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Programmed cell cycle arrest is required for infection of corn plants by the fungus *Ustilago maydis*

Sónia Castanheira, Natalia Mielnichuk and José Pérez-Martín*

**ABSTRACT**

*Ustilago maydis* is a plant pathogen that requires a specific structure called infective filament to penetrate the plant tissue. Although able to grow, this filament is cell cycle arrested on the plant surface. This cell cycle arrest is released once the filament penetrates the plant tissue. The reasons and mechanisms for this cell cycle arrest are unknown. Here, we have tried to address these questions. We reached three conclusions from our studies. First, the observed cell cycle arrest is the result of the cooperation of at least two distinct mechanisms: one involving the activation of the DNA damage response (DDR) cascade; and the other relying on the transcriptional downregulation of Hsl1, a kinase that modulates the G2/M transition. Second, a sustained cell cycle arrest during the infective filament step is necessary for the virulence in *U. maydis*, as a strain unable to arrest the cell cycle was severely impaired in its ability to infect corn plants. Third, production of the appressorium, a structure required for plant penetration, is incompatible with an active cell cycle. The inability to infect plants by strains defective in cell cycle arrest seems to be caused by their failure to induce the appressorium formation process. In summary, our findings uncover genetic circuits to arrest the cell cycle during the growth of this fungus on the plant surface, thus allowing the penetration into plant tissue.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Pathogenic fungi are characterized by a great diversity in their lifestyles and, as a consequence, in the symptoms they cause. This is an important caveat for the search of common targets in antifungal research, as different attributes might be important for each fungus to cause disease. However, despite such diversity, all of them share the requirement of accurate developmental decisions for the induction of the virulence program. Developmental decisions often involve differentiation processes that need the rest of the cell cycle and the induction of a new morphogenetic program. Therefore, the understanding of how growth and cell cycle progression are regulated coordinately during pathogenic development seems to be an alternative way to cope with fungal infections. Although efforts have been made for various fungal systems, there is still limited information available regarding the relationship of these processes with the induction of the virulence programs (Sudbery and Gladfelter, 2008). Hence, the role of fungal cell cycle regulators – which are widely conserved elements – as true virulence factors has yet to be defined.

The corn smut fungus *Ustilago maydis* represents an excellent model to study the relationships between cell cycle, morphogenesis and pathogenicity (Perez-Martin et al., 2006; Steinberg and Perez-Martin, 2008). The activation of the virulence program in *U. maydis* involves the mating of a pair of compatible haploid budding cells to produce an infectious dikaryotic hypha. This process implies strong morphological changes (bud-to-hypha transition) as well as genetic changes (haploid-to-dikaryotic transition), advocating for an accurate control of the cell cycle and morphogenesis during these transitions (Perez-Martin, 2012). For example, the formation of the infective filament in *U. maydis*, which is the first step in the pathogenic process, relies on a dual process that involves a specific G2 cell cycle arrest as well as the activation of strong polar growth (Perez-Martin and Castillo-Lluva, 2008). On the plant surface, this cell cycle-arrested hypha expands by apical growth, with the cytoplasm accumulating at the tip cell compartment. The older parts of the hypha become vacuolated and are sealed off by insertion of regularly spaced septa at the distal pole. This results in the formation of characteristic empty sections, which often collapse (Steinberg et al., 1998). Eventually, the hypha stops polar growth in response to unidentified plant signals and forms the appressorium, a structure that adheres to the plant surface to achieve penetration (Snetselaar and Mims, 1993). Appressorium formation is mandatory for the infection to proceed, as *U. maydis* mutant strains unable to produce functional appressoria are avirulent (Berdnt et al., 2010; Fernandez-Alvez et al., 2009; Freitag et al., 2011; Lanver et al., 2010). Once the filament enters the plant, the cell cycle is reactivated. The formation of empty sections ceases and mitotic divisions take place, concomitant with the development of clamp-like structures that allow the correct sorting of nuclei to maintain the dikaryotic status (de Sena-Tomas et al., 2011; Scherer et al., 2006). In this way the fungus proliferates within the plant, inducing the formation of tumors, in which diploid teliospores are eventually generated.

