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ABSTRACT

The neural crest and neurogenic placodes are thought to be a vertebrate innovation that gives rise to much of the peripheral nervous system (PNS). Despite their importance for understanding chordate evolution and vertebrate origins, little is known about the evolutionary origin of these structures. Here, we investigated the mechanisms underlying the development of ascidian trunk epidermal sensory neurons (ESNs), which are thought to function as mechanosensory neurons in the rostral-dorsal trunk epidermis. We found that trunk ESNs are derived from the anterior and lateral neural plate border, as is the case in the vertebrate PNS. Pharmacological experiments indicated that intermediate levels of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signal induce formation of ESNs from anterior ectodermal cells. Gene knockdown experiments demonstrated that HrBMPa (60A-subclass BMP) and HrBMPb (dpp-subclass BMP) act to induce trunk ESNs at the tailbud stage, and that anterior trunk ESN specification requires Chordin-mediated antagonism of the BMP signal, but posterior trunk ESN specification does not. We also found that Nodal functions as a neural plate border inducer in ascidians. Nodal signaling regulates expression of HrBMPs and HrChordin in the lateral neural plate, and consequently specifies trunk ESNs. Collectively, these findings show that BMP signaling that is regulated spatiotemporally by Nodal signaling is required for trunk ESN specification, which clearly differs from the BMP gradient model proposed for vertebrate neural induction.
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INTRODUCTION

The vertebrate peripheral nervous system (PNS) originates from the neural crest and neurogenic placodes, which arise at the boundary between the neural plate and non-neural ectoderm and become a multipotent migratory cell population (LeDouarin and Kalcheim, 1999). Studies of several vertebrates have led to the identification of neural crest specifiers (e.g. Snail family), pre-placodal specifiers (e.g. Six and Eya family) and inductive signaling molecules such as bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), Wnt and Notch (Grocott et al., 2012; Meulemans and Bronner-Fraser, 2004). Moreover, comparison of protochordate and vertebrate gene expression patterns suggests that the neural crest and neurogenic placodes did not arise de novo in vertebrates, but originated from the neural plate border in the common ancestor of protochordates and vertebrates (Imai et al., 2004; Mazet et al., 2005; Sauka-Spengler et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2008). To gain more insight into the evolution of the PNS, comparison of the mechanisms underlying the development of the PNS among chordates is essential.

Tunicates are the sister group of vertebrates and are expected to provide information about the transition between invertebrates and vertebrates (Bourlat et al., 2006; Delsuc et al., 2006, 2008). An experimental advantage of the ascidian system is that the larval body plan is relatively simple, and that the cell cleavage pattern is essentially invariant (Nishida, 1987), which enables us to discern precisely the mechanisms governing cell fate specification at the cellular and molecular levels. Ascidian larvae have a dorsal tubular central nervous system (CNS), which is derived from the anterior animal (a4.2), posterior animal (b4.2) and anterior vegetal (A4.1) blastomeres in eight-cell stage embryos (Fig. 1). The a4.2-derived (a-line) cells give rise to the palp and anterior part of the sensory vesicle, whereas the posterior neural tube arises from b4.2-derived (b-line) and A4.1-derived (A-line) cells. Neural induction in a-line and b-line cells is known to occur through direct cell contact with A-line cells, and FGF9/16/20 has been identified as the neural inducer (Bertrand et al., 2003; Miyazaki et al., 2007). In addition, Nodal signaling favors the lateral fate of the neural plate during gastrulation (Hudson and Yasuo, 2005). The ascidian PNS is mainly composed of epidermal sensory neurons (ESNs), which may function as mechanosensory neurons (Torrence and Cloney, 1982). The cell bodies of ESNs lie in the rostral-dorsal epidermis of the trunk and midline epidermis of the tail with long processes extending to the cuticular fin (Ohtsuka et al., 2001b; Takamura, 1998). Previous studies on Ciona intestinalis have shown that causal ESNs are derived from the b4.2 and that causal ESN formation is controlled by FGF, Nodal, anti-dorsalizing morphogenetic protein (ADMP) and Delta/Notch (Hudson and Lemaire, 2001; Pasini et al., 2006). However, it was not determined when and where these signaling pathways play roles in ESN specification.

