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ABSTRACT
Planar cell polarity (PCP) is a ubiquitous property of animal tissues and is essential for morphogenesis and homeostasis. In most cases, this fundamental property is governed by a deeply conserved set of ‘core PCP’ proteins, which includes the transmembrane proteins Van Gogh-like (Vangl) and Frizzled (Fzd), as well as the cytoplasmic effectors Prickle (Pk) and Dishevelled (Dvl). Asymmetric localization of these proteins is thought to be central to their function, and understanding the dynamics of these proteins is an important challenge in developmental biology. Among the processes that are organized by the core PCP proteins is the directional beating of cilia, such as those in the vertebrate node, airway and brain. Here, we exploit the live imaging capabilities of Xenopus to chart the progressive asymmetric localization of fluorescent reporters of Dvl1, Pk2 and Vangl1 in a planar polarized ciliated epithelium. Using this system, we also characterize the influence of Pk2 on the asymmetric dynamics of Vangl1 at the cell cortex, and we define regions of Pk2 that control its own localization and those impacting Vangl1. Finally, our data reveal a striking uncoupling of Vangl1 and Dvl1 asymmetry. This study advances our understanding of conserved PCP protein functions and also establishes a rapid, tractable platform to facilitate future in vivo studies of vertebrate PCP protein dynamics.
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INTRODUCTION
The polarization of cellular shape, structure and behavior across epithelial sheets is established by the action of the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway. Pioneering work in Drosophila revealed that the coordinated orientation of bristles and hairs throughout the body is governed by ‘core PCP’ genes (Adler, 1992; Gubh, 1993; Gubb and Garcia-Bellido, 1982), and this genetic module has since been associated with a diverse set of developmental processes spanning invertebrates to mammals. Some examples in vertebrates include polarized cell shape changes and rearrangements during gastrulation, axis elongation and neural tube closure, the polarized orientation of nodal cilia required during the establishment of left-right asymmetry, polarized beating of cilia on multiciliated cells (MCCs), and the polarization of cochlear mechanosensory stereocilia (Goodrich and Strutt, 2011; Gray et al., 2011; Wallingford, 2012).

A hallmark of planar polarized epithelia is the asymmetric localization of core PCP proteins in each cell. The core PCP genes include those encoding the transmembrane proteins Frizzled (Fz in Drosophila, Fzd in vertebrates), Van Gogh [Vang; or Strabismus (Stbm) in invertebrates, Vangl in vertebrates] and Flamingo [Fmi; or Starry night (Stan) in invertebrates, Celsr in vertebrates], as well as the intracellular proteins Dishevelled (Dsh; Dvl in vertebrates), Diego (Dgo; inversin in vertebrates) and Prickle (Pk) (Strutt, 2008). These proteins form complementary domains of enrichment at the level of apical junctions within the cells of planar polarized epithelia. In the Drosophila wing, for example, the localization of Fz and Dsh becomes restricted to the distal edge of the apical cell membrane, and these PCP complexes are mirrored at the proximal edge by accumulations of Vang and Pk (Axelrod, 2001; Bastock et al., 2003; Strutt, 2001; Strutt and Strutt, 2002). Both proximal and distal complexes associate with Fmi and, together, they pattern the orientation of wing hairs from high Fz levels in one cell towards low levels of Fz across shared junctions in the neighboring cells (Adler et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2008; Strutt and Strutt, 2008). The transmembrane proteins are sufficient to propagate PCP patterning signals between cells in a non-autonomous fashion, coordinating alignment, while the cytoplasmic members amplify intracellular asymmetry (Adler et al., 2000; Das et al., 2004; Jenny et al., 2003, 2005; Strutt and Strutt, 2007, 2008; Tree et al., 2002; Vinson and Adler, 2002; Wu and Mlodzik, 2008).

In the fly wing, core PCP proteins are initially trafficked apically and are symmetrically distributed at the level of cellular junctions. Transmembrane Fmi is thought to be subject to endocytic flux, and stability at the membrane is gradually increased over time in a Fz- and Vang-dependent manner, presumably as these core PCP complexes become associated with Fmi-Vang or Fmi-Fz pairs of a neighboring cell (Strutt et al., 2011). The preferential stabilization of Fmi-Vang pairs at proximal junctions and Fmi-Fz pairs at distal junctions has been suggested to involve an initial upstream bias that is then amplified via both positive- and negative-feedback loops (Amonlirdviman et al., 2005; Tree et al., 2002). These proposed feedback loops that amplify intracellular PCP asymmetry have been shown in Drosophila to involve a network of positive and negative interactions centered on the cytoplasmic core PCP members (Cho et al., 2015; Strutt and Strutt, 2007). In brief, Pk binds and inhibits the membrane localization of Dsh, but Dgo competes with Pk for Dsh binding, promoting the association of Dsh with Fz (Jenny et al., 2005; Tree et al., 2002). Pk also physically interacts with Vang, and this interaction leads to the clustering of Vang on the opposite side of the cell (Bastock et al., 2003; Jenny et al., 2003; Strutt et al., 2011). In addition, Pk mediates the internalization of Vang or Fmi-Vang molecules that are not associated with stable, patterned complexes (Cho et al., 2015). Because of the delicate balance required for asymmetry between these proteins, an intricate system of ubiquitylation and proteosomal degradation is in place to control the protein levels of core PCP components (Cho et al., 2015; Narimatsu et al., 2009; Strutt et al., 2013a,b), and overexpression or loss of function of one core PCP protein in a given cell is generally
sufficient to disrupt core PCP asymmetry and result in a loss or reversal of structural polarity (Adler et al., 2000; Bastock et al., 2003; Strutt and Strutt, 2007; Tree et al., 2002).

Consistent with data from Drsophila, asymmetry has also been observed for various core PCP proteins in MCCs of the mouse airway and brain ventricles (Guirao et al., 2010; Vladar et al., 2012), where these cells drive directional fluid flow that is essential for proper development and homeostasis (Brooks and Wallingford, 2014). Here, PCP proteins control the coordinated orientation of ciliary structures that interface with the apical cytoskeleton within each cell, thus aligning the cilia within these cells to establish polarized, synchronous beating; the term rotational polarity refers to these intracellular orientations of ciliary basal bodies (Wallingford, 2010). Furthermore, the asymmetric patterning of core PCP proteins allows for the alignment of cellular polarity between cells across entire tissues, and this intercellular tissue-wide polarity promotes strong, effective flow (Wallingford, 2010).