A peculiar characteristic of the *U. maydis* dikaryotic infective filament is the sustained cell cycle arrest at G2 phase while growing on the plant surface. However, it seems to be a more general feature, as this arrest has also been described in rust fungi, such as *Uromyces phaseoli* (Heath and Heath, 1979). The biological function of the cell cycle arrest is not known. One possibility is that the suppression of the M phase enables the fungus to explore efficiently the host surface, as tip growth occurs in G2 phase only (Perez-Martin and Castillo-Lluva, 2008). Alternatively, tight cell cycle control could be linked to the developmental program as such, as described in metazoans (Budirahardja and Gonczy, 2009). To further understand the role of the cell cycle control in pathogenicity, we aimed to uncouple the cell cycle arrest from other developmental processes during early invasive growth.

Previous research from our group has shown that efficient cell cycle arrest during the infective filament formation requires the cooperation of elements from the DNA damage response (DDR) cascade, such as the kinase Chk1 and its upstream activating kinase...
At1 (de Sena-Tomas et al., 2011; Mielnichuk et al., 2009; Perez-Martin, 2009). During the early stages of formation of the infective hypha, the Chk1 kinase is activated for a short period of time, resulting in a transient G2 cell cycle arrest (Mielnichuk et al., 2009). Our current hypothesis is that the activation of the DDR cascade provides a time frame during which additional mechanisms are recruited to sustain a long-term cell cycle arrest. The nature of these proposed additional elements is unknown. Our results indicate that transcriptional downregulation of a Nim1-like kinase, Hsl1, cooperates together with the Chk1 transient activation to produce a permanent cell cycle arrest at G2 phase. We have disabled this cell cycle arrest during the infection process and have found that the absence of this cell cycle arrest renders cells unable to infect the plant. Finally, we have traced the virulence defect to the inability to produce appressoria.

RESULTS

Induction of b gene expression results in downregulation of the gene encoding the Nim1-like kinase Hsl1

The formation of the infectious hypha in U. maydis depends on an intricate transcriptional program that primarily involves the master transcriptional regulator b-factor (Feldbrugge et al., 2004). Production of this regulator is linked to the mating process that, after cell fusion, leads to the interaction of the two subunits (bW and bE) composing the b-factor, each subunit being provided by each mating partner. Our rationale was that the additional elements required for sustained cell cycle arrest during the formation of the infective filament might be cell cycle regulatory genes, the transcriptional levels of which might be affected by the b-dependent transcriptional program. In fact, previous studies analyzing the U. maydis transcriptome found that mRNA levels of several cell cycle regulatory genes decreased upon b-expression (Heimel et al., 2010). However, caution should be exercised when analyzing the expression of cell cycle genes because the transcription of cell cycle genes is linked to an active cell cycle. Thus, downregulation of these genes could be merely a consequence of the b-induced cell cycle arrest. Therefore, it would be difficult to conclude whether the decrease in the levels of these regulators is a cause or a consequence of the cell cycle arrest.

To address this dilemma, we have analyzed the expression of genes encoding G2/M regulators in conditions of b-expression and non-arrested cell cycle. To achieve this, we took advantage of a U. maydis strain that expresses simultaneously the genes encoding the b-factor as well as an ectopic Cdk1 allele refractory to inhibitory phosphorylation at Tyr15 (cdk1AT), the ultimate cause of the b-induced G2 cell cycle arrest (Mielnichuk et al., 2009; Sgarlata and Perez-Martin, 2005b). In this strain, despite the activation of the b-dependent transcriptional program, the cell cycle is not arrested (Mielnichuk et al., 2009) (Fig. 1A). To express the b-factor, we used the haploid U. maydis strains AB33 and AB34 that harbor the compatible bE1 and bW2 and non-compatible bE1 and bW1 genes, respectively, both under the control of the nitrate-inducible nar1 promoter (Brachmann et al., 2001). Induction of bE1/bW2 in the compatible strain AB33 growing in medium with nitrate results in a filament that resembles the infectious hypha formed after fusion of compatible haploid cells. The cdk1 alleles [mutant and wild type (WT) as a control] were also expressed under the nar1 promoter, to induce both classes of genes (the b-factor-encoding genes and cdk1 alleles) simultaneously.

