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ABSTRACT

Cilia are microtubule-based organelles that are present on most cells and are required for normal tissue development and function. Defective cilia cause complex syndromes with multiple organ manifestations termed ciliopathies. A crucial step during ciliogenesis in multiciliated cells (MCCs) is the association of future basal bodies with the apical plasma membrane, followed by their correct spacing and planar orientation. Here, we report a novel role for ELMO-DOCK1, which is a bipartite guanine nucleotide exchange factor complex for the small GTPase Rac1, and for the membrane-cytoskeletal linker Ezrin, in regulating centriole/basal body migration, docking and spacing. Downregulation of each component results in ciliopathy-related phenotypes in zebrafish and disrupted ciliogenesis in Xenopus epithelial MCCs. Subcellular analysis revealed a striking impairment of basal body docking and spacing, which is likely to account for the observed phenotypes. These results are substantiated by showing a genetic interaction between elmo1 and ezrin b. Finally, we provide biochemical evidence that the ELMO-DOCK1-Rac1 complex influences Ezrin phosphorylation and thereby probably serves as an important molecular switch. Collectively, we demonstrate that the ELMO-Ezrin complex orchestrates ciliary basal body migration, docking and positioning in vivo.
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INTRODUCTION

Mutations in genes that impair ciliogenesis are implicated in complex human diseases collectively termed ciliopathies (Hildebrandt et al., 2011). Cilia are microtubule-based organelles and commonly divided into primary non-motile and motile cilia (Goetz and Anderson, 2010); the cilia of multiciliated cells (MCCs) are typically motile. Ciliogenesis in MCCs is initiated by the de novo generation of centrioles within the cytoplasm, followed by their migration to the apical cell membrane where they mature into basal bodies (Steinman, 1968; Dawe et al., 2007; Klos Dehring et al., 2013). The transport of the future basal bodies to the apical cell membrane requires an intact actin cytoskeleton and involves actin-myosin-based mechanisms (Lemullois et al., 1988; Boisvieux-Ulrich et al., 1990). The apical cell membrane in MCCs contains a network of actin filaments, and the assembly of this network involves actin regulators such as RhoA and the phosphate loop ATPase Nubp1 (Pan et al., 2007; Ioannou et al., 2013). The docking of the basal bodies modifies the formation of the apical actin network, and defects that impair docking are often associated with a thinner cortical actin network (Park et al., 2006; Ioannou et al., 2013). A complex array of planar polarisation events accompanies the process of basal body docking, including those that determine their distance relative to their neighbours (spacing), their positioning relative to the surface area of the plasma membrane (translational polarity), and their tilting and orientation relative to external cues such as fluid flow (rotational polarity) (Mitchell et al., 2007; Werner and Mitchell, 2012). Basal bodies in mouse multiciliated ependymal cells move, mediated by an actin- and non-muscle myosin II-dependent mechanism, to the anterior side of the apical cell membrane (translational polarity) (Hirot a et al., 2010). Two pools of cortical actin filaments have been described in Xenopus: the apical actin network (at the plane of the basal bodies) and the subapical network (at the plane of the striated rootlets) (Werner et al., 2011). Treatment with a low dose of cytochalasin D, which specifically inhibits the formation of the subapical actin network, leads to defects in basal body spacing and rotational polarity and to subsequent impairment of directional and metachronal ciliary beating and, thereby, to defects in the generation of fluid flow (Werner et al., 2011).

Components of the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway are important for basal body docking and planar polarisation (Park et al., 2006, 2008; Mitchell et al., 2009). Specifically, Dishevelled interacts with Inturned to control the activation and localisation of the small GTPase Rho at the basal bodies, leading to proper apical docking and polarisation of basal bodies and to Rho-mediated actin assembly (Park et al., 2006, 2008). Basal body migration and docking in MCCs are actin cytoskeleton-dependent steps during ciliogenesis. Rho GTPases are known to be key regulators of the actin cytoskeleton (Hall, 1998). However, the orchestration of the steps and the signalling events leading to changes in actin polymerisation are only incompletely understood.

In ciliogenesis, a role for the Rho family GTPase Rac1 in the positioning of the cilium on the posterior cell surface in mouse ventral node cells has been postulated (Hashimoto et al., 2010), and in Lowe syndrome, a deficiency of Rac1 activity is associated with abnormal primary cilia formation (Madhivanan et al., 2012), but the exact function of Rac1 in these contexts remains unclear. The ELMO-DOCK1 (formerly DOCK180) complex serves as a bipartite guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac1 (Brugnera et al., 2002). Several studies have shown the essential function of ELMO1-DOCK1 in remodelling the actin cytoskeleton and controlling cell migration during multiple processes, including engulfment of apoptotic cells, phagocytosis, tumour invasion, myoblast fusion and angiogenesis (Gumienny et al., 2001; Jarzynka et al., 2007; Park et al., 2007; Moore et al., 2007; Elliott et al., 2010;
Epting et al., 2010), although its potential role during ciliogenesis has not yet been reported. ELMO members interact with ERM proteins (Ezrin, Radixin and Moesin), implying a possible crosstalk between ERMs and ELMO-Dock1 in coordinating the actin cytoskeleton via Rac1 (Grimsley et al., 2006). ERMs belong to an evolutionarily conserved family of proteins that link membrane-associated proteins to the apical actin network (McCleathey, 2014). Structurally, ERMs consist of an N-terminal FERM (4.1 protein, ERM) domain, which interacts with components at the plasma membrane, and a C-terminal actin-binding domain (Turunen et al., 1994). Interaction of the N-terminal domain with the C-terminus keeps ERMs in an inactive state (Gary and Bretscher, 1995). Phosphorylation of a highly conserved threonine residue unfolds and activates ERMs, which is followed by their translocation to the cell surface (Fivet et al., 2004). Among the ERMs, Ezrin displays a restricted expression pattern, and is found almost exclusively in polarised epithelial cells (Berryman et al., 1993). Ezrin-deficient mice revealed that Ezrin is required for normal intestinal villus formation, underlining the fundamental role of this adaptor component in organising the apical actin network of polarised cells (Saotome et al., 2004). Ezrin localises to the apical membrane and basal bodies of mouse tracheal epithelium, and this localisation requires expression of the ciliogenic transcription factor Foxj1 (Huang et al., 2003; Gomperts et al., 2004).