In vertebrates, core PCP proteins are encoded by families of related genes, including two Vangl, three Dvl and at least three Pk genes. Several examples of cell- and tissue-specific differences in protein localization and function have been observed for different vertebrate PCP family members in the context of these multiciliated epithelia. For example, Dvl2 is present at the basal bodies of MCCs but does not display cortical asymmetry, whereas Dvl1 and Dvl3 are not at basal bodies but rather are present at planar polarized cortical crescents (Park et al., 2008; Vladar et al., 2012). Additional examples of differences in the activity of related core PCP homologs are provided by the analysis of ciliary and cytoskeletal patterning throughout the multiciliated ependymal cells of various PCP mutant mice. The ciliary rotational polarity within cells appears to be disrupted in Celsr2 and Celsr3 mutants, while the tissue-wide coordination of mean directionality remains intact (Boutin et al., 2014). In stark contrast, Celsr1 mutant mice exhibited the seemingly opposite characteristics, with properly organized ciliary patches within cells that fail to orient in a coordinated fashion across cells throughout the tissue (Boutin et al., 2014). The increased complexity seen in vertebrate PCP warrants further study of individual core PCP family members and challenging any assumed redundancy in their activity.

Interestingly, MCCs are also present in the epidermis of developing amphibian embryos, and the relative ease of observing these epidermal MCCs has contributed to their application in the analysis of planar polarization for decades (König and Hausen, 1993; Twitty, 1928). Early studies of the role for PCP signaling in MCC directional beating were performed in these cells, prefiguring the work in mammals (Mitchell et al., 2009; Park et al., 2008). Asymmetric patterning of specific core PCP proteins in Xenopus epithelia (Fig. 1), corresponding to asymmetry in the direction upstream of flow (Fig. 1B). Mucociliary epithelia are composed of two principal cell types, namely MCCs and mucus-secreting goblet cells, and because the MCCs are separated from one another by intervening goblet cells, PCP signaling must be transmitted evenly across both cell types. It is notable, then, that we also observed asymmetric accumulations of Dvl1 in goblet cells (Fig. 1C).

RESULTS

Asymmetric patterning of specific core PCP proteins in Xenopus MCCs

A role for PCP proteins in the orientation of ciliary beating was first described in Xenopus epidermal MCCs (Mitchell et al., 2009; Park et al., 2008). Surprisingly, there are as yet no reports of asymmetric localization of PCP proteins at the cell cortex in these cells, although such localization has been reported for both airway and ependymal MCCs in mouse (Guirao et al., 2010; Vladar et al., 2012). Because vertebrate PCP proteins are encoded by multi-gene families, we surveyed the localization of GFP fusions to a wide range of Xenopus PCP proteins, using mosaic expression for accurate assessment of asymmetric localization. Whereas many previously studied Xenopus core PCP proteins localized symmetrically around the cell cortex, including Dvl2, Dvl3, Fzd7, Fzd8 and Vangl2, we found that Dvl1, Pk2, Vangl1 and Fzd6 displayed striking asymmetric localizations (Fig. 1; supplementary material Fig. S1). Transcripts for eight of these nine family members were identified in RNA-seq analyses of organotypic cultures of the Xenopus mucociliary epidermis, with only Fzd7 being absent (Chung et al., 2014).

In Xenopus embryos, fluid flow is directed across the epidermis from the dorsoanterior to ventroposterior direction (Fig. 1A). Accordingly, we observed punctate accumulations of Dvl1-GFP that were restricted to the dorsoanterior apical cell cortex of MCCs found here, corresponding to asymmetry in the direction upstream of flow (Fig. 1B). Mucociliary epithelia are composed of two principal cell types, namely MCCs and mucus-secreting goblet cells, and because the MCCs are separated from one another by intervening goblet cells, PCP signaling must be transmitted evenly across both cell types. It is notable, then, that we also observed asymmetric accumulations of Dvl1 in goblet cells (Fig. 1C). Comparable asymmetry appeared to occur in other intercalating cell types, such as ionocytes (Dubaisi and Papalopulu, 2011; Quigley et al., 2011) and small secretory cells (Dubaisi et al., 2014; Walentek et al., 2014), which were identifiable by their significantly smaller surface area and lack of cilia in regions where only intercalating cells had been labeled.

Typically, asymmetric Dvl co-accumulates with asymmetric Fzd at the cell cortex (Seifert and Mlodzik, 2007) and, indeed, Fzd6-GFP also localized to the dorsoanterior cell cortex (supplementary material Fig. S1A). In most planar polarized tissues, domains enriched for Dvl and Fzd are mirrored by complementary accumulations of Pk and Vangl (Seifert and Mlodzik, 2007), and we observed asymmetric accumulations of GFP-Pk2 (Fig. 1D,E) and GFP-Vangl1 (Fig. 1F,G) at the ventroposterior cell cortex in both MCCs and goblet cells. Moreover, co-expression of Dvl1-GFP and RFP-Pk2 revealed mutually exclusive domains of enrichment (Fig. 1H), and clear colocalization was observed for GFP-Pk2 and GFP-Vangl1 proteins (Fig. 1I). These observations of an Fzd family thymic epithelial cells, Dvl2 immunostaining shows symmetrical distributions at the apical junctions of cells regardless of its polarizing effects (Park et al., 2008). In fact, despite the promising capability of protein dynamics studies in this in vivo vertebrate system, there is as yet no report of planar polarized, apicilateral localization of core PCP proteins in the Xenopus epidermis. Here, we report that planar polarity of the Xenopus multiciliated epithelium is progressively patterned by the asymmetric localization of a subset of core PCP family members, and we go on to leverage the strengths of this Xenopus platform by performing quantitative analyses of subcellular dynamics that serve to dissect the role of Pk2 in the establishment of these asymmetric patterns.
member on the anterior cell face and Vangl at the posterior are consistent with previous functional studies of the domineering non-autonomy of PCP signaling in this tissue (Mitchell et al., 2009), although, on the other hand, it was surprising that their orientation relative to the direction of ciliary beating is reversed compared with that of MCCs in the mouse trachea (Vladar et al., 2012).

**Dynamics of the asymmetric localization of Pk2, Vangl1 and Dvl1**

Having identified useful reporters for PCP patterning in the *Xenopus* embryonic epidermis, we next sought to characterize the developmental dynamics of core PCP protein localization. MCCs are derived from a basal layer of progenitor cells and insert into the mucociliary epithelium at the early tailbud stage [around stage (St.) 22], after which cilia are assembled and polarization of ciliary beating is established progressively over the next several hours (until roughly St.30) (Billett and Gould, 1971; Drysdale and Elinson, 1992; König and Hausen, 1993). Prior to the insertion of MCCs, Dvl1-GFP decorated the apicolateral regions of goblet cells symmetrically and in a punctate fashion (Fig. 1J). During tailbud stages, as ciliogenesis is completed and the refinement of ciliary orientation begins, Dvl1-GFP asymmetry is present, although the degree and coordination of asymmetry is variable at this time. Dvl1-GFP asymmetry finally reaches a maximal level in both goblet cells and MCCs across the tissue around St.30 (Fig. 1K), a time at which ciliary basal body orientations are locked in place and flow has strengthened across the epithelium (König and Hausen, 1993; Mitchell et al., 2007; Werner et al., 2007).