We included in our transcription analysis well-characterized U. maydis G2/M regulatory genes, such as the B-cyclins clb1 and clb2 (Garcia-Muse et al., 2004), the wee1 kinase (Sgarlata and Perez-Martin, 2005b) and the cdc25 phosphatase (Sgarlata and Perez-Martin, 2005a). We also included genes putatively encoding G2/M regulators, the transcriptional levels of which were shown to be altered upon b-expression in a recent genome-wide transcriptomic analysis (Heimel et al., 2010). These regulators included um03234.2, encoding a homolog to the Polo kinase and renamed Plk1, and um03928, encoding a Nim1-like kinase and renamed Hsl1.

We found that, for all analyzed genes, the levels of mRNA decreased upon b-induced cell cycle arrest. For some genes, like clb1, clb2, hsl1 and plk1, this decrease was dramatic, whereas for other genes, such as cdc25 and wee1, the decrease was around half the mRNA levels of control conditions (AB34) (Fig. 1B). However, in general, this decrease seems to be a consequence of the cell cycle arrest: interference with the b-induced cell cycle arrest upon expression of the cdk1AT allele prevented the decrease in the mRNA levels in all but one case (Fig. 1B). The only exception was observed for hsl1, which encodes a putative Nim1-like kinase. In this case, the levels of mRNA decreased upon b-factor expression, regardless of whether cell cycle was arrested or not. Therefore, we considered hsl1 to be a prime candidate for our study.

Hsl1 kinase is a G2/M cell cycle regulator in U. maydis

The Nim1 family of kinases is composed of several serine/threonine kinases that act as negative regulators of Wee1, the mitotic inhibitor
Hsl1-GFP fusion localizes at the bud neck (supplementary material Fig. S2). In hsl1Δ mutant strain compared with the wild-type strain (Fig. 3C). Also, consistent with a role of U. maydis Hsl1 as a Wee1 negative regulator, we found that the levels of Cdk1 inhibitory phosphorylation were higher in hsl1Δ cells (Fig. 3D).

In summary, our data show that Hsl1 is a bona fide Nim1-like kinase, acting as a G2/M regulator.

Fig. 2. hsl1Δ cells show an elongated morphology. (A) Schematic comparison of the Hsl1 protein with S. cerevisiae and S. pombe Nim1-like proteins. The catalytic domains are shown in black. The percentages inside each box represent the sequence identity compared with the U. maydis sequence. (B) Micrographs showing the cell morphology of control (UM194) and hsl1Δ (UMS60) cells in CMD liquid culture. Cells carried a constitutively expressed NLS-GFP reporter to detect nuclei and were stained with Calcofluor White (CFW) to detect septa. Scale bars: 15 μm. (C) Length of wild-type (FB1) and hsl1Δ (MUM1) cells growing in CMD. The length of the major axis of control and hsl1Δ mother cells was measured and plotted as a function of the number of cells. A sample of 150 cells was used for each measurement.
Downregulating expression of hsl1 and activating Chk1 collaborate in the b-induced cell cycle arrest

The previous results indicated that the downregulation of hsl1 mRNA levels seems to be an effect of the induction of the transcriptional b-program and not a consequence of the cell cycle arrest. In addition, the deletion of hsl1 in haploid cells growing in axenic conditions produced a G2 cell cycle delay. These results supported our initial hypothesis that additional mechanisms are recruited to sustain a long-term cell cycle arrest during the infective filament formation, and that these mechanisms are most likely related to b-dependent transcriptional changes of regulatory genes needed for G2/M transition. Consequently, we tested whether the downregulation of hsl1 expression upon b-induction was responsible for the observed cell cycle arrest. Our attempts to disable the b-dependent downregulation of hsl1 by removing transcriptional factors downstream of b factor were unsuccessful (supplementary material Fig. S4). Therefore, we decided to circumvent the downregulation of hsl1 by exchanging the native hsl1 promoter with the strong constitutive tef1 promoter (which renders the hsl1 promoter allele). We chose this promoter because its activity was refractory to inhibition by the b-dependent transcriptional program (see below), and because it was able to produce transcriptional levels roughly similar to the native hsl1 promoter in minimal medium (supplementary material Fig. S5).

