ABSTRACT
In vertebrates, the first asymmetries are established along the animal-vegetal axis during oogenesis, but the underlying molecular mechanisms are poorly understood. Bucky ball (Buc) was identified in zebrafish as a novel vertebrate-specific regulator of oocyte polarity, acting through unknown molecular interactions. Here we show that endogenous Buc protein localizes to the Balbiani body, a conserved, asymmetric structure in oocytes that requires Buc for its formation. Asymmetric distribution of Buc in oocytes precedes Balbiani body formation, defining Buc as the earliest marker of oocyte polarity in zebrafish. Through a transgenic strategy, we determined that excess Buc disrupts polarity and results in supernumerary Balbiani bodies in a 3′UTR-dependent manner, and we identified roles for the buc introns in regulating Buc activity. Analyses of mosaic ovaries indicate that oocyte pattern determines the number of animal pole-specific microcytoplasmic organelles that are associated with an egg via a close-range signal or direct cell contact. We demonstrate interactions between Buc protein and buc mRNA with two conserved RNA-binding proteins (RNAbps) that are localized to the Balbiani body: RNA binding protein with multiple splice isoforms 2 (Rbpms2) and Deleted in azoospermia-like (Dazl). Buc protein and buc mRNA interact with Rbpms2; buc and dazl mRNAs interact with Dazl protein. Cumulatively, these studies indicate that oocyte polarization depends on tight regulation of buc: Buc establishes oocyte polarity through interactions with RNAbps, initiating a feedback amplification mechanism in which Buc protein recruits RNAbps that in turn recruit buc and other RNAs to the Balbiani body.
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INTRODUCTION
The vertebrate animal-vegetal axis is established during oogenesis, whereas the anteroposterior and dorsoventral embryonic axes arise after fertilization. Oocyte polarity is a prerequisite for determining the prospective embryonic axes and germ cell determination in some non-mammalian vertebrates. Oocyte polarity can be first distinguished histologically by the asymmetric distribution of organelles, proteins and RNAs within the Balbiani body (Bb) (de Smedt et al., 2000; Kloc et al., 2004; Marlow, 2010; Pepling et al., 2007). The Bb is an evolutionarily conserved asymmetric structure that is present in early oocytes of all animals examined, including humans. The Bb is a transient structure assembled in primary oocytes and disassembled thereafter. In zebrafish and Xenopus the Bb is the first indicator of the vegetal pole. The relationship between the Bb and the animal-vegetal axis of mammalian oocytes is not known. Despite its conserved structure and status as the first asymmetric structure in oocytes, only one gene, bucky ball (buc), is known to be required for Bb assembly in vertebrates (Bontems et al., 2009; Dosch et al., 2004; Kloc et al., 2004; Marlow, 2010; Marlow and Mullins, 2008). In zebrafish oocytes, Bb assembly in primary oocytes (stage Ia (zygotene), Ib (diplotene of meiosis I)) requires Buc (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008) and its disassembly in stage II oocytes requires Magellan (Mgn; Macf1 – ZFIN), a microtubule-actin crosslinking factor (Gupta et al., 2010). Proper regulation of Bb development is essential to establish the animal-vegetal axis and deliver RNAs and proteins to the vegetal pole. Three pathways that localize RNAs are known in vertebrate oocytes: transit through the Bb pathway, utilization of the ‘late vegetal pathway’, and an animal pole transport pathway (Abrams and Mullins, 2009; Gagnon and Mowry, 2011; Kloc et al., 2001; Kloc and Etkin, 1995; Kloc and Etkin, 2005; Kloc et al., 1998; Marlow, 2010; Zhou and King, 2004). Mutations that ablate the Bb (buc) (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008) or block its disassembly (mgn) (Gupta et al., 2010) disrupt localization of mRNAs along the animal-vegetal axis. The resulting eggs lack animal-vegetal polarity (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008). In zebrafish, asymmetry is also evident in the fates of the somatic follicle cells. At the animal pole of WT oocytes a single somatic cell forms the micropyle, a channel on the eggshell required for fertilization. buc mutant eggshells have excess micropyles, which leads to polyspermy (Marlow and Mullins, 2008). Nonsense mutations disrupting buc uncovered a role for Buc protein in promoting Bb assembly, but the regulation and function of buc during Bb assembly are not understood. Although Buc protein lacks identifiable functional domains, the dynamic localization of buc gene products in the Bb and later at the animal pole cortex (Bontems et al., 2009) suggests that localizing buc mRNA might be an important aspect of Buc regulation. Transcripts of the Xenopus homolog of the buc gene, Xvelo, localize to the oocyte vegetal pole and the relevant cis-acting elements in the Xvelo 3′UTR are known (Claussen and Pieler, 2004; Mowry and Melton, 1992). buc mRNA is not properly localized in buc mutants (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008), but it is not known if defective buc mRNA localization reflects a direct role of Buc protein in localizing its transcript or an indirect effect due to absence of the Bb and oocyte polarity.