GFP-Pk2 displayed similar dynamics to Dvl1-GFP, with asymmetric accumulations also apparent by St.24-25 that reach a maximum around St.30 (Fig. 1L,M), but with some notable differences. First, although GFP-Pk2 also localizes symmetrically to apical accumulations just prior to ciliogenesis, the punctate pattern observed for Dvl1-GFP was less prominent for GFP-Pk2 (Fig. 1L). Another interesting dissimilarity was the localization of GFP-Pk2 near ciliary basal bodies labeled with Centrin-RFP at later stages.
(supplementary material Fig. S1G). For the transmembrane protein Vangl1, localization of GFP fusions at early stages consisted primarily of cytoplasmic puncta, and labeling at the cell cortex was weak and diffuse at these stages (Fig. 1N). This pattern might reflect the vesicular transport proteins known to be important for the processing and trafficking of Vangl proteins (Guo et al., 2013; Merte et al., 2009; Yin et al., 2012). Both the cortical localization and asymmetry of these accumulations increased as development proceeded, with a timeframe similar to that for Dvl1 and Pk2 (Fig. 1O).

We quantified these dynamic localization patterns using the relative level of reporter fluorescence intensity at dorsoanterior and ventroposterior cell cortices, and mosaic labeling allowed us to score cells abutting unlabeled neighbors (‘PCP enrichment’; see supplementary material Fig. SSA and Materials and Methods). This metric demonstrates the degree to which asymmetry increased over time during PCP patterning for all three reporters examined (Fig. 1P–R). Interestingly, PCP enrichment increased along with increased coordination of fluid flow (König and Hausen, 1993), and asymmetries were not easily detectable at times corresponding to stages of initial MCC intercalations. Once MCCs have intercalated and expanded their apical surface, basal bodies are docked and serve as the foundations for cilia formation. Once these cilia are visibly projecting from the apical surface, the surrounding goblet cells can be seen exhibiting asymmetric patterns that are readily detectible by eye, although no such patterns are easily discernible in the MCCs. This result suggests that the initial rotational polarity of basal bodies that is in place at the onset of ciliogenesis, which clearly depends upon intact Dvl2 function (Mitchell et al., 2007), might not be reliant upon intracellular PCP asymmetry, but rather that directional information is imparted by the surrounding tissue. However, it has also been reported that polarity information can be relayed in the absence of detectible asymmetry in the fly wing (Strutt and Strutt, 2007), so perhaps asymmetric stability or activity in the absence of clear asymmetric localization might be present in these early, maturing MCCs.

**Interplay of cortical asymmetric localization of Pk2 and Dvl1**

We next explored the interplay between Pk2 and Dvl1 during asymmetric localization, as antagonistic interactions between homologs of these proteins are fundamental to amplifying visually detectable intracellular asymmetry in other contexts (Strutt and Strutt, 2007), yet have never been examined for these particular family members or in this tissue. We first determined the effect of the well-characterized and PCP-specific dominant-negative Dvl2-ΔPDZ_{partial} (Xdd1) (Sokol, 1996), shown to negatively affect PCP signaling in a variety of contexts, including in MCCs (Park et al., 2008; Wallingford et al., 2000). Expression of Dvl2-ΔPDZ_{partial} significantly disrupted the normal ventroposterior restriction of Pk2-GFP (Fig. 2A–C), demonstrating that asymmetric Pk2 localization is dependent upon the ability of the cell to adopt a planar polarized state. We then performed the complementary experiment by reducing Pk2 levels using an antisense morpholino (Pk2-MO#1) that disrupts splicing of pk2 (supplementary material Fig. S2A). Whereas discrete crescents of Dvl1-GFP accumulation were normally oriented in the dorsoanterior direction in controls (Fig. 2D), Pk2 knockdown significantly reduced this asymmetric enrichment (Fig. 2E,F), and a second morpholino targeting an alternate splicing sequence provided similar results (supplementary material Fig. S2A–C). Together with the observed progressive asymmetric localization (Fig. 1), these data demonstrate the efficacy and veracity of our GFP reporters for core PCP protein localization in this tissue. We then used these novel reporters to address outstanding questions in vertebrate PCP signaling.

**Role of the PCP effectors Intu and Wdpcp in Pk2 protein localization**

First, we assessed the role of the ‘PCP effector’ proteins in the patterning of polarity complexes, as the role of these proteins in PCP signaling remain contentious. First identified as planar polarity proteins by genetic screens in *Drosophila* (Collier et al., 2005; Gubb and Garcia-Bellido, 1982), Intum and Fritz were placed genetically downstream of core PCP protein function (Collier et al., 2005; Lee and Adler, 2002; Wong and Adler, 1993), although a recent paper suggests that Fritz overexpression can influence core PCP protein localization in *Drosophila* (Wang et al., 2014). Curiously, the vertebrate orthologs (Intu and Wdpcp/Fritz) were found to control ciliogenesis, first in the *Xenopus* epidermis (Kim et al., 2010; Park et al., 2006) and later in mice (Cui et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2010). Intu apparently plays only a modest role in PCP-mediated processes such as convergent extension (Park et al., 2006), whereas Wdpcp is essential for both convergent extension in *Xenopus* (Kim et al., 2010) and planar polarization of cochlear hair cells in mouse (Cui et al., 2013). We performed knockdown of Wdpcp and Intu using morpholinos that have been validated by genetic studies in mice (Cui et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2010; Park et al., 2006; Zeng et al., 2010). Wdpcp knockdown strongly disrupted the planar polarized localization of Pk2, whereas Intu knockdown had a far more modest, although still significant, effect (Fig. 3A–C). These data reveal an important role for Wdpcp in the control of core PCP protein asymmetry in vertebrates, the mechanism of which will be important to determine.

