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ABSTRACT
Epithelial sheets play essential roles as selective barriers insulating the body from the environment and establishing distinct chemical compartments within it. In invertebrate epithelia, septate junctions (SJs) consist of large multi-protein complexes that localize at the apicobasal membrane and mediate barrier function. Here, we report the identification of two novel SJ components, Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2, through a genome-wide glial RNAi screen in Drosophila. Pasiflora mutants show permeable blood-brain and tracheal barriers, overelongated tracheal tubes and mislocalization of SJ proteins. Consistent with the observed phenotypes, the genes are co-expressed in embryonic epithelia and glia and are required cell-autonomously to exert their function. Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 belong to a previously uncharacterized family of tetraspan membrane proteins conserved across the protostome-deuterostome divide. Both proteins localize at SJs and their apicolateral membrane accumulation depends on other complex components. In fluorescence recovery after photobleaching experiments we demonstrate that pasiflora proteins are core SJ components as they are required for complex formation and exhibit restricted mobility within the membrane of wild-type epithelial cells, but rapid diffusion in cells with disrupted SJs. Taken together, our results show that Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 are novel integral components of the SJ and implicate a new family of tetraspan proteins in the function of these ancient and crucial cell junctions.
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INTRODUCTION
The generation of distinct chemical milieus within the body is essential for metazoan development. This compartmentalization is accomplished by epithelia that impede paracellular diffusion and selectively transport substances via membrane channels and transporters. To provide a barrier, epithelia have a narrow intercellular space, which is sealed by specialized junctions, including tight junctions (TJs) in vertebrates and septate junctions (SJs) in invertebrates (Noirot-Timothée et al., 1978; Tepass and Hartenstein, 1994; Schwabe et al., 2005). SJs are the ancestral sealing junctions and are found in all invertebrates from sponges to arthropods but are also present in vertebrates (Leys and Riesgo, 2010). SJs consist of large multi-protein complexes that localize at the apicobasal membrane and mediate barrier function. In Drosophila, the BBB is a squamous epithelium established late in embryogenesis by SJ-forming subperineurial glia (SPG) (Edwards et al., 1993; Schwabe et al., 2005). Here, in addition to providing a paracellular barrier, SJs also serve as a fence for the diffusion of proteins across the lateral membrane (T. Schwabe, X. Li and U.G., unpublished). Molecularly and functionally homologous SJs are found in vertebrates at the node of Ranvier, where they form the paranodal junction between axons and myelinating glia (Poliak and Peles, 2003).

The SJ consists of a large multi-protein complex. In Drosophila, more than 20 proteins have been characterized that when missing lead to disruption of SJs and loss of barrier integrity (Izumi and Furuse, 2014). Most of these are transmembrane (TM) and lipid-anchored proteins that localize at the SJ, such as the claudins Sinuous (Sinu) (Wu et al., 2004), Megatrachea (Mega; Pickel – FlyBase) (Behr et al., 2003) and Kune-kune (Kune) (Nelson et al., 2010), the cell adhesion molecules Neurexin IV (Nrx-IV) (Baumgartner et al., 1996), Contactin (Cont) (Faire-Sarraillh et al., 2004), Neuroglian (Nrg) (Genova and Fehon, 2003), Lachesin (Lac) (Llimargas et al., 2004) and Fasciclin III (FasIII, or Fas3) (Wood et al., 1997), the sodium pump with its two subunits ATPζ and Nervana 2 (Nrv2) (Genova and Fehon, 2003; Paul et al., 2003), Melanotransferrin (Transferrin 2 – FlyBase) (Tiklová et al., 2010) and Macroglobulin complement-related (Mer) (Bätz et al., 2014; Hall et al., 2014). The complex also includes the intracellular scaffold proteins Coracle (Cora) (Fehon et al., 1994) and Varicoscose (Vari) (Wu et al., 2007) that interact with the cytoplasmic tails of membrane proteins and connect them to the actin cytoskeleton. A hallmark of SJ proteins is that they are interdependent for localization, and removal of one component is sufficient to destabilize the whole complex. In addition, half of the known SJ proteins can be co-immunoprecipitated from tissue extracts and detected by mass spectrometry (MS), further suggesting that they function together in a multi-protein complex (Genova and Fehon, 2003; Faire-Sarraillh et al., 2004; Tiklová et al., 2010; Jaspers et al., 2012). Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments have been instrumental in classifying most SJ proteins as core components based on their limited mobility after photobleaching and the observation that upon loss of function other SJ proteins diffuse rapidly into the bleached region due to impaired complex formation (Laval et al., 2008; Oshima and Fehon, 2011).
Accompanying epithelial morphogenesis, SJs are remodeled into mature junctions. At embryonic stage 12, SJ proteins accumulate along the lateral membrane of columnar epithelial cells. Subsequently, they gradually localize at more apical compartments and by stage 15 are restricted to the apicolateral membrane, basal to adherens junctions. The Ly-6 proteins Crooked (Crok), Crimped (Crim) and Coiled (Cold) are required for SJ formation; however, they do not reside at SJs and instead localize to cytoplasmic puncta. In Ly-6 mutants, the FRAP kinetics of SJ proteins mirrors that of core complex mutants and therefore Ly-6 proteins are thought to be involved in the assembly of SJ (sub) complexes in an intracellular compartment (Nilton et al., 2010; Oshima and Fehon, 2011). The subsequent relocalization of SJs requires endocytosis from the basolateral membrane and recycling to the apicolateral compartment (Tiklová et al., 2010; Oshima and Fehon, 2011). Gliotactin (Gli) and Discs-large (Dlg; Dlg1 – FlyBase) localize at SJs (Woods and Bryant, 1991; Woods et al., 1997; Schulte et al., 2003) but, in contrast to core components and Ly-6 proteins, upon their loss of function the complex is properly formed and SJ proteins, although mislocalized, retain their restricted mobility (Oshima and Fehon, 2011). Together with a lack of physical interactions with SJ components, this result suggests that Gli and Dlg are required for complex localization rather than its assembly (Ward et al., 1998; Schulte et al., 2003, 2006).

In contrast to SJs, TJ localize apically of the zonula adherens and in electron microscopy appear as a series of fusions of adjacent membranes. Although the set of proteins that compose the TJ is different from that of the SJ, the two complexes share a key molecular component, the claudins. Claudins are a tetraspan membrane family of 20-34 kDa proteins with intracellular N- and C-termini and constitute a main component of TJs. The larger first extracellular loop contains a claudin family signature motif and bears critical residues that define TJ charge and size selectivity in a tissue-specific manner (Günzel and Yu, 2013). Claudins are part of a large protein clan, comprising the PMP22/EMP/MP20/Claudin (PF00822), MARVEL (PF01284), tetraspanin (PF00335), connexin (PF00029) and innexin (PF00876) families, which share the same overall topology but differ in size and motif composition of extracellular and intracellular domains. Many members of this clan can form homo- and heterotypic oligomers on the same and neighboring membranes and play essential roles in junctional complexes, including TJs, gap junctions and the caspian strip of plants, as well as in membrane traffic and fusion events. Claudins have been shown to interact with other tetraspan proteins such as occludins, tetraspans and MARVEL, as well as cell adhesion proteins and receptors. Similarly, tetraspans form microdomains in the plasma membrane, in which cell adhesion proteins, TM receptors and their signaling components are enriched and, thereby, are thought to be modulated in their activity (Sánchez-Pulido et al., 2002; Hua et al., 2003; Hemler, 2005; Cording et al., 2013; Simske, 2014; Van Itallie and Anderson, 2014; Roppolo et al., 2014).

