**Arabidopsis UNHINGED encodes a VPS51 homolog and reveals a role for the GARP complex in leaf shape and vein patterning**
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**ABSTRACT**

Asymmetric localization of PIN proteins controls directionality of auxin transport and many aspects of plant development. Directionality of PIN1 within the marginal epidermis and the presumptive veins of developing leaf primordia is crucial for establishing leaf vein pattern. One mechanism that controls PIN1 protein distribution within the cell membranes is endocytosis and subsequent transport to the vacuole for degradation. The *Arabidopsis* mutant unhinged-1 (unh-1) has simpler leaf venation with distal non-meeting of the secondary veins and fewer higher order veins, a narrower leaf with prominent serrations, and reduced root and shoot growth. We identify UNH as the *Arabidopsis* vacuolar protein sorting 51 (VPS51) homolog, a member of the *Arabidopsis* Golgi-associated retrograde protein (GARP) complex, and show that UNH interacts with VPS52, another member of the complex and colocalizes with trans Golgi network and pre-vacuolar complex markers. The GARP complex in yeast and metazoans retrieves vacuolar sorting receptors to the trans-Golgi network and is important in sorting proteins for lysosomal degradation. We show that vacular targeting is reduced in unh-1. In the epidermal cells of unh-1 leaf margins, PIN1 expression is expanded. The unh-1 leaf phenotype is partially suppressed by pin1 and cuc2-3 mutations, supporting the idea that the phenotype results from expanded PIN1 expression in the marginal epidermis. Our results suggest that UNH is important for reducing expression of PIN1 within margin cells, possibly by targeting PIN1 to the lytic vacuole.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The reticulate leaf vein pattern typical of dicotyledonous plants such as *Arabidopsis* is formed progressively during leaf development (Berleth and Mattsson, 2000; Berleth et al., 2000; Scarpella et al., 2004; Steynen and Schultz, 2003). The auxin signal flow canalization hypothesis (Sachs, 1981) predicts that auxin distribution narrows from a wide field of cells to a subset of cells with high auxin transport that then become the sites for vasculature. Polar transport capacity is due to asymmetric distribution of PIN FORMED1 (PIN1) protein, the auxin efflux carrier (Steimann et al., 1999), the expression of which in developing veins mirrors the pattern of auxin distribution predicted by the canalization model (Scarpella et al., 2006; Wenzel et al., 2007).

In developing leaves, PIN1 expression in the epidermal cells of the leaf margin predicts the position of PIN expression domains (PEDs) that narrow to form veins (Scarpella et al., 2006). Initially, PIN1 localization is apical within epidermal cells of the young leaf primordium, directing an auxin maximum at the distal tip (Benkova et al., 2003; Reinhardt et al., 2003). The distal auxin maximum induces an initially wide PED in internal primordial cells that narrows to a file of cells with basal PIN1 localization, predicting the formation of the midvein (Bayer et al., 2009; Hou et al., 2010; Scarpella et al., 2006; Wenzel et al., 2007). Concurrently, PIN1 polarity in the distal marginal epidermal cells shifts from apical to basal, establishing lateral auxin maxima within the marginal epidermis (marginal epidermal PED, MEPED) on either side of the leaf. The process of shifting PIN1 polarity within the marginal epidermis, disappearance of distal MEPEDs and emergence of more proximal MEPEDs repeats during leaf formation. Successive MEPEDs are associated with: (1) margin outgrowth and the formation of serrations; and (2) PIN1 expression in the adjacent ground meristem that predicts the position of the secondary veins (Bilsborough et al., 2011; Scarpella et al., 2006; Wabnik et al., 2010; Wenzel et al., 2007). During secondary vein formation, two domains form sequentially: (1) the lower loop domain (LLD), which extends from the lateral convergence point to the proximal midvein; and (2) the upper loop domain (ULD), which extends from the LLD to the distal midvein (Scarpella et al., 2006; Wabnik et al., 2010; Wenzel et al., 2007). Failure to form a complete ULD is observed in mutants that show a disconnected vein network (Hou et al., 2010).

Dynamic relocalization of PIN1 proteins in both epidermal and ground meristem is crucial in establishing vascular fate and vein pattern (Dhonukshe et al., 2007; Geldner et al., 2003). Localization of PIN proteins is dependent upon vesicle cycling. PIN proteins at the plasma membrane (PM) undergo clathrin-dependent endocytosis to the early endosome/TGN. Post-TGN trafficking of endocytosed PIN involves either recycling or degradative pathways. Recycling back to the plasma membrane is mediated by GNOM, a guanine-nucleotide exchange factor for ADP-ribosylation factor GTPases: ARF-GTPases. The role of GNOM in polarized PIN1 localization within leaves is supported by the observation that *gnom* mutants show defects to leaf vein patterning (Koizumi et al., 2005). A second route carries PIN from early endosomes to the lytic vacuole through late endosomes also known as multi-vesicular bodies (MVBs) or pre-vacuolar complex (PVCs) (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008; Laxmi et al., 2008; Oliviusson et al., 2006; Spitzer et al., 2009). Mutation in genes encoding endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRTs) proteins (Spitzer et al., 2009), adaptor protein 3 (AP3) subunits (Feraru et al., 2010) and vacuolar morphology 3 (VAM3) (Shirakawa et al., 2009), which are all orthologs of lysosomal targeting factors in other eukaryotes, result in defects to the localization of PIN protein in the plasma membrane that are often accompanied by its ectopic accumulation within the cytoplasm. The mutations cause defects to various developmental processes, indicating an important regulatory role for vacuolar targeting of PIN.
The Golgi-associated retrograde protein (GARP) complex is a tetrameric tethering complex consisting of vacuolar protein sorting (VPS) subunits. In yeast, humans, and Caenorhabditis elegans, this complex tethers late endosome-derived vesicles at the TGN, allowing retrieval of lysosomal/vacuolar cargo receptors and processing enzymes. Defects to GARP components affect the sorting of lysosomal proteins and maintenance of lysosome function, and result in developmental defects in mice and C. elegans. The GARP complex is evolutionarily conserved and present in all eukaryotes. Here, we identify an Arabidopsis mutant, unh-1, that is required for leaf shape and vein pattern. We show that UNHINGED (UNH) is a VPS51 homolog in Arabidopsis and interacts with VPS52, indicating that UNH/VPS51 is part of the GARP complex. Consistent with a role in retrograde trafficking between the late endosome and TGN, we demonstrate that UNH colocalizes with TGN and PVC markers. Supporting a requirement for UNH in vacuolar trafficking, we observe secretion of a vacuolar targeted fluorophore in unh-1 mutants. unh-1 leaves have expanded MEPEDs and the unh-1 phenotype is partially suppressed by mutation of PIN1, suggesting that the phenotype may result from expanded PIN1 expression. Moreover, when exposed to Brefeldin A, PIN1-GFP aggregates into smaller compartments in unh-1, suggesting defective PIN1 trafficking. Based on the conserved role of the GARP complex and its importance in targeting proteins for degradation, we suggest that the PIN1 expansion within leaf marginal epidermal cells may be due to improper targeting of PIN1 to the lytic vacuole.

