Regulation of synaptic development and function by the Drosophila PDZ protein Dyschronic
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ABSTRACT

Synaptic scaffold proteins control the localization of ion channels and receptors, and facilitate molecular associations between signaling components that modulate synaptic transmission and plasticity. Here, we define novel roles for a recently described scaffold protein, Dyschronic (DYSC), at the Drosophila larval neuromuscular junction. DYSC is the Drosophila homolog of whirlin/DFNB31, a PDZ domain protein linked to Usher syndrome, the most common form of human deaf-blindness. We show that DYSC is expressed presynaptically and is often localized adjacent to the active zone, the site of neurotransmitter release. Loss of DYSC results in marked alterations in synaptic morphology and cytoskeletal organization. Moreover, active zones are frequently enlarged and misshapen in dysc mutants. Electrophysiological analyses further demonstrate that dysc mutants exhibit substantial increases in both evoked and spontaneous synaptic transmission. We have previously shown that DYSC binds to and regulates the expression of the Slowpoke (SLO) BK potassium channel. Consistent with this, slo mutant larvae exhibit similar alterations in synapse morphology, active zone size and neurotransmission, and simultaneous loss of dysc and slo does not enhance these phenotypes, suggesting that dysc and slo act in a common genetic pathway to modulate synaptic development and output. Our data expand our understanding of the neuronal functions of DYSC and uncover non-canonical roles for the SLO potassium channel at Drosophila synapses.
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INTRODUCTION

Elucidating the molecular mechanisms of synapse development and function is important for understanding biological processes such as learning and memory, as well as neurological diseases such as autism spectrum disorder and schizophrenia (Caroni et al., 2012; Sudhof, 2008; Wondolowski and Dickman, 2013). Crucial to correct synaptic organization are scaffold proteins, which act as organizing hubs that link ion channels, neurotransmitter receptors and other membrane proteins to the cytoskeleton and to downstream intracellular signaling networks (Emes and Grant, 2012; Verpelli et al., 2012). In a screen for Drosophila with altered circadian behavior, we recently identified a previously uncharacterized gene encoding a scaffold protein with multiple PDZ domains that we termed dyschronic (dysc). Loss of DYSC results in arrhythmic patterns of circadian locomotor activity, and dysc is the Drosophila homolog of the human locus whirlin/DFNB31, mutations in which lead to Usher syndrome (USH), a leading cause of deaf-blindness (Jepson et al., 2012; Mburu et al., 2003). We found that DYSC binds to and controls the expression of the Slowpoke (SLO) BK potassium channel in the adult Drosophila nervous system, thus identifying DYSC as a novel ion channel regulator that impacts complex behavior (Jepson et al., 2012).

BK potassium channels are synergistically activated by membrane depolarization and elevated intracellular Ca2+ (Barrett et al., 1982; Lee and Cui, 2010; Prakriya and Lingle, 1999). In neurons, BK channels limit action potential duration by linking Ca2+ influx through voltage-gated Ca2+ channels (VGCCs) to potassium efflux (Bean, 2007). Interestingly, in addition to the axon, BK channels are also localized at the synapse near to the active zone (AZ) (Hu et al., 2001), a crucial structural determinant of the probability of vesicle fusion (Sigrist and Schmitz, 2011). In Drosophila, the AZ is an electron-dense macromolecular structure and functions in part to tether synaptic vesicles in close proximity to VGCCs (Atwood et al., 1993; Koenig and Ikeda, 1999); recent genetic studies have uncovered a number of core constituents of the Drosophila AZ as well as proteins involved in its assembly (Johnson et al., 2009; Kaufmann et al., 2002; Kittel et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2011; Nieratschker et al., 2009; Wagh et al., 2006). For example, Bruchpilot (BRP) is a key component of T-bars, dense bodies that project intracellularly from the AZ core and connect to a subpopulation of synaptic vesicles (Atwood et al., 1993; Koenig and Ikeda, 1999; Reist et al., 1998; Wagh et al., 2006). Using super-resolution microscopy, BRP complexes have been shown to form donut-like rings around a central core of VGCCs, and loss of BRP results in reduced VGCC localization in the AZ and a substantial decrease in evoked excitatory currents (Kittel et al., 2006). However, whereas much research has focused on molecules that promote AZ formation and neurotransmitter release, less is known regarding factors that negatively regulate the AZ and vesicle fusion.