Here we identify and characterize two new core components of the SJ, Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2, which are part of a novel tetraspan protein family that is conserved across the protostome-deuterostome divide and is characterized by specific sequence features. Both proteins localize at SJs, show interdependence for localization and restricted mobility with known SJ members and are required for the integrity of epithelial barriers. Our work provides new insight into the composition of the SJ and implicates a second family of tetraspan proteins in the development of these crucial cell junctions.

RESULTS
Novel pasiflora genes are required for BBB formation
To identify novel genes required for BBB formation, we followed an in vivo RNAi approach using 10,450 UAS-RNAi strains (75% genome coverage) from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC) KK library (Dietzl et al., 2007). To efficiently phenocopy the impaired genotype, UAS-dicer2 was co-expressed in all screening steps. We initially tested the lines for adult lethality using the strong pan-glial driver repo-Ga4. The lines causing lethality or subviability were retested for impaired viability with the SPG-specific but weaker moody-Ga4. To directly examine whether the BBB is compromised in the knockdown of the genes, we performed the embryonic dye penetration assay in a selection of candidates (using repo-Ga4); in wild-type (wt), the injected dye is excluded from the CNS, but in BBB mutants, such as in Nrx-IV embryos, it rapidly diffuses into the nervous system (Fig. 1C,F). To quickly quantify dye accumulation in a systematic fashion, we developed an automated analysis script using Definiens (Fig. 1B).

Among the candidates identified, the lines CG7713102223 and CG8121105806 caused complete adult lethality with repo-Ga4 and adult subviability with moody-Ga4 (23% and 17% survivors for 102223 and 105806, respectively; 51% for negative controls). Pan-glial knockdown of both genes resulted in leaky BBB (Fig. 1D-F) and late embryonic lethality (1% hatch; wt, 99%). Interestingly, CG7713 and CG8121 belong to one family (With et al., 2003). Inspired by the paralysis resulting from the BBB defect, we named the genes pasiflora1 (pasi1, CG7713) and pasiflora2 (pasi2, CG8121) from the Greek mythological goddess who induced paralysis in her victims. Our results suggest that the family members pasiflora1 and pasiflora2 are novel genes required for BBB formation.

To analyze the phenotypes in complete loss of function, we sought to generate genomic mutants. The viable line P[EP]G4182 carries a P-element insertion 219 bp upstream of the pasiflora1 5’UTR. We created imprecise excisions and isolated a line, pasiflora1Δ, that deletes the entire pasiflora1 locus and 59 bp of the CG7379 3’UTR (Fig. 1A). pasiflora1Δ die as late embryos (0% hatch) and have a permeable BBB. A similarly leaky BBB is observed in embryos transheterozygous for pasiflora1Δ and the deficiency chromosomes Df(3R)BSC566 and Df(3R)ED5785, which cover the locus. The dye leakage is severe, but weaker than that of the amorphic Nrx-IVΔ064 SJ mutant (Fig. 1E,F; data not shown). However, Nrx-IV is only zygotically expressed (Baumgartner et al., 1996), whereas pasiflora1 is also maternally provided [see Fig. 3A; BDGP website (http://insitu.fruitfly.org)] (Graveley et al., 2011). To ultimately prove that the glial loss of pasiflora1 is causing the leaky BBB, we sought to rescue the dye penetration of pasiflora1Δ. Pan-glial expression of pasiflora1 restores BBB function (Fig. 1E,F), demonstrating that neither the neighboring CG7379 nor other mutations on the chromosome contribute to BBB breakdown, and indicating that pasiflora1 is cell-autonomously required.

In the vicinity of the pasiflora2 locus, no P-element insertions were available. Since the gene belongs to the same family, we decided to pursue pasiflora2 using RNAi. Moreover, the KK line is very potent as it causes strong BBB permeability (Fig. 1D,F) and embryonic lethality with repo-Ga4 (1% hatch). The fact that an impaired BBB is observed in the glial-specific knockdown suggests that pasiflora2 is also cell-autonomously required. To exclude off-target effects, we tested two additional RNAi lines that target different sequences of the mRNA. With pan-glial
expression, the VDRC line GD43952 and an shRNAi line that we generated (TRiP design; Ni et al., 2011) show qualitatively similar defects of dye penetration and embryonic lethality, with milder defects observed in the GD line (Fig. 1D; data not shown). For all our experiments with pasiflora2, we used the KK RNAi line.

To exclude the possibility that the leaky BBB is a result of earlier defects in glia specification and/or migration, we analyzed the number and positions of SPG (Ito et al., 1995; Beckervordersandforth et al., 2008). We detect the full set of SPG in both pasiflora1Δ and repoGal4;UAS-pasiflora2-RNAi embryos, with somewhat variable positions of nuclei in both control and mutant embryos (Fig. 1G). In summary, our results show that pasiflora1 and pasiflora2 are novel genes with a specific role in BBB formation.

**Pasiflora genes are required for tracheal tube size and barrier function**

We noticed that the tracheal tubes of pasiflora1Δ do not fill with air (data not shown), indicating that the tracheal barrier is also compromised. To confirm this observation, we performed the dye penetration assay and visualized the dorsal trunks. In wt, the dye is excluded from the tracheal lumen, but it rapidly diffuses into the tubes of pasiflora1Δ homozygous, transheterozygous pasiflora1Δ over the two deficiency chromosomes and in embryos with ubiquitous knockdown of pasiflora2 (tubulin-Gal4) (Fig. 2B; data not shown). Both mutants also show excessively elongated and convoluted dorsal trunks, a result that was confirmed by staining stage 16 embryos with the 2A12 antibody that recognizes the luminal protein Gasp (Fig. 2A). Overelongated dorsal trunks are observed in the majority of SJ mutants and are believed to be due, at least in part, to the role of
nervous system and labeling of some cells that, based on their glands are marked. At stage 16, we detect weak staining in the stages 14-16, trachea, foregut, hindgut, epidermis and salivary detected in epithelial tissues from stage 10 onwards. The tracheal 1-4, suggestive of maternal contribution. Zygotic transcripts are (Fig. 3A). Ubiquitous weak expression is first detected at stages show identical expression patterns throughout embryogenesis we performed RNA

pasiflora1 but penetrates in genotypes. Dye-labeled dextran does not diffuse into the tracheal lumen of wt, (B) Single confocal sections of 20 h AEL dye-injected embryos of different genotypes. Thus, pasiflora1 is cell-autonomously required (Fig. 2B). pasiflora2 with the more trachea-specific breathless-Gal4 leads to qualitatively similar phenotypes but with lower penetrance (Fig. 2B). As expected, the tracheal defects are not restored in our rescue experiment with the glial driver, further supporting that pasiflora1 is cell-autonomously required (Fig. 2B). Thus, pasiflora1 and pasiflora2 are required for tracheal barrier function and tube size control.

Pasiflora genes are expressed in SJ-forming embryonic epithelia

To characterize the expression pattern of pasiflora1 and pasiflora2, we performed RNA in situ hybridization in wt embryos. The genes show identical expression patterns throughout embryogenesis (Fig. 3A). Ubiquitous weak expression is first detected at stages 1-4, suggestive of maternal contribution. Zygotic transcripts are detected in epithelial tissues from stage 10 onwards. The tracheal placodes are labeled at stage 10 and the anterior hindgut at stage 11; expression persists in these tissues throughout development. During stages 14-16, trachea, foregut, hindgut, epidermis and salivary glands are marked. At stage 16, we detect weak staining in the nervous system and labeling of some cells that, based on their position, are likely to be exit and/or peripheral glia. A clearer in situ for pasiflora1 showing similar expression is displayed on the BDGP website (http://insitu.fruitfly.org). Therefore, both genes are specifically expressed in embryonic epithelia and insulating glia – all tissues that form SJs.