RNA-binding proteins (RNAbps), which can localize RNAs and regulate their spatial and temporal translation or stability, are attractive candidate regulators of buc localization and/or Buc protein
activity. Indeed, several RNAbps, or their RNAs, localize to the Bbs of zebrafish and frogs (Draper et al., 2007; Kloc et al., 2000; Kosaka et al., 2007; Kroll et al., 2002; Marlow and Mullins, 2008; Song et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2001). Deleted in azoospermia-like (Dazl) is a conserved RNAbp required for germ cell differentiation and survival (Hashimoto et al., 2004; Houston and King, 2000; Houston et al., 1998; McNeillly et al., 2000; Ruggiu et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003). In Xenopus and zebrafish, dazl transcripts localize to the Bb and later remain at the vegetal pole (Bontems et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2004; Kloc et al., 2001; Kosaka et al., 2007; Maegawa et al.,

Like Dazl, RNA binding protein with multiple splice isoforms 2 (Rbpms2; also known as Hermes) is a conserved Bb-localized RNAbp (Kosaka et al., 2007; Song et al., 2007; Zearfoss et al., 2004). Rbpms2 colocalizes with and binds germ plasm RNAs (Kosaka et al., 2007; Song et al., 2007) and has been postulated to maintain their translational repression. Rbpms2 and dazl are not localized in zebrafish buc mutants (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008; Nojima et al., 2010). However, it has not been determined whether Buc specifies the site of Bb assembly or participates in recruiting proteins and RNAs to the Bb via indirect or direct interaction.

Here we show that endogenous Buc protein is asymmetrically localized in oocytes at stages before formation of the Bb, where Buc later localizes; thus, Buc is the earliest marker of oocyte polarity in zebrafish. Using a transgenic approach, we found that the buc introns are required for full rescue of the egg polarity and axis defects of buc<sup>106p106</sup> mutant females. As with other localized mRNAs, the buc 3′UTR harbors predicted recognition sites for RNAbps. Transgenes encoding the full-length protein and 3′UTR without introns (cbuc) cause ectopic Bb formation, whereas intron-lacking versions of buc with a truncated 3′UTR (cbuc80) disrupt animal-vegetal polarity. We show that Rbpms2 binds to buc but not other Bb-localized RNAs, such as dazl. By contrast, Dazl binds buc and dazl RNAs. Because Buc appears asymmetrically localized prior to localization of its RNA, we postulate that a mechanism involving localized translation or stabilization of Buc generates asymmetry and allows recruitment of mRNAs via interactions between Buc and RNAbps, such as Rbpms2. Our results indicate that establishing oocyte polarity in zebrafish relies on precise regulation of Buc levels and activity, possibly by a mechanism that requires buc introns. Our findings suggest that Buc initiates a positive-feedback mechanism whereby local production and/or stabilization of Buc protein allows recruitment of more buc RNA and, in turn, production of more Buc protein.

**RESULTS**

**Asymmetric localization of Buc protein prior to Bb formation**

To determine when and where endogenous Buc protein first appears during oocyte development, we generated anti-Buc antibodies. Endogenous Buc protein localized to the Bb in wild-type (WT) primary oocytes (Fig. 1A,A′,C–F; data not shown); no localized Buc protein was detected in buc<sup>106p106</sup> mutant oocytes (Fig. 1B,B′) or when primary antibody was omitted (not shown). Bb localization is consistent with the essential function of Buc in forming this asymmetric oocyte structure. To investigate whether asymmetric Buc protein might precede Bb formation and provide an early marker of oocyte asymmetry, we examined earlier stages of oogenesis. We detected asymmetrically enriched perinuclear Buc protein at pre-Bb stages (Fig. 1G,G′), indicating that Buc protein and zebrafish oocytes are polarized before Bbs are detectable.

Intron-containing buc transgenes rescue egg polarity phenotypes of buc mutants

Zebrafish maternal-effect mutants revealed that Buc protein is essential for Bb formation, localization of buc and other Bb mRNAs, and animal-vegetal (An/Vg) axis formation (Bontems et al., 2009; Dosch et al., 2004; Marlow and Mullins, 2008; Nojima et al., 2010). To analyze the regulation and function of buc, we identified
buc promoter sequences that recapitulate buc expression (supplementary material Fig. S1A,B) and determined that transgenic reporters under control of the buc promoter are expressed in early oocytes (supplementary material Fig. S1C-E).

We cloned a minigene including all introns (gbuc) and verified that RNA from the transgene was properly spliced by RT-PCR, sequence analysis and expression assays at stages when endogenous buc transcripts were not detectable (supplementary material Fig. S2).

To facilitate rescue and structure-function analysis in buc mutants, we generated transgenic lines expressing gbuc and various mutant derivatives in buc heterozygotes (Fig. 2A-C). Only the gbuc transgene rescued AnVg egg polarity and micropylar numbers in progeny of bucp106/p106 mutants (Table 1, Fig. 2D-I). Some embryos with rescued egg polarity had multiple micropyles (two to four on one side), indicating incomplete rescue (Fig. 2E). Sixty-three percent of rescued progeny were viable at 1 dpf and 92% of those showed...

Table 1. Phenotypes of eggs of buc transgenic females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>No AnVg polarity, multiple micropyles</th>
<th>WT polarity, one micropyle</th>
<th>WT polarity, multiple micropyles</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc]+, buc106/p106 F0-17</td>
<td>597 (30.2)</td>
<td>1371 (69.4)</td>
<td>8 (0.4)</td>
<td>1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc]+, buc106/p106 F0-25</td>
<td>310 (35)</td>
<td>583 (65)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc]+, buc106/p106 F1-3</td>
<td>12 (8.5)</td>
<td>105 (73.9)</td>
<td>25 (17.6)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc]+, buc106/p106 F1-4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>60 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc]+, buc106/p106 F1-5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>27 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc]+, buc106/p106 F1-1</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>129 (96.3)</td>
<td>3 (2.2)</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc]+, buc106/p106 F1-2</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td>72 (88)</td>
<td>28 (26)</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc]+, buc106/p106 F1-6</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc80]+, buc106/p106 F1-0</td>
<td>448 (77)</td>
<td>99 (17)</td>
<td>34 (6)</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc80]+, buc106/p106 F1-0</td>
<td>67 (15)</td>
<td>389 (85)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc80]+, buc106/p106 F1-0</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>23 (85)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg[bH:bpromoter:gbuc80]+, buc106/p106 F1-0</td>
<td>329 (39)</td>
<td>523 (61)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage is shown in parentheses.