RESULTS

UNH is required for leaf shape and vein pattern

Screening of an EMS mutagenized Arabidopsis thaliana (Col-0) background population for vein patterning defects identified a recessive mutant unhinged-1 (unh-1) [segregation of 3:1 in F2, \( \chi^2=0.55 \) (P=0.54; n=77)] with fewer secondary and higher order veins and lack of distal vein junctions (Fig. 1B, Table 1). In the first leaves of wild-type plants 21 days after germination (DAG), 5.6% of secondary and higher order veins lack distal vein junctions (Fig. 1B, Table 1). In the first leaves of wild-type plants 21 days after germination (DAG), 5.6% of secondary and higher order veins fail to meet distally, whereas in unh-1 63% are distally non-meeting (Table 1). In addition, the first leaves in unh-1 are narrower, as indicated by the length/breadth ratio, and more pointed, and both first and fifth leaves have more prominent serrations than wild type (Table 1, Fig. 1, supplementary material Fig. S1). Like the leaves, unh-1 cotyledons have a higher number of free-ending veins and thus fewer areoles (Table 2). In addition, unh-1 plants have shorter primary root length, shorter inflorescence internodes and delayed bolting, but no changes to gravitropic response, root hair length or lateral root density (supplementary material Table S4). The spectrum of defects is consistent with a global defect to the auxin response or auxin transport pathways. To test whether auxin response is affected in unh-1 plants, we compared expression of the synthetic auxin reporter DR5:GUS (Ulmasov et al., 1997). In both developing leaf lamina and roots, DR5:GUS expression is reduced in unh-1 compared with wild type, whereas expression in the lateral marginal epidermis at hydathodes appears unchanged at both 16 and 8 h staining times (supplementary material Fig. S2, compare D and E with J and K).

Map-based cloning of UNH

Molecular mapping isolated the unh-1 mutation to a region between markers 4-11-3 and 4-11-5b on chromosome 4 (supplementary material Fig. S3A-C). Sequencing of candidate genes revealed a G-to-A substitution in the last nucleotide of the 10th intron of the At4g02030 gene. First, the unh-1 phenotype is the result of mutation in the At4g02030 gene. Third, RT-PCR on cDNA from total mRNA using primers flanking the unh-1 and unh-2 mutations (arrows in supplementary material Fig. S3D) revealed that the unh-1 transcript is longer in size and the unh-2 transcript is lower in intensity compared with the 335 bp wild-type product (supplementary material Fig. S4).
**UNH is a member of plant GARP complex**

At4G02030/UNH is predicted to encode a VPS51 domain at its N-terminal (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2011) (supplementary material Fig. S3E). The VPS51 protein is one of the four subunits of the GARP complex. UNH contains a well-conserved motif (LVYENYKFISATDT) (supplementary material Fig. S3F) found in the VPS51 domain of higher eukaryotes (Luo et al., 2011) that shares closest homology to *Unh* ortholog POK localizes to post-Golgi compartments (Guernonprez et al., 2008; Lobstein et al., 2004). To determine whether the cellular localization of UNH is consistent with GARP localization in *Arabidopsis* and other organisms, we assessed the localization of UNH-GFP using both stable and transient expression systems. In tobacco epidermal cells, UNH-GFP localizes to small, motile punctate structures (Fig. 3A,D,G,J). UNH-GFP colocalizes frequently (69%, Fig. 3C,P) to the same puncta as RAB5 a TGN marker (Sanderfoot et al., 2001; Uemura et al., 2004), with TGN or endosomal membranes (Johansen et al., 2009). When colocalize to the same structures more frequently (82%, Fig. 3L,P). The pleiotropic *unh*-1 phenotype suggests that UNH acts throughout plant development. The *UNH*-coding region, together with 5 kb upstream, complements the *unh*-1 phenotype (Fig. 1C), indicating that the 5 kb region is sufficient to confer the endogenous expression pattern. Thus, we expressed GUS under the 5 kb region (**UNH**