**Role of Pk2 in the control of asymmetric cortical Vangl1 dynamics**

The next question we chose to address concerns the interplay between Pk2 and Vangl1. In *Drosophila*, Pk physically interacts
with and clusters Vang at the apicolateral membrane, and this behavior promotes Vang accumulation on the proximal side of cells in the wing epithelium (Bastock et al., 2003; Jenny et al., 2003). Although both are implicated in vertebrate PCP (Liu et al., 2014; Song et al., 2010; Takeuchi et al., 2003; Torban et al., 2008; Vladar et al., 2012), Vang1 and Pk2 remain relatively seldom studied, and it is unknown in vertebrates if either Pk1 or Pk2 is required for the normal localization of Vang1 or Vang2 protein. We found that Pk2 knockdown eliminated the asymmetric accumulation of GFP-Vang1 at the ventroposterior cell cortex (Fig. 4A,B), whereas, conversely, Pk2 overexpression increased Vang1 apicolateral enrichment to such a degree that accumulations were no longer restricted to ventroposterior regions but were enriched in other areas around the cell periphery (Fig. 4A,C). Additional analysis confirmed that Pk2 knockdown disrupts asymmetry by suppressing the enrichment of Vang1 at the cortical regions of the cell (supplementary material Fig. S3B) and, together, these observations are consistent with a previously defined role for Pk in the clustering of Vang (Bastock et al., 2003; Cho et al., 2015; Strutt and Strutt, 2007). We conclude that Pk2 promotes cortical Vang1 concentration, and that an excess of Pk2 promotes further GFP-Vang1 enrichment that becomes increasingly unrestricted from the ventroposterior cell face. In the reciprocal experiment, overexpression of Vang1 showed a clear reduction in the planar polarized, cortical accumulation of GFP-Pk2 (supplementary material Fig. S4A-C), while enrichments of Dvl1-GFP were still present but not asymmetrically polarized (supplementary material Fig. S4A-C). In light of previous evidence that Vang participates in the control of Pk protein levels (Strutt et al., 2013b), our results suggest that Vang1 overexpression might be leading to increased levels of Pk2 degradation, which in turn leads to a reduction in Pk2 and to associated Dvl1 patterning defects.

Given the demonstrated effects of Pk2 on the asymmetric enrichment of Vang1, we next asked if Pk2 influences the dynamics of Vang1 turnover at the cell cortex. Indeed, asymmetric enrichment of Fzd is driven in part by differences in Fzd turnover at distinct locations along the cell cortex (Strutt et al., 2011), and we wanted to test whether a similar mechanism might act on Vang1. We measured fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) of GFP-Vang1. In control embryos, enriched regions at the ventroposterior cortex contained a significantly larger stable fraction of GFP-Vang1 when compared with the less enriched dorsoanterior cortex (Fig. 4E; supplementary material Fig. S5C,D). This result was evident in the ~30% increase in normalized fluorescence recovery at the latter (Fig. 4E). This difference was potently extinguished by Pk2 knockdown, in which case both dorsoanterior and ventroposterior cortical regions contained similarly unstable fractions of GFP-Vang1 (Fig. 4E). In the complementary experiment, overexpression of Pk2 had the opposite effect, eliciting increased stability of GFP-Vang1 on both sides of the cell (Fig. 4E). Comparison of these measurements with the maximum intensities prior to bleaching (Fig. 4F) reveals that areas more highly enriched for GFP-Vang1 were indeed less dynamic. These data complement the previous studies of Dsh-dependent Fz stabilization in Drosophila (Strutt et al., 2011), not only by extending the work to a vertebrate epithelium, but also by demonstrating that asymmetric protein turnover is an attribute of core PCP protein localization on the Vangl/Pk side of the cell.
Structure/function analysis of Pk2

As the role for Pk2 in the control of core PCP dynamics became clear, we sought to determine the structural components of the protein necessary for eliciting the observed polarized behaviors. Pk2 contains three domains that are conserved in vertebrate Pk protein family members and in Pk proteins across species (Fig. 5A). These include the PET and LIM domains, as well as the C2 domain, which is populated by many serines and basic amino acids near the C-terminus of the protein (Jenny et al., 2003; Tree et al., 2002). The roles for various domains of vertebrate Pk proteins in PCP-dependent processes have been assessed (Daulat et al., 2011; Jenny et al., 2003; Lin and Gubb, 2009; Takeuchi et al., 2003), but how these roles relate to PCP protein localization remains unknown in vertebrates. We therefore constructed three Pk2 deletion constructs corresponding to previously described versions of Xenopus Pk1 (Takeuchi et al., 2003) and Drosophila Pk (Jenny et al., 2003); we then assessed both their localizations and their effects on the localization of Vangl1 and Dvl1.

We first examined GFP fusions to a deletion of the PET domain and a combined deletion lacking both the PET and LIM domains. Strikingly, both GFP-Pk2-ΔPET and Pk2-ΔPETΔLIM were enriched ventroposteriorly (Fig. 5B,C). Although this polarization for GFP-Pk2-ΔPET was not as robust as that of full-length GFP-Pk2 (Fig. 5E), it was remarkably similar and suggests that neither the PET nor LIM domain is strictly required for proper Pk2 asymmetric localization. Despite their similar localizations, however, these two constructs had divergent effects on Vangl1 when expressed at high levels. Pk2-ΔPETΔLIM severely perturbed not only the asymmetry...
of Vangl1, but also its association with the cell cortex (Fig. 5F), comparable to the effects of Pk2 knockdown (supplementary material Fig. S3C). By contrast, both cortical recruitment and asymmetry of Vangl1 were intact after overexpression of Pk2-PETΔC2 (Fig. 5C). By contrast, both cortical recruitment and comparable to the effects of Pk2 knockdown (supplementary material Fig. S3C). By contrast, both cortical recruitment and associated mean vector length of MCCs under various experimental conditions. Each arrow represents a measure of the orientations of a single MCC, with increased length correlated with less variation between basal bodies within each MCC. Dark and light arrowheads represent data from separate experimental repeats, with each experiment involving measures from at least five fields from at least three embryos each. The combined data are displayed for control (B, n=2113 orientations, 31 cells), Pk2-MO#1 knockdown (C, n=1556 orientations, 32 cells), Pk2-MO#2 knockdown (D, n=1057 orientations, 18 cells), Pk2 overexpression (E, n=2426 orientations, 34 cells), Pk2-PETΔC2 overexpression (F, n=3122 orientations, 35 cells), Pk2-PETΔC2LIM overexpression (G, n=1941 orientations, 34 cells), Pk2-PETΔC2 overexpression (H, n=2275 orientations, 33 cells), Vangl1 overexpression (I, n=2843 orientations, 35 cells), Dvl1 overexpression (J, n=2086 orientations, 37 cells), Dvl1-ΔPDZΔpartial overexpression (K, n=2797 orientations, 36 cells) and Dvl2-ΔPDZΔpartial overexpression (L, n=2177 orientations, 32 cells) conditions. (M) The mean resultant vector length from the combined measurements for all MCCs across all embryos from both experimental treatments, serving as an overall metric for PCP disruption. (N-P) Stills from the end of movies showing the traces of beads carried by flow across the epidermis of St.31 X. laevis embryos that were uninjected (N) or were injected with either Pk2-MO (O) or a high dose of vangl1 mRNA (P). (Q) Quantification of the flow rate from five beads traced in the movies of which the final frame is shown in N-P. Error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bars: 1 mm.

