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CXCR7 prevents excessive CXCL12-mediated downregulation of CXCR4 in migrating cortical interneurons
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ABSTRACT

The CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling pathway is involved in the development of numerous neuronal and non-neuronal structures. Recent work established that the atypical second CXCL12 receptor, CXCR7, is essential for the proper migration of interneuron precursors in the developing cerebral cortex. Two CXCR7-mediated functions were proposed in this process: direct modulation of β-arrestin-mediated signaling cascades and CXCL12 scavenging to regulate local chemokine availability and ensure responsiveness of the CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway in interneurons. Neither of these functions has been proven in the embryonic brain. Here, we demonstrate that migrating interneurons efficiently sequester CXCL12 through CXCR7. CXCR7 ablation causes excessive phosphorylation and downregulation of CXCR4 throughout the cortex in mice expressing CXCL12, but not in CXCL12-deficient animals. Cxcr4−/− mice lack activated CXCR4 in embryonic brain lysates and display a similar interneuron positioning defect as Cxcr4−/−, Cxcr7−/− and Cxcl12−/− animals. Thus, CXCL12 is the only CXCR4-activating ligand in the embryonic brain and deletion of one of the CXCL12 receptors is sufficient to generate a migration phenotype that corresponds to the CXCL12-deficient pathway. Our findings imply that interfering with the CXCL12-scavenging activity of CXCR7 causes loss of CXCR4 function as a consequence of excessive CXCL12-mediated CXCR4 activation and degradation.
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INTRODUCTION

The chemokine CXCL12 regulates cell migration and homing processes in the immune system, hematopoietic system, brain, and other tissues (Stumm and Hollt, 2007; Miller et al., 2008; Tiveron and Cremer, 2008; Lewellys and Knaut, 2012). It mediates its effects through canonical G protein-coupled CXCR4 and through CXCR7 (Bleul et al., 1996; Oberlin et al., 1996; Balabanian et al., 2005; Burns et al., 2006). The latter is also referred to as atypical chemokine receptor 3 (ACKR3) because it does not signal through G proteins and elicits no chemotaxis (Thelen and Thelen, 2008). Instead, CXCR7 scavenges CXCL12 from the extracellular environment and, thus, functions as a CXCL12 scavenger (Venkiteswaran et al., 2013; Boldajipour et al., 2008; Luker et al., 2010; Naumann et al., 2010; Hoffmann et al., 2012). Like other heptahelical receptors, including CXCR4, CXCR7 is also capable of modulating downstream pathways through β-arrestin (Cheng et al., 2000; Kalatskaya et al., 2009; Rajagopal et al., 2010). Thus, CXCL12 signaling is subject to particularly complicated regulation. Unraveling the underlying mechanisms will facilitate an understanding of CXCL12-mediated cell guidance and the development of new treatment strategies for cell migration disorders.

Defective CXCR4 regulation has recently been implicated in the perturbed intracortical migration of GABAergic interneurons in genetic schizophrenia models (Meechan et al., 2012; Toritsuka et al., 2013). Cortical interneurons originate in the ganglionic eminences in the ventral telencephalon (Anderson et al., 1997; Marin and Rubenstein, 2001; Hernández-Miranda et al., 2010). They seek the cortical marginal zone (MZ) and subventricular/intermediate zone (SVZ/IZ), where their migration depends on CXCL12 emanating from meningeal cells and pyramidal cell progenitors (Tiveron et al., 2006; Tanaka et al., 2009; Sessa et al., 2010; Zarbalis et al., 2012). Most interneurons express both CXCR12 receptors, and interneurons deficient for CXCR4 or CXCR7 display opposite motility defects (Wang et al., 2011). This suggests that distinct CXCR4-dependent and CXCR7-dependent pathways might exist in interneurons: CXCR4 attracts cells towards CXCL12 through a G protein-dependent pathway (Lysko et al., 2011), whereas CXCR7 modulates MAP kinases through β-arrestin (Wang et al., 2011).

