

## RESEARCH REPORT

## TECHNIQUES AND RESOURCES

# Genome-wide identification of signaling center enhancers in the developing limb

Julia E. VanderMeer<sup>1,2</sup>, Robin P. Smith<sup>1,2</sup>, Stacy L. Jones<sup>1</sup> and Nadav Ahituv<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The limb is widely used as a model developmental system and changes to gene expression patterns in its signaling centers, notably the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA) and the apical ectodermal ridge (AER), are known to cause limb malformations and evolutionary differences in limb morphology. Although several genes that define these limb signaling centers have been described, the identification of regulatory elements that are active within these centers has been limited. By dissecting mouse E11.5 limbs that fluorescently mark the ZPA or AER, followed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting and low-cell H3K27ac ChIP-seq, we identified thousands of specific signaling-center enhancers. Our ChIP-seq datasets show strong correlation with ZPA- and AER-expressed genes, previously characterized functional ZPA and AER enhancers and enrichment for relevant biological terms related to limb development and malformation for the neighboring genes. Using transgenic assays, we show that several of these sequences function as ZPA and AER enhancers. Our results identify novel ZPA and AER enhancers that could be important regulators of genes involved in the establishment of these specialized regions and the patterning of tetrapod limbs.

**KEY WORDS:** Enhancer, AER, ZPA, Limb, Mouse

## INTRODUCTION

The tetrapod limb has long been used as a model of embryonic development in three dimensions. The limb bud begins as a small protrusion of cells from the flank of the embryo. As it develops, the limb bud must establish polarity along three axes: anterior-posterior (AP), proximal-distal (PD) and dorsal-ventral (DV). The AP axis is controlled by a small region in the posterior limb bud called the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA). These cells express *sonic hedgehog* (*Shh*), which acts as a morphogen to determine the AP axis. When *Shh* is expressed ectopically in anterior parts of the limb bud, the pattern of the AP axis is disrupted. The most common phenotype caused by anterior ‘ZPA activity’ is the formation of extra digits at the anterior of the limb or the adoption of posterior identity by anterior digits (reviewed by Anderson et al. (2012)). The PD axis is maintained by the apical ectodermal ridge (AER), which develops from the ectoderm at the distal edge of the limb bud. Without a functioning AER, the growth of the limb is severely stunted. There is significant crosstalk between the ZPA and AER, with each

signaling center required for the maintenance of the other [reviewed by Zeller et al. (2009)].

Gene expression changes in signaling centers are known to affect limb morphology. As the genes involved in the ZPA and AER are also expressed in other tissues, mutations in coding regions usually cause additional phenotypes. Mutations in enhancers that are specific to these limb-signaling centers, however, only cause limb phenotypes. For example, mutations in the ZPA regulatory sequence (ZRS) enhancer that controls expression of *Shh* in the ZPA are known to cause isolated limb malformations in humans, mice, cats and dogs, without any other phenotypes caused by coding disruptions to *Shh* [reviewed by VanderMeer and Ahituv (2011)]. Another study showed that replacing the mouse *Prx1* limb enhancer with the bat homologous enhancer leads to longer bones in the mature limb, but no other phenotypes (Cretokos et al., 2008).

The identification of enhancers in the ZPA and AER is challenging. Cells in these signaling centers form only ~4% of the developing limb. Whereas previous studies have used chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) on histone marks (Cotney et al., 2012, 2013) or E1A binding protein p300 (EP300/p300) (Visel et al., 2009), these address the limb as a single tissue. Because the ZPA and AER have functions distinct from the larger limb mesenchyme, it is likely that they have distinct epigenetic signatures that would be diluted in such an experiment. Tellingly, not a single one of the twenty p300 ChIP-seq peaks that were positive for limb enhancer activity (Visel et al., 2009) were expressed specifically in the ZPA or AER.

To identify active enhancers specific to signaling centers, it is necessary to study these tissues independently. We therefore bred mouse lines that endogenously express GFP in either the ZPA or AER to isolate the cells of each signaling center using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). We then used ChIP-seq to identify regions with the epigenetic signature H3K27ac, which is associated with active enhancers (Creyghton et al., 2010; Rada-Iglesias et al., 2012). Subsequent mouse transgenic enhancer assays on selected ZPA and AER ChIP-seq peaks found them to be active in these signaling centers. Through this work we have identified two novel sets of signaling center-specific enhancers which can play important roles in limb development and morphology.