Several attempts to generate specific antibodies recognizing the two proteins were unsuccessful. Overexpression of the highly hydrophobic pasiflora proteins was toxic to the bacteria. We therefore raised antibodies against a mixture of two peptides (Fig. 3B; see supplementary Materials and Methods for epitopes), but unfortunately neither sera nor affinity-purified antibodies showed specific labeling in embryos (data not shown).

Molecular features of the pasiflora family

Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 are small proteins of 169 and 258 amino acids, respectively, with four TM domains but no signal peptide. Their predicted topology is very similar, with intracellular N- and C-termini and a larger first extracellular loop (Fig. 3B). To examine whether the proteins localize at the plasma membrane or some intracellular membrane compartment, we analyzed their subcellular localization in vivo and in cell culture. We tagged both proteins with GFP and FLAG, attached to an alanine-rich linker (Fig. 3B), and expressed them in Schneider cells (S2) as well as glia, which we imaged in stage 16 embryos (repo-Gal4) and third instar CNS (moody-Gal4). We find that pasiflora proteins localize at the plasma membrane in vivo and in S2 cells (Fig. 3C). Importantly, C-terminal tagging with GFP does not seem to affect protein function, as pan-glial expression of pasiflora1-GFP rescues the leaky BBB of pasiflora1Δ at a level similar to that of untagged pasiflora1 (Fig. 1E,F).

Although the protein topology of pasiflora proteins resembles that of claudins, they show no sequence similarity to this or other tetraspan families. However, Pasiflora1 orthologs are readily identified in all arthropods, including insects, arachnidae and crustacea, as well as in molluscs, echinoderms and hemichordates, suggesting that the protein predates the protostome-deuterostome divide. Alignment of the best protein matches from species within these different phyla indicates conservation along the entire length of the protein, with two absolutely conserved motifs: a PW motif at the beginning of TM3 and a VxSQYQ motif that straddles the boundary between TM4 and the C-terminal intracellular domain. Pasiflora2 orthologs are found within arthropods but not beyond; they share the PW motif in TM3 and a shortened VxS motif at the boundary of TM4. Interestingly, the sequence comparison shows that Pasiflora1 is more closely related to its orthologs in other phyla than to Pasiflora2, with a sequence similarity of 15%, suggesting that the separation of the two family members is ancient (Fig. 3D). Consistent with this significant sequence divergence, we find that pasiflora proteins act non-redundantly, since pan-glial expression of pasiflora1, but not pasiflora2, rescues the BBB phenotype of pasiflora1Δ (Fig. 1E; supplementary material Fig. S1).

Pasiflora proteins were previously shown to belong to a family of otherwise uncharacterized tetraspan proteins with similar length and topology in Drosophila and Anopheles (With et al., 2003). Based on our current analysis, we find seven additional members in this wider family (CG13288, CG13747, CG15098, CG12825, CG10311, CG42288 and Fire exit); however, with the exception of CG13288, which closely resembles Pasiflora1 (23% identity), these proteins are slightly more diverged and only share the PW motif in TM3 (Fig. 3D). Interestingly, the original founding member Fire exit, which is the most strongly diverged, is expressed in exit and peripheral glia but no molecular or biological function has been demonstrated (With et al., 2003). Whereas pan-glial knockdown of Fire exit causes adult subviability (19% survivors; 51% for negative control), knockdown of the other family members did not impair viability (CG10311, CG12825, CG13747, CG15098) or was not performed owing to a lack of RNAi strains in the collection (CG13288, CG42288).

Different lines of evidence indicate that pasiflora1 and pasiflora2 are co-expressed. First, RNA in situ hybridization showed that the genes are similarly expressed in embryonic epithelia (Fig. 3A). Second, both genes were identified as differentially expressed in embryonic glia based on microarray transcriptome profiling (U.G., unpublished). Third, based on developmental RNA-seq, the genes are part of co-expression clusters with SJ genes (kune, cold, sinu, Nrx-IV, Mcr, Gli, crok, cold, crim) (Graveley et al., 2011). The notion that pasiflora1 and pasiflora2 expression is tightly co-regulated is also supported by the observation that both genes,
Fig. 3. Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 are conserved tetraspan membrane proteins co-expressed in embryonic epithelia. (A) In situ hybridization with antisense probes for pasiflora1 (pasi1) and pasiflora2 (pasi2) in w1118 embryos. Both genes are expressed maternally (stage 1-4). Zygotic transcripts are detected from stage 10 onwards in epithelia and nervous system. TR, trachea; FG, foregut; HG, hindgut; SG, salivary glands; CNS and PNS, central and peripheral nervous system. Anterior is left. (B) Predicted structure of pasiflora proteins. The site of fusion of GFP/FLAG is depicted by green ovals. The epitopes used for antibody production are highlighted with red asterisks. (C) Tagged pasiflora proteins localize at the plasma membrane. (a) Single confocal sections of S2 cells transiently transfected with Pasiflora1-FLAG or Pasiflora2-FLAG. (b) Ventral views of fixed stage 16 embryos expressing Pasiflora1-GFP or Pasiflora2-GFP in glia. Maximum projections of 7 µm z-stacks. (c) Third instar larval CNS expressing live-imaging Pasiflora1-GFP or Pasiflora2-GFP in SPG. Maximum projections of 10 µm z-stacks. Anterior is up. (D) Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree of pasiflora proteins and homologs. Shown is a section of the alignment centered on TM domains 2-4, with start positions as indicated; identical residues are highlighted in black, strongly similar residues in blue, residues conserved in a majority of proteins in gray. The length of each protein and the degree of sequence identity/similarity to Pasiflora1 or, in the case of Pasiflora2 orthologs, to Pasiflora2, are indicated in parentheses in phylogenetic tree labels. For full protein sequences, see the supplementary Materials and Methods. Scale bars: 10 µm in Ca,b; 20 µm in Cc.
together with more than half of the known SJ component-encoding mRNAs, are predicted targets of miR-184 (Hong et al., 2009; Iovino et al., 2009).

Based on the phenotypic analysis, the expression patterns and the targeting by miR-184, we hypothesized that pasiflora proteins are either SJ components themselves or play a role in complex assembly and/or trafficking. However, neither of the proteins was found in an MS-based proteomic analysis of Mega complexes that succeeded in identifying at least ten known SJ components, possibly because of their small size (Jaspers et al., 2012). Notably, the claudins Sinu and Kune, which are of similar size and structure to the pasiflora proteins, were also not detected in the MS analysis.

**Pasiflora genes are required for the localization of SJs**

To confirm that *pasiflora1* and *pasiflora2* play a role in SJ development, we analyzed the morphology and subcellular localization of SJs in the mutants. We first visualized the embryonic BBB using the endogenously expressed live-imaging markers Nrg-GFP and Lac-GFP (Morin et al., 2001). In wt late stage 17 embryos, both markers label SJs and trace the outlines of SPG, which make continuous contacts with their neighbors to seal the CNS. In *pasiflora1*Δ and repo-Gal4;UAS-pasiflora2-RNAi embryos, SJs appear discontinuous and severely disorganized (Fig. 4A), demonstrating that both genes are required for SJ formation in the embryonic BBB.