Having observed that CXCR7-deficient interneurons display severely reduced CXCR4 levels and loss of CXCR4 function (Sánchez-Alcañiz et al., 2011), we proposed that CXCR7 regulates interneuron migration by preserving CXCR4. This is consistent with the observation that a reduction in CXCR4 disrupts CXCL12-mediated interneuron guidance (Meechan et al., 2012). Given that proper CXCR4 regulation is essential in interneurons, we examined how the two receptors cooperate in this system. We first tested whether the similar interneuron positioning defects in Cxcr4−/− and Cxcr7−/− mutants (Sánchez-Alcañiz et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011) reflect a fully CXCL12-defective pathway by assessing interneuron layering in Cxcr4−/−, Cxcr7−/− and Cxcl12−/− mice. This showed that inactivation of one of the CXCL12 receptors is sufficient to generate the phenotype observed in mice lacking CXCL12 signaling. We then demonstrated that migrating interneurons sequester CXCL12 through CXCR7, which supports the concept that CXCR7 acts as a CXCL12 scavenger in these cells. Finally, we conducted biochemical and histochemical studies, from which we conclude that loss of CXCR7 leads to excessive CXCL12-mediated activation and downregulation of CXCR4 in interneurons.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cxcl12−/−, Cxcr4−/− and Cxcr7−/− mice display similar interneuron layering defects

To examine whether aberrant interneuron layering in Cxcr4−/− and Cxcr7−/− mutants corresponds to a partial or complete CXCL12 signaling defect, we examined patterns of Lhx6 (a marker for MGE-derived interneurons), Cxcr4 and Cxcr7 expression in the cortex of E14.5 Cxcl12−/−, Cxcr4−/− and Cxcr7−/− mouse embryos by in situ hybridization (Fig. 1). Cell counting showed that the numbers of Lhx6+ and Cxcr4+/Neo+ cells were reduced in the MZ and SVZ and increased in the lower cortical plate/subplate (CP/SP) in the three knockout lines compared with control littermates (Fig. 1Ae-g, Ce-g). Cxcr7+ cells, as counted in Cxcl12−/−, Cxcr4−/− and control littermates (Fig. 1Be,f), exhibited similar abnormal distributions to Lhx6+ cells and Cxcr4+/Neo+ cells in these knockouts. The matching patterns of Lhx6, Cxcr4 and Cxcr7 were expected because Lhx6+ cells constitute the main Cxcr4- and Cxcr7-expressing population in the embryonic cortex (Sánchez-Alcañiz et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). We then compared the laminar distribution of Lhx6+ cells in Cxcl12−/−, Cxcr4−/− and Cxcr7−/− mice using ANOVA. This revealed no significant differences, suggesting that deletion of one of the CXCL12 receptors is
sufficient to generate the interneuron layering defect observed in animals lacking CXCL12.

In the cortex, reelin (Rehn) Cajal-Retzius cells represent a second major Cxcr4-expressing population that depends on CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling (Stumm et al., 2003; Borrell and Marin, 2006; Paredes et al., 2006). Cell counting in the parietal cortex revealed a reduction of these cells in the MZ (supplementary material Fig. S1A), but only a few ectopic cells in deep cortical layers in Cxcl12−/−, Cxcr4−/− and Cxcr7−/− mice. This finding is consistent with the reported function of CXCL12 and CXCR4 in Cajal-Retzius cell dispersion in the MZ (Borrell and Marin, 2006). Given that cortical Rehn+ cells rarely express Cxcr7 (Schönemeier et al., 2008), our data point to the possibility that CXCR7 exerts some non-autonomous influence on this process.

