells, allowing rhizobia to reach the dividing cortical cells. This cluster of dividing cells is named nodule primordium and at its apex a meristem is formed (Libbenga and Harkes, 1973; Yang et al., 1994). Infection threads penetrate host cells derived from the meristem and rhizobia are internalised. During this release from infection threads, rhizobia become surrounded by the host membrane, a process controlled by a specific exocytotic pathway (Ivanov et al., 2012), leading to the formation of nitrogen-fixing symbiosomes (Roth and Stacey, 1989; Brewin, 2004). Symbiosomes then divide, differentiate and ultimately fill the infected cells.

Nodule formation as well as the infection process are controlled by specific lipochito-oligosaccharides (Nod factors) that are secreted by rhizobia (Lerouge et al., 1990). Nod factors mitotically activate root cells and form a nodule primordium (Bond, 1948; Nutman, 1948; Libbenga and Harkes, 1973; Lancelle...
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pericycle, endodermis and cortical layers contribute to the Medicago nodule primordium

Medicago roots have in general five cortical cell layers, although roots with four and six layers also occur. We refer to the outermost layer as C1 and the inner most layer as C5. The inner most cortical cells are about 15 µm thick, whereas the cells of the other four cortical layers are about twice as thick (30 µm). The epidermis, endodermis and pericycle each contain a single cell layer (Fig. 1A).

To determine which cell layers of the root contribute to the formation of a nodule primordium, Medicago seedlings were inoculated with S. meliloti 2011 and root segments were collected at different time points 1-5 days after inoculation. These were fixed and embedded in Technovit 7100. Longitudinal sections of about 50 root segments were made and analysed by light microscopy. Based on these analyses, we divided nodule development into six stages (Fig. 1).

At stage I (Fig. 1A), anticlinal divisions are induced in the pericycle. This is rapidly followed by anticlinal divisions first in C5 and slightly later in C4 (stage II) (Fig. 1B). During stage III (Fig. 1C), periclinal divisions are induced in C5 and C4, and anticlinal divisions occur in C3 and endodermis. At stage IV (Fig. 1D), periclinal divisions occur in C3, pericycle and endodermis; cell divisions continued in C4 and C5; and some anticlinal divisions are induced in C2. At stage V (Fig. 1E), C3-derived cells have formed a multi-layered (future) meristem, C4/5 have formed about eight cell layers, and the endodermis and pericycle have formed six to eight cell layers. At this stage of nodule development, mitotic activity in the non-meristematic (C4/5) cells stops. These cells start to enlarge and are penetrated by infection threads. These characteristics distinguish them morphologically from the (future) meristem cells (C3), which are small and not infected. At stage V it still can be traced from which root cell layers the primordium cells are derived. At stage VI (Fig. 1F) this is not always possible due to the formation of peripheral tissues, but meristem and C4/5-derived cells can be recognized based on their distinguishing morphological characteristics. At stage VI, vascular bundles are established at the periphery. Furthermore, the meristem starts now to add cells to the nodule tissues; this is why we named it (future) meristem at stage V. We propose to name the clusters of cells a nodule primordium up to stage V and nodule from stage VI onwards. Previously, it was proposed that the clusters of dividing cells at stages I and II be called an initial primordium (Timmers et al., 1999). However, as these cells become part of the mature nodule (see below), we will use the term nodule primordium.

