microRNA-31 modulates skeletal patterning in the sea urchin embryo

Nadezda A. Stepicheva and Jia L. Song*

ABSTRACT
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs that repress the translation and reduce the stability of target mRNAs in animal cells. microRNA-31 (miR-31) is known to play a role in cancer, bone formation and lymphatic development. However, studies to understand the function of miR-31 in embryogenesis have been limited. We examined the regulatory role of miR-31 in early development using the sea urchin as a model. miR-31 is expressed at all stages of development and its knockdown (KD) disrupts the patterning and function of primary mesenchyme cells (PMCs), which form the embryonic skeleton spicules. We identified that miR-31 directly represses Pmar1, Alx1, Snail and VegfR7 within the PMC gene regulatory network using reporter constructs. Further, blocking the miR-31-mediated repression of Alx1 and/or VegfR7 in the developing embryo resulted in defects in PMC patterning and skeletogenesis. The majority of the mislocalized PMCs in miR-31 KD embryos did not express VegfR10, indicating that miR-31 regulates VegfR gene expression within PMCs. In addition, miR-31 indirectly suppresses Vegf3 expression in the ectoderm. These results indicate that miR-31 coordinate suppresses genes within the PMCs and in the ectoderm to impact PMC patterning and skeletogenesis. This study identifies the novel function and molecular mechanism of miR-31-mediated regulation in the developing embryo.
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INTRODUCTION
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small endogenous RNAs that regulate a myriad of biological processes, including cell fate specification, cell proliferation and cell movement (Bartel, 2009; Huang and He, 2010). As part of the RNA-induced silencing complex, miRNAs bind to the 3’UTR to mediate the translational repression and/or degradation of their target mRNAs in animal cells (Li and Kowdley, 2012; Friedman et al., 2013; Wilczynska and Bushell, 2015). Every miRNA has multiple mRNA targets and a single mRNA can be regulated by multiple miRNAs (Lewis et al., 2005; Lim et al., 2005; Iwama et al., 2007; Wilczynska and Bushell, 2015).

microRNA-31 (miR-31) is highly evolutionarily conserved and has mostly been examined in the context of cancer, as it targets genes that are involved in cell cycle progression, apoptosis, cell-to-cell adhesion and migration (Schmittgen, 2010; Stuelten and Salomon, 2010; Valastyan and Weinberg, 2010; Augoff et al., 2011; Yamagishi et al., 2012; Körner et al., 2013). miR-31 targets include integrins α2, α5, αV, β3, radixin, RhoA and WAVE3 (Valastyan and Weinberg, 2010; Augoff et al., 2011; Yamagishi et al., 2012). In addition, miR-31 downregulation is required for osteogenic differentiation, as it targets Osterix (Sp7) and Sub2, which are among the main skeletogenic transcription factors (TFs) in vertebrates (Baglio et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2013a,b, 2014a,b).

To date, no animal knockout models are available for miR-31 and limited studies have been conducted to understand the function of miR-31 in the context of embryogenesis (Schultz, 2005; Dunworth et al., 2014). To identify the function of miR-31 in the developing embryo, we used the purple sea urchin Strongylocentrotus purpuratus as a model. We took advantage of the rich knowledge on the gene regulatory network (GRN), signaling pathways and cell fate specification of the S. purpuratus embryo. We found that miR-31 is crucial for the patterning and function of the primary mesenchyme cells (PMCs), which produce the skeleton spicules that are used to support swimming and feeding of the larva (Pennington and Strathmann, 1990; Hart and Strathmann, 1994).