We now demonstrate that Ezrin, ELMO-Dock1 and its downstream effector Rac1 cooperate and function as a module during the highly coordinated processes of ciliary basal body targeting and docking to the apical membrane. Depletion of any of these components leads to defects in basal body docking and spacing, and ultimately to defects in ciliary function.

RESULTS
ELMO directs ciliary basal bodies to the apical surface in zebrafish and Xenopus
Since the function of Rac1 and its upstream regulators during ciliogenesis remains incompletely understood, we determined the localisation of the Rac1 regulator ELMO1 in human respiratory epithelial cells from healthy persons. Co labelling of ELMO1 with either CEP164, a marker for the mother centriole in monociliated cells (Graser et al., 2007), or acetylated Tubulin, a marker for the ciliary axoneme, revealed specific ELMO1 expression at the basal bodies and along the ciliary axonemes in human respiratory epithelial cells (Fig. 1A–E; supplementary material Fig. S1A–E). Moreover, we analysed the localisation of ELMO1-Dock1 in non-motile cilia of polarised Madin–Darby canine kidney cells (MDCKs) (supplementary material Fig. S1G,H and Fig. S2). Immunostaining revealed a specific staining pattern for ELMO1 as well as for Dock1 at the base of the cilium in MDCKs. Co-immunostainings with Cep164 confirmed that ELMO1 was localised exclusively at the mother centriole, whereas Dock1 localised to both mother and daughter centrioles.

Based on the specific ciliary expression of ELMO1 and Dock1 in primary non-motile cilia of MDCKs and in motile cilia of human respiratory epithelial cells, we investigated the potential role of the Rac1 activator complex ELMO-Dock1 during ciliogenesis, using the vertebrate model organisms zebrafish and Xenopus laevis. Sections of zebrafish embryos at 36 h post fertilisation (hpf) subject to whole-mount in situ hybridisation (WISH) for elmo1 and cadherin 17 revealed elmo1 expression in the proencephalic tubule (Fig. 1F). Since there is no working ELMO1 antibody for immunofluorescence, we immunostained for Dock1 as a surrogate: in 48 hpf Tg(actb2:Mmu.Arl13b-GFP) zebrafish embryos (Borovina et al., 2010), Dock1 is localised on the base of the cilia, the presumptive basal bodies, in proencephalic tubules and otic vesicles (Fig. 1G–I; supplementary material Fig. S3B–B′). Morpholino oligonucleotide (MO)-mediated knockdown of Elmo1 or Dock1 led to proencephalic cyst formation and left-right asymmetry defects at 48 hpf (Fig. 1J–L; supplementary material Fig. S4A) and to shortened cilia in the proencephalic tubule of 24 hpf embryos (supplementary material Fig. S4B). These defects are similar to the phenotypic changes observed in mutant zebrafish lines with defective ciliogenesis (Kramer-Zucker et al., 2005), implicating a link between ELMO1-Dock1 and cilia function. Co-injection of elmo1 mRNA partially prevented the proencephalic cyst formation of elmo1 morphants, confirming MO specificity (Fig. 1L).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies revealed defective basal body transport to the apical membrane in elmo1 and dock1 morphants (Fig. 1M–O; supplementary material Fig. S4C).

The population of tubular epithelial cells in the zebrafish pronephros consists of monociliated and multiciliated cells (Liu et al., 2007). To confirm the role of ELMO in MCCs, we took advantage of a second animal model, X. laevis, since the epidermis of Xenopus embryos contains isolated MCCs with motile cilia that are easily accessible for high-resolution imaging (Werner and Mitchell, 2012). Xenopus has two ELMO homologues, ELMO1 and ELMO2, which share 70% identity. Both homologues were expressed similarly, including in ciliated tissues (Fig. 2A–F; supplementary material Fig. S5C). The dock1 mRNA expression pattern partially overlapped with that of elmo1 and elmo2 (supplementary material Fig. S5A-C). MOs targeting elmo1 or elmo2 (supplementary material Fig. S6A–C) did not have a significant effect when injected separately. However, combined ELMO1 and ELMO2 knockdown in the medium dose range (each 4 ng) caused defects in the apical migration and membrane attachment of basal bodies, as labelled with Centrin-RFP (Park et al., 2008), and the formation of intracellular polymerised α-Tubulin-positive structures (Fig. 2G,H; supplementary material Fig. S6D,E). Injection of lower doses of elmo1 and elmo2 MOs (each 2 ng) resulted in partial basal body docking, but the regular spacing of the basal bodies was impaired, causing basal bodies to be attached to each other like ‘beads on a string’ or to form groups (Fig. 2I–J; supplementary material Fig. S7).