Here, we demonstrate that DYSC and SLO coordinately influence diverse aspects of synaptic development and function at the Drosophila larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ), and act as negative regulators of AZ size and evoked neurotransmission. Most surprisingly, spontaneous vesicle fusion is enhanced in dysc and slo mutants, even in zero-Ca2+ conditions, suggesting that SLO channels impact spontaneous neurotransmission independently of enhanced VGCC activity. Our results reveal intriguing non-canonical roles for the SLO BK channel and significantly expand the known functions of the USH homolog DYSC in the Drosophila nervous system.
RESULTS
DYSC regulates synaptic morphology and cytoskeletal organization

We have previously found that DYSC is broadly localized within the adult fly brain and exhibits strong expression in axonal tracts throughout the nervous system (Jepson et al., 2012). However, DYSC is also present in synaptic neuropil regions (Jepson et al., 2012). We were therefore intrigued by the possibility that DYSC might play important roles at Drosophila synapses.

To test whether DYSC regulates synaptic development and/or function, we turned to the NMJ of third instar Drosophila larvae, a model glutamatergic synapse, the structural and functional properties of which have been extensively studied. We initially examined synaptic morphology of dysc mutants using two transposon insertion alleles (dyc003838 and dycs168) (Fig. 1A).

The dyc transcription unit generates protein isoforms containing two or three PDZ domains (Jepson et al., 2012) (Fig. 1A; www.flybase.org). Both long and short DYSC isoforms are undetectable by western blotting of dyc003838 head extracts (Jepson et al., 2012), and dyc cDNA expression was not detected in dyc003838 head tissue (supplementary material Fig. S1A), indicating that dyc003838 is a null allele. By contrast, the dycs168 insertion selectively disrupts expression of long DYSC isoforms containing three PDZ domains, while leaving the short isoform intact (Jepson et al., 2012).

Both dyc003838 and dycs168 homozygotes exhibited a ∼40% reduction in the total number of synaptic boutons at muscle 6/7 (Fig. 1B,C), resulting from a decrease in the number of both type 1b and type 1s boutons (supplementary material Fig. S1B,C). A similar phenotype was observed in dyc003838/dycs168 trans-heterozygotes, but there was no significant alteration in bouton number in dyc003838/+ or dycs168/+ heterozygotes (Fig. 1B,C; supplementary material Fig. S1B,C). dycs homozygous and trans-heterozygous mutants also exhibited a substantial increase in the average size of type 1b boutons (Fig. 1B,D). By contrast, mean synaptic area and branch number were not altered in dyc mutants (supplementary material Fig. S1D,E).

Similar phenotypes in terms of bouton number and size were observed in larval synapses innervating muscle 4 (supplementary material Fig. S1F,G). Thus, DYSC regulates the number and size of larval synaptic boutons but not overall synaptic size.

Because whirlin, the mammalian DYSC homolog, has recently been shown to affect the stability of the axonal cytoskeleton (Green et al., 2013), we wondered whether DYSC modulates synaptic morphology by controlling the localization of synaptic cytoskeletal proteins. We therefore visualized expression of the microtubule-binding protein Futsch in wild-type and dysc mutant synapses (Fig. 1E). Futsch-mediated regulation of microtubule organization is important for correct synaptic bouton budding (Roos et al., 2000), and mislocalization of synaptic Futsch in various Drosophila mutants has been associated with reduced synaptic bouton number and increased bouton size (Mosca et al., 2012; Pennetta et al., 2002). Consistent with previous data (Roos et al., 2000), filamentous ‘tendrils’ and structured ‘loops’ of Futsch-bound microtubules were generally observed in wild-type synapses (Fig. 1E). By contrast, ∼25% of dysc mutant synapses exhibited an abnormal mode of Futsch localization, characterized by a substantially increased level of unstructured Futsch within synaptic boutons (Fig. 1F; supplementary material Fig. S2). This pattern of Futsch expression was rarely observed in wild-type synaptic boutons (∼2.6%, Fig. 1F). Thus, loss of DYSC leads to an alteration in the synaptic localization of a key cytoskeletal regulator in a manner consistent with an inhibition of synaptic bouton budding in dysc mutants.

Fig. 1. DYSC regulates synaptic morphology and Futsch localization. (A) Schematic of the dyc transcription unit, locations of the dyc003838 and dycs168 P-element insertions and the MiMIC protein trap insertion used to generate the dycs::gfp allele. Genomic regions encoding the three PDZ domains are also shown. (B) Confocal projections showing representative NMJs at muscle 6/7, segment 3. Neuronal membranes are labeled with anti-HRP. Arrowheads indicate enlarged synaptic boutons in dycs168 and dycs003838 homozygotes that are absent in controls or heterozygotes. Scale bar: 20 μm. (C,D) Average number of total synaptic boutons (C) and average bouton area (D) in wild-type controls, and in dycs168 and dycs003838 heterozygotes and homozygotes, and dyc003838/dycs168 trans-heterozygotes. (C) n=12-29 synapses. (D) n=167-211 boutons. (E) Confocal slices illustrating localization of Futsch in synaptic termini in wild-type controls and dycs168 and dycs003838 heterozygotes and homozygotes, and dyc003838/dycs168 trans-heterozygotes. (C) n=14-16 synapses, 265-381 boutons. Values represent mean±s.e.m. ***P<0.0005; ns, not significant (P>0.05), one-way ANOVA with Dunnett post-hoc test.