**Fig. 4. Pasiflora genes are specifically required for localization of SJs.** (A) Ventral surface views of nerve cord of 20 h AEL embryos expressing the live-imaging SJ markers Nrg-GFP and Lac-GFP. SPG SJs are severely disrupted in pasiflora mutants. Maximum projections of 8-11 µm z-stacks. Anterior is up. n=5-16. (B) Single confocal sections of stage 15 dorsal trunks stained for different junctional proteins. In pasiflora mutants, SJ proteins spread basolaterally. Cell polarity is preserved, as revealed by Crb staining. n=6-12. (C) Single confocal sections of stage 12 and 15 hindguts stained for SJ proteins and Crb. In pasiflora mutants, SJ proteins localize at the lateral membrane, similar to wt at stage 12, but fail to restrict apicolaterally at stage 15. Crb localization is preserved. n=5-21. Scale bars: 10 µm in A and in C stage 12; 5 µm in B and in C stage 15.
SPG are very large but thin cells, complicating the visualization of SJ localization along the lateral membrane during embryonic stages. We therefore examined the hindgut and tracheal columnar epithelia. In the hindgut of wt stage 12 embryos, SJ proteins accumulate evenly along the lateral membrane, but at stage 15 are restricted to the apicolateral membrane compartment, as revealed by staining for Cora. In pasiflora1Δ and tubulin-Gal4; UAS-pasiflora2-RNAi embryos, Cora localizes similarly to wt at stage 12, but fails to restrict apicolaterally at stage 15 and remains distributed along the lateral membrane. At stage 15 we observe similar mislocalization of additional SJ markers, such as Nrg-GFP, ATPζ-GFP and FasIII (Fig. 4C). Notably, in the variably penetrant phenotype of pasiflora2-RNAi, the mislocalization phenotype is more pronounced for Cora and FasIII followed by Nrg, whereas ATPζ is only mildly mislocalized. SJs are also mislocalized in tracheal cells. In the tracheal epithelium of wt stage 15 embryos, Cora, ATPζ-GFP and Nrg-GFP accumulate in the apicolateral membrane, but spread basolaterally in the mutants (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, the intensity of SJ proteins appears somewhat lower in the mutants. Dimmer SJ immunostaining has been reported for other SJ mutants as well, but in the cases where it was tested by western blots, the protein levels were, overall, not reduced (Genova and Fehon, 2003; Paul et al., 2003). This suggests that the weaker staining is not a result of reduced transcription or increased protein degradation, but rather a consequence of the dispersed localization. In addition, pasiflora2 mutants show milder defects compared with loss of pasiflora1, which is likely to be due to incomplete knockdown of pasiflora2 with RNAi as compared with genomic knockout of pasiflora1 in the deletion mutant; in addition to w1118, we also tested genetically closer controls; i.e. a viable line with precise excision of P[EP]G4182 and embryos with ubiquitous knockdown of a random RNAi (VDRC KK 108356) and confirmed that they have wt localization of SJs (data not shown). Collectively, our results suggest that pasiflora1 and pasiflora2 are required for the apicolateral localization of SJs in hindgut and tracheal epithelia. SJs also play an earlier, independent role in maintaining cell polarity by restricting the size of the apical Crb domain (Laprise et al., 2009). To investigate if cell polarity is disturbed in pasiflora mutants, we analyzed the distribution of Crb in hindgut and trachea. In both tissues, Crb localizes at the apical membrane similarly to wt (Fig. 4B,C). Thus, in columnar embryonic epithelia, pasiflora1 and pasiflora2 selectively affect SJ organization but not the establishment or maintenance of cell polarity.

Pasiflora proteins localize at the SJ and their localization depends on other complex components

To determine if pasiflora proteins accumulate in a specific membrane compartment, we analyzed the localization of GFP-tagged versions in the hindgut epithelium using 69B-Gal4. Both Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 colocalize at the membrane with Cora, but not Crb, and display the characteristic dynamic expression of SJ proteins: at stage 12, they localize along the lateral membrane and at stage 15 become restricted apicolaterally (Fig. 5A). Occasionally, we detect a small amount of pasiflora proteins, but not Cora, at the apical and basolateral membranes. We believe that this is due to overly high protein levels under Gal4-UAS expression because we only observe it in a minority of cells and it correlates with the strength of expression in the given cell. Altogether, our results show that Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 are membrane proteins localizing at SJs.

Core SJ proteins are known to be interdependent for localization, and removal of one component is sufficient to destabilize the entire complex and mislocalize other SJ proteins. To address whether Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 localization is similarly affected, we analyzed their distribution in the hindgut of stage 15 embryos homozygous for amorphic mutations in SJ proteins. Co-staining for Cora served as a readout of SJ integrity. In kunc309 and Nrg14 core component mutants, as well as in cold65607 and crb1kG00513a embryos, both pasiflora proteins and Cora lose their restricted localization and extend basolaterally (Fig. 5B; data not shown). In summary, our results show that pasiflora proteins localize at the SJ and their localization depends on other SJ proteins, suggesting that they are core complex components.

Pasiflora and core SJ proteins show interdependent mobility within the membrane

To further show that pasiflora proteins are core SJ components, we performed a series of FRAP experiments. In the epidermis of wt stage 15 embryos, when mature SJ complexes are established, the fluorescence of GFP-tagged core SJ proteins exhibits slow recovery after photobleaching because the stable SJ complexes are very large and move slowly within the membrane. In mutants of core components or of proteins involved in complex assembly, the SJ complex is not properly formed and the free GFP-linked proteins can diffuse rapidly to the bleached region (Oshima and Fehon, 2011).

To determine if SJ complex formation is impaired in pasiflora mutants, we performed FRAP of Nrg-GFP in the epidermis of stage 15 embryos. For our analysis, we extracted from the fitting procedure the percentages of mobile fractions (Fm) and, more importantly, the characteristic time of diffusion (τD) [see supplementary Materials and Methods for half time (τ1/2) and detailed analysis]. In wt, Nrg-GFP shows very slow recovery and even 10 min after bleaching only 10% of the fluorescence has recovered. Recovery has not reached a plateau, but the strong embryo movements did not allow us to systematically perform longer time-lapse recordings. For Nrg-GFP in wt we extrapolate τD=29.5 min and 29% mobile fraction. By contrast, in both pasiflora1Δ and tubulin-Gal4; UAS-pasiflora2-RNAi embryos, Nrg-GFP recovers rapidly (τD=2.2 and 4.5 min, respectively) and has a large mobile fraction (65% and 43%, respectively) (Fig. 6A,B). Notably, fluorescence never recovers to 100% in our experiments or those of others (Laval et al., 2008; Oshima and Fehon, 2011), but the nature of this immobile fraction is currently unclear. Therefore, the behavior of Nrg-GFP in pasiflora mutants is similar to that observed in mutants of SJ core components and proteins involved in complex assembly. Together with their localization at SJs, these results argue that pasiflora proteins are core components required for the formation of SJ complexes.