In the present study, we investigated the mechanisms underlying the development of trunk ESNs in Halocynthia roretzi, another ascidian species. We previously identified gelosolin as an ESM marker and demonstrated that the interaction between a-line and A-line cells induces trunk ESN specification (Ohtsuka et al., 2001a,b). We now report, based on cell lineage studies using a combination of Dil labeling and immunostaining with anti-gelsolin antibody, that trunk ESNs are derived from the anterior and lateral neural plate border, as is the case for the vertebrate PNS. Gene knockdown experiments showed that moderate levels of BMP activity induce trunk ESNs at the tailbud stage, and that Chordin-mediated antagonism of the BMP signal is only required for anterior trunk ESN specification. We also show that Nodal signaling...
controls the BMP signal required for trunk ESN specification. These results highlight the roles of Nodal and BMP signaling during neural patterning.

**RESULTS**

**The origins of trunk ESNs**

We initially carried out cell lineage analyses to begin to understand the mechanisms governing trunk ESN specification at the cellular and molecular levels. We individually labeled anterior lateral neural plate cells a8.25 and a8.26 with DiI at the 110-cell stage (Fig. 2A), and then immunostained the resultant larvae using an antibody against gelsolin, an ESN marker. When a8.25 was labeled with DiI, the signals were detected in the sensory vesicle containing pigment cells (otolith and ocellus), as previously reported (Nishida, 1987), but not in trunk ESNs (Fig. 2B,C). In DiI labeling of the a8.26 lineage, the labeled cells were found in dorsal epidermis of the trunk, and gelsolin expression was found in just two posterior cells (Fig. 2D), which reveals that a lateral neural plate cell, a8.26, gives rise to two posterior trunk ESNs (Fig. 2G). Next, to identify the origin of anterior trunk ESNs, we labeled the non-neural ectoderm cells a8.21 and a8.27, which are adjacent to the anterior neural plate (Fig. 2A). In both cases, DiI signals were detected in the anterolateral trunk epidermis (Fig. 2E,F). Immunostaining for gelsolin showed that a8.27 gives rise to two anterior trunk ESNs and that a8.21 gives rise to the anterior-most trunk ESN (Fig. 2E-G).

Thus, anterior trunk ESNs are derived from the non-neural ectoderm. In addition, the DiI labeling of the descendants of a8.21, a8.26 and a8.27 showed that the cell fate of trunk ESN was restricted to a9.41, a9.51 and a9.53 at the late gastrula stage (supplementary material Fig. S1). We conclude that trunk ESN precursors border the neural plate anteriorly and laterally, as in the vertebrate PNS.

**Moderate BMP activity is required for ESN specification**

In vertebrates, BMP signaling is known to induce neural crest and neurogenic placodes (Grocott et al., 2012; Meulemans and Bronner-Fraser, 2004). To determine whether ESN specification requires BMP ligands, we first investigated the effect of BMP on isolated animal blastomeres. Pairs of animal blastomeres (a4.2 or b4.2) were isolated and continuously treated with recombinant human BMP4 from the 16-cell stage to the larval stage. By itself, BMP4 never induced ESNs in either explant (Fig. 3). Then, we examined the effects of combining BMP4 with bFGF, because bFGF is known to induce neural differentiation (Inazawa et al., 1998; Ohtsuka et al., 2001a). Treatment with BMP4 plus bFGF induced ESNs in a4.2 explants when the BMP4 concentration was 20 ng/ml or 50 ng/ml. A higher BMP4 concentration (100 ng/ml) had no apparent effect on ESN formation, suggesting that moderate BMP activity induces ESNs in bFGF-treated a-line cells. Interestingly, in b-line explants, BMP4 has little effect on ESN specification, even with bFGF.
suggesting that the competence of b-line cells to respond to BMP/FGF ligands may be different from that of a-line cells.

To confirm that ESN specification requires BMP signaling in vivo, we carried out knockdown experiments using MOs. Two BMP genes, HrBMPa and HrBMPb, have been isolated from Halocynthia (Miya et al., 1997, 1996). We injected HrBMPaMO, HrBMPbMO or a negative control MO (StdMO) into fertilized eggs. StdMO-injected larvae exhibited normal development (Fig. 4A). By contrast, injection of HrBMPaMO caused inhibition of tail elongation, loss of trunk ESNs, and a reduction in number of caudal ESNs in the dorsal region (Fig. 4B,F). Injection of HrBMPbMO also caused a reduction in trunk and caudal ESNs, but the effect was somewhat milder than that seen with HrBMPaMO (Fig. 4C,G). Co-injection of exogenous HrBMP RNAs with MOs at the one-cell stage reversed these effects of MOs, suggesting specificity of our MO-mediated knockdown experiments (supplementary material Table S1). The weak recovery in these rescue experiments may be due to a wide range of defects in morphants and a reduction of ESNs that probably comes from injection of an excess amount of HrBMP RNAs (see below). These findings indicate that BMP ligands are required for ESN formation in both the trunk and tail. Next, to determine the source of the BMP ligands mediating trunk ESN specification, we injected MOs into a4.2 or A4.1, because formation of trunk ESNs requires interaction between a-line and A-line cells (Ohtsuka et al., 2001a). In both HrBMPaMO or HrBMPbMO, injection into a4.2 caused a complete loss of trunk ESNs, whereas injection into A4.1 caused no significant defect in trunk ESN formation (supplementary material Fig. S2). Thus, HrBMPa and HrBMPb originating from a-line cells are required for trunk ESN specification.