DYSC localizes to synaptic termini and is required presynaptically

We next sought to examine the localization of DYSC at the larval NMJ. Immunohistochemical experiments using our previously described anti-DYSC antibody failed to generate robust signals at larval synapses, possibly due to low efficacy and/or low DYSC expression. To make use of a higher affinity antibody, we used the MiMIC system (Venken et al., 2011) to insert a GFP/FlAšH/StrepII/3xFLAG protein trap upstream of the region encoding the
third PDZ domain (Fig. 1A). This strategy allowed labeling of DYSC isoforms containing three PDZ domains using anti-GFP and anti-FLAG antibodies. We term this new dysc allele dysc::gfp.

The protein trap exon does not disrupt the PDZ domain-coding sequences within the dysc locus, and importantly, dysc::gfp larvae exhibited normal synaptic morphology (supplementary material Fig. S3A-C). Furthermore, dysc::gfp adult flies exhibited rhythmic patterns of circadian locomotion under constant-dark conditions (data not shown). Thus, the GFP insertion does not inhibit the normal function of DYSC.

In both the adult and the larval Drosophila nervous systems, GFP-tagged DYSC was localized to axonal regions and, importantly, to the synaptic neuropil, as evidenced by colocalization with the presynaptic marker Bruchpilot (BRP) (Kittel et al., 2006; Wagh et al., 2006) (supplementary material Fig. S3D,E). At the larval NMJ, full-length DYSC detected using an anti-GFP antibody exhibited a punctate pattern of localization in both motor neuron axon bundles and in synaptic bouton termini (Fig. 2A). A similar expression pattern was observed when using an anti-FLAG antibody to detect DYSC (supplementary material Fig. S4A), and this pattern was not observed in larvae lacking the dysc::gfp allele using either anti-GFP (Fig. 2A) or anti-FLAG antibodies (supplementary material Fig. S4A). Furthermore, neuronal overexpression of a long DYSC isoform in combination with an anti-FLAG antibody generated similar presynaptic punctate signals (supplementary material Fig. S4B,C).

The localization of DYSC::GFP suggested a presynaptic function for DYSC. Consistent with this hypothesis, pre- but not postsynaptic expression of a full-length DYSC transgene (uas-dysc-long) significantly rescued both the reduced bouton number and increased bouton size of dysc mutants (Fig. 2B-D). Transgenic restoration of DYSC solely in neurons also rescued the mislocalization of Futsch (supplementary material Fig. S5).

The similar phenotypes of dysc:03838 and dysc:4168 homozygotes further suggested that full-length DYSC isoforms containing three PDZ domains are specifically required for normal synaptic bouton proliferation, as expression of the short DYSC isoform containing the two N-terminal PDZ domains is maintained at wild-type levels in dysc:4168 homozygotes (Jepson et al., 2012). We therefore performed rescue experiments using a transgene encoding a short DYSC isoform (uas-dysc-short), and indeed found that neither neuronal nor muscle expression of the short DYSC isoform rescued any aspect of synaptic morphology (Fig. 2C,D).

In addition, to test whether DYSC levels influence synaptic bouton size and number in a dose-dependent manner, we overexpressed DYSC in neurons in a wild-type background. Overexpression of either long or short DYSC transgenes did not alter bouton number or size relative to controls (supplementary material Fig. S6). These experiments indicate that DYSC is required in the presynaptic compartment to influence synaptic development, and suggest that the C-terminal region that includes the third PDZ domain of DYSC is required for this function.

**DYSC influences the architecture of active zones**

We next sought to examine the subcellular localization of presynaptic DYSC puncta in more detail by immunostaining synapses in dysc::gfp larvae with anti-GFP and either anti-Bruchpilot (anti-BRP) or anti-Fasciclin II (FASII) antibodies.