PMCs are specified by the canonical Wnt signaling pathway, as β-catenin enters the posterior blastomeres at the 16-cell stage to activate transcription of the transcriptional repressor Pmar1 (Guss and Ettenson, 1997; Oliveri et al., 2003). Activated Pmar1 in turn inhibits the transcriptional repressor HesC, resulting in derepression of the major skeletogenic TFs, including Alx1, Ets1 and Tbr (Oliveri et al., 2003; Revilla-i-Domingo et al., 2007). The transcriptional activation of these skeletogenic TFs triggers specification of the large micromeres to become PMCs (Khaner and Wilt, 1991; Koga et al., 2010; Sharma and Ettenson, 2010; Damle and Davidson, 2011; Sharma and Ettenson, 2011; Lyons et al., 2014; Saunders and McClay, 2014). Alx1, which belongs to the evolutionarily conserved Cart1/Alx3/Alx4 family of Paired-class homeodomain proteins, plays a central role in the skeletogenesis of sea urchins and vertebrates (Ettenson et al., 2003; Uz et al., 2010; Damle and Davidson, 2011; McGonnell et al., 2011; Dee et al., 2013). Alx1 regulates the expression of other TFs and effector genes that are directly involved in spicule formation (biomineralization proteins) and genes involved in PMC motility and patterning (Snail, Twist, VegfR10) (Ettenson et al., 2003; Sharma and Ettenson, 2011; Rafiq et al., 2012). Knockdown (KD) of Alx1 in the sea urchin embryo results in increased numbers of PMCs, delayed ingestion of PMCs, failure to complete epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) at mesenchyme blastula stage [24 h post fertilization (hpf)] due to the defects in de-adhesion, and a complete lack of skeletal rod formation (Ettenson et al., 2003; Saunders and McClay, 2014). One of the downstream genes activates by Alx1 is Snail, which encodes an evolutionarily conserved TF that plays a key role in cell migration and EMT in sea urchin and other animals by inhibiting the transcription of cadherin gene and promoting its endocytosis (Batlle et al., 2000; Wu and McClay, 2007; Yuan et al., 2013; Saunders and McClay, 2014).
Following ingression into the blastocoel, PMCs undergo reproducible patterning that is controlled by both PMC-derived factors and ectodermal positioning cues (Duloquin et al., 2007; Adomako-Ankomah and Ettensohn, 2013; McIntyre et al., 2013). The PMCs migrate along the sides of the blastocoel to form a subequatorial, ventrolateral ring, followed by the bilateral aggregation of PMCs to form the two ventrolateral clusters (VLCs), which are the sites of initial skeletogenic tri-radiate rudiments. The VLCs are formed at the intersections between the border ectoderm (BE) and dorsal-ventral margin (DVM) domains (Duloquin et al., 2007; Adomako-Ankomah and Ettensohn, 2013; McIntyre et al., 2013). The BE is defined as a narrow boundary region between the endoderm and ectoderm and is established by short-range Wnt5 signaling from endodermal cells to the adjacent ectodermal cells (McIntyre et al., 2013). The DVM is a region that separates the dorsal and ventral ectoderm and later becomes the ciliary band (Duboc et al., 2010; Saudemont et al., 2010; Yaguchi et al., 2010). Once the initial VLCs are established, the PMCs undergo another phase of directed migration anteriorly in response to Vegf and Fgf signaling pathways (Duloquin et al., 2007; Adomako-Ankomah and Ettensohn, 2013). Vegf3 is a key ligand expressed in the ectoderm that is crucial for proper PMC patterning. The S. purpuratus embryo expresses two Vegf receptors, namely VegfR7 and VegfR10, with VegfR10 being expressed specifically in the PMCs and thought to be the receptor for Vegf3 (Lapraz et al., 2006; Sodergren et al., 2006; Duloquin et al., 2007; Adomako-Ankomah and Ettensohn, 2013). Unlike VegfR10, which has a unique structure with ten Ig domains, VegfR7 has the canonical seven Ig domains, making it the most likely ortholog of vertebrate VegfR proteins (Lapraz et al., 2006).

In this study, we identified that miR-31 loss of function results in defective PMC patterning, decreased skeletal spicule length, and extra spicule tri-radiates. We present evidence that miR-31 directly suppresses at least three TF (Pmar1, Alx1, and Snail) and one effector (VegfR7) gene within the PMC GRN using reporter constructs. miR-31 KD also induced aberrant transcriptional expression of Vegf3, VegfR10, and VegfR7. The blockage of miR-31 regulation of Alx1 and/or VegfR7 in the developing embryo resulted in similar phenotype to that of miR-31 KD embryos, indicating that miR-31 KD phenotypes are in part explained by the lack of miR-31 regulation within PMCs. Overall, this study reveals the molecular mechanism of miR-31-mediated regulation of PMC patterning and function in the developing embryo.

RESULTS

miR-31 is ubiquitously expressed throughout development of the sea urchin embryo

Using fluorescent RNA in situ hybridization (FISH), we found that miR-31 is expressed throughout early development of the sea urchin embryo and localizes in punctate structures (Fig. 1A). We also observed a reduction of the miR-31 in situ signal in embryos microinjected with miR-31 inhibitor, indicating that miR-31 is strongly reduced in the miR-31 KD embryos (Fig. 1B).