To further show that pasiflora proteins are integral SJ components, we analyzed their mobility within the membrane in the epidermis of stage 15 embryos. We used paired-Gal4 and expressed GFP-tagged pasiflora proteins in epidermal stripes. As a control, we used membrane-tagged mCD8-GFP and imaged embryos at stage 14, at a time when SJs are not yet mature and diffusion within the plasma membrane should not be impeded. mCD8-GFP recovers remarkably quickly (τD=3.3 s) and its mobile fraction is 40%. By contrast, the recovery of Pasiflora1-GFP and Pasiflora2-GFP is significantly slower (τD=4.5 and 6.7 min, respectively), with their mobile fractions being 50% (Fig. 6C). The faster recovery of Pasiflora1-GFP and Pasiflora2-GFP proteins compared with Nrg-GFP could be due to the overexpression conditions. Therefore, pasiflora proteins are more immobile than other TM proteins, suggesting that they are part of a membrane complex. To ultimately show that this is the SJ complex, we...
analyzed the mobility of Pasiflora1-GFP and Pasiflora2-GFP proteins in epidermal cells of *kune*C309 mutants, which have disrupted SJs, and observed that both proteins lose their restricted mobility and diffuse very rapidly (Pasiflora1-GFP, $\tau_D=47$ s, $F_m=59\%$; Pasiflora2-GFP, $\tau_D=43$ s, $F_m=67\%$) (Fig. 6C). Taken together, these results validate that pasiflora proteins are indeed core SJ components (Fig. 7).

**DISCUSSION**

**Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 are novel SJ core components**

We have identified two previously uncharacterized proteins, Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2, as novel components of the *Drosophila* SJ. Several lines of evidence support this notion. First, *pasiflora1* and *pasiflora2* mutants exhibit all the characteristic phenotypes associated with disrupted SJs: breakdown of blood-brain and tracheal barriers, overelongated dorsal trunks, and SJ mislocalization in a variety of tissues. In the BBB, SJs appear severely disorganized and in columnar epithelia SJ proteins fail to localize at the apicolateral membrane and instead spread basolaterally. Second, the genes are co-expressed in embryonic epithelia that rely on SJs for their function and the proteins overlap with Cora at the apicolateral membrane. Similar to known SJ proteins, pasiflora localization depends on other complex members, as they spread basolaterally in SJ mutant backgrounds. Finally, using FRAP we demonstrate that pasiflora proteins are core SJ components. In stage 15 epidermal cells, Nrg-GFP displays limited lateral mobility after photobleaching owing to its incorporation in the large multi-protein complex. By contrast, in pasiflora mutants, Nrg-GFP diffuses rapidly, indicating that SJ complex formation is compromised. Overexpressed pasiflora proteins also move slowly within the membrane of wt cells, but diffuse rapidly in cells with disrupted SJs, showing that they are themselves associated with the SJ complex.

An emerging idea is that not all SJ proteins are as interdependent as previously thought and that distinct subcomplexes exist within the large, highly ordered, multi-protein complex. Our observations and those of others (Nelson et al., 2010; Oshima and Fehon, 2011; Hall et al., 2014) indicating that in SJ mutants the localization of other complex members is differentially affected and that the fluorescence of GFP-tagged SJ proteins does not fully recover after photobleaching support this notion.

**Potential roles of the pasiflora family**

Pasiflora proteins are conserved in arthropods and beyond and share the global topological features of the tetraspan superfamily, with short conserved sequence motifs. The ability of different tetraspan
families to form ribbons based on homo- and heterotypic interactions in cis within the plasma membrane suggests that pasifloras, together with claudins, are involved in forming the highly regularly spaced septa of the SJ. Freeze-fracture experiments have shown that SJs form ribbons, with an apparent size of a single septum of 10 nm and a regular spacing of 15-20 nm. Depending on the tissue, these ribbons are either highly aligned with each other (mature ectoderm) or meandering (developing wing disc) (Fristrom, 1982; Lane and Swales, 1982; Furuse and Tsukita, 2006). In the SJ, the plasma membranes of neighboring cells are not fused but closely juxtaposed at a distance of 15 nm and there is no evidence in invertebrates that different tissues have distinct paracellular permeability. Claudins and pasifloras are therefore unlikely to create pores in trans with specific size and charge selectivity. This suggests that the small claudins and pasifloras act only in cis to form ribbons, while the single-pass membrane proteins of the complex mediate the trans interaction with the neighboring cell via their large extracellular adhesive domains. To date, the structural basis for the intermolecular interaction between tetraspan proteins has not been resolved (Krause et al., 2015). The pasiflora proteins belong to a larger family with nine members in Drosophila. We have shown that Pasiflora1 and Pasiflora2 are expressed in embryonic epithelia and glia and act non-redundantly during SJ formation. Little is known about the other family members: Fire exit is expressed in exit and peripheral glia, which also form SJs; CG15098 is expressed in the midgut, which forms structurally different, smooth SJs. Our study reveals that the composition of the SJ complex strongly resembles that of other junctional and TM protein complexes, where adhesive or signaling receptors are embedded in a complex environment of hydrophobic tetraspan proteins of different types, in this case three different claudins and two different members of the novel pasiflora family. Membrane complexes such as the SJ are

![Fig. 6. Pasiflora proteins are core SJ components.](image)

(A) Single confocal sections of lateral epidermis of stage 15 embryos expressing live-imaging marker Nrg-GFP. After photobleaching, Nrg-GFP diffuses slowly in the wt, but rapidly in pasiflora mutants. Bleached membranes are marked in red. (B) Quantification of relative fluorescence of Nrg-GFP over time in different genotypes. (C) Quantification of relative fluorescence of Pasiflora1-GFP and Pasiflora2-GFP over time. In wt, pasiflora proteins are less mobile than mCD8-GFP, and in cells with disrupted SJs (kune mutant) they diffuse rapidly into the bleached region. n=9-17. Error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bar: 5 µm.
particulary refractory to biochemical and structural analysis owing to their hydrophobicity and large size. However, due to their crucial function in all invertebrates and the vertebrate paranode, it is possible, by genetic means, to identify and study the structural core components as well as the biogenesis of the complex. Given the medical importance of the paranodal SJ in particular and of tetraspan proteins in general, our discovery of pasiflora proteins opens the possibility of studying these proteins and their interactions in a highly accessible and sensitive paradigm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly strains and constructs

For generation of transgenic lines we used the Q31 integrase method and inserted constructs in attP2 and attP4 docking sites (Groth et al., 2004; Markstein et al., 2008; Pfeiffer et al., 2010). Rescue constructs were generated by PCR amplification from cDNA clones RES4605 (pasiflora1) and LD42595 (pasiflora2) [Drosophila Genomics Resource Center (DGRC), Indiana, USA]. Tagged proteins were generated by fusion of Drosophila-optimized GFP (pJFRC14, Addgene) or 3×-FLAG to the C-terminus after an alanine-rich linker. For in vivo expression, pJFRC2 (10x-UAS) was used (Addgene). For S2 cell expression, the pMT vector was used (metallothionein promoter). The pasiflora2-shRNAi line was generated according to Ni et al. (2011) by inserting a 21 nt hairpin (sense strand: TACAATGTGATTATGGTGCTC) in pWalium20 [Transgenic RNAi Project (TRiP), Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA]. pasiflora1 was generated by imprecise excision of P/EP/G4182 [Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC)]; the deletion spans the region 17794826-17796435. For fly strains obtained from published sources see the supplementary Materials and Methods.

Embryonic dye penetration and viability assay

The dye permeability assay was performed as previously described (Schwabe et al., 2005). CNS dye penetration was quantified using a custom Definiens (http://www.definiens.com) script that automatically measures pixel intensity after excluding overexposed areas. Mean pixel intensity was taken as readout value. To assess significance, one-way ANOVA was performed over all groups with Student-Newman-Keuls post-hoc test.

Immunohistochemistry, live imaging and RNA in situ hybridization

Immunohistochemistry of embryos was performed following standard procedures. For antibodies used see the supplementary Materials and Methods. Live imaging of embryos was performed as described (Schwabe et al., 2005). Dissected third instar CNS was mounted in PBS and imaged directly. S2 cells were transfected with pMT-Pasiflora-FLAG, induced with 0.2-0.5 mM CuSO4 24 h post-transfection, and fixed 24 h post-induction. All confocal images were acquired using an LSM 710 system and ZEN acquisition software (Carl Zeiss). Image analysis was performed using ImageJ (NIH).