Lastly, we determined the effects of our Pk2 deletions on Dvl1 localization. In Drosophila, overexpression of solely the PET and LIM domains together has been suggested to inhibit the membrane association of Dsh (Tree et al., 2002), and the C2 domain that binds Dgo is likely to be important in the competition between Dgo and Pk for Dsh binding (Jenny et al., 2005). Strikingly, we found that Dvl1 remained polarized in the presence of any of the three Pk2 deletion constructs (Fig. 5J-M), although the degree of polarization was modestly reduced in some cases.

Effects of PCP manipulation on ciliary polarity
To determine how the various PCP patterning defects we observed affect structural and thus functional polarity in this epithelium, we assessed ciliary orientation using a CLAMP-GFP (CLAMP-GFP is also known as spef1 – Xenbase) ciliary rootlet marker and Centrin 4-RFP basal body marker (Park et al., 2008). Under control conditions, CLAMP-GFP localized to the dorsoanterior side of basal bodies with little variance, as expected because striated rootlets are oriented oppositely to the direction of the effective stroke (Fig. 6A). As previously used to provide ciliary orientation data (Mitchell et al., 2009), we present the state of basal body polarity using a single arrow for each individual cell in a circular diagram; arrows point in the mean direction of the effective ciliary

Fig. 6. Disrupting PCP patterning leads to structural defects in basal body polarity. (A) Apical intercellular region of a single MCC labeled with CLAMP-GFP and Centrin-RFP, which when combined display the orientation of cilia with respect to the direction of ciliary beating (CLAMP points in the opposite direction). (B-L) Plots displaying the mean basal body orientation and associated mean vector length of MCCs under various experimental conditions. Each arrow represents a measure of the orientations of a single MCC, with increased length correlated with less variation between basal bodies within each MCC. Dark and light arrowheads represent data from separate experimental repeats, with each experiment involving measures from at least five fields from at least three embryos each. The combined data are displayed for control (B, n=2113 orientations, 31 cells), Pk2-MO#1 knockdown (C, n=1556 orientations, 32 cells), Pk2-MO#2 knockdown (D, n=1057 orientations, 18 cells), Pk2 overexpression (E, n=2426 orientations, 34 cells), Pk2-PETΔC2 overexpression (F, n=3122 orientations, 35 cells), Pk2-PETΔC2LIM overexpression (G, n=1941 orientations, 34 cells), Pk2-PETΔC2 overexpression (H, n=2275 orientations, 33 cells), Vangl1 overexpression (I, n=2843 orientations, 35 cells), Dvl1 overexpression (J, n=2086 orientations, 37 cells), Dvl1-ΔPDZΔpartial overexpression (K, n=2797 orientations, 36 cells) and Dvl2-ΔPDZΔpartial overexpression (L, n=2177 orientations, 32 cells) conditions. (M) The mean resultant vector length from the combined measurements for all MCCs across all embryos from both experimental treatments, serving as an overall metric for PCP disruption. (N-P) Stills from the end of movies showing the traces of beads carried by flow across the epidermis of St.31 X. laevis embryos that were uninjected (N) or were injected with either Pk2-MO (O) or a high dose of vangl1 mRNA (P). (Q) Quantification of the flow rate from five beads traced in the movies of which the final frame is shown in N-P. Error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bars: 1 mm.
strokes, and the length of the arrow represents the mean resultant vector, a measure of variation from the mean orientation within that cell. The majority of cells under control conditions were oriented in the ventro posterior direction, with little variation in the individual orientations observed (Fig. 6B). With an obvious exception for Pk2-PET overexpression, all manipulations that were used to assess the molecular interactions affecting PCP protein enrichment resulted in clear defects in ciliary orientation (Fig. 6C-J). The exception for Pk2-PET overexpression is significant because this reagent also did not disrupt the asymmetry of other core PCP proteins (Fig. 5), although this result is dissimilar to that of a previous report of Pk2-PET overexpression disrupting fly wing hair polarity (Lin and Gubb, 2009). In addition, because Dvl1 and Dvl2 displayed divergent localizations at the cell cortex and basal bodies, respectively, we tested the effects of overexpressing Dvl1ΔPDZpartial, a Dvl1 construct analogous to the Dvl2ΔPDZpartial deletion of Dvl2 (Mitchell et al., 2009; Park et al., 2008; Sokol, 1996). Similarly to Dvl2ΔPDZpartial, this resulted in a strong perturbation of ciliary polarity (Fig. 6K,L).

Comparing the mean vector length of individual cells between Pk2 misexpression conditions and Vangl1 overexpression reveals a difference in the type of polarity defects observed, with seemingly more defective rotational polarity for the former and tissue-wide polarity for the latter. This interpretation is further supported not only by previous characterization of Vangl2 in this respect (Mitchell et al., 2009), but also by a closer examination of Vangl1 overexpression at the edge of clones, where basal bodies tend to orient their striated rootlets towards higher Vangl1 levels irrespective of their orientation relative to the body axis (supplementary material Fig. S4B). As would be expected from the observed defects in ciliary orientation upon disrupting core PCP patterning, the resulting strength and directionality of flow at the surface of the embryo were severely impaired upon Pk2 morpholino knockdown and Vangl1 overexpression (Fig. 6N-Q; supplementary material Movies 1-3).

**DISCUSSION**

Understanding the dynamics of PCP patterning events in vivo provides potential insights into the function and interactions of the molecular players involved, yet studies in vertebrates have been limited in this respect. Here, we describe the action of useful reporters for in vivo imaging of the dynamic localization of vertebrate core PCP proteins in *Xenopus*, further supplementing this established rapid and tractable vertebrate PCP model. The observed behavior of symmetrically distributed PCP complexes later resolving into asymmetric accumulations is reminiscent of early PCP patterning events in the fly wing (Seifert and Mlodzik, 2007; Strutt and Strutt, 2009; Strutt et al., 2011). In addition, there appears to be a common theme of increasing asymmetry coinciding with increasing polarized cellular behaviors. Here, core PCP protein asymmetry reflects oriented cilia beating, and in *Drosophila* wings the degree of aligned asymmetry of core PCP complexes increases following hinge contraction and reaches a maximum level just prior to trichome formation (Aigouy et al., 2010; Wong and Adler, 1993). Despite this and other behavioral similarities, these reporters also highlight important differences between planar polarized tissues and proteins encoded by distinct members of PCP gene families. For example, we previously reported that Dvl2 localizes to basal bodies in MCCs (Park et al., 2008), and here we find that Dvl1 localizes to asymmetric cortical crescents, a situation similar to that reported in the mouse airway (Vladar et al., 2012). Similarly, we find that although Vangl2 is cortically localized in this tissue it remains symmetrical, whereas Vangl1 becomes progressively planar polarized.