Then, we investigated whether high levels of BMP inhibit ESN formation in vivo. Injection of HrBMPb RNA markedly suppressed ESN formation in all areas except the tail tip (Fig. 4E,H). Likewise, overexpression of HrBMPa diminished ESN formation (Fig. 4D,H). Taken together, these results indicate that moderate levels of BMP activity are required for specification of ESNs.

Anterior trunk ESN specification depends on expression of HrChordin in a-line cells

To investigate the effect of the BMP antagonist Chordin on ESN specification, we injected HrChordinMO into fertilized eggs. In HrChordin morphants, ESN formation was diminished in the anterior region of the trunk but not in the tail (Fig. 5A,C), and this...
phenotype was reversed by co-injection of exogenous HrChordin RNA (supplementary material Table S1). To confirm the cellular source of HrChordin affecting trunk ESN specification, we injected HrChordinMO into a4.2 or A4.1. Injection into a4.2 resulted in the loss of three anterior trunk ESNs, whereas injection into A4.1 had little effect on trunk ESN formation (supplementary material Fig. S3), demonstrating that expression of HrChordin in a-line cells is required for anterior trunk ESN specification. We subsequently assessed whether reducing BMP activity by exogenous Chordin would induce ESN formation. When HrChordin RNA was injected into fertilized eggs, ectopic ESNs were found near adhesive papillae, at the anterior-most part of the trunk (43.6%; Fig. 5B,D). Thus, inhibition of BMP signaling by HrChordin contributes to ESN specification in the anterior trunk.

Nodal signaling is required for ESN specification

It has been shown that, in ascidians, Nodal regulates gene expression in the lateral border of the neural plate, which contains a posterior trunk ESN precursor, a8.26 (Fig. 1; Hudson and Yasuo, 2005). To determine whether Nodal signaling is required for trunk ESN specification in non-neural ectodermal cells, a8.21 and a8.27, we carried out gene-knockdown experiments. When HrNodalMO was injected into fertilized eggs, their larval phenotypes were very similar to those of HrBMPs morphants (Fig. 6A). Injection of

Fig. 5. Effects of HrChordin knockdown and overexpression on ESN formation. (A,B) Expression of gelsolin in larvae after injection of HrChordinMO (A) or HrChordin RNA (B). Arrowheads and arrows indicate cell bodies of ESNs in the trunk and tail, respectively. Scale bars: 200 μm. (C,D) Histograms showing the average numbers of gelsolin-positive ESNs in larvae after injection of HrChordinMO (C) or HrChordin RNA (D). Data are mean±s.e.m. n.s. indicates P>0.05; *P<0.05; ***P<0.001.

Fig. 6. Effects of perturbing Nodal signaling on ESN formation. (A-E) Expression of gelsolin in larvae after injection of HrNodalMO (A) or 5 mg/l (B) or 50 mg/l (C) HrNodal RNA at the one-cell stage, and treatment with 5 μM SB431542 from the 16-cell stage (D) or the 110-cell stage (E). Arrowheads and arrows indicate cell bodies of ESNs in the trunk and tail, respectively. White arrows indicate ectopically induced ESNs. Scale bar: 200 μm. (F-H) Histograms showing the average numbers of gelsolin-positive ESNs in larvae after injection of MO (F) or RNA (G), and treatment with SB431542 from the 16-cell, 110-cell or neurula stage (H). Data are mean±s.e.m. n.s. indicates P>0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.
HrNodalMO led to a complete loss of ESNs from the trunk and a reduction in ESN number in the tail (Fig. 6A,F), which suggests that Nodal signaling is required for ESN specification in the anterior trunk and tail as well as the posterior trunk. To confirm that the Nodal signal induces ESN formation, we injected exogenous HrNodal RNA into fertilized eggs. When a low concentration (5 mg/l) of HrNodal RNA was administered, the number of trunk ESNs varied among individuals. Although there was no statistically significant difference in the average number of trunk ESNs between larvae overexpressing HrNodal and those expressing GFP (Fig. 6G), more than 10 ESNs were detected in 28.6% of the HrNodal RNA-injected larvae (Fig. 6B) but in none of the GFP-injected larvae. Injection of a high concentration (50 mg/l) of HrNodal RNA resulted in the loss of ESNs from the trunk and a marked decrease in ESN number in the tail (Fig. 6D,H). However, with treatment from the 110-cell stage (initiating stage of gastrulation) or the neurula stage caused only a mild change in ESN number.