Whole-mount in situ hybridization on embryos was performed as previously described (Lehmann and Tautz, 1994) with the following modifications: the post-fix step between embryo rehydration and proteinase K treatment was removed, and incubation with anti-DIG antibodies was overnight at 4°C. Antisense probes were generated by in vitro transcription from RES4605 (pasiflora1) and LD42595 (pasiflora2).

FRAP experiments and analysis

Embryos were dechorionated, rinsed, mounted on coverslips with glue, and covered with halocarbon oil. Imaging and photobleaching were performed with a c-Apochromat 40x/1.20 W Korr M27 objective. Two images were acquired before photobleaching and GFP was bleached using maximal output power of a 488 nm laser. The bleached membrane was located in the lateral epidermis and was approximately 3 μm in length. A time series of images was started immediately after photobleaching, with one image every 30 s for 10 min, except for paired-Gal4;UAS-mCD8-GFP and kuncC310w, paired-Gal4;UAS-pasiflora1/2-GFP for which images were captured every 4 s for 3 min. A home-written Definiens script was used for correction of embryo movements and a second script for extraction and normalization of fluorescence intensity of photobleached membranes at each time point. Data were fitted to an equation for one-dimensional free diffusion; characteristic time of diffusion and percentages of mobile fractions were then extracted. For detailed analysis of FRAP data see the supplementary Materials and Methods.

Alignment and phylogenetic analysis

PSI-BLAST and manual inspection were performed to identify orthologs and Drosophila paralogs of pasiflora proteins (for a list, see the supplementary Materials and Methods). Protein sequences were aligned
and a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the ClustalW algorithm as implemented in Vector NTI 11.5 (Life Technologies). Protein topologies were verified using SMART-EMBL.
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Supplementary Fig. S1. *pasiflora* genes act non-redundantly during BBB formation. Quantification of the dye penetration assay in 20 h AEL dye-injected embryos. Pan-glial over-expression of *pasiflora*1, but not *pasiflora*2 rescues the dye penetration of *pasiflora*1Δ. Columns represent intensity of dye penetration into the nerve cord as measured by mean pixel intensity. The percentage of embryos showing penetration is indicated at the bottom of each column. Brackets and asterisks indicate significance of pairwise comparisons. *** p<0.001, ±SEM, n=20-45.

Supplementary Materials and Methods

Fly strains

The following fly strains were obtained from published sources: *repo-Gal4* (V. Auld), *moody-Gal4* (Schwabe et al., 2005), *tubulin-Gal4* (E. Arama), GFP traps *Nrg* G00305, *Lac* G00044, and *ATPα* G00109 (W. Chia), *UAS-mCD8-GFP* (L. Luo), *UAS-dicer2* and *UAS-RNAi* lines *pasiflora*1 KK102223, *pasiflora*2 KK105806, *pasiflora*2 GD43952, and *lkb1* KK108356 (Vienna Drosophila Research Center, VDRC, Austria), *Df(3R)BSC566, Df(3R)ED5785, nrx-IV* A304, *nrg* A14, *kune* C309, *cold* 05607, *crok* KG06053a, *69B-Gal4, paired-Gal4* and *breathless-Gal4* (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, BDSC, Indiana, USA). *w* 1118 was used as wt.

Immunohistochemistry

Primary antibodies used were: Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, DSHB antibodies mouse anti-Repo (8D12), mouse IgM anti-Gasp (2A12), mouse anti-Cora (C615.16), mouse anti-FasIII (7G10), and mouse anti-Crb (Cq4) (all used 1:5), rabbit anti-GFP (A11122, Molecular
Probes, 1:100). Alexa- (Molecular Probes) and Cy- (Jackson ImmunoResearch) conjugated secondary antibodies were used at dilutions of 1:400 and 1:200, respectively.

**Production of antibodies**

For each protein two 15-16 amino acids-long peptides were synthetically generated and their mixture was injected in rabbit and guinea pig (for Pasiflora1) and hen (for Pasiflora2) (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium). The epitopes were: for Pasiflora1: SPLFETDIRSSMPVA, IIWSDNVRTGSYAVA, and for Pasiflora2: NLHSKMSRSTRSVRI, STANSLAGSRPTTPHS. The sera, as well as affinity-purified antibodies were tested by immunostainings in wt embryos in various concentrations (including 1:2).

**Analysis of FRAP data**

*Image Registration and analysis.* Embryo movements are unavoidable and pose severe challenges for the analysis of time-lapse recordings. We used a home-written Definiens script to correct for lateral drift and non-linear distortions of the raw confocal images due to changes of cellular shape. In brief, for a confocal stack of n images with index 1..n, a built-in image registration algorithm was first applied to three reference images with rounded indexes n/6, n/2 and 5n/6, respectively (the middle image was used as reference image for registration). The remaining images were then registered with respect to the reference image closest in index number. Given the strong embryo movements and drift that we observed, this strategy ensured a more robust alignment compared to a registration procedure based on only one reference image for the whole stack.

A second Definiens script was then used to automatically extract the fluorescence intensity trajectories of the photobleached membrane regions. To detect the photobleached region we applied to registered images a 2D-Gaussian filter with a kernel size of 5x5x3 pixels, followed by an edge 3D filter. This filter is sensitive to signal variations between successive time-lapse images, and is thus ideal to detect the photobleached region that exhibits a strong decrease in fluorescence intensity just after the photobleaching step. The average fluorescence intensity in the identified region can then be extracted for each time point, and normalized with
respect to its maximal and minimal values at the time points before and immediately after the photobleaching step, respectively.

**FRAP data analysis.** In a first approximation, the diffusion in the thin photobleached membrane can be modelled by one-dimensional free diffusion. The experimental data were fitted to the empirical formula given in equation (1), which agrees within 5% with the solution of the diffusion equation in one dimension for recovery into an interval of zero intensity (Ellenberg et al., 1997; Ellenberg and Lippincott-Schwartz, 1999)

\[
I(t) = I(f_{\text{inal}}) \left(1 - \frac{\tau_D}{\sqrt{\tau_D + \pi \cdot (t - t_0)}}\right)
\]  

with \(I(t)\) = intensity as a function of time; \(t_0\) = time right after photobleaching; \(I(\text{final})\) = final intensity reached after complete recovery; \(\tau_D\) = characteristic time of diffusion.

The fitting procedure was performed using *Origin 8.5*. We kept \(t_0\) constant, and extracted \(I(\text{final})\) and \(\tau_D\) from the fitted curves. Mobile fractions were calculated as ratios of fluorescence intensity in the bleached area after recovery of the signal to fluorescence intensity before photobleaching.