**UNH colocalizes with both TGN and PVC markers**

The *Arabidopsis* VPS2 ortholog POK localizes to post-Golgi compartments (Guernonprez et al., 2008; Lobstein et al., 2004). To determine whether the cellular localization of UNH is consistent with GARP localization in *Arabidopsis* and other organisms, we assessed the localization of UNH-GFP using both stable and transient expression systems. In tobacco epidermal cells, UNH-GFP localizes to small, motile punctate structures (Fig. 3A,D,G,J). UNH-GFP colocalizes frequently (69%, Fig. 3C,P) to the same puncta as RAB5 a TGN marker (Sanderfoot et al., 2001; Uemura et al., 2004), with TGN or endosomal membranes (Johansen et al., 2009). When colocalize to the same structures more frequently (82%, Fig. 3L,P). The pleiotropic *unh*-1 phenotype suggests that UNH acts throughout plant development. The *UNH*-coding region, together with 5 kb upstream, complements the *unh*-1 phenotype (Fig. 1C), indicating that the 5 kb region is sufficient to confer the endogenous expression pattern. Thus, we expressed GUS under the 5 kb region (**UNH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Number of secondaries</th>
<th>Number of NMS (% of NMS)</th>
<th>Number of tertiaries</th>
<th>Number of quaternaries</th>
<th>Number of areoles</th>
<th>Number of sereations (1st rosette leaves)</th>
<th>First leaf L/B ratio</th>
<th>Number of sereations (5th rosette leaves)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type (52, 30)</td>
<td>8.9±1.4</td>
<td>3.6±0.7</td>
<td>21.3±4.3</td>
<td>4.2±2.2</td>
<td>20.9±4.9</td>
<td>0.1±0.2</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
<td>2.7±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 (91, 21)</td>
<td>5.7±1.5</td>
<td>3.4±0.6</td>
<td>16.3±3.1</td>
<td>3.5±2.2</td>
<td>15.2±3.2</td>
<td>0.1±0.2</td>
<td>0.6±0.6</td>
<td>3.2±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-2 (19, 17)</td>
<td>8.3±1.5</td>
<td>0.8±0.7</td>
<td>9.5±2.2</td>
<td>2.3±1.4</td>
<td>19.5±4.8</td>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
<td>1.4±0.1</td>
<td>3.0±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1/unh-2 F1 (21, 7)</td>
<td>8.4±0.2</td>
<td>0.8±0.7</td>
<td>9.5±2.2</td>
<td>2.3±1.4</td>
<td>19.5±4.8</td>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
<td>1.4±0.1</td>
<td>3.0±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vam3-4 (16, 27)</td>
<td>6.7±1.2</td>
<td>1.8±1.2</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>4.8±2.5</td>
<td>2.3±1.4</td>
<td>0.6±0.6</td>
<td>6.1±1.7</td>
<td>0.4±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 vam3-4 (21, 19)</td>
<td>3.9±0.5</td>
<td>0.3±0.7</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>0.7±0.6</td>
<td>0.7±0.6</td>
<td>3.4±1.7</td>
<td>4.2±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vtl11 (18, 21)</td>
<td>9.2±0.6</td>
<td>0.8±0.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>21.4±1.1</td>
<td>3.9±1.9</td>
<td>20.8±4.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.3</td>
<td>1.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 vtl11 (22, 25)</td>
<td>6.3±0.9</td>
<td>4.5±1.4</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>4.7±2.0</td>
<td>3.0±0.5</td>
<td>2.1±1.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.7</td>
<td>1.7±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pin-1 (32, 18)</td>
<td>8.5±1.8</td>
<td>0.4±0.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>20.9±6.1</td>
<td>3.7±3.7</td>
<td>20.8±3.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 pin-1 (58, 10)</td>
<td>8.9±2.6</td>
<td>1.5±1.1</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>12.4±8.9</td>
<td>1.2±1.5</td>
<td>11.6±7.3</td>
<td>0.1±0.4</td>
<td>1.5±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cuc2-3 (19, 23)</td>
<td>8.8±1.2</td>
<td>0.5±0.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>24.8±3.2</td>
<td>6.7±2.7</td>
<td>24.4±3.4</td>
<td>0.0±0</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 cuc2-c3 (26, 25)</td>
<td>7.3±1.4</td>
<td>2.7±1.2</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>5.5±2.9</td>
<td>0.3±0.5</td>
<td>4.8±2.4</td>
<td>0.2±0.2</td>
<td>1.7±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDLbdl (19, 25)</td>
<td>8.9±1.2</td>
<td>0.5±0.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>18.4±5.2</td>
<td>4.4±2.1</td>
<td>17.9±2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 BDLbdl (26, 22)</td>
<td>5.0±1.0</td>
<td>2.6±1.3</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>6.0±2.1</td>
<td>2.6±1.2</td>
<td>2.6±1.2</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>3.1±1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent means±d. Numbers in parentheses represent number of first leaves scored, number of fifth leaves scored. NMS, non-meeting secondaries; L/B, leaf length:breadth ratio.

*Significantly different from wild type (ANOVA, Tukey-Kramer test, P<0.05).

**Table 2. Cotyledon vascular phenotype of *unh*-1 and wild type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Areoles</th>
<th>Veins</th>
<th>Free ending veins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type (34)</td>
<td>3.3±0.1</td>
<td>3.8±0.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 (53)</td>
<td>0.9±0.1*</td>
<td>3.9±0.1*</td>
<td>2.0±0.2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent means±d.

Number in parentheses represents number of cotyledons.

*Significantly different from wild type (Student’s t-test, P<0.05).
indicating that the fusion protein is fully functional. As when transiently expressed in tobacco, when stably expressed in Arabidopsis, UNH-GFP localizes to small, motile punctate structures (Fig. 3M). Because expression is too low for imaging in developing vascular cells, we assessed expression in young cotyledon (5-DAG) epidermal cells, that express UNH_prom:GUS (Fig. 2B). Introgressing SYP61-YFP into the 35S:UNH-GFP-expressing lines reveals a high level of localization (79%, Fig. 3O,P) to the same punctate structures.

To test whether UNH is involved in PVC/vacuolar pathway, we generated double mutants of unh-1 with vam3-4 and vti11. Mutations in VAM3/SYP22, the product of which is a member of vacuolar membrane-localized t-SNARE superfamily, result in a vein pattern similar to unh-1 (Shirakawa et al., 2009) (Table 1, Fig. 4C) as well as a shorter shoot (Ohtomo et al., 2005). VTI11, an interacting partner for VAM3, is expressed in the PVC and is a member of the v-SNARE family. vti11 mutants have a normal leaf vein phenotype (Table 1, Fig. 4E) but enhance vam3-4 defects (Shirakawa et al., 2009) and have agranitropic shoots (Kato et al., 2012) (supplementary material Fig. S6). Consistent with the genes acting in independent steps to target PIN1 to the vacuole, both unh-1 vam3-4 and unh-1 vti11 double mutants show an additive phenotype more extreme than either single mutants with respect to aspects of first leaf vein phenotype (Table 1, Fig. 4D,F) and shoot phenotype (supplementary material Fig. S6).