In SB431542-treated embryos, however, ESNs were occasionally observed in the trunk region, and the number of caudal ESNs was recovered (Fig. 6E,H). With treatment from the neurula stage, the number of ESNs was further increased in the trunk (Fig. 6H). These results suggest that induction of trunk and caudal ESN formation by Nodal signaling may start at the gastrula stage and continue until the neurula stage.

Nodal signaling controls expression of BMPs and Chordin in the lateral neural plate
To determine whether BMP is the downstream mediator of Nodal signaling leading to trunk ESN formation, we assessed the expression patterns of BMP signaling molecules in late gastrula embryos injected with HrNodal RNA or treated with SB431542. During normal development, HrChordin is expressed in a9.51 and a9.52 in the neural plate (Darras and Nishida, 2001; Fig. 7A,D), but treatment with SB431542 led to a complete loss of ESNs from the trunk and a reduction in ESN number in the tail (Fig. 6A,F). By contrast, injection with HrNodal RNA induced ectopic expression of HrChordin in rows V and VI (Fig. 7C,D). HrBMPb is normally expressed in lateral A-line neural plate cells (A9.29, A9.30, A9.31 and A9.32) and a-line neural plate cells, except for a9.34 and a9.38 (Miya et al., 1996; Fig. 7I,L). In SB431542-treated embryos, however, HrBMPb expression was repressed in a9.50 and lateral A-line neural plate cells (Fig. 7F,H). In embryos overexpressing HrNodal, HrBMPb was ectopically expressed in A-line neural plate cells and was markedly downregulated in a-line cells (Fig. 7G,H). Finally, HrBMPb is expressed in lateral A-line neural plate cells of control embryos (Miya et al., 1997; Fig. 7J-L). The inhibition of Nodal signaling resulted in the loss of HrBMPb expression, whereas enhancing Nodal signaling caused ectopic expression of HrBMPb in rows I and II (Fig. 7J-L). Taken together, these results show that Nodal signaling controls expression of both HrChordin and HrBMPb in the a-line lateral neural plate.

Trunk ESN formation requires HrBMPb expressed in a-line cells; however, at the late gastrula stage, HrBMPb is not expressed in a-line cells. Therefore, we examined its expression at the tailbud stage. In control embryos, HrBMPb was expressed in epidermal
cells in the anterior-most region and in cells in the anterior part of the CNS (Miya et al., 1997; Fig. 8A). Tyrosinase activity showed that HrBMPb-expressing cells in the dorsal region correspond to a9.49-derived cells (Fig. 8A-C). In addition, whole-mount in situ hybridization with Dil-labeled embryos demonstrated that the HrBMPb-expressing cells in the anterior-most region were derived from the palp precursors, a9.36, a9.40, part of a9.35 (possibly a10.70) and part of a9.39 (possibly a10.78) (Fig. 8D-H). When treated with SB431542, HrBMPb expression was reduced in pigmented cell precursors and was expanded in the anterior-most region (100%; Fig. 8L), whereas in SB431542-treated embryos, HrBMPb expression was lost from a9.49 and ectopically was present in a9.52 (55.6%; Fig. 8M). These results show that Nodal signaling induces HrBMPb expression in a9.49-derived cells but inhibits expression in the lateral neural plate. However, injection of HrNodal RNA led to a marked reduction in HrBMPb expression in both the anterior-most region and in tyrosinase-active cells (100%; Fig. 8K). This suggests that Nodal signaling controls expression of HrBMPb dose dependently in a-line cells of tailbud embryos.