Another common approach used to analyze FRAP recovery curves is the calculation of half-time \((t_{1/2})\) as the time required for the bleached fluorescence to recover to half of its maximum recovery value (Yguerabide et al., 1982; Oshima and Fehon, 2011). We extracted \(t_{1/2}\) from exponential fits of the recovery curves and found for Nrg-GFP \(t_{1/2} = 0.7 \pm 0.1 \) minutes, 1.1 \( \pm 0.1 \) minutes and 7.6 \( \pm 5.4 \) minutes in *pasiflora1*\(^4\), *tubulin-Gal4;UAS-pasiflora2-RNAi* and wt embryos, respectively. For overexpressed (*paired-Gal4*) Pasiflora1-GFP and Pasiflora2-GFP, we calculated \(t_{1/2} = 0.9 \pm 0.1 \) minutes and 1.9 \( \pm 0.2 \) minutes, respectively, while for the control membrane-bound mCD8-GFP \(t_{1/2}=11\pm1 \) seconds. For overexpressed Pasiflora1-GFP and Pasiflora2-GFP in the *kunc*\(^{C309}\) mutant background, we calculated \(t_{1/2}=18\pm2\) and 16 \( \pm 0.7 \) seconds, respectively. All these values are in the same order of magnitude with both the characteristic times of diffusions \(\tau_D\) calculated using a one-dimensional free diffusion model and the results
obtained by Oshima and Fehon., 2011. Minor quantitative differences between our results and those of Oshima and Fehon (e.g. mobile fractions of mCD8-GFP) might result from the usage of different drivers (paired-Gal4 vs engrailed-Gal4) and pipelines of data analysis.

Furthermore, a puzzling observation is that our recovery curves exhibit I(final) values in the range of 40-60%, whereas values close to 100% would be expected from full recovery of diffusing GFP-tagged proteins. This reveals the presence of an immobile or extremely slow fraction of diffusing GFP-tagged proteins within our observation time. The presence of such an immobile SJ fraction has also been observed before (Laval et al., 2008; Oshima and Fehon, 2011), but its nature remains largely obscure. One of the factors contributing to the two distinct populations of diffusing proteins may lie in the genetics. pasiflora1 is studied in zygotic mutant embryos although the gene is also maternally expressed and pasiflora2 by RNAi; these incomplete loss of function conditions contribute to the broader distribution of fluorescence recovery times. However, more surprisingly, partial recovery is also observed for the membrane-bound mCD8-GFP, suggesting the existence of additional sources of traps for the proteins. One possibility is that at early stages, before fully stable insulating SJ complexes have formed, SJs already operate as a fence limiting free mobility within the plane of the membrane, thus hindering diffusion of a fraction of the GFP-tagged proteins.
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Protein sequences of Pasiflora orthologs and paralogs

>gi|23170782|gb|AAN13416.1| CG8121, isoform C [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MNNYGRKTPSTVRNSPYSHATGRSSTNLSKMSRSTRSVPVRQWYQRPLNNKNQYIIDQKGMALVGLFA  
IFLSLTIATSIFDIYAMAAPGSTHYGYYIISYEYEFVYVGKHNVRMLIVFALFSIMALINFIVTSVLL  
CVALRKEYKVMWPLWSAIPTVWRLALIFFAIVNDLKYAYNVIMMLWTIFCVCLSYWGAVVYSLFL  
ELVDLTKLEDLAHLRTMAGELHASTANSLAGSRPTTPHTSTVMVG

>gi|7300307|gb|AAF55468.1| CG7713, isoform A [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MVVLSSCWSFIIWSDNVTRGTGYAVAGTAALSAVMTLISYMAGGESQLYSLPFTEDIRSSMPVAGGF  
FIYFLLILILSSYLVYGGYIKISTRGWLWIFGLGLGLAIFQFSWSLWIGGYYIIEQTFSALLNFVWA  
YNMLCWLVVFQSYYQIFIEQNPNIELMP

>gi|7300137|gb|AAF55304.1| CG10311, isoform A [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MPKPLLNSCCLQSTRNNGSVISGIALAVSLIITIVVFTRHFKTIIFDFIPNDIKVIIVLNCMTIL  
ISLMMIIGALKRHYLMVPLVMGIMULSLVITYGIVFFIDGTVLTGVLWIFGLIVCAINTYWC  
VYSEYANLSEENERGNYNKQYPYR

>gi|7304157|gb|AAF59194.1| CG12825 [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MWKLDTKGIICCSSCLSAFAIYLYLVMDDYFWKYGLYEMGIHISALQILGSVVLVGAIKQKHFFVWPM  
MITTGGFLYLVMNLFSISLXQTAWIFGPMVLPVTAYLGCALYSVKAFDRMRKEEPPAYASLSKKEF  
INHI

>gi|7292397|gb|AAF47802.1| fire exit, isoform A [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MLLPVTSQGAACGCKWNKNCRWSWEMLAAPSPKRLTALLTSYIQILSSHACALVLLVGLACAHQME  
VLELDLQDDQNYNSFDNFLRLQTAQCLAVALENLLYVMAGIAYTASAIIFLPGVSKPRGLLI  
PWLVVEPELMMLIGALVPLMDIKTVIQLGGQVPYFYICYICMDYCMKXVYHGFYQSLRTMNLREIA  
TVAIRCFAPAIPFRFQREHMNGSNGYKHLTESFDQGC

>gi|7303961|gb|AAF59004.1| CG13747 [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MAPNPDSPHGKSTAVAMATSHISYQCQNLKVNIIILGLVGIISTVLGSSALTHTFRPIDELLNQ  
WPMNLVPAVEQQLINLLTIFSIVYGLSLINNGVSLLLLIGARDSESSLVYWPWLYHGTVFGFGLYGFGY  
FYTAGLFLIDLSPLCLVLFLNVLFLVIFYKIKHEVFTLLFRVMEQLSKDDMGGYYYQDAEHGWTAAGVF  
QHVYVPPRLPMQK

>gi|45445476|gb|AAF57628.2| CG15098 [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MLSRVFCMRLNTYGVVIGLWVLISFLASLALSALGFDEIAQQIAKGEDSNKTEIERSVLLIVFSV  
YALAVILNLLASAMLVAGTVKHERHLLLEFLINNVGVLFGIITNIAMLAQIIIGNTSFLSALPIIFVDVG  
LLLTVWLGYIGYILSFQKIQASEREIRQPLPIQPTQTNYSYTKI
gi|442630457|ref|NP_001261455.1| CG13288, isoform C [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MAILESCCFWKDVRSGSFACAIYTLVYFGFSTLMFLYFIIEEQDFLLNRAQPLGESLLEKGDVTVVTFI  
FNILLPCISILMLSSVLLILGQLQNKRHPILNPWISFMLDGLIIEVCHLVHLSLRSRVKFDPVGFPTFIMT  
DFPFCNVYCLLCVQSYQTFRQSQAERMRLASTASPIVVFATAELTKAQQQQLHNNLQLQLETGSYG  
GKRRMLGAASPLTSQRPNFSTITEEEEREQROSRTDLHLEQTGPDGLRFTPITLAPPACCH  

|gi|220902215|gb|AAF58297.3| CG42288, isoform A [Drosophila melanogaster]  
MACRCKYLFQTPCCYCSLRGFVFCFSPLTYITITGFGMECIFNPQERSLIPAPLAKAT  
VFSFPFGIIISAVMLCLGVDHNNMEMLFPLFLPVFTPIWIVHFAITALTVSFPIINTIILTVITMMLLYAWLVWV  
WSYYIEEPYDELETGYV  

|gi|58380261|ref|XP_310415.2| AGAP003856−PA [Anopheles gambiae str. PEST]  
MVWLESCWSPFIWTNSVKSGSYAVAATVSATIVLITMTTYNLLGDSSQLYSLPFEDIRDSQVVGFF  
FILYFILLILSAGVCV SKLTTTRGWMPWFAFGIAFILQFVFGVLFNLIGGYYIYLQQTTLSLHWWM  
YNYYCWMCVQSYQIFEMQSPNIELLMP  