**Vacuolar targeting is disrupted in unh-1**

Mutation to VPS51 in other eukaryotes has been shown to affect lysosomal structure and mis-sorting of lysosomal cargo to the extracellular space (Luo et al., 2011; Perez-Victoria et al., 2010b; Reggiori et al., 2003). To establish whether unh-1 causes defects to vacuole structure or targeting, we introduced markers into unh-1 mutants: VAC-YFP, a tonoplast marker including the C terminus of γ-TIP (Nelson et al., 2007); RHA1-YFP (RABF2A), a marker of PVC (Preuss et al., 2004); and AFVY-RFP, which in wild type is targeted to the lytic vacuole (Hunter et al., 2007). Comparison of VAC-YFP, RHA1-YFP and AFVY-RFP localization and distribution in cotyledon epidermal cells revealed differences between wild-type and unh-1 (Fig. 5). Whereas RHA1-YFP in wild type is primarily localized to small vesicles of regular size at 5 DAG, the localization in unh-1 mutants is frequently to larger aggregates of irregular shape and size (Fig. 5A-C). Correspondingly, VAC-YFP identifies primarily large vacuolar bulbs (Saito et al., 2002) in wild type, whereas smaller and more frequent bulbs are seen in unh-1 (Fig. 5D-F). Finally, we compared localization of AFVY-RFP in wild type and unh-1. At early stages of development (3 DAG cotyledons, Fig. 5G,H), AFVY-RFP is within the vacuole in both wild-type and unh-1 epidermal cells. However, by 6 DAG, localization is strikingly different, being entirely within the vacuole of wild type and entirely secreted to the apoplast in unh-1 (compare Fig. 5I with 5J). Collectively, these results suggest that, as in other eukaryotes, defects in UNH/VPS51 in Arabidopsis affect vacuole structure, disrupt trafficking to the vacuole and result in mis-sorting of vacuolar cargo to the apoplast.

**Cellular trafficking is disrupted in unh-1**

Next, we asked whether unh-1 is defective in endosome trafficking by using FM4-64, a steryl dye that is incorporated into the plasma membrane and then follows the endocytic pathway to the vacuole (Bolte et al., 2004). Roots of unh-1 and wild type were treated with FM4-64 for 30 min, rinsed and viewed at hourly intervals. At 1 h, no difference is visible in unh-1, suggesting that early endocytic events are not compromised (compare Fig. 6A with 6B). At 3 h, when FM4-64 labels the tonoplast (Dettmer et al., 2006), differences are evident between the two genotypes (compare Fig. 6C with 6D). In both, the tonoplast is labeled, but in unh-1, more cellular aggregates are present (Fig. 6E), possibly indicating that the dye is becoming trapped before reaching the vacuole.

The auxin-related defects in unh-1 mutants combined with the defects to cellular structure and trafficking suggest that unh-1 may be defective in PIN trafficking. To assess this possibility, we introduced PIN1-GFP and PIN2-GFP into unh-1. In roots, localization and intensity of PIN1-GFP and PIN2-GFP in unh-1 (Fig. 6G,L) are indistinguishable from wild type (Fig. 6F,K). We asked whether unh-1 might be compromised in PIN2 trafficking by treating with Wortmannin, a PI3 kinase inhibitor that interferes with transport of PIN2 to the vacuole (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008). Accumulation of PIN2-GFP in root cells of unh-1 and wild type treated with Wortmannin was not different (Fig. 6J, compare Fig. 6H with 6I), suggesting that unh-1 may not affect PIN2 trafficking. To test the
trafficking of PIN1 in unh-1 mutants, we treated them with Brefeldin A, which interferes with ARF-GEF activity, causing PIN1 endosomes to aggregate into BFA compartments (Geldner et al., 2003). Root cells of unh-1 mutants treated with BFA formed smaller PIN1-GFP-containing compartments (Fig. 6P, compare Fig. 6M with 6N), suggesting that the identity of the PIN1-containing vesicles is altered and that PIN1 trafficking is compromised in unh-1 cells.

Expression of PIN1-GFP in epidermal cells of the lateral margin is expanded in unh-1 leaves

We next examined the PIN1-GFP expression in developing leaves. Our comparison of primordial length and progression of PIN1 expressing domains (PEDs) through vein orders (Table 3) indicates that 2.5 DAG, 3 DAG and 4 DAG wild type are equivalent to developmental stages 3 DAG, 4 DAG and 5 DAG unh-1 mutants respectively.

PIN1-GFP within epidermal cells at the distal tip (arrows in Fig. 7A,F) and PIN1-GFP within the subepidermal cells along the future midvein vasculature (Fig. 7A,F, Fig. 8) is indistinguishable in unh-1 and wild type. Concurrent with its expression in the midvein, PIN1-GFP expression at the apical epidermal cells is reduced and new lateral MEPEDs appear. Using an equivalent pixel saturation density as an indication of strong PIN1-GFP expression (see supplementary material Fig. S7), we compared the number of cells showing strong expression of PIN1-GFP within the MEPEDs and their association with the PEDs of secondary veins (Fig. 7, Table 3). These analyses indicate that proximal shifts in MEPEDs and their association with secondary vein formation is similar in unh-1 and wild type. However, at each stage, the number of cells in MEPEDs is increased in unh-1 compared with wild type (Table 3, supplementary material Fig. S7) and the level of PIN1-GFP associated with the membrane is often higher in unh-1 compared with wild type (supplementary material Fig. S7).