**Nodal signaling specifies the fate of the lateral neural plate**

To determine whether Nodal signaling is required for specification of the lateral neural plate, we investigated the expression of a lateral neural plate marker, HrPax-37, in late gastrula embryos. HrPax-37 is normally expressed in a-line and b-line lateral neural plate cells (Wada et al., 1997; Fig. 9A,D). Inhibition of Nodal signaling resulted in the loss of HrPax-37 expression, whereas enhancement of Nodal signaling caused ectopic expression of HrPax-37 in the a-line neural plate (Fig. 9B-D), suggesting that Nodal signaling specifies the lateral fate of the neural plate. To further determine the role of Nodal signaling in neural patterning, we examined the expression of a neural marker, HrETR-1, and an epidermal marker, HrEpiC. In DMSO-treated control embryos, HrETR-1 was expressed in a-line and A-line neural plate cells, except for a9.51, a9.52 and A9.31 (Fig. 9E,H). In SB431542-treated embryos, however, HrETR-1 expression was also detected in a9.51, a9.52 and A9.31 (Fig. 9F,H). By contrast, embryos overexpressing HrNodal expressed HrETR-1 only in row II (Fig. 9G,H). HrEpiC is normally expressed in entire epidermis and is weakly detected in a-line lateral neural plate cells (Fig. 9I,L). In SB431542-treated embryos, HrEpiC expression disappeared from the lateral neural plate (Fig. 9J,L), whereas in embryos injected with HrNodal RNA, HrEpiC was ectopically expressed in the a-line neural plate, particularly in rows V and VI (Fig. 9K,L). These results suggest that Nodal signaling may negatively regulate the medial (brain/palp) fate in the lateral neural plate.

**DISCUSSION**

**Mechanisms for specifying trunk ESNs**

We identified the trunk ESN precursors and ESN-inducing cells in *Halocynthia* embryos, and demonstrated that four signaling molecules, FGF, Nodal, BMP and Chordin, are required for trunk ESN specification. Our findings provide a detailed description of the cell-cell interactions and signal transductions taking place during trunk ESN specification. Trunk ESN specification may occur in at least three phases with distinct signaling requirements (Fig. 10): (1) neutralization of the ectoderm by FGF signal; (2) specification of the neural plate border by Nodal and an unknown signal from the neural plate; and (3) specification of trunk ESNs by BMP signal. First, FGF signaling from A-line cells promotes adoption of a neural fate in a-line cells and induces expression of *Nodal* in b-line cells (Bertrand et al., 2003; Hudson and Yasuo, 2005). Next, Nodal signaling converts from medial neural (brain/palp) fate to lateral neural fate. In the a-line lateral neural plate, Nodal signaling induces the expression of the epidermal marker, HrEpiC, as well as the lateral neural plate marker, HrPax-37, and it suppresses the expression of the neural marker HrETR-1 in rows VI and V. We propose here that an unknown signal from the neural plate may specify the anterior neural plate border. This can help to explain why BMP4 by itself has no effect on ESN formation in a4.2 explants. During specification of the neural plate border, Nodal signaling also regulates expression of BMP signaling molecules in the lateral neural plate. In a9.49 descendants, Nodal
signaling induces HrBMPb expression, whereas in a9.51 and a9.52 descendants, it promotes HrChordin expression and suppresses HrBMPb expression. HrBMPb expression in rows VI and V is linked to adoption of the palp fate. Because it was reported that palp induction requires FGF signaling (Hudson et al., 2003), it is likely that FGF signaling upregulates HrBMPb expression in rows VI and V. This speculation is consistent with our result that bFGF by itself induces ESNs in a4.2 explants. Finally, balanced BMP signals

Fig. 9. Nodal signaling is required for specification of the lateral neural plate. Expression of HrPax-37 (A-D), HrETR-1 (E-H) and HrEpiC (I-L) in late gastrula embryos. (A,E,I) Control embryos treated with DMSO. (B,F,J) Embryos treated with 5 μM SB431542 from the 16-cell stage. (C,G,K) Embryos injected with 50 ng/μl HrNodal RNA at the one-cell stage. Scale bar: 200 μm. (D,H,L) Schematic diagrams of the neural plate illustrating the results of the expression analyses. Colored squares show positive cells. HrEpiC expression was frequently undetectable in rows III and IV (pink).