Fig. 1. Medicago nodule primordia at subsequent stages of development. Longitudinal sections of Medicago root segments. (A) Stage I. Anticlinal cell divisions are induced in the pericycle (arrows) and occasionally occur in C5 and C4. (B) Stage II. Cell divisions (anticlinal) extend to C5 and C4 (arrows); anticlinal divisions occasionally occur in C3. The higher frequency of divisions in the inner layers reflects that the divisions start from there. (C) Stage III. Anticlinal divisions occur in C3 (arrow) and endodermis (arrows); periclinal divisions are induced in C4/5-derived cells (arrow); anticlinal cell divisions occasionally occur in C2. (D) Stage IV. Periclinal cell divisions are induced in C3 (arrow), endodermis (arrow) and pericycle (arrow); C4/5 cell division continue; anticlinal cell divisions occur in C2 (arrow). (E) Stage V. C3-derived cells form multiple cell layers (arrow); C4/5 form about eight cell layers; pericycle and endodermis contribute about six cell layers to the basal part of the primordium; C2 and C1 have divided a few times anticlinally. (F) Stage VI. Vascular bundles are formed at the periphery of the primordia; meristem starts functioning. From this moment onwards, a nodule primordium become a nodule. In C-F, a red line indicates the border between cells derived from C3, C4/5 and endodermis. ep, epidermis; C1, 1st cortical cell layer; C2, 2nd cortical cell layer; C3, 3rd cortical cell layer; C4, 4th cortical cell layer; C5, 5th cortical cell layer; ed, endodermis; pc, pericycle. Scale bars: 75 µm.
To obtain better insight into the timing of the different stages of nodule primordium formation, we also spot inoculated Medicago roots with *S. meliloti*. Stage I starts at about 24 h post-inoculation (hpi), stage II at 27-33 hpi, stage III at 33-35 hpi, stage IV at 42-48 hpi, stage V at 65-70 hpi and stage VI after 80 hpi.

Medicago lateral root formation also starts with divisions in the pericycle, endodermis and cortex cells (Op den Camp et al., 2011; Herrbach et al., 2014), which is very similar to nodule primordium initiation. To distinguish a young lateral root primordium from an early stage (I-III) nodule primordium, we made use of transgenic Medicago roots expressing *MiENOD40::GUS*. This reporter is strongly induced in rhizobium-activated pericycle, endodermis, cortical cells and vascular tissue, and markedly less and restricted in pericycle and vascular tissues of the lateral root primordia (Fig. 2). At stage I a marker like *MiENOD40* is essential to distinguish lateral root and nodule primordia. However, at later stages this is not essential as in nodule primordia the frequency of divisions is highest in cortical layers, whereas in lateral root primordia mitotic activity is higher in pericycle and endodermis (T.T.X., unpublished).

We showed that, in Medicago, the mitotic activation of root cells by rhizobium starts in the pericycle and extends outwards to the cortical cell layers. The middle cortical cell layer (C3) ultimately forms the nodule meristem. This is similar to nodule primordium initiation in *M. sativa* (Timmers et al., 1999). In addition, we showed that the endodermis also divides and, together with pericycle and inner cortex cell layers (C4/5), contributes about 16 cell layers to the nodule primordium. Based on these observations, we addressed the issue of whether primordium cells that originate from pericycle up to C4 contribute to mature nodule tissues.

**Do primordium cells derived from C4/5 become part of the mature nodule?**

First, we determined whether rhizobia can infect C4/5-derived cells. Serial sections of 30 primordia at stage III-IV were analysed. In five primordia (stage III), the infection thread was still in C1 or C2; in 10 (stage III) primordia, the infection thread had just reached C3 (Fig. 3A). In 15 primordia, the infection thread was present in cells derived from C4/5 (Fig. 3B). In 10 of these 15 primordia, C3 cells had divided several times, including both anticlinal and periclinal divisions (stage IV). Therefore, it is likely that cells derived from C3 can still be penetrated by an infection thread after the first anticlinal divisions (stage III). As nodule meristematic cells are not penetrated by infection threads, it is probable that infection threads have to reach C4/5-derived cells before stage IV, i.e. before periclinal divisions are initiated in the C3 layer.

To determine the timing of the infection of the primordium more precisely, spot-inoculated Medicago roots were analysed. At 42-48 hpi, the infection thread had reached C4/5-derived cells (stage IV). Around 80 hpi (stage VI), bacterial release had taken place in cells derived from C4/5 (Fig. 3C,D). This means that release occurs about 32 h after the infection thread reached the primordium cells.

C4/5-derived cells that are infected by rhizobia develop into large infected cells. Therefore, in a mature nodule, about eight cell layers of the central tissue directly developed from C4/5-derived cells and not from the meristem (C3).