Fig. 2. buc transgenes with introns rescue buc egg polarity phenotypes. (A,B) buc gene structure and the constructs used herein. (A) The buc promoter was used to express full-length buc or buc with a truncated 3′UTR. (B) The full-length buc ORF containing the full 3′UTR (cbuc) or a truncated buc 3′UTR (cbuc80) without introns: grey, non-coding/intron; green, exon; light blue, 3′UTR. In addition, a mutant Buc protein with the buc106 nonsense mutation was generated (cbucp106). (C) Schematic and genotyping assay. Products from genomic DNA are 90 bp smaller in transgenes lacking introns. Gel images of products from adult F1 progeny of cbuc80 founders. (D,E) 8- to 16-cell stage F2 progeny of a gbuc rescued mutant in different focal planes. Arrows in E indicate the excess micropyles on embryos with rescued egg polarity. (F) Clutch of gbuc rescued mutant female at 1 dpf. (G) Higher magnification of embryos from F. (H) Ventralized phenotypes of gbuc+ mutant females. (I) Rescued progeny of a gbuc80 buc mutant founder. (F-I) Rostral is left and caudal is right. (D-H) Progeny of F1 transgenic mothers. An, animal; Vg, vegetal.
normal morphology (Fig. 2F-H). As anticipated for a maternal-effect gene, only half of these rescued progeny expressed the zygotic bleeding heart reporter at 2 dpf, indicating that maternally supplied gbuc rescued egg polarity in zygotes that did not inherit the transgene (supplementary material Fig. S3). gbuc constructs with truncated 3′UTRs (gbuc80) also rescued AnVg polarity, indicating that the remaining 3′UTR sequence was sufficient to rescue egg polarity (Table 1); however, only 18% of gbuc80 rescued embryos were viable at 1 dpf and, of those, 36% were ventralized, indicating rescue was incomplete and that 3′UTR sequences may contribute to patterning (Fig. 2I; supplementary material Fig. S3).

**buc transgenes disrupt egg polarity**

Heterozygosity for the buc_p106 allele alone does not disrupt egg polarity (n = 1900 eggs; 44 females) (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008). Females that were WT or heterozygous buc_p106/+ and positive for gbuc or transgenes lacking introns (cbuc and cbuc80) produced two classes of progeny (Table 1, Fig. 3). One class exhibited normal AnVg polarity, as indicated by cytoplasm at the animal pole (Fig. 3A,C) and a single microple on their eggshells as observed in WT (Fig. 3D). The second class resembled buc mutants (Fig. 3B,C,E,I-K). Specifically, cytoplasm was detected around the circumference of these eggs (Fig. 3B,L,M) and their eggshells had excess numbers of micropyles (Fig. 3E). Chorion elevation and egg size were comparable to WT, indicating that these aspects of egg activation were normal (Fig. 3E,I-K). Polyspermy was evident in eggs with excess micropyles based on DAPI staining (data not shown). These data indicate that proper levels of Buc are required for normal egg polarity.

**Disrupting oocyte polarity causes excess microplear cells**

We conducted histological examination of the buc transgenic ovaries to assess oocyte polarity. Morphologically, females expressing transgenes lacking introns were normal and their ovaries
were composed of oocytes of all stages. As predicted based on their eggs, cortical granule distribution and yolk accumulation in advanced stage oocytes were normal (Fig. 4A,B). However, consistent with the excess micropyles of eggs with defective polarity, we observed two populations of advanced stage oocytes, those with one somatic micropylar cell positioned at the animal pole (Fig. 4Aa) and those with multiple micropylar cells (Fig. 4Bb1,b2). The micropyle and egg polarity phenotypes were coincident in females expressing \( cbuc \) and \( cbuc80 \). No Buc protein expression was detected in the follicle cell layer of \( buc_{p106/} \) females negative or positive for \( cbuc \) transgenes (supplementary material Fig. S5). Furthermore, the \( Tg[buc:mApple] \) promoter reporter showed mApple fluorescence only in oocytes (supplementary material Fig. S1C-E). These results indicate that \( buc \) in the germline can non-autonomously influence the otherwise ‘wild-type’ somatic cells, either by changing their fate or permitting survival of micropyle progenitors. Because the two classes of oocytes are intermixed within the same ovary in \( cbuc \) and \( cbuc80 \) transgenics, the oocyte signals that regulate micropyle numbers apparently act at short range, such that one oocyte does not affect the micropylar cells associated with neighboring oocytes.

**Dominant phenotypes of \( buc \) transgenes**

To further investigate whether \( cbuc \) transgenes disrupt Bb development like \( buc \) mutants, we examined mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) in oocytes of \( cbuc \) transgenic females. We observed three categories of stage Ib oocytes in WT females expressing \( buc \): normal, supernumerary Bbs, and those lacking Bbs (Fig. 4E,F). Moreover, mitochondria and ER were detected in the Bbs and excess Bbs of stage Ib oocytes (Fig. 4G-L) or were broadly distributed (Fig. 4H; supplementary material Fig. S6), as in \( buc \) mutants. By contrast, no ectopic Bbs were detected in \( cbuc80 \) females (Fig. 4C,D). Together, these data indicate that excess Buc disrupts polarity and, in a 3′UTR-dependent manner, causes supernumerary Bbs.