Fig. 10. Schematic models for neural patterning in ascidians and vertebrates. (Top) Summary of Halocynthia trunk ESN specification (right side). Neural lineages are indicated as follows: a-line cells, red and pink; A-line cells, blue; b-line cells, green. Each square represents a neural plate cell (red, pink and green) or adjacent non-neural ectodermal cell (white). A blue square represents A-line cells. Blastomere names are indicated on the left half of the drawing. Nodal and an unknown signal from neural plate promote the lateral neural fate (yellow) and neural border fate (gray). The lateral neural plate gives rise to pigment cells (PC) and tail nerve cord (TNC), and the neural plate border gives rise to ESNs (E), which requires BMP signaling. (Bottom) Summary of signaling involved in induction of neural crest (left side) and pre-placodal region (right side) from the 'Binary competence model' (Schlosser, 2006). FGFs, Wnts and BMPs induce neural crest (yellow) at the edge of neural plate (red), while FGFs together BMP and Wnt antagonists induce the pre-placodal region (gray) at the border between neural plate and non-neural ectoderm (white).
regulated by Nodal signaling induce trunk ESNs in the anterior and lateral neural plate border regions. It appears that HrBMPα-HrBMPβ heterodimers could act to specify trunk ESNs, because MO-mediated gene knockdown revealed that HrBMPα and HrBMPβ play equivalent roles in trunk ESN formation. This is strongly supported by findings in *Drosophila* and zebrafish that BMP heterodimers signal synergistically through heteromeric type I receptor complexes during embryonic patterning, but BMP homodimers do not (Little and Mullins, 2009; Shimmi et al., 2005). We also suggest that the inducing sources of the BMP signal are a9.36 and a9.49 descendants. These descendants express both *HrBMPα* and *HrBMPβ*, and are adjacent to trunk ESN precursors (Fig. 8H). We propose here that BMP ligands from a9.36 descendants act on a9.41 and a9.53 descendants to specify anterior trunk ESNs and that BMP ligands from a9.49 descendants induce posterior trunk ESNs in a9.51 descendants. *HrChordin* knockdown studies indicated that anterior trunk ESN specification requires BMP/Chordin antagonism, but posterior trunk ESN specification is Chordin independent, suggesting that trunk ESN specification involves two distinct mechanisms.

Our findings show developmental similarities between ascidian trunk ESNs and vertebrate neural crest and placodes. The ‘Binary competence model’ has recently been proposed as a model for induction of the neural crest and pre-placodal region (for a review, see Grocott et al., 2012; Schlosser, 2006) (Fig. 10). In this model, neural induction initially occurs by signals from the organizer containing FGFs, Wnt antagonists and BMP antagonists. It follows that the dorsal ectodermal region acquires the competence to form the neural plate and neural crest. Induction of the neural crest occurs by activation of FGF and Wnt signaling, and inhibition of BMP signaling from the dorsolateral mesoderm, and the later maintenance requires BMP and Wnt signals from tissues adjacent to the neural crest (LaBonne and Bronner-Fraser, 1998; Steventon et al., 2009). The placodes develop from non-neural ectoderm cells near the border of the neural plate, in which FGFs together BMP and Wnt antagonists from neural plate and/or endomesoderm promote induction of the pre-placodal region, whereas canonical Wnt and BMP signaling prevents pre-placodal gene expression (Ahrens and Schlosser, 2005; Litsiou et al., 2005). Specification of posterior trunk ESNs is similar to that of the neural crest. Posterior trunk ESNs develop from the lateral neural plate cell a9.51, in which BMP signals from the neural plate induce posterior trunk ESNs, as in the vertebrate neural crest. However, specification of anterior trunk ESNs is similar to that of the pre-placodal region. We showed that anterior trunk ESNs develop from non-neural ectodermal cells, a9.41 and a9.53, like vertebrate placodes. In addition, a BMP antagonist Chordin from the lateral neural plate promotes induction of anterior trunk ESNs, whereas high levels of BMP signal inhibit this induction. However, in terms of gene expression, a9.51 is more similar to a placodal cell than neural crest cell. In *Ciona*, a lateral neural plate cell a9.51 expresses the pre-placodal markers, *Six1/2* and *Six3/6*, but not neural crest markers, and neither a9.41 nor a9.53 expresses pre-placodal and neural crest markers (Imai et al., 2004; Mazet et al., 2005). In the meantime, there are also clear differences between trunk ESN precursors and vertebrate neural crest and placodal cells. Our cell lineage analyses showed that trunk ESN precursors may lack migratory abilities. It is known in *Ciona* that trunk ESN precursors express none of downstream genes of BMP signaling involved in vertebrate neural plate border specification, such as *Mesp*, *Dlx* and *Foxf* (Imai et al., 2004; Mazet et al., 2005). Possibly, trunk ESNs could share a common origin with neural crest and placodes, and genetic changes in cells at the neural plate border led the emergence of vertebrate neural crest and placodes.

**Mechanisms for specifying caudal ESNs**

We also elucidated the developmental mechanisms for caudal ESN specification, and showed that they differ from the mechanisms for trunk ESNs and vary widely among regions. In the tail tip, ESN formation is independent of *HrNodal*, *HrBMPα* and *HrBMPβ*. Conversely, in the dorsal midline of the tail, FGF/Nodal and BMP signaling are involved in caudal ESN specification, as in trunk ESN specification. Overexpression of *HrNodal*, however, induces caudal ESNs in both the neural and non-neural ectoderm (Fig. 6C), which suggests that adoption of a neural fate by FGF is not necessarily involved in caudal ESN specification. Furthermore, in the dorsal midline, it appears that caudal ESN specification requires another inductive signal in addition to FGF/Nodal and BMP, because treatment of b4.2 explants with BMP4 and bFGF has little effect on ESN formation. Our earlier study showed that ESN induction from b-line cells requires an interaction between b-line cells and B-line cells (Ohtsuka et al., 2001a). This suggests that the inductive signal for specifying caudal ESN formation may originate from B-line cells.