**Do primordium cells derived from pericycle/endodermis become part of the mature nodule?**

The analysis of nodule primordia showed that C4/5-derived cells can be infected by rhizobia. However, whether endodermis- and pericycle-derived cells may also become infected cannot be excluded. In order to trace primordium cells derived from endodermis and pericycle more precisely, we made use of *CASPI1*, an *Arabidopsis* gene that is specifically expressed in the root endodermis. It encodes a transmembrane protein that is involved in the formation of casparian strips (Roppolo et al., 2011). To determine whether this gene can be used as an endodermis marker in Medicago, we transformed Medicago roots with *AtCASPI1::GUS* (Vermeer et al., 2014) and showed that this construct is expressed in endodermis and also in pericycle, but at low levels (Fig. 4A).

In general, it is presumed that root cells that are mitotically activated are completely de-differentiated. Therefore, we expected that *AtCASPI1::GUS* would be repressed when cell divisions are induced in the endodermis. However, when the endodermis had undergone several periclinal as well as anticlinal divisions, all endodermis-derived cells displayed GUS activity. Therefore, we were able to trace endodermal cells during the formation of a nodule primordium and could distinguish them from cortex-derived cells (Fig. 4B). The intensity of the signal in the endodermis-derived cells is (at least) as high as in the endodermis before division. Thus, it is not simply caused by a dilution of GUS present in the root endodermis and the *AtCASPI1* promoter must have remained active during endodermal cell divisions. Analyses of serial sections of 30 stage IV-V primordia showed that infection threads do not penetrate endodermis- and pericycle-derived cells, in contrast to C4/5-derived cells. For that reason, the origin of the primordial cells appears to determine whether they can be penetrated by an infection thread or not.

At stage VI the expression of *AtCASPI1::GUS* is repressed in most of the endodermis-derived cells and becomes restricted to a single cell layer. Furthermore, it is induced in vascular endodermis (Fig. 4C).

---

**Fig. 2. MiENOD40::GUS** is a marker to distinguish between early lateral root primordium and nodule primordium. The root (A) and nodule (B) primordia are initiated on the same *MiENOD40::GUS* transgenic root. Primordial, C5 and pericycle cells have divided (black and white arrows); *MiENOD40* is expressed at markedly higher levels in the nodule primordium (B). Scale bars: 75 μm.
The maintenance of endodermal-specific gene expression in nodule primordia is also illustrated by the expression of Scarecrow (SCR). Arabidopsis SCR is a GRAS-type transcription factor that is specifically expressed in the root endodermis and is essential for the formation of this tissue (Di Laurenzio et al., 1996). 

AtSCR::GUS is also specifically expressed in the endodermis of transgenic Medicago roots (supplementary material Fig. S1A). Like AtCASP1, it remains active in divided endodermal cells in a nodule primordium up to the stage when vascular bundles start to be formed (supplementary material Fig. S1B). It is also activated in cells around the vasculature (supplementary material Fig. S1C).

So, AtCASP1 and AtSCR promoters are expressed in the root endodermis and remain active when cell division is induced. Therefore, we studied whether casparian strips, the hallmark of endodermal cells, are formed in the dividing endodermal cells in a nodule primordium (Fig. 5). Casparian strips are present in the Medicago root endodermis (Fig. 5A), but upon the first divisions induced by rhizobium, these are lost (Fig. 5B). They are again formed in the single cell layer at the base of the nodule, where expression of AtCASP1::GUS is maintained (Fig. 5C). AtCASP1::GUS is also expressed in the endodermis around the nodule vascular bundles, and there casparian strips are present (Fig. 5D; supplementary material Fig. S2). By contrast, AtCASP1::GUS is not expressed in the nodule endodermis and casparian strips are not formed (Fig. 5D; supplementary material Fig. S2). A ‘real’ endodermis is only formed at the base of the nodule and around nodule vascular bundles. The fact that the casparian strips are removed before cell division is induced could be a reason why induction of mitotic activity in this tissue is slightly delayed compared with C4/5 cells.

These results show that primordium cells derived from pericycle and endodermis, in contrast to those with a cortical origin, cannot be infected by rhizobia. As the endodermis-derived primordium cells maintain expression of endodermal genes, it is most likely that these cells do not completely de-differentiate, but switch from one differentiated cell type into another, a process known as trans-differentiation (Sugimoto et al., 2011). By which mechanism infection in these endodermis derived cells is prohibited is unclear.