## RESULTS

We bred transgenic mouse lines that express GFP in the ZPA or AER. For the ZPA, we used *Shh-GFP-cre* mice (Harfe et al., 2004) that have a cassette containing an in-frame fusion between GFP and *cre* inserted at the ATG of the mouse *Shh* gene, leading to specific GFP expression in the ZPA (supplementary material Fig. S1A). For the AER, we crossed *Msx2-cre* mice that expresses *cre* specifically in the AER under the control of the *Msx2* promoter (Sun et al., 2000) to GNZ (ROSA26-nGFP) mice that contain a loxP-flanked STOP cassette followed by a GFP/ $\beta$ -galactosidase fusion protein sequence with an SV40 nuclear localization signal (Stoller et al., 2008), thus

<sup>1</sup>Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA. <sup>2</sup>Institute for Human Genetics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA.

\*Author for correspondence (nadav.ahituv@ucsf.edu)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.




**Fig. 1. ChIP-seq from isolated ZPA and AER cells identifies candidate regulatory elements.** (A) Schematic showing cells from the ZPA and AER isolated by FACS, followed by ChIP-seq with an antibody against H3K27ac. (B) Different genomic categories are associated with H3K27ac ZPA and AER peaks. (C) ZPA (blue) and AER (red) ChIP-seq peaks overlap enhancers from the VISTA Enhancer Browser (Visel et al., 2007). Both ZPA and AER peaks show significant overlap with enhancers in the whole limb, but have little overlap with forebrain enhancers ( $*P < 0.0001$ ; Fisher's exact test, one-tailed). ZPA and AER enhancers also overlap more with their respective tissue compared with the forebrain enhancers. (D) GREAT (McLean et al., 2010) shows significantly enriched terms related to ZPA and AER biological function and phenotypes.

allowing for AER-specific GFP expression (supplementary material Fig. S1B). Embryos were harvested at E11.5, and ZPA or AER cells were isolated from dissected limbs by FACS. Similar to previous measurements (Rock et al., 2007), the ZPA and AER cells each comprised 2–4% of the total cells of the limb. These cells were subjected to ChIP-seq with an antibody for H3K27ac (Fig. 1A).


In the ZPA, we identified 14,571 H3K27ac peaks (supplementary material Table S1). Of these, 45% were at promoters [defined as  $-2500$  and  $+500$  bp from the transcription start site (TSS) based on UCSC known gene annotations], 4% in exons (excluding exons that overlap the promoter region) and 51% in intergenic or intronic regions (Fig. 1B). In the AER, we identified 4936 peaks (supplementary material Table S1), 57% of which were located at gene promoters, 8% in exons and 35% in intergenic or intronic regions (Fig. 1B). We next

compared these peaks with 208 functionally validated limb enhancers from the VISTA Enhancer Browser (Visel et al., 2007). As a negative control, we used a set of 281 forebrain enhancers with no limb expression. We observed a significant overlap of our peaks with the VISTA limb set versus the forebrain ( $P < 0.0001$ ; Fisher's exact test, one-tailed) for both the ZPA and AER (Fig. 1C). Of the 208 VISTA limb enhancers, we identified 11 with ZPA/posterior expression and 18 with AER/ectoderm expression. Our ZPA and AER ChIP-seq peaks overlap more with the validated enhancers in their respective tissue, but the number of enhancers was too low for statistical significance.

We used GREAT (McLean et al., 2010) to identify biological functions associated with the ZPA and AER peaks. Numerous limb-associated genes reside near our ChIP-seq peaks (supplementary



**Fig. 2. Genomic regions around limb patterning genes show epigenetic active signatures only in the appropriate tissue.** All coordinates are mm9. (A) The *Shh* gene and its enhancer ZRS are active in the ZPA and overlap H3K27ac. The AER has no peak at this locus. (B) *En1*, an AER- and ventral ectoderm-expressed gene, has an H3K27ac peak in the AER, but not in the ZPA. (C) The locus around *Alx4*, a gene expressed in the anterior limb mesenchyme, does not have an H3K27ac peak in either signaling center.