**CXCR7 sequesters CXCL12 in migrating interneurons**

By examining red fluorescent protein (RFP) immunoreactivity in the cortex of E14.5 mice expressing CXCL12-RFP fusion protein under the Cxcl12 promoter (Jung et al., 2009), we identified strongly stained punctae in all cortical layers. The highest densities were observed in the MZ, SP and SVZ/IZ (Fig. 2Aa,B; supplementary material Fig. S1D). This pattern differs markedly from that of Cxcl12 expression, which is restricted to the meninges and SVZ at E14.5 (Tiveron et al., 2006). Since Rfp transcripts in the cortex of CXCL12-RFP embryos faithfully recapitulate the Cxcl12 mRNA pattern in wild types (supplementary material Fig. S1B), we reasoned that the observed CXCL12-RFP+ punctae reflect endocytosed and not nascent CXCL12-RFP. We therefore quantified the number of RFP+ punctae (of size >0.5 µm²) in the cortex of CXCL12-RFP mice lacking the CXCL12 scavenger CXCR7 (Fig. 2Ab). Automated counting using ImageJ revealed a 74.2±9.0% reduction in Cxcr7−/−;CXCL12-RFP mice as compared with CXCL12-RFP controls (n=4; P<0.01, Student’s t-test). Such signal reduction was not observed after Cxcr4 deletion (Fig. 2Ac). This indicates that most of the strong punctate RFP signal in CXCL12-RFP mice was due to CXCR7-mediated accumulation of CXCL12-RFP. The meninges, in which Cxcl12 is highly expressed, exhibited similar RFP labeling in receptor-deficient and control mice (supplementary material Fig. S1Ca-c), suggesting that RFP signal in this tissue reflects locally expressed CXCL12-RFP. The specificity of RFP immunostaining was controlled in CXCL12-RFP non-transgenic Cxcr7−/−GFP embryos (supplementary material Fig. S1E).

Closer examination of the RFP pattern in Cxcr4−/−;CXCL12-RFP sections revealed a signal shift towards the lower CP/SP area (supplementary material Fig. S1D). This aberrant pattern is reminiscent of the interneuron layering defect in Cxcr4−/− embryos, suggesting that RFP signal was contained within interneurons. As cortical interneurons do not express Cxcl12 (Tiveron et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011), we hypothesized that they sequester CXCL12-RFP. To test this, we generated double-transgenic mice (Cxcr7−/−;CXCL12-RFP) and performed simultaneous live cell imaging of GFP and RFP in E14.5 cortical slices (Fig. 2B; supplementary material Movie 1). Analysis of the confocal images revealed that 96% of Cxcr7−/−GFP+ interneurons contained RFP+ punctae. To assess whether CXCR7 mediates CXCL12-RFP accumulation in interneurons, we immunostained for RFP in E14.5 Cxcr7−/−;CXCL12-RFP;Cxcr7−/−GFP and Cxcr7-expressing CXCL12-RFP;Cxcr7-GFP embryos (Fig. 2Ca-d), and quantified GFP/RFP overlap in the cortex (Mander’s coefficient) using ImageJ. In the latter group, 60.3±7.1% of total RFP was present in Cxcr7−/−GFP+ interneurons (Fig. 2Ca,b). In the absence of CXCR7, the RFP signal that was contained in Cxcr7−/−GFP+...
interneurons was decreased by 79.9±7.2% (P<0.0001, Student’s t-test; n=4; Fig. 2Cc,d).

We then examined whether Cxcr7-GFP+ interneurons are capable of internalizing exogenous fluorophore-coupled CXCL12 (CXCL12-565). After validating receptor/CXCL12-565 interaction in transfected HEK293 cells (supplementary material Fig. S2A), we incubated telencephalic slices from E14.5 Cxcr7-GFP mice for 30 min with CXCL12-565. CXCL12, the CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 and the CXCR7 antagonist CCX771 (Zabel et al., 2009) were co-incubated in adjacent slices. Confocal imaging of native fluorescence revealed bright intracellular clusters of CXCL12-565 in Cxcr7-GFP+ interneurons that received CXCL12-565 alone or CXCL12-565/AMD3100 (supplementary material Fig. S2Ba,c). Slices that received CXCL12-565 and an excess of CXCL12 showed no CXCL12-565 signal, and slices that received CXCL12-565/CCX771 showed faint CXCL12-565 signal, in Cxcr7-GFP+ interneurons (supplementary material Fig. S2Bb,d). Collectively, our analyses of CXCL12-RFP localization and CXCL12-565 uptake demonstrate that interneurons efficiently internalize CXCL12 via CXCR7.