---

**Fig. 3. Infection threads reach C4/5-derived cells before stage IV.**

(A) At stage III of primordium development, anticlinal divisions are induced in C3 and the tip of the infection thread (arrow) reached C3. (B) At stage IV, the tip of the infection thread has reached the cells derived from C4/5 (arrow). (C) At stage VI (80 hpi), rhizobia (arrow) are released in C4/5-derived cells. (D) Magnification of C shows the released rhizobia (arrows). In A and B, a red line indicates the border between cells derived from C3 and C4. Scale bars: 75 µm in A-C; 10 µm in D.

---

**Fig. 4. Endodermis- and pericycle-derived cells of the primordium are not infected.**

AtCASP1::GUS (A) is specifically expressed in the Medicago root endodermis and also in the pericycle but at low levels. AtCASP1::GUS (B) remains in endodermis-derived cells at stage IV. Cells at the periphery (indicated in between black lines) will differentiate into nodule parenchyma, including vascular bundles and endodermis. These cells show a different division pattern from their neighbouring cells, which are derived from the same tissue. Infection threads (arrow) never reach endodermis- and pericycle-derived cells. (C) At stage VI, AtCASP1::GUS expression is restricted to a single cell layer surrounding the nodule vascular bundle (endodermis). Scale bars: 75 µm.
We hypothesise that the (partial) maintenance of the endodermal fate can contribute to this.

In primordia, infection threads are restricted to the central region of the C4/5-derived cells (Fig. 4B; supplementary material Fig. S1B). At the transition from stage V to VI, the peripheral tissues and vascular bundles start to be formed. These are cells derived from the periphery of the nodule primordium, which includes cells derived from C4, C5, endodermis and pericycle (Fig. 4B; supplementary material Fig. S1B). In nodules, the pericycle- and endodermis-derived cells form the peripheral tissues at the base of the nodule. These are the nodule parenchyma and the few cell layers that are adjacent to the root vascular bundle. In between these two tissues an endodermis containing casparian strips is present.

Markers to distinguish C4/5-derived cells and meristem

We searched for molecular markers enabling us to distinguish between C4/5-derived cells and (future) meristem cells. Infected cells in the infection zone of a mature nodule undergo endoreduplication. Therefore, we expected that C4/5-derived cells enter endoreduplication when they stop dividing (stage V or VI). In this case, markers for mitosis and endoreduplication could be used to distinguish these cells from (future) meristem cells. To identify mitotically active and endoreduplicating cells we used Medicago lines containing an *Arabidopsis* Cyclin B1 reporter (*AtCyclB1.1::GUS*), which is active during mitosis, and *MtCCS52A::GUS*, which is expressed in endoreduplicating cells (Vinardell et al., 2003). *AtCyclB1.1* is active in (future) meristem and not in C4/5-derived cells at stage V and later stages (Fig. 6A). The endoreduplication reporter is not expressed in nodule primordia before stage V and has an expression pattern that is complementary to that of *AtCyclB1* at stage VI; when the latter is switched off in the C4/5-derived cells, *MtCCS52A::GUS* is switched on in these cells (Fig. 6B).

We also tested whether the nodule-specific remorin (*MsYMREM1*) that is involved in bacterial release (Lefebvre et al., 2010) can be an extra marker. *MsYMREM1::GUS* is first induced in

---

**Fig. 5.** Casparian strips disappear in the dividing endodermis of nodule primordium. (A) Casparian strips (arrows) in the root endodermis. (B) Casparian strips are absent in dividing endodermal cells. (C) At stage VI, casparian strips (arrow) are formed in the single cell layer at the base of which *AtCASP1* are expressed. (D) Casparian strips (arrows) are formed in the endodermis of nodule vascular bundles but not in the nodule endodermis (split channels in supplementary material Fig. S2). In B and C, red lines indicate the border between cells derived from endodermis and C5 or pericycle, respectively; in D, a red line indicates the border between nodule endodermis and nodule parenchyma. Casparian strips are detected as autofluorescence under UV light. NVE, nodule vascular endoderm; NE, nodule endoderm; ed, epidermis; pc, pericycle. Scale bars: 50 µm.