To address the effect of sustained transcription of hsl1 mRNA during the induction of the infective filament, we introduced the hsl1 allele into the UMP112 strain. This strain is derived from AB33 and carries a GFP fusion to a nuclear localization signal under control of the dik6 promoter. Because the expression of dik6 promoter is dependent on an active b heterodimer (Brachmann et al., 2001), this strain provides use of the nuclear fluorescence as a visual reporter for the release of cell cycle arrest (counting the nuclear content of the filaments) as well as a surrogate marker of the ability of the different mutants to respond to the b-program. In addition, to analyze the possible joint contribution to cell cycle arrest of hsl1 downregulation and activation of the DDR pathway, we also combined the hsl1 promoter allele with the deletion of chkl.

First, we observed that, for filaments carrying the hsl1 promoter allele, the content of hsl1 mRNA was maintained at a high level upon b-factor induction (Fig. 4A), bypassing the b-dependent transcriptional downregulation described above. Second, we found no difference in the proportion of cells responding to b-factor in the different mutant backgrounds. Even at short times upon induction of b expression (4 h), almost the entire cell population showed nuclear fluorescence (supplementary material Fig. S6), indicating that there was no interference with the b-induced transcriptional program. The filaments that constitutively express hsl1 showed a bulbous structure at the neck of the filament (supplementary material Fig. S6). We have previously reported a similar structure at the neck of filaments produced by cells lacking septins in U. maydis (Alvarez-Tabares and Pérez-Martín, 2010), suggesting that the constitutive expression of hsl1 in the filament could be affecting the septin structure at the filament neck.

 Unexpectedly, we found that sustained levels of hsl1 mRNA alone seem to have no effect on the cell cycle arrest during filament induction. No difference between control (UMP112) filaments or
filaments carrying the hsl1^{ref} allele alone was found regarding nuclear content (Fig. 4B). By contrast, as previously reported (Mielnichuk et al., 2009), in the chk1 mutant filaments it was possible to observe two and, less frequently, three nuclei, indicating that they were able to divide once, and occasionally even twice, before they arrested their cell cycle. Strikingly, in combination with the absence of Chk1, the presence of the hsl1^{ref} allele precluded the b-dependent permanent cell cycle arrest. In the double-mutant (chk1Δ hsl1^{ref}) strain we observed that the filaments carried several nuclei (Fig. 4C,D). Moreover, these nuclei were separated by septa, indicating that the release from cell cycle arrest was complete, resulting in cell division after each cell cycle. As a consequence, the morphology of the mutant filament was different from the control cell cycle-arrested filament; it resembled the growth of a filamentous fungus.

In summary, our results indicate that two individual mechanisms act in concert to specifically establish immediate and sustained cell cycle arrest in U. maydis.

**Strains unable to arrest the cell cycle were severely impaired in virulence**

To address the consequences of a defective cell cycle arrest during corn infection by U. maydis, we constructed compatible haploid strains (i.e. a1b1 and a2b2 mating types) carrying the hsl1^{ref} allele, alone or in combination with the chk1Δ allele. Mixtures of compatible strains carrying different mutant combinations (WT, hsl1^{ref}, chk1Δ and hsl1^{ref} chk1Δ) were used to infect 7-day-old maize seedlings by stem injection. Disease symptoms were scored 14 days after infection according to severity (Kamper et al., 2006) (Fig. 5A). We found that infection with strains unable to arrest the cell cycle permanently (hsl1^{ref} chk1Δ) resulted in a dramatic loss of virulence (Fig. 5A). The most severe symptoms detectable in plants inoculated with hsl1^{ref} chk1Δ crosses were small chlorotic spots (Fig. 5B). Only two plants out of 67 developed further symptoms (in one of them we observed ligula swelling, whereas in the other plant only small tumors on the infected leaf were observed). By contrast, all plants inoculated with wild-type crosses showed tumor formation (Fig. 5A). Strains carrying a chk1 deletion alone were less efficient in infecting plants and never produced large tumors, as described before (Mielnichuk et al., 2009), whereas the virulence of strains carrying the hsl1^{ref} allele alone was slightly less severe than that of control strains.