Next, we examined the influence of CXCR7 on CXCL12-induced activation and downregulation of CXCR4 in telencephalic neurons using immunoblots of E14.5 cultures. Blots were reacted with the anti-CXCR4 antibody UMB-2 that recognizes the non-phosphorylated C-terminal epitope 343-352, which undergoes S346/347-phosphorylation upon CXCL12 stimulation (Mueller et al., 2013). Thus, UMB-2 detects inactive CXCR4 in non-dephosphorylated and total CXCR4 in dephosphorylated samples. Consistently, lysate from cultures that received CXCL12 for 30 min showed a strong signal difference between non-dephosphorylated

Fig. 3. CXCR7 regulates CXCL12-promoted activation and downregulation of CXCR4. (A,B) Immunoblots analyzing the expression level and activation state of CXCR4 in lysates from E14.5 telencephalic neurons (Aa-e) and E15.5 Cxcr7−/− (X7−/−), Cxcl12−/− (XL12−/−) and Cxcl12−/−;Cxcr7−/− (XL12−/−;X7−/−) brains (Ba-d). Blots were reacted with the anti-CXCR4 antibody UMB-2, which detects the non-phosphorylated C-terminus, and with anti-transferrin receptor (TFR) to demonstrate equal loading. (Be) Lysates were split into two aliquots and purified with wheatgerm lectin agarose beads. Aliquots dephosphorylated using Lambda protein phosphatase (λ-PP) show total CXCR4, whereas untreated aliquots show non-phosphorylated/inactive CXCR4 (ina.). (A) CXCL12 (XL12), AMD3100 (AMD), CCX771, and inactive compound (CCX704) were added for the indicated period. (Ab,c,e) For quantification of compound-induced changes in CXCR4, the difference between the UMB-2/TFR signal ratios of compound-treated cultures (sample) and vehicle-treated sister cultures (vehicle) was expressed as a percentage of UMB-2/TFR of the vehicle. Compound-treated and corresponding vehicle-treated groups were compared using one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni’s post-test (*) or Student’s t-test (§) (three to ten independent repeats). (Ba-c) Immunoblots compare pooled lysates from two knockouts with pooled lysates from two wild-type or heterozygous littersmates (Ctrl). (Bd) Each CXCR4/TFR ratio was expressed as a percentage of the CXCR4/TFR ratio of the corresponding λ-PP-treated control before repeats were averaged and analyzed using Student’s t-test. Data are mean±s.e.m. *P≤0.05, **P≤0.01 and ***P≤0.001; ns, not significant. (C) UMB-2 immunofluorescence in coronal head sections of a single E14.5 litter (genotypes as specified in the figure). Higher magnifications (Ca–d) show the cerebral cortex. CPu, caudate putamen; GE, ganglionic eminence; latV, lateral ventricle; Ctx, cortex. Scale bars: 200 µm.
and dephosphorylated aliquots, which is characteristic of CXCR4 activation (Fig. 3Aa, lanes 3 and 4). Continuous CXCL12 treatment reduced the CXCR4 level (Fig. 3Aa, lanes 6 and 8; Fig. 3Ac, 24 h XL12), indicating CXCL12-induced CXCR4 downregulation.

Cultures that were maintained over a 24-48 h period without medium exchange exhibited considerable CXCR4 activation (Fig. 3Aa, lanes 5 and 6). Activation was caused by endogenous CXCL12, as 24 h treatment with CXCR4 antagonist (AMD3100) rendered virtually all CXCR4 receptors inactive (Fig. 3Aa, lanes 9 and 10). Continuous antagonist treatment caused an increase in total CXCR4 as compared with vehicle-treated control cultures (Fig. 3Ac, 48 h AMD3100), indicating prevention of CXCL12-induced CXCR4 downregulation. When CXCR7-mediated uptake of endogenous CXCL12 was blocked by long-term CCX771 treatment, CXCR4 levels decreased (Fig. 3Aa, lanes 5, 11 and 12; Fig. 3Ab,c, 24 h and 48 h CCX771). The CCX771 effect was blocked by AMD3100 (Fig. 3Ad, lanes 1, 3, 4; Fig. 3Ae), which suggests that it was CXCL12 mediated. Collectively, these experiments provide evidence that CXCR7 antagonism augments CXCL12-induced activation and downregulation of CXCR4 in telencephalic cultures.