Our findings in *Halocynthia* are clearly different from previous results reported for *Ciona*, where either bFGF or BMP4 applied to b4.2 explants was shown to induce caudal ESN formation, and FGF/Nodal and BMP signals induced caudal ESNs in the dorsal and ventral midline, respectively (Pasini et al., 2006). This difference may result from the distinct expression of BMP ligands between *Halocynthia* and *Ciona*. In *Halocynthia*, *HrBMPα* and *HrBMPβ* are expressed in the dorsal and ventral midline of tailbud embryos (Miya et al., 1997, 1996), but their *Ciona* orthologs, *Ci-BMP2/4* and *Ci-BMP5/7-like*, are not (Imai et al., 2004). Here, we suggest that FGF/Nodal and BMP signals coordinate to induce caudal ESN formation in *Halocynthia* but do so independently in *Ciona*. In fact, *Halocynthia* larvae intrinsically lack caudal ESNs in ventral midline, where MAPK activation is not detected (Nishida, 2003). It seems that the competence to respond to a BMP signal is reduced in the b4.2 explant of a *Halocynthia* embryo and that this competence is regulated by an inductive signal from B-line cells before the tailbud stage. Comparison of the *Halocynthia* and *Ciona* gene expression patterns would lead to identification of the competent factors and novel inductive signals involved in caudal ESN formation.

**Evolutionarily conserved roles of Nodal and BMPs in PNS formation**

Our results show that Nodal signaling specifies the lateral neural plate fate and regulates dorsal PNS formation via BMP signaling in ascidians. In amphioxus, Nodal/Vg1 signaling regulates expression of *Chordin* and specifies the anterior/dorsal ectoderm (Onai et al., 2010). Similarly, in sea urchin, Nodal signaling induces expression of BMP2/4 and Chordin in the ectoderm, and Chordin-mediated inhibition of BMP2/4 restricts ciliary band fate at the boundary between the dorsal and ventral ectoderm (Bradham et al., 2009; Lapraz et al., 2009; Saudemont et al., 2010). Thus, it seems that Nodal/BMP signaling in ancestor deuterostomes may control medial-lateral (ventral-dorsal) patterning of the nervous system. However, there is relatively little evidence in vertebrates that Nodal signaling governs neural patterning during early development, although it is well known to be essential for specification of primary body axes and for formation of the mesoderm and endoderm (Shen, 2007). Vertebrate Nodal is expressed in the organizer, whereas ascidian and sea urchin Nodal genes are expressed in the ectoderm and endoderm (Bessodes et al., 2012; Chea et al., 2005; Morokuma et al., 2002). In amphioxus, Nodal is also expressed maternally in
addition to the zygotic expression in the dorsal blastopore lip that is considered to be the equivalent of vertebrate organizer (Onai et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2007). Changes in the spatial expression of Nodal are likely to have contributed to evolutionary divergence in Nodal function.

In vertebrates, neural patterning depends on BMP activity: high levels of BMP activity induce epidermis, intermediate levels of activity induce neural crest and low levels of activity adapt neural plate (Faure et al., 2002; Nguyen et al., 1998; Tribulo et al., 2003). The gradient of BMP activity is generated by the secretion of BMP antagonists such as Chordin from the organizer (Ben-Zvi et al., 2008). Similarly, in amphioxus and fruit fly, BMP signaling is reportedly involved in neural-epidermal segregation and specification of dorsal nervous system containing PNS (Dorfman and Shilo, 2001; Lu et al., 2012; Rusten et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2008, 2007). In ascidians, however, it is likely that BMP signaling is required for PNS formation but not neural-epidermal segregation. A previous study showed that overexpression of HrBMPb and HrChordin affects expression of HrEpiC and specification of pigment cells, but not specification of the brain lineage (Darras and Nishida, 2001). This finding, together with our present study, suggests that expression of BMP ligands in the neural plate border region is necessary to promote PNS formation. Presumably, BMP activity may be maintained at a low level throughout the ectoderm during gastrulation and may be induced in the neural plate by FGF and Nodal signaling. In ascidian embryos with a very small cell number, inductive events appear to occur by direct cell contact. Therefore, it is plausible that ascidians might have evolved to use the spatiotemporal regulation of the BMP signal by FGF/Nodal signaling to specify sensory neurons. Such a loss of neural-epidermal segregation has been reported in hemichordates and annelids. Within those organisms, neuroectodermal patterning is positively regulated by BMP signaling. Administration of BMP4 to these embryos results in expanded expression of sensory neuron markers and dorsal midline markers into entire ectoderm (Denes et al., 2007; Lowe et al., 2006). Taken together, these findings suggest that the role of BMP signaling in PNS formation is conserved among bilaterians.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and embryos