In U. maydis, virulence and sexual development are intricately interconnected. A prerequisite for generating the infectious stage is the mating of two compatible haploid cells to form, after cell fusion, the infective dikaryotic filament. As the mating process also involves a transient cell cycle arrest (Garcia-Muse et al., 2003), we tested whether the observed dramatic virulence defects in hsl1^{ref}
The SG200 strain is engineered to express both pheromone genes and encodes an active bE1/bW2 heterodimer, which makes it solopathogenic, that is, able to infect plants without mating (Bolker et al., 1995). We infected plants with the SG200 strain as well as single- and double-mutant strains carrying compatible mating types (a1 b1 and a2 b2) in charcoal-containing agar plates. To distinguish the b-induced filaments from the filaments in crosses of compatible haploid strains on charcoal-containing plates, we used haploid strains carrying the cell population background (frequently enriched in aberrantly elongated cells) we used for the double mutant cross. We found no evidence of mutant fungal strains were caused simply by the inability to mate. To address this question, we analyzed the presence of b-dependent filaments in crosses of compatible haploid strains on charcoal-containing plates. To distinguish the b-induced filaments from the filaments carrying fluorescent nuclei can be attributed to be the result of a mating process. We observed that wild-type crosses led to white, fuzzy colonies. Microscopic analysis of these colonies showed the formation of b-dependent filaments, which presented two fluorescent nuclei. By contrast, the hsl1ΔΔ chk1Δ mutant crosses showed an obvious impaired fuzz response. However, we observed filaments with several fluorescent nuclei (Fig. 6A,B), indicating that, although attenuated in filament formation, the hsl1ΔΔ chk1Δ strains were able to mate.

To test the possibility that the lack of virulence of the hsl1ΔΔ chk1Δ strain was a consequence of impaired mating, we constructed a double hsl1ΔΔ chk1Δ mutant in the SG200 genetic background. The SG200 strain is engineered to express both pheromone genes and encodes an active bE1/bW2 heterodimer, which makes it solopathogenic, that is, able to infect plants without mating (Bolker et al., 1995). We infected plants with the SG200 strain as well as single- and double-mutant strains carrying compatible mating types (a1 b1 and a2 b2) in charcoal-containing agar plates. The U. maydis strains used in each cross were FB1×FB2 (control); UMP122×UMP129 (chk1Δ); UMS122×UMS124 (hsl1ΔΔ); UMS123×UMS125 (chk1Δ, hsl1ΔΔ) and water as negative control. Symptoms were grouped into color-coded categories depicted on the right side. Two independent experiments were carried out and the average values are expressed as percentage of the total number of infected plants (n=50 plants).

**Cell cycle arrest is required for appressorium formation**

To determine the step at which the cell cycle arrest-defective strains were impaired during pathogenic development, we investigated the status of fungal material around the puncture in leaves inoculated with the double mutant cross. We found no evidence of mutant fungal cells inside the plant tissue (supplementary material Fig. S7). A similar experiment performed with wild-type crosses showed fungal hyphae inside the plant tissue (supplementary material Fig. S7). This result suggests that the mutant cells were defective in cuticle penetration and/or subsequent colonization of the plant tissue. The appressorium formation is a requisite for plant penetration, and we therefore decided to search for the presence of appressoria on leaves infected with the double hsl1ΔΔ chk1Δ mutant. To facilitate the localization of appressoria, we first introduced the AM1 reporter into control (WT) and double mutant combination created in the SG200 genetic background. The AM1 reporter is a transcriptional GFP fusion with the promoter from the gene encoding um01779. This marker shows GFP expression exclusively in those tip cells of filaments that differentiate an appressorium (Mendoza-Mendoza et al., 1995). We infected plants with the double mutant cross. We found no evidence of mutant fungal material around the puncture in leaves inoculated with the double mutant cross. We found no evidence of mutant fungal material around the puncture in leaves inoculated with the double mutant cross. We found no evidence of mutant fungal material around the puncture in leaves inoculated with the double mutant cross. We found no evidence of mutant fungal material around the puncture in leaves inoculated with the double mutant cross. We found no evidence of mutant fungal material around the puncture in leaves inoculated with the double mutant cross.
et al., 2009). The leaves were also stained with Calcofluor White (CFW) to detect fungal filaments, as well as to distinguish appressorium formation, which is preceded by formation of a characteristic, crook-like structure that frequently accumulates CFW (Snetselaar and Mims, 1993). By using this approach, we easily found appressoria in wild-type infections, but rarely detected appressoria in the double-mutant strain (supplementary material Fig. S8). We consider this as evidence that, in the absence of a cell cycle arrest, \textit{U. maydis} infective filaments were unable to induce appressorium formation and thereby unable to infect plants.