A second notable finding in this respect concerns the relationship between PCP protein asymmetry and the direction of ciliary beating. We find that Pk2-Vangl1 complexes are enriched on the ‘downstream’ side of the cell and are thus aligned in the direction of the effective stroke, which is consistent with previous functional studies suggesting that Fzd points ‘upstream’ of flow (Mitchell et al., 2009). This situation is exactly the opposite of that found in MCCs of the mammalian airway and ependyma, where Pk and/or Vangl mark the ‘upstream’ face of the cell (Guirao et al., 2010; Vladar et al., 2012). This argues against there being an intrinsic link between cortical enrichment of core PCP protein complexes and basal body orientation. This notion is consistent with the decoupling of Pk2 localization and kinocilia positioning in the mammalian inner ear (Deans et al., 2007), but it is nonetheless curious in light of the known localization of core PCP proteins to basal bodies (Park et al., 2008; Vladar et al., 2012) and the requirement of intact PCP signaling for asymmetry of the MCC microtubule cytoskeleton (Vladar et al., 2012).

Another related observation of note is the basal body localization of Pk2 that we found, which is not shown in the marine airway (Vladar et al., 2012). The potential limitations of using the synthetic GFP protein fusions necessary for live imaging and the chance of mislocalization should not be ignored, although our particular finding that GFP-Pk2 localizes to basal bodies several stages after ciliogenesis is supported by Pk3 being identified as asymmetrically associating with the more ‘mature’ mother centrioles (not daughter centrioles) during cell divisions in U-2 OS cells, as well as with the axoneme-associated mother centriole in ciliated hTERT-RPE1 cells (Jakobsen et al., 2011). Determining if Pk2 localization near basal bodies marks or mediates a state in which ciliary orientations are ‘locked’ in place could provide additional insight into the function of this protein in planar polarization.

How the core planar polarity module interfaces with proteins such as Intu and Wdpcp in vertebrates remains an important question. These proteins have been described as ‘PCP effectors’ in the fly wing, yet have a wide range of PCP phenotypes, from strong to mild to no phenotype, in vertebrates depending on the protein in question and the developmental context (Cui et al., 2013; Gray et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010; Park et al., 2006; Zeng et al., 2010). Further complicating matters is a recent report that both fritz overexpression and fuzzy/inturned co-overexpression can effect the patterning of core PCP patterns (Wang et al., 2014), as these genes have been considered to be downstream based on epistasis experiments (Wong and Adler, 1993) and other genetic studies (Collier et al., 2005; Lee and Adler, 2002). However, Inturned overexpression alone did not produce a significant phenotype in that study (Wang et al., 2014), consistent with previous data showing normal Fz localization in inturned mutants (Strutt, 2001), a mild convergent extension phenotype upon morpholino knockdown in *Xenopus* gastrulating mesoderm (Park et al., 2006), and only a modest effect of Intu-MO treatment on PCP patterning as shown here. By contrast, Wdpcp knockdown severely inhibits PCP-dependent convergent extension in *Xenopus* (Kim, 2010; Shindo, 2014), consistent with the robust effect on the polarized localization of Pk2 that we observed. These data argue that Wdpcp might function independently of Intu in the control of PCP. Intriguingly, loss of Wdpcp in mice is associated with loss of cortical Vangl2 (Cui et al., 2013).

Additionally, our data shed new light on how mechanisms and relationships identified in *Drosophila* translate to vertebrate PCP signaling, specifically in a multiciliated epithelia consisting of multilayered, heterogeneous populations of cells shaped by families of PCP proteins acting at multiple levels. In particular, we expand
upon relationships established between Vang and Pk (Bastock et al., 2003) and localization dynamics of Fz dependent upon Dsh (Strutt et al., 2011) to verify that Pk has an analogous effect on Vang localization dynamics. Beyond this, we demonstrate that the activity of the Pk2 LIM domain is likely to be important for this Vangl-enriching behavior, and we also identify the C2 region as crucial for the asymmetric cortical localization of Pk2 itself. The fact that Dvl1 remained asymmetric under conditions when Vangl asymmetry was lost is of particular interest because scenarios in which one core PCP protein is noticeably asymmetric while others are not are extremely rare, a finding that could potentially be attributed to the increased complexity of vertebrate PCP and lack of similar analysis in vertebrate tissues in general. However, data from Drosophila cells argue that polarization can occur through Fmi/Celsr and Fz even in the absence of Vang and obvious asymmetric enrichments (Struhl et al., 2012; Strutt and Strutt, 2007). The normal localization of Dv11 in the face of mislocalized Vangl1 and/or Pk2 could in part be explained by communication from neighboring cells not expressing Pk2 deletions in our mosaic embryos, which would provide further support for Fz/Dsh being the more ‘dominant’ module in comparison to Vangl/Pk (Struhl et al., 2012; Strutt and Strutt, 2007). In addition to providing localization-altering behaviors in the context of previously reported dominant-negative activity (Takeuchi et al., 2003; Lin and Gubb, 2009), our results provide a cell biological context for previous biochemical data indicating that PET domain function is regulated via intramolecular physical interactions with the LIM domains (Sweede et al., 2008).

Ultimately, this work provides a foundation for future studies into the role of vertebrate Pk proteins, and thus might help to elucidate the etiology of Pk-associated human pathologies, such as epilepsy, congenital birth defects and, possibly, autism (Okumura et al., 2014; Sowers et al., 2013; Tao et al., 2011; Wen et al., 2010).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid and morpholino design

We designed the splice-blocking Pk2 morpholino oligonucleotide based on the longest mRNA sequence obtained from the UTexas Oktoberfest gene models available from Xenbase.org. The chosen splice-blocking Pk2-MO#1 (GATTGGACAAAGGATTCTCACCTA) is complementary to the region spanning the 3’ end of exon 4 and the 5’ end of intron 4 of sequence JGLv6.00001437_26644_262472 and was prepared by GeneTools. The second splicing morpholino Pk2-MO#2 (GAACCCAAACAAACAC-TTACCTGTT) is complementary to the region spanning the 3’ end of exon 3 and 5’ end of intron 3.