**Fig. 6.** Molecular markers to distinguish C4/5-derived cells from the nodule meristem (C3). (A) At stage VI, *AtCyclB1.1::GUS* is expressed in C3-derived cells, but not in C4/5-derived cells. (B) At stage VI, the cell endoreduplication marker *MtCCS52A::GUS* is expressed in C4/5-derived cells and in some C1/2 and epidermis-derived cells, but not in C3-derived cells. (C) In transition from stage V to VI, *MsYMREM1::GUS* is detected in C4/5-derived cells. A black line indicates the border between cells derived from C3 and C4. Scale bars: 75 µm.
C4/5-derived cells and it is not active in cells derived from C3 at stage V/VI (Fig. 6C). We thus have identified three markers allow to distinguish between C4/5- and C3-derived cells at stage V/VI.

DISCUSSION

Analyses of symbiotic mutants

To illustrate the value of our Medicago nodule fate map, we have re-analysed four previously characterized mutants with greater accuracy: *nf-ya1-1* (Combier et al., 2006; Laporte et al., 2014), *sickle* (Pennetsa and Cook, 1997), *ipd3* (Horvath et al., 2011; Ovchinnikova et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2014) and *lin-1* (Kuppusamy et al., 2004; Kiss et al., 2009; Guan et al., 2013).

*nf-ya1-1*

*nf-ya1-1* (Combier et al., 2006; Laporte et al., 2014) forms nodules of variable size, but all are markedly smaller than wild-type (wt) nodules. The largest *nf-ya1-1* nodules (Fig. 7B) have about eight cell layers with well-infected cells at their basal part. In these cells, development of rhizobium into nitrogen fixing symbiosomes (supplementary material Fig. S3) is as in wild type, as described by Laporte et al. (2014). These nodules have a relatively small meristem. In cells derived from it, infection threads are present, but release is blocked. This phenotype suggests that during primordium formation, cell divisions in C4/5 have occurred, and rhizobia are released in these cells. However, the formation of a wild-type-sized meristem that can produce daughter cells competent for bacterial release requires NF-YA1. In addition to these relatively large *nf-ya1-1* nodules, smaller nodules are also formed. These can have only a few layers with fully infected cells (Fig. 7C), the nodule meristem is absent and the nodule is completely surrounded by the nodule endodermis. In these nodules, divisions in C4/5 have most likely occurred to some extent and these cells differentiate into wild-type-like infected cells. However, the formation of a meristem (from C3) appears to be blocked.

To examine these observations in more detail, we studied nodule primordia of the *nf-ya1-1* mutant. Roots were sectioned at 1-5 dpi. This showed that primordia are rather diverse, which is well in line with the diverse nodule phenotypes. The largest primordia are composed of cells derived from pericycle up to C3 (Fig. 8A). Cells derived from C4/5 are infected and contain released bacteria that, in wild type, is a hallmark of stage VI (Fig. 8B); several of these
infected cells have already enlarged (Fig. 8A). In such primordia, some periclinal divisions have occurred in C3-derived cells, but markedly less than in wild-type stage VI. It seems that such primordia can develop into the relatively large nf-ya1-1 nodules with a small meristem and hampered bacterial release in its daughter cells. In addition, markedly smaller primordia are formed, where cell divisions have occurred in C4/5, albeit with a lower frequency. Furthermore, only a few anticlinal divisions, no periclinal divisions in C3 and no bacteria release have occurred (Fig. 8C). Such primordia probably develop into the small nodules that lack a meristem.

Using our fate map, we have thus been able not only to confirm and to describe more thoroughly that meristem formation is hampered in the nf-ya1-1 mutant, but also to show that the well-infected cells inside mutant nodules are derived from C4/5. Furthermore, the cells that are derived from (the small) nodule meristem cells cannot differentiate into cells competent for bacterial release. The latter implies that release of rhizobia in primordia cells derived from C4/5 is not affected in the nf-ya1-1 mutant, whereas in daughter cells derived from the meristem release requires NF-YA1. Our data also suggest that NF-YA1 is required for proper nodule meristem formation.