**\( buc \) transgenes disrupt the localization of \( buc \) and other Bb mRNAs**

To determine whether transgenes lacking introns prevented expression of endogenous \( buc \), we used a qRT-PCR approach to distinguish endogenous \( buc \) from transgenic transcripts. As anticipated, both endogenous and \( cbuc80 \) transcripts were present in transgenic ovaries and their progeny and transgene expression correlated with the penetrance of egg polarity phenotypes (Fig. 5A). In the most strongly affected \( cbuc \) ovaries, both transcripts were reduced (Fig. 5A).

The \( cbuc80 \) construct contained only the first 80 bp of the 3′UTR (Fig. 2B). This truncated 3′UTR retained predicted miR-302/371-373/miR430 sites and lacked putative regulatory or protective RNAbp sites (Fig. 2B). To determine if \( cbuc \) transgenes affect RNA
localization we examined buc transcript localization by whole-mount in situ hybridization using a probe that detects both endogenous and transgenic buc transcripts. Consistent with the RT-PCR analysis, buc transcripts were present in transgenic ovaries. As anticipated based on the lack of a detectable Bb, buc, vasa and other RNAs were not asymmetrically localized in cbuc80 oocytes (Fig. 5B-G; data not shown), a phenotype reminiscent of buc mutants (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008). We performed qRT-PCR on sorted oocytes and determined that cbuc80 and endogenous buc transcripts were present throughout Bb dispersal (Fig. 5H,I). These data indicate that endogenous buc mRNA was not maintained at late stages as a consequence of failure to properly localize buc transcripts, as occurs in buc mutants.

### Functional Buc protein is required for dominant phenotypes

If Buc protein acts primarily to seed the assembly of a polarity complex, we reasoned that excess or mislocalized Buc protein might produce polarity phenotypes similar to buc mutants (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008). To determine if cbuc phenotypes were due to excess or mislocalized Buc protein, we examined Buc distribution in oocytes of various buc genotypes with and without cbuc or cbuc80. Whereas Buc protein localized to the Bb of heterozygotes lacking cbuc or cbuc80 (Fig. 6A), it was not asymmetric in heterozygotes expressing cbuc80 (Fig. 6B). Homozygous WT and heterozygous females (Fig. 6C) showed Buc protein within the Bb of nearly all primary oocytes examined. By contrast, stage Ib oocytes in WT or heterozygous buc p106/+ females expressing cbuc80 lacked asymmetric Buc protein and resembled buc p106/p106 mutant oocytes, which lacked polarity whether positive for cbuc80 or not (Fig. 6C).

To functionally assess whether ectopic Buc protein or its mRNA disrupted polarity, we analyzed females with an analogous transgene containing the buc p106 nonsense mutant allele (cbuc p10680) (Fig. 2B, Table 2). Significantly, this nonsense mutation does not cause phenotypes in buc p106/+ heterozygotes (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008). In contrast to females expressing cbuc80, cbuc p10680 F0 and F1 transgenic females produced only progeny with normal egg polarity and single micropyles (Table 2).
As for cbuc80, we analyzed cbucp10680 transcripts and found that its RNA was expressed at a comparable level (Fig. 5A). By contrast, bucΔ1-252 heterozygous transgenic females generated from crosses of two cbucp10680 transgenic F1s produced progeny lacking AnVg polarity (26%; n = 1183 eggs, 13 females), whereas their bucΔ1-252 sibling transgenic females infrequently produced progeny lacking egg polarity (2%; n = 1021 eggs, 13 females). This indicates that higher copy numbers of cbucp10680 can disrupt AnVg polarity.

Taken together, these data indicate that the dominant polarity phenotypes of cbuc80 were due to a functional coding sequence for Buc protein in the transgene.

**Buc protein interacts with conserved germ plasm-associated RNAbps**

Previous studies have shown that buc mRNA localizes to the Bb and an exogenous GFP-Buc fusion expressed from RNA injected into oocytes accumulates in the Bb (Bontems et al., 2009). Here we show that endogenous Buc protein localizes to the Bb (Fig. 1) via a mechanism that is likely to involve local production or stabilization of Buc protein, because endogenous buc transcripts are not asymmetric before Bb formation or in buc mutants (Bontems et al., 2009). The lack of Bbs in buc mutants demonstrates that Buc is required to assemble this conserved aggregate of RNAs and proteins, but the mechanism of Buc action is not known. One potential mechanism is that Buc mediates Bb assembly and RNA localization by interacting with RNAbps. Rbpm2s is a conserved RNAbp that contains two RNA recognition motif (RRM) domains (Gerber et al., 1999; Kosaka et al., 2007; Song et al., 2007; Zearfoss et al., 2004). Rbpm2s protein localizes to the Bbs of oocytes in zebrafish (Kosaka et al., 2007; Marlow and Mullins, 2008) and frogs (Zearfoss et al., 2004) and is not localized in buc mutants (Marlow and Mullins, 2008). Based on their localization, we hypothesized that Buc might bind to Rbpm2s, which could then, via its RNA-binding motifs, recruit or retain other Bb RNAs (such as buc mRNA). To test this possibility, we performed yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) experiments. Indeed, full-length Buc (Buc-FI) interacted with zebrafish and human Rbpm2s, but not with another RNAbp, DAZ associated protein 1 (Dazap1) (Fig. 7A,B; data not shown) (Claussen and Pieler, 2004; Kurihara et al., 2004).