Adult ascidians, Halocynthia roretzi, were purchased from fishermen in Sanriku and Asamushi, Japan. Spawned eggs were fertilized with non-self sperm and allowed to develop in Millipore-filtered seawater or artificial seawater (Jamarin-U; Jamarin Laboratory) at 7°C or 10°C. Cleavage was subjected to whole-mount in situ hybridization.

Histochemistry, immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization

Whole-mount immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization were performed as described previously (Ohtsuka et al., 2001a; Wada et al., 1995). Immunostaining for gelsolin, an ESN marker, was carried out using the AS23 monoclonal antibody. DIG-labeled RNA probes for gelsolin (Ohtsuka et al., 2001a), HrBMPa (Miya et al., 1996), HrBMPb (Miya et al., 1997), HrChordin (Darras and Nishida, 2001), HrPax-37 (Wada et al., 1997), HrEpiC (Ueki et al., 1991) and HrETR-J (Yagi and Makabe, 2001) were synthesized using a DIG RNA-labeling Kit (Roche). The signal was detected by staining with NBT/BCIP or Fast Red (Roche). To detect tyrosinase enzymatic activity, embryos were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde in buffer containing 0.5 M NaCl and 0.1 M MOPS (pH 7.5). They were then washed with 16 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) before incubation in the same buffer containing 4 mM 3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine (DOPA) at 37°C. After the tyrosinase reaction, the embryos were dehydrated in methanol and subjected to whole-mount in situ hybridization.

Lineage analysis and microinjection

Microinjection was carried out as previously described (Okada et al., 2002). For lineage tracing, the blastomere was labeled with Dil [DiIC18(3), Molecular Probes] at the gastrula stage as previously described (Nakatani et al., 1999). To determine the trunk ESN lineage, the Dil-labeled larvae were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature and then incubated in 1 mg/ml digitonin in PBS overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, the larval tunic was manually removed from each larva using needles and the larvae were immobilized with AS23. The specimens were examined using laser-scanning confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM5 PASCAL). Serial optimal sections were taken at 10 µm intervals, and a three-dimensional image was reconstructed using the software supplied with the LSM5. To identify lineages of HrBMPb-expressing cells, the Dil-labeled tailbud embryos were fixed for whole-mount in situ hybridization. After DOPA staining and treatment with 1 mg/ml digitonin in PBS for 10 min, the embryos were subjected to whole-mount in situ hybridization without using detergents. Overlays of pictures were created using Adobe Photoshop.

Morpholino antisense oligos (MOs)

MOs for HrNodal (Tokuoka et al., 2007), HrBMPa, HrBMPb and HrChordin were purchased from Gene Tools. Their sequences were as follows: HrNodalMO, 5′-TAGCAGGTAGGTAGAATAGAAGAAT-3′; HrBMPaMO, 5′-TCTTTCTCTTCCACTATCTGTTC-3′; HrBMPbMO, 5′-CATGTCCCTTCACGTTGATCTCC-3′; HrChordinMO, 5′-ACTTCGCTAATCAGCAGATCCCAT-3′.

MOs other than HrNodalMO were injected at 0.5 mM; HrNodalMO was injected at 0.25 mM. Standard control morpholino-oligo (StdMO; Gene Tools) served as a negative control.

Synthetic RNA

Plasmids for in vitro transcription of HrNodal (Morokuma et al., 2002) and HrChordin (Darras and Nishida, 2001) were kindly provided by Dr H. Nishida (Osaka University). To generate expression plasmids for HrBMPa and HrBMPb, the open reading frames were amplified using the following primers: for HrBMPa, 5′-TTTATAGCAGCGCCGCAGTGAAGTGG-3′ and 5′-TTTAACTCGAGGAGGTGAGGCG-3′; and for HrBMPb, 5′-TCACACCGCGCAGCAGTGTTC-3′ and 5′-TGGTCTGAGACTACCGG-3′.

The PCR products were cloned into the pSD64TR vector (kindly provided by Dr T. Snutch, University of British Columbia). Capped RNAs were synthesized using a MEGAscript SP6 Kit (Ambion), after which poly(A) tails were added using a Poly(A) tailing Kit (Ambion).
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