**sickle**

*sickle* makes markedly more root nodules than wild type as it contains a mutated ethylene signalling gene. The nodule histology of this mutant is in general considered to be wild-type-like (Penmetsa and Cook, 1997). We sectioned about 50 *sickle* nodules that are formed at the 'sickle'-shaped zone (supplementary material Fig. S4A) (Penmetsa and Cook, 1997). The vast majority has about eight (or fewer) layers with well-infected cells. These nodules have no meristem and are surrounded by the endodermis (Fig. 9A). This mutant also forms a few nodules that are morphologically more similar to wild type (Fig. 9B; supplementary material Fig. S4B). However, their size is much smaller than wild type, indicating a suboptimal functioning meristem (Figs 9C and 4C). So, the defect in ethylene signalling has a positive effect on nodule primordium formation, but directly or indirectly has a strong negative effect on nodule meristem formation.

*sickle* was previously used by Timmers et al. (1999) to study the timing of meristem formation and infection thread growth. However, as the sickle mutant is disturbed in nodule meristem formation, these studies might not provide reliable insight into the timing of these processes in wild-type nodules.

**ipd3**

The fate map studies show that infection threads have passed C3 before periclinal divisions are induced. This suggests that nodule primordia will not be infected when infection thread growth is delayed compared with divisions in C3. IPD3 is a transcriptional regulator that interacts with the kinase CCaMK and is essential for release of bacteria from infection threads (Horvath et al., 2011; Ovchinnikova et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2014). The Medicago *ipd3* (*Mtsym1-1/TE7*) mutant can form nodules with a meristem and numerous infection threads from which rhizobia are not released. However, many nodules remain very small and lack infection threads (Fig. 10A) (Ovchinnikova et al., 2011).

To test whether lack of infection threads in primordia is due to delayed infection thread growth in the outer cortex, serial sections of roots (1-5 dpi) were made. Two types of primordia were detected. One type is similar to wild type (Fig. 10B). C4/5 have formed about eight cell layers and these cells contain infection threads (i.e. the infection threads have successfully passed C3). These primordia probably develop into nodules containing numerous infection threads. The other type of primordium is composed of cells derived from C5, C4 and C3. C3 has already periclinal divided several times, whereas the infection thread has only reached the outer cortex (Fig. 10C). These primordia probably result in small non-infected nodules (Fig. 10A) and this is consistent with the hypothesis that infection threads can no longer traverse C3 when periclinal divisions have been induced (stage IV). In this way, a few hours difference in reaching or passing C3 can result in a major difference in nodule development.

---

**Fig. 9. Meristem formation is hampered in some *sickle* nodules.** Two-week-old *sickle* (A,B) and wild-type (C) nodules. (A) *sickle* nodules that are ‘fused’ have no meristem and are surrounded by an endodermis. (B) A *sickle* nodule is smaller than a (C) wild-type nodule. In A, a red line indicates the border between cells derived from C3 and C4, and from nodule meristem and infection zone in B and C. Infection threads are indicated by arrows (B,C). Scale bars: 75 µm.
lin-1
Rhizobium induced cell division in pericycle and endodermis is arrested at an early stage (II) of development. Some Medicago mutants form nodules with central vascular bundles, whereas wild-type nodules have peripheral vascular bundles. We hypothesize that this is due to more extensive divisions in pericycle and endodermis. An example is LIN, which is essential for infection (Kuppusamy et al., 2004; Kiss et al., 2009; Guan et al., 2013) and codes for an E3 ubiquitin ligase. lin-1 (Kuppusamy et al., 2004) forms non-infected nodules with central vascular bundles (Fig. 11A).

We tested our hypothesis in lin-1 primordia by using the endodermis marker (AtCASP1::GUS). In several primordia, cortical divisions (C4/5) are at stage III-IV, whereas pericycle and endodermis divisions are at stage V or have divided even more frequently (Fig. 11B). In addition to that, C3 divisions are in between stage II-IV and never go further than stage IV. This indicates that the higher mitotic activity of pericycle and endodermis probably leads to the formation of central vascular bundles (Fig. 11C). The phenotype of the lin-1 mutant suggests that the expression of this gene is important to block cell divisions in endodermis/pericycle-derived cells at an early stage of development.

Integrated model for cell fate control and initiation of cell division
In this study, we produced a fate map for Medicago root nodules. This fate map is summarized in the cartoon shown in Fig. 12 and Table 1. In a mature nodule, about eight cell layers of the basal part of the nodule tissue are derived directly from the nodule primordium (C4/5 derived) and not from the meristem. The uninfected basal tissues develop from primordium cells, which are derived from endodermis and pericycle. The nodule meristem is derived from a single central cortical layer (C3) and when the meristem becomes functional at stage VI, it continuously adds cells to the different nodule tissues.