![Fig. 1. miR-31 is expressed ubiquitously during early development of the sea urchin.](image-url)
**miR-31 KD results in developmental defects**

To test the function of miR-31 in the sea urchin embryo, we microinjected miR-31 inhibitor into newly fertilized eggs. The miR-31 inhibitor used for this study is an RNA molecule of complementary sequence to miR-31 that has locked nucleic acid (LNA) monomers incorporated to enhance its affinity to miR-31 and resistance to enzymatic degradation (Naguibneva et al., 2006). The miR-31 inhibitor binds to miR-31 in tight and stable complexes, preventing endogenous miR-31 from binding to its target transcripts (Elmen et al., 2008). Results indicate that miR-31 KD resulted in dose-dependent developmental delay by the mesenchyme blastula stage (24 hpf, Fig. S1). miR-31 KD gastrulae (48 hpf) exhibited a range of dose-dependent defects, including the formation of cell clumps and extra cells in the blastocoelar space, a reduction in embryo diameter, and a significant decrease in spicule length (Fig. 2). In addition, 7% of the miR-31 KD gastrulae (6 out of 88 embryos, two biological replicates), as opposed to 0% (55 embryos, two biological replicates) of the control gastrulae, developed additional tri-radiate spicule rudiments (Fig. 2D).

Because defects in skeleton spicules may indicate defective PMC patterning, we further examined the spatial distribution of PMCs using the PMC-specific antibody 1D5 (McClay et al., 1983). miR-31 KD PMCs failed to form a ventrolateral ring and failed to migrate anterolaterally (Fig. 3A). The percentage of embryos with

---

**Fig. 2. miR-31 KD results in dose-dependent developmental defects in sea urchin embryos.** Three concentrations of scrambled negative control or miR-31 LNA inhibitor were microinjected into newly fertilized eggs. (A) miR-31 KD gastrulae exhibited a range of developmental defects. Arrows indicate cell clumps and extra cells in the blastocoelar space. (B) The percentage of embryos with cell clumps and extra cells in the blastocoelar space was dependent on the dose of the miR-31 inhibitor. (C) miR-31 KD gastrulae showed a dose-dependent reduction in embryo diameter, which was determined from the diameter of a circle drawn to include the longest edges of the embryo. (D) The length of skeleton spicules (black arrows) was reduced in miR-31 KD embryos compared with the control. 7% of miR-31 KD embryos contained an extra tri-radiate rudiment at an ectopic location (red arrow). (E) miR-31 KD embryos had a dose-dependent decrease in skeleton spicule length. Student’s t-test. Error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bars: 50 µm.
Bioinformatics searches and identified three TFs (Pmar1, Alx1, Snail) to determine the molecular mechanism underlying the defects in miR-31 KD. Our results demonstrate that these phenotypes were specific to 40%, respectively, as well as at rescuing the miR-31 KD-induced defects than dsRNA-31 (63% versus 40%, respectively), as well as at rescuing the miR-31 KD-induced skeleton spicule defects than dsRNA-31 (63% versus 40%, respectively), as well as at rescuing the miR-31 KD-induced skeleton spicule defects compared with WT; however, when both miR-31 seed sites in the Alx1 3’ UTR were mutated the signal from the rLuc construct was significantly increased compared with the WT construct (Fig. 5C). This suggested that the two miR-31 sites within the Alx1 3’ UTR function redundantly. Of note, the level of increase in luciferase expression observed upon removal of miRNA regulation is consistent with that reported in previous studies (Selbach et al., 2008; Nicolas, 2011; Stepicheva et al., 2015).

All the embryos microinjected with reporter constructs developed normally to the mesenchyme blastula stage, indicating that microinjection of reporter construct mRNAs did not negatively impact embryonic development (Fig. S3A). The mRNA levels of injected luciferase constructs containing WT and mutated miR-31 seed sites of the Pmar1, Alx1 and VegfR7 3’ UTRs were not significantly different, indicating that the luciferase assays measured post-transcriptional regulation by miR-31 (Fig. S3B).