PIN1-GFP and ATHB8:GUS expression pattern is altered in unh-1 secondary veins

unh-1 mutants show defects in serrations and have fewer secondary veins that rarely meet distally (Table 1, Fig. 1B). The MEPEDs generate auxin maxima at the leaf margins that are correlated with both serrations and the generation of secondary veins in leaves (Bilsborough et al., 2011; Scarpella et al., 2010). We asked whether the expanded MEPEDs in unh-1 are correlated with changes in PIN1-GFP expression in the secondary veins. At early stages, the PED associated with the first secondary vein LLD is indistinguishable between wild type and unh-1 mutants (Fig. 7B,C,G,H). In 3 DAG wild type, narrowed LLD expression extends to the proximal midvein, whereas ULD expression extends to the distal midvein to form a loop of PIN1 expression that predicts the first set of secondary veins; expression within LLD of the second set of secondary veins is initiated (Fig. 7C). At an equivalent developmental stage of unh-1...
(4 DAG), the formation of distal ULD is frequently incomplete (arrowhead in Fig. 7H), a state that persists at later stages (arrowhead in Fig. 7I). Consistent with the PIN1-GFP expression, expression of ATHB8:GUS, a procambial fate marker (Scarpella et al., 2004), is delayed in unh-1 leaves and does not form complete loops (supplementary material Fig. S2), suggesting that procambial fate fails to be achieved within the distal ULD.

The unh-1 phenotype is suppressed by pin1 and cuc2, and enhanced by bdl mutations

We reasoned that if the unh-1 leaf phenotype is the result of excess PIN1 expression, it might be rescued by mutation of PIN1. Based on the vein characters we quantified, the pin1-1 mutant has a first leaf phenotype that is not significantly different from wild type (Table 1, Fig. 4G), whereas the fifth leaf has fewer serrations than wild type (supplementary material Fig. S1). Consistent with our prediction, pin1-1 reduces the severity of both unh-1 vein pattern and leaf shape characters, such that the double mutant phenotype is more similar to wild type and significantly different from unh-1 and pin1-1 (Table 1, Fig. 4A,B,G,H, supplementary material Fig. S1).

The transcription factor CUC2 acts within the epidermis of the leaf margin to direct PIN1 relocalization and is therefore necessary to generate the epidermal auxin convergence points, and hence auxin response maxima that are correlated with serration and secondary vein development (Bilsborough et al., 2011; Kawamura et al., 2010). Hence, cuc2-3 mutants fail to develop serrations (Table 1, Fig. 4I, supplementary material Fig. S1). Furthermore, a feedback loop has been proposed such that high auxin response at convergence points negatively regulates CUC2 (Bilsborough et al., 2011). Auxin elicits a transcriptional response through initiating degradation of AUX/IAA transcriptional repressors (such as BODENLOS, BDL), thereby releasing ARF transcriptional activators (see Quint and Gray, 2006 for a review). Thus, a reduced auxin response is seen in plants heterozygous for a semi-dominant bdl allele that encodes a stabilized repressor. Moreover, the reduced auxin response in BDLbdl mutants is correlated with elevated CUC2 and an increased number of serrations in fifth leaves (Bilsborough et al., 2011). If aspects of the unh-1 phenotype results from expanded MEPEDs, we predict that failure to establish PIN1 convergence points (MEPEDs) in a cuc2-3 mutant would be epistatic to unh-1 phenotype, whereas the increased CUC2 in a BDLbdl mutant might enhance the unh-1 phenotype.

In support of our hypothesis, absence of CUC2 in an unh-1 background eliminates serrations in both first and fifth leaves (Table 1, Fig. 4J, supplementary material Fig. S1). By contrast, the stabilization of BDL in an unh-1 background increases serration number in unh-1BDLbdl first and fifth leaves (Table 1, Fig. 4L, supplementary material Fig. S1). We next asked whether severity of the serration phenotype was correlated with severity of the lamina vein pattern phenotype. The reduced number of serrations in first leaves of the unh-1 cuc2-3 double mutant is correlated with a suppression of secondary, but not of higher order, vein pattern defects: compared with unh-1, the double mutant has increased numbers of secondary veins that meet distally more frequently. By contrast, the increased number of serrations in unh-1 BDLbdl is correlated with fewer non-meeting secondary veins (Table 1). The inverse correlation between number of serrations and number of meeting secondary veins strongly suggests that they result from a common defect.

DISCUSSION

UNH is a member of plant GARP complex

Here, we report the identification and characterization of a novel Arabidopsis gene, UNHINGED (UNH), which encodes a homolog of yeast VPS51, the fourth subunit of the tetrameric GARP tethering complex. Previous genomic analyses show high conservation of the VPS51 domain within eukaryotes (Luo et al., 2011). Of the three
putative VPS51-encoding genes present in Arabidopsis, only UNH/VPS51 contains an intact LVYENYNKFISATDT motif (supplementary material Fig. S3) identified as being highly conserved within multicellular eukaryotes (Luo et al., 2011). Our yeast two-hybrid assay shows that UNH/VPS51 interacts with VPS52 (supplementary material Fig. S5). Taken together, these results indicate that UNH is a member of the Arabidopsis GARP complex. UNH fails to interact with VPS53 and VPS54, the other two subunits of the complex; similarly, human ANG2 (VPS 51) shows strong interaction with VPS52 and only weak interaction with VPS53 and VPS54 (Perez-Victoria et al., 2010b).

Whereas unh-1 mutants have a pleiotropic phenotype, our recovery of plants homozygous for either unh-1 or unh-2 indicates that these mutations to VPS51 cause neither gametophytic defects nor embryonic lethality. This may suggest that, as in yeast (Conibear et al., 2003), mammals (Perez-Victoria et al., 2010b) and C. elegans (Luo et al., 2011), the VPS51 subunit plays an auxiliary role within the GARP complex.