**CXCR7 prevents excessive CXCR4 activation by CXCL12**

We then assessed whether CXCR7 influences CXCL12-mediated activation and downregulation of CXCR4 in the embryonic brain. We analyzed Cxcr7−/−, Cxcl12−/− and Cxcl12−/−Cxcr7−/− mice by immunoblotting and immunofluorescence methods. Immunoblots were reacted with UMB-2 (Fig. 3B) and cortical sections with UMB-2 or the phosphorylation-insensitive anti-CXCR4 antibody 2B11 (Fig. 3C; supplementary material Fig. S3A). In all immunoblots, the controls showed a marked difference between total and inactive CXCR4 (Fig. 3Ba-c, lanes 1 and 2; Fig. 3Bd, total versus inactive in control group), indicating substantial CXCR4 activation by endogenous ligand. In Cxcl12−/− mice, almost all CXCR4 receptors were in the inactive state (Fig. 3Bb, lanes 1 and 3), suggesting that CXCL12 is the only CXCR4-activating ligand in the embryonic brain. Furthermore, CXCR4 signal was stronger in brain lysates (Fig. 3Bb, lanes 2 and 4; Fig. 3Bd, control versus Cxcl12−/−) and cortical sections (Fig. 3Ca,c) from these mutants. Given that the level of Cxcr4 mRNA was apparently unaltered in Cxcl12 knockouts (Fig. 1Ca,b), these findings provide evidence that a substantial proportion of CXCR4 in the embryonic brain becomes downregulated after being activated by CXCL12.

**Fig. 4. Scheme illustrating functions of CXCR4 and CXCR7 in migrating cortical interneurons.** (A) CXCL12 induces signaling and phosphorylation of CXCR4 as well as internalization of the CXCL12/CXCR4 complex. Internalized CXCR4 is recycled or degraded. CXCL12/ CXCR4 signaling supports interneuron migration in the MZ and SVZ/IZ. Synthesis and degradation of CXCR4 are at equilibrium. CXCR7 mediates rapid internalization and degradation of CXCL12. (B) Absence of CXCR7 leads to extracellular CXCL12 accumulation and excessive CXCR4 activation and degradation. Loss of CXCR4 results in insufficient CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling and defective interneuron migration. (C) Lack of CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling causes defective interneuron migration. (D) The CXCR4 level is increased in the absence of CXCL12. CXCR7 deficiency does not cause excessive CXCR4 degradation because CXCR4 does not become phosphorylated when CXCL12 is not present. Lack of CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling causes defective interneuron migration.
As reported previously (Sánchez-Alcañiz et al., 2011), CXCR4 was hardly detectable in brain lysates and cortical sections from Cxcr7−/− mice (Fig. 3Ba, lanes 2 and 4; Fig. 3Bd, control group versus Cxcr7−/−; Fig. 3Ca,b). We have now generated Cxcl12−/−;Cxcr7−/− mice to test whether the loss of CXCR4 in Cxcr7 mutants is mediated by CXCL12, and found that the activation state and expression level of CXCR4 in Cxcl12−/−;Cxcr7−/− mice resembled those of Cxcl12−/− animals: almost all CXCR4 receptors were inactive (Fig. 3Bc, lanes 3 and 4) and the level of CXCR4 was increased compared with controls (Fig. 3Bc, lanes 2 and 4; Fig. 3Bd, control group versus Cxcl12−/−;Cxcr7−/−).