In summary, these results show that ELMO proteins are expressed in ciliated organs in zebrafish and Xenopus. The loss-of-function studies reveal that the ELMO-Dock1 complex is required for specific aspects of cilia formation, i.e. for coordinated basal body docking and spacing across the apical cell membrane.

Ezrin interacts with ELMO and is required for proper ciliogenesis in zebrafish and Xenopus
Ezrin is localised on ciliary basal bodies in MCCs (Gomperts et al., 2004). Moreover, Ezrin, similar to other ERMs, physically interacts with members of the ELMO family (Grimsley et al., 2006). First, we studied the expression of Ezrin using human respiratory epithelial cells from controls. Co labelling of Ezrin with α/β-Tubulin revealed specific Ezrin expression at the assumed site of the basal bodies in human respiratory epithelial cells (Fig. 3A–D; supplementary material Fig. S1F). Next, we analysed the expression and function of Ezrin during zebrafish ciliogenesis in zebrafish and Xenopus embryos (Borovina et al., 2010), Dock1 is localised on the base of the cilia, the presumptive basal bodies, in proencephalic tubules and otic vesicles (Fig. 1G–I; supplementary material Fig. S3B–B′).
Immunostaining localised Ezrin to the base of the cilia in pronephric tubular cells and inner ear cells of 48 hpf Tg(actb2:Mmu.Arl13b-GFP) zebrafish embryos (Fig. 3E-G; supplementary material Fig. S3C-C″). To investigate the role of Ezrin in ciliary function we used an MO that blocks the translation of ezrin (Link et al., 2006). Downregulation of Ezrin led to prominent hydrocephalus and pronephric cyst formation in zebrafish embryos (Fig. 3H-J). Moreover, quantification of cilia length in the pronephric tubule revealed significantly shortened cilia in ezrin morphants compared with the control at 24 hpf (supplementary material Fig. S4B). Co-injection of ezrin mRNA together with MO partially prevented pronephric cyst formation, confirming MO specificity (Fig. 3J; supplementary material Fig. S8H). Examination of the anterior pronephric tubular segments in 48 hpf ezrin morphants by TEM revealed defective microvillus formation, and showed that multiple basal bodies did not attach to the apical membrane and that ciliary axoneme formation was impaired (Fig. 3K,K″; supplementary material Fig. S4C). The significant reduction of microvilli (Fig. 3K) supports MO specificity, since it is consistent with the reported role of Ezrin during microvillus formation (Berryman et al., 1993; Crepaldi et al., 1997).
To uncover a potential genetic interaction between elmo1 and ezrin during ciliogenesis in zebrafish, we examined whether the cystic phenotype of elmo1 or ezrin morphants could be prevented by ezrin or elmo1 mRNA, respectively. Indeed, Ezrin or Elmo1 overexpression ameliorated the defects caused by elmo1 or ezrin depletion, respectively, suggesting that both proteins act in the same pathway that coordinates basal body migration and docking in zebrafish (Fig. 3L).

In Xenopus, ezrin transcripts were expressed in ciliated epithelial cells, including the otic vesicle, cloaca, pronephros and throughout the epidermis at stage 32 of development (supplementary material Fig. S3C and Fig. S8I). Downregulation of Ezrin using a translation-blocking MO (8 ng) (supplementary material Fig. S8J), led to polymerised acetylated α-Tubulin-positive structures within the cytoplasm that did not project to the outside of the cell like normal ciliary axonemes (Fig. 4A,B; supplementary material Fig. S6D). Strikingly, in ezrin morphants the basal bodies clustered in the cytoplasm close to the cell centre instead of being transported to the apical membrane and distributed uniformly along the cell surface (Fig. 4C-D‴, supplementary material Fig. S6E). Furthermore, the apical actin network of the MO-affected epidermal cells appeared to be thinner than that of controls, with focal points of actin accumulation at the apical membrane directly above the basal body cluster (Fig. 4D-D‴ and Fig. 5A-A‴). TEM confirmed that the basal bodies accumulated at some distance to the apical cell membrane in ezrin morphants (Fig. 5C,F). Some ciliary axonemes formed within the cytoplasm in ezrin morphants, revealing an ultrastructure identical to the properly elongated axonemes of the controls (Fig. 5C″,C‴,E,G). Injection of lower doses of ezrin MO (2 and 4 ng) led to a phenotype whereby basal body docking and axoneme formation partially occurred, but spacing of the basal bodies was impaired (Fig. 4E-F‴; supplementary material Fig. S7).

Collectively, these results identify a novel role for ELMO and Ezrin in guiding the maturing basal bodies to the cell surface, in their docking, and in their correct spacing underneath the apical membrane in MCCs.

**The ELMO-DOCK1 downstream effector Rac1 is important for basal body docking and spacing**

Rac1 is the major downstream effector of ELMO-DOCK1 (Brugnera et al., 2002). In zebrafish there are two related rac genes: rac1 and rac1l. Whereas depletion of rac1 resulted in minor pronephric cyst formation, depletion of rac1l caused prominent pronephric cyst formation (Fig. 6A-C; supplementary material Fig. S9A). Simultaneous knockdown of both proteins partially prevented the pronephric cyst formation of rac1l morphants, confirming specificity of the MO (Fig. 6C). In addition, depletion of rac1 and/or rac1l led to striking defects of cardiac looping in zebrafish embryos at 48 hpf, suggesting an essential role of these gene products during the establishment of normal left-right asymmetry (Fig. 6D). TEM studies of rac1/rac1l double-morphant zebrafish revealed a transport defect and an abnormal accumulation of basal bodies in the cytoplasm at 48 hpf (Fig. 6E-E‴; supplementary material Fig. S4C). Consistent with the observed abnormalities in zebrafish, MO depletion of Rac1 during Xenopus epidermis development resulted in irregular basal body docking and spacing at the apical membrane (Fig. 6F-H‴; supplementary material Fig. S7).