**UNH localizes to the TGN and PVC compartments, and mutation causes defects to vacuole targeting**

The GARP complex in yeast, humans and C. elegans functions in tethering of the LE/PVC-derived lysosomal/vacuolar sorting receptors (VSR) at the TGN, allowing their use in subsequent recognition cycles and in the maintenance of lysosomal function (Conibear et al., 2003). Our findings that UNH-GFP colocalizes with SYP61 and YPT6, markers of the TGN, as well as RABF2A and RABF2B, markers of the PVC (Fig. 3), support the hypothesis that the GARP complex in plants, as in other eukaryotes, trafficks VSR from the PVC to the TGN. This role is further supported by the defective targeting to the apoplast of the RFP-fused vacuolar-targeting peptide AFVY in unh-1 mutants (Fig. 5). Similarly, mutation in the mammalian GARP complex blocks the recycling of cation independent mannose-6 phosphate receptor (CIMPR) from the endosome to the TGN, leading to mis-sorting of the CIMPR cargo, lysosomal hydrolases, into the extracellular space (Perez-Victoria et al., 2008). Moreover, unh-1 mutants differentially localize the endocytic marker FM4-64, alter BFA-induced PIN1-GFP compartments (Fig. 6), form aggregates of RABF2A-localizing compartments and have more fragmented vacuolar compartments identified by VAC-YFP (Fig. 5). Together, these results are consistent with general defects to endomembrane vesicles, vacuole trafficking and maintenance. Structural defects to the lysosome have also been observed in VPS51 mutants in yeast (Reggiori et al., 2003), C. elegans (Luo et al., 2011) and mice (Perez-Victoria et al., 2010a), indicating a common role in maintaining vacuolar morphology.

**UNH control of PIN1 expression is mediated by PIN1 vacuolar trafficking**

During development of the wild-type leaf, distal epidermal convergence points disappear and new, more-proximal, convergence points emerge...
due to shifting PIN1 expression and localization (Bilsborough et al., 2011; Scarpella et al., 2006). Emergence of each new epidermal convergence point involves an apical-to-basal shift in PIN1 localization in cells distal to the new convergence point and a reduction in the expression of PIN1 in cells above the convergence point (Fig. 8). In unh-1, the shift in PIN1 localization within the margin seems to occur normally, but the reduction in PIN1 expression fails to occur completely, resulting in an expanded MEPED. Although the apical-to-basal shift is believed to be controlled by CUC2 (Bilsborough et al., 2011; Kawamura et al., 2010), the mechanism controlling PIN1 protein abundance within the marginal cells is not well understood. Mutations in VTI11 and VAM3, which are localized to the PVC and vacuole, result in failure to target PIN1-GFP to the vacuole and in expanded MEPEDs (Shirakawa et al., 2009), suggesting that vacuolar targeting may play a role.

We propose that, like the GARP complex in other eukaryotes, UNH and the GARP complex play a key role in targeting proteins for degradation. Consistent with this conserved role, we have shown that UNH is localized to the TGN and PVC compartments, and that in unh-1 mutants, a vacuolar targeted fluorophore (AVFY-RFP) fails to be targeted to the vacuole and is instead secreted to the apoplast. Furthermore, we propose that the expanded marginal PED in unh-1 leaves may result from a failure to target PIN1 proteins properly to the lytic vacuole within leaf margin cells. Treatment of unh-1 with BFA results in abnormal PIN1-GFP-containing compartments, suggesting that PIN1 trafficking is abnormal in unh-1. The unh-1 phenotype is suppressed by pin1-1, consistent with the idea that the phenotype is the result of ectopic PIN1. We suggest that in unh-1 mutants, endocytosed PIN1 is recycled back to the PM, leading to an expanded MEPED. Double mutants of unh-1 vam3-4 or unh-1 vti11 result in a leaf vein pattern that is additive between the two single mutants, supporting the idea that they act in independent steps that target PIN1 to the vacuole.

**Expanded epidermal PIN leads to the unh-1 phenotype**

Leaves of unh-1 have fewer secondary veins and form extra serrations, two characteristics that are proposed to be controlled by PIN1 convergence points in epidermal cells of the leaf margin (Bilsborough et al., 2011; Scarpella et al., 2006; Wabnik et al., 2010; Wenzel et al., 2007). In unh-1 mutants, although the subcellular localization of PIN1-GFP in margin cells appears normal, each MEPED associated with newly forming secondary veins is expanded. Subsequently, within the secondary vein PED, the formation of the LLD appears normal, but the ULD often does not form completely (Figs 7, 8). The simplest explanation for the unh-1 leaf phenotype is that expanded MEPEDs directly result in more serrations and fewer meeting secondary veins. This idea is supported by the inverse

---

**Fig. 6. unh-1 affects cellular trafficking.** Roots of wild type (A,C,F,H,K,M) and unh-1 (B,D,G,I,L,N). Seedlings treated with 8.2 μM FM4-64 for 30 min were observed after 1 h (A,B) or 3 h (C,D). (E) The frequency of puncta after 3 h. (F-N) Seedlings were treated with 33 μM Wortmannin for 1.5 h (H,I) or with 50 μM BFA for 1.5 h (M,N). (J,O) Frequency of puncta after treatment. (P) Size of puncta after BFA treatment. Data are mean ± s.e.m.; *P<0.05, Student’s t-test; n=60 (FM4-64 wild type and unh); n=54 (wortmannin, wild type), n=59, wortmannin, unh-1); n=50 (BFA, wild type and unh-1). Scale bars: 10 μm.
correlation between number of serrations and meeting secondary veins in double mutants between unh-1 and mutations known to affect marginal PIN1 expression. Distal non-meeting of unh-1 secondary veins is partially suppressed by pin1-1 and cuc2-3, leading to the conclusion that the lack of meeting and failure to form a ULD is the indirect result of excess PIN1 in the margin. The reduction in tertiary and quaternary veins associated with unh-1 is suppressed by pin1-1, but not by cuc2-3, suggesting that this defect is not associated with expansion of the MEPED and that unh-1 may also affect PIN1 within developing veins of the lamina.