The primers used for cloning the pk2 coding sequence into Pk2 CS107-GFP using Std and NdeI are listed in supplementary material Table S1. The remaining gene sequences were cloned into Gateway entry vectors using the Invitrogen pENTR/D-TOPO cloning system for recombination of coding sequences into pCSDest GFP or RFP vectors; the pk2, dv11, dv13, fd6 and vangl1 sequence sources and primers are listed in supplementary material Table S1.

Pk2 deletions were amplified by fusing two PCR products generated with the above as template and primers ΔPET-1/2, ΔPETALIM-1/2 and AC2-1/2 as listed in supplementary material Table S1. These fusions resulted in the removal of amino acids 77-176 for Pk2-ΔPET-1/2 and 77-382 for Pk2-ΔPETALIM and 734-827 for Pk2-ΔC2.

Dv11-ΔPDZ_partial was amplified by fusing two PCR products generated with the above as template and the primers listed in supplementary material Table S1. This fusion resulted in the removal of amino acids 300-380.

Xenopus manipulations

Embryos were obtained and externally fertilized according to standard protocols (Sive et al., 2000). Developmental stages were determined according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (1967). For Pk2 morpholino treatments, 20-25 ng was injected into one cell at the 16-cell stage for mosaic analysis or 30-35 ng at the 4-cell stage, depending on the experiment, and Pk2 was overexpressed by injecting either 600-700 pg or up to 1 ng mRNA similarly. About 22 ng was injected for both Wdpcp and Intu morpholinos. Core PCP fluorescent protein fusion mRNA was injected into one of 16 or 32 cells along with ~50 pg memRFP mRNA, with ~20-30 pg for Dvl1-GFP, Dvl2-GFP and Dvl3-GFP, ~60-80 pg for Fzd8-GFP, Fzd7-GFP and Fzd8-GFP, ~150 pg for GFP/RFP-Pk2, and ~50-60 pg for GFP-Vangl1 and GFP-Vangl2. Pk2 deletion constructs were injected at 125-150 pg for localization of GFP-fused constructs and 700-800 pg for overexpression of non-GFP-fused constructs at the 16-cell stage. This amount was increased to 1 ng at the 4-cell stage for the determination of effects on ciliary orientation. For Vangl1 and Dvl1-APDZ_partial constructs injected at the 4-cell stage, 880 pg was introduced. Centrin-RFP, CLAMP-GFP and GFP-Pk2 were co-injected into one of four cells at 60 pg, 60 pg and 150 pg, respectively.

Live imaging and confocal image quantification

All imaging was performed using live embryos gently sandwiched between coverglasses using silicon glue as an adhesive spacer and carried out with a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope. Images were processed with the Fiji distribution of ImageJ (NIH), Imaris (Bitplane) and Photoshop (Adobe) software suites, and figures were assembled in Illustrator (Adobe).

For PCP enrichment measures, freehand lines (3-5 pixels wide, depending on image zoom) were drawn over dorsoanterior and ventroposterior memRFP-labeled cell membranes with unlabeled neighbors on images in the Fiji distribution of ImageJ. Intensity measurements were then taken from these areas as well as from the GFP-PCP protein channel at the same area drawn. PCP enrichment was calculated by taking the natural log of the final value obtained after dividing the dorsoanterior measurements by the ventroposterior measurements, both of which were normalized values of PCP-GFP average intensity divided by the memRFP intensity at the same region. Statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism software with Mann-Whitney tests for significance.

For FRAP analysis, time-lapse movies were acquired after simultaneously photobleaching anterior and posterior membrane domains of core PCP-GFP fusions under control conditions and after the above described Pk2 overexpression and morpholino knockdown manipulations. Intensity measurements were performed in Fiji, similarly to those for PCP enrichment, with recordings for each time point taken individually from each frame captured at bleached regions and normalized as detailed by Goldman et al. (2005). Recovery trendlines were calculated and plotted in GraphPad Prism software with one phase exponential association curve fitting. Ciliary orientations were determined manually in Fiji, and mean polarity vectors and mean vector lengths were calculated with the CircStat Matlab circular statistics toolbox (Berens, 2009) before values were plotted with Oriana software (Kovach Computing Services).