**Fig. 3. ZPA and AER specific peak analyses.** (A) By overlapping ZPA and AER H3K27ac peaks to whole-limb H3K27ac peaks, we obtained 1233 ZPA and 715 AER-specific ChIP-seq peaks. (B) ZPA peak 44 shows weak *lacZ* expression in the ZPA in a mouse transgenic enhancer assay. (C–E) AER peaks 2292, 3723 and 4460 show AER limb expression in a mouse transgenic assay. Arrowheads highlight  $\beta$ -galactosidase-stained regions.

material Table S2). In both datasets, we observed a significant enrichment for terms related to limb development and malformation phenotypes (Fig. 1D; supplementary material Table S2). The ZPA includes mouse and human phenotypic terms related to polydactyly and abnormal digit patterning, which are common effects of ZPA disruptions. The AER terms include ‘abnormal limb bud morphology’, ‘aplasia of the nails’ and ‘brachydactyly’, all of which are phenotypes associated with AER defects. Limb development and malformation phenotype terms are also enriched when using only non-TSS peaks (supplementary material Fig. S2; Tables S1 and S2), showing that this enrichment is not due to promoter binding. We also examined gene loci known to be highly expressed in the ZPA or AER and observed a correlation with H3K27ac peak presence. As examples, we observed a specific H3K27ac peak for *Shh* and its enhancer ZRS in the ZPA and for engrailed homeobox 1 (*En1*) in the AER, whereas *Alx4*, a gene expressed specifically in the anterior mesenchyme, did not have an H3K27ac signal in either tissue (Fig. 2).

To identify ZPA and AER-specific enhancers, we selected peaks that did not overlap with the promoters of known genes or with previously published E11.5 whole-limb H3K27ac and p300 ChIP-seq datasets (Cotney et al., 2012; Visel et al., 2009). The resulting lists contain 1233 ZPA-specific and 715 AER-specific peaks (Fig. 3A). To functionally validate these peaks, we tested five candidates from each signaling center for enhancer activity in mice, prioritizing enhancers near genes involved in limb development. These genes include transcription factors, signal receptors and genes involved in cilia transport that are required for *Shh* signaling (supplementary material Table S3).

Of the five ZPA candidates, one (ZPA44) showed weak enhancer expression in the posterior limb bud (Fig. 3B). ZPA44 is located 30 kb upstream of *Tcfap2b* (*Tcfap2b* – Mouse Genome Informatics), a gene expressed in the ZPA at levels nearly four times higher than in the rest of the limb (Rock et al., 2007). Out of the five AER candidates, three were positive for enhancer activity in the AER and ectoderm layer of the limb bud (Fig. 3C–E). AER2292 is located 600 kb upstream of *Fgfr2*, which is necessary for limb bud development (Xu et al., 1998). AER3723 is 142 kb downstream of *Sp8*, a transcription factor expressed in the AER required for limb bud outgrowth (Bell et al., 2003). The third AER enhancer, AER4460, showed weak posterior AER staining and is located in the first intron of the protein S gene (*Pros1*), 15 kb upstream of ADP-ribosylation

factor-like 13b (*Arl13b*), which is involved in the localization of *Shh* receptor pathway components in the cilium (Larkins et al., 2011).

## DISCUSSION

We believe that these datasets will be valuable to researchers studying evolutionary changes in limb morphology and human congenital limb malformations. It has previously been demonstrated that replacing the mouse *Prx1* limb enhancer with the bat homologous enhancer can lead to longer bones (Cretokos et al., 2008), and our dataset of ZPA and AER enhancers can provide a unique resource to identify additional limb enhancers that could have led to limb morphological differences. Human limb malformations are the second-most common form of birth defect, with a frequency of nearly 1 in 500 live births (Moore and Persaud, 1998). Some of these occur as part of a syndrome; however, limb defects are also seen in isolation as the only phenotype in an individual and have been found to be caused by enhancer mutations (Dathe et al., 2009; Kurth et al., 2009; Lettice et al., 2003). An enhancer that regulates the ZPA or AER could be a site where mutations result in limb defects. Our ZPA and AER ChIP-seq peaks thus provide specific candidate sequences in the genome that, when mutated, can lead to morphological differences between species and limb malformations and can be used to guide mutation analyses between organisms and in individuals with isolated limb malformations.