**Blockage of miR-31 regulation of Alx1 and/or VegfR7 results in aberrant PMC patterning**

To test the impact of miR-31 regulation of key genes within the PMC GRN in the dynamic environment of a developing embryo, we designed miR-31 target protector morpholino antisense oligonucleotides (miR-31 TPs) to competitively block endogenous miR-31 suppression of Alx1 or VegfR7 (Staton and Giraldez, 2011; Stepicheva et al., 2015). BLASTN of the miR-31 TP sequences indicated that they are uniquely complementary to the functional miR-31 sites identified by the luciferase assays. We microinjected Alx1 miR-31 TP, VegfR7 miR-31 TP or both into newly fertilized eggs and observed a significant decrease in the skeleton spicule length compared with control embryos (Fig. 5A). A small but significant decrease in skeleton spicule length persisted in Alx1, but not in VegfR7, miR-31 TP-injected larvae (72 hpf) (Fig. S4). We found that 2%, 7.5% and 4.6% of Alx1+, VegfR7+ and Alx1+VegfR7+ miR-31 TP-injected gastrulae developed extra tri-radiate rudiments, respectively (Fig. 6A). We also observed that some PMCs were mislocalized in the miR-31 TP-injected embryos compared with the control using the PMC-specific antibody 1D5 (McClay et al., 1983) (Fig. 6C, D). The decrease in skeleton spicule length, formation of the extra tri-radiate rudiments and the defects in PMC patterning (Fig. 6) in the Alx1 and VegfR7 miR-31 TP-injected embryos partially mimicked the miR-31 KD phenotypes (Figs 2 and 3), indicating that miR-31 KD phenotypes are in part caused by the lack of miR-31 regulation within PMCs.

**miR-31 directly represses components of the PMC GRN**

To determine the molecular mechanism underlying the defects in PMC morphogenesis in miR-31 KD embryos, we performed bioinformatics searches and identified three TFs (Pmar1, Alx1, Snail) and one effector gene (VegfR7) within the PMC GRN to have potential miR-31 binding sites within their 3’UTRs (defined by a perfect complementarity to the miR-31 seed sequence) (Fig. 5A). To test whether Pmar1, Alx1, Snail and VegfR7 are directly regulated by miR-31, we cloned the 3’UTRs of these genes with wild-type (WT) or mutated miR-31 seed sequences downstream of Renilla luciferase (rLuc) reporter constructs (Gregory et al., 2008; Stepicheva et al., 2015) (Fig. 5B). We assayed luciferase construct readouts during a time when the target genes are expressed. Luciferase assays to test direct regulation by miR-31 of Pmar1 were conducted at the 32-cell stage (6 hpf) and those for Alx1, Snail and VegfR7 were conducted at the mesenchyme blastula stage (24 hpf).

Our results indicate that the normalized luciferase signals from rLuc fused with mutated Pmar1, Snail and VegfR7 3’UTRs were significantly increased compared with the signals from rLuc constructs fused with the WT 3’UTRs (Fig. 5C). miRNA binding to the 3’UTR of a target transcript, which would normally silence its translation, would be abolished by mutating the miRNA binding sites, leading to increased translation of luciferase. These data indicated that Pmar1, Snail and VegfR7 are suppressed directly by miR-31. The luciferase signals of rLuc constructs containing the Alx1 3’UTR with a single mutated miR-31 site did not differ from WT; however, when both miR-31 seed sites in the Alx1 3’UTR were mutated the signal from the rLuc construct was significantly increased compared with the WT construct (Fig. 5C). This suggested that the two miR-31 sites within the Alx1 3’ UTR function redundantly. Of note, the level of increase in luciferase expression observed upon removal of miRNA regulation is consistent with that reported in previous studies (Selbach et al., 2008; Nicolas, 2011; Stepicheva et al., 2015).

The miR-31 KD results in skeletonogenesis and PMC patterning defects at the gastrula stage. Embryos were microinjected with miR-31 inhibitor, fixed at gastrula stage, and immunolabeled with 1D5 antibody against PMCs. (A) Inhibition of miR-31 resulted in PMC clumping and failure of the PMCs to migrate anteriorly. (B) The percentage of gastrulae with normal PMC patterning (defined by the proper migration of PMCs towards the animal pole and the lack of mislocalized PMCs and PMC clumps) was significantly reduced in miR-31 KD embryos as compared with the control. Five biological replicates; Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test; error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bar: 50 µm.
Mislocalized PMCs in miR-31 KD embryos do not express VegfR10