**Rac1 controls ERM phosphorylation during ciliogenesis**

During T-cell activation, Rac1 inactivates ERMs in T-lymphocytes, and this results in relaxation of the cortical actin cytoskeleton from the plasma membrane, and leads to the formation of the immunological synapse (Faure et al., 2004; Cernuda-Morollon et al., 2010). To investigate whether Rac1 regulates ERMs during ciliogenesis in vertebrates, we isolated pronephric tubules of 24 hpf zebrafish embryos and isolated MO affected pronephric tubules of 24 hpf zebrafish embryos and controlled the phosphorylation level of ERMs by immunoblotting. Increased phospho-ERM levels were observed in all three conditions (Fig. 7A), as well as after Rac1 or Rac1l single knockdown (supplementary material Fig. S9A). Reciprocally, overexpression of
Elmo1 or Rac1 resulted in significantly decreased phosho-ERM levels in zebrafish (Fig. 7B).

To determine the function of Ezrin in the zebrafish pronephric tubule, wild-type and mutant forms of zebrafish Ezrin, including the phosphorylation-deficient Ezrin(T564A) mutation and the phosphorylation mimetic mutant Ezrin(T564D) corresponding to human Ezrin threonine 567 (Gautreau et al., 2000), were targeted to the zebrafish pronephros using a transient transgenesis approach (Kikuta and Kawakami, 2009). The cadherin 17 promoter-driven expression of both mutant forms caused cyst formation on the left- or right-hand side of the pronephric glomerulus, depending on which side the motile cilia bearing MCCs in the mid-portion of the pronephric tubule were most affected (Fig. 7C-F). The majority of MCCs are located in this mid-tubular segment, creating the fluid flow within the pronephric tubule that is necessary for normal pronephric development (Kramer-Zucker et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2007). Moreover, injection of mRNA of either the phosphorylation-deficient ezrin(T564A) mutation or the phosphorylation mimetic ezrin(T564D) resulted in significantly shortened cilia in the pronephric tubule of 24 hpf zebrafish embryos (supplementary material Fig. S9B-D).

To further confirm a potential role for the ELMO-DOCK1-Rac1 complex in regulating Ezrin phosphorylation levels, we next examined whether overexpression of the phosphorylation-deficient Ezrin(T564A) mutation might prevent the formation of pronephric cysts in Elmo1-, Dock1- or Rac1/Rac1l-deficient zebrafish embryos. Indeed, we were able to show that overexpression of mRNA encoding the phosphorylation-deficient Ezrin(T564A) mutation significantly reduced pronephric cyst formation in all three experimental settings performed at 2 dpf (Fig. 7G).

Taken together, these results indicate that Rac1 plays an essential role during ciliogenesis in vertebrates by acting downstream of ELMO-DOCK1, where it induces Ezrin dephosphorylation.

**DISCUSSION**

Motile cilia play a central role in embryonic development and human disease. Using in vivo models, zebrafish and Xenopus, we identified the protein module encompassing Ezrin, ELMO-DOCK1 and Rac1, as a crucial component for cilia biogenesis and function in MCCs.

ELMO1 localises to the basal bodies in both monociliated and multiciliated cells. Cep164, as a marker of the mother basal body in primary cilia, was reported to localise to all basal bodies in MCCs (Lau et al., 2012). Our findings show a colocalisation of ELMO1 with Cep164 in both cell types (Fig. 1A-E; supplementary material Fig. S2C). In addition, in MDCKs ELMO1 localises to the mother and daughter centriole exclusively, whereas DOCK1 was seen at the mother basal body not properly docked. (L) Quantification of pronephric cyst formation in 48 hpf zebrafish embryos after injection with TB-MO ezrin (2 ng) or TB-MO ezrin (2 ng)+ ezrin mRNA (20 pg), as compared with Co-MO (2 ng). There is a significant prevention of cyst formation upon co-injection of ezrin mRNA (**P<0.001). (K.K) TEM analysis revealed reduced microvilli formation and basal body docking defects in TB-MO ezrin (2 ng) morphants at 48 hpf. Arrow indicates prospective basal body not properly docked. (L) Quantification of pronephric cyst formation in 48 hpf zebrafish embryos injected with Co-MO (2 ng), TB-MO ezrin (2 ng), TB-MO ezrin (2 ng)+ elmo1 mRNA (20 pg), SB-MO elmo1 (2 ng) or SB-MO elmo1 (2 ng)+ ezrin mRNA (20 pg) (**P=0.03; ***P=0.006). (J,L) The number of individual embryos analysed is indicated above each bar. Scale bars: 10 µm in D; 5 µm in G; 100 µm in H;I; 50 µm in H’, H’;I’;I’; 2 µm in K; 0.5 µm in K’.