Previous whole-limb approaches have failed to identify enhancers that are active in these important limb development signaling centers, as seen by *in vivo* results (Visel et al., 2009), possibly because the cells of these regions comprise only a small proportion of the limb tissue. By investigating these specific tissues, our study provides a novel resource of putative ZPA and AER enhancers. The rate of *in vivo* enhancer validation for this dataset was low, particularly in the ZPA. This could be due to the stringency of our peak selection. By eliminating peaks in our dataset that had any overlap to a region called as a peak in the whole-limb set, we probably eliminated enhancers that are biologically specific to the ZPA or AER, but produced a signal that was identifiable in the whole-limb set. These appear to include some of the strongest enhancers because we find that the distribution of peak heights in the signaling center-specific sets is lower than the distribution for the entire set of either the ZPA or AER (supplementary material Fig. S3). For example, the ZRS, which is known to control

ZPA-specific expression of *Shh*, is called as a peak in the whole-limb H3K27ac dataset under some parameters (Cotney et al., 2012).

In summary, using FACS followed by low-cell ChIP-seq on ZPA and AER cells, we identified thousands of potential limb signaling center peaks. We observed a strong correlation between known ZPA- and AER-specific gene loci and H3K27ac peak prevalence. We also observed a strong correlation with fitting biological terms and limb-associated genes using GREAT (McLean et al., 2010) (supplementary material Table S2). These ZPA- and AER-specific regions represent a set of enhancers active in regions that determine limb growth and patterning and can help inform our understanding of tetrapod limb development, evolutionary differences in limb morphology and the genetic etiology of human limb malformations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Tissue collection

All mouse work was approved by the UCSF institutional animal care and use committee.

To isolate cells from the ZPA and AER, transgenic mouse lines were established that express GFP in one of these regions. For the ZPA, we used the *Shh<sup>tm1(EGFP/cre)Cjt</sup>* line (Harfe et al., 2004). Heterozygous males were mated to CD-1 females and GFP-positive embryos were used for tissue collection. For the AER, homozygous male *tg(Msx2-cre)5Rem* mice (Sun et al., 2000) were mated to females homozygous for a floxed reporter B6.129-GT(ROSA)26Sor<sup>tm1Joc</sup> (Stoller et al., 2008). All mice were backcrossed onto a CD-1 strain to address any variation of embryo size between lines.

Embryos were collected at E11.5 from timed matings. For the ZPA, embryos were examined briefly with a dissecting fluorescent microscope to select those carrying the GFP allele. Both forelimbs and hindlimbs were collected and crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, followed by quenching with glycine and rinsing with cold PBS. Tissue was homogenized with a Dounce tissue grinder (Kontes) to a single-cell suspension for FACS. Cells were kept on ice in 0.5 μM EDTA and 0.05% BSA in PBS for sorting as previously described (Rock et al., 2007) and sorted on a FACSARIA II (BD Biosciences). GFP-positive cells represented ~2–4% of the limb tissue for both ZPA and AER. Cells were collected in the sorting buffer, pelleted by centrifugation and flash-frozen to –80°C.

### ChIP-seq

ChIP was performed using the LowCell#ChIP kit (Diagenode) with modified wash conditions (see methods in the supplementary material). Cells from dissected limbs from an estimated 20–35 embryos were pooled to give ~70,000 cells per IP; they were sonicated with a Covaris sonicator (S220 Focused-ultrasonicator, Covaris), and 30 μl of sheared chromatin was used for each ChIP. The antibody anti-acetyl histone H3 (Lys27) clone CMA309 (Millipore, 05-1334; 4 μg per IP) was used. Libraries were constructed using a pre-release beta version of the Rubicon ThruPLEX (now ThruPLEX-FD, Rubicon Genomics) library construction kit according to the manufacturer's directions. For each library, 10 μl of ChIP material was used with a total of 14 cycles of amplification.

Sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq was carried out at the UC Davis Genome Center, and FASTQ files were aligned to the *Mus musculus* genome (UCSC build mm9) using Bowtie 0.12.8 (Langmead et al., 2009). A single bp mismatch was permitted and reads with multiple alignments were discarded. From 43,109,366 and 40,996,716 reads for the AER and ZPA samples, respectively, 31,258,376 and 30,757,898 aligned uniquely. The input sample was sequenced more deeply, with 111,754,456 reads total and 80,925,525 aligning uniquely. In each case, ~11% of sequences failed to align, and 15% of were suppressed due to multiple alignments.

The alignments were sorted and indexed using SAMtools 0.1.18 (Li et al., 2009) and converted to BED files using the utility bam2bed, a part of bedtools 2.17.0 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). The peak-finding tool SICER 1.1 (Zang et al., 2009) was used to identify enriched H3K27ac islands in the AER and ZPA samples, with the input sample as the control library. The

settings used were as follows: redundancy threshold=1, window size=200 bp, fragment size=300 bp, effective genome fraction=0.74, gap size=200 bp, FDR=0.01. For our GREAT (McLean et al., 2010) analysis, we used the Basal Plus Extension setting with proximal 5 kb upstream and 1 kb downstream and distal 1 Mb extension.