VegfR10 is the likely receptor to Vegf3 ligand expressed in the ectoderm, and it has been shown to play a key role in PMC patterning (Lapraz et al., 2006; Duloquin et al., 2007; Adomako-Ankomah and Ettensohn, 2013, 2014). We investigated the mechanism of PMC mislocalization by examining the expression of VegfR10 in the miR-31 KD embryos, as it is also transcriptionally activated by the miR-31 direct target Alx1 (Rafiq et al., 2012). We used RNA in situ hybridization to detect the spatial localization of VegfR10 transcripts, followed by PMC immunostaining using 1D5 (Fig. 7). Among the miR-31 KD embryos with defective PMC patterning, we found that ~85% of embryos (11 out of 13) that have mislocalized 1D5+ PMCs also lacked VegfR10 mRNA expression, compared with 33.3% of control embryos (1 out of 3 embryos with mislocalized PMCs). These results suggested that inhibition of miR-31 results in a subset of PMCs that fail to express VegfR10 and therefore can not respond to patterning cues (i.e. Vegf3) from the ectoderm.

miR-31 KD embryos have expanded expression of Vegf3

Because miR-31 is expressed in the ectoderm (Fig. 1), we tested whether it regulates Vegf3 in the ectoderm to impact PMC patterning, using whole-mount in situ hybridization and qPCR to determine the spatial distribution and level, respectively, of Vegf3 expression in miR-31 KD and control embryos (Figs 8 and 9). The expression of Vegf3 in the mesenchyme blastulae was expanded in the ectoderm and shifted anteriorly, indicating that miR-31 regulates Vegf3 expression (Fig. 8). We also observed an average 1.6-fold increase in Vegf3 transcripts in miR-31 KD embryos compared with the control (Fig. 9). Because we did not find miR-31 seed sequence within the Vegf3 mRNA, regulation of Vegf3 by miR-31 is likely to be indirect. Thus, miR-31 regulates both the signal-receiving PMCs and the signal-sending cells in the ectoderm to mediate proper Vegf signaling that impacts PMC patterning.

miR-31 KD results in changes in the mRNA levels of several genes

To test the regulatory effect of miR-31 on its direct and indirect targets at the transcriptional level, we compared the mRNA levels of its direct targets (Pmar1, Alx1, Snail and Vegf7) and genes involved in PMC patterning (FGF, Vegf3, Vegf7) in control and miR-31 KD embryos (Fig. 9). mRNA levels were assayed at the early blastula stage, when all the identified direct miR-31 targets are expressed. Results indicated that most of the miR-31 targets were not altered upon miR-31 KD. However, we observed an average 2.2-fold and 1.6-fold accumulation of Vegf7 and Vegf3 mRNAs,
Fig. 5. miR-31 directly represses several genes within the skeletogenic GRN. (A) Simplified PMC GRN indicating that PMCs are specified by the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, which activates the transcriptional repressor Pmar1 in the vegetal pole of the embryo. Pmar1 activation inhibits the transcription of HesC, leading to the activation of skeletogenic TFs such as Axl1. Axl1 activates skeletogenic effector genes, including Snail and Vegfr7. The 3’UTRs of Pmar1, Axl1, Snail, and Vegfr7 contain miR-31 seed sequences (purple). (B) The 3’UTRs of potential miR-31 targets were fused downstream of Renilla luciferase (RLuc). Site-directed mutagenesis was used to mutate miR-31 seed sequences. Firefly luciferase (FF) flanked with Xenopus β-globin UTRs was used for normalization. (C) Luciferase readings from embryos injected with constructs containing mutated miR-31 binding sites of Pmar1, Axl1, Snail, and Vegfr7. 3’UTRs were increased significantly in comparison to embryos injected with constructs containing the WT miR-31 seed sites, indicating that miR-31 directly represses these genes. Three to six biological replicates; Student’s t-test; error bars indicate s.e.m.

respectively, in the miR-31 KD embryos compared with the control, indicating that miR-31 is able to induce the degradation of Vegfr7 and Vegfr3 transcripts or that the absence of miR-31 causes their increased expression.