To provide additional support to this conclusion, we decided to take advantage of previously established \textit{in vitro} conditions to induce appressoria formation in \textit{U. maydis} (Mendoza-Mendoza et al., 2009). We spread wild-type and mutant strains carrying the AM1 reporter on an artificial hydrophobic surface in the presence of long-chain hydroxy fatty acids. After 20 h of incubation, we scored the proportion of filaments (stained with CFW) showing GFP fluorescence (i.e. adopting the appressorium differentiation program). We found that both WT and single mutants were able to produce appressoria at a ratio comparable to those found in other studies for these \textit{in vitro} conditions (Berndt et al., 2010; Freitag et al., 2011; Lanver et al., 2010; Mendoza-Mendoza et al., 2009). In the \textit{hsl1}^{mut} \textit{chk1}\Delta strain, however, we rarely found GFP-positive filaments (Fig. 7A,B).

We were interested in studying which step in the cell cycle arrest affects the ability to produce the appressorium. Activation of the program that produces the appressorium in \textit{U. maydis} involves the sensing of at least two different plant-derived stimuli: a hydrophobic surface and hydroxyl fatty acids. It has been proposed that these signals are sensed, among other elements, by two membrane proteins related to \textit{S. cerevisiae} Sho1p and Msb2p (Lanver et al., 2010), and transmitted by the same MAPK cascade that mediates the pheromone signaling (Mendoza-Mendoza et al., 2009). Mutants lacking these elements, or those unable to process the surface receptors correctly (i.e. showing defects in glycosylation), are severely impaired in their capacity to produce appressoria and subsequently show dramatic defects in virulence (Fernandez-Alvarez et al., 2012; Lanver et al., 2010). Cell cycle regulation could affect the transmission pathway or it could interfere with elements downstream of the signaling cascade. To address whether the cell cycle arrest is affecting the ability to transmit the signal, we introduced into those strains (mutant and WT) that carry the AM1 reporter a construction that expresses the \textit{fuz7}DD allele, encoding a hyperactive version of the pheromone cascade MAPKK, resulting in UMS145 (\textit{P}_{crg1}:\textit{fuz7}DD) and UMS154 (\textit{chk1}\Delta, \textit{hsl1}^{mut} \textit{P}_{crg1}:\textit{fuz7}DD).

**DISCUSSION**

In response to the activation of the \textit{b}-dependent transcriptional program, \textit{U. maydis} cells produce an infective filament that is arrested at the G2 stage in the cell cycle. In this study, we found that...
hsl1 downregulation upon b-induction promotes sustained cell cycle arrest.