---

**Fig. 2. DYSC is required in neurons for correct synaptic development.** (A) Confocal slices illustrating punctate expression of DYSC::GFP (GFP) in both axonal bundles descending from the larval ventral nerve cord and at synaptic bouton termini. This staining pattern was not observed in controls lacking the dysc::gfp insertion. Scale bars: 20 μm in axons; 5 μm in boutons. (B) Confocal projections showing representative NMJs at muscle 6/7, segment 3 of wild-type dysc::gfp and control larvae. Neuronal membranes are labeled with anti-HRP. Arrowheads indicate enlarged synaptic boutons in dysc larvae that are absent following presynaptic restoration of full-length DYSC. Scale bar: 20 μm. (C,D) Pre- and postsynaptic rescue experiments examining bouton number (C; n=12-20) or bouton size (D; n=176-326) using either elav-Gal4 (neurons) or 24B-Gal4 (muscle) drivers to express short or long DYSC isoforms. For ease of viewing, the data for dysc::gfp controls (dysc::gfp homozygotes and dysc larvae with presynaptic expression of a uas-dysc-long transgene). Neuronal membranes are labeled with anti-HRP. Arrowheads indicate enlarged synaptic boutons in dysc larvae that are absent following presynaptic restoration of full-length DYSC. Scale bar: 20 μm. (C,D) Pre- and postsynaptic rescue experiments examining bouton number (C; n=12-20) or bouton size (D; n=176-326) using either elav-Gal4 (neurons) or 24B-Gal4 (muscle) drivers to express short or long DYSC isoforms. For ease of viewing, the data for dysc::gfp controls (dysc::gfp homozygotes and dysc larvae with presynaptic expression of a uas-dysc-long transgene). Neuronal membranes are labeled with anti-HRP. Arrowheads indicate enlarged synaptic boutons in dysc larvae that are absent following presynaptic restoration of full-length DYSC. Scale bar: 20 μm. Values represent mean±s.e.m. ***P<0.0005; ns, not significant (P>0.05), one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test.
BRP is a key constituent of T-bars, electron-dense protrusions found at the AZ (Kittel et al., 2006; Wagh et al., 2006), whereas FASII is a marker for permissive zones linked to endocytosis (Sone et al., 2000). DYSC::GFP puncta were often (but not always) adjacent to or overlapping with BRP-labeled T-bars (Fig. 3A, upper panel). Transgenic full-length DYSC expressed presynaptically exhibited a similar localization near BRP puncta (supplementary material Fig. S4C). By contrast, DYSC::GFP exhibited little overlap with FASII (Fig. 3A, lower panel), indicating that synaptic DYSC is preferentially localized in close proximity to AZs.

Given the close association of DYSC and BRP-labeled T-bars, we next investigated whether DYSC influences the expression or localization of BRP. Under confocal microscopy, we observed no clear alteration either in the localization of BRP or the apposition between BRP and the postsynaptic Glutamate Receptor IIC (GLURIIC) in dysc mutant synapses (supplementary material Fig. S7A,B), nor any significant change in GLURIIC levels (supplementary material Fig. S7B,C). However, at increased magnification, the size of BRP puncta appeared to be somewhat increased in dysc mutants (supplementary material Fig. S7B).

These observations suggested that DYSC might impact the fine structure of T-bars. We therefore used a super-resolution imaging technique, stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy (Dyba et al., 2003), to obtain nanometer-scale images of BRP structures wild-type and dysc mutant synapses (Fig. 3B). As shown previously (Kittel et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2011; Oswald et al., 2012), BRP forms donut-like structures in wild-type synapses when observed under STED imaging (Fig. 3B). Intriguingly, we found that both dysc<sup>03838</sup> homoyzgous and dysc<sup>03838</sup> dysc<sup>s168</sup> trans-heterozygous mutants exhibited a significant increase in the mean area of individual BRP rings (Fig. 3B,C). Furthermore, we observed frequent merging of neighboring BRP rings in dysc mutants (Fig. 3B). These phenotypes were rescued by neuronal expression of a full-length DYSC transgene, confirming that this phenotype is caused by a loss of presynaptic DYSYC (Fig. 3D,E). Thus, in addition to modulating synaptic morphology, DYSC also plays a significant role in regulating the macromolecular architecture of a key constituent of the AZ.

**SLO channels impact synaptic development at the larval NMJ**

We have previously shown that DYSC binds to and promotes the expression of SLO channels in the adult Drosophila nervous system (Jepson et al., 2012). However, whether this is also the case at earlier developmental time points is unclear. Consistent with our previous data in the adult brain, loss of DYSC also resulted in a robust reduction in SLO expression in motor neuron axons of the larval ventral nerve cord (supplementary material Fig. S8A). Previous work has shown that mutations in slo lead to an increased frequency of rhythmic peristalsis of the body wall muscle (McKiernan, 2013), and, similarly, we found that larvae homoyzgous for both dysc<sup>s168</sup> and dysc<sup>03838</sup> also exhibited a significant enhancement in the rate of peristalsis (supplementary material Fig. S8B).