DISCUSSION
We used the sea urchin as a model to examine the function of miR-31 in early embryogenesis and revealed that it regulates various aspects of PMC development. The loss of miR-31 resulted in significantly shorter spicules, the formation of additional tri-radiate rudiments, and PMC patterning defects. We identified that miR-31 suppresses components of the PMC GRN and also Vegfr3 expression in the ectoderm to regulate proper PMC patterning and function.

miR-31 is a highly evolutionarily conserved miRNA that plays a role in cancer and bone homeostasis in vertebrates; however, the function of miR-31 has not been well defined in the developing embryo (Aboobaker et al., 2005; Wienholds et al., 2005; Valastyan and Weinberg, 2010; Yamagishi et al., 2012; Baglio et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2013a,b; Xu et al., 2013). Previously, we identified miR-31 as highly expressed in the early sea urchin embryo, and miR-31 was one of the four miRNAs that was needed to rescue the developmental defects induced by KD of the key miRNA processing enzyme Drosha, indicating the potentially important role of miR-31 in early development (Song et al., 2012).

miR-31 is ubiquitously expressed in zebrafish embryos (Wienholds et al., 2005). It is also expressed in a pair-rule pattern in the foregut, anterior endoderm and hindgut of the Drosophila embryo (Aboobaker et al., 2005). Similar to zebrafish, miR-31 expression is ubiquitous in the sea urchin embryo; however, it localizes to punctate structures that do not appear to be nuclear, a pattern that was found typical for only a few miRNAs, such as miR-34b, in zebrafish embryos, and for a number of dendritic or axonic miRNAs, such as miR-26a, miR-124a, pre-miR-25 and pre-miR-433, in rats (Kye et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2013; Goldie et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2015). miRNAs or pre-miRNAs that show punctate localization in dendrites or axons are thought to be compartmentalized to perform localized activity-dependent translation (Goldie et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2015). Further study is required to identify the nature of the punctate pattern of miR-31 in the sea urchin embryo.

miR-31 KD embryos exhibit various skeletogenic defects (Fig. 2D and Fig. 3). PMC patterning and the formation of connecting syncytia are requisite for spiculogenesis, since PMCs deposit calcite within the syncytium space that acts as a mold (Wilt, 1999, 2002; Lyons et al., 2014; Vidavsky et al., 2014). However, other factors may control the expression of biomineralization genes needed for skeletogenesis later in development (Rafiq et al., 2012; Sun and Ettensohn, 2014). Thus, the PMC patterning defects in the miR-31 KD embryos may contribute in part to the shortening of the skeleton spicules (Fig. 2E) and miR-31 may also regulate biomineralization genes. In addition, recombinant sea urchin Vegfr3 was found to be crucial in directing single calcite crystal growth and skeleton branching in an in vitro system, such that a low Vegfr3 concentration (5 µg/ml) induced the formation of linear spicules whereas a high Vegfr3 concentration (30-150 µg/ml) induced tri-radiate rudiment formation (Knapp et al., 2012). We found that miR-31 KD embryos have increased expression of Vegfr3 in the ectoderm, and the increased Vegfr3 might contribute to the formation of additional tri-radiate rudiments.

miR-31 exerts a relatively small but significant suppression of multiple targets within the PMC GRN that is sufficient to impact PMC patterning and function (Figs 5, 6 and 9). Thus, miR-31 is similar to other miRNAs that act as ‘fine-tuners’, such that effects on individual target genes are usually small (Selbach et al., 2008). However, a single miRNA can power developmental decisions. For example, miRNAs may sharpen morphogen gradients in the developing embryo (Niehrs, 2004; Martello et al., 2007) and they can also serve as a positive regulator by amplifying signal strength in duration to allow cells to respond to subthreshold stimuli (Meng et al., 2007; Fish et al., 2008; Kuhnert et al., 2008; Thum et al.,
Fig. 6. Removal of miR-31 regulation of Alx1 and Vegfr7 disrupts skeletogenesis and PMC patterning. Control TPs and miR-31 TPs (designed to specifically block functional miR-31 binding sites within Alx1 and Vegfr7 3′ UTRs) were microinjected into newly fertilized eggs and collected at the gastrula stage. (A) Microinjection of Alx1 and/or Vegfr7 miR-31 TPs resulted in shortening of the skeleton spicules (black arrows) and formation of an extra tri-radiate rudiment at ectopic locations (red arrows). The percentage of gastrulae displaying an extra tri-radiate is indicated. (B) The length of skeleton spicules extending anteriorly was significantly decreased in the presence of Alx1, Vegfr7 or Alx1+Vegfr7 miR-31 TPs compared with the control. One-way ANOVA; error bars indicate s.e.m. (C) The presence of miR-31 TPs resulted in mislocalization of PMCs. (D) The percentage of embryos with normal PMC localization was significantly decreased in the presence of miR-31 TPs compared with the control. Fisher’s exact test of independence. Scale bars: 50 µm.
In this manner, miR-31 might precisely and promptly fine-tune gene expression thresholds that are important within the developmental pathway or GRN of PMCs to ensure their proper development and function.