**Fig. 3. Ezrin shows expression in cilia and is required for ciliogenesis in zebrafish.** (A-D) Human respiratory epithelial cells from healthy controls were double labelled with antibodies directed against Ezrin (green) and the ciliary axoneme marker α/β-Tubulin (magenta) (arrow). Ezrin localisation is restricted to the putative basal bodies (arrowhead) and the nucleus. The nucleus is stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). (E-G) Ezrin is expressed at the basal bodies (arrowheads) and the ciliary axonemes in 48 hpf Tg(actb2:Mmu.Arl13b-GFP) zebrafish embryos. (H-I) Expression silencing of ezrin (H-I′) using TB-MO ezrin (2 ng) results in hydrocephalus (arrowhead in I) and pronephric cyst formation (stars in I and I′) as compared with zebrafish embryos injected with Co-MO (2 ng) (H-H′), shown in a bright-field lateral view with anterior to the left (H,I), a dorsal view with anterior to the left of a Tg(wt1b:EGFP) embryo (H,I′), and by a histological transverse section (H′) of 48 hpf embryos. (J) Quantification of pronephric cyst formation in 48 hpf zebrafish embryos after injection with TB-MO ezrin (2 ng) or TB-MO ezrin (2 ng)+ ezrin mRNA (20 pg), as compared with Co-MO (2 ng). There is a significant prevention of cyst formation upon co-injection of ezrin mRNA (***P<0.001). (K,K) TEM analysis revealed reduced microvilli formation and basal body docking defects in TB-MO ezrin (2 ng) morphants at 48 hpf. Arrow indicates prospective basal body not properly docked. (L) Quantification of pronephric cyst formation in 48 hpf zebrafish embryos injected with Co-MO (2 ng), TB-MO ezrin (2 ng), TB-MO ezrin (2 ng)+ elmo1 mRNA (20 pg), SB-MO elmo1 (2 ng) or SB-MO elmo1 (2 ng)+ ezrin mRNA (20 pg) (**P=0.03; ***P=0.006). (J,L) The number of individual embryos analysed is indicated above each bar. Scale bars: 10 µm in D; 5 µm in G; 100 µm in H;I; 50 µm in H’, H’;I’; 2 µm in K; 0.5 µm in K’. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. (H-I′)
Depletion of Ezrin, ELMO/DOCK1 or Rac1 by MO injection caused characteristic phenotypes with impaired basal body migration and docking. Rac1 is likely to directly affect filament assembly of the apical actin network, a key structural component of ciliogenesis. Recently, it was proposed that during the process of basal body docking a cytoplasmic actin network, controlled by Nubp1, surrounds the migrating basal bodies and modifies the subapical actin network (Ioannou et al., 2013). Depletion of Nubp1 in Xenopus abolished the internal actin network and led to failure of basal body migration. We showed that knockdown of Ezrin in Xenopus embryos not only impaired centriole/basal body migration, but also decreased overall actin polymerisation at the apical cell membrane (with the exception of the cortical actin ring along the cell-cell junctions) (Fig. 4D and Fig. 5A), similar to the changes reported in Xenopus inturned morphants (Park et al., 2006) or in nubp1 morphants (Ioannou et al., 2013). This supports the concept of actin filaments acting as a guide structure in the apical migration of basal bodies. However, directly above the cluster of centrioles/basal bodies there are actin foci at the prospective site of docking of the basal bodies (Fig. 4D* and Fig. 5A*). This would imply that docking could be the trigger for the proper assembly of the apical/subapical actin network, with its delicate structure (Werner et al., 2011). Since the mechanism of Nubp1 action remains unclear but seems to be independent of RhoA, it is tempting to speculate that the Nubp1-mediated actin modification might involve the ELMO-Ezrin-Rac1 module.

Furthermore, a low dose of nubp1 MO allowed docking of basal bodies, but led to their irregular spacing and to disturbance of the subapical actin pool (Ioannou et al., 2013). Similarly, in Xenopus embryos, treatment with a low dose of cytochalasin D mainly affected the subapical actin network and caused defects in basal body spacing (Werner et al., 2011), virtually identical to our observations using MOs at low dosage: basal bodies were attached to each other like ‘beads on a string’ or formed groups (Fig. 2J-J’ and Fig. 4F-F’) instead of being distributed equally throughout the apical cell membrane. In terms of basal body distribution, the changes observed in morphants were significantly different for all MOs compared with the control (supplementary material Fig. S7).

In addition, it has been shown that inhibition of Rac1 in mouse nodal cells leads to disturbed posterior positioning of the basal body (translational polarity) and to left-right asymmetry defects (Hashimoto et al., 2010). These observations support our notion that the ELMO-Ezrin-Rac1 module is necessary for basal body positioning and spacing.

Upon depletion of Ezrin and impaired apical migration of basal bodies, we observed intracellular axoneme formation by TEM (Fig. 5C-C’,E,G); similar findings are reported in the literature (Tissir et al., 2010; Werner and Mitchell, 2012; Ioannou et al., 2013). Confocal images showed intracellular polymerised acetylated α-Tubulin-positive structures (Fig. 4B). Whether these acetylated α-Tubulin-positive structures represent intracellular axonemes remains an open question, particularly in consideration of recent data showing that apically formed acetylated microtubules are involved in the radial intercalation of MCCs into the outer epithelial layer of the skin in Xenopus (Werner et al., 2014). Radial intercalation precedes or coincides with basal body migration and docking. The presence of acetylated α-Tubulin-positive structures in morphant embryos could therefore also reflect a failure to remove these acetylated Tubulin structures that were involved in radial intercalation. Even when basal body migration was disturbed in many cells, we still observed shorter cilia, rather than their absence, in many parts of the zebrafish.
pronephric tubules (supplementary material Fig. S4B). Since there are monociliated and multiciliated pronephric tubule cells (Liu et al., 2007), one possible explanation is that monociliated cells are less affected by defective ELMO/Ezrin signalling and therefore still form a cilium. Consistent with this possibility, *Xenopus vangl2* morphants are characterised by a reduced number of cilia in skin cells (Mitchell et al., 2009), whereas cilia in the gastrocoel roof plate are reportedly unaffected (Antic et al., 2010; Werner and Mitchell, 2012). In the same way, gastrocoel roof plate cilia are significantly shorter but not missing in *nubp1* morphants (Ioannou et al., 2013).