We next asked whether the alterations in synaptic bouton morphology found in dysc mutants were also observed in larvae lacking SLO channels. To this end, we obtained a slo mutant line carrying a Minos element insertion in the exon encoding transmembrane domain 2 of the SLO channel (slo<sup>11481</sup>; www.flybase.org), resulting in an interruption in the coding sequence that is expected to inhibit production of full-length slo transcripts, and examined how this mutation affects synaptic development. We confirmed that, as predicted, slo<sup>11481</sup> is a null allele using RT-PCR (supplementary material Fig. S9A). In addition, to control for differences in genetic background, we outcrossed all dysc and slo alleles into an isogenic white<sup>iso31</sup> background for 5-10 generations.

Like dysc mutants, slo<sup>11481</sup> larval synapses exhibited significantly increased bouton areas (Fig. 4A,C). This phenotype was also observed in genetic backgrounds containing trans-heterozygotic combinations of slo<sup>11481</sup>, slo<sup>*</sup> (a canonical slo null allele resulting from a large chromosomal inversion), slo<sup>1</sup> (a null or strongly hypomorphic allele) and slo<sup>DT</sup> (a deficiency removing the slo locus) (supplementary material Fig. S9B,D,F), and was rescued by the introduction of a slo transgene (Fig. 4C) (Ghezzi et al., 2004).

Interestingly, in contrast to dysc mutants, synaptic bouton number was not significantly altered in any of the slo mutants we examined (Fig. 4B and supplementary material Fig. S9C,E). This contrasts with previous work reporting that larvae homo- or transheterozygous for three slo alleles (slo<sup>1</sup>, slo<sup>98</sup> and slo<sup>*</sup>) exhibit a hyper-budding phenotype at larval synapses (Lee and Wu, 2010). Our experiments...
were performed at 25°C in an iso31 genetic background, whereas the previous analysis was performed at room temperature in a Canton-S background (Lee and Wu, 2010). Phenotypic effects of mutations may be modified by both environmental conditions and genetic background (Chari and Dworkin, 2013; Dworkin et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014). Indeed, of the three slo mutants we examined raised at a lower temperature of 18°C (slo11481 and slo4 homozygotes, and slo1/slo4 transheterozygotes), only slo4 homozygotes exhibited a measurable increase in synaptic bouton budding (supplementary material Fig. S10). The slo4 chromosome contains a large inversion that prevents recombination, and it is likely that despite being outcrossed to an iso31 background five times, slo4 retains a substantial amount of the original background. Thus, slo4 mutants may harbor additional mutations that impact synaptic development in a temperature-sensitive manner. We conclude that in an iso31 genetic background, SLO channels predominantly act to negatively regulate bouton size rather than suppressing synaptic bouton budding.

dySC and slo act in a common genetic pathway to regulate synaptic bouton morphology

To examine whether slo and dySC are components of the same genetic pathway, we generated slo, dysc double mutants using two independent alleles of dysc and the slo11481 allele, and compared their synaptic phenotype relative to individual slo and dysc mutations. In relation to bouton size, slo, dysc double mutants exhibited significantly increased bouton size relative to controls (P<0.0001), but were not significantly different when compared with dysc mutations alone (P>0.99, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test) (Fig. 4B,D). Furthermore, we observed a significant increase in bouton size in slo11481/dysc<sup>c03838</sup> transheterozygotes relative to controls and heterozygotes for either allele (supplementary material Fig. S9G), demonstrating a synergistic interaction between the two genes.

Unexpectedly, we found that loss of SLO suppressed the reduction in synaptic bouton number observed in dysc mutants (Fig. 4B,C). A number of mechanisms could account for this effect. For example, loss of DYSC may lead to an altered subcellular localization of SLO that results in reduced bouton number, which is rescued by complete loss of SLO. Alternatively, selective loss of SLO in neurons but not in muscle tissue in dysc mutants may affect bouton number, whereas complete loss of SLO does not. We were unable to test these competing hypotheses because synaptic SLO could not be detected using our previously described antibody (Jepson et al., 2012) or a SLO::GFP fusion generated using the MiMIC system (Venken et al., 2011) (data not shown). Nonetheless, the genetic interactions between dySC and slo alleles detailed above strongly suggest that DYSC and SLO act in a common pathway to influence synaptic bouton size and number.

SLO channels impact active zone structure and Futsch localization

As dySC mutants display alterations in the structure of a key AZ complex, we determined whether slo mutants also exhibit similar AZ defects. Under STED microscopy, larvae homozygous for the slo11481 allele indeed exhibited an increase in BRP ring area and clustering that was almost identical to that seen in dysc larvae (Fig. 5). Larvae lacking a distinct potassium channel, Shaker, did not exhibit either an increase in BRP area or merging of BRP rings, suggesting that the alterations in AZ structure in slo mutants are not due to a general effect of neuronal hyperexcitability (supplementary material Fig. S11).