Our experiments using miR-31 TPs demonstrated that miR-31 regulation of Alx1 (an upstream regulator of VegfR10) and/or VegfR7 is important for proper PMC patterning (Fig. 6). Embryos with combined Alx1 and VegfR7 miR-31 TP treatment exhibited more severe PMC patterning defects than those treated with the single Alx1 or VegfR7 miR-31 TP, indicating that miR-31 targets both genes independently. The miR-31 TP effects decreased by the larval stage, suggesting that the miR-31 TP-treated embryos overcome the lack of miR-31 inhibition by other regulatory mechanisms. In addition, the increased severity of the phenotypes observed in miR-31 KD embryos (Figs 2 and 3) in comparison to Alx1 and VegfR7 miR-31 TP-treated embryos (Fig. 6) indicates that miR-31 also targets other unidentified genes, which cumulatively leads to the more severe PMC defects.

Interestingly, we found that the majority of mislocalized PMCs in miR-31 KD embryos lack VegfR10 expression (Fig. 7). The molecular mechanism of this VegfR10 downregulation still needs to be determined. The mislocalized PMCs lacking VegfR10 might fail to receive the Vegf3 signal that is required to pattern correctly. This also demonstrates that miR-31 exerts variable levels of suppression of VegfR10 and/or that local factors modulate VegfR10 expression to impact the directed migration of PMCs.

Our results demonstrate that miR-31 also regulates Vegf3 expression in the ectoderm. miR-31 KD embryos have expanded and increased expression of Vegf3 as indicated by in situ hybridization and qPCR data (Figs 8 and 9). Vegf3 is selectively activated in the BE, an ectoderm-endoderm boundary that is specified through short-range Wnt5 signaling (Wnt5 is a ligand for non-canonical Wnt signaling) from the endoderm (Slusarski et al., 1997; McIntyre et al., 2013). Early in development Vegf3 is expressed broadly across the BE, and by the blastula stage it becomes restricted to the two lateral patches by TGFβ signaling (McIntyre et al., 2013). Thus, Vegf3 expression is regulated both by non-canonical Wnt signaling in the anterior/posterior axis and by TGFβ signaling mediated by Nodal and Bmp2/4 in the oral/aboral axis. Our data indicated that Vegf3 expression is expanded anteriorly and not along the oral/aboral axis in miR-31 KD embryos, suggesting that miR-31 might be regulating non-canonical Wnt signaling and thus indirectly regulating Vegf3 expression (Figs 8 and 9).
obtained by intracoelomic injection of 0.5 M KCl and embryos were maintained in an aquarium tank containing artificial sea water (Instant Ocean) at 15°C. Gametes were harvested from wild-type female animals with no previous history of injection. The F1 animals were cultured at 15°C in filtered natural sea water collected from the Indian River Inlet (University of Delaware, Lewes, DE, USA).

Microinjections
Microinjections were performed as described previously (Cheers and Ettensohn, 2004; Stepicheva and Song, 2014). All injection solutions contained 20% sterile glycerol and 2 mg/ml 10,000 MW Texas Red lysine-charged dextran (Molecular Probes) to mark the microinjected embryos. The volume of the injected solution was calculated to be 1-2 pl based on the size of the injection bolus at about one-fifth of the egg diameter. The microinjected miR-31 inhibitor (Cel-miR-72) and the scrambled negative control A (miRCURY LNA power inhibitor, Exiqon) were estimated to be ~34.5 attomoles (from the 30 µM injection stock solution). The miR-31 mimic (Hsa-miR-31-5p) contains miR-31 RNA complexed with two LNA-modified RNA strands that simulate naturally occurring mature miRNAs and reduce off-target effects from segmented passenger strands (Bramsen et al., 2007). Cel-miR-39-5p (Exiqon) was used as the negative control mimic. The miR-31 mimic or dsRNA-31 [Dicer substrate of miR-31 (Song et al., 2012)] was co-injected with a miR-31 inhibitor at a 1:1 molar ratio to test the specificity of miR-31 KD.

miRNA target protector (TP) morpholinos were designed against miR-31 binding sites identified by dual-luciferase assays and site-directed mutagenesis (GeneTools) (Table S2). The control morpholino does not recognize sea urchin genes (as assessed by BLASTN). The concentration of each miR-31 TP in the microinjection solution was 3 µM, which was empirically determined to result in defective phenotypes.