### Mouse transgenic enhancer assays

Ten selected peaks were PCR-amplified from mouse genomic DNA. Coordinates of peaks and primer sequences are available in supplementary material Table S3. The enhancer candidates were cloned as individual sequences into an Hsp68-*lacZ* enhancer assay vector (Kothary et al., 1989) using the Gateway system (Life Technologies). Transgenic mouse assays followed by β-galactosidase staining were carried out through Cyagen Biosciences using standard procedures (Nagy et al., 2002; Pennacchio et al., 2006) and embryos were examined at mouse E11.5.

### Data access

ChIP-seq data from this study is available in SRA (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra>) (SRA experiment: SRX597164; AER: SRR1393727; ZPA: SRR1393728).

### Acknowledgements

We thank all of the members of the Ahituv lab for their assistance with tissue collection. We also thank the UCSF Laboratory for Cell Analysis Core for assistance with FACS.

### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

### Author contributions

J.E.V. and N.A. designed experiments. J.E.V. and S.J. performed experiments. J.E.V. and R.P.S. analyzed data. J.E.V. wrote the manuscript with contributions from N.A., S.J. and R.P.S.

### Funding

This work was supported by a National Institute of Child Health and Human Development award [award number R01HD059862]. N.A. is also supported in part by the National Human Genome Research Institute [award numbers R01HG005058 and 1R01HG006768], National Institute of General Medical Sciences [award number GM61390], National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [award number 1R01DK090382] and National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke [award number 1R01NS079231]. Deposited in PMC for immediate release.

### Supplementary material

Supplementary material available online at <http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.110965/-/DC1>

### References

- Anderson, E., Peluso, S., Lettice, L. A. and Hill, R. E. (2012). Human limb abnormalities caused by disruption of hedgehog signaling. *Trends Genet.* **28**, 364–373.
- Bell, S. M., Schreiner, C. M., Waclaw, R. R., Campbell, K., Potter, S. S. and Scott, W. J. (2003). Sp8 is crucial for limb outgrowth and neuropore closure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 12195–12200.
- Cotney, J., Leng, J., Oh, S., DeMare, L. E., Reilly, S. K., Gerstein, M. B. and Noonan, J. P. (2012). Chromatin state signatures associated with tissue-specific gene expression and enhancer activity in the embryonic limb. *Genome Res.* **22**, 1069–1080.
- Cotney, J., Leng, J., Yin, J., Reilly, S. K., DeMare, L. E., Emera, D., Ayoub, A. E., Rakic, P. and Noonan, J. P. (2013). The evolution of lineage-specific regulatory activities in the human embryonic limb. *Cell* **154**, 185–196.
- Cretekos, C. J., Wang, Y., Green, E. D., Martin, J. F., Rasweiler, J. J. and Behringer, R. R. (2008). Regulatory divergence modifies limb length between mammals. *Genes Dev.* **22**, 141–151.
- Creighton, M. P., Cheng, A. W., Welstead, G. G., Kooistra, T., Carey, B. W., Steine, E. J., Hanna, J., Lodato, M. A., Frampton, G. M., Sharp, P. A. et al. (2010). Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and predicts developmental state. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 21931–21936.
- Dathe, K., Kjaer, K. W., Brehm, A., Meinecke, P., Nürnberg, P., Neto, J. C., Brunoni, D., Tommerup, N., Ott, C. E., Klopocki, E. et al. (2009). Duplications involving a conserved regulatory element downstream of BMP2 are associated with brachydactyly type A2. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **84**, 483–492.