To test whether SLO and DYSC act in the same pathway for the regulation of AZ morphology, we visualized BRP rings in dysc, slo double mutants. The phenotype of dysc, slo double mutants was statistically indistinguishable from each individual mutation (Fig. 5A,B; P>0.27, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test), suggesting that DYSC and SLO act in the same pathway to regulate AZ size. Furthermore, we also observed a mislocalization of synaptic Futsch in slo mutants similar to that observed following loss of DYSC (supplementary material Fig. S12). Collectively, the above data provide evidence that DYSC and SLO act in a common pathway to regulate synaptic morphology, cytoskeletal dynamics and presynaptic macromolecular organization.

DYSC and SLO inhibit evoked neurotransmission

To test whether DYSC also impacts the physiology of larval synapses, we recorded evoked excitatory junctional currents (EJCs) in muscle 6/7 under voltage-clamp mode. We observed a significant increase in both the EJC amplitude and width in dysc<sup>c03838</sup> larvae relative to controls (Fig. 6A-C). The enhancement of the EJC amplitude was rescued by presynaptic expression of a full-length DYSC transgene in dysc<sup>c03838</sup> homozygotes (Fig. 6D,E), and there
regulates short-term plasticity. To altering the basal properties of evoked currents, DYSC also over this interval (supplementary material Fig. S13). Thus, in addition from 30-130 ms (supplementary material Fig. S13). By contrast, EJCs wild-type NMJs were approximately constant over an interval of Consistent with previous data (Kittel et al., 2006), paired EJCs from in short-term synaptic plasticity using a paired-pulse protocol.

As DYSC promotes neuronal SLO channel expression (Jepson et al., 2012), we also tested whether DYSC and SLO act in the same genetic pathway to regulate evoked synaptic transmission by examining evoked currents in slo and dysc, slo double mutants (Fig. 6A-C). Similar, to dysc mutants, slo mutants exhibited a significant increase in EJC amplitude and width (Fig. 6A-C). This contrasts with previous studies that reported no significant alterations of EJC amplitudes in slo mutants (Lee et al., 2008, 2014), but these discrepancies may due to different genetic backgrounds as discussed above. Importantly, dysc, slo double mutants also exhibited increased EJC amplitude and width, and did not show enhanced phenotypes over single mutants (Fig. 6A-C), suggesting that DYSC and SLO act within the same pathway to negatively control evoked synaptic output.

**DYSC and SLO inhibit spontaneous neurotransmitter release via a Ca^{2+}-independent mechanism**

We next asked whether DYSC also modulates spontaneous vesicle fusion by examining miniature excitatory junctional currents (mEJCs) from control and dysc^{c03838} homozygotes (Fig. 7A). We observed a striking (~250%) increase in the frequency of mEJCs in dysc mutants (Fig. 7A,B), indicating that loss of DYSC results in a substantial enhancement of the probability of spontaneous vesicle release. We also observed a similar increase in mEJC frequency in slo^{11481} homozygotes, and analysis of mEJCs in dysc, slo double mutants demonstrated that the effects of these mutations were non-additive, as there were no significant differences in mEJC frequency in any of the mutant backgrounds examined (P>0.9, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post-hoc test) (Fig. 7B). In addition, we observed a trend towards reduced mEJC amplitudes in dysc and slo homozygotes, as well as dysc, slo double mutants, but this trend only achieved significance in slo mutants (Fig. 7C). Thus, with regard to spontaneous neurotransmission, DYSC and SLO primarily act in a common pathway to regulate the frequency of synaptic vesicle fusion.

As both dysc and slo mutants exhibit structural alterations in AZ architecture, one simple hypothesis is that the larger (and merged)
AZs in dysc and slo mutants facilitate enhanced spontaneous vesicle fusion. The BRP ring-like complex within the AZ surrounds a central core of VGCCs (Kittel et al., 2006). Interestingly, recent work in mammalian synapses has shown that stochastic opening of VGCCs in the AZ is responsible for a substantial proportion of spontaneous neurotransmitter release (Ermolyuk et al., 2013), and that AZ size positively correlates with the frequency of spontaneous neurotransmitter release (Holderith et al., 2012; Matz et al., 2010), presumably via altered VGCC occupancy. If enhanced spontaneous vesicle fusion in dysc and slo mutants was due to an increase in the number of VGCCs within the AZ, this effect should be suppressed by removing extracellular Ca^{2+}. However, recording mEJCs in zero-Ca^{2+} saline did not alter mEJC frequency or amplitude in either control or mutant backgrounds (Fig. 7B,C). Thus, the effect of DYSC and SLO on spontaneous release is independent of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels and may instead be directly due to structural alterations at AZs that facilitate an increased probability of synaptic vesicle fusion.