Immunofluorescence
Immunolabeling with 1D5 antibody (McCay et al., 1983) was performed as described previously with modifications. The microinjected gastrulae were collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in artificial sea water for 30 min or overnight followed by four 10-min washes with PBS-Tween [PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (Bio-Rad)]. As a blocking solution, 4% goat serum in PBS-Tween was added for 1 h at room temperature, followed by overnight incubation with 1D5 primary antibody at 1:50 dilution. Embryos were then washed four times for 10 min each with PBS-Tween followed by 1 h incubation with goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen, A11001) at 1:300.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization
Vegf10 and Vegf3 genes were PCR amplified from sea urchin embryonic cDNA and cloned into Zero-Blunt (Invitrogen) to generate RNA in situ probes (PCR primers are listed in Table S1). RNA in situ probes were synthesized with the Anti-Digoxigenin-AP Labeling Kit (Roche Diagnostics). 1 ng/µl mRNA was used to detect native transcript in embryos (Minokawa et al., 2004; Stepicheva et al., 2015). Images were acquired with a Zeiss Observer Z1 microscope and Nikon D90 digital camera.
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Cloning 3’ UTRs into luciferase reporter constructs

The 3’ UTR of Pmarrl was obtained using the First Choice RLM-RACE Kit (Invitrogen) using Pmarrl_RACE_F1 and Pmarrl_RACE_F2 primers (Table S1). PCR primers of 3’UTR sequences of Alx1, Vegfr7 and Snaid were designed based on sequence information available from the sea urchin genome (echinobase.org) (Table S1). The amplified PCR products for the 3’UTRs were cloned into PCRII or Zero-Blunt vectors (Invitrogen). Bacterial colonies containing potential PCR inserts were sequenced by Genewiz Services (South Plainfield, NJ, USA) to validate sequences.

We generated the Renilla luciferase vector (rLuc) by PCR amplification of the rLuc coding sequence from the psiCHECK dual-luciferase vector (Promega). This rLuc PCR fragment was cloned into the pSPT64 vector (Gustafson and Wessel, 2010) to generate SupLuc. 3’ UTRs from positive clones were excised from the PCRII or Zero-Blunt vectors using XhoI/Not double digests and gel purified with the Wizard SVgel and PCR Cleanup Kit (Promega). Pmarrl, Snaid and Vegfr7 3’ UTRs were ligated with the SupLuc vector, and the Alx1 3’ UTR was ligated with the psiCHECK vector directly. Firefly luciferase construct was prepared and used as an injection control as described previously (Stepicheva et al., 2015).

Site-directed mutagenesis

The miR-31 seed sequence (5’-TCTTGC3-3’) within the 3’ UTRs of target genes was mutated at positions 3 and 5 (5’-TCTTAC3-3’) to disrupt miR-31 target binding (Gregory et al., 2008; Stepicheva et al., 2015). Mutations were generated using the QuickChange Lightning Kit (Agilent Technologies). Clones were sequenced to confirm the presence of miR-31 binding site mutations (Genewiz Services). Primers are listed in Table S1.

In vitro transcription

All SupLuc-based reporter constructs were linearized with EcoRI and in vitro transcribed with SP6 RNA polymerase using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE Kit (Ambion). The Alx1 3’ UTR in psiCHECK was linearized with Ndel and in vitro transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase. Firefly luciferase (FF) was linearized using SpeI and in vitro transcribed with SP6 RNA polymerase. mRNAs were purified using the Micro RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) and the RNA was precipitated with 2.5M sodium acetate and ethanol. Purified FF and rLuc were then co-injected into cleavage-stage embryos.

Dual-luciferase quantification

The injection solutions for the dual-luciferase assay contained 20% sterile glycerol, 2 mg/ml 10,000 MW Texas Red lysine-charged dextran, 60 ng/µl FF mRNA and 4 ng/µl (Pmarrl constructs) or 40 ng/µl (Alx1 constructs) rLuc mRNA. 20-30 embryos were collected at select time points and processed as previously described (Stepicheva et al., 2015). The rLuc signal in each sample was normalized to the FF signal to account for microinjection volume differences and the data were normalized to the WT reporter construct expression.
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