Nodule primordium formation starts with cell divisions in the pericycle and subsequently extends towards more outer layers. When pericycle cells are mitotically activated by Nod factors secreted by rhizobia, the bacteria are still present at or in the epidermis. As Nod factors are rather immobile signal molecules (Goedhart et al., 2000), perception of Nod factors at the epidermis most likely triggers mitotic activity in inner root cell layers. So how could an exogenously applied signal lead to cell division starting in the cell layer that is most remote, while the cells closest to the signal respond last?

Previously, we made a theoretical model to investigate how Nod factors can induce cortical cell divisions (Deinum et al., 2012). It is known that Nod factor perception leads to cytokinin signalling (Oden camp et al., 2011), whereas cortical cell division is associated with increased auxin (Mathesius et al., 1998). Cytokinin is known to affect negatively the accumulation of auxin efflux carriers (PIN) in the plasma membrane (Dello Ioio et al., 2008; Marhavy et al., 2011). Therefore, we postulated that Nod factor signalling induces the decrease of the level of PIN protein in all cortical cell layers of the region responding to Nod factors. This block of cortical cells was named the ‘controlled area’. This resulted, according to our model, in a local increase of auxin in the cortex that coincided with the site where cortical cell divisions are induced. Here, we include the pericycle and endodermis in the ‘controlled area’ (Fig. 13A) and focus on the early dynamics of the predicted auxin accumulation in the cell layers where cell division is induced (see supplementary Material and Methods).

For our current simulations, we start from a PIN layout that gives rise to auxin accumulation in inner root layers (Fig. 13B), as
divisions have been observed to coincide with an auxin maximum (Mathesius et al., 1998; T.T.X., unpublished). This layout, and all variants that we have used, produce a root-ward auxin flux in the stele/vascular tissue, and a shoot-ward and inward flux in the cortex.

The reduction of membrane PINs resulted in an increase of the auxin concentration in all cell layers. However, only in the pericycle, endodermis and inner cortical layers did the auxin concentration in the controlled area reach a level similar to or higher than the vascular starting level. Furthermore, in the outer layers, the absolute increase was very small compared with this (Fig. 13C-F; supplementary material Movie 1). A detailed modelling study of the auxin-sensing system of TIR1-SCF controlled ubiquitylation of Aux/IAA proteins showed it has the potential to detect changes in auxin concentration (Middleton et al., 2010). We therefore plotted the concentration increase in each layer normalized by the concentrations at the beginning and the end of the simulation (Fig. 13G), and the time derivative of these curves (Fig. 13H). This shows that the auxin concentration increased first and fastest in the pericycle, followed by the endodermis and C5, and then by other layers in an outward fashion. The time derivatives (Fig. 13H) clearly show that the pericycle was also the first layer where the increase of

Table 1. Characteristics of the Medicago nodule primordia developmental stages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Primordia characteristics</th>
<th>Infection thread tip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1. Pericycle: anticlinal divisions</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1. C4/5: anticlinal divisions</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1. C3: multi layered (future) meristem 2. C4/5: i. central part cell division stops ii. six to eight cell layers iii. central cells start to enlarge iv. peripheral cells start to differentiate into vascular bundles and peripheral tissues 3. Endodermis/pericycle: i. central part cell division stops ii. six to eight cell layers iii. peripheral cells start to differentiate into vascular bundles and peripheral tissues</td>
<td>Central part of C4/5-derived cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1. C3: functional meristem start adding cells to the nodule 2. C4/5: i. central cells enlarged ii. peripheral cells differentiated into vascular bundles and peripheral tissues 3. Endodermis/pericycle: i. central cells differentiated to parenchyma and an endodermis layer with casparian strips ii. peripheral cells differentiated to vascular bundles and peripheral tissues</td>
<td>Central part of C4/5-derived cells (bacteria released)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not applicable.
concentration started slowing down; the peaks of these curves occurred in an interior-to-exterior order. Two sets of control simulations – slowing down the dynamics by decreasing all influx and efflux parameters (supplementary material Fig. S5), and changing the cortical auxin distribution of the segment by varying the inward:outward ratio of the cortical PINs (supplementary material Fig. S6) – show that auxin accumulation from the inner layers is a robust feature of the model.