With respect to the apical actin network, the function of the basal body in the cilium and of the centrosome in the immunological synapse share striking similarities (Griffiths et al., 2010). T-cell receptor signalling initially leads to actin accumulation across the synapse (Ryser et al., 1982). This is followed by actin clearance in the centre of the synapse, forming an outer ring around the synapse, and by migration of the centrosome to the centre of the synapse (Stinchcombe et al., 2006). T-cell receptor signalling also activates Rac1, leading to dephosphorylation of ERMs, which in turn releases the cross-linking between cell membrane and actin filaments and leads to relaxation of the cytoskeleton and to the formation of a stable T-cell–antigen-presenting cell conjugate (Faure et al., 2004; Cernuda-Morollon et al., 2010). In parallel to centriole migration to the centre of the immunological synapse, basal body migration and docking seem to require such regulation of ERM protein activity: we found that Rac1 and its regulators control ERM phosphorylation. Depletion of members of the ELMO-DOCK1-Rac1 module led to an increase in phospho-Ezrin, whereas overexpression led to its decrease (Fig. 7A,B; supplementary material Fig. S9A). The phenotypic effects caused by MO knockdown of ELMO, DOCK1 or Rac1 were reversed by co-injection of the phosphorylation-deficient zebrafish Ezrin(T564A) (corresponding to threonine T567 in human Ezrin). Moreover, the overexpression of either the phosphorylation-deficient Ezrin(T564A) or the phosphorylation-mimetic Ezrin (T564D) caused pronephric cyst formation (Fig. 7C-F). This implies that tight regulation of Ezrin phosphorylation is mandatory to ensure normal embryonic development. Regulated turnover of Ezrin(T567) phosphorylation has been observed in renal epithelial cells; the phosphorylation mimetic Ezrin(T567E) mutant mislocalised all over the plasma membrane, whereas the phosphorylation-deficient Ezrin(T567A) mutant was hardly detected at the plasma membrane (Viswanatha et al., 2012). There was also evidence that Ezrin underwent constant C-terminal phosphocycling, i.e. repetitive phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (Viswanatha et al., 2012). Since we were able to show that both mutant forms of Ezrin (T564A and T564D) caused pronephric cyst formation and also reduced ciliary axoneme length in zebrafish pronephros, as in the

Fig. 5. Ezrin depletion leads to impaired actin remodelling and intracellular ciliary axoneme formation in *Xenopus* MCCs. (A-A‴) Increased actin accumulation (arrows) directly above the cluster of Centrin-RFP-labelled basal bodies (arrowheads) in *Xenopus* embryos (stage 32) injected with TB-MO ezrin (8 ng) and stained with phalloidin 488. (B-C‴) TEM analysis revealed basal body migration and docking defects (arrows) and intracellular axoneme formation (arrowheads) in *Xenopus* embryos (stage 32) injected with TB-MO ezrin (8 ng) (C-C‴) as compared with proper apically docked basal bodies (arrows) of Co-MO (8 ng) morphants (B,B′). (D-G) TEM analysis revealed that *Xenopus* embryos (stage 32) injected with TB-MO ezrin (8 ng) exhibit a normal ultrastructure of intracellular axonemes with nine outer microtubule doublets and two central microtubule doublets (arrowheads in E,G) and basal bodies with nine peripheral microtubule triplets (arrows in F). Scale bars: 5 μm in A‴; 1 μm in B, C,C‴; 0.5 μm in B′, C′, D,G.
According to Parameswaran and Gupta (2013), the phosphatase that a Rac1-dependent activation of a serine/threonine phosphatase. Rac1-dependent inactivation of a constitutively active kinase and/or knockdown of the ELMO1-DOCK1-Rac1 complex could reflect a in threonine phosphorylation of ERM proteins observed after knockdown experiments, this suggests that phosphocycling might be necessary during basal body migration and docking, allowing Ezrin to constantly bind to and release actin filaments.

How does Rac1 influence Ezrin phosphorylation? The increase in threonine phosphorylation of ERM proteins observed after knockdown of the ELMO1-DOCK1-Rac1 complex could reflect a Rac1-dependent inactivation of a constitutively active kinase and/or a Rac1-dependent activation of a serine/threonine phosphatase. According to Parameswaran and Gupta (2013), the phosphatase that inactivates ERM proteins in vivo has not yet been identified. In flies, the phosphatase PP1-87B/Sds22 (which is also known as PPP1R7 in mammals) can reverse Moesin phosphorylation (Roubinet et al., 2011). Furthermore, Phosphatase of regenerating liver-3 (PRL-3, also known as PTP4a3) has been shown to be a direct and specific phosphatase for Ezrin(phospho-T567) (Forte et al., 2008). We examined the potential role of PRL-3 in regulating Ezrin phosphorylation downstream of Rac1 in zebrafish. There are two PRL-3 orthologues in zebrafish: Ptp4a3 and Ptp4a3l (ptp4a3, ZFIN, NP_998346, ENSDARG00000039997; ptp4a3l, ZFIN s:ch211-251p5.5, UniProtKB: E7FA22, ENSDARG00000054814). Depletion of Ptp4a3 or Ptp4a3l by MO knockdown did not result in pronephric cyst formation (data not shown). So Ptp4a3 and Ptp4a3l do not seem to be the main phosphatases regulating Ezrin phosphorylation downstream of Rac1 during ciliogenesis in zebrafish. In addition, other potentially relevant phosphorylation sites in different domains of Ezrin have been reported (e.g. Murchie et al., 2014). Thus, the link between Rac1 signalling and the phosphorylation status of ERM proteins remains to be determined and will be the subject of future investigation in our laboratory.