CXCR4 immunostaining in the cortex was indistinguishable between Cxcl12−/−;Cxcr7−/− and Cxcl12−/− mice: both cohorts showed a similar increase in CXCR4 signal as compared with the control (Fig. 3Ca,c,d) and a similar layering defect of CXCR4-immunoreactive neurons (supplementary material Fig. S3B).

Our findings in Cxcr7−/− and Cxcl12−/−;Cxcr7−/− animals demonstrate that the embryonic brain contains sufficient CXCL12 to induce near complete CXCR4 degradation unless CXCR7-dependent scavenger activity prevents excessive CXCR4 activation by CXCL12. These findings and conclusions are summarized in Fig. 4.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and histochemistry

Animal procedures were in accordance with German and EU guidelines. Established mouse lines, in situ hybridization and immunohistochemical procedures, probes and antibodies were used (Memi et al., 2013; Stumm et al., 2002, 2003; Sánchez-Alcañiz et al., 2011). Transcripts from the Neomycin resistance cassette of Cxcr4 mutants (Zou et al., 1998) were detected with a Neo probe cloned by PCR using Cxcr4 genomic DNA and 5′-ATGGGATCCGGCGATTGAAC-3′ and 5′-TCAGAGAAC-3CTGTCAG-3′ primers.

CXCL12-565 uptake

Recombinant Atto655-tagged CXCL12 (CXCL12-565) (Yang et al., 1999) was labeled with a C-terminal ybbR13 tag (George et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2007). Human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells were transiently transfected as described (Hoffmann et al., 2012). Surface CXCR4 and CXCR7 receptors were immunostained in fixed non-permeabilized cells with N-terminal antibodies 2B11 (BD Biosciences) and 11G8 (Zabel et al., 2009), respectively. HEK293 cells and cortical slices were incubated with 20 nM CXCL12-565 at 37°C, washed, and fixed before confocal imaging.

Immunoblotting

Neuronal cultures (25×10⁶ cells/dish) were prepared from E14.5 telencephalic vesicles and grown in Neurobasal medium/B27 supplement (Invitrogen). Compounds (20 nM CXCL12, Peprotech; 6 μM AMD3100, Sigma; 1 μM CCX771/CCX704, ChemoCentRx) were added 4 h after seeding in 6 ml fresh medium. Immunoblotting, detection and analysis were as described (Sánchez-Alcañiz et al., 2011).

Live cell imaging

Coronal brain slices (300 μm) were cut on a Vibratome (Leica), placed in 35 mm glass-bottom dishes (MatTek) and embedded in collagen (3 mg/ml, BD Biosciences). After adding DMEM lacking Phenol Red (Gibco), slices were transferred to the 37°C/5% CO2 tissue incubation chamber attached to an LSM510 Meta inverted confocal microscope (Zeiss). The following live cell acquisition setup was used: EC Plan-Neofluor 20×/0.50 M27 objective, an LSM510 Meta inverted confocal microscope (Zeiss). The following live cell imaging procedures, probes and antibodies were used (Memi et al., 2013; Stumm et al., 2002, 2003; Sánchez-Alcañiz et al., 2011). Transcripts from the Neomycin resistance cassette of Cxcr4 mutants (Zou et al., 1998) were detected with a Neo probe cloned by PCR using Cxcr4 genomic DNA and 5′-ATGGGATCCGGCGATTGAAC-3′ and 5′-TCAGAGAAC-3CTGTCAG-3′ primers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank C. Anders, H. Bechmann, S. Bechmann and H. Stadler for excellent technical assistance and Dr Falko Nagel and Dr Stefan Schulz for providing UMB-2 antibody.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions

R.S., P.A. and W.M. designed the study. P.A., W.M. and D.S. carried out experiments, analyzed data and helped draft the manuscript. R.S. participated in data analysis and wrote the final manuscript. M.T., P.Z. and F.M. provided reagents and helped to establish the experiments.

Funding

The work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) [grant STU 2957/1-1].

Supplementary material

Supplementary material available online at http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.104224/-/DC1

References