**DISCUSSION**

Our work has revealed novel functions for the PDZ domain protein DYSC and its binding partner SLO in modulating diverse aspects of synaptic development and physiology, including synaptic bouton size, cytoskeletal protein localization, AZ structure and spontaneous neurotransmission. With respect to synaptic physiology, we found that DYSC acts as a negative regulator of evoked neurotransmission as well as of short-term synaptic plasticity; the alteration in evoked currents in dysc mutants are consistent with the known function of DYSC as a positive regulator of SLO channels (Jepson et al., 2012). Surprisingly, in addition to inhibiting evoked current amplitude and duration, DYSC and SLO also negatively regulate spontaneous neurotransmitter release in a manner that is independent of VGCC channel activation, as increased rates of miniature events are still robustly detected under zero-Ca^{2+} conditions. This finding raises the intriguing possibility that SLO channels perform non-canonical structural roles that impact spontaneous neurotransmission, as well as synaptic morphology and AZ architecture.

One issue emerging from the above results is whether altered AZ structure and spontaneous neurotransmission in dysc and slo mutants arise via a homeostatic response to increased excitability. Loss of specific ion channels in *Drosophila* has been shown to activate homeostatic transcriptional networks that act to balance excitability (Parrish et al., 2014; Ping and Tsunoda, 2012). In addition, the AZ T-bar itself has been theorized to function as a ‘plasticity module’ that can undergo structural adjustments in response to changes in synaptic input that, in turn, modify the probability of vesicle release (Wichmann and Sigrist, 2010). For example, at the crayfish NMJ, electrophysiological stimulation results in an increased number of AZs containing multiple T-bars (Wojtowicz et al., 1994), similar to the merged BRP rings observed in dysc and slo mutants. However, although we cannot rule out such a mechanism, we argue for a more direct role of the DYSC/SLO complex in regulating AZ formation and spontaneous neurotransmission. Numerous studies have shown that reducing postsynaptic excitability at the larval NMJ results in a compensatory increase in the probability of neurotransmitter release via a retrograde signaling mechanism (Frank et al., 2006; Muller and Davis, 2012). By contrast, in dysc and slo mutants both evoked spontaneous neurotransmission are enhanced (Figs 6 and 7), a finding that is inconsistent with a homeostatic negative-feedback model.

Given the close proximity of DYSC to synaptic T-bars, we suggest instead that the DYSC/SLO complex directly controls AZ structure in a manner that leads to increased spontaneous vesicle fusion. Such an effect has been demonstrated for *Drosophila* Neurexin 1 (NRX-1), a synaptic cell-adhesion molecule that is also localized close to T-bars (Li et al., 2007; Owald et al., 2012). Similar to DYSC and SLO, NRX-1 negatively regulates BRP ring size and inhibits merging of BRP rings (Owald et al., 2012); these structural alterations correlate with an increased mEPJ frequency in nrx-1 mutants (Li et al., 2007). How the changes in AZ architecture observed in dysc, slo and nrx-1 mutants are linked to enhanced rates of vesicle fusion is unclear. One possibility is that enlarged and misshapen AZs are less efficient at clamping synaptic vesicles to prevent premature exocytosis in the absence of Ca^{2+} (Huntwork and Littleton, 2007).
By what mechanisms might the DYSC/SLO complex influence AZ architecture? SLO channels contain a large intracellular C-terminal domain (Lee and Cui, 2010). Interestingly, recent studies have demonstrated that the C-terminal domain of SLACK channels, BK channel homologs that are potentiated by sodium rather than Ca$^{2+}$, are bound to by the Fragile-X mental retardation protein in a manner that induces channel activation (Brown et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012). The C-terminal domain of SLO channels may similarly act as a scaffold to bind synaptic proteins that regulate AZ structure. Alternatively, DYSC may act as the key interacting node via its PDZ domains in a SLO-dependent manner. Distinguishing between these (and other) possibilities will be a productive avenue of future research.

The morphological and physiological phenotypes observed in dysc and slo mutants may be mechanistically linked. Recent evidence suggests a causal link between spontaneous neurotransmission and synaptic bouton budding (Choi et al., 2014). Under genetic conditions where spontaneous neurotransmission is inhibited but evoked neurotransmission is maintained, hyper-budding of synaptic boutons is observed at the larval NMJ (Choi et al., 2014). Importantly, mutations in complexin, which result in extremely high frequencies of miniature events due to a loss of pre-fusion clamping of synaptic vesicles, exhibit increased synaptic bouton size (Choi et al., 2014; Huntwork and Littleton, 2007). The enhancement of spontaneous neurotransmission in dysc and slo mutants may therefore contribute to the enlargement of synaptic boutons in both mutant backgrounds.