To confirm the interaction observed in yeast, we transfected HEK293 cells with GFP-Buc or YFP-Diego, an unrelated bait, and Myc-Rbpm2s or Myc-Dishevelled as a control, and conducted co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) experiments on the HEK293 cell lysates using anti-GFP antibody. We found that Rbpm2s co-immunoprecipitated with GFP-Buc, but not YFP-Diego (Fig. 7C). To independently assess binding, we conducted in vitro GST pull-down assays. We found that GST-Buc fusion protein interacted with 35S-labeled Rbpm2s (Fig. 7D). These approaches validated the interaction between Buc and Rbpm2s that we observed in the Y2H assay and indicate that Buc is likely to directly bind to Rbpm2s.

We used the binding interaction between Buc and Rbpm2s to identify potential functional domains of the Buc protein. Using the Y2H assay, we mapped the Rbpm2s binding site using six partially overlapping Buc truncations covering the full protein (Fig. 7A,B; data not shown). Two truncations were N-terminal Buc deletions (BucΔ1-252 and BucΔ1-386), two carried nonsense mutations corresponding to the bucΔ1-252 and bucΔ1-353 mutant alleles (Bontems et al., 2009), and two harbored internal deletions [BucΔ53-116 frame shift (fs) and BucΔ353-599]. BucΔ1-252 and BucΔ1-386 did not interact with Rbpm2s, but the BucΔ1-353 and BucΔ1-366 mutant proteins did.

The internal deletions displayed an intermediate binding phenotype in the Y2H assays. Together, these data indicate that the domain of Buc that is required for binding to Rbpm2s includes residues 252-353, which are highly conserved among vertebrates and are therefore likely to play a conserved role in interacting with Rbpm2s.

---

Table 2. Egg phenotype analysis indicates that cbucp10680 F0 and F1 females produce normal eggs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Founder females</th>
<th>No AnVg polarity</th>
<th>WT polarity</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0 bucΔ1-252/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0 bucΔ1-353/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0 bucΔ1-386/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0 bucΔ1-353/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 adult females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 bucΔ1-252/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 bucΔ1-353/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 bucΔ1-386/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 bucΔ1-353/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 bucΔ1-366/Tg[buc:cbucp10680]+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Two Bb-localized RNAbps, Dazl and Rbpm2s, bind buc RNA**

Colocalization of Buc and Rbpm2s in the Bb along with germ plasma RNAs and the interaction between Buc and Rbpm2s suggest a mechanism whereby Buc interaction with Rbpm2s recruits RNAs to the Bb. To explore this hypothesis, we investigated whether Rbpm2s...
and another Bb RNAbp that associates with germline RNAs in primordial germ cells, Dazl (Kosaka et al., 2007; Takeda et al., 2009), bind to Bb RNAs in oocyte lysates using Myc-tagged Rbpms2 (MT-Rbpms2) and Myc-tagged Dazl (MT-Dazl) as baits (Fig. 7E). Among the ovary transcripts examined in the IP between MT-Rbpms2, we only detected buc (Fig. 7E). buc was also detected from IP with MT-Dazl. In addition to detecting buc in association with MT-Dazl, we also detected dazl, which did not bind Rbpms2 or beads alone (Fig. 7E). These results indicate that Rbpms2 and Dazl bind to some Bb mRNAs and might mediate their recruitment to the Bb.

**DISCUSSION**

The vertebrate AnVg axis is established during oogenesis. Oocyte polarity is a prerequisite for determining the prospective embryonic...
axes and setting aside the germ cell determinants in some non-mammalian vertebrates (Abrams and Mullins, 2009; Marlow, 2010; Mir and Heasman, 2008; Schier and Talbot, 2005). The Bb is an evolutionarily conserved asymmetric structure present in early oocytes of all animals examined, including humans. Our study identifies Buc protein, an essential regulator of the AnVg axis, as the earliest marker of oocyte polarity in zebrafish. Discrete localization of endogenous Buc protein and overexpression phenotypes caused by transgenes with intact open reading frames indicate that spatial or temporal regulation of Buc translation or stabilization is essential for oocyte polarity. Interestingly, we also find a non-autonomous role of the germine in limiting somatic follicle cell fates, pointing toward communication between the germine and somatic cells. Further, we identify interactions with the RNAbps Rbpms2 and Dazl and Buc products. Buc protein and Buc RNA bind Rbpms2, whereas Buc and dazl RNAs bind to another Bb component, Dazl. Thus, interactions with RNAbps might play key roles in regulating Buc activity and establishing oocyte polarity in zebrafish.

**Regulation of buc RNA is likely to generate asymmetric Buc protein localization and trigger Bb assembly**

Endogenous Buc protein is localized asymmetrically before Bb assembly and later is localized to the Bb. At the stages when asymmetric perinuclear Buc protein is detected, its transcripts remain broadly distributed, indicating that local stabilization of Buc or selective translation of its mRNA, rather than global redistribution of buc RNA, is likely to initiate asymmetric Buc. Mechanisms to generate asymmetric protein localization include localized translation, local stabilization and aggregation, and active transport of a protein to a specific subcellular location (Gagnon and Mowry, 2011; Hachet and Ephrussi, 2001; Holt and Bullock, 2009; Kloc and Etkin, 2005; Kugler and Lasko, 2009; Minakhina and Steward, 2005; St Johnston, 2005; Zhou and King, 2004). If Buc protein were uniformly produced and then transported to a perinuclear position, we would expect to initially detect Buc protein throughout the oocyte followed by progressive enrichment adjacent to the nucleus. Our data argue against such a model, although we cannot exclude that Buc is present throughout the cell at levels below our detection. Moreover, asymmetric localization of Buc protein in WT and the loss of oocyte polarity caused by the buc transgenes seem more consistent with a mechanism that involves localized translation or stabilization of Buc protein. According to the localized stabilization scenario, broadly produced Buc protein would be rapidly degraded except near the nucleus where it accumulates. Alternatively, or in addition, the initially ubiquitous endogenous buc mRNA could be translationally repressed via association with RNAbps except near the nucleus, where a limiting or localized factor(s) would alleviate repression of buc RNA. Such a mechanism would explain the gain-of-function phenotypes that we observe in WT (buc<sup>+/+</sup>; buc<sup>0/0680</sup>) genotypes expressing gbuc and cbuc transgenics. This would also be consistent with the potentially dominant-negative phenotypes caused by high doses of cbucp10680, which might result from titration of a limiting repressor and premature translation of endogenous buc analogous to the mechanisms reported for oskar mRNAs harboring stop codons (Zimyanin et al., 2007). The identity of the protein(s) regulating buc translation remains to be determined. Vasa is a compelling candidate, as it is known to promote translation in the female germline of flies (Carrera et al., 2000; Lasko and Ashburner, 1988; Markussen et al., 1995; Styhler et al., 1998) and Vasa protein is perinuclear in early stage zebrafish oocytes (Knaut et al., 2000). In WT oocytes, however, Vasa protein is not localized to the Bb in zebrafish (Knaut et al., 2000), suggesting that other, yet-to-be-identified proteins would regulate Buc translation within the Bb.