Hsl1 belongs to the Nim1 family of kinases. In budding and fission yeasts, these kinases were described as positive regulators of G2/M transition, the inactivation of which resulted in a prolonged G2 phase (Barral et al., 1999; Feilotter et al., 1991; Kanoh and Russell, 1998). We have found a similar effect in U. maydis cells lacking Hsl1 during growth in axenic conditions. The cell cycle target of Nim1 kinases in budding and fission yeasts is the kinase Wee1, and our epistasis analysis suggests that it could also be the case for U. maydis. In S. cerevisiae, the Nim1 family kinases collaborate through a (still unclear) mechanism to promote the degradation of Swe1 (King et al., 2012; McMillan et al., 2002; Sia et al., 1998). By contrast, in S. pombe, Nim1 and Cdr2 kinases seem not to affect the protein levels of Wee1 but they inhibit its activity (Coleman et al., 1993; Kanoh and Russell, 1998; Wu and Russell, 1993). We found that, for U. maydis, the absence of Hsl1 resulted in an increase in the levels of Cdk1 inhibitory phosphorylation. However, the levels of Wee1 protein in these mutant cells seems to be similar to those observed in control cells (supplementary material Fig. S9). In summary, it is likely that in U. maydis Hsl1 assumes some of the roles described in budding and fission yeasts.

Regardless of the actual role of Hsl1 during the vegetative cell cycle in U. maydis, here we have examined the relevance of the cell cycle arrest for the infection process. Although sustained hsl1 expression alone was insufficient to disable the cell cycle arrest upon b-induction, we found that, in combination with the deletion of chk1, the resulting b-dependent filaments were not cell cycle arrested. The two independent proposed mechanisms seem to act through the same cell cycle regulatory node: the inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdk1. Chk1 activation results in the inhibition of Cdc25, the phosphatase that removes the inhibitory phosphorylation (Mielnichuk et al., 2009; Sgarlata and Perez-Martin, 2005a), whereas in the case of Hsl1 downregulation we suggest that the Wee1 activity – responsible for the inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdk1 – is increased. The imbalance in these opposing activities (phosphatase and kinase) helps to explain the previously observed accumulation of inhibitory phosphorylation in Cdk1 upon activation of the b-dependent transcriptional program, which is ultimately responsible for the cell cycle arrest in G2 (Mielnichuk et al., 2009).

Being able to disable the b-dependent cell cycle arrest, we constructed double-mutant haploid sexually compatible strains, enabling us to address the importance of the cell cycle arrest during the infective process in U. maydis. These strains were proficient to mate and to produce infective filaments that were not cell cycle arrested. Importantly, these strains were strongly impaired in their virulence. We found that the mutant infective filaments that did not arrest the cell cycle were unable to produce appressoria, either on the plant surface or on a hydrophobic surface under in vitro conditions. The appressorium formation resulted in a localized area of secretion, where plant cell wall-degrading enzymes that help the penetration of the cuticle (Schirawski et al., 2005) and specific effectors proteins required for the precise signaling occurring during infection (Djamei and Kahmann, 2012) are concentrated. As a consequence, appressorium formation is essential during the infective process in U. maydis, and mutants affected in this step are severely impaired in their virulence (Berndt et al., 2010; Fernandez-Alvarez et al., 2012; Freitag et al., 2011; Lanver et al., 2010). Therefore, it appears that the lack of virulence of non-cell cycle-arrested filaments is a consequence of the inability to produce appressoria.

Basal septation in the b-dependent filament seems to be important for appressorium formation (Freitag et al., 2011). As Hsl1 seems to have some role in septation in U. maydis, it is worth noting that the filaments constitutively expressing hsl1 alone showed no differences in basal septa formation compared with control filaments (supplementary material Fig. S10).

Why does sustaining the G2 cell cycle arrest seem to be important during appressorium formation? In U. maydis, as it happens in other systems, entry into mitosis demands the recruitment of a large quantity of cytoskeletal elements to form the mitotic spindle (Straube et al., 2005). On the other hand, the morphogenesis of the appressorium, as well as the support for localized secretion, most likely depend on the coordinated use of both actin- and microtubules-based cytoskeletons, as it has been described for appressorium formation in other fungi (Dagdas et al., 2012). Therefore, it is likely that mitosis and the morphogenetic program responsible for the appressorium formation compete for the same cytoskeletal components. If this is the case, it makes sense that cellular controls exist to force these two processes to be incompatible. Interestingly, this sort of incompatibility is akin to developmental processes in metazoans.