Recently, new gene defects in MCCs of the respiratory epithelium have been identified as causing a distinct mucociliary clearance disorder in humans that is characterised by the reduced generation of multiple motile cilia (RGMC) (Boon et al., 2014; Wallmeier et al., 2014). Individuals show the typical signs of chronic recurrent airway infections, but also hydrocephalus. TEM studies revealed normal microvilli at the apical cell membrane, but a substantial decrease in basal bodies and apical cilia. The responsible genes were identified as multicilin and cyclin O, respectively, and are implicated in the acentriolar, deuterosome-mediated amplification of centrioles in MCCs, which could be confirmed in Xenopus. Since ELMO-DOCK1-Rac1 and Ezrin are potential candidates for RGMC, although with a predominant basal body migration defect, it will be interesting to screen databases of patients with RGMC for defects in these genes.

We present a model for the function of Ezrin and its interactors ELMO and DOCK1 in MCCs (Fig. 8). In conclusion, the Ezrin-ELMO-DOCK1-Rac1 complex represents a newly identified...
from the zebrafish injection of a Tol2 vector (Kawakami et al., 2004) containing a 4 kb fragment (Borovina et al., 2010). The following strains were used: AB/TL wild type (WT), maintained and the embryos were staged as previously described (Kimmel et al., 1995). The reference number 35·9185.64/1.1 (Westerfield, 1995). Zebrafish were maintained and manipulation were as described (Hoff et al., 2013).

module that, by influencing the cortical actin web in MCCs, orchestrates ciliary basal body migration, docking and spacing at the apical cell membrane.

Fig. 7. The ELMO1-DOCK1-Rac1 complex influences ERM phosphorylation and tight control of phospho-Ezrin is required for proper ciliogenesis. (A) Immunoblot of 24 hpf zebrafish lysates showing elevated phospho-Ezrin (pERM) levels in embryos injected with TB-MO rac1 (4 ng)/rac1l (0.5 ng), SB-MO elmo1 (2 ng) and SB-MO dock1 (2 ng) as compared with Co-MO (4.5 ng). (B) Immunoblot of 24 hpf zebrafish lysates showing reduced pERM levels in zebrafish embryos injected with elmo1 mRNA (20 pg) or rac1l mRNA (20 pg) as compared with control embryos. Anti-γ-Tubulin immunoblots served as a loading control. Immunoblots represent results from one of three independent experiments with similar results. (C-F) Overexpression of Ezrin by transient transgenesis demonstrates the importance of tight regulation of phosphorylation at threonine 564 for the function of zebrafish Ezrin. cadherin 17 (cdh17) promoter-driven expression of either the nonphosphorylatable T564A mutation or the phosphorylation mimetic mutant T564D caused cyst formation on the side of the pronephros where the MCCs in the mid-portion of the pronephric tubule are most affected. Arrowheads indicate the tubular midportion, with the cells expressing mutant Ezrin on the side of the formed cyst. The number of individual embryos analysed is indicated above each bar. (G) Quantification of pronephric cyst formation of 48 hpf zebrafish embryos injected with SB-MO elmo1 (2 ng) with or without ezrin(T564A) mRNA (10 pg) (**P<0.006), SB-MO dock1 (2 ng) with or without ezrin(T564A) mRNA (10 pg) (**P<0.009) or TB-MO rac1 (4 ng)/rac1l (0.5 ng) with or without ezrin(T564A) mRNA (10 pg) (**P<0.02). Scale bars: 50 µm.

Fig. 8. Model illustrating ELMO-DOCK1-Rac1 and their interaction with Ezrin and the effects on basal body migration and spacing in MCCs. (A) The ELMO-DOCK1 complex localises to the ciliary basal body and acts as bipartite guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac1. Rac1 in turn dephosphorylates Ezrin via an unknown phosphatase (PPase). Dephosphorylated Ezrin does not bind to actin filaments, whereas phosphorylated Ezrin serves as a linker between the basal body and actin filaments. (B) Constant turnover of phosphorylated Ezrin regulated by ELMO-DOCK1-Rac1 is necessary to promote basal body migration along actin filaments (arrows) and for basal body docking to the membrane and spacing (double arrows) with assembly of the apical and subapical actin network.

Constructs, mRNAs and MOs

Constructs carrying wild-type and mutant forms of zebrafish Ezrin under the cadherin 17 promoter [Cdh17:Ezrin(WT)-GFP, Cdh17:Ezrin(T564A)-GFP and Cdh17:Ezrin(T564D)-GFP] were generated and injected as described in the supplementary methods. Synthesis of mRNAs, MO sequences and their injection are described in the supplementary methods. Translation-blocking and splice-blocking MOs are given the prefix TB and SB, respectively.