**Oocyte polarity and follicle cell fate**

In WT oocytes there is a single animal pole where only one micropley develops. In buc mutants, expanded or ectopic animal poles, as evidenced by multiple domains of the animal pole marker vg1 (igf2bp3 – ZFIN) (Marlow and Mullins, 2008), support development of excess micropley cells, indicating that the animal pole environment might provide an instructive or permissive cue for micropley cell survival or fate. Notably, we observed eggs with WT polarity and two to four micropyles on one half of the eggshell of some gbuc rescued mutant eggs. In cbuc80 and cbuc transgenic eggs without polarity, we always observed supernumerary micropyles. Similarly, all eggs from cbuc80 and cbuc transgenic females with normal polarity had only one micropley. Concordance between egg polarity and micropley phenotypes and the observation that follicle cells express no detectable Buc protein are consistent with a model whereby local oocyte signals regulate micropley cell fate. The nature of the communication is not clear, but it is likely to involve a close-range signal, possibly direct cell contact, rather than a broadly diffusible signal, because oocytes with multiple micropyles can be adjacent to those with one micropley cell.

**A self-organizing mechanism to recruit RNAs to the Bb**

Buc protein is essential for RNA localization along the zebrafish AnVg oocyte axis, including for the earliest RNAs, which localize to the Bb near the prospective vegetal pole (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008; Nojima et al., 2010). Buc harbors no known RNA-binding motifs, but our analysis supports the possibility that Buc exerts its effects on RNA by serving as a scaffold and assembly factor for RNAbps. We identified a novel interaction between Buc protein and a conserved Bb-localized RNAbp Rbpms2 (Kosaka et al., 2007; Song et al., 2007). Interaction between Buc and Rbpms2 requires the N-terminus of Buc protein. Thus, our work defines a potential functional domain of Buc protein and, intriguingly, a potential mechanism by which Buc could recruit RNAs to the Bb. Our data suggest that Buc might promote oocyte polarity by interacting with RNAbps to direct the assembly of ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes to form the Bb (Fig. 8).

Many Bb-localized RNAs contain a mitochondrial cloud localization element (MCLE) site, which is sufficient to direct RNAs to the Bb in Xenopus oocytes (Kosaka et al., 2007). The Xenopus MCLE includes six copies of a hexamer sequence, but zebrafish buc RNA apparently has only one copy, as is also the case for zebrafish nanos3 and dazl. Although the single hexamer sequence is present in cbuc80, this region is not sufficient for proper regulation and localization of buc. Although several RNAs, predominantly germ plasm RNAs, localize to the Bb of early oocytes, these RNAs occupy distinct cellular compartments in late stage oocytes. For example, transcripts of nanos, vasa, buc, dazl and dorsal axis regulators including syntabulin and wnt8a all localize to the Bb of stage I oocytes (Bontems et al., 2009; Draper et al., 2007; Knaut et al., 2000; Kosaka et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2011; Nojima et al., 2010), but by stage III buc transcripts are localized to the animal pole, nanos is distributed throughout the oocyte, vasa is circumferential at the cortex, and dazl and syntabulin remain at the vegetal pole. For many germ plasm RNAs examined in zebrafish oocytes, the 3′UTR is sufficient to achieve their proper localization (Kosaka et al., 2007).
Similar to the germ plasm RNAs that have been examined, patterning molecules, including \textit{wnt8a} and \textit{syntabulin}, localize to the Bb. \textit{Syntabulin} is localized by a Buc-dependent pathway. The full exon-intron structure of \textit{syntabulin} is required for its proper localization and activity (Nojima et al., 2010). Like \textit{syntabulin}, \textit{buc} RNA localizes to the Bb (Bontems et al., 2009) and we show that full rescue of the \textit{buc} mutant phenotypes requires the \textit{buc} introns. Notably, incompletely rescued \textit{buc} mutants are ventralized, possibly due to incomplete rescue of dorsal determinant localization in these oocytes.

In \textit{Drosophila} oocytes, the exon junction complex (EJC) has been proposed to regulate Gurken (TGF\(\alpha\)) signaling between posterior follicle cells and the oocyte during axis formation, and to mediate \textit{oskar} mRNA localization and translation during germ cell determination (Hachet and Ephrussi, 2001; Micklem et al., 1997; Mohr et al., 2001; Newmark and Boswell, 1994). Our finding that \textit{buc} minigene constructs must have introns to rescue \textit{buc} mutants, together with previous studies of \textit{syntabulin} (Nojima et al., 2010), indicate that the EJC might be involved in Buc-mediated Bb development and transport.