- Harfe, B. D., Scherz, P. J., Nissim, S., Tian, H., McMahon, A. P. and Tabin, C. J. (2004). Evidence for an Expansion-based temporal SHH gradient in specifying vertebrate digit identities. *Cell* **118**, 517-528.
- Kothary, R., Clapoff, S., Darling, S., Perry, M. D., Moran, L. A. and Rossant, J. (1989). Inducible expression of an hsp68-lacZ hybrid gene in transgenic mice. *Development* **105**, 707-714.
- Kurth, I., Kloppocki, E., Stricker, S., van Oosterwijk, J., Vanek, S., Altmann, J., Santos, H. G., van Harsseel, J. J. T., de Ravel, T., Wilkie, A. O. M. et al. (2009). Duplications of noncoding elements 5' of SOX9 are associated with brachydactyly-anonychia. *Nat. Genet.* **41**, 862-863.
- Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. and Salzberg, S. L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* **10**, R25.
- Larkins, C. E., Aviles, G. D. G., East, M. P., Kahn, R. A. and Caspary, T. (2011). Arl13b regulates ciliogenesis and the dynamic localization of Shh signaling proteins. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **22**, 4694-4703.
- Lettice, L. A., Heaney, S. J. H., Purdie, L. A., Li, L., de Beer, P., Oostra, B. A., Goode, D., Elgar, G., Hill, R. E. and de Graaff, E. (2003). A long-range Shh enhancer regulates expression in the developing limb and fin and is associated with preaxial polydactyly. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **12**, 1725-1735.
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G. and Durbin, R. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078-2079.
- McLean, C. Y., Bristor, D., Hiller, M., Clarke, S. L., Schaar, B. T., Lowe, C. B., Wenger, A. M. and Bejerano, G. (2010). GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**, 495-501.
- Moore, K. L. and Persaud, T. V. N. (1998). *The Developing Human: Clinically Oriented Embryology*, 6th edn. Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Nagy, A., Gertsenstein, M., Vintersten, K. and Behringer, R. (2002). *Manipulating the Mouse Embryo: A Laboratory Manual*, 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Pennacchio, L. A., Ahituv, N., Moses, A. M., Prabhakar, S., Nobrega, M. A., Shoukry, M., Minovitsky, S., Dubchak, I., Holt, A., Lewis, K. D. et al. (2006). In vivo enhancer analysis of human conserved non-coding sequences. *Nature* **444**, 499-502.
- Quinlan, A. R. and Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841-842.
- Rada-Iglesias, A., Bajpai, R., Prescott, S., Brugmann, S. A., Swigut, T. and Wysocka, J. (2012). Epigenomic annotation of enhancers predicts transcriptional regulators of human neural crest. *Cell Stem Cell* **11**, 633-648.
- Rock, J. R., Lopez, M. C., Baker, H. V. and Harfe, B. D. (2007). Identification of genes expressed in the mouse limb using a novel ZPA microarray approach. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **8**, 19-26.
- Stoller, J. Z., Degenhardt, K. R., Huang, L., Zhou, D. D., Lu, M. M. and Epstein, J. A. (2008). Cre reporter mouse expressing a nuclear localized fusion of GFP and  $\beta$ -galactosidase reveals new derivatives of Pax3-expressing precursors. *Genesis* **46**, 200-204.
- Sun, X., Lewandoski, M., Meyers, E. N., Liu, Y.-H., Maxson, R. E. and Martin, G. R. (2000). Conditional inactivation of Fgf4 reveals complexity of signalling during limb bud development. *Nat. Genet.* **25**, 83-86.
- VanderMeer, J. E. and Ahituv, N. (2011). cis-regulatory mutations are a genetic cause of human limb malformations. *Dev. Dyn.* **240**, 920-930.
- Visel, A., Minovitsky, S., Dubchak, I. and Pennacchio, L. A. (2007). VISTA Enhancer Browser—a database of tissue-specific human enhancers. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, D88-D92.
- Visel, A., Blow, M. J., Li, Z., Zhang, T., Akiyama, J. A., Holt, A., Plajzer-Frick, I., Shoukry, M., Wright, C., Chen, F. et al. (2009). ChIP-seq accurately predicts tissue-specific activity of enhancers. *Nature* **457**, 854-858.
- Xu, X., Weinstein, M., Li, C., Naski, M., Cohen, R. I., Ornitz, D. M., Leder, P. and Deng, C. (1998). Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2)-mediated reciprocal regulation loop between FGF8 and FGF10 is essential for limb induction. *Development* **125**, 753-765.
- Zang, C., Schones, D. E., Zeng, C., Cui, K., Zhao, K. and Peng, W. (2009). A clustering approach for identification of enriched domains from histone modification ChIP-Seq data. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1952-1958.
- Zeller, R., López-Ríos, J. and Zuniga, A. (2009). Vertebrate limb bud development: moving towards integrative analysis of organogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **10**, 845-858.