For the assessment of flow across the epidermis, embryos were placed on modeling clay submerged in 1/3 modified Marc’s Ringer Solution (Sive et al., 2000), and latex beads were loaded into the medium. Time-lapse imaging was performed using a Zeiss Axio Zoom.V16 stereoimicroscope and associated Zen software. Movies were processed in Photoshop (Adobe), and bead traces were performed manually with Fiji.
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Fig. S1. Alternate Core PCP family members differentially localize in the *Xenopus* epidermis. (A-F) Mosaically-labeled cells in stage 31 embryos labeled with PCP proteins fused to GFP and membraneRFP and surrounded by unlabeled neighbors. Frizzled-6 (A) displays asymmetric localization while Frizzled-7 (B), Frizzled-8 (C), Dishevelled-2 (D), Dishevelled-3 (E), and Vangl2 (F) all decorate the cortex in a symmetrical fashion. Scale represents 10μm. (G) GFP-Pk2 assumes a polarized localization near basal bodies labeled with Centrin-RFP after the finalization of basal orientation refinement (St.35 shown). Note that this cell is surrounded by similarly labeled cells, making the Pk2 cortical asymmetry unapparent. Box in (G) demarcates the area magnified in (G’). Scale bar represents 10μm.
Fig. S2. Pk2 morpholinos reduce Pk2 mRNA levels, resulting in a reduction of Dvl1 and Vangl1 asymmetry. (A) RT-PCR results for the amplification of a control, ornithine decarboxylase (ODC), and morpholino-targeted Pk2 sequence from cDNA of embryos that were either uninjected or injected with Pk2 morpholino #1 or #2 into 4 of 4 cells, demonstrating a significant reduction of only the Pk2 PCR product in morpholino-injected embryos. (B) Cells in stage 31 embryos labeled with PCP proteins fused to GFP and membraneRFP with an included dose of Pk2 morpholino #2, showing similar effects to Pk2 morpholino #1 shown in Fig.s 2 and 4. Scale bars represent 10μm. (C) Graph depicting changes in localization of Vangl1 and Dvl1 caused by Pk2 knockdown with a second Pk2 morpholino (Pk2-MO#2) targeting an alternative splicing site from the first. PCP enrichment is significantly reduced for both GFP-Vangl1 (p<0.0001***), and Dvl1-GFP (p<0.0001***). Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean.
Fig. S3. GFP-Vangl1 Enrichment is abolished upon Pk2 MO knockdown and Pk2-ΔPETΔLIM overexpression. (A) Schematic of quantification method of data presented in (B,C). Either the average cortical fluorescence intensity or the average intensity of the remaining central area within each cell was
divided by the average fluorescence intensity of the total apical surface area (both regions combined). Scale represents 10μm. (B) Quantification data showing a significant loss of cortical enrichment of GFP-Vangl1 upon Pk2-MO knockdown. Each dot represents one cell, and each cell has both a Central and a Cortical quotient presented with the total apical fluorescence measure as the divisor. Combined, these two measurements are highly significant from another in the control (n= 123) and Pk2-OE (n=63) conditions (p<0.0001*** but not significant for Pk2-MO knockdown (n=94) (p=0.2854). Error bars indicate Standard deviation of the mean. (C) Quantification data showing a significant loss of cortical enrichment of GFP-Vangl1 upon overexpression of Pk2-ΔPETLIM. Each dot represents one cell, and each cell has both a Central and a Cortical measurement as presented in (B). Combined, these two measurements are highly significant from another in the Pk2-ΔPET (n= 85) and Pk2-ΔC2 (n= 84) conditions (p<0.0001*** but not significant for Pk2-ΔPETLIM (n=58) (p=0.1519). Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean.
Fig. S4. Vangl1 Overexpression leads to PCP patterning and nonautonomous tissue-level polarity defects. (A) Cells in stage 31 embryos labeled with PCP proteins fused to GFP and membraneRFP as well as overexpressing Vangl1 display loss of Dvl1-GFP asymmetry and reduction in GFP-Pk2 cortical enrichment. Scale bars represent 10μm. (B) Graph depicting changes in PCP Enrichment of Pk2 and Dvl1 caused by Vangl1 overexpression (V1-OE). PCP enrichment is significantly reduced in cells overexpressing Vangl1 (n= 60 for Pk2, n= 55 for Dvl1) in comparison to controls for both GFP-Pk2 (n= 64) (p<0.0001*** and Dvl1-GFP (n=243) (p<0.0001***). Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean. (C) Quantification data showing a significant loss of cortical enrichment of GFP-Pk2 but not Dvl1-GFP upon Vangl1 overexpression (V1-OE). Each dot represents one cell, and each cell has both a Central and a Cortical measurement presented as divided by the total apical fluorescence (See Fig. S3A for quantification schematic). These Central and Cortical measurements are highly significant from one another in both Pk (n= 33) and Dvl1 (n=6) control (p<0.0001***), Dvl1 with V1-OE (n= 53, p<0.0001***), and Pk2 with V1-OE (n= 53, p=0.0002***) conditions, but only in the case of GFP-Pk2 in V1-OE embryos is the mean Cortical enrichment less than the Central measure. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean. (D) Two multiciliated cells situated at the edge of a clone labeled with Centrin-RFP and CLAMP-GFP and overexpressing Vangl1, with cell (i) outlined in blue situated above unlabeled cells and cell (ii) outlined in orange situated below. Magnification of boxed areas and quantification of ciliary orientations and mean polarity vector for each cell demonstrate the tendency for striated rootlets (opposite the effective stroke) to be oriented towards higher Vangl1 levels (towards labeled cells and away from unlabeled cells) (n= 95 orientations for i, n= 124 orientations in ii).
Fig. S5. Supplement for measures and quantifications. (A) Equation used and an example for calculating PCP Enrichment (GFP-Pk2 and memRFP shown). (B) Plot of enrichment values for dorsoanterior and the complementary ventroposterior membraneRFP measurements in consideration.
without the associated GFP PCP fusion measurements for all PCP Enrichment measurements in presented in Fig.s 1-5. A value of 0 represents no difference in intensity. n=5,394. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean. (C) Demonstrations of typical shape and orientation of regions bleached and measured for intensity recovery quantifications shown in Fig. 4E and key for (D) below. Scale bar represents 10 µm. (D) Combined trendlines for data presented in Fig. 4E for a more direct comparison of recovery trends.
Supplementary Movie 1

Time-lapse movie of latex beads flowing across the epidermis of a control, uninjected stage 31 *Xenopus laevis* embryo.

Supplementary Movie 2

Time-lapse movie of latex beads flowing across the epidermis of a stage 31 *Xenopus laevis* embryo injected with Pk2 morpholino (#1).
Supplementary Movie 3

Time-lapse movie of latex beads flowing across the epidermis of a stage 31 *Xenopus laevis* embryo overexpressing Vangl1.
Table S1. Primers (5′-3′)

**Pk2 CS107-GFP**
ATAGGCTATGTTTAACCGGAGCTCTGGACACAAGGCTTC and
ATGCGGCCGCCTAGGAGATGATGCAATTTTTGCTTTTTCGCCCTTT

**Pk2 for RFP-Pk2**
CACCATTCTAACCAGGAGCTCTGGACACAAGGCTTC and
CTAGGAGATGATGCAATTTTTGCTTTTTCGCCCTTTCTG

**Dvl1 (GenBank BC074103.1)**
CACCATGGCTGAGACCAAAATCATCTACCATATAGATGAA and
CATGATGTCAACAAAGAATTCTCAACAGGCTTCCCCA

**Dvl3 (NCBI ref NM_001092629.1)**
CACCATGGGGGAGACCAAGGTCATCTACCACCTGGATGAA and
AACACCCAGAATTTCTGGATAACATTCCACAAAGAATCA

**Fzd6 (JGIv7b.000063404_134470-165935+)**
CACCATGGATCTGATTGGCTGCTGCCTCCAAGCTCCGAGC and
CGGACTTGTCGTATTAATATTTGTCAGTTGGCATGG

**Vangl1 (JGIv7b.000169011_589431-599179+)**
CACCATGGACACGGAATCCAACATCGGGATATTCACAT and
TCACAGGTGTCTCAGGTGGTCTACTCAACATGAGACGA

**ΔPET-1**
AGATGGCACCAGTCATGGAATCTGAGGAAAGGTCAAACATCACCTTCTT

**ΔPET-2**
AATGATGAAACGTGATGTTGTGACTTTTCCAGTAAATGACTGGTTG

**ΔPETΔLIM-1**
AGGCAGTAATCTGAAGTGCCTTGGACCCATTTAAGTGCAAACATCACCTTCTT

**ΔPETΔLIM-2**
AACTATGAACAAGCTGATGTTTGACTTTAATGGCTCGGACTCTTCAGATT

ΔC2-1
CCGTGATAATGAGCAACACTATCGACATTCTTCAGAGTCTGACAATGAAG

ΔC2-2
AGTATCCTTCATTGTCAGACTCTGAAGAATGTCGATAGTGTTGCTCATTA

Dvl1-ΔPDZ partial
TGTAGCAGCGGATGGGCATTGGAACCTATGGGCCCTCCATGAGCATCACAT and
ATGTGATGATGCTCATGGGAGGCCCATAGTTCAATACGCCCATCCGCTGCTACA