Although it is not clear how RNAs are directed or selected for Bb localization, it is clear for those RNAs that have been examined that early localization to the Bb is prerequisite for their proper localization at later stages of oocyte development (Kosaka et al., 2007). Transport of RNA to the vegetal pole via the Bb has been proposed to involve an entrapment and expansion mechanism (Chang et al., 2004; Wilk et al., 2005). A self-organizing and amplifying Buc/Balbiani complex could facilitate robust recruitment (entrapment) of RNP complexes, including those containing \textit{buc}. Localized translation of \textit{buc} could drive further recruitment of RNPs and expansion of the Bb.

\textbf{A Buc-mediated feedback amplification mechanism to establish oocyte polarity}

Localized Buc protein and its association with RNA binding proteins provide a mechanism to recruit Bb RNAs, including \textit{buc}, that transit through the Bb in zebrafish. Based on the localization of Buc protein and the dominant phenotypes of the \textit{cbuc} transgenes, we hypothesize that an RNA binding protein could specifically interact with the spliced \textit{buc} mRNA to prevent ectopic translation of \textit{buc} mRNA in the Bb. The \textit{cbuc} RNA is not spliced, the repressor is not loaded and \textit{cbuc} transcripts are translated ectopically or prematurely. Alternatively and independently of splicing, a limiting repressor might be overwhelmed by excess \textit{buc} transcripts in transgenic oocytes. Either way, ectopic foci of Buc protein would recruit Bb components and produce the multiple small Bbs observed with DiOC\(_6\) and H&E. In \textit{cbuc80} transgenics, we hypothesize that either \textit{cbuc80} transcripts are not recruited or might not be translated as efficiently due to the lack of a 3′UTR. Consequently, local concentrations of Buc protein might not be sufficient to support the development of ectopic Bbs. Based on their smaller size, their perinuclear proximity and the eventual egg polarity defects, we hypothesize that the ectopic Bbs of \textit{cbuc} transgenic oocytes do not reach the cortex, resulting in failure to specify the vegetal pole and a lack of animal-vegetal polarity.

\textbf{Fig. 8. A feedback amplification model for Bb assembly.} (A) Model depicting how Buc might promote oocyte polarity and Bb formation via interactions with RNA binding proteins. (B) Potential events in \textit{cbuc} transgenics. We hypothesize that endogenous \textit{buc} transcripts are loaded with a repressor prior to nuclear export. Since \textit{cbuc} RNA is not spliced, the repressor is not loaded and \textit{cbuc} transcripts are translated ectopically or prematurely. Alternatively and independently of splicing, a limiting repressor might be overwhelmed by excess \textit{buc} transcripts in transgenic oocytes. Either way, ectopic foci of Buc protein would recruit Bb components and produce the multiple small Bbs observed with DiOC\(_6\) and H&E.
transcripts. Alternatively, or in addition, a limiting RNAbp might maintain repression of buc RNA. It is also possible that Buc accumulates via localized stabilization. Either way, after Buc protein accumulates asymmetrically adjacent to the nucleus, it can interact with its binding partners, such as Rbpms2, which could then recruit their cognate RNAs to form the Bb. Once Buc protein initiates Bb assembly, RNAs are recruited to the Bb by interaction with RNAbps that localize there, including Dazl and Rbpms2 (Kosaka et al., 2007; Song et al., 2007). Some RNAs recruited to the Bb, like vasa, may be silenced there [as Vasa protein, in contrast to its mRNA, is not a component of the Bb (Knaut et al., 2000)]. However, others, including buc and dazl, may be translationally activated within the Bb to sustain its development (Bontems et al., 2009; Kosaka et al., 2007). In the case of Buc, this feedback amplification would drive further localized production of Buc protein and expansion of the Bb. The localization and apparent abundance of Buc protein within the Bb, failure to assemble the Bb and recruit buc RNA in buc mutants (Bontems et al., 2009; Marlow and Mullins, 2008), and the protein- and 3'UTR-dependent dominant phenotypes caused by buc and buc80 transgenes, are consistent with a Buc-dependent recruitment feedback amplification mechanism acting to establish the initial asymmetry in the oocyte (Fig. 8B,C). In this model, buc mRNA is initially present throughout the oocyte, but Buc protein is only translated or stabilized in a small region. Local accumulation of Buc protein establishes the position of the Bb and recruits RNAbps that in turn recruit buc and other RNAs to the Bb. Such a feedback mechanism that recruits buc mRNA and promotes further accumulation of Buc protein and other RNAs and proteins could establish AnVg polarity and lay the foundation for the later forming embryonic axes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Antibodies and immunostaining**

YenZym (San Francisco, CA, USA) custom antibody service was used to raise rabbit polyclonal antibodies against Buc epitopes: residues 1-15 MEGINNNSQPMGVGQ (Y1165 and Y1166) and residues 602-617 KSIHQQRPRSEYNDY (Y1163 and Y1164). Primary Buc antibodies were diluted 1:500. Secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 or 546; Molecular Probes, D-273; 0.5 g/ml in PBS+Tween (PBST):dimethyl sulfoxide) at 1:200 were used to label the nuclei.

**Plasmid construction, transient assays and transgenesis**

The ~2 kb buc open reading frame was amplified from genomic DNA (primers in supplementary material Table S1) and cloned into the Gateway pCR8 entry vector (Invitrogen) and sequenced (Macrogen). Gateway adapters were added using the buc 2Kprom attB4 and buc prom attB1R primers (supplementary material Table S1) to generate the p5E-buc promoter.