## Supplementary materials and methods


### *ChIP washes*

After incubating the chromatin with antibody-coated beads overnight at 4° C, the beads were washed three times with 125ul of ice cold Buffer A. All washes were performed in a 4° C cold room as follows: Add buffer, close cap and invert to resuspend beads, incubate on rotating wheel for 5 minutes, inverting by hand and make sure beads remain suspended at 2 minutes and 4 minutes, spin, collect beads on magnetic rack for 2 minutes, remove buffer.


### *Visualizing ChIP-seq data*

To produce coverage pileups, AER and ZPA reads were extended to the predicted fragment size of 300bp using the slopBed utility in bedtools. A BED file encompassing the mm9 genome in 100bp intervals was generated, and overlapping AER, ZPA and input reads were counted in each interval using the bedtools utility coverageBed. The counts were then normalized by dividing by the total number of aligned reads for the respective sample, after which the normalized input signal was subtracted off the normalized AER and ZPA signals. The AER and ZPA signals were then scaled by a factor of 80,925,525 (the # of input reads) to facilitate viewing.


Supplementary Figures



**Fig. S1.** ZPA- and AER-specific GFP expression. (A) A limb from E11.5  $Shh^{tm1(EGFP/cre)Cjt}$  mice showing ZPA specific expression. (B) An E11.5 limb from a homozygous male mice carrying the Cre transgene ( $tg(Msx2-cre)$ ) crossed to a floxed reporter ( $B6.129-GT(ROSA)26Sor^{tm1Joc}/J$ ) showing specific GFP expression in the AER.



**Fig. S2.** GREAT results for non-TSS peaks from the ZPA and AER ChIP-seq datasets show GO terms and phenotypes associated with the roles of the ZPA and AER in limb development.



**Fig. S3.** Peak height and signaling center specificity. The distribution of peak signal intensity shows that the ZPA and AER-specific peaks have a weaker signal in general compared to the entire ZPA and AER sets. (A) The distribution of all ZPA peaks (blue) compared to ZPA specific peaks (peaks with no overlap to whole limb) (teal) shows that the peaks with no overlap are generally weaker. (B) The distribution of all AER peaks (red) compared to AER specific peaks (peaks with no overlap to whole limb) (orange) shows that the peaks with no overlap are generally weaker. (C,D) The same trend is seen when looking only at non-TSS peaks from either data set. This suggests that some of the very strongest enhancer signals are the ones that overlap with whole-limb signal.



## Supplementary Tables

Table S1

[Click here to Download Table S1](#)

Table S2

[Click here to Download Table S2](#)

**Table S3.** Primers for mouse transgenic assays

Peak	Coordinates (mm9)	PrimerF	PrimerR	Limb gene (location)
AER203	chr1:122510000-122512399	GTAGGAGGAGGCTTCATTGC	CTCCAGCTCGCCATACACTA	En1 (+12136)
AER2292	chr7:136794000-136796399	CCAAGAGCATATCCCATCT	CAGTGATAGACAGCCCTGGA	Fgfr2 (+615122)
AER3723	chr12:119942200-119943599	AGAGAGAGAGAGCACCTCATCAA	GACATCTGGGCTCACTTCCT	Sp8 (-141902)
AER308	chr1:170693400-170694599	GGCCGACCTGTTACTTGTT	GGGGATAGCAGGCTACTCAG	Pbx1 (-331611)
AER4460	chr16:62861400-62862199	TGCCATTTATTGCAAGTCAG	TCAGCAGATTATTCGTAAGTGG	Arl13b (+15345)
ZPA6706	chr8:60898600-60899199	CATGGTTATTTGTTCCACATTTT	GCAATGCTTTTCTTTTGTGG	Hand2 (-1098890)
ZPA12651	chr16:49332600-49333199	TTAAAAATATTGAAAAGGTGGCTA	GCAGTAGACCTTAGGGGAGCA	Ift57 (-366507)
ZPA1563	chr2:93377800-93378599	GCTCGGTACTTGCTCAGGAC	CTCTCCTCCCAAATCCCTTC	Alx4 (-104391)
ZPA2794	chr3:130665200-130665799	TACCAAGCACTTACTACAGGCTACA	ATAGGGGCGGTCTTTTATT	Lef1 (-147889)
ZPA44	chr1:19231200-19231999	CCTGTGGTCTTCTCCTTTG	CATCTGTGACCAACCTCT	Tcfap2b (+29465)