We speculate that the expanded MEPED results in a greater marginal auxin source that induces more margin outgrowth and alters auxin flux through the LLD (compare LLD in Fig. 8B and 8E), as indicated by reduced DR5:GUS expression in unh-1 leaf veins. Consistent with the telome theory of leaf evolution (Beerling and Fleming, 2007), we suggest that epidermal auxin sources at the distal tip and lateral convergence points compete in much the same way as the SAM competes with a lateral meristem during lateral bud outgrowth (Prusinkiewicz et al., 2009; Wabnik et al., 2010) with flux through the midvein analogous to flux from the apical meristem, and flux from the MEPEDs through the secondary veins analogous to flux from the lateral buds. We suggest that, in lateral buds (Prusinkiewicz et al., 2009), achieving a crucial level of auxin flux in successive secondary veins is required to allow auxin flux through the ULD. In unh-1 mutants, the marginal source is expanded, auxin flux through the LLD is changed and the ULD fails to form (compare Fig. 8C with 8F).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana, Columbia (Col-0) ecotype, was used as a wild-type control in all experiments and as a background for all the mutants, except pin1-1 (Enkheim ecotype). Ethyl methane sulphonate-treated lines of Arabidopsis were obtained from Lehle Seed (Round Rock, TX, USA). pin1-1, DR5:GUS, vam3-4, cuc2-3, BDL bd1 and vit11 seed were from Thomas Berleth (University of Toronto, ON, Canada), Jane Murfett (University of Missouri-Columbia, MO, USA), Taku Takahashi (Ohtomo et al., 2005), Mitsuhiko Aida (Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Ikoma, Japan), Enrico Scarpella (University of Alberta, AB, Canada) and Miyo Morita (Kato et al., 2002), respectively. The PIN1-GFP, ATHB8:GUS and Salk T-DNA insertion lines were from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Centre (ABRC, OH, USA). The T-DNA insertion line GABI_520G08 was from GABI-kat (Kleinboelting et al., 2012). Vectors pGreen229 (Hellens et al., 2000) and pBI:GUS (pBI101.2) were from Shelly Hepworth (Carleton University, ON, Canada), SYP61-YFP was from Luciana Renna (Stefano et al., 2010), vector pVKH18-GFPN was from Hugo Zheng (McGill University, QC, Canada) and Miyo Morita (Kato et al., 2002), respectively. The PIN1-GFP, ATHB8:GUS and Salk T-DNA insertion lines were from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Centre (ABRC, OH, USA). The T-DNA insertion line GABI_520G08 was from GABI-kat (Kleinboelting et al., 2012). Vectors pGreen229 (Hellens et al., 2000) and pBI:GUS (pBI101.2) were from Shelly Hepworth (Carleton University, ON, Canada), SYP61-YFP was from Luciana Renna (Stefano et al., 2010), pVKH18-GFPN was from Hugo Zheng (McGill University, QC, Canada) and WAVE constructs were from ABRC. Arabidopsis (Steynen and Schultz, 2003) and Nicotiana tabacum SR1 (cv Petit Havana) (Brandizzi et al., 2002) were grown as described previously. The day of transfer of plants to growth chamber is referred to as 0 days after germination (DAG).

Table 3. PIN1:GFP expression in early leaf veins and margins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype and stage</th>
<th>Number of secondary vein PEDs</th>
<th>Number of tertiary vein PEDs</th>
<th>Number of cells in marginal PEDs</th>
<th>Primordium length (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type 2.5 DAG</td>
<td>0.7±0.4 (23)</td>
<td>0 (23)</td>
<td>3.9±0.9 (15)</td>
<td>108.8±9.4 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type 3 DAG</td>
<td>3.2±1.6 (20)</td>
<td>0.7±1.3 (20)</td>
<td>6.3±1.5 (42)</td>
<td>144.5±23.0 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type 4 DAG</td>
<td>5.9±1.5 (20)</td>
<td>1.8±1.4 (20)</td>
<td>7.0±3.0 (67)</td>
<td>352.7±75.7 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type 5 DAG</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.0±1.6 (16)</td>
<td>490.8±63.2 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 3 DAG</td>
<td>0.9±1.1 (37)*</td>
<td>0 (37)</td>
<td>6.8±1.4 (39)*</td>
<td>114.4±17.2 (25)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 4 DAG</td>
<td>2.4±0.8 (21)*</td>
<td>0 (21)</td>
<td>9.2±3.3 (49)*</td>
<td>185.8±19.9 (15)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unh-1 5 DAG</td>
<td>3.5±0.8 (14)*</td>
<td>0.1±0.5 (14)</td>
<td>12.8±3.8 (38)*</td>
<td>438.1±49.5 (15)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent mean±s.d. Number in parentheses represents sample size. Distinct PIN1:GFP expression was rarely visible in distal secondary veins and tertiary veins in wild-type 5 DAG first leaves.

PED, PIN1:GFP expression domain (showing strong lateral expression – see supplementary material Fig. S6 for details); ND, not determined.

*Significantly different from wild type at the same day after germination (Student’s t-test, P<0.05).

‡Significantly different from wild type at the same stage of development (Student’s t-test, P<0.05).
The unh-1 mutant was backcrossed to wild type at least four times prior to all analyses. The location of unh-1 mutation was narrowed down to 15 candidate protein-coding genes on chromosome 4, through segregation of polymorphisms identified through the Cereon polymorphism database (supplementary material Table S1) (Jander et al., 2002) in the F2 population (n=230) of an unh-1 (Col-0) by Landsberg erecta (Ler) cross. Sequencing of the candidate genes revealed a mutation in At4g02030. Genotyping of GABI_520G08 (unh-2) was performed by PCR using the primer set UNH_GABI_genespecific and UNH_GABI_PRPCR (supplementary material Table S2). Plants homozygous for GABI_520G08 were crossed to unh-1 and allelism was confirmed by non-complementation in F1 plants.

Identification and mapping of unh-1

The unh-1 mutant was backcrossed to wild type at least four times prior to all analyses. The location of unh-1 mutation was narrowed down to 15 candidate protein-coding genes on chromosome 4, through segregation of polymorphisms identified through the Cereon polymorphism database (supplementary material Table S1) (Jander et al., 2002) in the F2 population (n=230) of an unh-1 (Col-0) by Landsberg erecta (Ler) cross. Sequencing of the candidate genes revealed a mutation in At4g02030. Genotyping of GABI_520G08 (unh-2) was performed by PCR using the primer set UNH_GABI_genespecific and UNH_GABI_PRPCR (supplementary material Table S2). Plants homozygous for GABI_520G08 were crossed to unh-1 and allelism was confirmed by non-complementation in F1 plants.