The buc open reading frame (ORF) was amplified from ovary cDNA using the Invitrogen SuperScript III reverse transcriptase kit with oligo(dT) primers and the primers listed in supplementary material Table S1 to obtain the full-length (buc) and 3'UTR deletion (bucΔ60) constructs. The amplified buc ORF was cloned into pCR8 and sequenced (Macrogen).

Rescue plasmids were cloned into pCR8 and reintroduced into pCS2+ derived Gateway destination vectors (Kawakami, 2005; Kawakami, 2007; Kwan et al., 2007; Villefranc et al., 2007) to generate p Tolbuc::buc ORF full 3'UTR (buc), p Tolbuc::buc ORF 80 bp 3'UTR (buc80), p Tolbuc::buc Δ106 ORF 80 bp 3'UTR (bucΔ106) and buc-intron-exon (gbuc) clones. Transgenic fish were generated by injecting 25-50 pg plasmid DNA plus 25-50 pg transposase RNA into bucΔ106 heterozygotes.

pBH-R4/R2 was generated by modifying the ‘bleeding heart’ Tol2 plasmid (pBH) from pBH-mcx (multi-cloning site) (a gift from Michael L. Nonet, Washington University St Louis) to include a Gateway-compatible attR4/attR2 cassette, namely attR4-chloramphenicol resistance-ccdB survival gene-attR2 from pTolDestR4R2 (Villefranc et al., 2007).

The buc promoter reporter construct was generated in pBH-R4/R2. The pS-E-buc promoter was recombined with pME-mApple (Tol2 kit, v2.0) into pBH-R4/R2 (Invitrogen). This plasmid was injected (50 pg) along with transposase RNA (25 pg) to generate transgenic lines.

**Genotyping**

Genomic DNA was isolated from fin clips. Linked SSLP markers were used to genotype for buc (Bontems et al., 2009; Knapiik et al., 1998).

**In situ hybridization and histology**

Females were anesthetized in Tricaine as described (Westerfield, 1995) and the ovaries were dissected. Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed as described previously (Thiise and Thiise, 1998) except that hybridization was at 65°C and BM purple AP (Roche) was used. In situ probes: the buc ORF was amplified with the primers listed in supplementary material Table S1 and cloned into pCS2+. Vasal was described previously (Yoon et al., 1997). Images were acquired using an AxioPlan2 or Axioskop2 microscope equipped with an AxioCam CCD camera (Zeiss) or an Olympus SZ16 fluorescent dissecting microscope and Microfire digital camera (Olympus). Images were processed in ImageJ (NIH), Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator.

For Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining, dissected ovaries were fixed in 4% PFA, washed in PBS, dehydrated in methanol, then embedded in paraffin and sectioned. Deparaffinized slides were stained in H&E, coated with Permount solution (Fisher Scientific), coverslipped, and imaged using an Axioskop2 microscope and AxioCam CCD camera.

Oocytes were staged according to Selman et al. (Selman et al., 1993).

**RT-PCR**

Ovaries and other tissues (supplementary material Fig. S1A) were dissected from the specified genotypes. Oocytes were sorted according to Selman et al. (Selman et al., 1993). Trizol (Life Technologies)-extracted RNA was used for oligo(dT) cDNA preparation (using Invitrogen SuperScript III reverse transcriptase) and RT-PCR was performed using the primers listed in supplementary material Table S1.

**Yeast two-hybrid assays**

The ProQuest System (Invitrogen) was used for Y2H assays. Baits and preys were prepared from ovary cDNA as described above (for primers see supplementary material Table S1), cloned into pCR8, sequenced, then recombined into pDESt32 or pDESt22 vectors.

**Immunoprecipitation and GST pull-downs**

HEK293 cells (1×10^6) were transfected with 3 μg pCMV-DshMyc, pCS-YP-Dgo (Boutros et al., 1998; Jenny et al., 2005) or pCS2-MTRbps2 or pCS2-GFP-Buc overnight with 3:1 polyethylenimine:DNA. IP was with 1 μg of anti-Myc antibodies (9E10, Santa Cruz) (Jenny et al., 2005). Precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to ImmobilonP (Millipore) and processed for ECL detection (GE Healthcare). Short exposures comprised 1 minute and long exposures 10-15 minutes.

GST proteins were purified as described previously (Jenny et al., 2003). 1 μg DNA was translated using the coupled in vitro transcription-translation system (Promega) with ^35S. For GST pull-downs, GST fusion protein (5 μg) was bound to 15 μl GST-Sepharose (Amersham), washed, incubated with ^35S-labeled proteins (5 μl) for 1 hour and analyzed as described (Jenny et al., 2003).

**RNA immunoprecipitation**

Ovaries were dissected, snap frozen and stored (−80°C). According to Song et al. (Song et al., 2007), ovaries were homogenized (1 ml YSS buffer) and
centrifuged. The pellet and supernatant were retained. 250 μl of resuspended pellet was pre-cleared with Myc beads (30 μl; Clontech, 631208) for 1 hour at 4°C. Pre-cleared lysate was added to pCS2-MT-protein reticulocyte lysate (45 μl) plus Myc beads (30 μl) and incubated (1 hour at 4°C). Beads were washed (YSS buffer), then incubated in protease K lysis buffer (100 μl) and protease K (10 μg) (1 hour at 50°C). RNA was isolated using Trizol and precipitated (3 M sodium acetate pH 4.5 in ethanol). Precipitated RNA was used for cDNA synthesis. cDNA (0.5 μg) was used for RT-PCR analysis (primers listed in supplementary material Table S1).
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