We would like to propose a working model for how the incompatibility between an active cell cycle and appressorium formation is produced (Fig. 8). We considered the notion that the putative regulators that activate the appressorium formation could be downregulated in some way (directly or indirectly) by the activity of the Cdk1-B cyclin complexes. In U. maydis, there are two Cdk1-B cyclin complexes (Garcia-Muse et al., 2004). The Cdk1-Cbl2 complex is the main activator of mitosis entry and is the target of inhibitory phosphorylation by Wee1 (Sgarlata and Perez-Martin, 2005b). Cdk1-Cbl2 in contrast has roles both in G1/S and G2/M transitions (Sgarlata and Perez-Martin, 2005b). We propose that, in order to produce the appressorium, both complexes should be downregulated. According to our model, Cdk1-Cbl2 might be repressed through the increase of the inhibitory phosphorylation of the Cdk1 by the two-step mechanism discussed above, whereas the

---

**Fig. 8.** The developmental program required for appressorium formation is incompatible with an active cell cycle. Cdk1-B cyclin complexes inhibit still unidentified targets required for the formation of appressoria. To allow the induction of appressoria during the infective process, the activity of these CDK complexes should be previously downregulated, which occurs at different levels upon induction of b-factor. Cbl1-Cdk1 complexes are downregulated by the action of Biz1, a transcriptional repressor of the cib f gene. The Cib2-Cdk1 complexes are repressed by inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdk1 (yellow star), resulting from the upregulation of the kinase Wee1 (because of the b-dependent downregulation of its negative regulator Hsl1) and downregulation of the phosphatase Cdc25 (because of the activation of the DDR kinases Atr1 and Chk1).
Cdk1-Cib1 complex would be repressed through the transcriptional downregulation of cib1 upon binding of Biz1 to its promoter (Flor-Parra et al., 2006). In both cases, the trigger of these downregulations would be the b-factor acting through distinct regulatory circuits. In this study, we have shown that disabling the machinery involved in the inactivation of the Cdk1-Cib2 complex renders the fungus unable to produce appressoria. Furthermore, we previously reported that U. maydis cells in which the cib1 mRNA levels were kept high, because they were either defective in biz1 or simply bypassing the repression of cib1 expression by altering the native promoter, were impaired in appressoria formation (Flor-Parra et al., 2006). These two circuits, each devoted to their respective Cdk1-B cyclin complexes, are not independent: we found that the ability of Biz1 to repress cib1 promoter also requires the prior inhibition of Cdk1-Cib2 complex, as cib1 expression was not repressed upon ectopic expression of Biz1 in cells unable to phosphorylate Cdk1 at Tyr15 (supplementary material Fig. S11). This additional layer of regulation most likely helps to coordinate the inhibition of both Cdk-B cyclin complexes simultaneously.

In summary, here we provide clues to understand the interdependent relationship between cell cycle regulation and the machinery involved in the inactivation of the Cdk1-Clb2 complex. Appressorium formation and cell cycle progression seem to be mutually exclusive choices, a principle that could be applied in a broader sense to other fungal systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Strains and growth conditions

U. maydis strains used were derived from FB1 and FB2 genetic backgrounds (Banuett and Herskowitz, 1989) and are listed in supplementary material Table S1. Cells were grown in rich medium (YPD), complete medium (CMD) or minimal medium (MMD) (Holliday, 1974). Controlled expression of genes under the clb1 and ncl1 promoters was performed as described previously (Brandmann et al., 2001). FACS analyses were described previously (Garcia-Muse et al., 2003). Details about plasmid and strain constructions, RNA analysis and protein analysis are provided in the supplementary material.

Plant infections, mating assays and appressorium assays

Pathogenic development of wild-type and mutant strains was assayed by plant infections of the maize (Zea mays) variety Early Golden Bantam (Olds’ Seeds) as described before (Kamper et al., 2006). For mating assays, strains were crossed on charcoal-containing complete medium plates and incubated at 22°C (Holliday, 1974). For appressoria in vitro formation, we followed procedures previously described (Mendoza-Mendoza et al., 2009).

Microscopy

Images were obtained using a Nikon Eclipse 90i fluorescence microscope with a Hamamatsu Orca-ER camera driven by Metamorph (Universal Imaging) Images were further processed with Adobe Photoshop CS software.
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