Constructs and transformation

All primers used in amplification are listed in supplementary material Table S2. To generate the complementation construct (pUNH), 10 kb of wild-type genomic sequence, including 5000 bp upstream from the putative At4g02030 translation start site and 425 bp downstream from the putative translation termination site, was amplified from wild-type genomic DNA and ligated into the NotI sites of the pG229 II binary vector (Hellens et al., 2000). For generating a transcriptional fusion of the UNH transcriptional regulatory region to the GUS reporter gene (UNH prom: GUS), the 5 kb upstream region was amplified and subcloned into the Ncol I-cloning site 5’ to the B-Glucoronidase/uidA (GUS) reporter gene within the pBlGUS binary vector. To generate the 35S:UNH-GFP construct, UNH cDNA was amplified from RAFL16-14-018 (Col-0) by Landsberg erecta (Ler) cross. Sequencing of the candidate genes revealed a mutation in At4g02030. Genotyping of GABI_520G08 (unh-2) was performed by PCR using the primer set UNH_GABI_genespecific and UNH_GABI_PRPCR (supplementary material Table S2). Plants homozygous for GABI_520G08 were crossed to unh-1 and allelism was confirmed by non-complementation in F1 plants.

Transgenic lines and generation of double mutants

DR5::GUS (Ulmasov et al., 1997), ATHB8::GUS (Baima et al., 1995), 35S:AFVY-RFP (Hunter et al., 2007), 35S:EYFP-RABF2A (Preuss et al., 2004) and 35S:VAC-YFP (Nelson et al., 2007) were introduced into the unh-1 background by crossing homozygous unh-1 plants to each marker line. Homozygous F4 generation plants were used for analysis.

Double mutants were generated between unh-1 and pin1-1, van3-4, vti11, cu2-3 or bdl. With the exception of populations segregating for pin1-1 and bdl, F3 lines with unh-1 phenotype and showing segregation of the double mutant were allowed to self, and double mutant F4 plants were characterized. Homozygosity of van3-3, vti11 and unh-1 was confirmed using mutation specific primers (Shirakawa et al., 2009). For pin1-1 and bdl, plants with unh-1 phenotype in the F2 were screened for the pin1-1 allele [dCAPS primers: pin1-1dF and pin1-1R (v)] or for BDL/bdl based on epinastic leaves. Double mutants segregating in the F3 population were used for characterization.

RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from 100 mg of ground tissue using TRIZol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. First-strand cDNA was generated from 1 μg total RNA using oligo(dT) primers and a RevertAid synthesis kit (Fermentas), and amplified using the UNHRTPCR_F and UNHRTPCR_R primer pair (supplementary material Table S2) flanking the unh-1 and unh-2 mutations. The protein phosphatase 2A A3 (At1g3320) (Czechowski et al., 2005) amplified with primer pair PP2A_F and PP2A_R (supplementary material Table S2) was used as a control.

GUS staining, phenotypic analysis and microscopy

GUS staining, cotyledon and leaf clearing, and analysis of vein phenotypes was performed as described previously (Kang and Dengler, 2002; Stevnen and Schultz, 2003). In plants mutant for pin1-1, leaf fusion occurs with some frequency (3% of first leaves in both unh-1 and unh-1 pin1-1, n=33 and 58, respectively). Because fused leaves merge leaves of two developmental stages (e.g. first and second leaves), they cannot be compared with either first or second leaves. Thus, we did not include them in our analysis of vein pattern and leaf shape. Where bifurcating midveins occurred in unh-1 pin1-1 leaves (2%, n=56), the vein in the middle of the leaf was considered the midvein, whereas that angling to the margin was considered to be a secondary vein. Primary root length and root hairs were measured on 6 DAG seedlings grown vertically. Gravitropic response was measured 3 h after subjecting 4 DAG vertically grown roots to 90° rotation. All root measurements were carried out using NIH ImageJ software. Statistical differences were resolved using
ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer tests [leaf vein pattern, R-project 3.0 (R Development Core Team, 2008)] or Student’s t-test (all other analyses). Localization in Arabidopsis was performed and analyzed by confocal microscopy as described previously (Hou et al., 2010). For treatment with Brefeldin A (BFA) or Wortmannin, 4 DAG seedlings were placed in 50 μM BFA or 33 μM Wortmannin for 1.5 h and viewed immediately. For FM4-64, seedlings were placed in 8.2 μM FM4-64 for 30 min, rinsed in water and viewed after a further 30 min or 2.5 h. Transient expression in N. tabacum leaves was analyzed 48 h after injection of the lower epidermis. An upright Leica SP5 or a FV1000 Olympus laser scanning confocal microscope was used to image the expression pattern (Brandizzi et al., 2002). All comparative analyses were carried out using images taken at the same microscope settings.

Co-localization was determined by counting frequency of signal overlap in the merged images of at least 10 cells. In BFA, FM4-64 and Wortmannin-treated roots, cellular structure was analyzed in at least five cells in 10 roots; in cotyledons, structures expressing 3SS:EF1(-RABF2A) and 3SS:VAC-YFP were quantified in a 70×70 μm area in 10 images. For comparison of PIN1-GFP levels, the coloration threshold was set to the same level of pixel density (supplementary material Fig. S7).

**Yeast two-hybrid assay**
A yeast two-hybrid screen was performed using a GAL4-based yeast two-hybrid system (Kohalmi et al., 1997). Full-length coding sequences for PIN1-GFP, the coloration threshold was set to the same level of pixel density (supplementary material Fig. S7).

**Accession numbers**
Sequence data for DNA and proteins used in the study can be found in supplementary material Table S3.
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