If we use auxin as a proxy for the induction of cell divisions, these results predict that the divisions would start from the interior layers and proceed outwards. This would happen when divisions are controlled both by absolute auxin concentration and the change in auxin concentration. So this model can explain the initial steps (stage I and II) of nodule primordium formation, although it does not address the later stages.

Divisions in pericycle and endodermis are arrested when a few layers are formed. In the lin mutant, the arrest of division in these cell layers is delayed and this is correlated with the formation of vasculature in the central region of the nodules. As this vasculature is not well integrated into nodule tissue derived from cortical cells, it underlines the importance of an early block of division in pericycle and endodermis.

In nodule primordia where rhizobia are not released, central tissue cells (and their nuclei) remain small, as in ipd3 and lin. Therefore, it is probable that induction of endoreduplication requires release of rhizobia from infection threads or vice versa.

Our nodule fate map underlines the impact of the multistep nature of nodule formation, as well as the involvement of different root tissues in nodule formation. Similar processes can occur at different time points and in different cell types. A clear example is the release of rhizobia from infection threads in nodule primordium cells and in daughter cells of the meristem. In the latter case, NF-YA1 appeared to be essential for release, whereas release is not affected in primordium cells of the nf-ya1-1 mutant. This shows that similar processes can be controlled by different mechanisms (or with different stringency) during subsequent steps of nodule development. In conclusion, we have shown that a nodule fate map is indispensable for identification of the affected developmental steps in nodulation mutants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material, bacterial strains and constructs

*M. truncatula* accession Jenalong A17 plants were used to study nodule primordium formation. This accession is also used to generate *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* (strain MSU440)-mediated transgenic roots, as previously described (Limpens et al., 2004). Construct details are in the supplementary Material and Methods. Another *M. truncatula* accession used is R108. This accession has roots with five or four cortical layers. In both root types, nodule meristem is derived from C3. In roots with five cortical cell layers, the formation of primordia is similar to that described for A17 in Fig. 1.
M. truncatula accession R108 seedlings were used to make the stable AtCyCB11::GUS (Bursens et al., 2000) transgenic line by using Agrobacterium tumefaciens (strain AGL1) and following an established protocol (Chabaud et al., 2003). MtCCCS52A::GUS is also introduced in R108 (Vinardell et al., 2003). The symbiotic mutants re-analysed in this study have been described previously: nfyal1-1 (Laporte et al., 2014), sickle (Penneta and Cook, 1997), ipd3 (Ovchinnikova et al., 2011) and lin1-1 (Kuppusamy et al., 2004). The surface sterilization and germination of Medicago seeds were performed as previously described (Limpens et al., 2004). Roots of A17 were inoculated with Sinorhizobium meliloti (S. meliloti) strain 2011 and roots of R108 were inoculated with S. meliloti Rm41.

Histochemical β-glucuronidase (GUS) staining

Transgenic plant material (nodules and part of roots) containing GUS constructs were incubated in GUS buffer [3% sucrose, 2 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 2 mM K4Fe(CN)6, 10 mM EDTA and 1 mg/ml X-Gluc salt in 100 mM phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0)] under vacuum for 30 min and then at 37°C for 3-24 h (Jefferson et al., 1987).

Tissue embedding, sectioning and section staining

Root segments and nodules were fixed at 4°C overnight with 4% paraformaldehyde (w/v), 5% glutaraldehyde (v/v) in 0.05 M nitrate buffer (pH 7.2). The fixed material was dehydrated in an ethanol series and subsequently embedded in Technovit 7100 ( Heraeus Kulzer) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Longitudinal sections (5 μm) were made by using a R2035 microtome (Leica Microsystems, Rijswijk, The Netherlands) stained for 5 min in 0.05% Toluidine Blue O. For GUS-stained plant material, 9-10 μm longitudinal sections were stained for 15 min in 0.1% Ruthenium Red. Sections were analysed by using a DMS500B microscope equipped with a DFC425C camera (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Simulation methods

We used our previously described simulation platform (Deinum et al., 2012), for details, see supplementary Material and Methods.
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