Antibodies and reagents

The following antibodies were used for immunofluorescence (IF) and immunoblotting (IB): anti-ELMO1 [EB05297, Everest Biotech; human respiratory epithelial cells, 1:150 (IF), 1:500 (IB); MDCCKs 1:200 (IF), 1:500 (IB); Xenopus 1:1000 (IB)], anti-ELMO2 [EB05305, Everest Biotech; Xenopus 1:1000 (IB)], anti-DOCK1 (H-4; sc-13163, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; MDCCKs 1:200 (IF), 1:500 (IB); zebrafish 1:50 (IF)], anti-acetylated Tubulin [clone 6-11B-1, Sigma Aldrich; human respiratory epithelial cells, 1:1000 (IF); zebrafish and Xenopus 1:500 (IF)], anti-Tubulin [detyrosinated; AB3201, Upstate; MDCCKs 1:200 (IF), anti-γ-Tubulin [clone GTU-88, Sigma Aldrich; zebrafish and Xenopus 1:1000 (IF)], α-β-Tubulin [2148, Cell Signaling; human respiratory epithelial cells 1:300 (IF)], anti-pERM [63141, Cell Signaling; zebrafish 1:1000 (IB)], anti-eosin [610401, BD Biosciences; human respiratory epithelial cells, 1:100 (IF), 1:500 (IB); MDCCKs 1:1000 (IF), 1:500 (IB); Xenopus 1:1000 (IB)], anti-Cep164 [provided by Erich Nigg, Basel, Switzerland; MDCCKs 1:200 (IF)], anti-Cep164 [AP23475PU-N, Acris Antibodies; human respiratory epithelial cells 1:100 (IF), 1:500 (IB), anti-Rac1 [clone 23A8, Upstate; zebrafish 1:1000 (IB)], anti-GFP [LS-C144578-100, Biozol; zebrafish 1:200 (IF)]. HRP-conjugated antibodies (DAKO, 1:3000). Cy3-, Cy5- or Alexa Fluor 488/546-labelled secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch and Molecular Probes (Invitrogen), 1:1000 dilution. Alexa Fluor 488/568-phalloidin was purchased from Life Technologies (1:200 dilution) and Hoechst 33342 from Sigma Aldrich, 1:1000 dilution. Details of staining and imaging are provided in the supplementary methods.

Immunoblotting

Zebrafish embryos were lysed in 2× SDS protein sample buffer [0.125 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 10% β-mercaptoethanol,
0.004% Bromophenol Blue. For zebrafish pronephric tubule isolation, 60 control or morphant Tg(cadherin17-GFP) embryos were treated with Hank’s solution (Westerfield, 1995) containing 0.1 M DTT, 2 mM Na2VO4 and protease inhibitors (Roche) for 30 min at room temperature, followed by collagenase digestion [5% collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) in Hank’s solution] for 30 min at room temperature. GFP-fluorescent pronephric tubules were collected and lysed in 2× SDS protein sample buffer. For Xenopus protein analysis, embryos were lysed in buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.6). 1% Triton X-100, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM Na2VO4 and protease inhibitors (Roche). After centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 5 min, 2× SDS protein sample buffer was added to the supernatant, and the mixture was heated for 5 min at 93°C. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane, and incubated with the indicated antibodies and finally with immunoblot detection reagent (Perbio Science).

In situ hybridisation analysis and antisense RNA synthesis
Whole-mount in situ hybridisation (WISH) analysis using Digoxigenin- or Fluorescein-labelled probes was performed as described (Epting et al., 2007) using NBT (blue) or INT (red) (Roche) as substrates. Details of staining and imaging are provided in the supplementary methods. Zebrafish antisense RNA was synthesized from EcoRI-digested ELMO1-pSPORT1 (EST clone MA6871189660; imaGenes) or NotI-digested Cadherin17-pCR-Blunt-II-TOPO [amplified from zebrafish cDNA with specific primers (forward: ATGTATCGCTGTAATCCCAGC; reverse: ATGCGAAGCC-CAGCGTTGTCAAG]) plasmid using SP6 RNA polymerase, and from EcoRV-digested Ezrin-pExpress-1 (EST clone IRBO991H0494D; imaGenes) or NotI-digested CMLC2-pBluescript II Sk+ (kindly provided by Wolfgang Driever, Freiburg, Germany) plasmid using T7 RNA polymerase. Xenopus antisense RNA was synthesized from Smal-digested ELMO1-pCSL11 (EST clone EST547184; Open BioSystems), EcoRV-digested ELMO2-pExpress-1 (EST clone EST7210931; Open BioSystems), NotI-digested DOCK1-pBluescript II Sk+ (EST clone XL151h121; RIKEN BioResource Center) or SalI-digested Ezrin-pCMV-SPORT6 (EST clone EST7011343; Open BioSystems) plasmid using T7 RNA polymerase.

Electron microscopy
Xenopus and zebrafish embryos were fixed and subjected to TEM as detailed in the supplementary methods.

Statistical analysis and quantification
All data represent results from at least one of three independent experiments with similar results. For the quantification of cilia length in the pronephric tubule, cilia were measured in the anterior and the posterior segment of three zebrafish embryos at 24 hpf for each set of conditions. Numbers of embryos used for analysis are indicated in the respective bar chart unless otherwise stated. Data were analysed by Student’s t-test (two-sided, unpaired); error bars represent s.d. Immunoblot signals were quantified using Gel-Pro Analyzer 6.0, INTAS and normalised to respective loading controls. To quantify the regularity of basal body spacing we developed an image analysis pipeline; the individual steps and associated statistical analysis are explained in detail in the supplementary methods.

Accession numbers
Corresponding GenBank accession numbers for zebrafish cDNAs: ezrin a (NM_001020490), ezrin b (NM_001030285), elmo1 (NM_213091), dock1 (dock1) (NM_001119011), rac1 (NM_199771), rac1l (KF273902). For X. laevis cDNAs: ezrin (NM_001093923), elmo1 (NM_001090018), elmo2 (NM_001096183), rac1 (BC108884).
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