In addition, alterations in the synaptic localization of cytoskeletal protein Futsch may also act to independently increase synaptic bouton size in dysc and slo mutants. Futsch is a microtubule-binding protein that is crucial for synaptic bouton budding (Roos et al., 2000); mutations resulting in loss of Futsch function or the mislocalization of Futsch inhibit correct synaptic bouton budding, resulting in synapses with a reduced number of aberrantly large boutons (Mosca et al., 2012; Pennetta et al., 2002; Roos et al., 2000). We therefore posit a causal role for Futsch in mediating the abnormal development of synaptic boutons that results from loss of DYSC and SLO. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that mislocalization Futsch merely correlates with increases in bouton size in dysc and slo mutants.Mutations in futsch do not affect AZ structure or increase spontaneous neurotransmission (Lepicard et al., 2014), suggesting the alterations in T-bar architecture and spontaneous vesicle release are not downstream of changes in synaptic Futsch localization, but represent parallel pathways by which DYSC and SLO influence synaptic development and physiology.

In conclusion, our research sheds new light on the roles of DYSC and its binding partner SLO in the Drosophila nervous system. The mammalian DYSC and SLO homologs whirlin and KCNMA1 may play similar roles in synaptic development and function.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Drosophila genetics and molecular biology**

Flies were reared on standard food containing cornmeal, yeast and molasses at 25°C under 12 h light:12 h dark cycles. The *dysc*Mo(3)0838 and dyscMo(1)616 P-element insertion have been described previously (Jepson et al., 2012). The elav-Gal4 and 24B-Gal4 stocks, flies harboring the slo11481, 11611 Minos element, a deficiency line that removes the slo locus [s1118; +; Df(3R)BSC397] and the dyscM602725 MiMIC insertion used to generate the dyss::gfp allele were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center. To control for potential differences in genetic background, all *dyss* and *slo* alleles were outcrossed into a white1118 (iso31) background for 5-10 generations prior to experimentation. The *dyss::gfp* allele was generated by FSC31-mediated recombination between a construct containing the GFP/FlAsH/StrepII/3×FLAG protein trap and an artificial MiMIC exon in the *dyss* locus (dyssM602725), as described previously (Venken et al., 2011). Transgenic fly lines containing GFP/FlAsH/StrepII/3×FLAG insertions were generated by standard germline transformation (Rainbow Transgenics). Fly head mRNA was extracted using TRZol (Life Technologies), and reverse transcribed using High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Applied Biosystems). See methods in the supplementary material for primer sequences used in this study.

**Larval behavior**

Third instar wandering larvae were collected, gently rinsed in PBS and placed on 5% sucrose/2% agar plates. One larva was counted per plate, and the number of peristaltic body wall contractions was measured visually over a 1 min period under a dissecting microscope.

**Confocal microscopy, immunohistochemistry and quantification of synaptic parameters**

Wandering third instar larvae were used for confocal and STED imaging of larval synapses and AZs at muscle 6/7 or muscle 4 of segment 3. For all larval experiments, both sexes were used. Adult brains were dissected and immunostained as described previously (Wu and Luo, 2006). All confocal microscopy was performed using an Olympus Fluoview confocal microscope. Images were contrast enhanced in Adobe Photoshop. Where images of multiple genotypes are presented, all images were subject to the same enhancements. See methods in the supplementary material for further information on quantification of synaptic parameters, antibodies and staining protocols.

**STED imaging**

STED images were acquired on a Leica TCS SP5 STED CW microscope with a 100×1.4 NA oil objective. The Alexa 488 secondary antibody was excited with a 488 nm laser line and depleted by a 592 nm laser line. STED images were deconvolved using the built-in deconvolution algorithm of the Leica LAS-AF software (Signal Intensity filter, regulation parameter: 0.01-0.02). The point spread function was generated using a 2D Lorentz function with the full-width half-maximum set to 70 nm. AZ areas were measured blind with respect to the experimental genotype. Only individual AZs that could be clearly distinguished from other AZs were included in the area measurements.

**Electrophysiology**

Larvae were dissected and recorded in hemolymph-like saline HL3.1 containing (in mM): 70 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 CaCl$_2$, 4 MgCl$_2$, 10 NaHCO$_3$, 5 trehalose, 115 sucrose and 5 HEPES (pH 7.2) as described previously (Feng et al., 2004; Ueda and Wu, 2006). The segmental nerves were severed from the ventral ganglion and stimulated with a suction electrode. Recordings were performed on ventral longitudinal muscle 6/7 in abdominal segments A3-A5 of third instar larvae. All cells selected for recording had resting membrane potentials between −50 and −70 mV. Both spontaneous and evoked postsynaptic currents were recorded while the muscle cell was voltage clamped at −60 mV, using an AxoClamp 2A (Axon Instruments) amplifier in single-electrode voltage clamp mode (switching frequency 10 KHz) and sharp microelectrodes (WPI) filled with 3 M KCl (5-10 MΩ resistance).
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