|gi|91076134|ref|XP_970027.1| PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC658556 [Tribolium castaneum]  
MVILTCWSPCITWDNLKTSCKVIAFYTVAMSIVMTFTIVFDMSGGDSTQLYNPLFEADIRYSQMVGGTC  
SM1FFSILLISTLYLLVLHKMNMRMLPLWIEFGIVVLQFLQVFGLWNLLGGYYYIYLETVLFV1WSWMT  
YNGCWLVLVSQYQFVFEEMQSPNIELLWP  

|gi|321478715|gb|EFX89672.1| hypothetical protein DAPPUDRAFT_230613 [Daphnia pulex]  
MPSSTCWSFCHMNVREGTFAVAFYTTFFPPIVIAAYTAYVNRGDQAQFYLPPFEADVKDTSQVGAIAL  
CLFNVMLSVQVRKECRGLPPWMICNTLVLFQAVGNYIIIGYILNNVFIVSNWNNAA  
NMYFFMCVQWSYQEFVETLQARHIELMP  

|gi|391347330|ref|XP_003747917.1| PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC100898088 [Metaseiulus occidentalis]  
MPILDRCWNPFLMNYHTVNRFSGYCAVYTVMLHIIVFIFAIYAIQGRTEWFFSFYPESQRGTTAAS  
ITVLCILIFLFPTFLLCRGVRDNRKCMYFWMGMTIELMMIGVGLWIVRYRNLFSVLAAILWTID  
GFHIYCLLIVISQYQIVKNTQEMQSPNIELLMP  

|gi|291239765|ref|XP_002739793.1| PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC100371028 [Saccoglossus kowalevskii]  
MYDMAIVRCCCNVRGTSWAASYSLITTNNVLGVAYFTVIYQMESGXYLHPYIYFPITTCGSLVFL  
MLSVILIIIGLIMHDLKLLIQWIPIFYFSPAETVFVFFGHAAMNFALFWVSRILVGGCIVCV  
VSQYQELNEGRRGSRYDERADYMDADDAPETIESPRVMKTFIHQDTQQRYSTAASCKGIEEETR  
SYSTPRRHPSPKIVPREVPQMTNYQNYQQDVEGFV  

|gi|291234470|ref|XP_002737171.1| PREDICTED: lysosomal-associated transmembrane protein 4B-like [Saccoglossus kowalevskii]  
MTIITCCCCMNTRTGIYVTIYALGLIIMLALSAGDKACGLISSYIIDLLLIGLIVSIL  
IYGAIKDRNILLVPMWVMFVIFILITIIIVYASPFSSWTVSNIIFVFIPNWIYCLCVISQYQ  
ELKEGRGTADYHRLLASRGRPVVQTTTTPRVEKAA  

|gi|780177238|ref|XP_011661517.1| PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC100891165 isoform X2 [Strongylocentrotus purpuratus]  
MAILTRCCCFDNTRGSKAGCVYSLGTVCLSVGIILMWEFVDYIEMSSYTGAARKGLAAYSIDLVLVLF  
FIAASIILVGVANKSCMKLIFMPVMIPLLVLIVQLISIIIFVFIFGMEVAEHVIDAQCVCVVFVTAPK  
LCLICVLSQYQELKDRGRQYNMV  

|gi|780170733|ref|XP_011660511.1| PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC105436567 [Strongylocentrotus purpuratus]  
MAIMQSCCFNSVRSGLACGVFSLITSCFYLAEGSWNLALAVSVHSSNTGAPIDGTYAAYSIDIVDFV
LLIASIVLVLGVSVNNTLIPYMVAINAVMLVQAITWLQIQVFAGAYLVLIVLVWLLYTAFNIMCL
LCVISQYQELSEGRGAMQTVPVMSTPIETERLVR

>gi|762168016|ref|XP_011422358.1| PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein
LOC105324851 [Crassostrea gigas]
MAIYKTLCPSTRVGTLCCGCLVNLCLVYLFRVGYQLIEDSLEGKIVGVAYGFVLGLMIAASL
ILTPGVQDRLYLLLFPWYVVLINLYETGSIALLTVHMEMERKTLNIAEWVMFYYCFKLIANCYCFAC
VSQYGQELSEGRGYDGTVKQFRNRLSDFKQLMDPMSPYEQNYQGSGMDPQSYTVDDWVII

>gi|676473692|ref|XP_009059901.1| hypothetical protein LOTGIDRAFT_165135
[Liottia gigantea]
MTPDWSLIVISVCLGFLYRAYLDAIDSIEFKGIIYAGFVLSLIASLILLPGYMDKRYLM
LQWIYVLVTLVETYGAVATTHTHLEYKQSWSSIECVFYMRLVANCYCFACVVSQYQTELTEGRGT
YEPFLKSPSSPSDEVTFTFFGNALPPIEDEQHKEPSPPFYDLESVETSDDVTMTATSACIGECHRH
DDLNDISECAPDVTSDPYDPEYVGLQDAMSGVTLTHFPYRELPQIYQMITHTWL

>gi|118790920|ref|XP_317141.3| AGAP008322
[Anopheles gambiae str. PEST]
MNYGRKTPSTYRSTPSYSYHITSRQSNLHQSRSRSMSKSVMPWYQKPLHHNKYLDIQRGAFIMGFLFSI
FVAIFITGVTHFADYMLACLALAGPSSYHYIYISEFVYVGVHSAILMLVMAALFSIIAGLVLQVTQSIML
HALRKEYSEKIVPWLSPAIFLFRLLALFSLFSINVNLIALYVILVILLAIVASLYGWLGYLYSLEL
LALDKLELALARMGTQSLNASVAHSLAGSRPTTPPHTSTVSTMPVGDTKFI

>gi|91085253|ref|XP_973335.1| PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein
LOC662125 [Tribolium castaneum]
MNYRGKTPSTTGSYVSHYQSSANLRSRSSRSIKLIFYWYKPIITESYILDVRSSSLIGFSLLLSIF
FTVITSCFLGYSMAVPGSVHTGQVYISQFIVGVRHVRNVTLVMFAFAISIALGVTQSVSTWWLIAL
KERKEYKMPWLYAPGIFTVFRLAYLFFSLFSLFSINVNLIALYVILVILLAIVASLYGWLGYLYSLEL
LALDKLELALARMGTQSLNASVAHSLAGSRPTTPPHTSTVSTMPVG

>gi|321478341|gb|EFX89298.1| hypothetical protein DAPPUDRAFT_126746 [Daphnia pulic]
MSRSMKSLRVAWYKcILQDAFFTDIQTGSMITAIFSMVISLFTIATAVLDIYCLGMKPGVTHGYYIM
SFQFVVYVGNVRNNTLIVFALFSAIAJAAVALFVSTCILLLALKREIEKEMVFLPSAGYFVWRTIAIIFA
SVVNDMIFGYHATMCNLFWAFFVILGTLGQMWIVLVSILELSLDTKLLELALARMGTQSLNASVAHSLAGSRP
TTPHSTSTVQAQV

>gi|391326765|ref|XP_003737882.1| PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein
LOC100900661 [Metaseiulus occidentalis]
MGSRSVLNKTSSTIDSSRYKQRWYQRPFLNDAVTLNQLKGSIAIAYALIECLMCIILVVFIDYCL
EAQPGRHHRIPGIFSFLVYSGNHVRNTLIVTIVSVISIFGAMFLVTSQAQISALRKEQEARFPRWLYSM
AAPFTPVRFCIIFRISANDLYAHYHILMILLIWAALIFNGVAFGLVVLVSNQYELSDITRLDELARSKMGGS
TSVSRIVSHNSLDTFKNSVHHNSQFNSYNSRGVPSVSSVPQRLR